

Special Issue on Representation Theory . ARTICLES .

February 2018 Vol. 61 No. 2: 311–[316](#page-5-0) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-016-9170-1>

Simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers

Jun Morita

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8571*, Japan Email: morita@math.tsukuba.ac.jp*

Received December 23, 2016; accepted September 29, 2017; published online November 8, 2017

Abstract We obtain new examples of simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers.

Keywords Kac-Moody group, simple group, Schur multiplier

MSC(2010) 20G44, 20E32, 19C09

Citation: Morita J. Simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers. Sci China Math, 2018, 61: 311–[316,](#page-5-0) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-016-9170-1>

1 Introduction

Using the terminology of central extensions, we may construct a family of perfect groups, more precisely called a CE (central extension) family as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}\gamma\cdots\searrow\cdots\searrow\\\gamma\cdots\searrow\cdots\searrow\\\hat{\Gamma}\rightarrow\cdots\rightarrow\Gamma\rightarrow\cdots\rightarrow\Gamma'\\\searrow\cdots\nearrow\cdots\nearrow\cdots\nearrow\\\searrow\cdots\nearrow\cdots\nearrow\cdots\nearrow\end{array}\right\}.
$$

All arrows in the diagram are central extensions of perfect groups. Note that a group Γ is called perfect if $\Gamma = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$. A perfect group Γ has a universal central extension, called Γ , which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism (see[[18,](#page-5-1) Section 7, Central extensions]).

Let Γ be a perfect group in this paragraph. If Γ is simple, then there is no nontrivial quotient of Γ . If the Schur multiplier of Γ is trivial, then there is no nontrivial central extension of Γ. Hence, if Γ is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier, then the corresponding CE family is *{*Γ*}*, consisting of a single object. Note that one of the most famous simple groups with trivial Schur multipliers is the Monster group M, which is known as the largest sporadic finite simple group.

In this note, we provide examples of several simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers. Recently,the simplicity of some Kac-Moody groups has been established (see $[2,3,16]$ $[2,3,16]$ $[2,3,16]$). Here, we mainly discuss Kac-Moody groups over certain infinite fields. Then we will discuss their Schur multipliers, and we will find new examples of simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers.

2 Results

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ generalized Cartan matrix (GCM), i.e., A is an integer matrix satisfying (1) $a_{ii} = 2$, (2) $a_{ij} \leq 0$ $(i \neq j)$, (3) $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$. Using a GCM *A*, we can construct the associated Kac-Moody Lie algebra g. A GCM $A = (a_{ij})$ is called indecomposable if there is no permutation τ of indices such that

$$
A^{\tau} = (a_{\tau(i), \tau(j)}) = \left(\frac{A' \mid O}{O \mid A''}\right),
$$

where *A'* and *A''* are non-trivial square blocks. An indecomposable GCM *A* is called of finite type if g is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra, or the corresponding root system is finite and irreducible (see[[1,](#page-4-2) [5](#page-4-3), [7](#page-4-4)]). An indecomposable GCM *A* is called of affine type if there are positive integers b_1, \ldots, b_n such that $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)A = 0$. An indecomposable GCM *A* is called of indefinite type if *A* is neither of finite type nor of affine type. We call an indecomposable GCM *A* of non-affine type if *A* is either of finite type or of indefinite type. *In this note, we always assume that a GCM A is both indecomposable and of non-affine type unless otherwise stated*.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with A over the field, \mathbb{C} , of complex numbers, whichis generated by the Cartan subalgebra h and Chevalley generators $e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n$ (see [[8,](#page-4-5)10, [13\]](#page-5-3)). Using \mathfrak{g} , one can construct the so-called Tits group functor $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_A$ from the category of commutative rings with identities to the category of groups (see [\[20\]](#page-5-4)). For a field *F*, let *G* be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_A(F)$ generated by $x_\alpha(t) = \exp t e_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_A(F)$ for all $t \in F$ and all real roots α , where e_α is a Chevalley basis for *α*. Note that $G = [G, G]$ if $|F| \geq 4$ (see [\[6](#page-4-7)]). Let $Z = Z(G)$ be the center of *G*, and put $G' = G/Z$. Wemay call all of \mathcal{G}, G and G' Kac-Moody groups (see [[9,](#page-4-8)[17](#page-5-5)]). Let \mathbb{F}_p be the prime field of characteristic $p > 0$, and we denote by \mathbb{F}_{p^k} and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ the field with p^k elements and the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , respectively. Then,the following result is known (see $[2, 3, 16, 18]$ $[2, 3, 16, 18]$ $[2, 3, 16, 18]$ $[2, 3, 16, 18]$ $[2, 3, 16, 18]$).

