#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# Intrusion of Arabian Sea high salinity water and monsoon-associated processes modulate planktic foraminiferal abundance and carbon burial in the southwestern Bay of Bengal

Mohd Salman<sup>1,2</sup> · Rajeev Saraswat<sup>1</sup>

Received: 2 August 2023 / Accepted: 24 February 2024 / Published online: 9 March 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### Abstract

The unicellular calcareous planktic foraminifera sequester a significant portion of the carbon dioxide dissolved in the ocean, thus burying the carbon in sediments for millions of years. The global warming and associated processes are likely to affect the planktic foraminiferal abundance and diversity. Therefore, their baseline distribution has to be documented and correlated with ambient parameters to assess its fate under different climate change scenarios. Here, we report an exceptionally high abundance of planktic foraminifera and thus large carbon burial in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The very high absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera in the Cauvery River basin is attributed to biannual productivity, warmer and saline waters. Globigerinita glutinata is the highest abundant species followed by Globigerinoides ruber and Globigerina bulloides. Globigerina bulloides is abundant on the shelf, where the upwelling is more frequent. The relative abundance of Globorotalia menardii is positively correlated with thermocline salinity and negatively correlated with thermocline temperature. Similarly, Neogloboquadrina dutertrei and Globoquadrina conglomerata are negatively correlated with mixed layer as well as thermocline temperature and mixed layer salinity. Both these species are positively correlated with thermocline salinity. Globigerina falconensis is more abundant in the southernmost transect influenced by intense winter monsoon precipitation. We report that G. ruber prefers high saline and warmer waters with the highest abundance in the southernmost transect. From the foraminiferal distribution, it is evident that the temperature and salinity of the mixed layer as well as thermocline, food availability, and monsoon-associated processes affect the planktic foraminiferal abundance and thus carbon burial in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The changes in influx of southeastern Arabian Sea water will affect the planktic foraminiferal population and subsequent carbon burial in the southwestern Bay of Bengal.

Keywords Planktic foraminifera · Calcium carbonate · Flux · Ecology · Monsoon · Bay of Bengal

# Introduction

Oceans absorb a large fraction of anthropogenic carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ , thus restricting its build up in the atmosphere. Once dissolved,  $CO_2$  either reacts with water molecules to

Responsible Editor: V.V.S.S. Sarma

 Mohd Salman salmanmicrop19@gmail.com
 Rajeev Saraswat rsaraswat@nio.org

<sup>1</sup> Micropaleontology Laboratory, Geological Oceanography Division, National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India

<sup>2</sup> School of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Goa University, Goa, India form carbonate ions and hydrogen ions or is sequestered by the phytoplankton to form the organic matter. The marine organisms use these carbonate ions to precipitate calcareous shells. The single celled free-floating planktic foraminifera are amongst the major marine calcareous organisms inhabiting the upper ocean waters (Hemleben et al. 1989; Bé, 1977). They sequester a large amount of  $CO_2$  and bury it in the ocean sediments for millions of years (Saraswat and Nigam 2013). Around 0.36–0.88 Gt/yr CaCO<sub>3</sub> is the total contribution of planktic foraminifera to the surface sediments of the world ocean, which is  $\sim 32-80\%$  of the total deep-ocean calcite budget (Schiebel 2002). The ambient surface ocean conditions including temperature, salinity, pH, productivity, and currents strongly affect planktic foraminifera and their diversity (Lombard et al. 2011; Fraile et al. 2008; Zaric et al. 2005; Watkins et al. 1996). The sea surface

temperature is considered the most important factor that controls the abundance and diversity of planktic foraminifera (Rutherford et al. 1999), shell size (Schmidt et al. 2004a), and composition (Morey et al. 2005). Therefore, changes in the seawater properties due to the global warming will affect planktic foraminifera and in turn carbon burial capacity of the oceans.

Additionally, being extremely sensitive to minor changes in the ambient environment, planktic foraminifera are extensively used in paleoclimatic reconstruction (Nigam 2005; Katz et al. 2010; Saraswat 2015). The past environmental conditions and the composition of ambient seawater can be reconstructed by using faunal abundance as well as through the chemical composition of their hard shells and changes in the stable isotopic and elemental ratio (Schiebel and Hemleben 2017). The comparison of surface distribution of recent planktic foraminifera with the ambient parameters provides information about the ecological preferences of the species and the factors controlling the geochemical signatures of the tests. The knowledge of the environmental control on the distribution, morphology, species abundance, and geochemical composition of the foraminiferal shells is used to reconstruct the paleoceanographic conditions (Kucera 2007).

The factors affecting planktic foraminiferal diversity and abundance, as well as the chemical characteristics of their shells, can be studied by using living specimen collected in plankton net (Iwasaki et al. 2017). However, one-time snapshot sampling does not address the resultant planktic foraminiferal abundance in marine sediments representing annual conditions. The sediment traps are deployed to understand the year round abundance and diversity of planktic foraminifera as well as their contribution to the carbon burial (Maeda et al. 2022; Kiss et al. 2021). However, such studies are mainly from the open ocean and are very limited (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). Although the foraminiferal assemblage in the surface sediments is partially modulated by factors other than the ambient conditions prevailing at the time of living population, the ambient conditions still strongly modulate the resultant foraminiferal population in the sediments. That is why this sedimentary foraminiferal assemblage is the basis of all the paleoclimatic and paleoceanographic reconstruction. Therefore, an improved paleoclimatic reconstruction by using foraminiferal characteristics requires a comparison of the foraminiferal assemblages in the sediments with the ambient conditions (Saraswat et al. 2023a; Bhadra and Saraswat 2022; Wang et al. 2021; de Villiers 2005; Schiebel 2002; Peterson and Prell 1985).

The northern Indian Ocean is strongly influenced by the seasonal reversal of monsoon and thus provides a unique environmental setting for planktic foraminiferal studies. Therefore, multiple efforts were made to understand the factors affecting the planktic foraminiferal distribution in the northern Indian Ocean (Stainbank et al. 2019; Munir and Sun 2018; Darling et al. 2017; Symphonia and Nathan 2014; Bhonsale and Saraswat 2012; Saraswat 2010; Chowdhury et al. 2003; Schulz et al. 2002; Naidu 1993; Duplessy et al 1981; Cullen and Prell 1984; Bé and Hutson 1977; Bé and Tolderlund 1971; Zobel 1971). Both the eastern Arabian Sea (eAS) and Bay of Bengal (BoB) receive a large amount of monsoonal influx and direct precipitation (Behara et al. 2019; Vinayachandran et al. 2013; Rao and Sivakumar 2003). The huge freshwater influx, seasonal reversal of monsoon, surface water stratification, and mesoscale eddies create distinct environmental conditions in the Bay of Bengal (Jana et al. 2018; Li et al. 2017) to understand the response of planktic foraminifera to monsoon and associated processes. Therefore, a few attempts were made to document the planktic foraminiferal distribution from the Bay of Bengal (Maeda et al. 2022; Bhadra and saraswat 2021; Anbuselvan and Senthil Nathan 2021; Symphonia and Nathan 2014; Chowdhury et al. 2003; Guptha et al. 1997; Cullen and Prell 1984). Guptha et al. (1997) and Maeda et al. (2022) reported an increase in the planktic foraminiferal flux in the central Bay of Bengal during both the summer and winter monsoon and the lowest flux from March to May, by using the sediment trap data. Recently, Bhadra and Saraswat (2021) studied foraminiferal distribution in the western Bay of Bengal (wBoB) to understand the response of planktic foraminifera to the huge fresh water influx. However, such information is missing from the southwestern Bay of Bengal (swBoB) as highlighted by Anbuselvan and Senthil Nathan (2021) and Symphonia and Nathan (2014). Anbuselvan and Senthil Nathan (2021) investigated a small part of the swBoB, but their work was limited to the continental shelf. Therefore, it is necessary to understand the distribution and ecology of planktic foraminifera from the swBoB as the region is influenced by both the summer as well as winter monsoon.

The regional physical processes like mesoscale eddies, cross-basin water exchange, seasonal surface circulation pattern, and the monsoonal flux directly affect the hydrology of the swBoB (Jayalakshmi et al. 2015; Vinayachandran 2009; Vinayachandran et al. 1999). The effect of these processes on the planktic foraminiferal population in the swBoB is still not clear. The region also has very high productivity resulting in a large organic matter flux. The organic matter availability not only strongly affects living foraminiferal population but also the preservation of the shells in the sediments. The utility of the organic matter as food for marine organisms depends on its origin and quality. The organic matter can be of marine or terrestrial origin. The rivers transport terrestrial organic matter to the sea (Gao et al. 2022). The  $C_{org}/N$  ratio can be used to differentiate the sources of organic matter. The low  $C_{org}/N$  ratio (<7) indicates nitrogen rich marine produced

24963

organic matter (Saraswat et al. 2023b; Ramaswamy et al. 2008; Suokhrie et al. 2022). However, post-depositional diagenetic changes modulate the  $C_{org}/N$  ratio (Waples and Sloan 1980; Freudenthal et al. 2001).

The correlation of the surface distribution of recent planktic foraminifera with the ambient parameters will help to understand the factors affecting the planktic foraminiferal population in this region. The objective of this study was to understand the planktic foraminiferal contribution to the carbon burial in the swBoB. Additionally, the factors affecting the planktic foraminiferal abundance and diversity in this region have also been studied to understand the fate of planktic foraminifera in the present climate change scenario.

# **Oceanographic setting**

The Bay of Bengal is a tropical basin nestled in the eastern expanse of the northern Indian Ocean. Its hydrography is marked by the dynamic interplay of semi-annually reversing monsoon winds and currents (Schott and McCreary 2001). During the summer monsoon (June–September), a strong southwestern breeze graces the air, while the winter monsoon (November–February) ushers in the northeastern gust, accentuating the ever-changing character of this remarkable basin. The seasonal winds force the reversal of circulation in the upper ocean (Shankar et al. 2002). The summer monsoon current transports high salinity water



Fig. 1 The annual average mixed layer temperature (A), mixed layer salinity (B), thermocline temperature (C), thermocline salinity (D), surface chlorophyll-a (E), and seasonal (July representing southwest monsoon, and January representing northeast monsoon) surface currents (F & G), in the southwestern Bay of Bengal (Locarnini et al. 2019; Zweng et al. 2019). The background colour and length of the arrows indicate the intensity of the currents. The major currents in

the region are marked as East India Coastal Current (EICC), Summer Monsoon Current (SMC), and Winter Monsoon Current (WMC). The water depth between mixed layer and thermocline is marked by white contour line at 30 m. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The black contour lines are bathymetry

from the southeastern Arabian Sea (seAS) into the swBoB (Fig. 1). At the onset of the summer monsoon, this influx from the Arabian Sea becomes a part of the East India Coastal Current (EICC) and flows as a broad and shallow current, extending predominantly towards the north, confined to the upper 200 m of the water column. The current steadily gains intensity as it ventures westward, acquiring a narrower profile as the summer season progresses. Notably, during the period of June to September, the summer monsoon current imparts a remarkable mean seasonal transport of approximately 10 Sv (Sverdrups) into the BoB (Vinayachandran et al. 1999). The winter monsoon current flows westward, south of Sri Lanka where it flows into the seAS (Fig. 1).

Brahmaputra, Ganga, Mahanadi, Godavari, Krishna, and Cauvery are the major rivers discharging a large quantity of fresh water into the BoB. Amongst these, Krishna, Pennar, and Cauvery rivers discharge a large amount of freshwater into the western BoB during both the summer as well as winter monsoon (Krishnamurthy and Shukla 2000). The dispersal of the freshwater across the basin imparts distinctive characteristic to the upper water column, wherein a low-salinity environment prevails. This phenomenon creates a robust stratification that remains pronounced throughout the year limiting the productivity. The bay experiences relatively lower primary productivity compared to the Arabian Sea. The extensive cloud cover, stratification, sediment load, and narrow shelf are the main cause of the low productivity in the BoB (Sarma et al. 2016; Prasanna Kumar et al. 2010, 2004, 2002; Madhupratap et al. 2003; Gomes et al. 2000; Radhakrishna et al. 1978; Qasim 1977). The physical processes such as the coastal upwelling and winter convective mixing are not very active in the BoB (Prasanna Kumar et al. 2002; Shetye et al. 1991). But the region can still experience episodic events such as eddies and gyres. These localized phenomena have the potential to enhance the productivity in the area (Jayalakshmi et al. 2015). The eddies and gyres govern the biological production in oligotrophic bay and influence the vertical transport of nutrients to the photic zone (Sabu et al. 2015; Fernandes and Ramaiah 2013; 2014; Ramaiah et al. 2010; Prasanna Kumar et al. 2010; 2004; 2002; Fernandes 2008; Muraleedharan et al. 2007; Vinayachandran et al. 2005). In swBoB, the intensity of cyclonic eddies is maximum during the winter season, but in summer season, the anticyclonic eddies are more common. However, the overall net primary production is less in the BoB as compared to the Arabian Sea (Jayalakshmi et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2013).

