Time-Inconsistent Stochastic LQ Problem with Regime Switching^{*}

SI Binbin \cdot NI Yuan-Hua \cdot ZHANG Ji-Feng

DOI: 10.1007/s11424-020-9017-z

Received: 14 January 2019 / Revised: 13 June 2019 ©The Editorial Office of JSSC & Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany 2020

Abstract This paper investigates a time-inconsistent stochastic linear-quadratic problem with regime switching that is characterized via a finite-state Markov chain. Open-loop equilibrium control is studied in this paper whose existence is characterized via Markov-chain-modulated forward-backward stochastic difference equations and generalized Riccati-like equations with jumps.

Keywords Forward-backward stochastic difference equation, open-loop equilibrium control, regime switching, stochastic linear-quadratic problem, time inconsistency.

1 Introduction

Time inconsistency of this paper is referred to a phenomenon of optimal control: A control which is optimal at some previous time instant is no longer optimal when viewed back in the future. This phenomenon is often observed in dynamic decision makings, and is firstly investigated by Strotz^[1] in the 1950s. Strotz's key idea is to view the controller at different instants as different agents, and is to reformulate the time-inconsistent problem as a game between these agents. The equilibrium of this game is a time-consistent solution to the original time-inconsistent optimal control problem.

Since Strotz's work, the game approach is widely accepted, and many practical timeinconsistent scenarios in economics and finance are widely studied; see, for example, [2–5] and references therein. In recent years, there is a growing body of literature from control community

SI Binbin • NI Yuan-Hua (Corresponding author)

College of Artificial Intelligence, Nankai University, Tianjin 300350, China; Key Laboratory of Intelligent Robotics of Tianjin, Tianjin 300350, China. Email: binbinsi96@163.com; yhni@nankai.edu.cn. ZHANG Ji-Feng

Key Laboratory of Systems and Control, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China; School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. Email: jif@iss.ac.cn.

^{*}This research was supported in part by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2018YFA0703800, and by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 61773222, 61877057 and 61973172.

 $^{^\}diamond$ This paper was recommended for publication by Editor LIU Yungang.

that investigates the time-inconsistent optimal control problems. [6, 7] gave the definition of equilibrium control in continuous time for problems with non-exponential discounting, and [8] discussed the problems of general Markovian time-inconsistent stochastic optimal control. To classify, two kinds of time-consistent equilibrium solutions have been reported within the realm of time-inconsistent optimal control, which are the open-loop equilibrium control and closedloop equilibrium strategy^[9-13]. In [11–15], the researchers investigated Strotz's equilibrium solution^[1], and established some theoretical results for time-inconsistent optimal control in terms of closed-loop equilibrium strategy; while [9, 10, 13, 16, 17] studied the open-loop equilibrium control for linear-quadratic (LQ, for short) problems. Recently, [18] proposes a novel notion of equilibrium solution for the time-inconsistent stochastic LQ problem. This notion is called the mixed equilibrium solution, which consists of two parts: a pure-feedback-strategy part and an open-loop-control part. When the pure-feedback-strategy part is zero or the openloop-control part does not depend on the initial state, the mixed equilibrium solution reduces to the open-loop equilibrium control and feedback equilibrium strategy, respectively. Furthermore, an example is given^[18] to show that the mixed equilibrium solution exists for all the initial pairs, although neither the open-loop equilibrium control nor the feedback equilibrium strategy exists for some initial pairs.

LQ problems with regime switching have been extensively studied during the last few decades^[19–26]. The regime switching is characterized via a Markov process, which often arises in reality with component failures or repairs, changing subsystem interconnections, and abrupting environmental disturbances; see also [24, 26–30]. Due to their wide existence of time inconsistency and regime switching, it is very necessary to study the LQ problems with both time inconsistency and regime switching. To this aim, in this paper we investigate the open-loop equilibrium control of a time-inconsistent stochastic LQ problem with regime switching, which yet has not been studied before. Necessary and sufficient conditions are derived on the existence of open-loop equilibrium control via a Markov-chain-modulated forward-backward stochastic difference equation (FBS ΔE , for short). By decoupling this FBS ΔE , conditions in terms of Riccati-like equations with jumps are obtained to characterize the open-loop equilibrium control. In [31], an LQ optimal control is considered for discrete-time Markov jump linear systems and a Markov-chain-modulated forward-backward difference equation (FB Δ E, for short) is reported. As [31] deals with a system model without the noise w (of this paper), the FB ΔE of [31] differs significantly from the FBS ΔE . Therefore, the study of FBS ΔE is much involved than that of FB Δ E of [31].

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the definition of open-loop equilibrium control is introduced, and its characterization is presented in Section 3. Section 4 gives an illustrative example and Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Open-Loop Equilibrium Control

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space, which is assumed to be abundant enough such that two processes $\theta \triangleq \{\theta_k\}$ and $w \triangleq \{w_k\}$ live on it. (a) θ is a homogeneous Markov chain taking values in a finite set $\{1, 2, \dots, \tau\} \triangleq \mathcal{M}$ with a stationary one-step transition probability matrix $\Lambda = (p_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau \times \tau}$. The (i, j)-th entry of Λ is

$$p_{ij} = P(\theta_{k+1} = j | \theta_k = i), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{M}, \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots.$$

The initial distribution of θ_0 is denoted by $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{\tau})^T$ where the superscript T denotes the transposition of a matrix or a vector.

(b) w is a martingale difference sequence in the sense of $\mathbb{E}[w_{k+1}|\mathcal{F}_{k+1}] = 0$ for any k, where \mathcal{F}_{k+1} is the σ -algebra generated by $\{w_{\ell}, \theta_{\ell}, \ell = 0, 1, \cdots, k\}$ and \mathcal{F}_0 is understood as $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. It is also assumed that for any k the process w has the property

$$\mathbb{E}[w_{k+1}^2|\mathcal{F}_{k+1}] = 1,$$

and that θ , w are independent of each other.

