

Special Issue on Representation Theory
• ARTICLES •

February 2018 Vol. 61 No. 2: 311–316 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-016-9170-1

# Simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers

Jun Morita

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8571, Japan Email: morita@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

Received December 23, 2016; accepted September 29, 2017; published online November 8, 2017

Abstract We obtain new examples of simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers.

Keywords Kac-Moody group, simple group, Schur multiplier

MSC(2010) 20G44, 20E32, 19C09

Citation: Morita J. Simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers. Sci China Math, 2018, 61: 311–316, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-016-9170-1

# 1 Introduction

Using the terminology of central extensions, we may construct a family of perfect groups, more precisely called a CE (central extension) family as follows:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{cccc} \nearrow \cdots \searrow \cdots \searrow \\ \nearrow \cdots \searrow \cdots \searrow \cdots \searrow \\ \hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & \Gamma & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma' \\ \searrow \cdots \nearrow \cdots \nearrow \cdots \swarrow \\ \searrow \cdots \swarrow \cdots \swarrow \end{array}\right\}.$$

All arrows in the diagram are central extensions of perfect groups. Note that a group  $\Gamma$  is called perfect if  $\Gamma = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$ . A perfect group  $\Gamma$  has a universal central extension, called  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism (see [18, Section 7, Central extensions]).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a perfect group in this paragraph. If  $\Gamma$  is simple, then there is no nontrivial quotient of  $\Gamma$ . If the Schur multiplier of  $\Gamma$  is trivial, then there is no nontrivial central extension of  $\Gamma$ . Hence, if  $\Gamma$  is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier, then the corresponding CE family is  $\{\Gamma\}$ , consisting of a single object. Note that one of the most famous simple groups with trivial Schur multipliers is the Monster group  $\mathbb{M}$ , which is known as the largest sporadic finite simple group.

In this note, we provide examples of several simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers. Recently, the simplicity of some Kac-Moody groups has been established (see [2,3,16]). Here, we mainly discuss Kac-Moody groups over certain infinite fields. Then we will discuss their Schur multipliers, and we will find new examples of simple Kac-Moody groups with trivial Schur multipliers.

### 2 Results

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  generalized Cartan matrix (GCM), i.e., A is an integer matrix satisfying (1)  $a_{ii} = 2$ , (2)  $a_{ij} \leq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ), (3)  $a_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{ji} = 0$ . Using a GCM A, we can construct the associated Kac-Moody Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A GCM  $A = (a_{ij})$  is called indecomposable if there is no permutation  $\tau$  of indices such that

$$A^{\tau} = (a_{\tau(i),\tau(j)}) = \left(\frac{A' \mid O}{O \mid A''}\right),$$

where A' and A'' are non-trivial square blocks. An indecomposable GCM A is called of finite type if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra, or the corresponding root system is finite and irreducible (see [1, 5, 7]). An indecomposable GCM A is called of affine type if there are positive integers  $b_1, \ldots, b_n$  such that  $(b_1, \ldots, b_n)A = \mathbf{0}$ . An indecomposable GCM A is called of indefinite type if A is neither of finite type nor of affine type. We call an indecomposable GCM A of non-affine type if A is either of finite type or of indefinite type. In this note, we always assume that a GCM A is both indecomposable and of non-affine type unless otherwise stated.

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$  be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with A over the field,  $\mathbb{C}$ , of complex numbers, which is generated by the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  and Chevalley generators  $e_1, \ldots, e_n, f_1, \ldots, f_n$  (see [8, 10, 13]). Using  $\mathfrak{g}$ , one can construct the so-called Tits group functor  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_A$  from the category of commutative rings with identities to the category of groups (see [20]). For a field F, let G be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_A(F)$ generated by  $x_\alpha(t) = \exp t e_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_A(F)$  for all  $t \in F$  and all real roots  $\alpha$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is a Chevalley basis for  $\alpha$ . Note that G = [G, G] if  $|F| \ge 4$  (see [6]). Let Z = Z(G) be the center of G, and put G' = G/Z. We may call all of  $\mathcal{G}$ , G and G' Kac-Moody groups (see [9,17]). Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be the prime field of characteristic p > 0, and we denote by  $\mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  the field with  $p^k$  elements and the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , respectively. Then, the following result is known (see [2, 3, 16, 18]).

**Theorem 1.** Let F be an infinite subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Suppose that A is of non-affine type. Then, G' is a simple group.