The strong stratification inhibits vertical mixing, causing intense evaporation and thus creating conditions favourable for cyclogenesis. The conditions reverse in winter, and the decrease in surface temperature creates an "inversion layer" unlike the "barrier layer" in the summer. The mixed layer depth remains shallow (~30 m) in the Bay of Bengal as compared to the Arabian Sea because of the weak winds and strong stratification (Prasanna Kumar et al. 2002).

# Material and methodology

During the 67th cruise of RV *Sindhu Sadhana* (SSD067) in November 2019, surface sediment samples were collected from the swBoB. A total of 67 surface samples (56 multicores and 11 grabs) were collected along coast perpendicular transects, at depths varying from shallow (24 m) to deep (3505 m) (Fig. 2). The sandy substrate hindered the operation of multicorer on the inner shelf. During the expedition, the multicores were carefully



**Fig. 2** The sample locations in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots are the multicore stations, and the white diamonds are grab samples. The rivers draining into the region are marked by blue lines. The background colour and contours are bathymetry

subsampled onboard at regular 1-cm intervals. Half of the sediments from each section were stored in zip-lock plastic bags and subsequently used for this work.

The sediments from the top 0-1 cm section were processed by following the established standard procedure (Naik et al. 2017). An appropriate amount (15–20 g) of freeze-dried and weighed sediment was wet sieved using a 63  $\mu$ m mesh. The fraction > 63  $\mu$ m, retained on the sieve, was then transferred to a glass beaker and dried overnight. The dried coarse fraction was subsequently weighed and stored in plastic vials for further analysis. A portion of the freeze-dried sediment was finely powdered and utilized for the total carbon (TC), total nitrogen (TN), and total inorganic carbon (TIC) analysis. The Elemental Analyzer (Flash2000NC Thermo) was used for TC and TN analysis. The CO<sub>2</sub> Coulometer (CM50170 UIC) was used for TIC analysis. The organic carbon content (Corg) was estimated by subtracting the TIC from the TC. The CaCO<sub>3</sub> was calculated from TIC and atomic weight of carbon in CaCO<sub>3</sub> by using the following equation:

$$CaCO_3(\%) = TIC(\%) \times \frac{100}{12}$$

An aliquot of the dried > 63  $\mu$ m fraction was dry sieved at 125 µm, and the resulting fractions were weighed. A representative weighed aliquot of fraction > 125  $\mu$ m was used to pick the planktic foraminifera. A minimum of 300 intact planktic foraminifera shells were picked from each sample by using the Olympus stereozoom microscope (SZX16) and mounted on a micropaleontological slide. In the case of sandy coarse fraction, if sufficient number of planktic foraminifera were not available, minimum 1 g of coarse fraction was used. The planktic foraminifera species were identified by following Schiebel and Hemleben (2017), Ovechkina et al. (2010), Hemleben et al. (1989), Bé (1967), and Parker (1962). Globigerinoides ruber (white) and its morphotypes (sensu stricto and sensu lato) live throughout the year and thrive in the upper part of the mixed layer. Both morphotypes represent annual surface water conditions (Thirumalai et al. 2014). Therefore, sensu stricto and sensu lato were together counted as G. ruber. The subspecies Globorotalia menardii neoflexuosa was also considered under G. menardii (Siccha and Kucera 2017; Cullen and Prell 1984). Spezzaferri et al. (2015) suggested Trilobatus as a new genus to distinguish the trilobus and ruber clades. They further suggested to use the term Trilobatus trilobus for specimens without sac and Trilobatus sacculifer for specimens with sac, in view of their different ecological preferences. Recently, a similar approach was also used by Bhadra and Saraswat (2021) and Anbuselvan and Senthil Nathan (2021). The absolute abundance of planktic foraminiferal shells was estimated from the following equation:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Absolute Abundance} = \frac{\mbox{Number of Planktic Foraminifera}}{\mbox{Wt. CF(> 125 \mbox{ } \mu m) used for picking}} \\ \times \frac{\mbox{Wt. CF(> 125 \mbox{ } \mu m) used for picking}}{\mbox{Wt. CF(> 63 \mbox{ } \mu m) taken for dry seiving}} \\ \times \frac{\mbox{Wt. CF(> 63 \mbox{ } \mu m)}}{\mbox{Wt. CF(> 63 \mbox{ } \mu m)}} \end{array}$$

The number of specimens of different planktic foraminiferal species was counted to calculate the relative abundance. Before processing, the volume of wet sediment was measured to calculate the flux of planktic foraminifera and calcium carbonate. The total planktic foraminiferal number per gram sediment (TPN/g sediment) and the relative abundance (%) of different species were plotted by using Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer 2021). For canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) and Pearson correlation coefficients ( $R^2$ ), all the species, except those with relative abundance <0.2% were correlated with different environmental parameters. The relative abundance of all species was used to calculate the Dominance, Simpson (1-D), and Shannon (H) diversity indices by using the Paleontological Statistics software, 'PAST' version 4.14 (Hammer et al. 2001).

The annual average temperature and salinity data for all the stations were sourced from the World Ocean Atlas 2018 (Locarnini et al. 2019; Zweng et al. 2019) via the Live Access Server of CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography Goa. Both the average temperature and salinity profiles of the mixed layer and thermocline were acquired. The mixed layer was considered as 30 m, while the thermocline depth was set from 30 to 150 m, as previously established by Muraleedharan et al. (2007). The annual average Chlorophyll-a data was obtained from the "Chlorophyll-a, NASA VIIRS Ocean color (2012 onward)" database through Live Access Server of CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, and used as a productivity indicator at each station. The ocean currents data with u and v vectors (GOFS 3.1: 41-layer HYCOM + NCODA Global 1/12° Reanalysis) were obtained from HYCOM data server. The average surface currents data, for July and January of 2015, was taken with temporal resolution of 3 h.

# Results

#### Ambient parameters

Both, the mixed layer temperature and salinity were highest in the southernmost region and decreased from south to north (Fig. 1). However, the thermocline temperature increased and salinity decreased from south to north. The thermocline temperature decreased and salinity increased towards the open ocean (Fig. 1). The lowest mixed layer temperature and salinity were 28.18 °C and 33.28 psu, and the highest were 28.53 °C and 33.58 psu, respectively. For thermocline, the lowest temperature and salinity were 24.42 °C and 33.73 psu, and the highest were 28.20 °C and 34.50 psu. The annual average chlorophyll-a concentration was high only in the shelf region throughout the swBoB (Fig. 1). The organic carbon varied from the lowest of 0.01% to the highest of 3.61%. The highest  $C_{org}$  was on the continental slope, all throughout the swBoB. Incidentally,  $C_{org}$  was low along the southernmost transect, but the total carbon (TC) was high (Fig. 3). The rest of the transects had high TC and CaCO<sub>3</sub>



**Fig. 3** The total carbon (TC), calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), coarse fraction (CF), organic carbon ( $C_{org}$ ), nitrogen (N) percentage, and the ratio of organic carbon to nitrogen ( $C_{org}/N$ ) in the surface sediments

of the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations, and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry

Table 1Sample details with<br/>latitude, longitude, depth,<br/>absolute abundance (TPN, #/g<br/>sediment), shell flux (PF, shells/<br/>cm³) of planktic foraminifera,<br/>and CaCO3 flux (mg/cm³) in the<br/>southwestern Bay of Bengal

Station	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Depth (m)	TPN (#/g sediment)	PF flux (shells/cm <sup>3</sup> )	CaCO <sub>3</sub> flux (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
MC01	14.5556	80.4010	318	342	98	14.59	
MC02	14.5538	80.4159	598	296	64	12.49	
MC03	14.5569	80.4214	721	332	68	11.08	
MC04	14.5560	80.4385	1117	81	27	16.95	
MC05	14.5401	81.3057	2994	132	45	6.25	
MC06	14.5553	80.4418	1249	147	26	10.20	
MC07	14.5557	80.4695	1556	229	35	10.04	
MC08	14.5555	80.4913	1762	379	81	13.69	
MC09	14.5532	80.5462	2018	427	70	12.93	
MC10	14.5520	80.7283	2526	137	46	6.93	
MC11	13.5501	81.3001	3289	147	11	4.17	
MC12	13.5486	80.7996	2964	64	48	7.79	
MC13	13.5462	80.7742	2735	299	55	9.16	
MC14	13.5505	80.6819	2225	141	31	5.62	
MC15	13.5486	80.6429	1725	46	11	5.53	
MC16	12.4500	80.6833	1106	440	118	16.56	
MC17	12.4524	80.6270	731	536	91		
MC18	13.5493	80.6075	1522	83	18	9.01	
MC19	13.5449	80.5945	1124	196	59	12.51	
MC20	13.5502	80.5784	895	107	54	12.10	
MC21	13.5507	80.5708	620	490	153	18.66	
MC22	13 5488	80 5687	480	5769	130	19.09	
MC23	13.5500	80.5390	256	428	172	14 54	
MC24	13.5498	80.5779	878	140	43	12.68	
MC25	13 5483	80.5080	154	689	495	30.80	
MC26	12 4643	80.5957	168	2237	2428	670.19	
MC27	12.4626	80.5957	286	1030	179	36.19	
MC28	12.1020	80.5980	470	557	144	32.63	
MC20	12.4720	80.7205	1437	282	51	10.34	
MC30	12.4394	81 3000	3429	107	36	6.23	
MC31	12.2760	80.8670	3254	79	17	5.75	
MC22	12.4534	80.7527	2760	7 <i>3</i> 81	21	6.00	
MC32	11.4244	80.7527	738	221	60	11.04	
MC34	11.4244	80.0082	500	231	78	12.28	
MC34	11.4202	70.0768	509 277	323 430	78 80	12.20	
MC35	11.4195	79.9708	128	430	09 270	12.22	
MC30	11.4169	79.9038	128	55	270	51.60	
MC37	11.4145	79.9372	91 52	281	J0 219	127.82	
MC30	11.4178	79.9133	32	201	318	0.10	
MC39	11.4188	/9.8034	28	10	2	9.10	
MC40	11.4203	80.1159	1036	393	114	13.87	
MC41	11.4236	80.2714	1480	217	59	9.08	
MC42	11.4193	80.4839	2007	450	83	9.42	
MC43	11.4132	80.5663	2570	258	81	6.49	
MC44	11.4162	80.7722	3112	248	51	6.77	
MC45	10.7480	81.0000	3505	426	56	8.77	
MC46	10.6535	80.8314	2982	296	54	9.01	
MC47	10.5996	80.7096	1695	2170	652	44.17	
MC48	10.5567	80.6732	1240	32	129	22.04	
MC49	10.5376	80.6521	1009	6193	2509	72.84	
MC50	10.5122	80.6229	760	7034	1845	55.25	

 Table 1 (continued)

Station	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Depth (m)	TPN (#/g sediment)	PF flux (shells/cm <sup>3</sup> )	CaCO <sub>3</sub> flux (mg/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
MC51	10.4979	80.6060	510	12060	6329	172.21	
MC52	10.3785	80.1340	24	7	10	470.66	
MC53	10.4048	80.2012	52	900	862	540.35	
MC54	10.4125	80.2445	73	1111	1134	774.53	
MC55	10.4489	80.3770	157	4260	3354	397.48	
MC56	10.4812	80.5168	249	5374	4069	181.86	
GR01	14.5688	80.2672	26	4	6	668.68	
GR02	14.5473	80.3332	52	27	36	45.30	
GR03	14.5562	80.3539	66	90	70	24.70	
GR04	14.5537	80.3737	104	549	322	35.84	
GR05	13.5479	80.4845	78	231	81	13.84	
GR06	13.5523	80.4081	29	7	10	421.07	
GR07	12.4480	80.2168	29	3	3	54.68	
GR08	12.4425	80.2735	78	10	12	154.84	
GR09	12.4434	80.2775	46	34	44	183.43	
GR10	12.4538	80.5223	76	315	342	429.16	
GR11	12.4479	80.5794	129	916	978	229.12	

content only at shallow stations (shelf). CaCO<sub>3</sub> abundance varied from 4.17 to 774.53 mg/cm<sup>3</sup> (Table 1), with an average of 102.90 mg/cm<sup>3</sup>. Interestingly, the highest  $C_{org}/N$  ratio was also in the southernmost transect, and it decreased with depth. However, an opposite trend was observed in the northern part of the study area. Here,  $C_{org}/N$  increased with depth (Fig. 3). The coarse fraction (>63 µm) percentage was also high along the southern most transect, and for the rest of the transects, it was high only at shallow stations (Fig. 3).

#### Absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera

The total number of planktic foraminifera varied from 3 to 12,060 specimen/g dry sediment. The highest absolute abundance was in the southernmost transect, but the rest of the transects had a very low abundance of planktic foraminifera (Fig. 4). The total planktic foraminifera number was > 2000to 12,060 specimen/g dry sediment in the southernmost transect. However, in other transects, the maximum absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera was < 2000 specimen/g dry sediment. A majority of the stations had < 500 planktic foraminifera/g dry sediment. Additionally, planktic foraminifera were abundant throughout the slope, only along the southernmost transect. In case of all other transects, planktic foraminifera abundance was slightly high only on the upper slope region. The absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera increased up to a depth of 1000 m and decreased after 1000 m. The range of planktic foraminifera flux values varied between 2 and 6329 shells/cm<sup>3</sup> (Table 1), with a mean value of 434 shells/cm<sup>3</sup>. The highest planktic foraminifera shell flux was along the southernmost transect (Fig. 5).

#### **Species abundance**

A total of thirty-one planktic foraminifera species belonging to fifteen genera were found in the surface sediments of the swBoB (Fig. 6). The dominant species (relative abundance > 5%) include Globigerinita glutinata, Globigerina bulloides, Globigerinoides ruber, Neogloboquadrina dutertrei, Trilobatus trilobus, and Trilobatus sacculifer (Fig. 7). The dominant species constitute ~76% of the total planktic foraminiferal population (Fig. 6). The moderately abundant species (with average relative abundance > 1% and < 5%) were *Neoglobo*quadrina incompta, Globorotalia menardii, Globigerina falconensis, Globigerinella siphonifera, Globoturborotalita rubescens, Globoquadrina conglomerata, Globigerinoides tenellus, and Pulleniatina obliquiloculata (Fig. 6 and 8). The rare species with average relative abundance < 1% include *Globorotaloides* hexagonus, Globigerinella calida, Globorotalia ungulata, Globigerinella radians, Turborotalita quinqueloba, Orbulina universa, Globigerinoides conglobatus, Hastigerina pelagica, and Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (Fig. 6 and 9). The relative abundance of Turborotalita humilis, Sphaeroidinella dehiscens, Beella digitata, Globorotalia eastropacia, Globorotalia tumida, Globigerinella adamsi, Neogallitellia vivans, and Globigerinita *uvula* was < 0.2% (Fig. 6).

#### **Dominant species**

*Globigerinita glutinata* was predominant in the swBoB (Fig. 6). The relative abundance of *G. glutinata* increased with depth except for a few stations. *Globigerinita glutinata* was abundant at the deepest (> 3000 m) stations of the two



**Fig. 4** The absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera (TPN/g sediment) in the swBoB. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations, and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry

southern transects. However, the deepest (> 3000 m) stations along the upper 3 transects had a relatively low abundance of G. glutinata. In general, G. glutinata was relatively more abundant in the southern part of the study area (Fig. 7). The second most abundant species was G. bulloides (Fig. 7). The percentage of G. bulloides was high on the outer shelf and upper slope region except very shallow stations and decreased with increasing depth. The relative abundance of G. bulloides was low off Cauvery River, but it was high off Chennai and in the northern part of swBoB (Fig. 7). The highest relative abundance of G. ruber was in the southernmost transect and decreased towards north. Its abundance was high at very shallow stations off Pennar River, while in the southernmost transect, G. ruber was abundant on the slope (Fig. 7). Neogloboquadrina dutertrei was more abundant along the uppermost two transects and its abundance increased with depth. The percentage of N. dutertrei was low in the southern part of the study area, except the upper slope region (Fig. 7). *Trilobatus trilobus* was abundant on the northern side of the Cauvery Basin. In the northern part of the study area, its relative abundance was high on the slope. Interestingly, the deepest stations of all the transects also had abundant *T. trilobus*. The relative abundance of *T. sacculifer* was higher than that of *T. trilobus*. The relative abundance of *T. sacculifer* increased with depth, except in the northernmost transect. The highest abundance of *Trilobatus sacculifer* was at the deepest station (MC45) of the southernmost transect (Fig. 7).

#### Moderately abundant species

Neogloboquadrina incompta was moderately abundant on the shelf in front of the Pennar and Cauvery rivers. However, it was more abundant on the upper slope in the middle part of the swBoB. The percentage of G. menardii increased with increasing water depth in all the transects (Fig. 8). It was rarely present on the entire continental shelf. Globigerina falconensis was more abundant only on the shallow shelf region especially near Cauvery River (Fig. 8). It was rarely present at all other stations on the outer shelf and further deeper regions. The abundance of G. bulloides was low in front of the Cauvery River, whereas G. falconensis had a high relative abundance in this region (Fig. 8). Globigerinella siphonifera was less abundant on the shelf and highly abundant at deeper stations except the southern part (Fig. 8). The abundance of G. rubescens was similar to that of G. falconensis. It was abundant at only a very few shallow water stations on the continental shelf. The highest abundance of G. rubescens was in the southernmost transect (Fig. 8). The relative abundance of G. conglomerata increased with increasing depth, and it was relatively more abundant in the northern part of the study area (Fig. 8). The surface distribution of G. conglomerata was similar to that of G. menardii (Fig. 8). The relative abundance of G. tenellus was similar to that of G. falconensis, with the zones of high relative abundance confined to the continental shelf, especially in the southern part of the study area. However, it was also abundant at a few stations on the upper slope, unlike G. falconensis. The relative abundance of P. obliquiloculata was high in the southern part as compared to the northern part of the study area. Pulleniatina obliquiloculata did not have any systematic distribution pattern with depth (Fig. 8).

# **Rare species**

The average relative abundance of *G. hexagonus* was 0.97 (%) in the swBoB. Only one shallowest station in front of the Pennar River had a high relative abundance of *G. hexagonus*. The abundance of *G. calida* was comparatively high on the slope, and it was rare on the shelf, except in the northern Cauvery Basin (Fig. 9). The surface distribution of *T. quinqueloba* was



Fig. 5 The flux rate of total planktic foraminifera (shells/cm<sup>3</sup>) and calcium carbonate (mg/cm<sup>3</sup>) in the southwestern Bay of Bengal

similar to that of *G. calida. Globorotalia ungulata* was relatively more abundant on the shelf as well as upper slope and was almost absent at deeper stations. Thus, the relative abundance of *G. ungulata* decreased with increasing depth (Fig. 9). *Orbulina universa* was absent at most of the stations on the continental shelf. It was more abundant in the southern part as compared to northern part of study area. The relative abundance of *G. conglobatus* was high at a few stations on the shelf, and it was absent at the slope except the southern transect. Here, it was more abundant on the slope (Fig. 9). *Hastigerina pelagica* was found only at a few stations on the shelf. Interestingly, the relative abundance of *H. pelagica* was very high (~10%) at station GR08. *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* was abundant on the upper slope in the northern part of the study area.

#### **Canonical correspondence analysis**

The canonical correspondence analysis helped to understand the relation between environmental parameters and planktic foraminiferal species. Axis 1 and Axis 2 represent 69.89% and 23.13% of the variance for the selected species explained with CCA (Fig. 10). The permutation (p) values for both axes were the same as < 0.01, and the Eigen values were 0.0481 and 0.0159, respectively. The relative abundance of G. ruber was positively correlated with the temperature and salinity of the mixed layer (Fig. 10). A similar preference for warmer temperature and high salinity in the mixed layer was also observed for G. conglobatus. The abundance of G. menardii was positively correlated with thermocline salinity and negatively correlated with thermocline temperature. However, G. *ungulata* showed an opposite preference, suggesting that G. menardii is a deep water and dissolution resistance species, and G. ungulata is shallow warm water and dissolution susceptible species (Fig. 10). The apparent calcification depth for G. ungulata is  $81 \pm 6$  m positioned in the upper thermocline, whereas for G. menardii, it is  $109 \pm 8$  m situated in the lower thermocline (Stainbank et al. 2019). Globorotalia ungulata has a lighter and thinner shell as compared to G.



#### **AVERAGE RELATIVE ABUNDANCE (%)**

**Fig. 6** Pie diagram showing the average relative abundance of planktic foraminifera species at all the stations in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The numbers with species names are their average relative abundance

*menardii* (Lamb and Beard 1972). Therefore, *G. ungulata* is comparatively more prone to dissolution, unlike *G. menardii*. Similarly, *N. dutertrei* and *G. conglomerata* were negatively correlated with mixed layer temperature and salinity, as well as thermocline temperature and positively correlated with thermocline salinity. *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* was also positively correlated with thermocline salinity. Several species (*G. falconensis*, *G. incompta*, *H. pelagica*, *G. bulloides*, and *T. quinqueloba*) were positively correlated with chlorophyll-a, clearly indicating that food availability controls their abundance (Fig. 10). However, *O. universa*, *G. menardii*, and *T. sacculifer* were negatively correlated with chlorophyll-a, suggesting their preference for low productivity oligotrophic waters.

#### **Diversity indices**

The stations on the continental shelf and lower slope had low Shannon and Simpson diversity index, but dominance was high (Fig. 11), while on the upper slope, it was opposite. The deepest stations of the three northern transects had a relatively higher planktic foraminiferal diversity. Overall, the planktic diversity was more in the southern part except at the deepest stations of the three northern transects. A relatively high diversity was also observed on the slope (Fig. 11).

#### Pearson correlation coefficient

The Pearson correlation values were used to understand the positive and negative correlation between the relative abundance of planktic foraminiferal species and different environmental parameters (Table 2). The probability values were calculated to test the null hypothesis and to know the significance of the correlation (Table 2). Only those correlation values that had p values 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 were considered statistically very significant, significant, and insignificant, respectively. Surprisingly, Globigerinita glutinata was not strongly correlated with any environmental parameter. However, G. bulloides exhibited a robust negative correlation ( $R^2 = -0.5064$ ; p < 0.01) with the temperature of mixed layer (Table 2). On the contrary, G. ruber had a strong positive correlation with both the temperature  $(R^2 = 0.4469; p < 0.01)$  and salinity  $(R^2 = 0.4055; p < 0.01)$ of the mixed layer (Table 2). Neogloboquadrina dutertrei was negatively correlated with temperature ( $R^2 = -0.4146$ ; p < 0.01) and salinity ( $R^2 = -0.5136$ ; p < 0.01) of the mixed layer and thermocline temperature ( $R^2 = -0.2868$ ; p < 0.05) and positively correlated ( $R^2 = 0.2799$ ; p < 0.05) with thermocline salinity. Globorotalia menardii exhibited a negative correlation ( $R^2 = -0.3649$ ; p < 0.01) with thermocline temperature and a positive correlation





Fig. 7 The relative abundance (%) of dominant planktic foraminifera species in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations, and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry

 $(R^2 = 0.3285; p < 0.05)$  with thermocline salinity (Table 2). Globorotalia ungulata was positively correlated with thermocline temperature ( $R^2 = 0.2959; p < 0.05$ ) and negatively with thermocline salinity ( $R^2 = -0.3250; p < 0.05$ ). Globigerinoides conglobatus was positively correlated with mixed layer salinity ( $R^2 = 0.2479; p > 0.05$ ). Neogloboquadrina incompta ( $R^2 = 0.2610; p < 0.05$ ), G. falconensis ( $R^2 = 0.7021; p < 0.01$ ), G. rubescens ( $R^2 = 0.4840$ ; p < 0.01), and *G. tenellus* ( $R^2 = 0.4235$ ; p < 0.01) were positively correlated with chlorophyll-a, while *N. dutertrei* ( $R^2 = -0.3621$ ; p < 0.01), *T. trilobus* ( $R^2 = -0.3046$ ; p < 0.05), *T. sacculifer* ( $R^2 = -0.4824$ ; p < 0.01), *G. menardii* ( $R^2 = -0.5498$ ; p < 0.01), *G. siphonifera* ( $R^2 = -0.3411$ ; p < 0.01), *G. conglomerata* ( $R^2 = -0.4059$ ; p < 0.01), and *O. universa* ( $R^2 = -0.3070$ ; p < 0.05) were negatively correlated with chlorophyll-a (Table 2).



