. ARTICLES . October 2016 Vol. 59 No. 10: 1909–1918 doi: 10.1007/s11425-016-5150-5

Small prime solutions to cubic equations

ZHAO LiLu

School of Mathematics, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei ²³⁰⁰⁰⁹*, China Email: zhaolilu@gmail.com*

Received April 8, 2015; accepted January 12, 2016; published online May 6, 2016

Abstract Let *a*1*,...,a*⁹ be nonzero integers not of the same sign, and let *b* be an integer. Suppose that a_1, \ldots, a_9 are pairwise coprime and $a_1 + \cdots + a_9 \equiv b \pmod{2}$. We apply the *p*-adic method of Davenport to find an explicit $P = P(a_1, \ldots, a_9, n)$ such that the cubic equation $a_1 p_1^3 + \cdots + a_9 p_9^3 = b$ is solvable with $p_j \ll P$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 9$. It is proved that one can take $P = \max\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_9|\}^c + |b|^{1/3}$ with $c = 2$. This improves upon the earlier result with $c = 14$ due to Liu (2013).

Keywords circle method, prime number, cubic equation

MSC(2010) 11P55, 11P32

Citation: Zhao L L. Small prime solutions to cubic equations. Sci China Math, 2016, 59: 1909–1918, doi: 10.1007/ s11425-016-5150-5

1 Introduction

The works of Vinogradov [17] and Hua [7] established that for any natural number k, there exists $s = s(k)$ such that any sufficiently large integer n satisfying certain congruence conditions can be represented as

$$
n = p_1^k + \dots + p_s^k,
$$

where p_1,\ldots,p_s are prime numbers. In general, one would ask how to find an explicit $P = P(a_1,\ldots,a_s,n)$ such that the equation

$$
a_1 p_1^k + \dots + a_s p_s^k = n
$$

is solvable in the box $p_j \leq P$ $(1 \leq j \leq s)$. Following the pioneer work of Baker [1], Liu and Tsang [12] made substantial progress for the linear case $k = 1$ with $s = 3$ and the quadratic case $k = 2$ with $s = 5$ in [13]. Their results were considerably improved by Li [10], Choi and Liu [3,4], Liu and Tsang [11], Choi and Kumchev [2], and Harman and Kumchev [6]. In the cubic case, the classical result of Hua asserts that every sufficiently large odd number can be represented in the form

$$
n = p_1^3 + \dots + p_9^3.
$$

Leung [9] considered the cubic equation

$$
a_1 p_1^3 + \dots + a_9 p_9^3 = n,\tag{1.1}
$$

-c Science China Press and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016 math.scichina.com link.springer.com

where p_1,\ldots,p_9 are prime variables, a_1,\ldots,a_9 are nonzero integer coefficients and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Throughout, we suppose that

$$
(a_i, a_j) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 9,\tag{1.2}
$$

and

$$
a_1 + \dots + a_9 \equiv n \pmod{2}.\tag{1.3}
$$

Leung established that if a_1, \ldots, a_9 are not of the same sign, then (1.1) is solvable in primes p_j $(1 \leq j \leq 9)$ with

$$
p_j \ll \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_9|\}^{20+\varepsilon} + |n|^{1/3},\tag{1.4}
$$

where the implied constant depends only on ε . This was refined by Liu [14], who showed that the exponent 20 in (1.4) can be reduced to 14. In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* a_1, \ldots, a_9 *be nonzero integers, and let* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *. Suppose that* a_1, \ldots, a_9 *and* n *satisfy* (1.2) *and* (1.3) *. If* a_1, \ldots, a_9 *are not of the same sign, then there are prime solutions to the equation* (1.1) *with*

$$
p_j \ll \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_9|\}^2 + |n|^{1/3} \tag{1.5}
$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq 9$ *.*

The proof can be applied to establish the following parallel result. We omit the details.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that* a_1, \ldots, a_9, n *are positive integers satisfying* (1.2) *and* (1.3)*. Then there exists an absolute constant* $K > 0$ *such that the equation* (1.1) *is solvable whenever*

$$
n \geqslant \mathcal{K} \max\{|a_1|, \ldots, |a_9|\}^7.
$$

Our improvement comes from the application of the p-adic method of Davenport [5]. In particular, our treatment of the mean value estimate makes use of the condition that a_1, \ldots, a_9 are pairwise coprime.