Let  $f: \Gamma' \to \Gamma$  be a homomorphism of groups. If f is surjective, then f is called an extension. If the kernel of an extension f is central, then f is called a central extension. If a central extension  $\hat{f}: \hat{\Gamma} \to \Gamma$  uniquely dominates all other central extensions of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is called a universal central extension. It is known that  $\Gamma = [\Gamma, \Gamma]$  if and only if there exists a universal central extension  $\hat{f}$  of  $\Gamma$  (see [18]). In this case, we put  $M(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Ker} \hat{f}$  and call it the Schur multiplier of  $\Gamma$ . If a perfect group  $\Gamma$  is simple and  $M(\Gamma) = 1$ , then we call  $\Gamma$  a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier (see [18]).

For distinct prime numbers p and q, we define  $\ell_q(p)$  by  $\ell_q(p) = \min\{m > 0 \mid p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{q}\}$ , which means that  $\ell_q(p)$  is the order of p modulo q. Then, we obtain the following two results.

**Theorem 2.** Let F be an infinite subfield of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Suppose that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \not\subset F$  for every prime number q satisfying  $q \mid \det(A)$  and  $q \neq p$ . Then G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier. **Theorem 3.** If  $\det(A) = \pm p^c$  for a prime number p and  $c \ge 0$  and  $F = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , then G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier.

### 3 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1 including remark. If n > 2, then the result can be obtained using Caprace and Rémy [2] (see also [4,18]). Suppose n = 2, i.e.,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & a \\ b & 2 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

If ab < 4, then  $G' \simeq PSL_3(F)$ ,  $PSp_4(F)$ ,  $G_2(F)$  and the result is well-known (see [4, 18]). If ab > 4 and one of a, b is -1, then the result can be also confirmed using Caprace and Rémy [3]. If ab > 4 as well

as a < -1 and b < -1, then the result is established in [16]. Note that A is of affine type if ab = 4 (see [8,13]).

Proof of Theorem 2 including remark. Let  $\Delta$  be the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ , i.e.,

$$\Delta = \{ \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \alpha \neq 0, \, \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \},\$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ (\forall h \in \mathfrak{h})\}$ . Then, we can find simple roots  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  which have the corresponding root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C}e_i$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C}f_i$ . For each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , we define  $\sigma_i \in GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  to be the reflection defined by  $\sigma_i(\mu) = \mu - \mu(h_i)\alpha_i \ (\forall \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*)$ . Then, the subgroup W of  $GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$  generated by  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n$  is called the Weyl group, and  $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}} = \{\sigma(\alpha_i) \mid \sigma \in W, \ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is called the set of real roots. Let  $h_{\alpha}$  be the coroot of  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$  (see [8, 13]).

Using the Chevalley involution  $\omega$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is defined by  $\omega(e_i) = -f_i$ ,  $\omega(f_i) = -e_i$  and  $\omega(h) = -h \ (1 \leq i \leq n, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h})$ , we choose and fix a Chevalley basis  $\mathcal{C} = \{e_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}\}$  for  $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$ , where  $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ ,  $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$  and  $\omega(e_\alpha) + e_{-\alpha} = 0$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$ , there is an exponential map  $x_\alpha : t \mapsto \exp te_\alpha$  from the additive group F into  $\mathcal{G}(F)$ . By the definition, G is generated by  $x_\alpha(t)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$  and  $t \in F$ . Then, G is presented by the generators  $x_\alpha(t)$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$  and  $t \in F$ , and the following defining relations (A), (B), (B') and (C) (see [19]):

- (A)  $x_{\alpha}(s)x_{\alpha}(t) = x_{\alpha}(s+t),$
- (B)  $[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod x_{i\alpha+j\beta} (N_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} s^{i} t^{j}),$
- (B')  $w_{\alpha}(u)x_{\beta}(t)w_{\alpha}(-u) = x_{\beta'}(t'),$
- (C)  $h_{\alpha}(u)h_{\alpha}(v) = h_{\alpha}(uv).$

To understand (B), put  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} = \{i\alpha + j\beta \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\} \cap \Delta$ . Then, we have

(B) 
$$[x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = \prod_{Q_{\alpha,\beta}} x_{i\alpha+j\beta} (N_{\alpha,\beta,i,j} s^{i} t^{j}),$$

whenever  $Q_{\alpha,\beta} \subset \Delta^{\text{re}}$  (see [14]). In fact, there are five possible types of relations in (B) (see [4, 14]), i.e.,

$$\begin{split} & [x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = 1, \\ & [x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm (r+1)st), \\ & r = \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta - i\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}\}, \\ & [x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{2}t), \\ & [x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm 2st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm 3s^{2}t)x_{\alpha+2\beta}(\pm 3st^{2}), \\ & [x_{\alpha}(s), x_{\beta}(t)] = x_{\alpha+\beta}(\pm st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{2}t)x_{3\alpha+\beta}(\pm s^{3}t)x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(\pm 2s^{3}t^{2}). \end{split}$$

To understand (B') and (C), for  $u, v \in F^{\times}$ , we put

$$w_{\alpha}(u) = x_{\alpha}(u)x_{-\alpha}(-u^{-1})x_{\alpha}(u), \quad h_{\alpha}(u) = w_{\alpha}(u)w_{\alpha}(-1)$$