Fig. 8 The relative abundance (%) of moderately abundant planktic foraminifera species in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations, and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry

# Discussion

#### Carbon burial in the southwestern Bay of Bengal

The mean CaCO<sub>3</sub> (14.19%) was much higher in the swBoB than the north-western and west-central BoB. It was 7.60% in the west-central BoB (Suokhrie et al. 2021), and for the entire north-western and west-central BoB, it was 5.69% including a few data points from swBoB (Saraswat et al. 2017). These values suggest the trend of increasing CaCO<sub>3</sub> content in the surface sediments towards the south in west-ern BoB. The maximum carbon burial was along the south-ernmost transect (Fig. 3) suggesting the influence of Gulf of Mannar water during the summer monsoon season. The carbon burial in marine realm occurs by two different pathways (Cartapanis et al. 2018), namely, transformation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) into C<sub>org</sub> through the utilization of

DIC by photosynthetic organisms, and extraction of DIC from the surrounding water by calcareous organisms to produce carbonate minerals (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and their burial at the seafloor. The Corg burial in marine sediments is modulated by the primary productivity in the surface water (Middelburg 2019; Thunell et al. 2000; Calvert and Pedersen 1992; Pedersen et al. 1992; Calvert et al. 1991), oxygen level in subsurface water (Middelburg 2019; Paropkari et al. 1993), sedimentation rate/mineral ballast (Hedges and Keil 1995; Ittekkot et al. 1991), texture of sediments (Calvert et al. 1995), and dilution by terrigenous material (Kandasamy and Nath 2016; Rullkotter 2006). However, the CaCO<sub>3</sub> content of the sediments is substantially modified by biological productivity in the overlying water column, dissolution in the water column as well as in sediments (Bhadra and Saraswat 2022) and dilution by terrigenous matter (Yadav et al. 2022; Govil et al. 2004).



Fig. 9 The relative abundance (%) of rare planktic foraminifera species in the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations, and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry



Fig. 10 Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) plot between ambient parameters and the relative abundance of planktic foraminifera species. The ambient parameters are marked by solid green lines



**Fig. 11** The Dominance, Simpson (1-D) and Shannon (H) diversity indices of planktic foraminifera species from the southwestern Bay of Bengal. The black dots and white diamonds are the sample locations,

and major rivers are marked by blue lines. The labelled contour lines are bathymetry

**Table 2** The positive and negative Pearson correlation ( $R^2$ ) values are given in green and blue colours, respectively. The significant probability (p) values are highlighted in orange colour

Species	Temperature (MLD) R <sup>2</sup>	Temperature (MLD) <i>p</i>	Salinity (MLD) R <sup>2</sup>	Salinity (MLD) <i>p</i>	Temperature (Thermocline) <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	Temperature (Thermocline) <i>p</i>	Salinity (Thermocline) <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	Salinity (Thermocline) <i>p</i>	Chl-a R <sup>2</sup>	Chl-a p
G. glutinata (%)	0.2210	0.0844	0.1638	0.2069	-0.0965	0.4544	0.0169	0.9003	-0.1273	0.3307
G. bulloides (%)	-0.5064	0.0001	-0.2964	0.0233	0.1149	0.3761	-0.0052	0.9672	0.2383	0.0657
<b>G.</b> ruber (%)	0.4469	0.0005	0.4055	0.0009	0.2109	0.1038	-0.1945	0.1351	0.1037	0.4200
N. dutertrei (%)	-0.4146	0.0010	-0.5136	0.0001	-0.2868	0.0267	0.2799	0.0280	-0.3621	0.0035
G. sacculifer (%)	-0.0231	0.8597	-0.1109	0.3929	-0.2238	0.0832	0.2480	0.0549	-0.3046	0.0149
T. trilobus (%)	-0.0618	0.6356	-0.0061	0.9623	0.0532	0.6927	0.0303	0.8261	0.0611	0.6446
T. sacculifer (%)	0.0344	0.7874	-0.1215	0.3535	-0.3735	0.0028	0.3490	0.0054	-0.4824	0.0001
N. incompta (%)	-0.1188	0.3646	-0.0591	0.6519	0.0375	0.7793	-0.1145	0.3582	0.2610	0.0447
G. menardii (%)	0.0347	0.7906	-0.2268	0.0796	-0.3649	0.0043	0.3285	0.0122	-0.5498	0.0001
G. falconensis (%)	-0.0307	0.8206	0.2078	0.1110	0.3888	0.0018	-0.3725	0.0045	0.7021	0.0001
G. siphonifera (%)	-0.1061	0.4134	-0.1509	0.2466	-0.2836	0.0268	0.3309	0.0076	-0.3411	0.0069
G. rubescens (%)	0.2115	0.1049	0.2895	0.0237	0.5500	0.0002	-0.5607	0.0005	0.4840	0.0020
G. conglomerata (%)	-0.1375	0.2895	-0.3176	0.0116	-0.2246	0.0814	0.2249	0.0800	-0.4059	0.0026
G. tenellus (%)	0.2897	0.0204	0.4032	0.0009	0.3366	0.0117	-0.3319	0.0146	0.4235	0.0035
P. obliquiloculata (%)	0.2059	0.1138	0.2363	0.0640	0.2380	0.0626	-0.1774	0.1570	0.2249	0.0795
G. hexagona (%)	0.0655	0.6227	0.0622	0.6535	0.1850	0.1451	-0.2636	0.0501	-0.1145	0.3251
G. calida (%)	0.1112	0.3963	0.1810	0.1677	-0.2866	0.0279	0.3383	0.0085	-0.0890	0.5017
G. ungulata (%)	-0.0659	0.6127	-0.0418	0.7484	0.2959	0.0203	-0.3250	0.0145	0.0925	0.4864
G. radians (%)	0.0501	0.7036	-0.0350	0.7932	-0.2903	0.0254	0.2419	0.0568	-0.2407	0.0634
T. quinqueloba (%)	-0.2277	0.0762	-0.1113	0.4047	0.0464	0.7322	-0.0618	0.6371	0.1272	0.3173
O. universa (%)	0.2133	0.1021	0.1053	0.4207	-0.2892	0.0225	0.2719	0.0359	-0.3070	0.0218
G. conglobatus (%)	0.1848	0.1499	0.2479	0.0509	0.2154	0.0815	-0.1331	0.2820	0.0879	0.4761
H. pelagica (%)	0.0563	0.6664	0.1088	0.4060	-0.1437	0.2585	0.1323	0.2833	0.0482	0.7018
N. pachyderma (%)	-0.1665	0.2141	-0.1812	0.1645	-0.1291	0.2744	0.0884	0.4175	-0.1503	0.1754

The  $C_{org}$  content in the sediments was low on the shelf, while the surface water chlorophyll-a was high. The low sedimentary organic matter despite high water column productivity means poor organic matter preservation in the sediments. The poor  $C_{org}$  preservation was because of the coarse-grained texture of the sediments and the influence

of oxygenated water (Hedges and Keil 1995). The  $C_{org}$  was also likely to be transported to the deeper depth with finer fraction of sediment due to the influence of riverine influx. The  $C_{org}$  content was maximum at intermediate depth (slope) suggesting the high  $C_{org}$  burial rate on the slope (Fig. 3). But the TC and CaCO<sub>3</sub> percentage were high on the shelf (Fig. 3), suggesting that a large part of the TC on the shelf was contributed by the calcareous organisms (foraminifers, pteropods, ostracods, gastropods, and others) on the shelf. The strong positive correlation ( $R^2$ =0.8675; p<0.01) between TC and CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 12a) confirms that a major part of TC was contributed by calcareous shells in the form of calcite mineral (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) throughout the swBoB. Incidentally, there was no correlation ( $R^2 = 0.0002$ ; p > 0.1) between TC and C<sub>org</sub> (Fig. 12b). The low C<sub>org</sub> and N content along the southernmost transect and high C<sub>org</sub>/N ratio suggests a high burial rate of terrestrially derived C<sub>org</sub>. The high TC, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and CF percentages along this transect suggest the maximum burial and sequestration of carbon through calcareous shell flux (Fig. 5). The quick burial due to higher sedimentation

4.0





Fig. 12 The correlation between TC and  $CaCO_3$  (a), TC and  $C_{org}$  (b), TPN/g sediment and CF at <200 m water depth (c), TPN/g sediment and CF at >200 m water depth (d), TPN/g sediment and

CaCO<sub>3</sub> at < 200 m water depth (e), and TPN/g sediment and CaCO<sub>3</sub> at > 200 m water depth (f) from the southwestern Bay of Bengal

rate decreases the remineralization during the downward flux of the planktic foraminiferal shells in the water column as well as after its settlement in the sediments. The higher burial rates, therefore, are mainly responsible for the better carbonate preservation in this part. Additionally, the higher overall planktic population is also attributed to the influx of more saline and carbonate enriched water from the Arabian Sea. However, the high  $C_{org}$  and N in front of the Pennnar River and the low  $C_{org}/N$  ratio (Fig. 3) suggest relatively, higher marine produced  $C_{org}$  burial.

The mean Corg content and Corg/N ratio of sediments were 1.23% and 10.29, respectively, at a mean water depth of 1080 m in the swBoB. The mean  $C_{org}$  and  $C_{org}/N$ , in the entire western BoB, were 1.19% and 11.16 at a mean water depth of 564 m (Saalim et al. 2021; Suokhrie et al. 2020; Saraswat et al. 2017). The high  $C_{org}$  and low  $C_{org}/N$  suggests slightly higher Corg burial in the swBoB and less influence of terrigenous input. Nevertheless, the Corg content in the sediments was relatively higher in the west-central BoB (Saraswat et al. 2017). The high  $C_{org}$  in the west-central BoB was attributed to the upwelling in this region (Shetye et al. 1991). The low  $C_{org}$  and high  $C_{org}/N$  in the extreme north-west BoB (Saraswat et al. 2017) and in front of the Cauvery River suggests high terrigenous input and less Corg burial. A similar mean  $C_{org}$  (1.2%), but much higher mean Core/N (17.43), were reported at a mean depth of 281 m in the western BoB from the Krishna River mouth to the Mahanadi River mouth (Krishna et al. 2013). However, here, the sampling was limited to a maximum water depth of 1209 m. Therefore, the dominance of terrestrially derived organic matter at the shallow depth stations results in higher Corg/N. The mean  $C_{org}$  (2.43%) at a mean water depth of 984 m in the eastern Arabian Sea along the western margin of India (Paropkari et al 1993) suggests almost two fold Corg burial as compared to the western BoB. The highest carbon burial was in the Gulf of Mannar where the mean  $C_{org}$  and  $C_{org}/N$  at a mean water depth of 1059 m were 4.2% and 9.47, respectively (Singh et al. 2022).

#### Absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera

We observed the highest planktic foraminiferal abundance reported so far from the entire western Bay of Bengal (wBoB). In wBoB, the highest abundance, previously reported by Bhadra and Saraswat (2021), was 5086 specimens/g dry sediment. But in swBoB, the highest planktic foraminiferal abundance was an order of magnitude higher (12,000 specimens/g dry sediment) than so far reported from the wBoB (Fig. 4). The swBoB is a unique basin and is influenced by seasonally reversing monsoon circulation (Shankar et al. 2002; Schott and McCreary 2001). During the southwest monsoon, summer monsoon current transports 10 Sv of high salinity (0.9 psu higher) water from the seAS into the BoB (Vinayachandran et al. 1999). At the same time, the influx of carbonate-enriched water from the Gulf of Mannar to the Palk Bay is ~ 16.301 m<sup>3</sup>/s (Jagadeesan et al. 2013). This high salinity carbonate-enriched water further moves into the swBoB (Fig. 1F) and facilitates the abundance of planktic foraminifera in the southern part of the Cauvery Basin. The temperature, salinity, food availability (Lombard et al. 2011; Fraile et al. 2008; Zaric et al. 2005; Watkins et al. 1996), and dissolution (Wang et al. 2021; de Villiers 2005; Schiebel 2002; Peterson and Prell 1985) are the key parameters affecting planktic foraminiferal abundance. The warmer, saline, and carbonate-saturated waters sustain abundant presence of planktic foraminifera in the swBoB. Additionally, planktic foraminifera have been reported to secrete thicker and heavier shells at high salinity (Zarkogiannis et al. 2022). As the thicker and heavier shells sink faster, the exposure time to dissolution is less. Ultimately, the flux of calcareous shells increases.

The absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera increased with increasing water depth up to the upper slope. The highest planktic foraminiferal abundance was observed on the upper slope, but it decreased below 1000 m water depth (Fig. 4). The decrease in planktic foraminiferal abundance at deeper stations suggests the dissolution below the foraminiferal lysocline (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). As we move from south to north, the foraminiferal lysocline shoals from 2600 to 2000 m in the BoB (Cullen and Prell 1984). The absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera also shows a similar shallowing trend of foraminiferal lysocline from south to north in the swBoB (Fig. 4).