Consider the following controlled discrete-time stochastic difference equation (S ΔE , for short)

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{t} = \left(A_{t,k,\theta_{k}}X_{k}^{t} + B_{t,k,\theta_{k}}u_{k}\right) + \left(C_{t,k,\theta_{k}}X_{k}^{t} + D_{t,k,\theta_{k}}u_{k}\right)w_{k}, \\ X_{t}^{t} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{t} = \{t, t+1, \cdots, N-1\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T} = \{0, 1, \cdots, N-1\}, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $\{X_k^t, k \in \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_t\} \triangleq X^t$ and $\{u_k, k \in \mathbb{T}_t\} \triangleq u$ with $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}_t = \{t, t+1, \cdots, N\}$ are the state process and control process, respectively; when $\theta_k = i$, the corresponding coefficients $A_{t,k,i}, C_{t,k,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B_{t,k,i}, D_{t,k,i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are deterministic matrices. In (1), x belongs to $l_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$l_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}(t;\mathbb{R}^{n}) = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left| \zeta \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_{t} \text{-measurable}, \mathbb{E}|\zeta|^{2} < \infty \right\}.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

The cost functional associated with System (1) is

$$J(t,x;u) = \sum_{k=t}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}\left[(X_k^t)^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{t,k,\theta_k} X_k^t + u_k^{\mathrm{T}} R_{t,k,\theta_k} u_k \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[(X_N^t)^{\mathrm{T}} G_{t,\theta_N} X_N^t \right],\tag{3}$$

where for $\theta_k = i$, $Q_{t,k,i}$, $R_{t,k,i}$, $k \in \mathbb{T}_t$ and $G_{t,i}$ are deterministic symmetric matrices of appropriate dimensions. Let

$$l_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}(\mathbb{T}_{t};\mathbb{R}^{m}) = \left\{ \mu = \{\mu_{k}, k \in \mathbb{T}_{t}\} \mid \mu_{k} \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_{k} \text{-measurable}, \mathbb{E}|\mu_{k}|^{2} < \infty, k \in \mathbb{T}_{t} \right\}.$$
(4)

Then, we pose the following optimal control problem.

Problem (LQ) For (1), (3) and the initial pair (t, x), find a $u^* \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_t; \mathbb{R}^m)$, such that

$$J(t, x; u^*) = \inf_{u \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_t; \mathbb{R}^m)} J(t, x; u).$$

Note that Problem (LQ) is time-inconsistent. The following definition gives a time-consistent solution.

🖄 Springer

Definition 2.1 $u^{t,x,*} \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_t; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is called an open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ) for the initial pair (t, x), if

$$J(k, X_k^{t,x,*}; u^{t,x,*}|_{\mathbb{T}_k}) \le J(k, X_k^{t,x,*}; (u_k, u^{t,x,*}|_{\mathbb{T}_{k+1}}))$$

holds for any $k \in \mathbb{T}_t$ and any $u_k \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(k; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Here, $u^{t,x,*}|_{\mathbb{T}_k}$ and $u^{t,x,*}|_{\mathbb{T}_{k+1}}$ are the restrictions of $u^{t,x,*}$ on $\mathbb{T}_k = \{k, k+1, \dots, N-1\}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{k+1} = \{k+1, k+2, \dots, N-1\}$, respectively; and $X_k^{t,x,*}$ is computed via

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{k+1}^{t,x,*} = [A_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*}] + [C_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*}] w_k, \\ X_t^{t,x,*} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t. \end{bmatrix}$$

3 Solution

Lemma 3.1 Let $\zeta \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(k; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $u = \{u_\ell, k \in \mathbb{T}_k\} \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_k; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\overline{u}_k \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(k; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the following equation holds

$$J(k,\zeta;(u_k+\lambda\overline{u}_k,u|_{\mathbb{T}_{k+1}})) - J(k,\zeta;u)$$

= $2\lambda\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E}_k\left[R_{k,k,\theta_k}u_k + B_{k,k,\theta_k}^{\mathrm{T}}Z_{k+1}^{k,u_k} + D_{k,k,\theta_k}^{\mathrm{T}}Z_{k+1}^{k,u_k}w_k\right]^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{u}_k\right\} + \lambda^2\widehat{J}(k,0;\overline{u}_k)$

with

$$\widehat{J}(k,0;\overline{u}_k) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}\left[(Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_k})^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_k} \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\overline{u}_k^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k,\ell,\theta_k} \overline{u}_k \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[(Y_N^{k,\overline{u}_k})^{\mathrm{T}} G_{k,\theta_N} Y_N^{k,\overline{u}_k} \right].$$
(5)

Here, $u|_{\mathbb{T}_{k+1}} = \{u_{k+1}, u_{k+2}, \cdots, u_{N-1}\}$ and the l^2 spaces are similarly defined as those in (2)–(4); Z^{k,u_k} , Y^{k,\overline{u}_k} are given, respectively, by the backward stochastic difference equation (BS ΔE , for short)

$$\begin{cases} Z_{\ell}^{k,u_k} = \mathbb{E}_{\ell} \big[Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,u_k} + A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,u_k} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,u_k} w_{\ell} \big], \\ Z_{N}^{k,u_k} = \mathbb{E}_{N} (G_{k,\theta_N} X_{N}^{k,u_k}), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{t}, \end{cases}$$

and the $S\Delta E$

$$\begin{cases} Y_{\ell+1}^{k,\overline{u}_k} = A_{k,\ell,\theta_\ell} Y_\ell^{k,\overline{u}_k} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_\ell} Y_\ell^{k,\overline{u}_k} w_\ell, \\ Y_{k+1}^{k,\overline{u}_k} = B_{k,\ell,\theta_k} \overline{u}_k + D_{k,\ell,\theta_k} \overline{u}_k w_k, \\ Y_k^{k,\overline{u}_k} = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}, \end{cases}$$

where X_N^k is computed via

$$\begin{cases} X_{\ell+1}^{k} = \left(A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k} + B_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}\right) + \left(C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k} + D_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}\right) w_{\ell}, \\ X_{k}^{k} = \zeta, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Proof Replace u_k with $u_k + \lambda \overline{u}_k$ in (6), and denote the solution by $X^{k,\lambda}$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{X_{\ell+1}^{k,\lambda} - X_{\ell+1}^{k}}{\lambda} = A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} \frac{X_{\ell}^{k,\lambda} - X_{\ell}^{k}}{\lambda} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} \frac{X_{\ell}^{k,\lambda} - X_{\ell}^{k}}{\lambda} w_{\ell}, \\ \frac{X_{k+1}^{k,\lambda} - X_{k+1}^{k}}{\lambda} = B_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \overline{u}_{k} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \overline{u}_{k} w_{k}, \\ \frac{X_{k}^{k,\lambda} - X_{k}^{k}}{\lambda} = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Denoting $\frac{X_{\ell}^{k,\lambda}-X_{\ell}^{k}}{\lambda}$ by $Y_{\ell}^{k}, \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k}$, we get

$$\begin{cases} Y_{\ell+1}^k = A_{k,\ell,\theta_\ell} Y_\ell^k + C_{k,\ell,\theta_\ell} Y_\ell^k w_\ell, \\ Y_{k+1}^k = B_{k,k,\theta_k} \overline{u}_k + D_{k,k,\theta_k} \overline{u}_k w_k, \\ Y_k^k = 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