As usual, we abbreviate $e^{2\pi i z}$ to $e(z)$. The letter p, with or without a subscript, always denotes a prime number. We use ε to denote a sufficiently small positive number. Denote by $\phi(n)$ the Euler function.

2 Preliminaries

For $X \geqslant 1$, we define

$$
g_X(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(x^3 \alpha).
$$

By Hua's lemma, one has

$$
\int_0^1 |g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll X^{7/2 + \varepsilon}.
$$
\n(2.1)

Let

$$
\mathcal{M}(X) = \bigcup_{q \leq X} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qX^2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qX^2} \right].
$$

In view of the proof of [16, Theorem 4.4], one has

$$
\int_{\mathcal{M}(X)} |g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll X^3. \tag{2.2}
$$

We define

$$
T(P,X) = \sum_{q \leq P} \sum_{1 \leq a \leq Aq} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{qAHP}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q(1 + AHP^2|\beta|)}} \left| g_X \left(\frac{a}{Aq} + \beta \right) \right|^2 d\beta. \tag{2.3}
$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let* $T(P, X)$ *be defined as above. Then one has*

$$
T(P, X) \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} H^{-1} P^{-3/2} + X H^{-1} P^{-1/2}.
$$

Proof. The argument is routine. We include the details for completeness. Note that

$$
\sum_{1 \leq a \leq Aq} \left| g_X \left(\frac{a}{Aq} + \beta \right) \right|^2 \leq A \sum_{1 \leq x \neq y \leq X} (q, x^3 - y^3) + X A q.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{split} T(P,X) &\leqslant \sum_{q\leqslant P}\left(A\sum_{1\leqslant x\neq y\leqslant X}\frac{(q,x^3-y^3)}{\sqrt{q}}+XA\sqrt{q}\right)\int_{|\beta|\leqslant\frac{1}{qAHP}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{(1+AHP^2|\beta|)}}d\beta\\ &\ll \sum_{q\leqslant P}\bigg(\sum_{1\leqslant x\neq y\leqslant X}\frac{(q,x^3-y^3)}{\sqrt{q}}+X\sqrt{q}\bigg)(HP^2)^{-1}\sqrt{P/q}\\ &\ll X^{2+\varepsilon}H^{-1}P^{-3/2}+XH^{-1}P^{-1/2}. \end{split}
$$

This completes the proof.

We define

$$
F(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \le h \le H} \sum_{1 \le x \le P} e((3hx^2 + 3h^2Bx + h^3B^2)\alpha),
$$

where $H \geq 1$ and B is a natural number satisfying $1 \leqslant BH \leqslant P$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $|\alpha - a/q| \leq (qHP)^{-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq q \leq HP$. Then *one has* $\overline{1}$

$$
F(\alpha) \ll HP^{1+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{q(1+HP^2|\alpha - a/q|)} + \frac{1}{P} + \frac{q(1+HP^2|\alpha - a/q|)}{HP^2} \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Proof. In view of the proof of the lemma in [15], one has

$$
F(\alpha) \ll HP^{1+\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{P} + \frac{q}{HP^2}\right)^{1/2}.
$$
\n(2.4)

It follows from (2.4) by the standard argument in Waring's problem (see [16, Exercise 2 of Chapter 2]) that

$$
F(\alpha) \ll HP^{1+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{q(1+HP^2|\alpha-a/q|)}+\frac{1}{P}+\frac{q(1+HP^2|\alpha-a/q|)}{HP^2}\right)^{1/2}.
$$

We complete the proof.

For any natural number A , we define

$$
\mathcal{R}(P;A) = \bigcup_{q \leq P} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq Aq \\ (a,q)=1}} \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qHP}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qHP} \right].
$$

In light of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
F(\alpha) \ll \frac{HP^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{q(1+HP^2|\alpha - a/q|)}} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(P;A). \tag{2.5}
$$

We define

$$
\mathcal{R}_A(P) = \{ \alpha \in [(AHP^2)^{-1}, 1 + (AHP^2)^{-1}] : A\alpha \in \mathcal{R}(P; A) \}. \tag{2.6}
$$

Now we conclude the following.