The planktic foraminiferal population was low throughout the continental shelf as compared to the slope. Additionally, the abundance of planktic foraminifera was very low on the inner shelf (Fig. 4). The low planktic foraminiferal abundance on the shallow shelf is attributed to several factors. The planktic foraminifera require sufficient water column depth to thrive. A large number of planktic species migrate to deeper depths for reproduction (Schiebel and Hemleben 2017). The lack of sufficient water column depth on the shelf restricts the migration of several species and results in low planktic foraminifera abundance. The terrigenous flux is also high on the continental shelf. The low salinity and high turbidity due to terrigenous influx is detrimental to planktic foraminifera (Kucera 2007). Additionally, the dilution due to terrigenous influx also lowers the planktic foraminiferal abundance on the shelf (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021; Cullen and Prell 1984).

The low  $C_{org}$  content and high  $C_{org}/N$  ratio in surface sediments suggest low productivity along the southernmost transect. The high  $C_{org}/N$  ratio in front of the Cauvery River mouth (Fig. 3) suggests high terrestrial organic matter input. Therefore, the high abundance of planktic foraminifera in the southern part was attributed to the influx of seAS's high salinity and carbonate-saturated water (Trask 1936). The high terrestrial input means a high energy condition that results in the deposition of coarse-grained sediments (Bejugam and Nayak 2017). The coarse-grained sediments and the influence of oxygenated water led to the poor preservation of organic matter (Suokhrie et al. 2022; Saalim et al. 2021; Bejugam and Nayak 2017; Calvert et al. 1995; Calvert and Pedersen 1992). Despite the riverine influx, salinity was high in this region because the high salinity seAS water mixes with less saline BoB water and thus maintains the high salinity in the southern part during the summer monsoon (Fig. 1B and F). However, the  $C_{org}/N$  was low in front of the Pennar River mouth and increased with depth (Fig. 3). This trend in  $C_{org}/N$  is because most of the riverine influx is from the northern side.

# Planktic foraminifera contribution to the carbon burial

The high CaCO<sub>3</sub> content and relatively low absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera (TPN/g sediment) on the shelf (<150 m) suggests that the planktic foraminifera are not a major contributor of CaCO<sub>3</sub> to the surface sediments on the continental shelf of swBoB (Fig. 3 and 4). The very weak positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.0205$ ; p > 0.1) between CF and TPN/g sediment (Fig. 12c) also confirms that the large part of CF on shallow shelf was contributed either by sand fraction or other meio and macro fauna. However, planktic foraminifera mainly contributed to the  $CaCO_3$  content in the surface sediment at depths > 200 m (Fig. 12f), all throughout the swBoB, as evident by the strong positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.7162$ ; p < 0.01). The strong positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.7065$ ; p < 0.01) between CF and TPN/g sediment (Fig. 12d) also confirms that the large part of CF is planktic foraminifera shells. Along the southernmost transect, the high TC, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, TPN/g sediment, and low  $C_{org}$  (Fig. 3, 4) as well as the strong positive correlation between CaCO<sub>3</sub> and TPN/g sediment (Fig. 12f), suggests that the substantial part of TC is of planktic foraminiferal origin in this region.

The average absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera was 257 specimens/g sediment in the north-west and westcentral BoB (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). However, the average absolute abundance was around four times higher (920 specimen/g sediment) in the swBoB. Similarly, the CaCO<sub>3</sub> content was also high in the swBoB relative to other parts of western BoB. The absolute abundance of planktic foraminifera also was relatively higher off Mahanadi River (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021) where the TIC (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) percentage was also high (Saraswat et al. 2017). Therefore, planktic foraminiferal shells constituted a large fraction of the CaCO<sub>3</sub> on the slope and deeper regions of the wBoB.

# Ecological preferences of the dominant planktic foraminiferal species

Globigerinita glutinata was the most abundant within the swBoB region, with an average relative abundance of 23.55% (Fig. 6 and 7). This species dominated the planktic foraminiferal assemblages, indicating its ecological success and adaptability within the local marine environment. Earlier, the dominant presence of G. glutinata was also reported from the wBoB (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). Interestingly, G. glutinata was not the most abundant species in the sediment traps deployed in the Bay of Bengal (Maeda et al. 2022; Guptha et al. 1997). The difference was because the sediment trap studies used > 150  $\mu$ m fraction to pick planktic foraminifera, but we have used a relatively finer fraction  $(> 125 \mu m)$ . Therefore, the size variation of the CF used for picking may create a difference in its relative abundance. The maximum abundance of G. glutinata is commonly reported in subtropical to temperate waters (Schmuker and Schiebel 2002; Schiebel and Hemleben 2000). But in nutrient-rich conditions, it is more prominent in tropical waters as well (Mazumder et al. 2009; Schiebel et al. 2001). Globigerinita glutinata is a cosmopolitan species with a wide geographical distribution and can tolerate a large range of temperature and salinity (Mazumder et al. 2009; Hemleben et al. 1989). In the swBoB, G. glutinata displayed only a subtle positive correlation with  $R^2$  of 0.2210 (p > 0.05) for mixed layer temperature and 0.1638 (p > 0.1) for mixed layer salinity, not suggesting its strong preference for warmer and saline waters (Fig. 10, Table 2). The higher relative abundance of G. glutinata was observed in the southern part as compared to the northern part of swBoB (Fig. 7), which might suggest the influence of high salinity and nutrient rich water influx from the seAS and Palk Bay. However, in wBoB, the relative abundance of G. glutinata was negatively correlated with mixed layer temperature and suggested to prefer relatively cooler water (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). In the northeast Atlantic, food and nutrient availability control the relative abundance of G. glutinata (Schiebel et al. 2017, 2001; Chapman 2010; Schiebel and Hemleben 2000). In the Gulf of Aden, G. glutinata was associated with the entrainment of nutrients into the surface waters during the winter monsoon (Ivanova et al. 2003). However, in the Caribbean Sea, G. glutinata was associated with eddy-induced productivity (Schmuker and Schiebel 2002). In the swBoB also, productivity is controlled by the entrainment of eddy-driven nutrients into the surface water during the winter monsoon (Jayalakshmi et al. 2015). Therefore, we conclude that the presence of eddies controls the distribution of G. glutinata, rather than any specific change in temperature and salinity in the swBoB.

*Globigerina bulloides* was the second most abundant species in the swBoB (Fig. 7). *Globigerina bulloides* is

considered a non-symbiotic species usually found within the upper 60 m of the water column and prominently associated with temperate to sub-polar water masses, as well as upwelling settings in the low latitudes (Schiebel and Hemleben 2017; Peterson et al. 1991). Globigerina bulloides prefers cold and nutrient rich water (Reynolds and Thunell 1985; Thompson 1981). The relative abundance of G. bulloides was high on the shelf and upper slope (Fig. 7) where the concentration of chlorophyll-a was also high (Fig. 1E). A positive correlation with chlorophyll-a and a negative correlation with mixed layer temperature in the CCA plot as well as a significant negative correlation coefficient with mixed layer temperature ( $R^2 = -0.5064$ ; p < 0.01) further confirms its preference for relatively cooler and nutrient rich waters (Table 2). During the southwest monsoon, coastal upwelling brings the deeper cold and nutrient-rich water to the surface and results in increased phytoplankton production on the shelf. Although, the upwelling in the swBoB is not as strong as in the northwestern and central western Bay of Bengal, prominent upwelling is reported until the Chennai coast (Shetye et al. 1991). Therefore, the high relative abundance of G. bulloides in the northern part of the swBoB along the Chennai coast was ascribed to upwelling during the summer season.

The high relative abundance of G. ruber was observed in the southern part of swBoB (Fig. 7) where the temperature and salinity both were high. Globigerinoides ruber is a symbiont-bearing species that resides within the mixed layer, most frequently found in the tropical to subtropical waters of the global ocean (Bijma et al. 1990a; Hemleben et al. 1989; Bé, 1977). Globigerinoides ruber and G. bulloides show an opposite trend which means G. ruber prefers high salinity and warm water, but G. bulloides prefers relatively cooler water. Both the CCA plot (Fig. 10) and Pearson correlation values (Table 2) also confirm that G. ruber prefers high salinity and warm water as compared to G. bulloides. A similar ecological preference of these species was also reported from the wBoB (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). Globigerinoides ruber does not show any significant correlation with chlorophyll-a (Table 2), implying that the food availability is not a major controlling factor for G. ruber. It has been reported that G. ruber prefers oligotrophic conditions (Ravelo and Andreasen 1999; Ravelo et al. 1990). The relative abundance of G. ruber was high only on the continental shelf. According to Berger (1968), G. ruber is a dissolution susceptible species. The low abundance of G. ruber at deeper depths suggests higher dissolution in the northern part as compared to the southern part of swBoB. The high relative abundance of G. ruber at deeper depth along the southernmost transect (Fig. 7) suggests better preservation of planktic foraminifera in the southern part of swBoB.

The relative abundance of *N. dutertrei* was negatively correlated with thermocline temperature and positively

correlated with thermocline salinity suggesting its coldwater preference (Fig. 10, Table 2). Neogloboquadrina dutertrei is frequently found in the tropical to subtropical waters (Schiebel and Hemleben 2000; Bé, 1977). It was previously reported as a thermocline dweller species (Billups and Spero 1996; Thunell and Reynolds 1984; Fairbanks et al. 1982). But, as the thermocline salinity decreased towards the north in the swBoB (Fig. 1D), the relative abundance of N. dutertrei increased (Fig. 7). A similar high relative abundance of N. dutertrei was also reported in the low salinity waters of the northern and western BoB (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021; Cullen and Prell 1984; Cullen and Duplessy 1979). Therefore, N. dutertrei can be used as a proxy for paleosalinity reconstruction in the BoB. As the relative abundance of N. dutertrei increased with increasing water depth, the high percentage of N. dutertrei at deeper depths is ascribed to its dissolution resistant nature (Bhadra and Saraswat 2022).

Trilobatus sacculifer was negatively correlated with chlorophyll-a suggesting its preference for oligotrophic waters (Fig. 10). Previously, several authors have reported its preference for oligotrophic surface waters, like G. ruber (Schiebel et al. 2004; Conan and Brummer 2000; Naidu and Malmgren 1996a). Trilobatus sacculifer is a symbiontbearing mixed layer species and reproduces at ~80 m water depth, during the full moon, probably within the chlorophyll maxima (Bijma and Hemleben 1994; Bijma et al. 1990a; Hemleben et al. 1989). It was considered a euryhaline species and can tolerate the salinity range from 24 to 47 psu, and temperatures from 14 to 32 °C (Bijma et al. 1990b). Recently, T. sacculifer was assigned to two separate species, namely, Trilobatus trilobus (without sac) and T. sacculifer (with sac). Interestingly, Trilobatus trilobus and T. sacculifer show an opposite trend. The relative abundance of T. trilobus was more in the shallow regions, except a few stations, as compared to T. sacculifer and negatively correlated with temperature and salinity of the mixed layer. The relative abundance of T. sacculifer was also negatively correlated with temperature and salinity of the mixed layer but positively correlated with thermocline salinity (Fig. 10). The positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.3490$ ; p < 0.01) with thermocline salinity and negative correlation ( $R^2$  -0.3735; p < 0.01) with thermocline temperature suggests that T. sacculifer is a deep-cold water preferring species that migrates to deeper and cold water during reproduction. The high abundance at deeper depth also indicates that T. sacculifer is less prone to dissolution as compared to T. trilobus.

#### Factors affecting moderately abundant species

*Neogloboquadrina incompta* was more abundant in the swBoB as compared to wBoB (Fig. 8). The highest relative abundance of *N. incompta* in the wBoB was only 1.38%

(Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). However, in the swBoB, its highest relative abundance was 25%. The average abundance of *N. incompta* was also ~3% in the swBoB (Fig. 6). Additionally, *N. incompta* showed a weak positive correlation with annual average chlorophyll-a concentration (Table 2). In the northeastern Atlantic Ocean also, *N. incompta* was abundant during the low productive summer season (Schiebel and Hemleben 2000). It has also been reported that at the time of highest food availability, *N. incompta* is replaced by *G. bulloides* and *T. quinqueloba* (Schiebel et al. 2001). Therefore, *N. incompta* prefers oligotrophic conditions in swBoB as compared to wBoB, during the summer.

The average relative abundance of G. menardii in swBoB was 2.79%, but in wBoB, it was 1.15% (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). It was also reported that as the temperature and salinity increased from north to south in BoB, the relative abundance of G. menardii also increased (Bhonsale and Saraswat 2012; Cullen and Prell 1984). However, in swBoB, the relative abundance of G. menardii decreased towards the south and was higher at the deeper stations of the northern part of the study area (Fig. 9). In swBoB, both the temperature and salinity of the mixed layer increased towards the south, but the thermocline temperature decreased and salinity increased (Fig. 1). From the CCA, we report a positive correlation of G. menardii with thermocline salinity and a negative correlation with thermocline temperature. The thermocline water was less saline and warmer at shallow stations (<150 m, water depth). The thermocline salinity increased and temperature decreased with depth creating a large gradient. This gradient does not vary significantly from south to north (Fig. 1). Therefore, from both the CCA and correlation analysis, it is evident that Globorotalia menardii exhibits a positive correlation with thermocline salinity and a negative correlation with temperature (Fig. 10, Table 2). The high abundance of G. menardii at deeper stations also suggests that G. menardii is a dissolution resistant species. The decreasing abundance of G. ungulata with depth was attributed to its dissolution susceptible nature and preference for less saline and warm water of thermocline. A similar dissolution ranking of these species was also reported by Bhadra and Saraswat (2022) from the north-west Bay of Bengal.