As $X_{\ell}^{k,\lambda} = X_{\ell}^k + \lambda Y_{\ell}^k$, $\ell \in \mathbb{T}_k$, it holds that

$$J(k,\zeta;(u_{k}+\lambda\overline{u}_{k},u|_{\mathbb{T}_{k+1}})) - J(k,\zeta;u)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}[(X_{\ell}^{k}+\lambda Y_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}(X_{\ell}^{k}+\lambda Y_{\ell}^{k}) - (X_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}X_{\ell}^{k}]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[(u_{k}+\lambda\overline{u}_{k})^{\mathrm{T}}R_{k,k,\theta_{k}}(u_{k}+\lambda\overline{u}_{k}) - u_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}R_{k,k,\theta_{k}}u_{k}]$$

$$+ \mathbb{E}[(X_{N}^{k}+\lambda Y_{N}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}G_{k,\theta_{N}}(X_{N}^{k}+\lambda Y_{N}^{k})] - \mathbb{E}[(X_{N}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}G_{k,\theta_{N}}X_{N}^{k}]$$

$$= 2\lambda \left\{ \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}[(X_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}Y_{\ell}^{k}] + \mathbb{E}[u_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}R_{k,k,\theta_{k}}\overline{u}_{k}] + \mathbb{E}[(X_{N}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}G_{k,\theta_{N}}Y_{N}^{k}] \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda^{2} \left\{ \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}[(Y_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}Y_{\ell}^{k}] + \mathbb{E}[\overline{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}}R_{k,k,\theta_{k}}\overline{u}_{k}] + \mathbb{E}[(Y_{N}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}}G_{k,\theta_{N}}Y_{N}^{k}] \right\}.$$
(7)

Note that

$$\sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} Y_{\ell}^{k} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[u_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \overline{u}_{k} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[(X_{N}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} G_{k,\theta_{N}} Y_{N}^{k} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[(X_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} Y_{\ell}^{k} + (Z_{\ell+1}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} Y_{\ell+1}^{k} - (Z_{\ell}^{k})^{\mathrm{T}} Y_{\ell}^{k} \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[u_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \overline{u}_{k} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left[Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k} + A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k} w_{\ell} - Z_{\ell}^{k} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} Y_{\ell}^{k} \right\}$$

$$+ \mathbb{E} \left[\left(R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} u_{k} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k,u_{k}} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k} w_{k} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{u}_{k} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{k} \left[R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} u_{k} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k,u_{k}} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k,u_{k}} w_{k} \right]^{\mathrm{T}} \overline{u}_{k} \right\}.$$

This together with (7) implies the result.

D Springer

Concerned with the existence of open-loop equilibrium control, we have the following result whose proof is omitted here due to Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 For the initial pair (t, x), the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There exists an open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ) for the initial pair (t, x).
- (ii) The following assertions hold.
- a) There exists a $u^{t,x,*} \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_t;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that the stationary condition

$$\mathbb{E}_{k} \left[R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} u_{k}^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} w_{k} \right] = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{t}$$
(8)

is satisfied, where $Z_{k+1}^{k,*}$ is computed via the following FBS ΔE

$$\begin{cases} X_{\ell+1}^{k,*} = A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + B_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}^{t,x,*} + (C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + D_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}^{t,x,*}) w_{\ell}, \\ Z_{\ell}^{k,*} = \mathbb{E}_{\ell} [Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,*} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,*} w_{\ell}], \\ X_{k}^{k,*} = X_{k}^{t,x,*}, \quad Z_{N}^{k,*} = \mathbb{E}_{N} (G_{k,\theta_{N}} X_{N}^{k,*}), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k}. \end{cases}$$
(9)

In (9), $X_k^{t,x,*}$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{t,x,*} = A_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*} + (C_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*}) w_k, \\ X_t^{t,x,*} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t. \end{cases}$$

b) The convex condition

$$\inf_{\overline{u}_k \in l_{\mathcal{F}}^2(k;\mathbb{R}^m)} \widehat{J}(k,0;\overline{u}_k) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t$$
(10)

is satisfied, where $\widehat{J}(k, 0; \overline{u}_k)$ is given in (5).

Under any of the above conditions, $u^{t,x,*}$ given in (ii) is an open-loop equilibrium control. Lemma 3.3 For $t \in \mathbb{T}_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}_k[I_{(\theta_k=i)}] = p_{\theta_{k-1}i}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_k[I_{(\theta_k=i)}w_k] = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t.$$
(12)

Furthermore,

 $\mathbb{E}_0[I_{(\theta_0=i)}] = P(\theta_0=i) = \nu_i,$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_0[I_{(\theta_0=i)}w_0] = 0.$$

Proof Note that the processes θ and w are independent of each other. Concerned with (11) and for $A \in \sigma(w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) = \mathcal{F}'_k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_A\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[I_A\right]\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} P(\theta_{k-1}=j)p_{ji} = \mathbb{E}\left[I_A\right]P(\theta_k=i) = \mathbb{E}\left[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_A\right].$$

🖄 Springer

On the other hand, if $A \in \sigma(\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_{k-1}) = \mathcal{F}''_k$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_A\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[I_A\mathbb{E}\big(I_{(\theta_k=i)}|\mathcal{F}_k''\big)\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_A\big].$$

Noting $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}'_k \vee \mathcal{F}''_k = \sigma(\mathcal{F}'_k \cup \mathcal{F}''_k)$, we now prove that $\mathbb{E}[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_A] = \mathbb{E}[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_A]$ holds for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$. In fact, letting $A \in \mathcal{F}'_k, B \in \mathcal{F}''_k$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_{A\cap B}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_AI_B\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_B\big]\mathbb{E}\big[I_A\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_B\big]\mathbb{E}\big[I_A\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_{A\cap B}\big],$$
and

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_{A\setminus B}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}(I_A - I_{A\cap B})\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_A\big] - \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_{A\cap B}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_{A\setminus B}\big].$$

Moreover, for $A_i \in \mathcal{F}'_k$ or $A_i \in \mathcal{F}''_k$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, with property $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_{\cup_i A_i}\big] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_{A_i}\big] = \sum_i \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_{A_i}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_{\cup_i A_i}\big].$$

By the above derivation and the definition of σ -algebra, we must have

$$\mathbb{E}\big[I_{(\theta_k=i)}I_A\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[p_{\theta_{k-1}i}I_A\big], \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_k,$$

which implies (11). Furthermore, we can similarly prove (12).

