**ADVANCED FUNCTIONAL MATERIALS AND DEVICES**



# **Bioremediation of heavy metals by an unexplored bacterium,**  *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* **strain GTZY isolated from aerobic‑bioflm wastewater system**

Nelofer Abdul Raheem<sup>1</sup> · Ganesh-Kumar Selvaraj<sup>1</sup> · Kalimuthu Karuppanan<sup>2</sup> · Govindarajan Ganesan<sup>3</sup> · Saravanan Soorangkattan<sup>4</sup> · Balachandran Subramanian<sup>5</sup> · Shivani Ramamurthy Baluraj<sup>5</sup> · Dhilip Kumar Rajaiah<sup>6</sup> · **Imran Hasan7**

Received: 29 March 2024 / Accepted: 30 July 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### **Abstract**

We prompted to characterize a wastewater bacterium, *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY, that efficiently transforms toxic mercury and arsenic, explores its bioremediation capability, and reveals their relevant gene resistance operons. The isolated strain was characterized by its phylogenetic, biochemical, and phenotypic properties. The strain GTZY potentially removed 84.3% of mercury and their mercury volatilization (Hg(II) to Hg(0)) was confirmed using the X-ray film method, and its respective *merA* gene was PCR amplified. In addition, strain GTZY efficiently removed arsenate (68.5%) and arsenite (63.2%), and showed resistance up to>175 and>55 mM, respectively. Their genomic annotations disclosed the linkage of *Tn2*-transposon and *int1* in both ends of *mer* operon (*merAPTR)*. The co-existence of arsP and arsH proteins in its intrinsic *ars* operon (*arsCPRH)* was extremely diverse from its ancestral species. We believe that the mercury resistance-conferring *mer* operon of *P. mexicana* GTZY presumably derived horizontally from other species in the reactor, while the arsenic resistance-conferring intrinsic *ars* operon was highly diversifed and evolved from its ancestral species. By considering the potential of the strain GTZY to transform heavy metals, this can be used to recover contaminated sites.

**Keywords** *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* · Mercury · Arsenic · Resistance gene operon · Bioremediation · Wastewater

# **Introduction**

Heavy metals are inorganic ill-defned chemical compounds that are ubiquitous in nature. Some heavy metals such as cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), nickel (Ni), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), mercury (Hg), copper (Cu), and zinc (Zn) mainly persist in the environment due to the core release of anthropical sources (Loganathan et al. [2015](#page-12-0); Govarthanan et al. [2016b,](#page-12-1)

- Isolate has great potential to resist, reduce and remove the toxic  $Hg(II)$  and  $As(V)$ .
- An acquired mobile elements linked mer gene operon was observed in its chromosome.
- An intrinsic ars gene operon was extremely diverse from its ancestral species.

Responsible Editor: Robert Duran

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

[a,](#page-12-2) [2018](#page-12-3), [2019](#page-12-4); Jobby et al. [2018](#page-12-5); Brifa et al. [2020](#page-11-0); Khalid et al. [2020](#page-12-6)). Metal persistence is considered an emerging situation due to its potential toxicity in the entire ecosystem, including humans, animals, and plants. In humans, it evokes conditions such as neurological and cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory disorder, enlarged liver and spleen, cancer, nervous system damage, and hypophosphatemia (Olmedo et al. [2013;](#page-12-7) Rebelo and Caldas [2016](#page-13-0); Luo et al. [2020;](#page-12-8) Qin et al. [2021\)](#page-13-1). Some heavy metals are considered highly toxic as they could cause human illness even at their relatively low levels. Among those, arsenic and mercury are the prime considerations for their chemical toxicity, persistence, frequency, and potential for human exposure (Hou et al. [2020](#page-12-9)). According to the national priority list released by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR [2018](#page-11-1)), among the 275 toxic substances, arsenic and mercury were ranked frst and third, respectively (Watters and Rayman [2014\)](#page-13-2). The main challenge and limitation posed by these heavy metals is their non-biodegradability nature; therefore, these can not be catabolized by indigenous microorganisms. Alternatively,

**Highlights**

<sup>•</sup> First detailed heavy metals bioremediation study in the genus, Pseudoxanthomonas.

these heavy metals can be transformed from toxic to non-toxic forms by their nuclear structural changes via microbial activities (Wuana and Okieimen [2011;](#page-13-3) Jebeli et al. [2017;](#page-12-10) Wang et al. [2020\)](#page-13-4), but it is narrated only to specifc degrading microbes. Another challenge posed by mercury and arsenic contamination is their molecular alteration into toxic methyl mercury (MeHg) and methyl arsenite (MeAs), respectively, which leads to bioaccumulation and biomagnifcation in the aquatic ecosystem (Christakis et al. [2021;](#page-12-11) Alabssawy and Hashem [2024](#page-11-2))**.** Hence, remediating these heavy metals from the polluted sites is very important. Conventional methods such as chemical treatment, fltration, incineration, excavation, and soil washing are expensive and laborious (Bala et al. [2022](#page-11-3)). Meanwhile, bioremediation is an eco-friendly natural process to clean up polluted environments by microbes that transform toxic chemical compounds to safe levels (Jeyakumar et al. [2022](#page-12-12)).

In general, mercury bioremediation mainly occurs by the mechanism of reduction or volatilization, which is governed by *mer* operon in microorganisms (Boyd and Barkay [2012](#page-11-4); Raj and Maiti [2019](#page-13-5)). In *mer* operon, merA protein is one of the main constituents along with mercury-binding (*merP*), regulatory (*merR* and *D*), and mercury-transporting proteins (*merT* and *E*), and is mainly located in the bacterial chromosome or mobile genetic elements (e.g., plasmids, integrons, or transposons) (Oregaard and Sørensen [2007;](#page-13-6) Boyd and Barkay [2012](#page-11-4); Møller et al. [2014](#page-12-13); Naguib et al. [2018](#page-12-14)). Relying on the availability of toxic mercury  $(Hg^2)$ , merR protein binds specifically into the promoter-operator region and it is followed by regulating the transcription of structural genes. As a result, it produces a key enzyme in the detoxifying system known as mercury reductase (encoded by *merA* gene), an NAD(P)H-dependent flavin oxidoreductase, reducing toxic mercury  $(Hg^2)$  into a volatile natured less toxic mercury  $(Hg^0)$  (Freedman et al. [2012](#page-12-15); Dash et al. [2017\)](#page-12-16). Likewise, microbial arsenic bioremediation mainly involves arsenic reduction (*arsC*), oxidation (*AoxAB, arsH*), methylation, and intra-cellular bioaccumulation (Pepi et al. [2007;](#page-13-7) Rahman and Hassler [2014;](#page-13-8) Govarthanan et al. [2015,](#page-12-17) [2016a,](#page-12-2) [2019;](#page-12-4) Satyapal et al. [2018;](#page-13-9) Ben Fekih et al. [2018](#page-11-5)). The *ars* operon is an energy-gaining detoxifying respiratory system that facilitates the reduction of pentavalent arsenate(V) into trivalent-arsenite(III) (Suhadolnik et al. [2017\)](#page-13-10). The interaction of transcriptional repressor protein (arsR) with the promoter region of *ars* operon, followed by the binding of arsR protein with arsenate, dissociates the repressor protein from a specifc DNA site permitting the *ars* operon's transcription (Páez-Espino et al. [2015](#page-13-11); Li et al. [2016](#page-12-18)). The *arsC* encoding arsenic reductase enzyme governs this organoarsenic reduction process. The *ars* operons, such as *arsRB*, *arsRBC*, *arsRDABC*, and *arsHRBC*, are widely demonstrated in gram-negative bacteria (Dey et al. [2016](#page-12-19); Ben Fekih et al. [2018;](#page-11-5) Taran et al. [2019](#page-13-12)).

The existence and sustainability of these metal resistance operons among the various bacterial communities are usually maintained and transmitted with the help of mobile genetic elements via horizontal gene transfer (Møller et al. [2014](#page-12-13); Suhadolnik et al. [2017](#page-13-10)). In some bacteria, this metal resistance sustainability is preserved intrinsically in the bacterial chromosome, resulting from vertical gene transfer from its ancestral species. Many bacterial species reportedly have arsenic and mercury resistance operons in their chromosome or mobile genetics elements (Møller et al. [2011](#page-12-20); Ghaly et al. [2017](#page-12-21); Agar-wal et al. [2019\)](#page-11-6). However, none among the species in the genus *Pseudoxanthomonas* have been demonstrated for any heavy metal resistance and their respective operons. The 25 members of this genus are gram-negative, rod-shaped, and non-pathogenic that are long been known for their prevalence in diverse ecological sources, including soil, water, plants, animal, and human tissues (Thierry et al. [2004](#page-13-13); Rani et al. [2010;](#page-13-14) Kittiwongwattana and Thawai [2016;](#page-12-22) Selvaraj et al. [2018](#page-13-15)). Although the genus *Pseudoxanthomonas* is well characterized for its biodegradation capability and surfactant production (Choi et al. [2013;](#page-12-23) Talwar and Ninnekar [2015;](#page-13-16) Biswas et al. [2017](#page-11-7); Astuti et al. [2019;](#page-11-8) Lu et al. [2019;](#page-12-24) Purwasena et al. [2020](#page-13-17)), it is unremarked in many environmental processes, particularly in terms of its bioremediation capability. This study thereby deals with (i) isolation and characterization of Hg and As resistant strain GTZY from a bioreactor treating antibiotic-containing wastewater, (ii) evaluation of Hg and As removal efficiency of strain GTZY in batch-culture experiments, (iii) disclosure of the *mer* and *ars* resistance operon from strain GTZY, and (iv) phylogenetic distribution and relationship of identifed *mer* and *ars* operon of strain GTZY with other bacterial communities.