 \Box

 \Box

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{R}_A(P)$ *be defined as above. Then one has*

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{2+\varepsilon} P^{-1/2+\varepsilon} + X P^{1/2+\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(2.7)

Proof. Since $A \alpha \in \mathcal{R}(P; A)$, there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leqslant q \leqslant P$, $1 \leqslant a \leqslant Aq$, $(a, q) = 1$ and

$$
\left|\alpha - \frac{a}{Aq}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{qHPA}.
$$

By (2.5) , one has

$$
F(A\alpha) \ll \frac{HP^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{q(1+HP^2|A\alpha - a/q|)}} = \frac{HP^{1+\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{q(1+AMP^2|\alpha - \frac{a}{Aq}|)}}.
$$

We deduce that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll HP^{1+\varepsilon}T(P,X),
$$

where $T(P, X)$ is given by (2.3). We establish (2.7) by applying Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\mathcal{R}_A(P)$ *be defined in* (2.6)*. Then one has*

 $\overline{}$

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll HPX^3 + X^{5+\varepsilon}P^{-1/2+\varepsilon} + X^{4+\varepsilon}P^{1/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{q \leqslant X} \bigcup_{\substack{-q \leqslant a \leqslant 2q \\ (a,q)=1}} \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qX^2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qX^2} \right].
$$

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_A(P)$, by Dirichlet's approximation theorem, there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $-q \leqslant a \leqslant 2q$, $1 \leqslant q \leqslant X^2$, $(a,q) = 1$ and $|\alpha - a/q| \leqslant (qX^2)^{-1}$. For $\alpha \notin \mathcal{M}$, one has $q > X$ and thus by [16, Lemma 2.4], $g_X(\alpha) \ll X^{3/4+\epsilon}$. We conclude that

$$
g_X(\alpha) \ll X^{3/4+\varepsilon}
$$
 for $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_A(P) \setminus \mathcal{M}$.

Then we deduce

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)\backslash \mathcal{M}} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll X^{3+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha,
$$

and by Lemma 2.3,

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)\backslash \mathcal{M}} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll X^{5+\varepsilon} P^{-1/2+\varepsilon} + X^{4+\varepsilon} P^{1/2+\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(2.8)

On applying (2.2), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathcal{R}_A(P)\cap\mathcal{M}} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll HP \int_{\mathcal{M}(X)} |g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll HPX^3.
$$
\n(2.9)

We complete the proof by combining (2.8) and (2.9).

Lemma 2.5. *We have*

$$
\int_0^1 F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6d\alpha \ll HPX^3+X^{5+\varepsilon}P^{-1/2+\varepsilon}+X^{4+\varepsilon}P^{1/2+\varepsilon}+HP^{1/2}X^{7/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{r} = [(AHP^2)^{-1}, 1+(AHP^2)^{-1}] \setminus \mathcal{R}_A(P)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$, by Dirichlet's approximation theorem, there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a,q) = 1, 1 \leq a \leq Aq, q \leq HP$ and $|A\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \leq (qHP)^{-1}$. Since $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$, one has $q > P$. By Lemma 2.2, $F(A\alpha) \ll HP^{1/2+\epsilon}$. Thus by (2.1), we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{r}} F(A\alpha)|g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll HP^{1/2+\varepsilon} \int_0^1 |g_X(\alpha)|^6 d\alpha \ll HP^{1/2+\varepsilon} X^{7/2+\varepsilon}.
$$
\n(2.10)
proof by applying (2.10) and Lemma 2.4.

We complete the proof by applying (2.10) and Lemma 2.4.

 \Box

 \Box

3 Mean value estimate via Davenport's method

Let $S(A, B)$ denote the number of solutions to

$$
A(x_1^3 - x_2^3) = B(y_1^3 + y_2^3 + y_3^3 - y_4^3 - y_5^3 - y_6^3),
$$
\n(3.1)

where $1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq P$, $(x_1x_2, B) = 1$, $B | x_1^3 - x_2^3$ and $1 \leq y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6 \leq Q$. Similarly, we define $S^{-}(A, B)$ to be the number of solutions to (3.1) with $1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq P$, $(x_1x_2, B) = 1$, $B \mid x_1 - x_2$ and $1 \leq y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6 \leq Q.$

Davenport [5] observed that by choosing $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the equation

$$
x_1^3 - x_2^3 = p^3(y_1^3 + y_2^3 - y_3^3 - y_4^3)
$$
\n(3.2)

forces $p^3 | x_1 - x_2$. In our application of Davenport's method, the parameters B in (3.1) and p^3 in (3.2) play the same role.

Since $B | x_1 - x_2$ implies $B | x_1^3 - x_2^3$, we have $S^-(A, B) \leq S(A, B)$. The first result in this section is as follows.