As $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_0[I_{(\theta_0=i)}] = \mathbb{E}[I_{(\theta_0=i)}] = P(\theta_0=i) = \nu_i$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_0[I_{(\theta_0=i)}w_0] = \mathbb{E}[I_{(\theta_0=i)}w_0] = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.4 From Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have that for $t \in \mathbb{T}_1, k \in \mathbb{T}_t$ (8) and (9) become to

$$0 = \mathbb{E}_{k} \left[R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} u_{k}^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{T} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{T} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} w_{k} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} R_{k,k,i} u_{k}^{t,x,*} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} B_{k,k,i}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{k} \left[I_{(\theta_{k}=i)} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} D_{k,k,i}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{k} \left[I_{(\theta_{k}=i)} Z_{k+1}^{k,*} w_{k} \right]$$
(13)

and

$$\begin{cases} X_{\ell+1}^{k,*} = A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + B_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}^{t,x,*} + (C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + D_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} u_{\ell}^{t,x,*}) w_{\ell}, \\ Z_{\ell}^{k,*} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\ell} [I_{(\theta_{\ell}=i)} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,*}] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\ell} [I_{(\theta_{\ell}=i)} Z_{\ell+1}^{k,*} w_{\ell}] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{\ell-1}i} Q_{k,\ell,i} X_{\ell}^{k,*}, \\ X_{k}^{k,*} = X_{k}^{t,x,*}, \quad Z_{N}^{k,*} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-1i}} G_{k,i} X_{N}^{k,*}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k}. \end{cases}$$

If k = t = 0, then (13) becomes

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_i R_{0,0,i} u_0^{0,x,*} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[I_{(\theta_0=i)} Z_{0+1}^{0,*} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[I_{(\theta_0=i)} Z_{0+1}^{0,*} w_0 \right].$$
(14)

Lemma 3.5 If $u^{t,x,*}$ satisfies (8), then $u^{t,x,*}_{N-1}$ can be selected as

$$u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} = -W_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}^{\dagger} H_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*}$$
(15)

with property

$$(I - W_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}W_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}^{\dagger})H_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}X_{N-1}^{t,x,*} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{cases} W_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} R_{N-1,N-1,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j}\right) B_{N-1,N-1,i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j}\right) D_{N-1,N-1,i}, \\ H_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j}\right) A_{N-1,N-1,i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j}\right) C_{N-1,N-1,i}. \end{cases}$$

Proof Note that

$$Z_N^{N-1,*} = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-1}j} G_{N-1,j} \left(A_{N-1,N-1,\theta_{N-1}} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + B_{N-1,N-1,\theta_{N-1}} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-1}j} G_{N-1,j} \left(C_{N-1,N-1,\theta_{N-1}} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + D_{N-1,N-1,\theta_{N-1}} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) w_{N-1}.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} Z_N^{N-1,*} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(A_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + B_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(C_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + D_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) w_{N-1} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(A_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + B_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right).$$
(16)

In the above, we have used the properties in Lemma 3.3. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} Z_N^{N-1,*} w_{N-1} \right] \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(A_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + B_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) w_{N-1} \right] \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(C_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + D_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) w_{N-1}^{2} \right] \\
= \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} p_{ij} G_{N-1,j} \left(C_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + D_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right).$$
(17)

Hence, it holds that

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} R_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} Z_{N}^{N-1,*} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{N-1} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)} Z_{N}^{N-1,*} w_{N-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} R_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} \left(A_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + B_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} \left(C_{N-1,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{N-1,*} + D_{N-1,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} R_{N-1,N-1,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} B_{N-1,N-1,i} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} D_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} B_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,j} A_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,N-1,i} B_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2i}} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} G_{N-1,N-1,i} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} p_{ij} D_{N-1,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T$$

From Lemma 3.1 of [32], $u_{N-1}^{t,x,*}$ can be selected as (15).

Lemma 3.6 Let $t \in \mathbb{T}_1, k \in \mathbb{T}_t$ and assume that $u_{\ell}^{t,x,*}$ in (8) and (9) has the form $u_{\ell}^{t,x,*} = \Psi_{\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} X_{\ell}^{t,x,*}, \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}$ with $\Psi_{\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}}$ a matric function of ℓ and $\theta_{\ell-1}$. Then, the backward state $Z^{k,*}$ of (9) is expressed as

$$Z_{\ell}^{k,*} = P_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} X_{\ell}^{k,*} + T_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} X_{\ell}^{t,x,*}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}Q_{k,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,\ell+1,i}A_{k,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,\ell+1,i}C_{k,\ell,i}, \\ T_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{k,\ell+1,i}A_{\ell,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{k,\ell+1,i}C_{\ell,\ell,i} \\ + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{k,\ell+1,i}B_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i}B_{\ell,\ell,i})\Psi_{\ell,q} \\ + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{k,\ell+1,i}D_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i}D_{\ell,\ell,i})\Psi_{\ell,q}, \\ P_{k,N,q} = G_{k,q}, \quad T_{k,N,q} = 0, \\ q = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(18)$$

D Springer

Proof Similarly to (16) and (17), we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-1}\left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)}Z_N^{k,*}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} p_{ij} G_{k,j} \left(A_{k,N-1,i}X_{N-1}^{k,*} + B_{k,N-1,i}u_{N-1}^{t,x,*}\right)$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-1}\left[I_{(\theta_{N-1}=i)}Z_N^{k,*}w_{N-1}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i}p_{ij}G_{k,j}\left(C_{k,N-1,i}X_{N-1}^{k,*} + D_{k,N-1,i}u_{N-1}^{t,x,*}\right).$$

Hence,

$$Z_{N-1}^{k,*} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} A_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} p_{ij} G_{k,j} \left(A_{k,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + B_{k,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} C_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} p_{ij} G_{k,j} \left(C_{k,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + D_{k,N-1,i} u_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} Q_{k,N-1,i} X_{N-1}^{k,*} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} Q_{k,N-1,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} A_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{k,j} A_{k,N-1,i} \right. + \left. \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} C_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{k,j} C_{k,N-1,i} \right\} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} A_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{k,j} B_{k,N-1,i} + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-2}i} C_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} p_{ij} G_{k,j} D_{k,N-1,i} \right\} \Psi_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*} = P_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + T_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*}.$$
(19)

From (19), we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{k,*} \Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \Big(P_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + T_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \Big) \Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[P_{k,N-1,i} I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \Big(A_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-2}^{k,*} + B_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*} \Big) \Big] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[T_{k,N-1,i} I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \Big(A_{N-2,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*} + B_{N-2,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*} \Big) \Big] \\ &= p_{\theta_{N-3}i} P_{k,N-1,i} A_{k,N-2,i} X_{N-2}^{k,*} + p_{\theta_{N-3}i} P_{k,N-1,i} B_{k,N-2,i} \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*} \\ &+ p_{\theta_{N-3}i} T_{k,N-1,i} \Big(A_{N-2,N-2,i} + B_{N-2,N-2,i} \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} \Big) X_{N-2}^{t,x,*} \end{split}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{k,*} w_{N-2} \Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \left(P_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{k,*} + T_{k,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,*,*} \right) w_{N-2} \Big] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[P_{k,N-1,i} I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \left(C_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-2}^{k,*} + D_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} u_{N-2}^{t,*,*} \right) \Big] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[T_{k,N-1,i} I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} \left(C_{N-2,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-2}^{t,*,*} + D_{N-2,N-2,\theta_{N-2}} u_{N-2}^{t,*,*} \right) \Big] \\ &= p_{\theta_{N-3}i} P_{k,N-1,i} C_{k,N-2,i} X_{N-2}^{k,*} + p_{\theta_{N-3}i} P_{k,N-1,i} D_{k,N-2,i} \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{t,*,*} \\ &+ p_{\theta_{N-3}i} T_{k,N-1,i} \left(C_{N-2,N-2,i} + D_{N-2,N-2,i} \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} \right) X_{N-2}^{t,*,*}. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} Z_{N-2}^{k,*} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} A_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{\ell} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{k,*} \Big] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} C_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \Big[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{k,*} w_{N-2} \Big] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} Q_{k,N-2,i} X_{N-2}^{k,*} \\ &= \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} Q_{k,N-2,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} A_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,N-1,i} A_{k,N-2,i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} C_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,N-1,i} C_{k,N-2,i} \Big\} X_{N-2}^{k,*} \\ &+ \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} A_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,N-1,i} A_{N-2,N-2,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} C_{k,N-1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} C_{N-2,N-2,i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} A_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,N-1,i} B_{k,N-2,i} + T_{k,N-1,i} B_{N-2,N-2,i}) \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} C_{k,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,N-1,i} D_{k,N-2,i} + T_{k,N-1,i} D_{N-2,N-2,i}) \Psi_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} \Big\} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*} \\ &= P_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{k,*} + T_{k,N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*}. \end{split}$$