# **Materials and methods**

#### **Bacterial strain**

The bacterial samples were collected from the aerobic-bioflm wastewater reactor treating aminoglycoside antibiotic, streptomycin (50 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) (State key laboratory of RCEES, CAS Institute, Beijing, China). The tenfold serial dilution method was used to isolate and enrich bacterial isolate from the collected sludge samples. Inoculated (100 µL aliquots) plates were incubated at 30 °C for 12–18 h. Tryptone soy agar (TSA) and Reasoner's 2A agar (R2A) media were used for the purpose of bacterial isolation. Gram's staining was routinely performed to check the microbial purity. Initially, 23 bacterial isolates were harvested from the wastewater reactor. To obtain the potential heavy metal transforming bacteria, the purifed isolates were further screened on the growth media amended with sodium arsenate ( $Na<sub>2</sub>HAsO<sub>4</sub>$ ), 1  $\mu$ M, and mercuric chloride (HgCl<sub>2</sub>), 10  $\mu$ M. Among the 23 isolates, strain GTZY was chosen for further studies due to its fast-growing ability and survival capability in the presence of increased concentrations of As(V), 100  $\mu$ M, and HgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50  $\mu$ M. These screened isolates were stored as 40% glycerol stock for further studies.

## **General characterization of bacterial isolate**

The morphological, biochemical, and physiological characterization of isolated bacterial strains was performed based on standard microbiological methods. The optimum temperature and pH were identifed by culturing the strain on the nutrient agar media at various temperatures (25−40 °C) and pH (5−8). After 12−18 h incubation, bacterial colony morphology was determined using a single colony grown on the nutrient agar, TSA, LB, and R2A media (Dziurzynski et al. [2023](#page-12-25)). The hanging drop method was performed to check the motility of isolates under light microscopy. Gram's staining solutions were manually prepared and isolates were viewed under light microscopy magnifcations  $(x 100)$ . A salt tolerance test was carried out by preparing brain–heart infusion agar plates with the addition of various NaCl concentrations of 0−7% (w/v). Activity of various enzymes (catalase, oxidase, urease, and indole), hydrogen sulfde production, utilization of various carbon sources, nitrate reductability, and hydrolysis of biochemicals (gelatin, starch, and casein) tests were also carried out by the standard microbial methods (Ahn et al. [2019](#page-11-9); Batbatan et al. [2022\)](#page-11-10).

# **16S rRNA gene amplifcation and phylogenetic tree analysis**

The genomic DNA isolation and 16S rRNA gene PCR amplifcations were carried out as described in the previous study (Selvaraj et al. [2018\)](#page-13-15). In brief, the genomic DNA was harvested from the bacterial samples using the TIANamp bacteria DNA kit (Tiangen, China), and the isolated DNA was quantifed using Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Nanodrop, USA). The 16S rRNA fragments were amplifed using the universal primers (27F-5′-AGA GTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′ and 1492R-5′-AAGGAG GTGATCCAGCCGCA-3′), sanger sequenced (Biobase, China) and interpreted by the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) and GenBank-National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database. The MEGA6.06 and Clustal W software were used to construct a phylogenetic tree for isolated strains against its closest 19 bacterial strains. The neighbor-joining method and bootstrap analysis were executed for the bacterial phylogeny and statistical sequence analysis, respectively. In bootstrap analysis, 1000 replicates were applied to determine the confdence values of bacterial phylogenetic tree nodes. Finally, the partial

16S rRNA gene sequence was submitted to the GenBank (Accession No.: MZ242608).

# **Determination of mercury MIC**

After bacterial isolation, the bacterial efficiency on mercury resistance was maintained in LB media by the supplement of mercury chloride solution (10−30 μM; HgCl<sub>2</sub>). Bacterial aliquots of 1.0 mL of overnight cultures were incubated in 99.0 mL of the Muller Hinton (MH) broth added with 10 µM of HgCl<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently, those cultures were resuspended with 2−5 mL of Tris-buffered saline (TBS) solution, and absorbance  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$  was adjusted to 0.100 OD. Further, 125 µL of appropriate bacterial dilutions was inoculated on the 96-well plate containing 125 µL of LB broth (in triplicate) amended with various concentrations (0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, and 80  $\mu$ M) of HgCl<sub>2</sub> and incubated at 30 °C for 72 h in the dark. After the incubation, bacterial growth was observed by measuring the absorbance  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$ ) using a microplate reader. The inhibition efect of Hg on microbial growth and values of MIC and MIC $_{50}$  were calculated.

### **Mercury removal assay**

Overnight grown bacterial cultures (in triplicate) added with  $10 \mu$ M of HgCl<sub>2</sub> were subjected to the mercury removal assay. Every 12 h of incubation, 4 mL of culture was centrifuged for 10 min at 7500 rpm, thereby recovered bacterial supernatant was resuspended in 2.8 mL of Tris-bufered saline solution. In the resuspended solution, 0.4 mL of 5-diphenyl-3-thiocarbazone (dithizone) reagent solution (0.50 mg of dithizone mixed with 20 mL of acetone) was added, followed by the addition of 0.4 mL of sulphuric acid (2 N) to maintain the acid pH (pH 2−3), and fnally it was added by 0.4 mL of dioxane (Naguib et al. [2019\)](#page-12-26). The acidic pH of the solution enhanced the formation of orange-red color by coupling dithizone and Hg(II). These fnal solutions were diluted to 5 mL using distilled water and measured mercury removal values at 488 nm in a UV/visible spectrophotometer. Meanwhile, a similar experimental setup was used for the control samples using dead bacterial cells (autoclaved cells). The reference calibration curve was also prepared for standard  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$  solutions treated as described above but without bacterial suspension. The detection limit for this method was estimated as  $< 1$  ppb (Nordlander [2024](#page-12-27)). The actual Hg concentrations during the incubation period were measured and thereby the Hg removal percentage was calculated as follows,

```
% removal of Hg = \frac{\text{Initial Hg concentration - residual Hg concentration}}{\text{Initial Hg concentration}} \times 100
```
### **Mercury volatilization assay**

A simplifed X-ray flm method was used to confrm the mercury volatilization from  $Hg(II)$  state to  $Hg(0)$  state by the strain GTZY. Overnight grown culture which was added with 10  $\mu$ M; HgCl<sub>2</sub> was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 5 min. Harvested bacterial pellets were resuspended into a 200 µL assay solution containing 0.07 M phosphate bufer (pH 7), 0.2 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM sodium thioglycolate, and 50  $\mu$ M HgCl<sub>2</sub>. The microtiter plate containing the abovementioned solution was fully covered using an X-ray flm (Kodak Scientifc Imaging, Hyderabad, India) (Møller et al. [2011,](#page-12-20) [2014](#page-12-13)) and incubated at 35 °C in the dark for 60 min. A similar solution mixture without bacterial cells and bacterial suspension without  $HgCl<sub>2</sub>$  were utilized as control samples.

### **Determination of arsenic MIC**

The bacterial arsenic resistance was evaluated by adding the diferent concentrations of sodium arsenate (10−400 mM) and sodium arsenite (10−200 mM) into the overnight-grown bacterial culture, while the control samples were added with no arsenic metals. The cultures were resuspended with 2−5 mL of TBS solution with an absorbance ( $OD<sub>600 nm</sub>$ ) of 0.100. Further, 125 µL of appropriate bacterial dilutions and 125 µL of MH broth (in triplicate) were added to the 96-well plate containing suspended concentrations of arsenic and incubated at 30 °C in the dark for 48 h (in triplicate). After the incubation, tested bacterial samples were estimated for their microbial growth at 600 nm using a microplate reader. The inhibition effect of arsenic on microbial growth and values of MIC and  $MIC<sub>50</sub>$  were calculated.