Globigerina falconensis was more abundant on the inner shelf and its abundance decreased towards the open ocean. The distribution pattern of *G. falconensis* is similar to that of *G. bulloides*. The relative presence of *G. falconensis* was high near the Cauvery River mouth (Fig. 8) where the upwelling was not as prominent as above the Chennai coast (Shetye et al. 1991). However, the winter monsoon influx is high in the southern part of the swBoB, indicating that *G. falconensis* can be used as a winter monsoon species. In the Arabian Sea also, *G. falconensis* was more abundant during the winter monsoon in the zone of vertical mixing, and *G*. *bulloides* was more abundant during the summer season in the regions of strong upwelling (Schulz et al. 2002). Therefore, the change in the occurrence of these two species may be a good proxy to reconstruct the monsoon seasonality. *Globigerina falconensis* showed a very strong positive correlation ( $R^2 = 0.7021$ ; p < 0.01) with chlorophyll-a (Fig. 10, Table 2) suggesting food availability as a major factor controlling its abundance.

The relative abundance of G. siphonifera increased towards the north as the salinity decreased (Fig. 1, 8). It means that G. siphonifera prefers low salinity waters. Globigerinella siphonifera was the most abundant species in the tropical to subtropical region (Bé, 1977). Experimentally, it is determined that the temperature and salinity tolerance range for G. siphonifera is 10-30 °C and 27-45 psu, respectively (Bijma et al. 1990b). However, G. siphonifera abundance was negatively correlated with thermocline temperature and positively with thermocline salinity in the swBoB (Table 2). Bhadra and Saraswat (2021) considered it a dominant species in the wBoB where the freshwater influx was more as compared to the swBoB. Here, G. siphonifera was moderately abundant. Therefore, its low abundance in swBoB was attributed to the low freshwater influx. Another moderately abundant species, Globoturborotalita rubescens, was more abundant on the shallow inner shelf particularly close to Cauvery River mouth (Fig. 8). The surface distribution of G. rubescens was similar to that of G. falconensis. Interestingly, the Core/N ratio was also high in the regions having higher abundance of these species (Fig. 3), suggesting their preference for riverine influx derived terrestrial organic matter. The high abundance of G. rubescens along the continental margin was also observed by Bhadra and Saraswat (2021) and Bé and Hutson (1977).

The maximum relative abundance of Globoquadrina conglomerata was ~ 6% with an average abundance of 1.53%. Globoquadrina conglomerata was negatively correlated with mixed layer salinity ( $R^2 = 0.3176$ ; p < 0.05), as well as thermocline temperature and positively correlated with thermocline salinity (Fig. 10 and Table 2). Its abundance increased from south to north (Fig. 8), like N. dutertrei, G. menardii, and G. siphonifera (Fig. 7) as the thermocline temperature increased and salinity decreased (Fig. 1C and D). Its relative abundance also increased with increasing water depth (Fig. 8). Globoquadrina conglomerata is absent in the Atlantic Ocean and rare in the oligotrophic regions of the Indian and Pacific Oceans (Schiebel et al. 2004; Bé, 1977; Parker 1962). But in swBoB, G. conglomerata was moderately abundant. The higher abundance of G. conglomerata at deeper depth also suggests its dissolution resistant nature like N. dutertrei, G. menardii, and G. siphonifera. The relative abundance of G. tenellus was comparatively high on the outer shelf and upper slope, and it was almost absent at lower slope and at further deeper depths. But in the Arabian Sea, a relatively high number of *G. tenellus* was observed at the lower slope (Naidu 1993). *Globigerinoides tenellus* was positively correlated with the mixed layer salinity as compared to mixed layer temperature, suggesting its high salinity preference. Pearson coefficient also indicates its relatively strong positive correlation with mixed layer salinity and chlorophyll-a (Table 2).

*Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* was abundant on the shelf and upper slope of the southern part of the study area. It is a cosmopolitan species (Li et al. 1997; Bé, 1977) reproducing at a monthly cycle and undergoing gametogenic (Hemleben et al. 1989). *Pulleniatina obliquiloculata* showed a weak positive correlation with mixed layer salinity and temperature as well as thermocline temperature (Fig. 8). The warm water on the shallow shelf and southern part of the study area facilitates relatively high abundance of *P. obliquiloculata*. Bhadra and Saraswat (2021) also reported that the higher abundance of *P. obliquiloculata* in the wBoB is sustained by the warm water conditions on the inner shelf.

#### Planktic foraminiferal diversity

The planktic foraminifera were less diverse on the shelf and the diversity increased with depth (Fig. 11). The low diversity on the continental shelf may be because of the shallow water depth and associated small range of seawater temperature but large salinity fluctuations not being favourable for several species. Additionally, many species migrate to deeper depths to reproduce. The shallow depth restricts the migration (Schiebel and Hemleben 2017). The turbulence on the shelf is also high, resulting in low diversity. Beyond the shelf, the diversity was high until 2000 m water depth (Fig. 11). However, planktic foraminifera were more diverse on the shelf in wBoB (Bhadra and Saraswat 2021). It may be because of the shallow lysocline in northwestern BoB, restricting the diversity on the upper slope. The high diversity on the upper slope region in the present study suggests a deeper lysocline in swBoB. The low species diversity at > 2000 m was due to lysoclinal dissolution (Fig. 11). The surface mixed layer dwelling species like G. ruber, G. bulloides, G. falconensis, G. rubescens, and T. trilobus are more prone to dissolution (Bhadra and Saraswat 2022). As the tests of dissolution prone species dissolve preferentially, the diversity decreases. The obvious question is why are these surface dwelling species more prone to dissolution? The surface dwelling species live in a warm and less dense water of the euphotic zone and form relatively light, porous, and open-structured shells. The dissolution resistant species such as G. menardii, P. obliquiloculata, and N. dutertrei live below the photic zone where the growth rate is also slow and thus they form relatively thicker and dense shells (Berger and Parker 1970). The high diversity at the deepest stations of the three northern transects may be due to the presence of more thermocline species. The high salinity and carbonate enriched waters of the Gulf of Mannar and Palk Bay (Fig. 1F), coupled with the terrestrial influx, strongly dominate in the southern part of the swBoB. Such waters support a large diversity of planktic foraminiferal species in this part of the Bay of Bengal.

# Conclusions

The highest carbon burial was observed in the southernmost part of the western BoB. We did not find large variation in Corg burial throughout the western BoB. However, the CaCO<sub>3</sub> burial was much higher in the southern part of swBoB where the planktic foraminiferal flux was also very high. Therefore, it is evident that the planktic foraminifera contribute significantly to the total carbon burial in the surface sediments of the swBoB. The very high planktic foraminiferal number in the swBoB suggests the biannual influence of the Palk Bay and Gulf of Mannar waters during the summer monsoon season as well as the higher productivity during winter season. Therefore, we conclude that planktic foraminifera can be effectively used to understand the change in the influence of the southeastern AS water in the swBoB. *Globigerinoides ruber* showed a preference for high salinity and warm waters. We infer that the relative abundance of G. bulloides and G. falconensis responds to the upwelling during summer and favorable conditions during the winter monsoon, respectively. The chaotic distribution pattern of G. glutinata may be the result of cyclonic eddies during the winter season. Neogloboquadrina dutertrei, G. menardii, G. siphonifera, and G. conglomerata were negatively correlated with mixed layer temperature and mixed layer salinity, as well as thermocline temperature and positively correlated with thermocline salinity. However, their spatial distribution from south to north suggests a preference for warm and less saline thermocline water. Globorotalia menardii, P. obliquiloculata, N. dutertrei, G. conglomerata, G. glutinata, and T. sacculifer were considered dissolution resistant species due to their high abundance at deeper depths, while the surface dwelling species like G. ruber, G. bulloides, G. falconensis, G. rubescens, and T. trilobus were more prone to dissolution. The high abundance of T. sacculifer at deeper depths suggests that T. sacculifer was less prone to dissolution as compared to T. trilobus. The positive correlation of G. falconensis, G. incompta, G. bulloides, H. pelagica, and T. quinqueloba with Chlorophyll-a suggests that the food availability also strongly controlled the planktic foraminiferal abundance. These findings will help to document the factors affecting the chemical composition of planktic foraminifera test and will also help to reconstruct the paleoclimatic and paleoceanographic conditions from the Bay of Bengal.

Acknowledgements We are thankful to all the crew members and scientists who participated in 67th cruise of RV *Sindhu Sadhana* (SSD076) to collect the samples. We also acknowledge the help by Shri Shashikant Velip, Senior Technical Officer, National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, to operate the multicorer. The authors thank Dr. Sujata R. Kurtarkar for the help in identification of planktic foraminifera. The help by Mrs. Teja Ankush Naik in CN and TIC analysis under the Central Analytical Facility (CAF) of the CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, India, is thankfully acknowledged. MS thanks Joint CSIR-University Grants Commission for providing the research fellowship. The authors thank the two anonymous reviewers for the suggestions to improve a previous version of the manuscript.

Author contribution RS conceptualized and designed the project. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by MS and RS. The first draft of the manuscript was written by MS, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** The work was funded by the Science and Engineering Research Board, Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, under Core Research Grant Scheme to RS (Grant Number CRG/2019/000221).

# Declarations

**Ethical approval** The manuscript has not been submitted to any journal other than Environmental Science and Pollution Research. We also promise that the submitted work is original and have not used any data or figures from any published articles. Here, we have used our own generated data and figures.

**Consent to participate** All the authors consent to participate in the research article and do not have any financial or proprietary interests in any material discussed in this article.

**Consent for publication** I assured that all authors agreed to publish this manuscript in Environmental Science and Pollution Research. The authors also obtained the consent, before submitting the manuscript, from CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Goa, where the work has been carried out.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

# References

- Anbuselvan N, Senthil Nathan D (2021) Distribution and environmental implications of planktonic foraminifera in the surface sediments of southwestern part of Bay of Bengal, India. J Sediment Environ 6:213–235
- Bé AWH (1967) Foraminifera: Globigerinidae, Globorotaliidae. ICES Identification Leaflets for Plankton 108:9. https://doi.org/10. 17895/ices.pub.4949
- Bé AWH (1977) An ecological, zoogeographic and taxonomic review of Recent planktonic foraminifera. Oceanic Micropaleontology 1:1–100
- Bé AW, Hutson WH (1977) Ecology of planktonic foraminifera and biogeographic patterns of life and fossil assemblages in the Indian Ocean. Micropaleontology 23:369–414
- Bé AWH, Tolderlund DS (1971) Distribution and ecology of living planktonic foraminifera in surface waters of the Atlantic and

Indian Ocean. Cambridge University Press, Micropaleontol Oceans, London, pp 105–150

- Behara A, Vinayachandran PN, Shankar D (2019) Influence of rainfall over eastern Arabian Sea on its salinity. J Geophys Res: Oceans 124:5003–5020
- Bejugam P, Nayak GN (2017) Source and depositional processes of the surface sediments and their implications on productivity in recent past off Mahanadi to Pennar River mouths, Western Bay of Bengal. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 483:58–69
- Berger W (1968) Planktonic Foraminifera: selective solution and paleoclimatic interpretation. Deep-Sea Res Oceanogr Abstr 15:31–43
- Berger WH, Parker FL (1970) Diversity of planktonic foraminifera in deep-sea sediments. Science 168:1345–1347
- Bhadra SR, Saraswat R (2021) Assessing the effect of riverine discharge on planktic foraminifera: a case study from the marginal marine regions of the western Bay of Bengal. Deep Sea Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 183:104927
- Bhadra SR, Saraswat R (2022) Exceptionally high foraminiferal dissolution in the Western Bay of Bengal. Anthropocene 40:100351
- Bhonsale S, Saraswat R (2012) Abundance and size variation of *Globorotalia menardii* in the northeastern Indian Ocean during the Late Quaternary. J Geol Soc India 80:771–782
- Bijma J, Hemleben C (1994) Population dynamics of the planktic foraminifer Globigerinoides sacculifer (Brady) from the central Red Sea. Deep Sea Res Part I 41:485–510
- Bijma J, Erez J, Hemleben C (1990a) Lunar and semi-lunar reproductive cycles in some spinose planktonic foraminifers. J Foramin Res 20:117–127
- Bijma J, Faber WW, Hemleben C (1990b) Temperature and salinity limits for growth and survival of some planktonic foraminifers in laboratory cultures. J Foramin Res 20:95–116
- Billups K, Spero HJ (1996) Reconstructing the stable isotope geochemistry and paleotemperatures of the equatorial Atlantic during the last 150,000 years: results from individual foraminifera. Paleoceanography 11:217–238
- Calvert SE, Karlin RE, Toolin LJ, Donahue DJ, Southon JR, Vogel JS (1991) Low organic carbon accumulation rates in Black Sea sediments. Nature 350:692–695
- Calvert SE, Pedersen TF (1992) Organic carbon accumulation and preservation in marine sediments: how important is anoxia? In: Whelan J, Farrington J (eds) Organic matter: productivity, accumulation, and preservation in recent and ancient sediments. Columbia University Press, pp 231–263
- Calvert SE, Pedersen TF, Naidu PD, von Stackelberg U (1995) On the organic carbon maximum on the continental slope of the eastern Arabian Sea. J Mar Res 53:269–296
- Cartapanis O, Galbraith ED, Bianchi D, Jaccard SL (2018) Carbon burial in deep-sea sediment and implications for oceanic inventories of carbon and alkalinity over the last glacial cycle. Clim Past 14:1819–1850
- Chapman MR (2010) Seasonal production patterns of planktonic foraminifera in the NE Atlantic Ocean: implications for paleotemperature and hydrographic reconstructions. Paleoceanography 25:1101. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001708
- Chen X, Delu P, Yan B, Xianqiang H, Chen-Tung Arthur C, Zengzhou H (2013) Episodic phytoplankton bloom events in the Bay of Bengal triggered by multiple forcings. Deep Sea Res Part I: Oceanogr Res Pap 73:17–30
- Chowdhury KR, Haque M, Nasreen N, Hasan R (2003) Distribution of planktonic foraminifera in the northern Bay of Bengal. Sed Geol 155:393–405
- Conan SMH, Brummer GJA (2000) Fluxes of planktic Foraminifera in response to monsoonal upwelling on the Somalia Basin margin. Deep Sea Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 47:2207–2227

- Cullen JL, Duplessy JC (1979) Changes in monsoonal circulation over the last ice-age cycle: microfossil evidence from the Bay of Bengal. Geol Soc Am Abstr Programs 11:408
- Cullen JL, Prell WL (1984) Planktonic foraminifera of the northern Indian Ocean: distribution and preservation in surface sediments. Mar Micropaleontol 9:1–52
- Darling KF, Wade CM, Siccha M, Trommer G, Schulz H, Abdolalipour S, Kurasawa A (2017) Genetic diversity and ecology of the planktonic foraminifers globigerina bulloides, turborotalita quinqueloba and Neogloboquadrina Pachyderma off the Oman margin during the late SW monsoon. Mar Micropaleontol 137:64–77
- de Villiers S (2005) Foraminiferal shell-weight evidence for sedimentary calcite dissolution above the lysocline. Deep Sea Res Part I: Oceanogr Res Pap 52:671–680
- Duplessy JC, Bé AWH, Blanc PL (1981) Oxygen and carbon isotopic composition and biogeographic distribution of planktonic foraminifera in the Indian Ocean. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 33:9–46
- Fairbanks RG, Sverdlove M, Free R, Wiebe PH, Be AW (1982) Vertical distribution and isotopic fractionation of living planktonic foraminifera from the Panama Basin. Nature 298:841
- Fernandes V (2008) The effect of semi-permanent eddies on the distribution of mesozooplankton in the central Bay of Bengal. J Mar Res 66:465–488
- Fernandes V, Ramaiah N (2013) Mesozooplankton community structure in the upper 1,000 m along the western Bay of Bengal during the 2002 fall intermonsoon. Zool Stud 52:31
- Fernandes V, Ramaiah N (2014) Distributional characteristics of surface-layer mesozooplankton in the Bay of Bengal during the 2005 winter monsoon. Indian J Geo-Mar Sci 43:176–188
- Fraile I, Schulz M, Mulitza S, Kucera M (2008) Predicting the global distribution of planktonic foraminifera using a dynamic ecosystem model. Biogeosciences 5:891–911
- Freudenthal T, Wagner T, Wenzhöfer F, Zabel M, Wefer G (2001) Early diagenesis of organic matter from sediments of the eastern subtropical Atlantic: evidence from stable nitrogen and carbon isotopes. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 65:1795–1808
- Gao Y, Jia J, Lu Y, Sun K, Wang J, Wang S (2022) Carbon Transportation, transformation, and sedimentation processes at the landriver-estuary continuum. Fundam Res 2667–3258
- Gomes HR, Goes JI, Saino T (2000) Influence of physical processes and freshwater discharge on the seasonality of phytoplankton regime in the Bay of Bengal. Cont Shelf Res 20:313–330
- Govil P, Naidu PD, Radhika TK (2004) Major turbidity flows in the western Indus Fan between 290 and 360 ka BP. Curr Sci 87:1597–1600
- Guptha MV, Curry WB, Ittekkot V, Muralinath AS (1997) Seasonal variation in the flux of planktic foraminifera; sediment trap results from the Bay of Bengal, northern Indian Ocean. J Foramin Res 27:5–19
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) Past: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4:9
- Hedges JI, Keil RG (1995) Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis. Mar Chem 49:81–115
- Hemleben C, Spindler M, Anderson (1989) Modern planktonic Foraminifera. Springer, Berlin
- Ittekkot V, Nair RR, Honjo S, Ramaswamy V, Bartsch M, Manganini S, Desai BN (1991) Enhanced particle fluxes in Bay of Bengal induced by injection of fresh water. Nature 351:385–387
- Ivanova EM, Schiebel R, Singh AD, Schmiedl G, Niebler HS, Hemleben C (2003) Primary production in the Arabian Sea during the last 135,000 years. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 197:61–82
- Iwasaki S, Kimoto K, Kuroyanagi A, Kawahata H (2017) Horizontal and vertical distributions of planktic foraminifera in the Subarctic Pacific. Mar Micropaleontol 130:1–14

- Jagadeesan L, Jyothibabu R, Anjusha A, Mohan AP, Madhu NV, Muraleedharan KR, Sudheesh K (2013) Ocean currents structuring the Mesozooplankton in the Gulf of Mannar and the Palk Bay, Southeast Coast of India. Prog Oceanogr 110:27–48
- Jana S, Gangopadhyay A, Lermusiaux PFJ, Chakraborty A, Sil S, Haley PJ (2018) Sensitivity of the Bay of Bengal Upper Ocean to different winds and river input conditions. J Mar Syst 187:206–222
- Jayalakshmi KJ, Sabu P, Devi CRA, Sanjeevan VN (2015) Response of micro and mesozooplankton in the southwestern Bay of Bengal to a cyclonic eddy during the winter monsoon, 2005. Environ Monit Assess 187:473
- Kandasamy S, Nath BN (2016) Perspectives on the terrestrial organic matter transport and burial along the land-deep sea continuum: caveats in our understanding of biogeochemical processes and future needs. Front Mar Sci 3:259
- Katz ME, Cramer BS, Franzese A, Honisch B, Miller KG, Rosenthal Y, Wright JD (2010) Traditional and emerging geochemical proxies in Foraminifera. J Foramin Res 40:165–192
- Kiss P, Jonkers L, Hudáčková N, Reuter RT, Donner B, Fischer G, Kucera M (2021) Determinants of planktonic foraminifera calcite flux: implications for the prediction of Intra- and inter-annual pelagic carbonate budgets. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 35:e2020GB006748
- Krishna MS, Naidu SA, Subbaiah ChV, Sarma VV, Reddy NP (2013) Distribution and sources of organic matter in surface sediments of the eastern continental margin of India. J Geophys Res Biogeosci 118:1484–1494
- Krishnamurthy V, Shukla J (2000) Intraseasonal and interannual variability of rainfall over India. J Clim 13:4366–4377
- Kucera M (2007) Planktonic foraminifera as tracers of past oceanic environments. Dev Mar Geol 1:213–262
- Lamb JL, Beard JH (1972) Late Neogene planktonic foraminifers in the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and Italian stratotypes. University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions 57:67
- Li B, Jian Z, Wang P (1997) Pulleniatina obliquiloculata as a paleoceanographic indicator in the southern Okinawa trough during the last 20,000 years. Mar Micropaleontol 32:59–69
- Li Y, Han W, Ravichandran M, Wang W, Shinoda T, Lee T (2017) Bay of bengal salinity stratification and Indian summer monsoon intraseasonal oscillation: 1. intraseasonal variability and causes. J Geophys Res: Oceans 122:4291–4311
- Locarnini RA, Mishonov AV, Baranova OK, Boyer TP, Zweng MM, Garcia HE, Reagan JR, Seidov D, Weathers K, Paver CR, Smolyar IV (2019) World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 1: temperature. Mishonov Technical A (Ed), NOAA Atlas NESDIS 81:52
- Lombard F, Labeyrie L, Michel E, Bopp L, Cortijo E, Retailleau S, Jorissen F (2011) Modelling planktic foraminifer growth and distribution using an ecophysiological multispecies approach. Biogeosciences 8:853–873
- Madhupratap M, Gauns M, Ramaiah N, Prasanna Kumar S, Muraleedharan PM, de Sousa SN, Sardessai S, Muraleedharan U (2003) Biogeochemistry of the Bay of Bengal: physical, chemical and primary productivity characteristics of the central and western Bay of Bengal during Summer Monsoon 2001. Deep Sea Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 50:881–896
- Maeda A, Kuroyanagi A, Iguchi A, Gaye B, Rixen T, Nishi H, Kawahata H (2022) Seasonal variation of fluxes of planktic foraminiferal tests collected by a time-series sediment trap in the central Bay of Bengal during three different years. Deep Sea Res Part I: Oceanogr Res Pap 183:103718
- Mazumder A, Khare N, Govil P (2009) Cosmopolitanism of the planktic foraminiferal species Globigerinita glutinata-a testimony by Q-mode cluster analysis. Int J Geol 1:1–7
- Middelburg JJ (2019) Carbon processing at the seafloor. Marine Carbon Biogeochemistry: A Primer for Earth System Scientists. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 57–75

- Morey AE, Mix AC, Pisias NG (2005) Planktonic foraminiferal assemblages preserved in surface sediments correspond to multiple environmental variables. Quatern Sci Rev 24:925–950
- Munir S, Sun J (2018) Modern planktonic foraminifera from the eastern Indian Ocean. Acta Oceanol Sin 37:46–63
- Muraleedharan KR, Jasmine P, Achuthankutty CT, Revichandran C, Dinesh Kumar PK, Anand P, Rejomon G (2007) Influence of basin scale and mesoscale physical processes on biological productivity in the Bay of Bengal during summer monsoon. Prog Oceanogr 72:364–383
- Naidu PD (1993) Distribution patterns of Recent planktonic foraminifera in surface sediments of the western continental margin of India. Mar Geol 110:403–418
- Naidu PD, Malmgren BA (1996a) A high-resolution record of late Quaternary upwelling along the Oman Margin, Arabian Sea based on planktonic Foraminifera. Paleoceanography 11:129–140
- Naik DK, Saraswat R, Lea DW, Kurtarkar SR, Mackensen A (2017) Last glacial-interglacial productivity and associated changes in the eastern Arabian Sea. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 483:147–156
- Nigam R (2005) Addressing environmental issues through foraminifera-case studies from the Arabian Sea. J Palaeontol Soc India 50:25–36
- Ovechkina M, Bylinskaya M, Uken A (2010) Planktonic foraminiferal assemblage in surface sediments from the Thukela Shelf, South Africa. Afr Invertebr 51:231–254
- Parker FL (1962) Planktonic foraminiferal species in Pacific sediments. Micropaleontology 8:219–254
- Paropkari AL, Babu CP, Mascarenhas A (1993) New evidence for enhanced preservation of organic carbon in contact with oxygen minimum zone on the western continental slope of India. Mar Geol 111:7–13
- Pedersen TF, Shimmield GB, Price NBP (1992) Lack of enhanced preservation of organic matter in sediments under the oxygen minimum on the Oman margin. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 56:545–551
- Peterson LC, Prell WL (1985) Carbonate dissolution in Recent sediments of the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean: preservation patterns and carbonate loss above the lysocline. Mar Geol 64:259–290
- Peterson LC, Overpeck JT, Kipp NG, Imbrie J (1991) A high-resolution late Quaternary upwelling record from the anoxic Cariaco Basin, Venezuela. Paleoceanography 6:99–119
- Prasanna Kumar S, Muraleedharan P, Prasad T, Gauns M, Ramaiah N, de Souza S, Sardesai S, Madhupratap M (2002) Why is the Bay of Bengal less productive during summer monsoon compared to the Arabian Sea? Geophys Res Lett 29:88–91
- Prasanna Kumar S, Nuncio M, Narvekar J, Kumar A, de Souza SN, Gauns M, Ramaiah N, Madhupratap M (2004) Are eddies nature's trigger to enhance biological productivity in the Bay of Bengal? Geophys Res Lett 31:7
- Prasanna Kumar S, Narvekar J, Nuncio M, Kumar A, Ramaiah N, Sardesai S, Gauns M, Fernandes V, Paul J (2010) Is the biological productivity in the Bay of Bengal light limited? Curr Sci 98:1331–1339
- Qasim SZ (1977) Biological productivity of the Indian Ocean. Indian J Mar Sci 6:122–137
- Radhakrishna KP, Bhattathiri MA, Devassy VP (1978) Primary productivity of Bay of Bengal during August–September 1976. Indian J Mar Sci 7:94–98
- Ramaiah N, Fernandes V, Paul JT, Jyothibabu R, Mangesh G, Jayaraj KA (2010) Spatio-temporal variability in biological productivity and carbon biomass in the surface layers of the Bay of Bengal. Indian J Mar Sci 39:369–379
- Ramaswamy V, Gaye B, Shirodkar PV, Rao PS, Chivas AR, Wheeler D, Thwin S (2008) Distribution and sources of organic carbon, nitrogen and their isotopic signatures in sediments from the

Ayeyarwady (Irrawaddy) continental shelf, northern Andaman Sea. Mar Chem 111:137–150

- Rao RR, Sivakumar R (2003) Seasonal variability of sea surface salinity and salt budget of the mixed layer of the North Indian Ocean. J Geophys Res: Oceans 108:3009
- Ravelo AC, Andreasen DH (1999) Using planktonic foraminifera as monitors of the tropical surface ocean. In: Reconstructing ocean history: a window into the future. Springer US, Boston, pp 217–243
- Ravelo AC, Fairbanks RG, Philander SGH (1990) Reconstructing tropical Atlantic hydrography using planktonic foraminifera and an ocean model. Paleoceanography 5:409–431
- Reynolds L, Thunell RC (1985) Seasonal succession of planktonic foraminifera in the subpolar North Pacific. J Foramin Res 15:282–301
- Rullkotter J (2006) Organic matter: the driving force for early diagenesis. In: Marine geochemistry. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 125–168
- Rutherford S, D'Hondt S, Prell W (1999) Environmental controls on the geographic distribution of zooplankton diversity. Nature 400:749–753
- Saalim SM, Saraswat R, Nigam R (2021) Ecological preferences of living benthic foraminifera from the Mahanadi River-dominated north-western Bay of Bengal: a Potential Environmental Impact Assessment Tool. Mar Pollut Bull 175:113158
- Sabu P, Asha Devi CR, Lathika CT, Sanjeevan VN, Gupta GVM (2015) Characteristics of a cyclonic eddy and its influence on mesozooplankton community in the northern Bay of Bengal during early winter monsoon. Environ Monit Assess 187:330
- Saraswat R (2010) Differential dissolution of biogenic carbonates: implication of secretion at higher ph. J Palaeontol Soc India 55:0522–9630
- Saraswat R (2015) Non-destructive foraminiferal paleoclimatic proxies: a brief insight. Proc Indian Natl Sci Acad 81:2
- Saraswat R, Nigam R (2013) Benthic foraminifera. Encyclopedia Quat Sci 2:765–774
- Saraswat R, Manasa M, Suokhrie T, Saalim MS, Nigam R (2017) Abundance and ecology of endemic *Asterorotalia trispinosa* from the western Bay of Bengal: implications for its application as a paleomonsoon proxy. Acta Geol Sin 91:2268–2282
- Saraswat R, Suokhrie T, Naik DK, Singh DP, Saalim SM, Salman M, Kumar G, Bhadra SR, Mohtadi M, Kurtarkar SR, Maurya AS (2023a) Large freshwater-influx-induced salinity gradient and diagenetic changes in the northern Indian Ocean dominate the stable oxygen isotopic variation in Globigerinoides ruber. Earth Syst Sci Data 15:171–187
- Saraswat R, Rajput KR, Bandodkar SR, Bhadra SR, Kurtarkar SR, Hilda MJ, Suokhrie T, Kumar P (2023b) Persistent increase in carbon burial in the Gulf of Mannar, during the Meghalayan Age: influence of primary productivity and better preservation. Geol Mag 160:561–578
- Sarma VVSS, Rao GD, Viswanadham R, Sherin CK, Salisbury J, Omand M, Mahedevan A, Murty VSN, Shroyer E, Baumgartner M, Stafford K (2016) Effects of freshwater stratification on nutrients, dissolved oxygen, and phytoplankton in the Bay of Bengal. Oceanography 29:222–231
- Schiebel R (2002) Planktic foraminiferal sedimentation and the marine calcite budget. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 16:1065
- Schiebel R, Hemleben C (2000) Interannual variability of planktic foraminiferal populations and test flux in the eastern North Atlantic Ocean (JGOFS). Deep Sea Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 47:1809–1852
- Schiebel R, Hemleben C (2017) Planktic foraminifers in the modern ocean. Springer, Berlin, pp 1–358
- Schiebel R, Waniek J, Bork M, Hemleben C (2001) Planktic foraminiferal production stimulated by chlorophyll redistribution and

entrainment of nutrients. Deep Sea Res Part I: Oceanogr Res Pap 48:721–740

- Schiebel R, Zeltner A, Treppke UF, Waniek JJ, Bollmann J, Rixen T, Hemleben C (2004) Distribution of diatoms, coccolithophores and planktic foraminifers along a trophic gradient during SW monsoon in the Arabian Sea. Mar Micropaleontol 51:345–371
- Schiebel R, Spielhagen RF, Garnier J, Hagemann J, Howa H, Jentzen A, Martínez-Garcia A, Meilland J, Michel E, Repschlager J, Salter I (2017) Modern planktic foraminifera in the high-latitude ocean. Mar Micropaleontol 136:1–13

Schlitzer R (2021) Ocean Data View. http://odv.awi.de

- Schmidt DN, Renaud S, Bollmann J, Schiebel R, Thierstein HR (2004a) Size distribution of Holocene planktic foraminifer assemblages: biogeography, ecology and adaptation. Mar Micropaleontol 50:319–338
- Schmuker B, Schiebel R (2002) Planktic foraminifers and hydrography of the eastern and northern Caribbean Sea. Mar Micropaleontol 46:387–403
- Schott F, McCreary J (2001) The monsoon circulation of the Indian Ocean. Prog Oceanogr 51:1–123
- Schulz H, von Rad U, Ittekkot V (2002) Planktic foraminifera, particle flux and oceanic productivity off Pakistan, NE Arabian Sea: modern analogues and application to the paleoclimatic record. Geol Soc London Spec Publ 195:499–516
- Shankar D, Vinayachandran P, Unnikrishnan A (2002) The monsoon currents in the north Indian Ocean. Prog Oceanogr 52:63–120
- Shetye SR, Shenoi SSC, Gouveia AD, Michael GS, Sundar D, Nampoothiri G (1991) Wind-driven coastal upwelling along the western boundary of the Bay of Bengal during the southwest monsoon. Cont Shelf Res 11:1397–1408
- Siccha M, Kucera M (2017) ForCenS, a curated database of planktonic foraminifera census counts in marine surface sediment samples. Sci Data 4:170109
- Singh DP, Saraswat R, Pawar R (2022) Distinct environmental parameters influence the abundance of living benthic foraminifera morphogroups in the southeastern Arabian Sea. Environ Sci Pollut Res 29:82541–82558
- Spezzaferri S, Kucera M, Pearson PN, Wade BS, Rappo S, Poole CR, Morard R, Stalder C (2015) Fossil and genetic evidence for the polyphyletic nature of the planktonic foraminifera "Globigerinoides", and description of the new genus Trilobatus. PLoS One 10:5
- Stainbank S, Kroon D, Rüggeberg A, Raddatz J, de Leau ES, Zhang M, Spezzaferri S (2019) Controls on planktonic foraminifera apparent calcification depths for the northern equatorial Indian Ocean. PLoS One 14:e0222299
- Suokhrie T, Saraswat R, Nigam R (2020) Lack of denitrification causes a difference in benthic foraminifera living in the oxygen deficient zones of the Bay of Bengal and the Arabian Sea. Mar Pollut Bull 153:110992
- Suokhrie T, Saraswat R, Nigam R (2021) Multiple ecological parameters affect living benthic foraminifera in the river-influenced west-central Bay of Bengal. Front Mar Sci 8:656757
- Suokhrie T, Saraswat R, Saju S (2022) Strong solar influence on multidecadal periodic productivity changes in the central-western Bay of Bengal. Quatern Int 629:16–26
- Symphonia T, Nathan DS (2014) Planktonic foraminifera from the offshore segment between Chennai and Cuddalore, Bay of Bengal, India. Int J Sci Res 3:2319–7064
- Thirumalai K, Richey JN, Quinn TM, Poore RZ (2014) *Globigerinoides ruber* morphotypes in the Gulf of Mexico: a test of null hypothesis. Sci Rep 4:6018
- Thompson PR (1981) Planktonic foraminifera in the western north Pacific during the past 150000 years: comparison of modern

and fossil assemblages. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 35:241–279

- Thunell RC, Reynolds LA (1984) Sedimentation of planktonic foraminifera: seasonal changes in species flux in the Panama Basin. Micropaleontology 30:243–262
- Thunell RC, Varela R, Llano M, Collister J, Karger FM, Bohrer R (2000) Organic carbon fluxes, degradation, and accumulation in an anoxic basin: sediment trap results from the Cariaco Basin. Limnol Oceanogr 45:300–308
- Trask PD (1936) Relation of salinity to the calcium carbonate content of marine sediments. Shorter contributions to General Geology, USGS Numbered Series 186:273–299
- Vinayachandran PN (2009) Impact of physical processes on chlorophyll distribution in the Bay of Bengal. Indian Ocean Biogeochemical Processes and Ecological Variability 185:71-86
- Vinayachandran P, Masumoto Y, Mikawa T, Yamagata T (1999) Intrusion of the Southwest Monsoon Current into the Bay of Bengal. J Geophys Res: Oceans 104:11077–11085
- Vinayachandran PN, McCreary JP Jr, Hood RR, Kohler K (2005) A numerical investigation of phytoplankton bloom in Bay of Bengal during northeast monsoon. J Geophys Res 110:C12001
- Vinayachandran PN, Shankar D, Vernekar S, Sandeep KK, Amol P, Neema CP, Chatterjee A (2013) A summer monsoon pump to keep the Bay of Bengal salty. Geophys Res Lett 40:1777–1782
- Wang D, Ding X, Bassinot F (2021) Observations of contrasted glacialinterglacial dissolution of foraminifera above the lysocline in the Bay of Bengal, northeastern Indian Ocean. Acta Oceanol Sin 40:155–161
- Waples DW, Sloan JR (1980) Carbon and nitrogen diagenesis in deep sea sediments. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 44:1463–1470
- Watkins JM, Mix AC, Wilson J (1996) Living planktic foraminifera: tracers of circulation and productivity regimes in the central equatorial Pacific. Deep Sea Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 43:1257–1282
- Yadav R, Naik SS, Naidu PD (2022) Contrasts in calcium carbonate dissolution above the lysocline in the equatorial Indian Ocean over the last ~40 ka. Mar Geol 444:106717
- Zaric S, Donner B, Fischer G, Mulitza S, Wefer G (2005) Sensitivity of planktic foraminifera to sea surface temperature and export production as derived from sediment trap data. Mar Micropaleontol 55:75–105
- Zarkogiannis SD, Iwasaki S, Rae JW, Schmidt MW, Mortyn PG, Kontakiotis G, Hertzberg JE, Rickaby RE (2022) Calcification, dissolution and test properties of modern planktonic foraminifera from the central Atlantic Ocean. Front Mar Sci 9:864801
- Zobel B (1971) Foraminifera from plankton tows, Arabian Sea: areal distribution as influenced by ocean water masses. In: Proceedings of the II planktic foraminifera conference Edizioni Tecnoscienza, Rome, pp 1323–1335
- Zweng MM, Reagan JR, Seidov D, Boyer TP, Locarnini RA, Garcia HE, Mishonov AV, Baranova OK, Weathers K, Paver CR, Smolyar IV (2019) World Ocean Atlas 2018, Volume 2: Salinity. Mishonov Technical A (Ed), NOAA Atlas NESDIS 82:50

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.