Theorem 1. Let F be an infinite subfield of \mathbb{F}_p . Suppose that A is of non-affine type. Then, G' is a *simple group.*

Let $f: \Gamma' \to \Gamma$ be a homomorphism of groups. If *f* is surjective, then *f* is called an extension. If the kernel of an extension *f* is central, then *f* is called a central extension. If a central extension $\hat{f} : \hat{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$ uniquely dominates all other central extensions of Γ , then \hat{f} is called a universal central extension. It is known that $\Gamma = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$ if and only if there exists a universal central extension \hat{f} of Γ (see [\[18](#page-5-1)]). In this case, we put $M(\Gamma) = \text{Ker } f$ and call it the Schur multiplier of Γ. If a perfect group Γ is simple and $M(\Gamma) = 1$ $M(\Gamma) = 1$ $M(\Gamma) = 1$, then we call Γ a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier (see [[18\]](#page-5-1)).

For distinct prime numbers *p* and *q*, we define $\ell_q(p)$ by $\ell_q(p) = \min\{m > 0 \mid p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{q}\}$, which means that $\ell_q(p)$ is the order of *p* modulo *q*. Then, we obtain the following two results.

Theorem 2. Let F be an infinite subfield of \mathbb{F}_p . Suppose that $\det(A) \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \not\subset F$ for every *prime number q* satisfying $q \mid \det(A)$ and $q \neq p$. Then G^{*'*} is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier. **Theorem 3.** If $\det(A) = \pm p^c$ for a prime number p and $c \geq 0$ and $F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, then G' is a simple group *with trivial Schur multiplier.*

3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1 *including remark.* If *n >* 2, then the result can be obtained using Caprace and Rémy [\[2](#page-4-0)](see also [[4,](#page-4-9) [18\]](#page-5-1)). Suppose $n = 2$, i.e.,

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & a \\ b & 2 \end{pmatrix} \; .
$$

If $ab < 4$, then $G' \simeq PSL_3(F), PSp_4(F), G_2(F)$ and the result is well-known (see [\[4](#page-4-9), [18](#page-5-1)]). If $ab > 4$ and one of *a, b* is *−*1, then the result can be also confirmed using Caprace and R´emy[[3\]](#page-4-1). If *ab >* 4 as well as $a < -1$ and $b < -1$, then the result is established in [[16\]](#page-5-2). Note that *A* is of affine type if $ab = 4$ (see[[8,](#page-4-5) [13\]](#page-5-3)). \Box

Proof of Theorem 2 *including remark.* Let Δ be the root system of g with respect to h, i.e.,

$$
\Delta = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \alpha \neq 0, \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \neq 0 \},
$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ (\forall h \in \mathfrak{h})\}.$ Then, we can find simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ which have the corresponding root spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C}e_i$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C}f_i$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we define $\sigma_i \in GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ to be the reflection defined by $\sigma_i(\mu) = \mu - \mu(h_i)\alpha_i$ ($\forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$). Then, the subgroup *W* of $GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ generated by $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$ is called the Weyl group, and $\Delta^{re} = {\{\sigma(\alpha_i) | \sigma \in W, 1 \leq i \leq n\}}$ is called the set of real roots. Let h_{α} be the coroot of $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$ (see [[8](#page-4-5), [13\]](#page-5-3)).