#### **Arsenic removal assay**

After the bacterial isolation, the efficiency of arsenic resistance was sustained by adding sodium arsenate (100 mM) and sodium arsenite (100 mM) into the bacterial growth media (NA). To obtain the bacterial assay supernatant, the isolate (1%) was inoculated (in triplicate) in LB broth added with 5  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate and sodium arsenite solution (as sources for  $As(V)$  and  $As(III)$ , respectively) and incubated at 30 °C for various time intervals (viz. 8, 12, 18, 24, 48, and 72 h). After the defnite time points, the respective bacterial biomass was separated from the growth media by 10,000 rpm for 10 min and the derived supernatant was subjected to the bioremediation assay. The actual arsenic utilization by bacterial isolate was determined by the silver diethyldithiocarbamate (SDDC) method (Tahir et al. [2012](#page-13-18)). The detection limit for this method was evaluated as 1–5 ppb. Briefy, the production of arsine-carrying hydrogen

passed through a reduction column impregnated with lead acetate and then into the absorbing solution SDDC dissolved pyridine. The end red-colored product (arsine with SDDC solution) was measured spectrophotometrically at 535 nm.

#### **Arsenic reduction and oxidation assay**

The ability of the bacterial isolate to oxidize arsenic (As(III)) and reduce arsenic (As(V)) was checked by the silver nitrate solution (Dey et al. [2016\)](#page-12-19). The bacterial isolates were cultured in the broth media (peptic digest of animal tissue, 5 g/L; yeast extract, 1.5 g/L; and beef extract, 1.5 g/L). After 24 and 48 h of incubation, 100 µL of silver nitrate solution (0.1 M) was added into the grown bacterial culture (100  $\mu$ L) amended with 1  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate and sodium arsenite. If the culture broth turns brown, it represents the presence of silver arsenate, while if the culture broth turns yellow, it represents the presence of silver arsenite.

#### **merA and arsC gene sequencing**

The extracted and purifed genomic DNA was used as a template DNA to screen mercury (*merA*) and arsenical (*arsC*) resistance genes. The designed *merA* (*merA-*FP-5′-CTACCC CGCGCAACAGGACA-3′ and *merA-*RP-5′-TGATGGCGC CTTGCGCATTG-3′) and *arsC* (*arsC-*FP-5′-TCAGGACGA GGCGCCGATCT-3′ and *arsC-*RP-3′-ATGGACCGCCCCTAC AACCT-5′) primers were used to amplify the partial regions *merA* and *arsC*. The purifed genomic DNA (50 ng) was used as a template for preparing the PCR reaction. The triplicate PCR mixture (50  $\mu$ L) comprised of 1×PCR buffer (with MgCl<sup>2+</sup>), 2.5 mM of the dNTPs mix, 0.5 μM of each primer, and 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Takara, Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). The PCR conditions were performed as follows: initial denaturation stage at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 2 min, and a fnal extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The amplifed specifc PCR products were resolved using 1.2% agarose (Biowest, Hong Kong) gel and sequenced (Sanger sequencing). The gene sequences were analyzed using BLASTN.

#### **Annotations of mer and ars gene operons**

The total DNA extraction, DNA quality evaluation, genome sequencing, and genome analysis of strain GTZY were elaborately given in our previous research studies (Selvaraj et al. [2022](#page-13-19)). Briefy, the extracted total genome of isolated strain GTZY was sequenced using the third-generation singlemolecule real-time (SMRT) technology (Pacifc Biosciences Inc., USA). The original genome raw reads and obtained fltered subreads were further assembled (3,936,186 bp) using the Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process (HGAP) and the sequenced genome was submitted to the GenBank (Accession No.: CP060028). The whole-genome sequence of *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* strain GTZY was used for the resistance gene cluster's interpretation. The *mer* and *ars* resistance gene clusters related data were initially annotated using the online ORF prediction server, Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology (RAST), and their manual annotations were performed using BLAST. A Python application software, Easyfg (ver. 2.1), was used for the linear comparison and visualization of *mer* and *ars* resistance gene clusters. In addition, the BLAST comparison between the gene annotated fles was manually uploaded, output fles (blastn) generated, interactively colored, and enabled a rapid transition using the software, Easyfg. The SnapGene Viewer (ver. 4.1.3) was used for the gene annotation and visualization.

### **Results**

### **Characterization of bacterial isolate**

The isolated bacterial strain was aerobic, gram-negative, rod-shaped, and highly motile. The colonies were pale yellow, circular, convex, and mucoid-natured on the solid nutrient media (Fig. S1). The isolate can grow in the media up to 40 °C and pH 8, while its optimum temperature and pH were 34−37 °C and 7−7.5, respectively. Isolate can tolerate the presence of sodium chloride up to  $8\%$  (w/v); however, its optimum concentration was 3.5–5.5%. Their biochemical and phenotypic characterizations are given in Table S1. Biochemically, the isolate turned positive for catalase while remaining negative for oxidase and urease, which in turn ferments glucose and mannitol but not sucrose and lactose. The hydrolysis test turned positive for the gelatin and casein but remained negative for starch. Moreover, it showed negative results for indole production, nitrate reductase, and Voges-Proskauer (VP) test, and positive for the Tween-20 and Methyl Red (MR) test. The length of the 16S rRNA gene sequence was 1424 bp, and it shared the closest relationship (99.39%) with *P. mexicana* CP4 (Accession No.: MT549102) in the phylogenetic tree by the neighbor-joining method (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Hence, the isolated bacterium was named as *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* strain GTZY and submitted to the GenBank (Accession No.: MZ242608).

### **MIC, volatilization, and bioremediation of mercury**

The effect of mercury on microbial growth was studied. Further, MIC and  $MIC<sub>50</sub>$  were determined by supplementing diferent Hg(II) concentrations into LB broth inoculated with strain GTZY. After 48 h of incubation, mean values



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** A phylogenetic tree showing the clustering of twenty 16S rRNA gene sequences along with an isolated bacterial strain GTZY. The neighbor-joining constructed tree was evaluated by the bootstrap method. The scale bar 0.0002 represents the nucleotide substitution level, and numbers indicate bootstrap values

of OD<sub>600nm</sub> were plotted against 0−80 µM of HgCl<sub>2</sub>. The MIC value of  $Hg$ (II) against strain GTZY was 33.4  $\mu$ M of HgCl<sub>2</sub> and its MIC<sub>50</sub> value was 40.8  $\mu$ M of HgCl<sub>2</sub>. Microbial growth was notably inhibited by 35.5  $\mu$ M of HgCl<sub>2</sub>, and it was completely inhibited (0.21 OD $_{600nm}$ ) at 49.7 µM of HgCl<sub>2</sub> (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)A). After 60 h of incubation, the residual concentration of Hg was  $1.7 \pm 0.2$  µM and it was sustained for the remaining periods (Fig. S3A). The mercury-reducing ability of the strain GTZY was confrmed by a mercury volatilization assay conducted at 48 h of incubation. The strain formed a foggy area (dark circle) due to the reaction between Hg(0) and Ag emulsion on the X-ray flm (Fig. S2). However, the isolate started to produce foggy formation from 4 h onwards. Hence, the strain GTZY reduced inorganic Hg(II) and formed volatile  $Hg(0)$ , which reacted with the film. There was no interference of foggy circles observed in the control samples.

Mercury removal by the strain GTZY was determined using the spectrophotometric dithizone method. Initial and residual Hg concentrations were evaluated against the standard calibration curve of various concentrations of Hg. The strain GTZY removed 16.3% of Hg from 4 h onwards, and their removal process was stopped at 48 h of incubation (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)B). The strain GTZY removed a maximum of 84.3% Hg(II) at 48 h and their removal rate was maintained until 96 h of incubation. At the same time, no mercury removal was found in the control samples.

# **MIC, reduction, and bioremediation of arsenic metals**

The isolate GTZY was resistant to the high concentrations of arsenate and arsenite solutions. Also, it removed the arsenic compound at various periods. Isolate GTZY has efficiently



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Fig. 2 The effect of mercury on the growth of strain GTZY with different concentrations of mercury amended LB media. The microbial growth was estimated by the absorbance at 600 nm (**A**). Percent

removed arsenate (68.5%) and arsenite (63.2%) at 72 h of incubation (Fig. [3](#page-5-1)). At 12 h of incubation, the removal rate (%) of arsenate and arsenite accounted for 34.2% and 28.3%. Meanwhile, no notable removal of arsenical compounds was observed in the control samples. The MIC values of isolate towards arsenate and arsenite were>175 and>55 mM, and their corresponding MIC<sub>50</sub> were 240 and 60.5 mM, respectively. The bacterial growth was completely arrested with 330 mM arsenate and 69.4 mM arsenite (Fig. S4). After 60 h of incubation, the residual concentrations of As(III) and As(V) were  $22 \pm 2 \mu M$  and  $27.5 \pm 2.5 \mu M$  (Fig. S3B); thereafter, it was sustained for the remaining periods. After 24 and 48 h of incubation, 100 µL of silver nitrate solution was added to both bacterial cultures (As(III) and (V)), and the suspensions were turned into a light yellow color, which indicated the presence of As(III). Meanwhile, there was no brownish color observed which indicates the absence



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Percent removal of arsenite(III) and arsenate(V) by the isolate GTZY was estimated using silver diethyldithiocarbamate (SDDC) method. The experimental strain (1%) was inoculated in LB broth amended with 5  $\mu$ M sodium arsenate and sodium arsenite solution (as sources for As(V) and As(III), respectively) and incubated at 30  $^{\circ}$ C

removal of mercury by the strain GTZY was determined at various periodic intervals (**B**)

of silver arsenate. The result confrmed that isolate GTZY could reduce As(V) to As(III), while the isolate could not oxidize  $As(III)$  to  $As(V)$ .