By deduction, we can achieve the conclusion.

Theorem 3.7 The following statements are equivalent.

(i) There exists a $u^{t,x,*} \in l^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{T}_t;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that the stationary condition (8) is satisfied.

(ii) Either of the following two cases holds.

a) For $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$,

$$(I - W_{k,\theta_{k-1}} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}) H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} X_k^{t,x,*} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t$$
(20)

is satisfied, where $X^{t,x,*}$ is

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{t,x,*} = A_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*} + (C_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*}) w_k, \\ X_t^{t,x,*} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_k^{t,x,*} = -W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} X_k^{t,x,*}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t.$$

In the above, $(W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, H_{k,\theta_{k-1}})$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \Big[R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i}) B_{k,k,i} \\ + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i}) D_{k,k,i} \Big], \\ H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \Big[B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i}) A_{k,k,i} \\ + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i}) C_{k,k,i} \Big], \end{cases}$$
(21)

and $(P_{k,k+1,i}, T_{k,k+1,i}), i = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, k \in \mathbb{T}_t$, are computed via

$$\begin{cases} P_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} Q_{k,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell+1,i} A_{k,\ell,i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell+1,i} C_{k,\ell,i}, \\ T_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} T_{k,\ell+1,i} A_{\ell,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} T_{k,\ell+1,i} C_{\ell,\ell,i} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,\ell+1,i} B_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i} B_{\ell,\ell,i}) W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger} H_{\ell,q} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi} C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{k,\ell+1,i} D_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i} D_{\ell,\ell,i}) W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger} H_{\ell,q}, \\ P_{k,N,q} = G_{k,q}, \quad T_{k,N,q} = 0, \\ q = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

$$(22)$$

b) For t = 0,

$$(I - W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger})H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}X_k^{0,x,*} = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_1,$$
(23)

and

$$(I - W_0 W_0^{\dagger}) H_0 x = 0 \tag{24}$$

are satisfied. Here, $(W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, H_{k,\theta_{k-1}})$ is given in (21) (with $k \in \mathbb{T}_1$), and (W_0, H_0) is

$$\begin{cases} W_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_i \left[R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) D_{0,0,i} \right], \\ H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_i \left[B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) A_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) C_{0,0,i} \right]. \end{cases}$$

2 Springer

with $(P_{0,1,i}, T_{0,1,i}), i = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau$, computed via

$$\begin{cases} P_{0,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}Q_{0,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,\ell+1,i}A_{0,\ell,i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,\ell+1,i}C_{0,\ell,i}, \\ T_{0,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{0,\ell+1,i}A_{\ell,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{0,\ell+1,i}C_{\ell,\ell,i} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{0,\ell+1,i}B_{0,\ell,i} + T_{0,\ell+1,i}B_{\ell,\ell,i})W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger}H_{\ell,q} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{0,\ell+1,i}D_{0,\ell,i} + T_{0,\ell+1,i}D_{\ell,\ell,i})W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger}H_{\ell,q}, \\ P_{0,N,q} = G_{0,q}, \quad T_{0,N,q} = 0, \\ q = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{1}. \end{cases}$$

Further, $X_k^{0,x,*}$ in (23) is computed via

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{0,x,*} = A_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{0,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{0,x,*} + (C_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{0,x,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{0,x,*}) w_k, \\ X_0^{0,x,*} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T} \end{cases}$$

with

$$u_{k}^{0,x,*} = \begin{cases} -W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}X_{k}^{t,x,*}, & k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \\ -W_{0}^{\dagger}H_{0}x, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Firstly consider the case $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$. From Lemma 3.5, letting $\Psi_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}} = -W_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}^{\dagger}H_{N-1,\theta_{N-2}}$ and substituting it into (18), we have $P_{N-2,N-1,\theta_{N-2}}, T_{N-2,N-1,\theta_{N-2}}$ and

$$Z_{N-1}^{N-2,*} = P_{N-2,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{N-2,*} + T_{N-2,N-1,\theta_{N-2}} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*}.$$

Similarly to (16) and (17), it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{N-2,*} \right]$$

$$= P_{N-2,N-1,i} \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} X_{N-1}^{N-2,*} \right] + T_{N-2,N-1,i} \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} X_{N-1}^{t,x,*} \right]$$

$$= p_{\theta_{N-3}i} \left(P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i} \right) A_{N-2,N-2,i} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*}$$

$$+ p_{\theta_{N-3}i} \left(P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i} \right) B_{N-2,N-2,i} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{N-2,*} w_{N-2} \right]$$

= $p_{\theta_{N-3}i} \left(P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i} \right) C_{N-2,N-2,i} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*}$
+ $p_{\theta_{N-3}i} \left(P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i} \right) D_{N-2,N-2,i} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*}.$

Therefore, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} R_{N-2,N-2,i} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} B_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{N-2,*} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} D_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbb{E}_{N-2} \left[I_{(\theta_{N-2}=i)} Z_{N-1}^{N-2,*} w_{N-2} \right] = W_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} u_{N-2}^{t,x,*} + H_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*},$$
(25)

where

$$\begin{cases} W_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} [R_{N-2,N-2,i} + B_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i}) B_{N-2,N-2,i} \\ + D_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i}) D_{N-2,N-2,i}], \\ H_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{N-3}i} [B_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i}) A_{N-2,N-2,i} \\ + D_{N-2,N-2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} (P_{N-2,N-1,i} + T_{N-2,N-1,i}) C_{N-2,N-2,i}]. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 3.1 of [32] and (25), $u_{N-2}^{t,x,\ast}$ can be selected as

$$u_{N-2}^{t,x,*} = -W_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}}^{\dagger} H_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}} X_{N-2}^{t,x,*}$$

and

$$(I - W_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}}W_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}}^{\dagger})H_{N-2,\theta_{N-3}}X_{N-2}^{t,x,*} = 0.$$

By deduction, we can achieve the conclusion.