Using the Chevalley involution ω of \mathfrak{g} , which is defined by $\omega(e_i) = -f_i$, $\omega(f_i) = -e_i$ and $\omega(h) =$ $-h$ $(1 \leq i \leq n, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h})$, we choose and fix a Chevalley basis $\mathcal{C} = \{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}\}$ for Δ^{re} , where $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$, $[e_{\alpha}, e_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha}$ and $\omega(e_{\alpha}) + e_{-\alpha} = 0$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, there is an exponential map $x_{\alpha}: t \mapsto \exp t e_{\alpha}$ from the additive group *F* into $\mathcal{G}(F)$. By the definition, *G* is generated by $x_\alpha(t)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ and $t \in F$. Then, *G* is presented by the generators $x_{\alpha}(t)$ with $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ and $t \in F$, and the following defining relations (A), (B), (B*′*) and (C) (see[[19\]](#page-5-6)):

- (A) $x_\alpha(s)x_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(s+t),$
- (B) $[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod x_{i\alpha+j\beta}(N_{\alpha,\beta,i,j}s^{i}t^{j}),$
- (E') $w_{\alpha}(u)x_{\beta}(t)w_{\alpha}(-u) = x_{\beta'}(t'),$
- (C) $h_{\alpha}(u)h_{\alpha}(v) = h_{\alpha}(uv)$.

To understand (B), put $Q_{\alpha,\beta} = \{i\alpha + j\beta \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}\cap \Delta$. Then, we have

(B)
$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod_{Q_{\alpha,\beta}} x_{i\alpha+j\beta} (N_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} s^i t^j),
$$

whenever $Q_{\alpha,\beta} \subset \Delta^{re}$ (see [\[14](#page-5-7)]). In fact, there are five possible types of relations in (B) (see [\[4,](#page-4-9) [14\]](#page-5-7)), i.e.,

$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = 1,
$$

\n
$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm (r+1)st),
$$

\n
$$
r = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta - i\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}\},
$$

\n
$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{2}t),
$$

\n
$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm 2st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm 3s^{2}t)x_{\alpha+2\beta}(\pm 3st^{2}),
$$

\n
$$
[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{2}t)x_{3\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{3}t)x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(\pm 2s^{3}t^{2}).
$$

To understand (B') and (C) , for $u, v \in F^{\times}$, we put

$$
w_{\alpha}(u) = x_{\alpha}(u)x_{-\alpha}(-u^{-1})x_{\alpha}(u), \quad h_{\alpha}(u) = w_{\alpha}(u)w_{\alpha}(-1).
$$

Then, we have

 $(E') w_{\alpha}(u)x_{\beta}(t)w_{\alpha}(-u) = x_{\beta'}(t'),$ (C) $h_{\alpha}(u)h_{\alpha}(v) = h_{\alpha}(uv),$ where $\beta' = \beta - \beta(h_\alpha)\alpha$, $t' = \pm u^{-\beta(h_\alpha)}t$ (see [[18\]](#page-5-1)). For each $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$, there is a group isomorphism

$$
\varphi_{\alpha} : \langle x_{\alpha}(t), x_{-\alpha}(t) | t \in F \rangle \xrightarrow{\simeq} SL_2(F)
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{split} &x_{\alpha}(t)\mapsto \binom{1\ t}{0\ 1},\quad x_{-\alpha}(t)\mapsto \binom{1\ 0}{t\ 1},\\ &w_{\alpha}(u)\mapsto \binom{0\ \ u}{-u^{-1}\ 0},\quad h_{\alpha}(u)\mapsto \binom{u\ \ 0}{0\ u^{-1}}. \end{split}
$$

We take an abstract symbol $\hat{x}_{\alpha}(t)$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$ and $t \in F$. The Steinberg group $\hat{G} = \text{St}(A, F)$ over *F* of type *A* is defined to be the group generated by $\hat{x}_{\alpha}(t)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$ and $t \in F$ with the defining relations corresponding to (A), (B) and (B[']). It is known that \hat{G} is a universal central extension of G' (see[[15\]](#page-5-8)), which is induced by $\pi' : \hat{x}_{\alpha}(t) \mapsto x_{\alpha}(t) \mod Z$. We define $K_2(A, F)$ by

$$
1 \to K_2(A, F) \to \hat{G} \stackrel{\pi}{\to} G \to 1,
$$

where π is given by $\pi(\hat{x}_\alpha(t)) = x_\alpha(t)$. Then, $K_2(A, F)$ has a Matsumoto-type presentation (see [\[15\]](#page-5-8)). Thisgives a lot of information on $K_2(A, F)$ and the corresponding Schur multiplier (see [[11,](#page-4-10)[12\]](#page-5-9)). Exactly saying, $K_2(A, F)$ is isomorphic to the abelian group generated by $c_i(u, v)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $u, v \in F^\times$ withthe following defining relations (see [[15\]](#page-5-8)):

- $(M1)$ $c_i(t, u)c_i(tu, v) = c_i(t, uv)c_i(u, v);$
- $(M2)$ $c_i(1,1) = 1;$
- (M3) $c_i(u, v) = c_i(u^{-1}, v^{-1});$
- $(M4)$ $c_i(u, v) = c_i(u, (1 u)v), u \neq 1;$
- $(M5)$ $c_i(u, v^{a_{ji}}) = c_j(u^{a_{ij}}, v);$
- $(M6)$ $c_i(tu, v^{a_{ji}}) = c_i(t, v^{a_{ji}})c_i(u, v^{a_{ji}}).$

Usingthese relations, we can show that $K_2(A, F)$ is trivial, which means that $\hat{G} = G$ (see [[18\]](#page-5-1)).

Now we want to consider the structure of $Z = Z(G)$. Using the fact that Z is in the standard maximal torus $T = \{h_{\alpha_1}(t_1)\cdots h_{\alpha_n}(t_n) \mid t_i \in F^{\times}\}\$, we can establish that Z is isomorphic to $Hom(\Xi, F^{\times})$, where $\Xi = \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ $\Xi = \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ $\Xi = \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ and $A = (a_{ij}) = (\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n)$ (see [[18\]](#page-5-1)).

If *q* is a prime number such that $q \mid \det(A)$ and $q \neq p$, then we should consider $t^q = 1$ in F^{\times} . In this case, we can assume that $t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset F$ for some k. Then, $q \mid (p^k - 1)$ and $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, which implies $\ell_q(p) \, | \, k$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset F$. Hence, our assumption implies $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}, F^\times) = 1$. Therefore, the principal divisor theorem shows that $Hom(\Xi, F^{\times}) = 1$ and $Z = 1$. Thus, $M(G') = 1$, i.e., *G'* is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier. \Box

Proof of Theorem 3 *including remark.* The proof of Theorem 2 shows this result. Note that if *q* is a prime number satisfying $q \mid \det(A)$ and $q \neq p$, then we can always find $Z \neq 1$ in this case. \Box

4 New examples

Here, we will show several typical examples, which are new.

Example 4. *G'* is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier if

(1) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_2};$ (2) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ -17 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_3};$ (3) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_5};$ (4) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_{11}}.$

Example 5. Let $q = |\det(A)|$. Suppose that *q* is a prime number satisfying $q \neq p$ and $p \neq 1 \pmod{q}$. In particular, $\ell_q(p) > 1$ and $\ell_q(p)$ divides $q-1$, which means that $\ell_q(p)$ does not divide q^i . Set

$$
F=\bigcup_{i\geqslant 0}{\mathbb F}_{p^{q^i}}\subsetneq \overline{{\mathbb F}_p}\ .
$$

Then, we see $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \not\subset F$. Therefore, G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier.

Example 6. *G'* is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier in the following cases:

- (1) Let $p = 7$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and we set $F = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{5i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$.
- (2) Let $p = 7$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and we set $F = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{11i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$.
- (3) Let $p = 7$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -7 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and we set $F = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{17^i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$.
- (4) Let $p = 7$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ -7 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and we set $F = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{31^i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$.

5 Remarks

Remark 7. Let $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$. Suppose that $\det(A) \neq 0$ and $\ell_q(p)/k$ for every prime number *q* satisfying $q \mid \det(A)$ and $q \neq p$. Then, we have $\operatorname{St}(A, F) = G = G'$.

Remark 8 (See[[2,](#page-4-0) [3](#page-4-1)])**.** About the simplicity of *G′* , the following results are known for a finite field *F* : (1) Suppose that *A* is of non-affine type, and $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ satisfying $p^k \geqslant n > 2$. Then *G'* is a simple group.

(2) Let

$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & a \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

be a 2 × 2 hyperbolic GCM, i.e., $a < -4$, and $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ satisfying $p^k > 3$. Then *G'* is a simple group.

Remark 9. About the simplicity of *G′* in the case when *A* is of indefinite type, the following table is known (see Theorem 1 and Remark 8):

Remark 10. In the above table (see Remark 9), we have several questions.

- (1) Is *G'* simple for a general infinite field *F* of characteristic $p > 0$?
- (2) Is there any example for G' to be simple if F is of characteristic 0?
- (3) Especially, is G' simple if $F = \mathbb{C}$?

(4) Let

$$
A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & a \\ b & 2 \end{array}\right)
$$

be a 2 \times 2 hyperbolic GCM satisfying $a, b < -1$ and suppose that *F* is a finite field. There are many non-simple examples of *G′* . Is there any example for *G′* to be simple?

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Japan (Monkasho Kakenhi) (Grant No. 26400005).

References

- 1 Bourbaki N. "Groupes et algebrès de Lie", Chapters 4–6. Paris: Hermann, 1968
- 2 Caprace P-E, R´emy B. Simplicity and superrigidity of twin building lattices. Invent Math, 2009, 176: 169–221
- 3 Caprace P-E, R´emy B. Simplicity of twin tree lattices with non-trivial commutation relations. In: Davis M W, Fowler J, Lafont J-F, et al, eds. Proceedings of the special year on Topology and Geometric Group Theory at OSU 2011–2012. Topology and Geometric Group Theory. New York: Springer, 2016, 143–151
- 4 Carter R W. Simple Groups of Lie Type. New York: Wiley, 1972
- 5 Humphreys J F. Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. New York: Springer, 1972
- 6 Hurrelbrink J, Morita J, Rehmann U. On the homological π₀ of Kac-Moody groups. Contemp Math, 1992, 126: 71–77
- 7 Jacobson N. Lie Algebras. New York: Interscience, 1962
- 8 Kac V G. Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1983
- 9 Kumar S. Kac-Moody Groups, Their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory. Boston: Birkhäuser, 2002
- 10 Lepowsky J. Lectures on Kac-Moody Lie Algebras. Paris: Paris University Lecture Notes, 1978
- 11 Matsumoto H. Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semisimples déployés. Ann Sci Éc Norm Supér (4), 1969, 2: 1–62
- 12 Milnor J. Introduction to Algebraic *K*-Theory. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971
- 13 Moody R V, Pianzola A. Lie Algebras with Triangular Decompositions. New York: Wiely, 1995
- 14 Morita J. Commutator relations in Kac-Moody groups. Proc Japan Acad Ser A Math Sci, 1987, 63: 21–22
- 15 Morita J, Rehmann U. A Matsumoto-type theorem for Kac-Moody groups. Tohoku Math J (2), 1990, 42: 537–560
- 16 Morita J, R´emy B. Simplicity of some twin tree automorphism groups with trivial commutation relations. Canad Math Bull, 2014, 57: 390–400
- 17 Peterson D H, Kac V G. Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 1983, 80: 1779–1782
- 18 Steinberg R. Lectures on Chevalley Groups. New Heaven: Yale University Lecture Notes, 1968
- 19 Tits J. Ensembles ordonnés, immeubles et sommes amalgamées. Bull Soc Math Belg Sér A, 1986, 38: 367–387
- 20 Tits J. Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. J Algebra, 1987, 105: 542–573