### **Resistance gene sequencing**

From the specifc gene PCR, *arsC* (495 bp) and partial *merA* (550 bp) genes were amplifed successfully and this was confrmed by the agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. S5). The amplifed DNA fragments were sequenced and annotated in GenBank. The partial sequence of *merA* from isolate GTZY was closely similar (coverage, 96%; similarity, 83.37%) to the *merA* sequence of *Lysobacter oculi* strain 83–4 (Accession No.: CP029556), which encodes for mercury(II) reductase enzyme. *arsC* gene of isolate GTZY was closely similar (coverage, 100%; similarity, 99.88%) with the *arsC* encoding arsenate reductase enzyme of *Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila* strain ZAC14D2\_NAIMI4 (Accession No.: CP012900).

#### **Mercury gene cluster and its interpretation**

From the genome analysis of strain GTZY, an entire set of mercury resistance gene clusters, named *merAPTR* (2829 bp), was identifed (Fig. [4A](#page-6-0)). The gene cluster possessed 1695 bp of *merA* (mercury(II) reductase), 279 bp of *merP* (mercury(II) binding protein), 351 bp of *metT* (mercuric transport protein), and 408 bp of *merR* (mercuric(II) resistance transcription regulator) genes. This gene cluster was fanked by a linkage of *Tn2*-family transposase, *ISII* (579 bp), and a site-specifc integrase, *int1* (1080 bp), on both sides. IS-II family transposase was located adjacent to the gene encoding lead, cadmium, zinc, and mercury-transporting ATPase (*zntA*). The entire *mer* gene cluster consists of 34 restriction enzyme sites in its respective gene sites.

Seven diferent bacterial mercury gene clusters (*Thermomonas* sp., *Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila, Pseudomonas* 



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The genetic organization of heavy metal resistance operons from *Psudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY. Arrows indicate the orientation of transcription and its open reading frame. Arrow length

indicates the base pair length of particular genes. The particular gene descriptions are given in detail in the text. **A** Mercury resistance operon and **B** arsenic resistance operon

*aeruginosa, Cupriavidus metallidurans, Achromobacter xylosoxidans, Delftia lacustris,* and *Burkholderia cenocepacia*) along with the strain GTZY were chosen for the gene cluster interpretation, and their comparative visualization is given in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) The strain GTZY *mer* gene cluster's downstream and upstream were highly conserved against three of seven bacterial species. Besides, it had the closest relationship (coverage, 100%; similarity, 99.89%) with the mercury

gene cluster of *Thermomonas* sp. XSG (Accession No.: CP061497) and were followed by the relationship (coverage, 100%; similarity, 99.82%) with *Stenotrophomonas acidaminiphila* strain ZAC14D2 (Accession No.: AM743169) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* H47921 (Accession No.: CP008861) (coverage, 99%; similarity, 82.17%). From these interpretations, it was evidenced that *merA* encoding mercury(II) reductase and *merP* encoding Hg(II) binding



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** A phylogenetic distribution and genetic organization of mercury resistance operon of *Psudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY. *mer* gene clusters of diferent bacterial strains were annotated by BLASTn and compared with the Easyfg tool. The *mer* operon of strain GTZY has the closest relationship (coverage, 100%; similarity, 99.89%) with the *mer* operon of *Thermomonas* sp. XSG (Accession

No.: CP061497). The degree of sequence homology (%) between the operons was shown by the grey shades, and shade intensity indicates the relationship level between the *mer* operons. Arrows indicate the orientation of transcription and its open reading frames. The bar indicates 100 bp lengths of nucleotides

protein were invariably conserved in mercury gene clusters of all the bacterial species except *Cupriavidus metallidurans* CH34 (Accession No.: CP000352). Meanwhile, *merR* encoding mercury(II) transcription regulator was invariably conserved in all the bacterial species except *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* FDAARGO 984 (Accession No.: CP066291).

### **Arsenic gene cluster and its interpretation**

From the genome analysis of the strain GTZY, an entire set of arsenic resistance gene clusters, *arsCPRH* (2791 bp), was identifed (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)B). This gene cluster possessed 495 bp of *arsC* (arsenate reductase), 1026 bp of *arsP* (arsenate permease protein), 336 bp of *arsR* (arsenate repressor protein), and 819 bp *arsH* (arsenate resistance protein). The identifed *ars* gene cluster comprised 41 restriction enzyme sites in its respective gene sites. Three diferent bacterial mercury gene clusters (*Dokdonella koreensis*, *Luteimonas* sp., and *Lysobacter oculi*) and the strain GTZY were chosen for the gene cluster interpretation and visualization (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)). However, strain GTZY *arsCPRH* gene cluster's downstream and upstream were more conserved against only to *Dokdonella koreensis* DS-123 (Accession No.: CP015249) (coverage, 94%; similarity, 79.14%) and least conserved with *Lysobacter oculi* 83–4 (Accession No.: CP029556) (coverage, 64%; similarity, 77.51%). The arsenic resistance genes *arsP, arsR,* and *arsH* were conserved among all four bacterial genera. In the meantime, the *arsC* gene was observed only in the isolate GTZY and *Dokdonella koreensi* DS-123. The arsenic gene cluster's arrangement in *Luteimonas* sp. (*arsPRH)* and *Lysobacter oculi (arsRPH*) was extremely diferent from the strains GTZY and *Dokdonella koreensis (arsCPRH).*

### **Discussion**

Only a few gene clusters encoding toxic chemical degradation have been reported so far in *Pseudoxanthomonas* species (Choi et al. [2013;](#page-12-23) Wang et al. [2013](#page-13-20)). Thereby, in this study, we looked into the bioremediation capability of two diferent heavy metals (Hg and As) and their related resistance gene operons in the environmental non-pathogenic bacterium, *P. mexicana* strain GTZY, for the frst time. Initially, PCR amplifcation confrmed the presence of metal resistance genes (*merA* and *arsC*). Interestingly, further genome annotation studies revealed the occurrence of corresponding resistance operons set (*merAPTR* and *arsCPRH)* in the genome of strain GTZY. In particular, 2829 bp size mercury operon was located initially with mercury ion reducing reductase enzyme (*merA*), which has been proven to volatilize or reduce the toxic Hg(II) into non-toxic Hg(0); then, it might extrude passively from the microbial cellular environment (Fig. [8\)](#page-9-0) (Sotero-Martins et al. [2008](#page-13-21); Agarwal et al. [2019](#page-11-6)). This detoxifcation mechanism is similar to some aerobic bacteria and archaea that have previously been reported (Christakis et al. [2021](#page-12-11)). In particular, *Hydrogenobaculum* sp, *Hydrogenivirga* sp, *E. coli*, *Flavobacterium* sp, *Candidatus* sp, *Sphingobacterium* sp, and *Chryseobacterium gleum* have shown *merA*based resistance mechanisms. Meanwhile, *Halobacterium* and *Candidatus* have been reported to reduce Hg(II) to Hg(0) by the unusual *merB* (encoding organomercury lyase)-based resistance mechanism (Møller et al. [2014;](#page-12-13) Krout et al. [2022](#page-12-28)). This volatilization of Hg(II) by methylation mechanism is similar to other enzyme-based heavy metal methylation (e.g., Se and Pb) in *Pseudomonas* spp., *Escherichia* spp., *Bacillus* spp., and *Clostridium* spp. (Boyd and Barkay [2012](#page-11-4)).