Consider the case t = 0. Similarly to the case $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$, we can prove the results for $k \in \mathbb{T}_1$, and now we pay attention to the result for k = 0. Note that

$$Z_{\ell}^{0,*} = P_{0,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} X_{\ell}^{0,*} + T_{0,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} X_{\ell}^{0,x,*}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_1,$$

with

$$\begin{cases} P_{0,\ell,q} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}Q_{0,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,\ell+1,i}A_{0,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,\ell+1,i}C_{0,\ell,i}, \\ T_{0,\ell,q} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{0,\ell+1,i}A_{\ell,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{0,\ell+1,i}C_{\ell,\ell,i} \\ + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{0,\ell+1,i}B_{0,\ell,i} + T_{0,\ell+1,i}B_{\ell,\ell,i})\Psi_{\ell,q} \\ + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\tau}}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{0,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{0,\ell+1,i}D_{0,\ell,i} + T_{0,\ell+1,i}D_{\ell,\ell,i})\Psi_{\ell,q}, \\ P_{0,N,q} = G_{0,q}, \quad T_{0,N,q} = 0, \\ q = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[I_{(\theta_{0}=i)}Z_{1}^{0,*}\right] = \nu_{i}\left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i}\right)\left(A_{0,0,i}x + B_{0,0,i}u_{0}^{0,x,*}\right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_0 \big[I_{(\theta_0=i)} Z_1^{0,*} w_0 \big] = \nu_i \big(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \big) \big(C_{0,0,i} x + D_{0,0,i} u_0^{0,x,*} \big).$$

Therefore, (14) becomes

$$0 = W_0 u_0^{0,x,*} + H_0 x, (26)$$

where

$$W_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left[R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) D_{0,0,i} \right],$$

$$H_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left[B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) A_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) C_{0,0,i} \right].$$

From Lemma 3.1 of [32] and (26), $u_0^{t,x,*}$ can be selected as

$$u_0^{0,x,*} = -W_0^{\dagger} H_0 x$$

and

$$(I - W_0 W_0^{\dagger}) H_0 x = 0.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). By reversing the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and Lemma 3.1 of [32], we can achieve the conclusion.

Lemma 3.8 The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) The convex condition (10) is satisfied.
- (ii) Either of the following two cases holds.

a) For $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t, \ a.s.$$
(27)

is satisfied.

b) For t = 0,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\prime} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \ a.s.$$
(28)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_i \left(R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} D_{0,0,i} \right) \ge 0$$
(29)

 $are\ satisfied.$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{ji} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right) \ge 0, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \ k \in \mathbb{T}_t \ (30)$$

implies (27). If further the Markov chain θ is irreducible, then (30) and (27) are equivalent. *Proof* From (5) and the $\{P_{k,\ell,q}\}$ of (22), we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{J}(k,0;\overline{u}_{k}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \bigg\{ (Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}})^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}} + (Y_{\ell+1}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}})^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell+1,\theta_{\ell}} Y_{\ell+1}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}} - (Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}})^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}} \bigg\} \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \big[\overline{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{k,\ell,\theta_{k}} \overline{u}_{k} \big] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \bigg\{ (Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}})^{\mathrm{T}} \big[Q_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} + A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell+1,\theta_{\ell}} A_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} + C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,\ell+1,\theta_{\ell}} C_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell}} - P_{k,\ell,\theta_{\ell-1}} \big] Y_{\ell}^{k,\overline{u}_{k}} \bigg\} \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \big[\overline{u}_{k}^{\mathrm{T}} \big(R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_{k}} B_{k,k,\theta_{k}} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_{k}} D_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \big) \overline{u}_{k} \big] \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, the convexity condition (10) is satisfied if and only if

$$\mathbb{E}_k \left(R_{k,k,\theta_k} + B_{k,k,\theta_k}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_k} B_{k,k,\theta_k} + D_{k,k,\theta_k}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_k} D_{k,k,\theta_k} \right) \ge 0, \ k \in \mathbb{T}_t, \text{ a.s.}$$

Note that for $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$ and $k \in \mathbb{T}_t$

$$\mathbb{E}_{k} \left(R_{k,k,\theta_{k}} + B_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_{k}} B_{k,k,\theta_{k}} + D_{k,k,\theta_{k}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,\theta_{k}} D_{k,k,\theta_{k}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left(R_{0,0,\theta_{0}} + B_{0,0,\theta_{0}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,\theta_{0}} B_{0,0,\theta_{0}} + D_{0,0,\theta_{0}}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,\theta_{0}} D_{0,0,\theta_{0}} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left(R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} D_{0,0,i} \right).$$

We then have the equivalence between (i) and (ii).

If the Markov chain θ is irreducible, then $P(\theta_k = j) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{T}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \tau$. Hence, (27) is equivalent to (30).

By the above preparations, we have the following equivalent characterization on the existence of open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ).

Theorem 3.9 For the initial pair (t, x), the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There exists an open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ) for the initial pair (t, x).
- (ii) Either of the following two cases holds.
- a) For $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$, the conditions (20) and (27) are satisfied.
- b) For t = 0, the conditions (23), (24), (28) and (29) are satisfied.

Deringer

So far, we are curious about the case that the initial pair (t, x) rolls out over the product space $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case, $k \in \mathbb{T}_t$ in (27) should be slightly changed as $k \in \mathbb{T}$ to characterize the convexity condition. Concerned with the stationary conditions, we can get more beyond (20). For details, see the following theorem.

Theorem 3.10 The following statements are equivalent.

(i) For any initial pair $(t, x) \in \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists an open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ) for the initial pair (t, x).

(ii) The set of difference equations

$$\begin{cases} \begin{cases} P_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}Q_{k,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,\ell+1,i}A_{k,\ell,i} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,\ell+1,i}C_{k,\ell,i}, \\ T_{k,\ell,q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{k,\ell+1,i}A_{\ell,\ell,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}T_{k,\ell+1,i}C_{\ell,\ell,i} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}A_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{k,\ell+1,i}B_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i}B_{\ell,\ell,i})W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger}H_{\ell,q} \\ - \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{qi}C_{k,\ell,i}^{\mathrm{T}}(P_{k,\ell+1,i}D_{k,\ell,i} + T_{k,\ell+1,i}D_{\ell,\ell,i})W_{\ell,q}^{\dagger}H_{\ell,q}, \\ P_{k,N,q} = G_{k,q}, \quad T_{k,N,q} = 0, \\ q = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{T}_{k+1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i}(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,k+1,i}B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{k,k+1,i}D_{k,k,i}) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \\ W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i}(R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,1,i}B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}}P_{0,1,i}D_{0,0,i}) \ge 0, \\ W_{0}W_{0}^{\dagger}H_{0} = H_{0} \end{cases}$$

$$(31)$$

is solvable in the sense of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right) \ge 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1},$$

$$W_{k,\theta_{k-1}} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left(R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} D_{0,0,i} \right) \ge 0,$$

$$W_{0} W_{0}^{\dagger} H_{0} = H_{0},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \Big[R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \big(P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i} \big) B_{k,k,i} \\ + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \big(P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i} \big) D_{k,k,i} \Big], \\ H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} \Big[B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \big(P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i} \big) A_{k,k,i} \\ + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \big(P_{k,k+1,i} + T_{k,k+1,i} \big) C_{k,k,i} \Big], \\ k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} W_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left[R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) B_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) D_{0,0,i} \right], \\ H_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \nu_{i} \left[B_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) A_{0,0,i} + D_{0,0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(P_{0,1,i} + T_{0,1,i} \right) C_{0,0,i} \right]. \end{cases}$$