Lemma 3.1. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have*

$$
S(A, B) \leqslant B^{\varepsilon} S^{-}(A, B).
$$

Proof. We introduce

$$
g(\alpha; b) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x \leq P \\ x \equiv b \pmod{B}}} e(Ax^3 \alpha).
$$

On writing $h(\alpha) = g_Q(B\alpha)$, we have

$$
S(A, B) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le b_1, b_2 \le B \\ (b_1 b_2, B) = 1 \\ b_1^3 - b_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{B}}} \int_0^1 g(\alpha; b_1) g(-\alpha; b_2) |h(\alpha)^6| d\alpha.
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
S(A,B) \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq B \\ (b_1b_2,B)=1}} \left(\int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b_1)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b_2)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq B \\ (b_1b_2,B)=1 \\ b_1^3-b_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{B}}} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b_1)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\times \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq B \\ (b_1b_2,B)=1 \\ (b_1b_2,B)=1}} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b_2)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\times \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq b_1, b_2 \leq B \\ (b_1b_2,B)=1 \\ b_1^3-b_2^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{B}}} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b_2)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha \right)^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq b \leq B \\ (b, B)=1}} v(b) \int_0^1 |g(\alpha; b)^2 h(\alpha)^6 | d\alpha,
$$

where

$$
v(b) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le c \le B \\ (c, B) = 1 \\ c^3 - b^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{B}}} 1.
$$

We complete the proof by observing that $v(b) \ll B^{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
S^{-}(A,B) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant b \leqslant B \\ (b,B)=1}} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha;b)^2 h(\alpha)^6| d\alpha.
$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $B < P$ *. For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *, we have*

$$
S(A,B) \ll B^{-1+\varepsilon} P^2 Q^3 + P^{-1/2+\varepsilon} Q^{5+\varepsilon} + P^{1/2+\varepsilon} Q^{4+\varepsilon} + P Q^{7/2+\varepsilon} + B^{-1+\varepsilon} P^{3/2} Q^{7/2+\varepsilon}.
$$

Proof. By changing variables $x_2 = x, x_1 = x + hB$, (3.1) is reduced to

$$
A(3hx^{2} + 3h^{2}Bx + h^{3}B^{2}) = y_{1}^{3} + y_{2}^{3} + y_{3}^{3} - y_{4}^{3} - y_{5}^{3} - y_{6}^{3}.
$$
\n(3.3)

By (2.1), the contribution from $h = 0$ to $S^-(A, B)$ is $O(PQ^{7/2+\epsilon})$. We use $S^+(A, B)$ to denote the number of solutions to equation (3.3) with $1 \leqslant h \leqslant P/B$, $1 \leqslant x \leqslant P$ and $1 \leqslant y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6 \leqslant Q$. Then it follows from the above that

$$
S^{-}(A,B) \leqslant 2S^{+}(A,B) + O(PQ^{7/2+\varepsilon}).
$$

We complete the proof by applying Lemmas 2.5 and 3.1.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.2, we conclude the following.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $AP^3 = BQ^3$ and $A \le B \le P^{1/2}Q^{\rho}$ with $0 \le \rho \le 1/2$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we *have*

$$
S(A, B) \ll B^{-1} P^{2+\varepsilon} Q^{3+\rho}.
$$

Let $S^{\#}(A, B)$ denote the number of solutions to

$$
A(p_1^3 - p_2^3) = B(p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^3 - p_6^3 - p_7^3 - p_8^3),
$$
\n(3.4)

where $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P$ and $1 \leq p_3, p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7, p_8 \leq Q$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $AP^3 = BQ^3$ and $A \le B \le P^{1/2}Q^{\rho}$ with $0 \le \rho \le 1/2$. If $(A, B) = 1$, then *we have*

$$
S^{\#}(A,B) \ll B^{-1}P^{2+\varepsilon}Q^{3+\rho}.
$$

Proof. Since $(A, B) = 1$, the equation (3.4) forces that $B | p_1^3 - p_2^3$. The contribution from $(p_1 p_2, B) = 1$ is at most $S(A, B)$. Note that $(p_1p_2, B) > 1$ implies $p_1 | B$ and $p_2 | B$, and thus the contribution from $(p_1p_2, B) > 1$ is $O(B^{\varepsilon}Q^{7/2+\varepsilon})$. The desired estimate follows from Lemma 3.3 immediately. \Box