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** A graphical representation of BLASTn comparison of arsenic resistance operon of *Psudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY along with various bacterial species. *ars* operon of *Dokdonella koreensis* DS-123 (Accession No.: CP015249) is the only bacterial strain shown

In *merAPTR*, periplasmic Hg(II) binding protein-encoding *merP* and Hg(II) transporting inner membrane protein-encoding *merT* were exactly located at 10 and 12 bp upstream of the *merA* gene, respectively. It is followed by the cytoplasmic mercury regulatory protein-encoding *merR*, located before the transporter gene and 729 bp downstream of the *merA* gene. The gene cluster orientation and specifcations of the strain GTZY's *mer* operon were found to be in a close relationship (99.72%) only with *mer* operons of other gram-negative bacteria, *Thermomonas* sp. (Accession No.: CP059266) and *Stenotrophomonas* sp. (Accession No.: AM743169). Despite the orientation (*IS2-merA-merP-mer-T-merR-int1*) of the *mer* operon of *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Delftia* sp. was similar to the *mer* operon of strain GTZY, they have only 82.17% and 79.19% of gene similarities, respectively.

More than 80% of bacterial communities were demonstrated for the presence of their *mer* operon embedded in their chromosomal DNA (Boyd and Barkay [2012\)](#page-11-4) and the strain GTZY does the same, and no plasmids were detected in the strain GTZY. The ancestor species of *Pseudoxanthomonas* was not detected for any *mer* operon, excluding the process of strain GTZY which does mercury bioremediation. Besides, another strain *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY2 (Accession No.: CP060731) isolated from a wastewater reactor (control) not exposed to any antibiotics was not detected for any heavy metal–related operons in its genome. However, the *mer* operon of the strain GTZY has distinctly fanked both ends by *Tn2* family transposase (*IS-II*) and site-specifc integrase (*int1*). Previously, species of *Bacillus*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Paenibacillus*, *Enterobacter*, and *Exiguobacterium* were reported for the *mer* operon linked with elements of transposons (*TnMERI1*, *Tn5083*, *Tn6294*, and *Tn5085*) (Ramírez-Díaz et al. [2011](#page-13-22); Wang et al. [2013](#page-13-20); Matsui et al. [2016;](#page-12-29) Agarwal et al. [2019\)](#page-11-6) and integron gene (*int1*) (Ghaly et al. [2017\)](#page-12-21). These two mobile genetic fragments were proven for the transmission of functionally specifc genes among the various bacterial communities (Wang et al. [2013](#page-13-20); Ghaly et al. [2017](#page-12-21); Ben Fekih et al. [2018\)](#page-11-5). Hence, we speculated that the *mer* operon of strain GTZY is not to be inherited through vertical gene transfer from its ancestral species of *Pseudoxanthomonas*; instead, it might be received from other related bacterial communities by the horizontal gene transfer mechanisms such as conjugation, transposition, or phage attack with *mer* operon. Besides, it seems that the strain GTZY can be a perfect ft for the heavy metal selected genome plasticity and interaction with other bacterial communities, which often have consequences with evolved bacterial species in the toxic heavy metal–contaminated sites. Since the strain GTZY was isolated from the high-dose streptomycin-treating reactor, it could have a high ftness cost that leads to the out-competence by wild-type sensitive strains existing in the heavy metal–contaminated sites.

On the other side, the strain GTZY possessed an arsenic gene operon (*arsCPRH*) in its chromosome with a size of 2791 bp. The identifed *arsCPRH-*based arsenic mechanism (Fig. [8](#page-9-0)) from the strain GTZY was diverse from previously reported other prokaryotic *ars* operons such as *arsRBC*, *arsRBCH*, and *arsRDABC* identifed in the *E. coli*, *Staphylococcus xylosus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pseudomonas pudita*, *Pseudomonas fuorescens*, *Acidiphilium multivorum*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Páez-Espino et al. [2015;](#page-13-11) Chen et al. [2016;](#page-12-30) Ben Fekih et al. [2018\)](#page-11-5). Like other bacteria, strain GTZY also consists of arsenate reductase encoding the *arsC* gene, but not permease encoding the *arsP* gene. The arsenic mechanism and gene cluster orientation of strain GTZY and their gene components had only shown matching with a soil bacterium, *Dokdonella koreensis* DS-123 (Accession No.: CP015249). The multiple sequence alignment between these two *ars* operons revealed their maximum gene cluster coverage and base pair similarities accounted for 94% and 79.14%. It is thereby likely that identifed *ars* operon was distinct from other bacterial genera containing *ars* operons. Besides, the identifed *ars* operon from the strain GTZY has not fanked with any mobile genetic elements in both ends and it is located intrinsically in a chromosome, which might be received from its ancestral strains by the process of vertical gene transfer.

From the genome interpretation study, we confrm the existence of *ars* operon and mechanism in its ancestral bacterial species, *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis* 11–1 (Accession No.: CP002446), isolated from cotton waste compost (Weon et al. [2006\)](#page-13-23) and *Pseudoxanthomonas spadix* BD-a59 (Accession No.: CP003093), known for BETX biodegradation (Choi et al. [2013\)](#page-12-23) (Fig. [7\)](#page-9-1). This further reveals the arsenic gene cluster orientation *(arsC-arsP-arsR-arsH)* of strain GTZY as divergent (< 38% similarity) from their inherited BD-a59 *(arsH-arsB-arsC-arsR)* and 11–1 *(arsRarsH-arsB-arsC)* strains. In particular, *arsR* and *arsH* of the strain GTZY were similar with the nucleotides of BD-a59 and 11–1 strains, while *arsC* was diverse in their ancestral strains, respectively. The genome of strain GTZY is comprised of the membrane permease transporter encoding the *arsP* gene while BD-a59 and 11–1 strains are located with the most frequent *acr3* family efflux transporter encoding *arsB* gene, which extrudes arsenate(III). Apart from *arsCPRH*, strain GTZY has also been distributed with additional *arsB* (963 bp), *arsR* (219 bp), and *arsC* (420 bp) genes in its chromosome.

The presence of *arsP* and its co-existence with the *arsH* gene in the *ars* operon of strain GTZY makes them versatile among other known bacterial *ars* operons. The *arsP* encoding efflux-related permease system is known for the detoxification or extrudes the toxic organic arsenicals(III) such as roxarsone and monomethylarsonous acid (MMA) (Shen et al. [2014](#page-13-24); Chen et al. [2015b](#page-12-31)).



<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Fig. 7** The genomic comparison of intrinsic ars operon of *Psudoxanthomonas mexicana* GTZY along with its bacterial ancestral species (Easyfig visualization). An extremely diverse relationship  $(< 38\%)$ was detected against ancestral strains, *Pseudoxanthomonas spadix*

BD-a59 (Accession No.: CP003093), reported for BETX biodegradation (Choi et al. [2013\)](#page-12-23) and *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis* 11–1 (Accession No.: CP002446) isolated from cotton waste compost

The *arsP* gene encoding ArsP permease was initially identified in the *ars* operon of *Campylobacter jejuni* (Wang et al. [2009\)](#page-13-25), and later it was believed to be a prokaryotic cell membrane pump that could extrude arsenical roxarsone(III) (Shen et al. [2014\)](#page-13-24). The recent genomic interpretation study suggests that the ancestral origin of arsP and their homologs exists in bacteria, archaea, and a few other eukaryotes (Yang et al. [2015\)](#page-13-26). The existence of a gene encoding flavoprotein, arsH, utilizing NADP + to resist and oxidize the toxic methylarsenite (MAs(III)) into



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 8** The role and cellular mechanisms of *mer* and *ars* systems occurred in the *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* strain GTZY. P, periplasmic permease facilitate the cellular uptake of heavy metals (Hg and As); R, regulator initiates the transcription of corresponding genes; T, inner membrane protein transport the Hg(II) to reducing enzyme; A, *merA* encoding mercury reductase reducing toxic Hg(II) to non-toxic Hg(0); C, *arsC* encoding arsenic reductase reducing As(V) to As(III); H, *arsH* encoding arsenical(III) oxidase enzyme transferring toxic MAs(III) to less toxic MAs(V). Reduced or oxidized metals extrude via cell membrane efflux system. The numbers represent the steps of heavy metal detoxifcation. The reduced As(III) and Hg(0) are further extruded via cell membrane efflux system,  $arsB$ encoding Ars3-family transporter and Hg/Cd/Pb transporting protein, respectively

MAs(V) was reported in *Campylobacter jejuni* strain (Li et al. [2016](#page-12-18)). These arsH enzymes are widely distributed in gram-negative, particularly in *Gammaproteobacteri*a including *Pseudomonas putida, Sinorhizobium meliloti, Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans, Rhodopseudomonas palustris, Ochrobactrum tritici, Thiobacillus ferrooxidans, Herminiimonas arsenicoxydans,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, but not in gram-positive bacteria (Yang et al. [2005](#page-13-27); Chen et al. [2015a;](#page-11-11) Yang and Rosen [2016](#page-13-28); Falgenhauer et al. [2017\)](#page-12-32). The ArsP protein from both *P. putida* and *S. meliloti* was evaluated as an organoarsenical oxidase enzyme conferring resistance to trivalent methyl As derivatives. We assumed that *arsCPRH* of strain GTZY has highly evolved from its ancestral species, in response to the environmental mutations, genetic reshuffling, and recombination with other bacterial communities.

