

# Semisymmetric graphs admitting primitive groups of degree $9p$

HAN Hua<sup>1,2</sup> & LU ZaiPing<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China;

<sup>2</sup>Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Email: hh1204@mail.nankai.edu.cn, lu@nankai.edu.cn

Received December 27, 2013; accepted November 17, 2014; published online May 15, 2015

**Abstract** Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected regular bipartite graph of order  $18p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Assume that  $\Gamma$  admits a group acting primitively on one of the bipartition subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Then, in this paper, it is shown that either  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive, or  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to one of 17 semisymmetric graphs which are constructed from primitive groups of degree  $9p$ .

**Keywords** edge-transitive graph, arc-transitive graph, semisymmetric graph, primitive permutation group, suborbit

**MSC(2010)** 05C25, 20B25

**Citation:** Han H, Lu Z P. Semisymmetric graphs admitting primitive groups of degree  $9p$ . *Sci China Math*, 2015, 58: 2671–2682, doi: 10.1007/s11425-015-5022-4

## 1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are assumed to be finite, simple and undirected.

For a graph  $\Gamma$ , we use  $V\Gamma$ ,  $E\Gamma$  and  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$  to denote its vertex set, edge set and automorphism group, respectively. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *vertex-transitive* or *edge-transitive* if  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$  acts transitively on  $V\Gamma$  or  $E\Gamma$ , respectively. A regular edge-transitive graph is called *semisymmetric* if it is not vertex-transitive. An *arc* in a graph  $\Gamma$  is an ordered pair of adjacent vertices. A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *arc-transitive* if  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$  acts transitively on the set of arcs in  $\Gamma$ .

The class of semisymmetric graphs was first systematically studied by Folkman [10]. Afterwards, many authors have done much work on this topic, see [1, 2, 4, 15, 24–26] for references. In particular, lots of interesting examples of such graphs were found. For example, the Folkman graph on 20 vertices, the smallest semisymmetric graph, was constructed by Folkman [10]; the Gray graph **S54**, a cubic graph of order 54, was first observed to be semisymmetric by Bouwer [1] and proved to be the smallest cubic semisymmetric graph by Malnič et al. [22]. In 1985, Iofinova and Ivanov [15] classified all bi-primitive cubic semisymmetric graphs, they proved that there are only five such graphs. Tutte's 12-cage **S126** is one of those graphs, which is the unique cubic semisymmetric graph on 126 vertices and is the fifth smallest cubic semisymmetric graph, see [4]. The reader may consult [7–9, 12, 16, 21, 23] for more examples of semisymmetric graphs.

A recent work of Han and Lu [13] suggested a feasible construction of semisymmetric graphs from primitive permutation groups. In practice, it is plausible to consider the semisymmetric graphs associated

\*Corresponding author

with those primitive permutation groups of special types or degrees. Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $k$  be a positive integer less than  $p$ . Then all primitive permutation groups of degree  $kp$  are explicitly known, see [19]. This inspires us to consider the classification problem about semisymmetric graphs (of order  $2kp$ ) arising from primitive permutation groups of degree  $kp$ , where  $k$  is a composite number. (Note that a classification was given in [8] for the semisymmetric graphs of order  $2pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct primes.) As an attempt towards the mentioned problem, we deal with in this paper the case where  $k = 9$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected regular bipartite graph of order  $18p$ . Assume that  $\Gamma$  admits a group acting transitively on  $E\Gamma$  and primitively on one of the bipartition subsets of  $\Gamma$ . We shall prove that either  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive, or  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to one of 17 semisymmetric graphs. These 17 semisymmetric graphs are either unworthy [30] or constructed from the distance partitions of several known graphs.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected graph with diameter  $d$ . For an integer  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , the *distance  $i$  graph*, denoted by  $\partial_i(\Gamma)$ , is defined as the graph on  $V\Gamma$  such that two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are at distance  $i$  from each other in  $\Gamma$ .

Our main result is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected regular graph of order  $18p$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Assume that a subgroup  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$  acts transitively on  $E\Gamma$  but not on  $V\Gamma$ . If  $G$  acts primitively on one of  $G$ -orbits on  $V\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma$  is either arc-transitive or isomorphic to one of the following semisymmetric graphs:*

- (1) Six graphs of order 54: the Gray graph **S54**,  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S54})$ ,  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S54})$ , the graph  $\Gamma_1$  defined in Example 3.1, and the graphs  $\Sigma_0^{1,3}$  and  $\Sigma_1^{1,3}$  defined in Example 3.7.
- (2) Three graphs of order 126: Tutte's 12-cage **S126**,  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S126})$  and  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S126})$ .
- (3) Eight graphs of order 342: the graphs  $\Lambda_1^{1,9}$  and  $\Lambda_2^{1,9}$  defined in Example 3.8, and the graphs  $\Pi_i^{1,3}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 6$ ) defined in Example 3.10.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph and let  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is called  *$G$ -vertex-transitive*,  *$G$ -edge-transitive* or  *$G$ -arc-transitive* if  $G$  acts transitively on its vertex set, edge set or arc set, respectively. The graph  $\Gamma$  is called a  *$G$ -semisymmetric* graph if it is regular,  $G$ -edge-transitive but not  $G$ -vertex-transitive.

Assume that  $\Gamma$  is a  $G$ -edge-transitive but not  $G$ -vertex-transitive graph, where  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite graph with bipartition subsets being the  $G$ -orbits on  $V\Gamma$ . It follows that the vertices in a same bipartition subset of  $\Gamma$  have the same valency. For convenience, we call  $\Gamma$  an  $\{l, r\}$ -*semiregular* graph if the vertices in one of the bipartition subsets have valency  $l$  and the other vertices have valency  $r$ . For a given vertex  $u \in V\Gamma$ , denote by  $\Gamma(u)$  the neighborhood of  $u$ , i.e., the set of vertices adjacent to  $u$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then the vertex-stabilizer  $G_u$  acts transitively on  $\Gamma(u)$ . Take  $w \in \Gamma(u)$ . Then each vertex of  $\Gamma$  can be written as  $u^g$  or  $w^h$  for some  $g, h \in G$ . Then, for two arbitrary vertices  $u^g$  and  $w^h$ , they are adjacent in  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $u$  and  $w^{hg^{-1}}$  are adjacent, i.e.,  $hg^{-1} \in G_w G_u$ . Moreover, it is well known and easily shown that  $\Gamma$  is connected if and only if  $\langle G_u, G_w \rangle = G$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $G$ -semisymmetric graph with bipartition  $\{U, W\}$ . Suppose that  $G$  has a subgroup  $R$  which is regular on both  $U$  and  $W$ . Take an edge  $\{u, w\} \in E\Gamma$  with  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then each vertex in  $U$  ( $W$ , resp.) can be written uniquely as  $u^x$  ( $w^x$ , resp.) for some  $x \in R$ . Set  $S = \{s \in R \mid w^s \in \Gamma(u)\}$ . Then  $u^x$  and  $w^y$  are adjacent if and only if  $yx^{-1} \in S$ . If  $R$  is abelian, then it is easily shown that  $u^x \mapsto w^{x^{-1}}$ ,  $w^x \mapsto u^{x^{-1}}$ ,  $\forall x \in R$  is an automorphism of  $\Gamma$ , which leads to the vertex-transitivity of  $\Gamma$ , refer to [8, 20].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $G$ -semisymmetric graph with bipartition  $\{U, W\}$ . Assume that  $G$  has an abelian subgroup which is regular on both  $U$  and  $W$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive.*

Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $G$ -semisymmetric graph. Suppose that  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $N$  which acts intransitively on at least one of the bipartition subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Then we define the *quotient graph*  $\Gamma_N$  to have vertices the  $N$ -orbits on  $V\Gamma$ , and two  $N$ -orbits  $B$  and  $B'$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma_N$  if and only if some  $v \in B$  and some  $v' \in B'$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma$ . It is easy to see that  $G$  induces an edge-transitive subgroup of  $\text{Aut}\Gamma_N$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected  $G$ -semisymmetric graph with  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ . Denote by  $\text{soc}(G)$  the subgroup generated by all minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ , which is called the *socle* of  $G$ . Take an edge  $\{u, w\} \in E\Gamma$  and let  $U = u^G$  and  $W = w^G$  be the  $G$ -orbits on  $V\Gamma$ . Denote respectively by  $G^U$  and  $G^W$  the restrictions of  $G$  on  $U$  and on  $W$ . The next lemma is quoted from [13].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a connected  $G$ -semisymmetric graph with bipartition  $\{U, W\}$ , where  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ . Assume that  $G^U$  is quasiprimitive, i.e., each minimal normal subgroup of  $G^U$  is transitive on  $U$ . Then one of the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph  $\mathbf{K}_{|U|,|U|}$ ;
- (2)  $G$  is faithful on both  $U$  and  $W$ , and if  $G^U$  is of affine type then  $\Gamma$  is semisymmetric if and only if  $\text{soc}(G)$  is intransitive on  $W$ ;
- (3)  $G$  is faithful on  $W$  but not faithful on  $U$ ,  $G/K \cong G^U \cong G^{\bar{W}}$ , and  $\Gamma$  is semisymmetric if further  $G^U$  is primitive, where  $K$  is the kernel of  $G$  acting on  $U$  and  $\bar{W}$  is the set of  $K$ -orbits on  $W$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite transitive permutation group on a set  $\Omega$ . The orbits of  $G$  on the cartesian product  $\Omega \times \Omega$  are the *orbitals* of  $G$ , and the diagonal orbital  $\{(\alpha, \alpha)^g \mid g \in G\}$  is said to be *trivial*. For a  $G$ -orbital  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , the set  $\Delta(\alpha) = \{\beta \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\}$  is a  $G_\alpha$ -orbit on  $\Omega$  and called a *suborbit* of  $G$  at  $\alpha$ . The *rank* of  $G$  on  $\Omega$  is the number of  $G$ -orbitals, which equals to the number of  $G_\alpha$ -orbits on  $\Omega$  for any given  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . For a  $G$ -orbital  $\Delta$ , the *paired orbital*  $\Delta^*$  is defined as  $\{(\beta, \alpha) \mid (\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta\}$ , and  $\Delta$  is said to be *self-paired* if  $\Delta^* = \Delta$ . For a self-paired  $G$ -orbital  $\Delta$ , the suborbit  $\Delta(\alpha)$  is called *self-paired*. For a non-trivial  $G$ -orbital  $\Delta$ , the *orbital bipartite graph*  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is the graph on two copies of  $\Omega$ , say  $\Omega \times \{1, 2\}$ , such that  $\{(\alpha, 1), (\beta, 2)\}$  is an edge if and only if  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta$ . Then  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is  $G$ -semisymmetric, where  $G$  acts on  $\Omega \times \{1, 2\}$  as follows:

$$(\alpha, i)^g = (\alpha^g, i), \quad g \in G, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If  $\Delta$  is self-paired, then  $(\alpha, 1) \leftrightarrow (\alpha, 2)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Omega$  gives an automorphism of  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$ , which yields that  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is  $G$ -arc-transitive. Moreover, the next lemma is easily shown, see also [11].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume that  $\Gamma$  is a connected  $G$ -semisymmetric graph of valency at least 2 with bipartition subsets  $U$  and  $W$ , and that, for an edge  $\{u, w\} \in E\Gamma$ , the two stabilizers  $G_u$  and  $G_w$  are conjugate in  $G$ . Then there is a bijection  $\iota : U \leftrightarrow W$  such that  $G_u = G_{\iota(u)}$  and  $\{u, \iota(u)\} \notin E\Gamma$  for all  $u \in U$ . Moreover,*

$$\Delta = \{(u, \iota^{-1}(w)) \mid \{u, w\} \in E\Gamma, u \in U, w \in W\}$$

*is a  $G$ -orbital on  $U$ . In particular,  $\Gamma \cong B(U, \Delta)$ , and  $\iota$  extends to an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is self-paired.*

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  and  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Then  $\{G_u \mid u \in U\} = \{G_w \mid w \in W\}$ , and so  $\bigcap_{u \in U} G_u = \bigcap_{w \in W} G_w = 1$  as  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$ . Thus  $G$  is faithful on both parts of  $\Gamma$ . Take  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$  with  $G_u = G_w$ . Then  $u^g \leftrightarrow w^g$ ,  $g \in G$  gives a bijection meeting the requirement of Lemma 2.3. Thus one can define  $l^2$  bijections  $\iota$ , where  $l$  is the number of the points in  $U$  fixed by a stabilizer  $G_u$ . By [6, Theorem 4.2A],  $l = |\mathbf{N}_G(G_u) : G_u|$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite transitive permutation group on  $\Omega$  and  $\Delta$  be a  $G$ -orbital. If  $\Delta$  is self-paired, then  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is arc-transitive. The next lemma indicates it is possible that  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is arc-transitive even if  $\Delta$  is not self-paired.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a permutation group on  $\Omega$  and let  $G$  be a transitive subgroup of  $X$  with index  $|X : G| = 2$ . Let  $\Delta$  be a  $G$ -orbital. If  $\Delta \cup \Delta^*$  is an  $X$ -orbital, then  $B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is arc-transitive.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\Delta \cup \Delta^*$  is an  $X$ -orbital. To show  $\Gamma := B(\Omega, \Delta)$  is arc-transitive, it suffices to find an automorphism of  $\Gamma$  which interchanges two bipartition subsets of  $\Gamma$ . Take  $x \in X \setminus G$ . It is easily shown that  $\Delta^x = \Delta^*$  and  $(\Delta^*)^x = \Delta$ . Define  $\hat{x} : \Omega \times \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \Omega \times \{1, 2\}$ ,  $(\alpha, 1) \mapsto (\alpha^x, 2)$ ,  $(\beta, 2) \mapsto (\beta^x, 1)$ . It is easy to check that  $\hat{x} \in \text{Aut}\Gamma$ , and so the lemma follows. □

The next result is a special version of [8, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a  $G$ -semisymmetric graph with bipartition  $\{U, W\}$ . Assume that  $G$  has an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 2 such that  $G_u^\sigma = G_w$  for some  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . If all  $G_u$ -orbits on  $W$  have distinct lengths, then  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive.

### 3 Some semisymmetric graphs of order $18p$

In this section, we construct the semisymmetric graphs involved in Theorem 1.1.

We first give several semisymmetric graphs arising from the distance partitions of **S54** and **S126**. In particular, we shall show that  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S54})$ ,  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S54})$ ,  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S126})$  and  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S126})$  are (non-isomorphic) semisymmetric graphs.

For a prime power  $q$  and a positive integer  $d$ , we denote respectively by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q^d$  the field of order  $q$  and the  $d$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $U = \mathbb{F}_3^3$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  a basis of  $U$ . Let  $W = \{\mathbf{y} + \langle e_i \rangle \mid \mathbf{y} \in U, 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$ , which consists of 27 1-dimensional affine subspaces of  $U$ . Take 5 subsets of  $W$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_0 &:= \{\langle e_i \rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\}, \\ \Delta_1 &:= \{\pm e_2 + \langle e_1 \rangle, \pm e_3 + \langle e_2 \rangle, \pm e_1 + \langle e_3 \rangle\}, \\ \Delta_2 &:= \{\pm e_3 + \langle e_1 \rangle, \pm e_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle, \pm e_2 + \langle e_3 \rangle\}, \\ \Delta_3 &:= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j + \langle e_k \rangle \mid \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}, \\ \Delta_4 &:= \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2.\end{aligned}$$

For each  $s$  with  $0 \leq s \leq 4$ , define a bipartite graph  $\Gamma_s$  with bipartition  $\{U, W\}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{y} + \langle e_i \rangle \in W$  are adjacent in  $\Gamma_s$  if and only if  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} + \langle e_i \rangle \in \Delta_s$ . Clearly, these graphs have valency 3, 6, 6, 12 and 12, respectively. Moreover,  $\Gamma_4$  is the edge-disjoint union of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , and it is easy to check that  $\Gamma_3 = \partial_5(\Gamma_0)$  and  $\Gamma_4 = \partial_3(\Gamma_0)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** The graphs given in Example 3.1 are all semisymmetric. Moreover,  $\Gamma_1 \cong \Gamma_2$ ,  $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbf{S54}$  and  $\Gamma_3 \not\cong \Gamma_4$ .

*Proof.* We continue the notation used in Example 3.1. Take  $h_0, h_1, h_2 \in \text{GL}(3, 3)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}e_1^{h_0} &= e_2, & e_2^{h_0} &= e_3, & e_3^{h_0} &= e_1, \\ e_1^{h_1} &= -e_1, & e_2^{h_1} &= e_2, & e_3^{h_1} &= e_3, \\ e_1^{h_2} &= e_1, & e_2^{h_2} &= e_3, & e_3^{h_2} &= e_2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Set  $H = \langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle$  and  $H_1 = \langle h_1, h_0 \rangle$ . Then both  $H$  and  $H_1$  are irreducible subgroups of  $\text{GL}(3, 3)$ . Let  $N$  be the group consisting of all affine transformations of the form  $\tau_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{F}_3^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^3$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$ . Then we get two primitive permutation groups  $G = N \rtimes H$  and  $G_1 = N \rtimes H_1$  (on  $U$ ). Define an action of  $G$  on  $W$  by

$$(\mathbf{y} + \langle e_i \rangle)^{\tau_{\mathbf{x}}} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x} + \langle e_i \rangle, \quad (\mathbf{y} + \langle e_i \rangle)^h = \mathbf{y}^h + \langle e_i^h \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in U, \quad h \in H.\tag{3.2}$$

It is easily shown that  $G$  is transitive on  $E\Gamma_0$ ,  $E\Gamma_3$  and  $E\Gamma_4$ , and that  $G_1$  is transitive on  $E\Gamma_0$ ,  $E\Gamma_1$ ,  $E\Gamma_2$  and  $E\Gamma_3$ . Note that  $\text{soc}(G_1) = \text{soc}(G) = N$  and  $N$  is intransitive on  $W$ . By Lemma 2.2(2), every graph  $\Gamma_s$  is semisymmetric. Moreover, it is easily shown that  $h_2$  gives an isomorphism from  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$ .

It is known that, up to isomorphism, the Gray graph **S54** is the unique cubic semisymmetric graph of order 54 (see [4]). Thus  $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbf{S54}$ . Finally, since  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  have different diameters (confirmed by Magma),  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  are not isomorphic to each other. This completes bipartite the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  be defined as in Example 3.1.

(1) The graphs  $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  give a factorization of complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_{27,27}$ .

(2) By the argument given in Section 4, we conclude that  $\text{Aut}\Gamma_0 = \text{Aut}\Gamma_3 = \text{Aut}\Gamma_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{S}_4)$ , and  $\text{Aut}\Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^2 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{A}_4)$ . (Confirmed also by Magma.)

It is well known that Tutte's 12-cage **S126** is a cubic semisymmetric graph with automorphism group isomorphic to  $\text{PGU}(3, 3)$ . In Example 3.4, we give a construction for **S126** based on the argument in [3, p. 383, Subsection 12.4].

**Example 3.4.** Equip  $V = \mathbb{F}_9^3$  with the standard unitary inner product

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = x_1y_1^3 + x_2y_2^3 + x_3y_3^3, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V.$$

A non-zero vector  $\mathbf{x} \in V$  is called non-isotropic if  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \neq 0$ . Then  $V$  has 504 non-isotropic vectors. These vectors span 63 1-dimensional subspaces (non-isotropic points in  $\text{PG}(2, 3)$ ). Let  $U$  be the set of these subspaces. Define a graph  $\Phi$  on  $U$  such that  $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle, \langle \mathbf{y} \rangle \in U$  are adjacent if and only if  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ . Then  $\text{Aut}\Phi = \text{PGU}(3, 3)$ , and  $\Phi$  is a distance-transitive graph with valency 6 and diameter 3. Moreover,  $\Phi$  has exactly 63 triangles. Note that the vertex set of each triangle consists of three mutually orthogonal members in  $U$ , which is called an *orthogonal frame* of  $V$ . Let  $W$  be the set of these orthogonal frames. Then Tutte's 12-cage **S126** can be constructed on  $U \cup W$  such that  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$  are adjacent if and only if  $u \in w$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\Sigma = \mathbf{S126}$  be constructed as in Example 3.4. Then  $\partial_3(\Sigma)$  and  $\partial_5(\Sigma)$  are semisymmetric graphs of valency 12 and 48, respectively. In particular,  $\text{Aut}\Sigma = \text{Aut}\partial_3(\Sigma) = \text{Aut}\partial_5(\Sigma) \cong \text{PGU}(3, 3)$ .

*Proof.* We continue the notation used in Example 3.4 and, without loss of generality, write  $\text{Aut}\Sigma = \text{PGU}(3, 3)$ . Note that  $\Sigma$  has valency 3, diameter 6 and girth 12. It is easily shown that for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  the distance  $i$  graph  $\partial_i(\Sigma)$  has valency  $3 \cdot 2^{i-1}$ , and it is connected if and only if  $i$  is odd. Clearly,  $\text{Aut}\Sigma \leq \text{Aut}\partial_i(\Sigma)$ . Let  $A = \text{Aut}\Sigma$ .

By the information given in [4] for the distance partitions of  $\Sigma = \mathbf{S126}$ , we know that  $\Sigma$  is locally distance transitive, i.e., for every  $v \in V\Sigma$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , the stabilizer  $A_v$  acts transitively on the vertices at distance  $i$  from  $v$ . It follows that both  $\partial_3(\Sigma)$  and  $\partial_5(\Sigma)$  are  $A$ -edge-transitive.

Let  $\Gamma = \partial_3(\Sigma)$  or  $\partial_5(\Sigma)$ , and let  $X$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$  which preserves the bipartition of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $X \geq A = \text{PGU}(3, 3)$ . Checking the subgroups of  $\text{PGU}(3, 3)$  (see [5]), we know that, for  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ , the stabilizers  $A_u$  and  $A_w$  are non-conjugate maximal subgroups in  $A$ . In particular,  $A$  and hence  $X$  acts primitively on both  $U$  and  $W$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is not a complete bipartite graph, it is easily shown that  $X$  acts faithfully on both  $U$  and  $W$ . Note that all primitive permutation groups of degree 63 are listed in Table 1. It follows that  $X = A$ .

Suppose that  $\text{Aut}\Gamma \neq A$ . Then  $|\text{Aut}\Gamma : A| = 2$ , and so  $\text{Aut}\Gamma = A.\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Note that  $\text{soc}(A) = \text{PSU}(3, 3)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $A$ . It follows that  $\text{soc}(A)$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$ . Then

$$\text{Aut}\Gamma / \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A)) = \mathbf{N}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A)) / \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A))$$

is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\text{soc}(A))$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(\text{soc}(A)) \cong A$  by the Atlas [5], it follows that  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A)) \neq 1$ . Since  $\text{soc}(A)$  is a non-abelian simple group, we know that

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A)) \cap \text{soc}(A) = 1.$$

It implies that  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\text{Aut}\Gamma = \text{soc}(A) \times \mathbf{C}_{\text{Aut}\Gamma}(\text{soc}(A))$ . It follows that there is an involution  $g \in \text{Aut}\Gamma$  which centralizes  $A$  and interchanges  $U$  and  $W$ . For  $u \in U$ , we have that  $w := u^g \in W$  and  $A_w = (A_u)^g = A_u$ , which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $A = \text{Aut}\Gamma$ , and hence  $\Gamma$  is semisymmetric. This completes the proof. □

We remark that Tutte's 12-cage **S126**,  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S126})$  and  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S126})$  form a factorization of the complete bipartite graph  $\mathbf{K}_{63,63}$ .

A graph is said to be worthy if no two vertices have the same neighborhood [30]. If  $\Gamma$  is a worthy connected bipartite graph, then it is easily shown that  $\text{Aut}\Gamma$  acts faithfully on both bipartition subsets of  $\Gamma$ . For the rest of this section, we shall construct several unworthy semisymmetric graphs.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$ . Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , where  $m \geq 1$ . Construct a bipartite graph  $\Sigma^{1,m}$  with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W} \times \Omega\}$  such that  $u \in U$  and  $(\bar{w}, i) \in \bar{W} \times \Omega$  are

**Table 1** Primitive permutation groups of degree  $9p$

Line	Degree $9p$	$T := \text{soc}(X)$	Actions of $T$	Remark
1	45	$\text{PSL}(2, 9)$	cosets of $D_8$	$S_6 \not\cong X \leq T.\mathbb{Z}_2^2$
2	153	$\text{PSL}(2, 17)$	cosets of $D_{16}$	
3	$\frac{(c-1)c}{2}$	$A_c$	2-subsets	$c \in \{10, 18, 19\}$
4	27	$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	isotropic lines	$T \cong \text{O}^-(6, 2)$
5	45	$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	isotropic points	
6	63	$\text{Sp}(6, 2)$	points	
7	171	$\text{PSL}(2, 19)$	cosets of $D_{20}$	
8	369	$\text{PSL}(2, 3^4)$	cosets of $\text{PGL}(2, 9)$	
9	117	$\text{PSL}(3, 3)$	anti-flags of $\text{PG}(2, 3)$	$X = T.\mathbb{Z}_2$
10	657	$\text{PSL}(3, 8)$	flags of $\text{PG}(2, 8)$	$X = T.\mathbb{Z}_2, T.\mathbb{Z}_6$
11	63	$\text{PSU}(3, 3)$	non-isotropic points; bases	
12	117	$\text{O}^+(6, 3)$	one of $T$ -orbits on non-isotropic points	$X = T, \text{PGO}^+(6, 3)$ $T \cong \text{PSL}(4, 3)$
13	$9p$	$A_{9p}$	natural action	2-transitive
14	18	$\text{PSL}(2, 17)$	points	2-transitive
15	$2^e + 1$	$\text{PSL}(2, 2^e)$	points	$e = 3r$ , odd prime $r$ 2-transitive
16	63	$\text{PSL}(6, 2)$	points hyperplanes	2-transitive 2-transitive

adjacent if and only if  $\{u, \bar{w}\} \in E\Sigma$ . Such a construction was used in [8, 28] to construct semisymmetric graphs. Let  $g \in \text{Aut}\Sigma$ . Then  $g$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $\Sigma^{1,m}$ , which acts on  $U$  in the same way as  $g$  on  $U$  and acts on  $\bar{W} \times \Omega$  as follows:  $(\bar{w}, i)^g = (\bar{w}^g, i)$ ,  $\bar{w} \in \bar{W}, i \in \Omega$ . For each  $\sigma \in S_m$ , we may define an automorphism of  $\Sigma^{1,m}$ , which acts on  $U$  trivially and acts on  $\bar{W} \times \Omega$  as follows:  $(\bar{w}, i) \mapsto (\bar{w}, i^\sigma)$ ,  $\bar{w} \in \bar{W}, i \in \Omega$ . Then  $\text{Aut}\Sigma^{1,m}$  contains a subgroup  $\text{Aut}\Sigma \times S_m$  which acts on  $U \cup (\bar{W} \times \Omega)$  by

$$u^{(g,\sigma)} = u^g, \quad (\bar{w}, i)^{(g,\sigma)} = (\bar{w}^g, i^\sigma), \quad g \in \text{Aut}\Sigma, \quad \sigma \in S_m, \quad u \in U, \quad \bar{w} \in \bar{W}, \quad i \in \Omega.$$

Thus  $\Sigma^{1,m}$  is edge-transitive provided that  $\Sigma$  is edge-transitive. Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is worthy then it is easily shown that  $\text{Aut}\Sigma^{1,m} = \text{Aut}\Sigma \times S_m$ . Then we may formulate a result as follows from the observations made in [8, 28].

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$ .*

- (1) *If  $\Sigma$  is edge-transitive then  $\Sigma^{1,m}$  is edge-transitive.*
- (2) *If  $m > 1$  and no two vertices in  $U$  have the same neighborhood, then  $\Sigma^{1,m}$  is not vertex-transitive.*
- (3) *If  $\Sigma$  is worthy then  $\text{Aut}\Sigma^{1,m} = \text{Aut}\Sigma \times S_m$ .*

**Example 3.7.** Let  $U$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  be as in Example 3.1. Set  $U_1 = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$ ,  $U_2 = \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle$  and  $U_3 = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ . Let

$$\bar{W} = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 \{U_i, e_i + U_i, -e_i + U_i\}.$$

Define a bipartite graph  $\Sigma_0$  with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in U$  and  $\mathbf{y} + U_i \in \bar{W}$  are adjacent if and only if  $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \in U_i$ . Let  $\Sigma_1$  be the complement graph of  $\Sigma_0$  in the complete bipartite graph with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$ . Then  $\Sigma_0$  is  $\{3, 9\}$ -semiregular and  $\Sigma_0$  is  $\{6, 18\}$ -semiregular.

By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that both  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  admit an edge-transitive group isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_3^3 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_4)$ , which acts primitively on  $U$ . Moreover,

- (1) both  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  are worthy, and  $\text{Aut}\Sigma_0 = \text{Aut}\Sigma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_4)$  (confirmed by Magma); and

(2) by Lemma 2.2 or Lemma 3.6, the graphs  $\Sigma_0^{1,3}$  and  $\Sigma_1^{1,3}$  are semisymmetric and of order 54, which have valency 9 and 18, respectively; and

(3)  $\text{Aut}\Sigma_0^{1,3} = \text{Aut}\Sigma_1^{1,3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3 \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{S}_4) \times \mathbf{S}_3$  by Lemma 3.6.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\bar{W} = \{1, 2, \dots, 19\}$ , and let  $U$  be the set of 2-subsets of  $\bar{W}$ . Let  $T = \mathbf{A}_{19}$ . Then  $T$  acts primitively on both  $U$  and  $\bar{W}$ . For  $\{i, j\} \in U$ , the stabilizer  $T_{\{i,j\}}$  has exactly two orbits on  $\bar{W}$ , which are  $\{i, j\}$  and  $\bar{W} \setminus \{i, j\}$ .

Define a bipartite graph  $\Lambda_1$  with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$  such that  $u \in U$  and  $w \in \bar{W}$  are adjacent in  $\Lambda_1$  if and only if  $w \in u$ . (Note that  $\Lambda_1$  is just the vertex-edge incidence graph of the complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_{19}$ .) Let  $\Lambda_2$  be the complement graph of  $\Lambda_1$  in the complete bipartite graph  $\mathbf{K}_{171,19}$ . Then both  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are worthy  $T$ -edge-transitive graphs. Moreover, the following statements hold.

(1) By Lemma 2.2 or Lemma 3.6, the graphs  $\Lambda_1^{1,9}$  and  $\Lambda_2^{1,9}$  are semisymmetric and of order 342, which have valency 18 and 153, respectively.

(2)  $\text{Aut}\Lambda_1 = \text{Aut}\Lambda_2 \cong \mathbf{S}_{19}$ , and so  $\text{Aut}\Lambda_1^{1,9} = \text{Aut}\Lambda_2^{1,9} \cong \mathbf{S}_{19} \times \mathbf{S}_9$  by Lemma 3.6.

By [5], the simple group  $\text{PSL}(2, 19)$  has two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_5$ . Take  $\bar{W}$  as one of these two conjugacy classes. Define a graph on  $\bar{W}$  by letting  $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2 \in \bar{W}$  be adjacent whenever  $\bar{w}_1 \cap \bar{w}_2 \cong \text{D}_{10}$ . Then this graph, called the Perkel graph, is a distance-transitive graph with automorphism group  $\text{PSL}(2, 19)$ , order 57 and intersection array  $\{6, 5, 2; 1, 1, 3\}$ , refer to [3, p. 401, Subsection 13.3].

**Lemma 3.9.** Assume that  $\Sigma$  is the Perkel graph constructed as above. Let  $G = \text{Aut}\Sigma$  and  $\bar{w} \in V\Sigma$ . Then  $G_{\bar{w}}$  has exactly 6 orbits on  $E\Sigma$ : one has length 6, one has length 15, three have length 30 and one has length 60.

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , denote by  $\Sigma_i(\bar{w})$  the set of vertices at distance  $i$  from  $\bar{w}$ . Then  $|\Sigma_1(\bar{w})| = 6$ ,  $|\Sigma_2(\bar{w})| = 30$  and  $|\Sigma_3(\bar{w})| = 20$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $j = i$  or  $i - 1$ , denote by  $\Sigma_{j,i}$  the subgraph of  $\Sigma$  induced by  $\Sigma_j(\bar{w}) \cup \Sigma_i(\bar{w})$ , where  $\Sigma_0(\bar{w}) = \{\bar{w}\}$ . Let  $E_{j,i}$  be the edge set of  $\Sigma_{j,i}$ . Then  $E_{0,1}, E_{1,2}, E_{2,2}, E_{2,3}$  and  $E_{3,3}$  form a partition of  $E\Sigma$ . It is easily shown that  $|E_{0,1}| = 6$ ,  $|E_{1,2}| = 30$ ,  $|E_{2,2}| = 45$ ,  $|E_{2,3}| = 60$  and  $|E_{3,3}| = 30$ .

Let  $H = G_{\bar{w}}$ . Then  $H \cong \mathbf{A}_5$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is distance-transitive,  $H$  acts transitively on each  $\Sigma_i(\bar{w})$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . In particular,  $H$  is transitive on  $E_{0,1}$ . Note that  $H$  is 2-transitive on  $\Sigma_1(\bar{w})$ . It follows that  $G$  acts transitively on the directed 2-paths of  $\Sigma$ . Then  $H$  is transitive on those 2-paths from  $\bar{w}$ , and hence  $H$  is transitive on  $E_{1,2}$ . By the construction of  $\Sigma$ , we know that, for an edge  $\{\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2\}$  of  $\Sigma$ , the arc-stabilizer  $G_{\bar{w}_1\bar{w}_2}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{D}_{10}$ . Thus, for an element  $h \in H$  of order 3, if  $h \in G_{\bar{w}_1}$  then  $h$  does not fix  $\bar{w}_2$ . Using such an observation, it is easily shown that  $H$  is transitive on each of  $E_{2,3}$  and  $E_{3,3}$ . Then we get 4  $H$ -orbits on  $E\Sigma$ , which have length 6, 30, 60 and 30, respectively.

Consider that action of  $H$  on  $E_{2,2}$ . Take  $\bar{v} \in \Sigma_2(\bar{w})$ . Since  $H$  is transitive on  $\Sigma_2(\bar{w})$ , we know that  $|H_{\bar{v}}| = \frac{|H|}{|\Sigma_2(\bar{w})|} = 2$ . Note that  $\Sigma_1(\bar{w})$  contains a unique vertex, say  $\bar{u}$ , adjacent to  $\bar{v}$ . Then  $H_{\bar{v}}$  fixes  $\bar{u}$ , and so  $H_{\bar{v}} < G_{\bar{u}\bar{v}} \cong \text{D}_{10}$ . Set  $H_{\bar{v}} = \langle k \rangle$ . Then  $G_{\bar{u}\bar{v}} = \langle h, k \rangle$  for some  $h$  of order 5, and  $khk = h^{-1}$ . Since  $G_{\bar{v}}$  is faithful on  $\Sigma_1(\bar{v})$ , writing  $h$  and  $k$  as permutations on  $\Sigma_1(\bar{v})$ , we know that  $h$  is a 5-cycle and  $k$  is a product of two disjoint transpositions. It follows that  $k$  interchanges two of the three vertices contained in  $\Sigma_1(\bar{v}) \cap \Sigma_2(\bar{w})$ . It implies that one of the  $H$ -orbits on  $E_{2,2}$  has length at least 30. Since 45 is not a divisor of  $|H|$ , we know that  $H$  has at least two orbits on  $E_{2,2}$ . Note that a vertex-transitive non-empty graph of order 30 has at least 15 edges. It follows that  $H$  has exactly two orbits on  $E_{2,2}$ , which have length 30 and 15, respectively. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 3.10.** Let  $\Sigma$  be the Perkel graph. Set  $G = \text{Aut}\Sigma$  and take  $\bar{w} \in V\Sigma$ . Then, for an edge  $\{\bar{w}, \bar{v}\}$ , the edge-stabilizer  $G_{\{\bar{w}, \bar{v}\}} \cong G_{\bar{w}\bar{v}}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \text{D}_{20}$ , which is a maximal subgroup of  $G = \text{PSL}(2, 19)$ . Thus  $G$  acts primitively on  $E\Sigma$ . Assume that  $\Delta_i(\bar{w})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , are the six  $G_{\bar{w}}$ -orbits on  $E\Sigma$ . Without loss of generality, let  $|\Delta_1(\bar{w})| = 6$ ,  $|\Delta_2(\bar{w})| = 15$ ,  $|\Delta_3(\bar{w})| = |\Delta_4(\bar{w})| = |\Delta_5(\bar{w})| = 30$  and  $|\Delta_6(\bar{w})| = 60$ .

Let  $U = E\Sigma$  and  $\bar{W} = V\Sigma$ . Then  $\bar{W} = \{\bar{w}^g \mid g \in G\}$ . For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , define a worthy bipartite graph  $\Pi_i$  with bipartition  $\{U, \bar{W}\}$  such  $u \in U$  and  $\bar{w}^g \in \bar{W}$  are adjacent if and only if  $u^{g^{-1}} \in \Delta_i(\bar{w})$ . Then every graph  $\Pi_i$  is  $G$ -edge-transitive. The graph  $\Pi_1$  is the vertex-edge incidence

graph of the Perkel graph, which has girth 10 and diameter 8. Two of the three  $\{10, 30\}$ -semiregular graphs, say  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi_5$ , are respectively the distance 3 and distance 7 graphs of  $\Pi_1$ , and the graphs  $\Pi_2$ ,  $\Pi_3$  and  $\Pi_6$  form a factorization of the distance 5 graph of  $\Pi_1$ . Moreover, we have the following statements.

- (1) The three  $\{10, 30\}$ -semiregular graphs  $\Pi_3$ ,  $\Pi_4$  and  $\Pi_5$  are not isomorphic to every other (confirmed by Magma); and
- (2) By Lemma 2.2 or 3.6, the six graphs  $\Pi_i^{1,3}$  are semisymmetric and of order 342, which have valency 6, 15, 30, 30, 30 and 60, respectively; and
- (3)  $\text{Aut}\Pi_i = \text{PSL}(2, 9)$ , and so  $\text{Aut}\Pi_1^{1,3} = \text{PSL}(2, 9) \times \mathcal{S}_3$  by Lemma 3.6, where  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ .

### 4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give a proof Theorem 1.1. Our argument is based on analyzing the primitive permutation groups of degrees  $9p$  and  $3p$ .

For a positive integer  $k < p$ , all primitive permutation groups of degree  $kp$  are explicitly known by [18,19]. Let  $X$  be a primitive permutation group of degree  $9p$  or  $3p$ . Combining with [6, Appendix B], either  $p = 3$  and  $X$  is of affine type, or  $X$  is one of almost simple groups listed in Tables 1 and 2.

In the following, we assume that  $\Gamma$  is a connected  $G$ -semisymmetric graph of order  $18p$ , where  $G \leq \text{Aut}\Gamma$  and  $p$  is a prime. Let  $U$  and  $W$  be the orbits of  $G$  acting on  $V\Gamma$ . Assume that one of  $G^U$  and  $G^W$  is primitive. Without loss of generality, we assume further that  $G^U$  is primitive and that  $\Gamma$  is not a complete bipartite graph. By Lemma 2.2,  $G$  is faithful on  $W$ , i.e.,  $G^W \cong G$ .

Lemma 4.1 says that Theorem 1.1 holds while  $G^U$  is of affine type.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Assume that  $G^U$  is an affine primitive group. Then  $\Gamma$  is either arc-transitive or isomorphic to one of the graphs given in Examples 3.1 and 3.7.*

*Proof.* Since  $G^U$  is of affine type,  $\text{soc}(G^U) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ . Identify  $U$  with the 3-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Write  $G^U = N \rtimes H$ , where  $H$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\text{GL}(3, 3)$ , and  $N = \text{soc}(G^U)$  consists of the affine transformations of the form  $\tau_x : \mathbb{F}_3^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^3, \mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$ . Let  $u$  be the vertex corresponding to the zero vector. Then  $H = (G^U)_u$ .

Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $G$  acting on  $U$ . Then  $K$  is faithful on  $W$ . Consider the quotient graph  $\Sigma := \Gamma_K$  with respect to  $K$ . Identifying  $G^U$  with a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}\Sigma$ , the graph  $\Sigma$  is  $G^U$ -edge-transitive. Since  $G$  is transitive on  $W$  and  $K$  is normal in  $G$ , all  $K$ -orbits on  $W$  have the same length, say  $m$ . Then either  $K = 1$  or  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma^{1,m}$ .

Let  $\bar{W}$  be the set of  $K$ -orbits on  $W$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is not a complete bipartite graph,  $G^U$  is faithful on  $\bar{W}$  by Lemma 2.2. Suppose that  $N$  is transitive on  $\bar{W}$ . It is easily shown that  $N$  is regular on  $\bar{W}$ , and hence  $K = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma$  is arc-transitive. Thus we assume further that  $N$  is intransitive on  $\bar{W}$ ; in this case,  $\Gamma$  must be semisymmetric by Lemma 2.2.

**Table 2** Primitive permutation groups of degree  $3p$  (refer to [12])

Degree $3p$	$X$	Action or Remark
6	$A_5, S_5$	cosets of $D_{10}$ in $A_5$
15	$A_6, S_6$	2-subsets
21	$A_7, S_7$	2-subsets
21	$\text{PSL}(3, 2).Z_2$	point-line incident pairs
57	$\text{PSL}(2, 19)$	cosets of $A_5$ (two actions)
15	$A_7$	cosets of $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ (two actions)
$3p$	$A_{3p}, S_{3p}$	
15	$\text{PSL}(4, 2)$	points, hyperplanes
$2^e + 1$	$\text{PSL}(2, 2^e), \text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(2, 2^e)$	points; odd prime $e$
$q^2 + q + 1$	$\text{PSL}(3, q).O$	points, hyperplanes; $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , $q = r^e$ , prime $r,  O  \mid 3e$



Let  $l$  be the number of  $N$ -orbits on  $\bar{W}$ . Then  $l$  is a proper divisor of  $|\bar{W}| = \frac{27}{m}$  as  $N$  is intransitive on  $\bar{W}$ . Let  $p$  be an arbitrary prime divisor of  $H$  and let  $h \in H$  be of order  $p$ . Since  $G^U$  acts faithfully on  $\bar{W}$ , we know that either  $\langle h \rangle$  is faithful on the set of  $N$ -orbits on  $\bar{W}$ , or  $\langle h \rangle$  fixes every  $N$ -orbit set-wise and acts faithfully on at least one of  $N$ -orbits. It follows that  $p$  is a divisor of  $l!$  or  $(\frac{27}{lm})!$ , and hence  $p < 9$ . Since  $H \leq \text{GL}(3, 3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{PSL}(3, 3)$ , checking the subgroups of  $\text{PSL}(3, 3)$  in the Atlas [5], we conclude that  $H$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{S}_4$ .

Since  $G^U$  acts transitively on  $\bar{W}$ , we know that  $H$  acts transitively on the  $l$  orbits of  $N$  acting on  $\bar{W}$ . Recall that  $l$  is a proper divisor of  $\frac{27}{m}$ . Then  $l = 3$  or  $9$ . Since  $|H|$  is not divisible by  $9$ , we know that  $l = 3$ . Let  $\bar{W}_1, \bar{W}_2$  and  $\bar{W}_3$  be the  $N$ -orbits on  $\bar{W}$ . Then  $|\bar{W}_1| = |\bar{W}_2| = |\bar{W}_3| = \frac{9}{m}$ , and  $m = 1$  or  $3$ . For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , considering the action of  $N$  on  $\bar{W}_i$ , there is a subspace  $U_i$  of  $U$  such that  $\langle \tau_x \mid x \in U_i \rangle$  is the kernel of  $N$  acting on  $\bar{W}_i$ . Recall that  $H$  is transitive on  $\{\bar{W}_1, \bar{W}_2, \bar{W}_3\}$ . It is easily shown that  $U_i \neq U_j$  for all  $i \neq j$  as  $N$  is faithful on  $\bar{W}$ , and that  $H$  acts transitively on  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ . Noting that  $|\bar{W}_i| = |U : U_i|$ , we have  $|U_i| = 3m$ .

**Case 1.** Let  $m = 1$ . Then  $K = 1$ ,  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma$  and  $|U_i| = 3$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . In particular, each  $U_i$  is a 1-dimensional subspace of  $U$ , and so we may let  $U_i = \langle e_i \rangle$  for a non-zero vector  $e_i \in U$ . Recall that  $H$  is transitive on  $\{\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_3 \rangle\}$ . Then, since  $H$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\text{GL}(3, 3)$ , we know that  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is a basis of  $U$ . Identifying  $W$  with the set  $\{y + \langle e_i \rangle \mid y \in U, 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$  of 27 1-dimensional affine subspaces of  $U$ , the action of  $G$  on  $W$  is given by

$$(y + \langle e_i \rangle)^{\tau^x} = y + x + \langle e_i \rangle, \quad (y + \langle e_i \rangle)^h = y^h + \langle e_i^h \rangle, \quad x, y \in U, \quad h \in H.$$

Take  $h_0, h_1, h_2 \in \text{GL}(3, 3)$  satisfying (3.1). Then  $\langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{S}_4$ . Without of generality, we assume that  $H$  contains  $h_0$ . Since  $H$  fixes  $\{\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_3 \rangle\}$  set-wise, it is easily shown that  $H \leq \langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle$ . Analyzing the irreducible subgroups of  $\langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle$ , we conclude that  $H$  is one of the following groups:

$$\langle h_1, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_2, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_1 h_1^{h_0} h_1^{h_0^2} h_2, h_0 \rangle.$$

Consider the orbits of  $H$  on  $W$ . If  $H = \langle h_1, h_0 \rangle$  or  $\langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_0 \rangle$  then  $H$  has 4 orbits on  $W$ , which are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &:= \{\langle e_i \rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\}, \\ \Delta_1 &:= \{\pm e_2 + \langle e_1 \rangle, \pm e_3 + \langle e_2 \rangle, \pm e_1 + \langle e_3 \rangle\}, \\ \Delta_2 &:= \{\pm e_3 + \langle e_1 \rangle, \pm e_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle, \pm e_2 + \langle e_3 \rangle\}, \\ \Delta_3 &:= \{\pm e_i \pm e_j + \langle e_k \rangle \mid \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $H$  is one of  $\langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_2, h_0 \rangle$  and  $\langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_1 h_1^{h_0} h_1^{h_0^2} h_2, h_0 \rangle$  then  $H$  has 3 orbits on  $W$ , which are  $\Delta_0, \Delta_3$  and  $\Delta_4 := \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ . It follows that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to one of the semisymmetric graphs given in Example 3.1.

**Case 2.** Let  $m = 3$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma^{1,3}$ . In this case, every  $U_i$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $U$ , and hence for  $i \neq j$  the intersection  $U_i \cap U_j$  is 1-dimensional. Set  $\langle e_1 \rangle = U_2 \cap U_3, \langle e_2 \rangle = U_1 \cap U_3$  and  $\langle e_3 \rangle = U_1 \cap U_2$ . Then  $\langle e_i \rangle \neq \langle e_j \rangle$  for all  $i \neq j$ ; otherwise,  $\langle e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2 \rangle = \langle e_3 \rangle$  is  $H$ -invariant, a contradiction. Noting that  $H$  is transitive on  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ , it follows that  $H$  acts transitively on  $\{\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_3 \rangle\}$ . Thus  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is a basis of  $U$ . To determine  $\Sigma$ , we identify  $\bar{W}$  with the set  $\{y + U_i \mid y \in U, 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$ . Then  $|\bar{W}| = 9$  and the action of  $G^U$  on  $W$  is given by

$$(y + U_i)^{\tau^x} = y + x + U_i, \quad (y + U_i)^h = y^h + U_i^h, \quad x, y \in U, \quad h \in H.$$

A similar argument as in Case 1 yields that  $H$  is one of

$$\langle h_1, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1, h_2, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_2, h_0 \rangle, \langle h_1 h_1^{h_0}, h_1 h_1^{h_0} h_1^{h_0^2} h_2, h_0 \rangle,$$

where  $h_0, h_1, h_2 \in \text{GL}(3, 3)$  satisfying (3.1). It is easy to check that  $H$  has exactly 2 orbits on  $\bar{W}$ , say  $\{U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$  and  $\{\pm e_i + U_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 3\}$ . It follows that  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic one of the graphs  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  described as in Example 3.7. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we deal with the case where  $G^U$  is almost simple, and then finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that  $G^U$  is almost simple. Then  $\Gamma$  is either arc-transitive or isomorphic to one of Tutte's 12-cage **S126**,  $\partial_3(\mathbf{S126})$ ,  $\partial_5(\mathbf{S126})$  and the graphs defined in Examples 3.8(1) and 3.10(2).*

*Proof.* Recall that  $G$  is faithful on  $W$ . We shall discuss in two cases according to whether or not  $G$  acts faithfully on  $U$ .

**Case 1.** Assume that  $G$  is faithful on  $U$ . Then  $T := \text{soc}(G)$  is listed in Table 1.

Assume that  $G$  is described as in lines 13–16 of Table 1. Then  $G$  is 2-transitive on  $U$ . Moreover,  $G$  has no faithful permutation representations of degree less than  $9p$  (refer to [17, p.175]). Thus  $G$  is also 2-transitive on  $W$ . It follows that either one of  $\Gamma$  and its complement in  $\mathbf{K}_{9p,9p}$  is the point-hyperplane incidence graph of the projective geometry  $\text{PG}(5, 2)$ , or  $\Gamma$  is the standard double cover of the complete graph  $\mathbf{K}_{9p}$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive.

Assume that  $G$  is described as in line 3 of Table 1. Then  $T = \text{soc}(G) = \mathbf{A}_c$  with  $c \in \{10, 18, 19\}$ . Note that  $G$  has no faithful permutation representations of degree less than  $c$  (see [17, p.175]). Suppose that  $G$  is imprimitive on  $W$ . Let  $B$  be a maximal block of  $G$  acting on  $W$ . Then  $|B| = 3$  or  $9$ , and  $G$  acts faithfully and primitively on  $\Omega := \{B^g \mid g \in G\}$ . Note that Table 2 gives all primitive permutation group of degree  $3p$ . It follows that  $|\Omega| = p$ , and hence  $T = \mathbf{A}_{19}$  and  $p = 19$ . Then  $T_B \cong \mathbf{A}_{18}$ . It is easily shown that  $T$  is transitive on  $W$ . Then for  $u \in B$  we have  $|T_B : T_u| = 9$ ; however,  $\mathbf{A}_{18}$  has no subgroups of index 9, a contradiction. Thus  $G$  is primitive on  $W$ . Moreover, the actions of  $G$  on  $U$  and  $W$  are equivalent, i.e.,  $G_u$  and  $G_w$  are conjugate in  $G$  for  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong B(U, \Delta)$  by Lemma 2.3, where  $\Delta$  is an orbital of  $G$  on  $U$ . It is easy to check that  $G$  has exactly three orbitals on  $U$ , which are self-paired. It follows  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive.

Now let  $G$  be one of the groups described as in lines 1, 2, 4–12 of Table 1.

Suppose that the actions of  $G$  on  $U$  and  $W$  are equivalent. Then  $\Gamma \cong B(U, \Delta)$  by Lemma 2.3, where  $\Delta$  is an orbital of  $G$  on  $U$ . Checking one by one the possible participants of  $G$ , the lengths of suborbits  $|\Delta(u)|$  (for a given  $u \in U$ ) are listed in Table 3, where the non-self-paired suborbits are marked by  $*$ . (Note that, for line 1, the action of  $G$  on  $U$  is equivalent to that on the edge set of Tutte's 8-cage.)

If  $\Delta$  is self-paired, then  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive. Thus we assume that  $G = \text{PSL}(2, p)$  with  $p = 17$  or  $19$ . It is easily shown that any two paired suborbits of  $G^U$  are merged into some self-paired suborbit of  $\text{PGL}(2, p)$  (acting on  $U$ ), we know that  $\Gamma \cong B(U, \Delta)$  is arc-transitive by Lemma 2.5.

**Table 3** Suborbits of some primitive groups of degree  $9p$

Line	Degree	$T = \text{soc}(G)$	Suborbits $ \Delta(u) $	Remark	references
1	45	$\text{PSL}(2, 9)$	4, 8, 16 (two)		
2	153	$\text{PSL}(2, 17)$	4 (two), 8* (two) 8 (four), 16 (six), 8, 16 (seven), 32	$G = \text{PSL}(2, 17)$ $G = \text{PGL}(2, 17)$	[27, Subsection 4.4]
4	27	$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	10, 16		[29]
5	45	$\text{PSU}(4, 2)$	12, 32		[29]
6	63	$\text{Sp}(6, 2)$	30, 32		[29]
7	171	$\text{PSL}(2, 19)$	5* (two), 10 (four), 10* (four), 20 (four)	$G = \text{PSL}(2, 19)$	[27, Subsection 4.4]
	171		10, 20 (eight)	$G = \text{PGL}(2, 19)$	
8	369	$\text{PSL}(2, 3^4)$	36, 72, 80, 90 (two)		[27, Subsection 4.1]
9	117	$\text{PSL}(3, 3)$	12, 16 (two), 24, 48		[18, Subsection 2.3]
10	657	$\text{PSL}(3, 8)$	16, 128, 512		[18, Subsection 2.2]
11	63	$\text{PSU}(3, 3)$	6, 16 (two), 24 6, 24, 32	bases non-isotropic points	[29]
12	117	$\text{O}^+(6, 3)$	36, 80		[18, Subsection 2.12]

Suppose that the actions of  $G$  on  $U$  and  $W$  are not equivalent. Check the subgroups of  $G$  (see [14, Chapter II, Theorem 8.27] for  $\text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, 3^4)$  and to [5] for others). Then we conclude that every subgroup of index  $9p$  is maximal in  $G$ . In particular,  $G_w$  is maximal in  $G$ , where  $w \in W$ . Thus  $G$  acts primitively on  $W$ . Then  $G$  has two inequivalent faithful primitive permutation representations. Checking Table 1, we have  $T = \text{soc}(G) = \text{O}^+(6, 3)$  or  $\text{PSU}(3, 3)$ .

Assume that  $T = \text{PSU}(3, 3)$ . Let  $V$  be a non-degenerate 3-dimensional unitary space over  $\mathbb{F}_9$ . Identify  $U$  with the set of 63 non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspaces of  $V$  and  $W$  with the set of 63 orthogonal frames of  $V$ . By Example 3.4 and Lemma 3.5,  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to one of Tutte's 12-cage and its distance 3 and distance 5 graphs.

Let  $T = \text{O}^+(6, 3)$ . Then  $G = T$  or  $\text{PGO}^+(6, 3)$ . Consider a non-degenerate 6-dimensional orthogonal space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Identify  $U$  and  $W$  respectively with two  $T$ -orbits on the 234 non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ :

$$U = \{\langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V, Q(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \rangle\}, \quad W = \{\langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V, Q(\mathbf{x}) = -1 \rangle\},$$

where  $Q$  is the associated quadratic form. Write

$$V = \langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{f}_1 \rangle \perp \langle \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{f}_2 \rangle \perp \langle \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{f}_3 \rangle,$$

where  $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i\}$  are hyperbolic pairs. Set

$$\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{f}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 = \langle \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{f}_2 \rangle \perp \langle \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{f}_3 \rangle.$$

Then  $\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle \in U$  and  $\mathbf{e}^\perp = \langle \mathbf{f} \rangle \perp V_1$ . Moreover,  $G_{\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle} \cong \text{O}(5, 3)$  or  $\text{GO}(5, 3)$ , which has exactly two orbits on the 162 non-isotropic vectors of  $\mathbf{e}^\perp$ :

$$S_1 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{e}^\perp, Q(\mathbf{x}) = -1\} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{e}^\perp, Q(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}.$$

An easy calculation implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V_1, Q(\mathbf{x}) = -1\} \cup \{\pm \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V_1, Q(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}, \\ S_2 &= \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V_1, Q(\mathbf{x}) = 1\} \cup \{\pm \mathbf{f} + \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in V_1, Q(\mathbf{x}) = -1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which have size 90 and 72, respectively. Thus  $G_{\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle}$  has exactly two orbits on  $W$ ,

$$\{\langle \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in S_1 \rangle\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\langle \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in S_2 \rangle\}$$

with size 45 and 72, respectively. By the information about  $T = \text{O}^+(6, 3)$  given in the Atlas [5], we conclude that  $G$  has an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 2 such that  $G_{\langle \mathbf{e} \rangle}^\sigma = G_{\langle \mathbf{f} \rangle}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $\Gamma$  is arc-transitive.

**Case 2.** Assume that  $G$  is unfaithful on  $U$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is semisymmetric by Lemma 2.2(3). Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $G$  acting on  $U$ . Set  $\Sigma = \Gamma_K$ . Then  $\Gamma \cong \Sigma^{1,m}$ , where  $m$  is the length of a  $K$ -orbit on  $W$ . Thus it suffices to determine  $m$  and  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $\bar{W}$  be the set of  $K$ -orbits on  $W$ . Then  $G^U$  is faithful on  $\bar{W}$  and, since  $K \neq 1$  is faithful on  $W$ , the size of  $\bar{W}$  is a proper divisor of  $|W| = 9p$ . This observation helps us to determine  $G^U$  as follows.

The groups in lines 13–16 of Table 1 are excluded as each of them has no faithful permutation representations of degree less than  $9p$  (see [17, p. 175]). If  $G^U$  is described as in line 3 of Table 1 then a similar argument as in Case 1 implies that  $\text{soc}(G^U) = \mathbf{A}_{19}$  and  $|\bar{W}| = p = 19$ . For the groups in lines 1, 2 and 4–12 of Table 1, checking the subgroups of  $G$  (see [5] and [14, Chapter II, Theorem 8.27]), the only possible case is that  $G^U = \text{PSL}(2, 19)$  and  $G^{\bar{W}}$  is described as in Table 2.

Let  $\text{soc}(G^U) = \mathbf{A}_{19}$ . We may identify  $\bar{W}$  with the set of positive integers no more than 19 and  $U$  with the set of 2-subsets of  $\bar{W}$ . Then  $m = 9$ , and  $\Sigma = \Gamma_K$  is isomorphic to one of the graphs  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  defined in Example 3.8. Thus  $\Gamma \cong \Lambda_1^{1,9}$  or  $\Lambda_2^{1,9}$ .

Let  $G^U = \text{PSL}(2, 19)$ . We may identify  $\bar{W}$  and  $U$  respectively with the vertex set and edge set of the Perkel graph. Then  $m = 3$ , and  $\Sigma$  is isomorphic to one of the six graphs  $\Pi_i$  defined in Example 3.10, and so  $\Gamma \cong \Pi_i^{1,3}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ . Thus our lemma follows.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11271267 and 11371204). The authors thank the referees for helpful comments.

## References

- 1 Bouwer I Z. An edge but not vertex transitive cubic graphs. *Canad Math Bull*, 1968, 11: 533–535
- 2 Bouwer I Z. On edge but not vertex transitive graphs. *J Combin Theory Ser B*, 1972, 12: 32–40
- 3 Brouwer A E, Cohen A M, Neumaier A. *Distance-Regular Graphs*. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1989
- 4 Conder M, Malnič A, Marušič D, et al. A census of semisymmetric cubic graphs on up to 768 vertices. *J Algebraic Combin*, 2006, 23: 255–294
- 5 Conway J H, Curtis R T, Norton S P, et al. *Atlas of Finite Groups*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985
- 6 Dixon J D, Mortimer B. *Permutation Groups*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996
- 7 Du S F, Marušič D. Biprimitive graphs of smallest order. *J Algebraic Combin*, 1999, 9: 151–156
- 8 Du S F, Xu M Y. A classification of semisymmetric graphs of order  $2pq$ . *Comm Algebra*, 2000, 28: 2685–2714
- 9 Feng Y Q, Ghasemi M, Wang C Q. Cubic semisymmetric graphs of order  $6p^3$ . *Discrete Math*, 2010, 310: 2345–2355
- 10 Folkman J. Regular line-symmetric graphs. *J Combin Theory Ser B*, 1967, 3: 215–232
- 11 Giudici M, Li C H, Praeger C E. Analysing finite locally  $s$ -arc transitive graphs. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 2004, 365: 291–317
- 12 Han H, Lu Z P. Semisymmetric graphs of order  $6p^2$  and prime valency. *Sci China Math*, 2012, 55: 2579–2592
- 13 Han H, Lu Z P. Affine primitive permutation groups and semisymmetric graphs. *Electronic J Combin*, 2013, 20: Paper 39, 9pp
- 14 Huppert H. *Endliche Gruppen I*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1967
- 15 Iofinova M E, Ivanov A A. Biprimitive cubic graphs (in Russian). In: *Investigation in Algebraic Theory of Combinatorial Objects*. Moscow: Institute for System Studies, 1985, 124–134
- 16 Ivanov A V. On edge but not vertex transitive regular graphs. *Ann Discrete Math*, 1987, 34: 273–286
- 17 Kleidman P, Liebeck M. *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990
- 18 Li H L, Wang J, Wang L Y, et al. Vertex primitive graphs of order containing a large prime factor. *Comm Algebra*, 1994, 22: 3449–3477
- 19 Liebeck M, Saxl J. Primitive permutation groups containing an element of large prime order. *J London Math Soc*, 1985, 31: 237–249
- 20 Lu Z P. On the automorphism groups of bi-Cayley graphs. *Beijing Daxue Xuebao*, 2003, 39: 1–5
- 21 Lu Z P, Wang C Q, Xu M Y. On semisymmetric cubic graphs of order  $6p^2$ . *Sci China Ser A*, 2004, 47: 1–17
- 22 Malnič A, Marušič D, Potočnik P, et al. An infinite family of Cubic edge- but not vertex-transitive graphs. *Discrete Math*, 2002, 280: 133–148
- 23 Malnič A, Marušič D, Wang C Q. Cubic edge-transitive graphs of order  $2p^3$ . *Discrete Math*, 2004, 274: 187–198
- 24 Marušič M, Potočnik P. Semisymmetry of generalized Folkman graphs. *European J Combin*, 2001, 22: 333–349
- 25 Monson B, Pisanski T, Schulte E, et al. Semisymmetric graphs from polytopes. *J Combin Theory Ser A*, 2007, 114: 421–435
- 26 Parker C W. Semisymmetric cubic graphs of twice odd order. *European J Combin*, 2007, 28: 572–591
- 27 Praeger C E, Xu M Y. Symmetric graphs of order a product of two distinct primes. *J Combin Theory Ser B*, 1993, 59: 245–266
- 28 Wang L, Du S F, Li X W. A class of semisymmetric graphs. *Ars Math Contemp*, 2014, 7: 40–53
- 29 Wilson R, Walsh P, Tripp J, et al. *Atlas of finite group representation*. <http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/v3>
- 30 Wilson S. A worthy family of semisymmetric graphs. *Discrete Math*, 2003, 271: 283–94