Then, we have

(B')  $w_{\alpha}(u)x_{\beta}(t)w_{\alpha}(-u) = x_{\beta'}(t'),$ (C)  $h_{\alpha}(u)h_{\alpha}(v) = h_{\alpha}(uv),$ where  $\beta' = \beta - \beta(h_{\alpha})\alpha, t' = \pm u^{-\beta(h_{\alpha})}t$  (see [18]). For each  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$ , there is a group isomorphism

$$\varphi_{\alpha} : \langle x_{\alpha}(t), x_{-\alpha}(t) \mid t \in F \rangle \xrightarrow{\simeq} SL_2(F)$$

satisfying

$$x_{\alpha}(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{-\alpha}(t) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$w_{\alpha}(u) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ -u^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_{\alpha}(u) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We take an abstract symbol  $\hat{x}_{\alpha}(t)$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$  and  $t \in F$ . The Steinberg group  $\hat{G} = \text{St}(A, F)$ over F of type A is defined to be the group generated by  $\hat{x}_{\alpha}(t)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta^{\text{re}}$  and  $t \in F$  with the defining relations corresponding to (A), (B) and (B'). It is known that  $\hat{G}$  is a universal central extension of G'(see [15]), which is induced by  $\pi' : \hat{x}_{\alpha}(t) \mapsto x_{\alpha}(t) \mod Z$ . We define  $K_2(A, F)$  by

$$1 \to K_2(A, F) \to \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1,$$

where  $\pi$  is given by  $\pi(\hat{x}_{\alpha}(t)) = x_{\alpha}(t)$ . Then,  $K_2(A, F)$  has a Matsumoto-type presentation (see [15]). This gives a lot of information on  $K_2(A, F)$  and the corresponding Schur multiplier (see [11,12]). Exactly saying,  $K_2(A, F)$  is isomorphic to the abelian group generated by  $c_i(u, v)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $u, v \in F^{\times}$  with the following defining relations (see [15]):

- (M1)  $c_i(t,u)c_i(tu,v) = c_i(t,uv)c_i(u,v);$
- (M2)  $c_i(1,1) = 1;$
- (M3)  $c_i(u, v) = c_i(u^{-1}, v^{-1});$
- (M4)  $c_i(u, v) = c_i(u, (1-u)v), u \neq 1;$
- (M5)  $c_i(u, v^{a_{ji}}) = c_j(u^{a_{ij}}, v);$
- (M6)  $c_i(tu, v^{a_{ji}}) = c_i(t, v^{a_{ji}})c_i(u, v^{a_{ji}}).$

Using these relations, we can show that  $K_2(A, F)$  is trivial, which means that  $\ddot{G} = G$  (see [18]).

Now we want to consider the structure of Z = Z(G). Using the fact that Z is in the standard maximal torus  $T = \{h_{\alpha_1}(t_1) \cdots h_{\alpha_n}(t_n) \mid t_i \in F^{\times}\}$ , we can establish that Z is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Xi, F^{\times})$ , where  $\Xi = \mathbb{Z}^n / \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n \rangle$  and  $A = (a_{ij}) = (\boldsymbol{a}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_n)$  (see [18]).

If q is a prime number such that  $q \mid \det(A)$  and  $q \neq p$ , then we should consider  $t^q = 1$  in  $F^{\times}$ . In this case, we can assume that  $t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset F$  for some k. Then,  $q \mid (p^k - 1)$  and  $p^k \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , which implies  $\ell_q(p) \mid k$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^k} \subset F$ . Hence, our assumption implies  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}, F^{\times}) = 1$ . Therefore, the principal divisor theorem shows that  $\operatorname{Hom}(\Xi, F^{\times}) = 1$  and Z = 1. Thus, M(G') = 1, i.e., G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier.

Proof of Theorem 3 including remark. The proof of Theorem 2 shows this result. Note that if q is a prime number satisfying  $q \mid \det(A)$  and  $q \neq p$ , then we can always find  $Z \neq 1$  in this case.

# 4 New examples

Here, we will show several typical examples, which are new.

**Example 4.** G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier if

(1)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ F = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_2;$ (2)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -7 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ F = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_3;$ (3)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ F = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_5;$ (4)  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \ F = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{11}.$ 

**Example 5.** Let  $q = |\det(A)|$ . Suppose that q is a prime number satisfying  $q \neq p$  and  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . In particular,  $\ell_q(p) > 1$  and  $\ell_q(p)$  divides q - 1, which means that  $\ell_q(p)$  does not divide  $q^i$ . Set

$$F = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{F}_{p^{q^i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \; .$$

Then, we see  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\ell_q(p)}} \not\subset F$ . Therefore, G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier.