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $X \geqslant 2$, we define

$$
f_X(\alpha) = \sum_{p \leq X} e(p^3 \alpha).
$$

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a,q) = 1, 1 \leq q \leq X$ such that $|\alpha - a/q| \leqslant (qX^2)^{-1}$ *. Then one has*

$$
f_X(\alpha) \ll \frac{q^\varepsilon (\log X)^c X}{\sqrt{q(1+X^3|\alpha - a/q|)}} + X^{11/12 + \varepsilon},
$$

where c *is an absolute constant.*

Proof. This follows from [8, Theorem 2] and [18, Lemma 8.5]

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that* $\delta < 1/18$ *. Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *,* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $q \in \mathbb{N}$ *with*

$$
(a, q) = 1
$$
, $1 \le q \le N^{5\delta/6}$, $|\alpha - a/q| \le N^{5\delta/6} (qN)^{-1}$.

Suppose that $X \gg N^{5/18}$ *and* $N = AX^3$ *. Then one has*

$$
f_X(A\alpha) \ll \frac{q^{\varepsilon}(\log X)X\sqrt{(q,A)}}{\sqrt{q(1+N|\alpha - a/q|)}}
$$

.

Proof. The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 4.1 by noting

$$
\frac{q^{\varepsilon}(\log X)^{c} X \sqrt{(q, A)}}{\sqrt{q(1 + N|\alpha - a/q|)}} \gg XN^{-5\delta/12} \gg X^{1-3\delta/2} \geq X^{11/12 + \varepsilon}
$$

provided that $\delta < 1/18$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $\delta < 1/12$ *. Suppose that* $A_j X_j^3 = N$ *and* $A_j X_j^{2\delta} \le \sqrt{N}/2$ for all $1 \le j \le 5$ *. Suppose that* $|\alpha - a/q| \leq N^{5\delta/6} (qN)^{-1}$ *for some* $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $q \in \mathbb{N}$ *with*

$$
(a,q) = 1 \quad and \quad N^{5\delta/6} < q \leq N^{1-5\delta/6}.
$$

If A_1, \ldots, A_5 are pairwise coprime, then there exists $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 5$ such that

$$
f_{X_j}(A_j \alpha) \ll X_j^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}.
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f_{X_j}(A_j \alpha) \gg X_j^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 5$. Then for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 5$, we deduce from Lemma 4.1 that

$$
\left|A_j\alpha-\frac{a_j}{q_j}\right|\leqslant\frac{X_j^{2\delta}}{q_jX_j^3}\quad\text{for some}\quad a_j\in\mathbb{Z},\quad q_j\in\mathbb{N}\quad\text{with}\quad (a_j,q_j)=1,\quad q_j\leqslant X_j^{2\delta}.
$$

Then for any $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, one has

$$
|a_i A_j q_j - a_j A_i q_i| = A_i A_j q_i q_j \left| \frac{a_i}{A_i q_i} - \frac{a_j}{A_j q_j} \right| \leq A_i A_j q_i q_j \left(\left| \alpha - \frac{a_i}{A_i q_i} \right| + \left| \alpha - \frac{a_j}{A_j q_j} \right| \right)
$$

$$
\leq A_i A_j q_i q_j \left(\frac{X_i^{2\delta}}{q_i N} + \frac{X_j^{2\delta}}{q_j N} \right) \leq \frac{2 A_i A_j X_i^{2\delta} X_j^{2\delta}}{N} \leq \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Thus there exist $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a,q) = 1$ such that $\frac{a_j}{A_j q_j} = \frac{a}{q}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 5$. Therefore $q = q_1 A'_1 = \cdots = q_5 A'_5$, where $A'_j \mid A_j$. On recalling that A_1, \ldots, A_5 are pairwise coprime, we have $q = q' A_1' A_2' A_3' A_4' A_5'$ for some $q' \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $A_1' \leqslant A_2' \leqslant \cdots \leqslant A_5'$, and thus $A'_1 \leq (q' A'_1 A'_2 A'_3 A'_4)^{1/4} = q_5^{1/4} \leq X_5^{\delta/2}$. It follows that $q = q_1 A'_1 \leq X_1^{2\delta} X_5^{\delta/2} \leq N^{5\delta/6}$ and

$$
\left|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\right| = \left|\alpha - \frac{a_1}{A_1 q_1}\right| \leqslant \frac{X_1^{2\delta}}{q_1 N} \leqslant \frac{X_1^{5\delta/2}}{qN} \leqslant \frac{N^{5\delta/6}}{qN}.
$$

We complete the proof.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $0 < |a_1| < |a_2| < \cdots < |a_9|$. Suppose that $P_1 = \mathcal{K}(|a_9|^2 + \cdots + |a_{10}|^2)$ $|b|^{1/3}$, where $K > 1$ is a sufficiently large constant. Then we define $P_j = (|a_1|/|a_j|)^{1/3} P_1$ for $2 \leq j \leq 9$. We write $N = |a_1| P_1^3$ and

$$
\mathcal{P} = \prod_{j=1}^{9} P_j.
$$

We define

 \Box

 \Box

We introduce the singular series

$$
\mathfrak{S}(a_1,\ldots,a_9,n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi^9(q)} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \ (a,q)=1}}^q \left(\prod_{j=1}^9 C(q,a_j a) \right) e(-an/q),
$$

where

$$
C(q, a) = \sum_{\substack{x=1 \\ (x,q)=1}}^{q} e(ax^3/q).
$$

The singular integral is given by

$$
\mathfrak{I}(n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{9} \int_{1}^{P_j} \frac{e(a_j x^3 \beta)}{\log x} dx \bigg) e(-n\beta) d\beta.
$$

We point out that

$$
\mathfrak{S}(a_1,\ldots,a_9,n)\gg 1 \quad \text{if} \quad a_1+\cdots+a_9\equiv n\pmod{2},
$$

and

 $\mathfrak{I}(n) \gg \mathcal{P}(\log N)^{-9}N^{-1}$ if a_1, \ldots, a_9 are not of the same sign.

Therefore Theorem 1.1 follows from

$$
r(n) = \mathfrak{S}(a_1,\ldots,a_9,n)\mathfrak{I}(n) + O(\mathcal{P}N^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{-1/100}),
$$

where $\mathcal{L} = (\log N)^c$ with C a sufficiently large constant. We define

$$
f_j(\alpha) = f_{P_j}(a_j \alpha) \quad (1 \leq j \leq 9),
$$

and write

$$
\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{9} f_j(\alpha).
$$

By orthogonality, we have

$$
r(n) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{F}(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha.
$$

Suppose that $1/20 < \delta < 1/18$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{M}(X) = \bigcup_{q \leq X} \bigcup_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^q \left[\frac{a}{q} - \frac{X}{qN}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{X}{qN} \right].
$$

We define

$$
\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{M}(N^{5\delta/6}) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \mathfrak{m} = \left[\frac{N^{5\delta/6}}{N}, 1 + \frac{N^{5\delta/6}}{N}\right] \setminus \mathfrak{M}(N^{5\delta/6}).
$$

We have

$$
r(n) = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{n}} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha + \int_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathcal{F}(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha.
$$

The standard argument in the Waring-Goldbach problem leads to

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{M}} F(\alpha)e(-n\alpha)d\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(a_1,\ldots,a_9,n)\mathfrak{I}(n) + O(\mathcal{P}N^{-1}\mathcal{L}^{-1/100}).\tag{4.1}
$$

Therefore it suffices to consider the contribution from the integration over $\mathfrak{m} \cup \mathfrak{n}$.

Note that $N = |a_1| P_1^3 = \cdots = |a_9| P_9^3$. For all $1 \leq j \leq 9$, we easily check

$$
P_j = (|a_1|/|a_j|)^{1/3} P_1 \ge |a_1|^{1/3} P_1^{5/6} \ge (|a_1| P_1^3)^{5/18} = N^{5/18}.
$$
\n(4.2)

Thus by Lemma 4.3, for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, there exists $2 \leqslant j \leqslant 6$ such that $f_j(\alpha) \ll P_j^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}$. We write $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \setminus$ ${j} = {k_1, k_2, k_3, k}$. Then

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{m}}|F(\alpha)|d\alpha \ll P_j^{1-\delta+\varepsilon}\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^3\int_0^1|f_1(\alpha)|^2f_{k_i}(\alpha)^6|d\alpha\bigg)^{1/6}\bigg(\prod_{i=7}^9\int_0^1|f_k(\alpha)|^2f_i(\alpha)^6|d\alpha\bigg)^{1/6}.
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, by Lemma 3.4 with $\rho = 0$, we have

$$
\int_0^1 |f_1(\alpha)|^2 f_{k_i}(\alpha)^6|d\alpha \ll N^{-1+\varepsilon} P_1^2 P_{k_i}^6.
$$

For $7 \leq i \leq 9$, by (4.2) , one has

$$
P_k^{1/2} P_i^{1/10} \geq P_1^{1/2} \geq |a_9| > |a_i|.
$$

Then we apply Lemma 3.4 with $\rho = 1/10$ to conclude that

$$
\int_0^1 |f_k(\alpha)|^2 f_i(\alpha)^6 |d\alpha \ll N^{-1+\varepsilon} P_k^2 P_i^{6+1/10} \ll N^{-1+\varepsilon} P_k^2 P_i^6 P_j^{1/10} \quad (7 \leq i \leq 9).
$$

It follows from the above that

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll P_j^{1/20 - \delta + \varepsilon} N^{-1} \mathcal{P}.
$$
\n(4.3)

We introduce the function $\Psi(\alpha)$ on $\mathfrak{M}(N^{5\delta/6})$ by taking

$$
\Psi(\alpha)=\frac{1}{q(1+N|\beta|)}
$$

when $\alpha = a/q + \beta$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^{5\delta/6}$, $(a,q) = 1$ and $|\beta| \leq N^{5\delta/6} (qN)^{-1}$. We have by Lemma 4.2 that

$$
F(\alpha) \ll \mathcal{P}(\log N)^c \Psi(\alpha)^{3.9}
$$
 for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(N^{5\delta/6}).$

In particular, one has

$$
F(\alpha) \ll \mathcal{P}(\log N)^c \mathcal{L}^{-3.9} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{n}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{n}} |F(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \mathcal{P}^{\frac{10}{39}}(\log N)^{\frac{10\alpha}{39}} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{n}} |F(\alpha)|^{\frac{29}{39}} d\alpha
$$

$$
\ll \mathcal{P}(\log N)^{c} \mathcal{L}^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(N^{5\delta/6})} \Psi(\alpha)^{2.9} d\alpha.
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{\mathfrak{n}} |F(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \mathcal{P}(\log N)^{c} \mathcal{L}^{-1} N^{-1}.
$$
\n(4.4)

We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by combining (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4).

Acknowledgements This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401154).

References

- 1 Baker A. On some Diophantine inequalities involving primes. J Reine Angew Math, 1967, 228: 166–181
- 2 Choi S K K, Kumchev A V. Mean values of Dirichlet polynomials and applications to linear equations with prime variables. Acta Arith, 2006, 132: 125–142
- 3 Choi S K K, Liu J Y. Small primes solutions to quadratic equations. Canad J Math, 2002, 54: 71–91
- 4 Choi S K K, Liu J Y. Small primes solutions to quadratic equations II. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2005, 133: 945–951
- 5 Davenport H. Sums of three positive cubes. J Lond Math Soc, 1950, 25: 339–343
- 6 Harman G, Kumchev A V. On sums of squares of primes. Math Proc Cambridge Philos Soc, 2006, 140: 1–13
- 7 Hua L K. Some results in additive number theory. Q J Math, 1938, 9: 68–80
- 8 Kumchev A V. On Weyl sums over primes and almost primes. Michigan Math J, 2006, 54: 243–268
- 9 Leung D. Small prime solutions to cubic Diophantine equations. ArXiv:0610739, 2006
- 10 Li H Z. Small prime solutions of linear ternary equations. Acta Arith, 2001, 98: 293–309
- 11 Liu J Y, Tsang K M. Small prime solutions of ternary linear equations. Acta Arith, 2005, 118: 79–100
- 12 Liu M C, Tsang K M. Small prime solutions of linear equations. In: Théorie des Nombres. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1991, 595–624
- 13 Liu M C, Tsang K M. Small prime solutions of some additive equations. Monatsh Math, 1991, 111: 147–169
- 14 Liu Z X. Small prime solutions to cubic Diophantine equations. Canad Math Bull, 2013, 56: 785–794
- 15 Vaughan R C. Sum of three cubes. Bull Lond Math Soc, 1985, 17: 17–20
- 16 Vaughan R C. The Hardy-Littlewood Method. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997
- 17 Vinogradov I M. Representation of an odd number as a sum of three primes. Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR, 1937, 15: 291–294
- 18 Zhao L L. On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and sixth powers. Proc Lond Math Soc, 2014, 108: 1593–1622