Initially, *P. mexicana* GTZY isolate was characterized for its phylogeny, morphology, and biochemical properties, and was found specifcally relevant to the properties of various reported members of *Pseudoxanthomonas.* Like other heavy metal–transforming bacteria, strain GTZY did not utilize mercury and arsenic as main carbon sources in the basal media (data not shown). Since these heavy metals are not catabolized by the heavy metal transforming bacteria, their bioremediations were usually evaluated by the removal, reduction, oxidation, and volatilization assays (Wuana and Okieimen [2011](#page-13-3); Jebeli et al. [2017](#page-12-10); Wang et al. [2020\)](#page-13-4). GTZY has successfully fulfilled the above chemical strategies against the mercury and arsenic metals in the growth media.

The strain GTZY can reduce inorganic Hg(II) and into foggy forming volatile Hg(0) in 4-h incubation. Previously, Mahbub et al. [\(2017\)](#page-12-33) have proved the ability of *Sphingopyxis* sp. SE2 to volatilize or remove  $44\%$  of Hg(II) in 6-h incubation. This study found that 49.6% of mercury removal was achieved by the strain GTZY in 6 h. However, *Sphingobium* sp. SA2 was recorded for the maximum Hg(II) volatilization and reduction in 6 h accounts for 79% (Mahbub et al. [2016](#page-12-34), [2017](#page-12-33)). The strain GTZY's mercury volatilization and reduction ability were further confrmed by the occurrence of the *merA* gene encoding for mercury(II) reductase. Also, the isolate was proven to resist  $(>33.4 \,\mu M)$  and remove  $(84.3\%)$ the toxic form of  $Hg(II)$  in 48-h incubation. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC and  $MIC<sub>50</sub>$ ) of strain GTZY against Hg(II) were observed to be 33.4 µM and 40.8 µM, respectively, whereas in other bacterial classes, *Alphaproteobacteria*, *Betaproteobacteria, Flavobacteria, Firmcutes,* and *Sphingobacteria,* MICs were observed in the range of 5–30 µM; Hg(II) (Møller et al. [2011,](#page-12-20) [2014\)](#page-12-13). After 60-h incubation, the concentration of Hg(II) remained stable at  $1.9 \pm 0.2$  µM along with strain GTZY. In the meantime, thermophilic species *Aquifcae* was proven to be sustainable at 3.0  $\mu$ M, Hg(II) in the basel media (Freedman et al. [2012](#page-12-15)). These results represent that the strain GTZY could be useful for preventing the formation of a more toxic form of methyl mercury (MeHg). In contaminated environments, high concentrations and precipitation of Hg(II) always end up with more accumulation of toxic MeHg (Eckley et al. [2020](#page-12-35)). Hence, this is arguably a better solution to reduce the formation of toxic MeHg, in which a high concentration of Hg(II) should be cleaned up frst so that the strain GTZY would be more suitable for detoxifcation.

On the other side, strain GTZY can remove (68.5%) and resist  $(>175 \text{ mM})$  As(V) in the culture media at 72-h incubation. The minimum inhibitory concentrations of strain GTZY towards arsenate and arsenite were > 175 and>55 mM. Previous studies revealed the arsenate and arsenite MICs of *Gammaproteobacteria, Firmcutes,* and *Kocuria,* in the ranges of 75–125 mM and 10–50 mM, respectively, whereas in the *Bacillus fusiformis* ORAs1, *B. thuringiensis* ORAs2*, Pseudomonas* sp. ORAs5, and *Aeromonas molluscorum* ORAs6, MICs of arsenate and arsenite were  $> 16.68$  mM and  $> 133.47$  mM, respectively (Pepi et al. [2007\)](#page-13-7). In addition, strain GTZY reduced organic  $As(V)$  into  $As(III)$  form in the liquid solution, and it was further confrmed by the amplifcation of the *arsC* gene (495 bp) encoding arsenate reductase. Meanwhile, the strain GTZY was also able to remove (63.2%) and resist  $(> 55$  mM) As(III); however, it could not oxidize organic As(III) into As(V) form in the liquid solution. Similarly, Dey et al. [\(2016](#page-12-19)) isolated *Bacillus* sp. and *Aneurinibacillus aneurinilyticus* and found that both strains could remove As(V) (51.45–51.99%) and As(III) (50.37–53.29%). Besides, the strains also resisted the arsenate (4500 ppm) and arsenite (550 ppm). However, both strains have oxidized the arsenite, but none of them reduced arsenate into arsenite. Many previous reports suggest diferent detoxifcation mechanisms of arsenic resistance bacteria, including arsenic reduction and oxidation, heavy metal efflux pumping, metal adsorption to the cell surface, bacterial cell membrane binding, and complex formation with exopolysaccharides (Zhang et al. [2016](#page-13-29); Govarthanan et al. [2016a\)](#page-12-2). Despite the absence of an arsenite oxidase enzyme, we presume that the strain GTZY might be removed from the arsenite(III) by any of the aboveproposed detoxifcation mechanisms.

In the future, the strain GTZY can be used for both realworld in situ and ex situ bioremediation technologies for treating heavy metal–polluted sites. Since the isolated strain is an aerobic and indigenous bacterium, it is suitable for some bioremediation techniques such as biosparging, bioventing, and biostimulation (Bala et al. [2022](#page-11-3); Jeyakumar et al. [2022](#page-12-12); Alabssawy and Hashem [2024](#page-11-2); Helmy and Kardena [2024](#page-12-36)). Before the real-world implementation of bioremediation, it is better to conduct an in vitro microcosm or model study. This in vitro trial work will help us to understand the preliminary assessment of microbial degradation patterns, environmental parameters, and targeted feld issues.

# **Conclusion**

From this study, it is therefore concluded that *Pesudoxanthomoas mexicana* strain GTZY has potential for the bioremediation of highly toxic heavy metals such as mercury and arsenic. In short, this is the frst investigation to open up the resistance mechanism of *mer* (2.8 kb) and *ars* (2.7 kb) operons in the chromosome of *P. mexicana*. The existence of *mer* operon might be derived via horizontal gene transfer from other bacterial communities, because of the linkage of mobile genetic elements (*IS2* and *int1*) in both terminuses. The intrinsic occurrence of *ars* operon could be rendered vertically from its ancestral *Pseudoxanthomonas* species. This intrinsic *ars* operon was highly diversifed and evolved from its ancestral species, which might be due to their mutations, genetic reshufing, and recombination with other bacterial communities. Previously, strain GTZY was isolated from an aerobic wastewater reactor and characterized for its biochemical, physiological, and phylogeny properties. Further, the isolated strain GTZY showed its effective removal  $(>63\%)$  of As(V) and As(III) in 72 h, and its respective *arsC* gene encoding arsenic reductase was confrmed by the existence of *merA* gene (495 bp) using target-PCR. Arsenic resistance was observed up to the level of  $> 175$  and  $> 55$  mM, respectively. Meanwhile, the strain removed>84% of inorganic Hg(II) in 48 h and its reduction into gaseous  $Hg(0)$ was exposed by X-ray flm method. In addition, it was confrmed by the presence of *merA* gene (550 bp) encoding mercuric ion reductase. It is thereby claimable that the strain GTZY could be a valuable addition to the Hg and As-contaminated sites for time-efective remediation.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-34602-1>.

**Acknowledgements** The authors express their gratitude to King Saud University, Researchers Supporting Project (No. RSPD2024R670), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. We thank Prof. Yang Min and Prof. Yu Zhang (RCEES, CAS Institute, Beijing, China) for kindly providing us *P. mexicana* strain GTZY.

**Author contribution** Conceptualization; methodology; software using; data analysis; writing—original draft preparation: Abdul Raheem Nelofer. Project administration, conceptualization: Selvaraj Ganesh-Kumar (corresponding author) and S. Balachandran. Software using: Soorangkattan Saravanan. Software using, data analysis: Karuppanan Kalimuthu. Software using, data analysis: Ganesan Govindarajan. Data analysis: Rajah Dilip Kumar, Shivani Ramamurthy Baluraj. Data analysis, software using, data analysis: Imran Hasan. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding** The work was supported by the Ministry of Education and State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs (Grant No. G20190011010), People's Republic of China. Also, the current work was supported by the SPIHER-Seed money project (Project No. SPI-HER/Seed Money/2023–24/06).