Under any of above conditions,

$$u_{k}^{t,x,*} = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} -W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}X_{k}^{t,x,*}, & k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \\ -W_{0}^{\dagger}H_{0}x, & k = 0, \end{cases} \\ -W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}X_{k}^{t,x,*}, & t \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \ k \in \mathbb{T}_{t} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

is an open-loop equilibrium control of Problem (LQ) for the initial pair (t, x), and the corresponding open-loop equilibrium state is

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{t,x,*} = A_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + B_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*} + \left(C_{k,k,\theta_k} X_k^{t,x,*} + D_{k,k,\theta_k} u_k^{t,x,*} \right) w_k, \\ X_t^{t,x,*} = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t. \end{cases}$$

Proof ii) \Rightarrow i). This follows from Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8.

i) \Rightarrow ii). Note (20). Letting k = t and taking different x's, we have $W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger}H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, k \in \mathbb{T}$. As for any (t,x) with $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $x \in l_{\mathcal{F}}^2(t;\mathbb{R}^n)$ Problem $(LQ)_{tx}$ admits an open-loop equilibrium control, we must have the solvability of (31).

Remark 3.11 If the Markov chain θ is irreducible, then $P(\theta_k = j) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{T}, j = 1, 2, \dots, \tau$. In this case, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{ji} \left(R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i} \right) \ge 0, \\
W_{k,j} W_{k,j}^{\dagger} H_{k,j} = H_{k,j}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1}, \\
j = 1, 2, \cdots, \tau$$

is satisfied, then

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} p_{\theta_{k-1}i} (R_{k,k,i} + B_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} B_{k,k,i} + D_{k,k,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{k,k+1,i} D_{k,k,i}) \ge 0, \\ W_{k,\theta_{k-1}} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} = H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_{1} \end{cases}$$

will hold.

4 An Example

Consider an example of Problem (LQ) with the system equation

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1} = (A_{k,\theta_k} X_k + B_{k,\theta_k} u_k) + (C_{k,\theta_k} X_k^t + D_{k,\theta_k} u_k) w_k, \\ X_t = x, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}_t = \{t, \cdots, 2\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T} = \{0, 1, 2\}, \end{cases}$$

and the cost functional

$$J(t, x; u) = \sum_{k=t}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[(X_k)^{\mathrm{T}} Q_{t,k,\theta_k} X_k + u_k^{\mathrm{T}} R_{t,k,\theta_k} u_k \right] + \mathbb{E}\left[X_3^{\mathrm{T}} G_{t,\theta_3} X_3 \right],$$

where

$$\begin{split} &A_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=1.1, \ A_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=0.51, \ A_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=-1.41, \ A_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=-1.5, \\ &A_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=2.1, \ A_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=-1.55, \ B_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=-1.5, \ B_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=1.35, \\ &B_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=-1.5, \ B_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=-1.85, \ B_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=0, \ B_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=2.55, \\ &C_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=2.14, \ C_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=-1.31, \ C_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=-2.431, \ C_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=2.38, \\ &C_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=-2.341, \ C_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=2.445, \ D_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=1.455, \ D_{0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=-2.345, \\ &D_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=2.533, \ D_{1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=2.45, \ D_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=1.5, \ D_{2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=0, \\ &Q_{0,0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=Q_{0,0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=2, \ Q_{0,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=Q_{0,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=0, \\ &Q_{0,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=Q_{0,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=1, \ Q_{1,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=Q_{1,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=1.5, \\ &Q_{1,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=Q_{1,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=1.75, \ Q_{2,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=Q_{2,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=1, \\ &R_{0,0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=1}}=R_{0,0,\theta_{0}|_{\theta_{0}=2}}=1, \ R_{0,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=1}}=R_{0,1,\theta_{1}|_{\theta_{1}=2}}=2.5, \\ &R_{0,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=R_{1,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=3, \ &R_{2,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=1}}=R_{2,2,\theta_{2}|_{\theta_{2}=2}}=3.45, \\ &G_{0,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=1}}=1, \ &G_{0,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=2}}=1.5, \ &G_{1,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=1}}=2, \ &G_{1,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=2}}=2.5, \\ &G_{2,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=1}}=0.5, \ &G_{2,\theta_{3}|_{\theta_{3}=2}}=1.75. \\ \end{array}$$

Here, Markov chain θ takes values in $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2\}$ with the transition probability matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the initial distribution of θ is $\nu = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

0

 $Solution\;$ By some calculations, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{1i} \left(R_{2,2,i} + B_{2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{2,3,i} B_{2,i} + D_{2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{2,3,i} D_{2,i} \right) = 12.2658 > 0, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{2i} \left(R_{2,2,i} + B_{2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{2,3,i} B_{2,i} + D_{2,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{2,3,i} D_{2,i} \right) = 9.7022 > 0, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{1i} \left(R_{1,1,i} + B_{1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{1,2,i} B_{1,i} + D_{1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{1,2,i} D_{1,i} \right) = 206.8808 > 0, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{2i} \left(R_{1,1,i} + B_{1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{1,2,i} B_{1,i} + D_{1,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{1,2,i} D_{1,i} \right) = 203.3189 > 0, \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{2} \nu_i \left(R_{0,0,i} + B_{0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} B_{0,i} + D_{0,i}^{\mathrm{T}} P_{0,1,i} D_{0,i} \right) = 578.8061 > 0, \\ &W_{2,1} = 12.2658 \neq 0, \quad W_{2,2} = 9.7022 \neq 0, \quad W_{1,1} = 170.9644 \neq 0, \\ &W_{1,2} = 167.4800 \neq 0, \quad W_{0} = 467.8447 \neq 0. \end{split}$$

According to this and Remark 3.11, we have that the corresponding (31) is solvable. Therefore, for any $(t, x) \in \{0, 1, 2\} \times \mathbb{R}$, the considered LQ problem admits an open-loop equilibrium control. For (0, x), the control

$$u^{0,x,*} = \begin{cases} -W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}} X_k^{0,x,*}, & k \in \{1,2\}, \\ -W_0^{\dagger} H_0 x, & k = 0, \end{cases}$$

is an open-loop equilibrium control, where

$$-W_0^{\dagger}H_0 = -0.4537, \quad -W_{1,1}^{\dagger}H_{1,1} = -0.5826, \quad -W_{1,2}^{\dagger}H_{1,2} = -0.2493, \\ -W_{2,1}^{\dagger}H_{2,1} = 0.4587, \quad -W_{2,2}^{\dagger}H_{2,2} = 0.4469,$$

and $X^{0,x,*}$ is computed via

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{0,x,*} = (A_{k,\theta_k} - B_{k,\theta_k} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}) X_k^{0,x,*} \\ + (C_{k,\theta_k} D_{k,\theta_k} W_{k,\theta_{k-1}}^{\dagger} H_{k,\theta_{k-1}}) X_k^{0,x,*} w_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \\ X_1^{0,x,*} = (A_{0,\theta_0} - B_{0,\theta_0} W_0^{\dagger} H_0) X_0^{0,x,*} \\ + (C_{0,\theta_0} - D_{0,\theta_0} W_0^{\dagger} H_0) X_0^{0,x,*} w_0, \\ X_0^{0,x,*} = x. \end{cases}$$

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we investigated the open-loop equilibrium control for a time-inconsistent stochastic LQ problem with regime switching. Necessary and sufficient conditions are presented to characterize the existence of open-loop equilibrium control via the Markov-chain-modulated 2 Springer

FBS ΔE and generalized Riccati-like equations. For future researches, we would like to extend the methodology developed in this paper to other types of time inconsistency.

References

- Strotz R H, Myopia and inconsistency in dynamic utility maximization, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 1955–1956, 23(3): 165–180.
- [2] Goldman S M, Consistent plan, The Review of Economic Studies, 1980, 47: 533–537.
- Krusell P and Smith A A, Consumption and savings decisions with quasi-geometric discounting, Econometrica, 2003, 71(1): 365–375.
- [4] Laibson D, Golden eggs and hyperbolic discounting, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 1997, 112: 443–477.
- Palacioshuerta I, Time-inconsistent preferences in Adam Smith and Davis Hume, *History of Political Economy*, 2003, 35: 391–401.
- [6] Ekeland I and Lazrak A, Investment and consumption without commitment, Mathematics and Financial Economics, 2008, 2: 57–86.
- [7] Ekeland I and Privu T A, Investment and consumption without commitment, Mathematics and Financial Economics, 2008, 2(1): 57–86.
- [8] Bjork T and Murgoci A, A theory of Markovian time-inconsistent stochastic control in discrete time, *Finance and Stochastics*, 2014, 18(3): 545–592.
- Hu Y, Jin H, and Zhou X Y, Time-inconsistent stochastic linear-quadratic control, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 2012, 50: 1548–1572.
- [10] Hu Y, Jin H, and Zhou X Y, Time-inconsistent stochastic linear-quadratic control: Characterization and uniqueness of equilibrium, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 2017, 50(3): 1548–1572.
- [11] Yong J M, A deterministic linear quadratic time-inconsitent optimal control problem, Mathematical Control and Related Rields, 2011, 1(1): 83–118.
- [12] Yong J M, Deterministic time-inconsistent optimal control problems An essentially cooperative approach, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 2012, 28: 1–20.
- [13] Yong J M, Linear-quadratic optimal control problems for mean-field stochastic differential equations-time-consistent solutions, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 2017, 369: 5467–5523.
- [14] Wei Q, Yu Z, and Yong J M, Time-inconsistent recursive stochastic optimal control problems, SIAM Journal on Control Optimization, 2017, 55(6): 4156–4201.
- [15] Yong J M, Time-inconsistent optimal control problems and the equilibrium HJB equation, Mathematical Control and Related Rields, 2012, 2(3): 271–329.
- [16] Ni Y H, Zhang J F, and Krstic M, Time-inconsistent mean-field stochastic LQ problem: Openloop time-consistent control, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2018, 63(9): 2771–2786.
- [17] Qi Q and Zhang H S, Time-inconsistent stochastic linear quadratic control for discrete-time systems, *Science China Information Sciences*, 2017, 60(12): 120204.
- [18] Ni Y H, Li X, Zhang J F, et al., Mixed equilibrium solution of time-inconsistent stochastic LQ problem, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 2019, 57(1): 533–569.

- [19] Caines P E and Chen H F, Optimal adaptive LQG control for systems with finite state process parameters, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1985, **30**(2): 185–189.
- [20] Caines P E and Zhang J F, On the adaptive control for jump parameter systems via non-linear filtering, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 1995, 33(6): 1758–1777.
- [21] Fragoso M D and Costa O L V, A unified approach for stochastic and mean square stability of continuous-time linear systems with Markovian jumping parameters and additive disturbance, *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 2005, 44(4): 1165–1191.
- [22] Ji Y and Chizeck H J, Controllability, observability and continuous-time Markovian jump linear quadratic control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1990, **35**: 777–788.
- [23] Li X, Zhou X Y, and Rami M A, Indefinite stochastic linear quadratic control with Markovian jumps in infinite time horizon, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2003, 27: 149–175.
- [24] Mariton M, Jump Linear Systems in Automatic Control, Marcel Decker, New York, 1990.
- [25] Yin G and Zhou X Y, Markowitz mean-variance portfolio selection with regime switching: From discrete-time models to their continuous-time limits, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2004, **49**: 349–360.
- [26] Costa O L V, Fragoso M D, and Marques R P, Discrete-Time Markov Jump Linear Systems, Springer-Verlag London, 2005.
- [27] Fu X and Zhu Q, Stability of nonlinear impulsive stochastic systems with Markovian switching under generalized average dwell time condition, *Science China Information Sciences*, 2018, 61(11): 208–222.
- [28] Mao X, Yin G, and Yuan C, Stabilization and destabilization of hybrid systems of stochastic differential equations, *Automatica*, 2007, 43: 264–273.
- [29] Zhang S, Xiong J, and Liu X, Stochastic maximum principle for partially observed forwardbackward stochastic differential equations with jumps and regime switching, *Science China Information Sciences*, 2018, **61**(7): 070211.
- [30] Zong G, Li Y, and Sun H, Composite anti-disturbance resilient control for Markovian jump nonlinear systems with general uncertain transition rate, *Science China Information Sciences*, 2019, **62**(2): 022205.
- [31] Han C Y, Li H D, Wang W, et al., Linear quadratic optimal control and stabilization for discretetime Markov jump linear systems, 2018, arXiv:1803.05121.
- [32] Rami M A, Chen X, and Zhou X Y, Discrete-time indefinite LQ control with state and control dependent noises, *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2002, 23: 245–265.