**Example 6.** G' is a simple group with trivial Schur multiplier in the following cases:

- (1) Let p = 7 and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we set  $F = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{5^i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$ .
- (2) Let p = 7 and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -5 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we set  $F = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{11i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$ .
- (3) Let p = 7 and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -7 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we set  $F = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{17^i}} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$ .
- (4) Let p = 7 and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ -7 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , and we set  $F = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} \mathbb{F}_{7^{31^i}} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbb{F}_7}$ .

# 5 Remarks

**Remark 7.** Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ . Suppose that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  and  $\ell_q(p) \not| k$  for every prime number q satisfying  $q \mid \det(A)$  and  $q \neq p$ . Then, we have  $\operatorname{St}(A, F) = G = G'$ .

**Remark 8** (See [2,3]). About the simplicity of G', the following results are known for a finite field F: (1) Suppose that A is of non-affine type, and  $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  satisfying  $p^k \ge n > 2$ . Then G' is a simple group.

(2) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & a \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a 2 × 2 hyperbolic GCM, i.e., a < -4, and  $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$  satisfying  $p^k > 3$ . Then G' is a simple group.

**Remark 9.** About the simplicity of G' in the case when A is of indefinite type, the following table is known (see Theorem 1 and Remark 8):

char = p > 0	Finite field	Infinite field
$\mathrm{rank} \geqslant 3$	$\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \ (p^k \gg 0)$	$F \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}2&a\\-1&2\end{array}\right)$	$\mathbb{F}_{p^k} \ (p^k \gg 0)$	$F \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$
$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & a \\ b & 2 \end{array}\right); \ a,b < -1$	$\exists  \text{non-simple}$	$F \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$
char = 0	_	open

**Remark 10.** In the above table (see Remark 9), we have several questions.

- (1) Is G' simple for a general infinite field F of characteristic p > 0?
- (2) Is there any example for G' to be simple if F is of characteristic 0?
- (3) Especially, is G' simple if  $F = \mathbb{C}$ ?

(4) Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & a \\ b & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a  $2 \times 2$  hyperbolic GCM satisfying a, b < -1 and suppose that F is a finite field. There are many non-simple examples of G'. Is there any example for G' to be simple?

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research of Japan (Monkasho Kakenhi) (Grant No. 26400005).

#### References

- 1 Bourbaki N. "Groupes et algebrès de Lie", Chapters 4-6. Paris: Hermann, 1968
- 2 Caprace P-E, Rémy B. Simplicity and superrigidity of twin building lattices. Invent Math, 2009, 176: 169-221
- 3 Caprace P-E, Rémy B. Simplicity of twin tree lattices with non-trivial commutation relations. In: Davis M W, Fowler J, Lafont J-F, et al, eds. Proceedings of the special year on Topology and Geometric Group Theory at OSU 2011–2012. Topology and Geometric Group Theory. New York: Springer, 2016, 143–151
- 4 Carter R W. Simple Groups of Lie Type. New York: Wiley, 1972
- 5 Humphreys J F. Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. New York: Springer, 1972
- 6 Hurrelbrink J, Morita J, Rehmann U. On the homological  $\pi_0$  of Kac-Moody groups. Contemp Math, 1992, 126: 71–77
- 7 Jacobson N. Lie Algebras. New York: Interscience, 1962
- 8 Kac V G. Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1983
- 9 Kumar S. Kac-Moody Groups, Their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory. Boston: Birkhäuser, 2002
- 10 Lepowsky J. Lectures on Kac-Moody Lie Algebras. Paris: Paris University Lecture Notes, 1978
- 11 Matsumoto H. Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semisimples déployés. Ann Sci Éc Norm Supér (4), 1969, 2: 1–62

- 12 Milnor J. Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971
- 13 Moody R V, Pianzola A. Lie Algebras with Triangular Decompositions. New York: Wiely, 1995
- 14 Morita J. Commutator relations in Kac-Moody groups. Proc Japan Acad Ser A Math Sci, 1987, 63: 21–22
- 15 Morita J, Rehmann U. A Matsumoto-type theorem for Kac-Moody groups. Tohoku Math J (2), 1990, 42: 537–560
- 16 Morita J, Rémy B. Simplicity of some twin tree automorphism groups with trivial commutation relations. Canad Math Bull, 2014, 57: 390–400
- 17 Peterson D H, Kac V G. Infinite flag varieties and conjugacy theorems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 1983, 80: 1779–1782
- 18 Steinberg R. Lectures on Chevalley Groups. New Heaven: Yale University Lecture Notes, 1968
- 19 Tits J. Ensembles ordonnés, immeubles et sommes amalgamées. Bull Soc Math Belg Sér A, 1986, 38: 367–387
- 20 Tits J. Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. J Algebra, 1987, 105: 542–573