**Data availability** The authors confrm that the data supporting the fndings of this study are available within the article and on the public database (NCBI-GenBank). The extra information can be obtained by emailing the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

**Ethics approval** Not applicable.

**Consent to participate** All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors.

**Consent for publication** All authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content. Furthermore, each author certifes that this material or similar material has not been and will not be submitted to or published in any other publication.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Agarwal M, Rathore RS, Jagoe C, Chauhan A (2019) Multiple lines of evidences reveal mechanisms underpinning mercury resistance and volatilization by *Stenotrophomonas* sp. MA5 isolated from the Savannah River Site (SRS), USA. Cells 8:309
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Ahn Y, Lee UJ, Lee Y-J et al (2019) Oligotrophic media compared with a tryptic soy agar or broth for the recovery of Burkholderia cepacia complex from diferent storage temperatures and culture conditions. J Microbiol Biotechnol 29:1495–1505
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Alabssawy AN, Hashem AH (2024) Bioremediation of hazardous heavy metals by marine microorganisms: a recent review. Arch Microbiol 206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-023-03793-5>
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Astuti DI, Purwasena IA, Putri RE et al (2019) Screening and characterization of biosurfactant produced by *Pseudoxanthomonas* sp. G3 and its applicability for enhanced oil recovery. J Pet Explor Prod Technol 9:2279–2289
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>ATSDR (2018) Division of toxicology and human health sciences (DTHHS).<https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/dthhs/index.html>. Accessed 14 Feb 2024
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Bala S, Garg D, Thirumalesh BV et al (2022) Recent strategies for bioremediation of emerging pollutants: a review for a green and sustainable environment. Toxics 10:484
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Batbatan C, Rosana AR, Fernandez K et al (2022) Screening, characterization, and isolation of pigments from bacteria in mesophotic depths of the Benham Bank Seamount, Philippine rise region. Philipp J Sci 151. <https://doi.org/10.56899/151.02.06>
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Ben Fekih I, Zhang C, Li YP et al (2018) Distribution of arsenic resistance genes in prokaryotes. Front Microbiol 9:2473
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Biswas B, Chakraborty A, Sarkar B, Naidu R (2017) Structural changes in smectite due to interaction with a biosurfactant-producing bacterium *Pseudoxanthomonas kaohsiungensis*. Appl Clay Sci 136:51–57
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Boyd ES, Barkay T (2012) The mercury resistance operon: from an origin in a geothermal environment to an efficient detoxification machine. Front Microbiol 3:349
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Brifa J, Sinagra E, Blundell R (2020) Heavy metal pollution in the environment and their toxicological efects on humans. Heliyon 6:e04691
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Chen J, Bhattacharjee H, Rosen BP (2015a) ArsH is an organoarsenical oxidase that confers resistance to trivalent forms of the herbicide

monosodium methylarsenate and the poultry growth promoter roxarsone. Mol Microbiol 96:1042–1052

- <span id="page-12-31"></span>Chen J, Madegowda M, Bhattacharjee H, Rosen BP (2015b) ArsP: a methylarsenite efflux permease. Mol Microbiol 98:625-635
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Chen J, Yoshinaga M, Garbinski LD, Rosen BP (2016) Synergistic interaction of glyceraldehydes-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and ArsJ, a novel organoarsenical efflux permease, confers arsenate resistance. Mol Microbiol 100:945–953
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Choi EJ, Jin HM, Lee SH et al (2013) Comparative genomic analysis and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and o-, m-, and p-xylene (BTEX) degradation pathways of *Pseudoxanthomonas spadix* BD-a59. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:663–671
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Christakis CA, Barkay T, Boyd ES (2021) Expanded diversity and phylogeny of mer genes broadens mercury resistance paradigms and reveals an origin for MerA among thermophilic Archaea. Front Microbiol 12.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.682605>
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>Dash HR, Basu S, Das S (2017) Evidence of mercury trapping in bioflm-EPS and mer operon-based volatilization of inorganic mercury in a marine bacterium *Bacillus cereu*s BW-201B. Arch Microbiol 199:445–455
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Dey U, Chatterjee S, Mondal NK (2016) Isolation and characterization of arsenic-resistant bacteria and possible application in bioremediation. Biotechnol Rep (amst) 10:1–7
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Dziurzynski M, Gorecki A, Pawlowska J et al (2023) Revealing the diversity of bacteria and fungi in the active layer of permafrost at Spitsbergen island (Arctic) – combining classical microbiology and metabarcoding for ecological and bioprospecting exploration. Sci Total Environ 856:159072
- <span id="page-12-35"></span>Eckley CS, Gilmour CC, Janssen S et al (2020) The assessment and remediation of mercury contaminated sites: a review of current approaches. Sci Total Environ 707:136031
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Falgenhauer L, Ghosh H, Guerra B et al (2017) Comparative genome analysis of IncHI2 VIM-1 carbapenemase-encoding plasmids of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* isolated from a livestock farm in Germany. Vet Microbiol 200:114–117
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Freedman Z, Zhu C, Barkay T (2012) Mercury resistance and mercuric reductase activities and expression among chemotrophic thermophilic Aquifcae. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:6568–6575
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Ghaly TM, Chow L, Asher AJ et al (2017) Evolution of class 1 integrons: mobilization and dispersal via food-borne bacteria. PLoS One 12:e0179169
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Govarthanan M, Lee S-M, Kamala-Kannan S, Oh B-T (2015) Characterization, real-time quantifcation and in silico modeling of arsenate reductase (*arsC*) genes in arsenic-resistant *Herbaspirillum* sp. GW103. Res Microbiol 166:196–204
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Govarthanan M, Mythili R, Selvankumar T et al (2016a) Bioremediation of heavy metals using an endophytic bacterium *Paenibacillus* sp. RM isolated from the roots of *Tridax procumbens*. 3 Biotech 6:242
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Govarthanan M, Shim J, Praburaman L et al (2016b) Isolation of an exopolysaccharide-producing heavy metal-resistant Halomonas sp. MG Arch Microbiol 198:205–209
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>Govarthanan M, Mythili R, Selvankumar T et al (2018) Myco-phytoremediation of arsenic- and lead-contaminated soils by *Helianthus annuus* and wood rot fungi, *Trichoderma* sp. isolated from decayed wood. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 151:279–284
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Govarthanan M, Mythili R, Kamala-Kannan S et al (2019) In-vitro bio-mineralization of arsenic and lead from aqueous solution and soil by wood rot fungus, *Trichoderma* sp. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 174:699–705
- <span id="page-12-36"></span>Helmy Q, Kardena E (2024) Enhancing feld-scale bioremediation of weathered petroleum oil-contaminated soil with biocompost as a bulking agent. Case Stud Chem Environ Eng 9:100735
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Hou D, O'Connor D, Igalavithana AD et al (2020) Metal contamination and bioremediation of agricultural soils for food safety and sustainability. Nat Rev Earth Environ 1:366–381
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Jebeli MA, Maleki A, Amoozegar MA et al (2017) *Bacillus fexus* strain As-12, a new arsenic transformer bacterium isolated from contaminated water resources. Chemosphere 169:636–641
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Jeyakumar P, Debnath C, Vijayaraghavan R, Muthuraj M (2022) Trends in bioremediation of heavy metal contaminations. Environ Eng Res 28:220631–220630
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>Jobby R, Jha P, Yadav AK, Desai N (2018) Biosorption and biotransformation of hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)]: a comprehensive review. Chemosphere 207:255–266
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Khalid S, Shahid M, Natasha et al (2020) Heavy metal contamination and exposure risk assessment via drinking groundwater in Vehari, Pakistan. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 27:39852–39864
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Kittiwongwattana C, Thawai C (2016) *Pseudoxanthomonas helianthi* sp. nov., isolated from roots of Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus tuberosus). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66:5034–5038
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Krout IN, Scrimale T, Vorojeikina D et al (2022) Organomercurial lyase (MerB)-mediated demethylation decreases bacterial methylmercury resistance in the absence of mercuric reductase (*MerA*). Appl Environ Microbiol 88. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00010-22) [aem.00010-22](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00010-22)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Li J, Mandal G, Rosen BP (2016) Expression of arsenic resistance genes in the obligate anaerobe Bacteroides vulgatus ATCC 8482, a gut microbiome bacterium. Anaerobe 39:117–123
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>Loganathan P, Myung H, Muthusamy G et al (2015) Effect of heavy metals on acdS gene expression in *Herbaspirillium* sp. GW103 isolated from rhizosphere soil. J Basic Microbiol 55:1232–1238
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Lu Z, Sun W, Li C et al (2019) Bioremoval of non-steroidal antiinfammatory drugs by *Pseudoxanthomonas* sp. DIN-3 isolated from biological activated carbon process. Water Res 161:459–472
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Luo H, Wang Q, Liu Z et al (2020) Potential bioremediation efects of seaweed *Gracilaria lemaneiformi*s on heavy metals in coastal sediment from a typical mariculture zone. Chemosphere 245:125636
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Mahbub KR, Krishnan K, Megharaj M, Naidu R (2016) Bioremediation potential of a highly mercury resistant bacterial strain *Sphingobium* SA2 isolated from contaminated soil. Chemosphere 144:330–337
- <span id="page-12-33"></span>Mahbub KR, Krishnan K, Naidu R, Megharaj M (2017) Mercury remediation potential of a mercury resistant strain *Sphingopyxis* sp. SE2 isolated from contaminated soil. J Environ Sci (china) 51:128–137
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>Matsui K, Yoshinami S, Narita M et al (2016) Mercury resistance transposons in Bacilli strains from diferent geographical regions. FEMS Microbiol Lett 363:fnw013
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Møller AK, Barkay T, Abu Al-Soud W et al (2011) Diversity and characterization of mercury-resistant bacteria in snow, freshwater and sea-ice brine from the High Arctic. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 75:390–401
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Møller AK, Barkay T, Hansen MA et al (2014) Mercuric reductase genes (*merA*) and mercury resistance plasmids in High Arctic snow, freshwater and sea-ice brine. FEMS Microbiol Ecol  $87.52 - 63$
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Naguib MM, El-Gendy AO, Khairalla AS (2018) Microbial diversity of Mer operon genes and their potential rules in mercury bioremediation and resistance. Open Biotechnol J 12:56–77
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Naguib MM, Khairalla AS, El-Gendy AO, Elkhatib WF (2019) Isolation and characterization of mercury-resistant bacteria from wastewater sources in Egypt. Can J Microbiol 65:308–321
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Nordlander T (2014) Continuous on-line measuring of HgCl concentration -In "Outotec Mercury Removal Process." [https://www.diva](https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:788177/FULLTEXT01.pdf)[portal.org/smash/get/diva2:788177/FULLTEXT01.pdf](https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:788177/FULLTEXT01.pdf). Accessed 14 Feb 2024
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Olmedo P, Pla A, Hernández AF et al (2013) Determination of toxic elements (mercury, cadmium, lead, tin and arsenic) in fish and shellfsh samples. Risk assessment for the consumers. Environ Int 59:63–72
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>Oregaard G, Sørensen SJ (2007) High diversity of bacterial mercuric reductase genes from surface and sub-surface foodplain soil (Oak Ridge, USA). ISME J 1:453–467
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>Páez-Espino AD, Durante-Rodríguez G, de Lorenzo V (2015) Functional coexistence of twin arsenic resistance systems in *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440. Environ Microbiol 17:229–238
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>Pepi M, Volterrani M, Renzi M et al (2007) Arsenic-resistant bacteria isolated from contaminated sediments of the Orbetello Lagoon, Italy, and their characterization. J Appl Microbiol 103:2299–2308
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>Purwasena IA, Astuti DI, Utami SG (2020) Nitrogen optimization on rhamnolipid biosurfactant production from *Pseudoxanthomonas* sp. G3 and its preservation techniques. Sains Malays 49:2119–2127
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>Qin G, Niu Z, Yu J et al (2021) Soil heavy metal pollution and food safety in China: effects, sources and removing technology. Chemosphere 267:129205
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>Rahman MA, Hassler C (2014) Is arsenic biotransformation a detoxifcation mechanism for microorganisms? Aquat Toxicol 146:212–219
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>Raj D, Maiti SK (2019) Sources, toxicity, and remediation of mercury: an essence review. Environ Monit Assess 191:566
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>Ramírez-Díaz MI, Díaz-Magaña A, Meza-Carmen V et al (2011) Nucleotide sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* conjugative plasmid pUM505 containing virulence and heavy-metal resistance genes. Plasmid 66:7–18
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>Rani A, Sharma A, Adak T, Bhatnagar RK (2010) *Pseudoxanthomonas icgebensis* sp. nov., isolated from the midgut of Anopheles stephensi feld-collected larvae. J Microbiol 48:601–606
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>Rebelo FM, Caldas ED (2016) Arsenic, lead, mercury and cadmium: toxicity, levels in breast milk and the risks for breastfed infants. Environ Res 151:671–688
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>Satyapal GK, Mishra SK, Srivastava A et al (2018) Possible bioremediation of arsenic toxicity by isolating indigenous bacteria from the middle Gangetic plain of Bihar, India. Biotechnol Rep (amst) 17:117–125
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>Selvaraj G-K, Tian Z, Zhang H et al (2018) Culture-based study on the development of antibiotic resistance in a biological wastewater system treating stepwise increasing doses of streptomycin. AMB Express 8:12
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>Selvaraj G-K, Wang H, Zhang Y et al (2022) Class 1 In-Tn5393c array contributed to antibiotic resistance of non-pathogenic *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* isolated from a wastewater bioreactor treating streptomycin. Sci Total Environ 821:153537
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>Shen Z, Luangtongkum T, Qiang Z et al (2014) Identifcation of a novel membrane transporter mediating resistance to organic arsenic in *Campylobacter jejuni*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:2021–2029
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>Sotero-Martins A, de Jesus MS, Lacerda M et al (2008) A conservative region of the mercuric reductase gene (merA) as a molecular marker of bacterial mercury resistance. Braz J Microbiol 39:307–310
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>Suhadolnik MLS, Salgado APC, Scholte LLS et al (2017) Novel arsenic-transforming bacteria and the diversity of their arsenicrelated genes and enzymes arising from arsenic-polluted freshwater sediment. Sci Rep 7:11231
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>Tahir MA, Rasheed H, Malana A (2012) Method development for arsenic analysis by modifcation in spectrophotometric technique. Drink Water Eng Sci 5:1–8
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>Talwar MP, Ninnekar HZ (2015) Biodegradation of pesticide profenofos by the free and immobilized cells of *Pseudoxanthomonas suwonensis* strain HNM. J Basic Microbiol 55:1094–1103
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>Taran M, Fateh R, Rezaei S, Gholi MK (2019) Isolation of arsenic accumulating bacteria from garbage leachates for possible application in bioremediation. Iran J Microbiol 11:60–66
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>Thierry S, Macarie H, Iizuka T et al (2004) *Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana* sp. nov. and *Pseudoxanthomonas japonensis* sp. nov., isolated from diverse environments, and emended descriptions of the genus *Pseudoxanthomonas Finkmann* et al. 2000 and of its type species. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54:2245–2255
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>Wang L, Jeon B, Sahin O, Zhang Q (2009) Identifcation of an arsenic resistance and arsenic-sensing system in Campylobacter jejuni. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:5064–5073
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>Wang G, Zhao Y, Gao H et al (2013) Co-metabolic biodegradation of acetamiprid by *Pseudoxanthomonas* sp. AAP-7 isolated from a longterm acetamiprid-polluted soil. Bioresour Technol 150:259–265
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>Wang L, Hou D, Cao Y et al (2020) Remediation of mercury contaminated soil, water, and air: a review of emerging materials and innovative technologies. Environ Int 134:105281
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>Watters M, Rayman J (2014) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry's don't mess with mercury initiative. J Environ Health 76:34–36
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>Weon H-Y, Kim B-Y, Kim J-S et al (2006) *Pseudoxanthomonas* suwonensis sp. nov., isolated from cotton waste composts. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:659–662
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>Wuana RA, Okieimen FE (2011) Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. ISRN Ecol 2011:1–20
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>Yang H-C, Rosen BP (2016) New mechanisms of bacterial arsenic resistance. Biomed J 39:5–13
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>Yang H-C, Cheng J, Finan TM et al (2005) Novel pathway for arsenic detoxifcation in the legume symbiont Sinorhizobium meliloti. J Bacteriol 187:6991–6997
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>Yang Y, Wu S, Lilley RM, Zhang R (2015) The diversity of membrane transporters encoded in bacterial arsenic-resistance operons. PeerJ 3:e943
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>Zhang Z, Yin N, Cai X et al (2016) Arsenic redox transformation by *Pseudomonas* sp. HN-2 isolated from arsenic-contaminated soil in Hunan, China. J Environ Sci (china) 47:165–173

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

# **Authors and Afliations**

Nelofer Abdul Raheem<sup>1</sup> · Ganesh-Kumar Selvaraj<sup>1</sup> · Kalimuthu Karuppanan<sup>2</sup> · Govindarajan Ganesan<sup>3</sup> · Saravanan Soorangkattan<sup>4</sup> · Balachandran Subramanian<sup>5</sup> · Shivani Ramamurthy Baluraj<sup>5</sup> · Dhilip Kumar Rajaiah<sup>6</sup> · **Imran Hasan7**

- $\boxtimes$  Ganesh-Kumar Selvaraj ganesh\_kumarbt@yahoo.co.in
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, St. Peter's Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai 600054, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biotechnology, School of Bioengineering, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur, Chennai 603203, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Sri Shanmugha College of Engineering and Technology, Pullipalayam, Sankari 637304, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Botony, The Madura College, Madurai 625011, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Physiology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 600 077, Tamil Nadu, India
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Ulsan, Daehakro 93, Namgu, Ulsan 44610, Republic of Korea
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Chemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia