**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



## Effects of organic carbon and subsurface dams on saltwater intrusion and nitrate pollution in sandy coastal aquifers

Chao Gao<sup>1</sup> · Jun Kong<sup>1</sup> · Jun Wang<sup>1</sup> · Yuncheng Wen<sup>2</sup>

Received: 24 August 2023 / Accepted: 16 December 2023 / Published online: 12 January 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

#### Abstract

This study explores the impact of a novel approach on the levels of SWI (saltwater intrusion) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (nitrate) contamination. Some numerical simulations were conducted utilizing a coupled model that incorporates variably saturation and density, as well as convection diffusion reaction within a sandy coastal aquifer. We verified the reliability of the model for SWI based on comparison lab experiments and for chemical reactions based on a comparison of previous in situ observations. Cutoff walls and subsurface dams cannot simultaneously control SWI and reduce NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination. A novel approach that combines subsurface dams and permeable CH<sub>2</sub>O (organic carbon) walls (PC-Wall) is proposed. Subsurface dams are utilized to prevent SWI, while PC-Walls are employed to mitigate NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution. Results demonstrate that the construction of a PC-Wall with a concentration of 1.0 mM facilitated a transition from nitrification (Ni)-dominated to denitrification (Dn)-dominated. An increase in CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration to 1.0 mM caused a significant 1942.5 % rise in  $m_{Dn}$  (the mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removed through Dn). Increment of the distance between the PC-Wall and the ocean from 35 to 45 m could result in a 103.7 %  $m_{Dn}$  increase and reduce  $m_N$  (the compound mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> remaining in the aquifer) by 11.7 %. The study offers a detailed comprehension of the intricate hydrodynamics of SWI and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution. In addition, it provides design guidance for engineering to mitigate contamination by NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and controlling SWI, thus fostering the management of groundwater quality.

Keywords Saltwater intrusion · Nitrate pollution · Subsurface dams · Permeable CH<sub>2</sub>O walls · Denitrification

Responsible Editor: V.V.S.S. Sarma

#### Highlights

- The results demonstrate that the construction of a PC-Wall (permeable CH<sub>2</sub>O wall) with a concentration of 1.0 mM facilitated a transition from nitrification-dominated to denitrification-dominated.
- As the CH<sub>2</sub>O (organic carbon) concentration increased from 0 to 1.0 mM, the mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removed through denitrification  $(m_{\rm Dn})$  increased by 1942.5 %, and as the distance increased from 35 to 45 m, the  $m_{\rm Dn}$  increased by 103.7 %.
- A novel approach that combines subsurface dams and PC-Walls is proposed, it can simultaneously restrain SWI and diminish NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination.
- ⊠ Jun Kong kongjun999@126.com
- <sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Coastal Disaster and Protection (Hohai University), Ministry of Education, Nanjing, China
- <sup>2</sup> Nanjing Hydraulic Research Institute, Nanjing, China

### Introduction

SWI is a phenomenon that widely occurs in many parts of the world due to the hydraulic gradient decreasing induced by sea level rise and the over-exploitation of freshwater resources (Werner et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2021). SWI not only leads to soil salinization, which endangers animal and plant habitats but also reduces industrial and agricultural productivity, bringing adverse impacts on human health (Chang et al. 2019). At present, the over-extraction of freshwater with population growth and economic development has reduced the freshwater hydraulic head and may lead to further inland advancement of the saltwater (Gao et al. 2022).

In recent years, to prevent SWI and protect freshwater resources, some researchers have devised progress on diversified practical engineering, including reducing freshwater extraction (such as replenishment of freshwater) (Lu et al. 2013), extracting seawater (Stein et al. 2020), inhibiting saltwater inflow path (Botero-Acosta and Donado 2015; Christy and Lakshmanan 2017), and combination of the above (Ebeling et al. 2019; Lu et al. 2019). The construction of subsurface physical barriers by injecting impermeable materials into subsurface aquifers has been reported as a technically feasible method to prevent SWI (Abdoulhalik and Ahmed 2017; Fang et al. 2023). Therefore, subsurface barriers have been used to prevent SWI in many coastal countries since the 1980s (Abdoulhalik and Ahmed 2017; Zheng et al. 2020). Such as, physical barriers for preventing SWI were used in Sardinia as early as the Roman Empire (Hanson and Nilsson 1986); in China, to prevent and decrease the freshwater flow, subsurface physical barriers were constructed in the Shandong Province (such as Laizhou, Qingdao, and Rizhao) (Kang et al. 2021). Subsurface barriers can be categorized into three types: (i) cutoff wall, a "wall" at the upper part of aquifer, freshwater discharge at the wall bottom; (ii) subsurface dam (Fig. 1), with an opening in its upper part of the aquifer, freshwater discharge at the upper part of aquifer; and (iii) fully penetrating barriers, spanning from the top to the bottom of aquifers. Cutoff walls and subsurface dams are the preferred and most practical technologies out of the three options to combat SWI (Chang et al. 2020). Over the past 10 years, several numerical and experimental studies have illustrated that subsurface dams and cutoff walls have a significant impact on the dynamics of freshwater flow and effectively prevent SWI (Luyun et al. 2009; Fang et al. 2020). Luyun et al. (2009) discovered that the height of a subsurface dam has a significant influence on SWI and the duration required for residual saltwater removal. They found that only subsurface dams with heights exceeding that of the saltwater wedge (SW) were effective in preventing SWI and that increasing the dam height resulted in an increase in the time required to remove residual saltwater.

Chang et al. (2019) found that the subsurface dam's minimum effective height in preventing SWI can be just a little lower than the thickness of the SW. Additionally, tides can induce complicated patterns of pore water flow in aquifers. The existence of a SW and an upper saline plume (USP) in aquifers as a result of density-driven and tide-induced saltwater circulation has been well-established (Santos et al. 2021). Freshwater bypasses the saltwater circulation, flowing into aquifers where it mixes with seawater (Heiss and Michael 2014). A freshwater-saltwater mixing zone forms along the perimeter of USP and SW (Abarca et al. 2013). Therefore, Shen et al. (2020) showed that the cutoff wall's effectiveness in preventing SWI is undermined in the presence of tides. However, they also found that the subsurface barriers change the characteristics of pore water flow.

NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination is another major limiting factor for freshwater utilization in coastal areas worldwide. Since the mid-twentieth century, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution in freshwater has been reported on a large scale worldwide. For instance, Edmunds and Gaye (1997) conducted a study in an area of northern Senegal near the town of Louga measuring around 1600 km<sup>2</sup>. Their results showed that  $NO_3^{-1}$  content over 50 mg  $L^{-1}$  was present from unsaturated Quaternary sands in this region. In the USA, Burow et al. (2010) conducted statistical analyses on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> content in 5101 wells, revealing that concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> exceeding 10 mg  $L^{-1}$  were widely present in 427 wells. In China, the mean concentration of total dissolved nitrogen in freshwater upstream of the Wang River watershed reached 34.36 mg  $L^{-1}$  (Kang and Xu 2017). Previous studies have suggested that subsurface barriers may impact  $NO_3^-$  pollution in coastal freshwater. In the Wang River watershed, China,

**Fig. 1** Schematic diagrams of numerical model. The subsurface dam and the PC-Walls (permeable CH<sub>2</sub>O walls); DOC is dissolved organic carbon, the MSL is the mean sea level, the SW (saltwater wedge) before and after subsurface dam construction are shown. Reaction expressions: aerobic respiration (Ar), nitrification (Ni) and denitrification (Dn)



Kang and Xu (2017) indicated that the construction of a cutoff wall led to a significant increase in  $NO_3^-$  concentration upstream. Sun et al. (2021) investigated that upstream  $NO_3^-$  increased evidently after subsurface barrier construction,  $NO_3^-$  discharge decreased, and  $NO_3^-$  accumulated area was dependent on the barrier's height. Fang et al. (2022) investigated the impact of subsurface barriers on  $NO_3^-$  pollution in upstream freshwater under tidal influence. Their findings indicated that the combined impact of tides and physical barriers led to higher levels of  $NO_3^-$  pollution than either factor alone.

So far, some studies have demonstrated the availability of physical barriers in controlling SWI. However, these barriers may also lead to increased  $NO_3^-$  contamination in upstream freshwater. To our knowledge, a solution to this problem has not been found. There remains a lack of effective methods for controlling SWI and reducing  $NO_3^-$  contamination in sandy coastal aquifers under tidal influence. First, we validated the model on SWI based on a lab experiment by Fang et al. (2021) and calibrated the chemical reactions model based on previous in situ observations (Kim et al. 2017). Then, we conducted numerical simulations to compare the effectiveness of cutoff walls and subsurface dams. Lastly, we proposed a new method for controlling SWI and reducing  $NO_3^-$  contamination in coastal aquifers. This study offers guidance to improve freshwater quality and reduce  $NO_3^-$  contamination.

### Methodology

#### Mathematical and numerical model setup

Groundwater flow was simulated using the variably saturated and variable-density pore water flow governed by the Richards' equation (Eq. (1)), and the solute transport equation is used for describing the solute transport process (Eq. (2)):

$$P\left(\frac{C_m}{\rho g} + S_e S\right)\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \rho\left(\frac{-k_s}{\mu}k_r(\nabla P + \rho g\nabla z)\right) = Q^* \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\theta C_i) + \nabla \cdot \rho (C_i q) = \nabla \cdot (D \nabla C_i) + r_i$$
(2)

where  $\rho$  is fluid density (kg m<sup>-3</sup>),  $C_i$  is the concentration of solute (mM), i = salt, CH<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, *P* is the pressure (Pa), *z* is the elevation head (m),  $\theta$  is the water content (-),  $k_s$  is the saturated hydraulic permeability (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_r$  is the relative permeability (m s<sup>-1</sup>), **q** is the Darcy velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_m$  is the specific moisture capacity (m<sup>-1</sup>), *g* is the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m s<sup>-2</sup>),  $Q^*$  represents a stress source term (kg m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), **D** is the hydrodynamic dispersion

coefficient (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $r_i$  is the reaction rate for solute (mM s<sup>-1</sup>).

$$k_s = \frac{\mu K}{\rho g} \tag{3}$$

$$k_r = S_{\rm e}^{0.5} (1 - \left[1 - S_{\rm e}^{1/m}\right]^m)^2 \tag{4}$$

$$C_{\rm m} = \frac{\alpha m}{1 - m} (\theta_s - \theta_r) S_{\rm e}^{-1/m} (1 - S_{\rm e}^{-1/m})^m$$
(5)

$$\theta = \theta_r + S_e(\theta_s - \theta_r) \tag{6}$$

$$S_{e} = \frac{1}{(1 + |\alpha P|^{n})^{m}}$$
(7)

$$m = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \tag{8}$$

where  $\theta_s$  and  $\theta_r$  are the saturated water content and relative water content (-), respectively; *K* is the hydraulic conductivity (m s<sup>-1</sup>), where *n* (-), which is related to *m* in Eq. (8), and  $\alpha$  (m<sup>-1</sup>) are the fitting parameters that describe the shape of both the moisture and relative permeability functions, obtained by van Genuchten (1980).

The simulated 2D beach aquifer in our study consists of a backshore that is 40 m wide and a 35-m-wide beach face with a slope of 10% (Fig. 1). The thickness of the beach domain was 12 m. At the landward vertical boundary (AE), we assigned a constant hydraulic head that was 1.5 m below the beach surface. Our simulation considered a simple sinusoidal tide with a mean sea level of 10 m, a tidal range of 1 m, and a 12-h tidal period:

$$h(t) = h_{msl} + A\sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \tag{9}$$

where h(t) is the tidal head at the time t (s);  $h_{msl}$  is the mean sea level (m); A is tidal amplitude (0.5 m); and T is the tidal cycle (semi-diurnal tide). The AB, DE, and CD boundaries were set to zero-flux boundary.

A seepage face (BC) boundary is realized by the following formula (Xin et al. 2010):

$$-\mathbf{n} \cdot \rho \frac{-k_s}{\mu} k_r (\nabla P + \rho g \nabla Z) = \rho R_b (H - H_b)$$
(10)

$$R_b = \frac{k_s}{L} \tag{11}$$

$$C = C_{i} n \cdot q < 0$$
  
-n \cdot D\nabla C = 0 n \cdot q \ge 0 (12)

Table 1Model parameters (Anwar et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2017;Zheng et al. 2020)

| Parameters                                          | Symbols          | Values               | Units            |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Model calibration                                   |                  |                      |                  |
| Longitudinal dispersivity coef-<br>ficients         | $\alpha_L$       | 0.001                | m                |
| Transversal dispersivity coef-<br>ficients          | $\alpha_T$       | 0.0001               | m                |
| Tidal amplitude                                     | Α                | 0.02                 | m                |
| Tidal period                                        | Т                | 60                   | s                |
| Mean sea level                                      | $h_{msl}$        | 0.238                | m                |
| Initial DOC concentration                           | -                | 0.0666               | mM               |
| Initial O <sub>2</sub> concentration                | -                | 0.179                | mM               |
| Initial NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentration  | -                | 0.177                | mM               |
| Field model                                         |                  |                      |                  |
| Longitudinal dispersivity coef-<br>ficients         | $\alpha_L$       | 0.2                  | m                |
| Transversal dispersivity coef-<br>ficients          | $\alpha_T$       | 0.02                 | m                |
| Porosity                                            | $\theta$         | 0.25                 | -                |
| Tidal amplitude                                     | Α                | 0.5                  | m                |
| Tidal period                                        | Т                | 12                   | h                |
| Mean sea level                                      | $h_{msl}$        | 10                   | m                |
| Oxidation constant of CH <sub>2</sub> O             | $k_f$            | $3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ | $s^{-1}$         |
| Rate constant for nitrification                     | k <sub>Ni</sub>  | $4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ | $mM^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| Limiting NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentration | $k_{\rm NO_2^-}$ | 0.001                | mМ               |
| Limiting O <sub>2</sub> concentration               | $k_{O_2}$        | 0.008                | mM               |
| Initial CH <sub>2</sub> O concentration             | -                | 0.33                 | mМ               |
| Initial O <sub>2</sub> concentration                | -                | 0.2                  | mМ               |
| Initial NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentration  | -                | 0.25                 | mM               |
| Initial $NH_4^+$ concentration                      | -                | 0.2                  | mM               |

Boundaries AB, CD, and DE:

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \left( D\nabla C_i - \mathbf{q} C_i \right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

where  $R_b$  is the conductance term (s<sup>-1</sup>), defined as the ratio of the saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $k_s$ ) with a coupling length scale (L, m). And it was set at a high value allowing water to readily move in and out of the interface;  $H_b$  is the external head representing sea level (m), H is the total head (m), and **n** is the unit vector normal to the interface (pointing outward).

AE boundary was freshwater with  $C_{\text{sea}} = 0 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ , and the ocean boundary (BC) seawater concentration was 35 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Oxygenous saltwater entering the BC boundary contains constant O<sub>2</sub> concentration. At the landward boundary, freshwater carrying NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> flows into the aquifer, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup> occurs in the upper 5 m below the groundwater level of the inland boundary, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup> occurs in the bottom 5.5 m of the inland boundary (parameter values see Table 1). The  $CH_2O$  concentrations are constant at the sea boundary (Anwar et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2020).

The sandy aquifer, with a hydraulic conductivity of 15 m day<sup>-1</sup>, had  $\alpha$  and *n* set to 14.5 m<sup>-1</sup> and 2.68, respectively (van Genuchten 1980). The subsurface barrier width is 16 cm with  $K = 15 \times 10^{-3}$  m day<sup>-1</sup>. Moreover, the maximum length of the grid is 0.5 m and the minimum is 0.1 m. A more refined grid was adopted for the flooded area, with a time step of 100 s. To avoid the numerical instabilities, we ensured the Courant and numerical Péclet number did not exceed 1 and 4.

#### Solute reaction model

The reaction network (Fig. 1) consisted of three reaction processes: nitrification (Ni), denitrification (Dn), and aerobic respiration (Ar) (Bardini et al. 2012; Spiteri et al. (2008a, b).

Ar rate is described by,

If 
$$C_{O_2} > 0.008 \ mM$$
,  $R_{Ar} = k_f \cdot C_{CH_2O}$ ;  
otherwise,  $R_{Ar} = k_f \cdot C_{CH_2O} \cdot \frac{C_{O_2}}{k_{O_2}}$ 
(14)

where  $C_{O_2}$  and  $C_{CH_2O}$  represent  $O_2$  and  $CH_2O$  concentrations (mM), respectively; the  $k_f$  is the oxidation constant of CH<sub>2</sub>O (3.0×10<sup>-9</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and  $k_{O_2}$  is the limiting O<sub>2</sub> concentration (0.008 mM).

The Ni rate is described by the following:

$$R_{Ni} = k_{Ni} \cdot C_{\mathrm{NH}_4^+} \cdot C_{\mathrm{O}_2} \tag{15}$$

where  $C_{\text{NH}_4^+}$  represents  $\text{NH}_4^+$  concentration (mM); the  $k_{Ni}$  is the rate constant for nitrification (4.8 × 10<sup>-4</sup> mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).

The Dn rate is described by,

If 
$$C_{O_2} > 0.008 \text{ mM}$$
,  $R_{Dn} = 0$ ;  
If  $C_{O_2} < 0.008 \text{ mM}$ , and  $C_{NO_3^-} > 0.001 \text{ mM}$ ,  $R_{Dn} = k_f \cdot C_{CH_2O} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{C_{O_2}}{k_{O_2}}\right)$ ;  
If  $C_{O_2} < 0.008 \text{ mM}$ , and  $C_{NO_3^-} < 0.001 \text{ mM}$ ,  $R_{Dn} = k_f \cdot C_{CH_2O} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{C_{O_2}}{k_{O_2}}\right) \cdot \frac{C_{NO_3^-}}{k_{NO_3^-}}$ 
(16)

where  $C_{NO_3^-}$  is NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration (mM);  $k_{NO_3^-}$  is the limiting NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration (0.001 mM). The reaction rates of CH<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> can be calculated from Eqs. (17–20):

$$r_{CH_2O} = \frac{dC_{CH_2O}}{dt} = -R_{Ar} - 5R_{Dn}$$
(17)

$$r_{O_2} = \frac{dC_{O_2}}{dt} = -R_{Ar} - 2R_{Ni} \tag{18}$$

$$r_{NO_{3}^{-}} = \frac{dC_{NO_{3}^{-}}}{dt} = R_{Ni} - 4R_{Dn}$$
(19)

$$r_{NH_4^+} = \frac{dC_{NH_4^+}}{dt} = -R_{Ni} \tag{20}$$

The solute reaction fitting was validated by comparing the simulation results with field data from Kim et al. (2017). This reaction network and parameters were evaluated during this validation process. In addition, the same expression has been used in some studies (Spiteri et al. 2008a, b; Heiss et al. 2017; Kong et al. 2023).

This study set the initial condition to zero for freshwater flow and salt transport, allowing it to reach a quasi-steady state. Once this steady state was reached, we simulated all solutes (CH<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) under the resulting salinity distribution. These solute concentration results were set as the initial condition for the reaction network.

#### **Evaluating indexes**

The availability of subsurface barriers is evaluated by  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda = \frac{\Delta Toe}{Toe_0} \tag{21}$$

where  $\Delta T$  oe represents the change in saltwater toe locations (m), with negative values indicating a decrease and positive values indicating an increase.  $\Delta T$  oe =  $Toe_i - Toe_0$ , where  $Toe_i$  and  $Toe_0$  are the toe locations (m) after the construction of subsurface barriers, and it was measured by the position of 50 % salinity interfaces.

We used NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removal efficiency  $(R_N)$  to assess NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction:

$$R_N = \frac{m_{NO_3^-}}{m_{in}} \tag{22}$$

$$m_{NO_3^-} = \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} r_{NO_3^-} \theta d\Omega dt$$
 (23)

$$m_{in} = \int_{-0}^{t} \int_{-l}^{l} C_{0NO_{3}^{-}} f_{b} dl$$
(24)

where  $m_{NO_3^-}$  is the total mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> being removed from denitrification at given period, and  $m_{in}$  is the total mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> that is being transported into the aquifer at a given period, where  $\Omega$  is the domain area, *t* is the tidal cycle time (12 h),  $f_b$  is the sea boundary flux (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $r_{NO_3^-}$  is the reaction rate of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (mM s<sup>-1</sup>), and *l* is the total length of the sea boundary layer (m). The denitrification  $(m_{Dn})$  and nitrification  $(m_{Ni})$  mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the aquifer are as follows:

$$m_{Dn} = \int_{-0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} R_{Dn} \theta d\Omega dt$$
 (25)

$$m_{Ni} = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} R_{Ni} \theta d\Omega dt$$
 (26)

And the compound mass of  $NO_3^-(m_N)$  retained in the aquifer is as follows:

$$m_N = B\theta \iint_A C_{NO_3^-}(x, z, t) dA$$
(27)

where *B* is the unit width of the aquifer (m), and  $C_{NO_3^-}$  is the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration (mM) of the aquifer.

#### Results

#### **Model calibration**

The data of the flume experiments of Fang et al. (2021) pertains to steady flow over a homogeneous aquifer. Similar to the experiments of Fang et al. (2021), the aquifer model with a fixed water depth is 0.245 m, the domain is 0.45 m deep, and the length was 1.729 m. We conducted as follows: one case was the base case, the other case considered a subsurface dam, and salinity distribution was examined under the tide action. These settings are consistent with Fang et al. (2021) (please refer to Fang et al. (2021) and Table 1 for details).

Figure 2 shows the numerical simulation results for the experimental of Fang et al. (2021) cases. Simulated salinity distribution is matched the experimental in all cases well. The aquifer formation consists of two high salinity zones (USP and SW), with a freshwater discharge zone (FDZ) developed between two high salinity zones (Heiss et al. 2017; Gao et al. 2023). As shown in the experiments, the SW length was limited by the subsurface dam, e.g., the SW distance (Toe location), decreased from 0.75 to 0.4 m. In this numerical study, there was a small discrepancy in the salinity distribution compared to the experimental results, for example, the simulated SW length was 0.745 m, while the experimental was 0.75 m. However, the overall trend of the Toe consistently showed a decrease after the subsurface dam construction; in other words, the SW length decreased from 0.745 to 0.4 m. In addition, the numerical simulation results revealed a wider salt-freshwater mixing zone compared to experimental studies. This discrepancy is likely due to the limitations of the dye tracking method

Fig. 2 Experimental (a) (Fang et al. (2021) and these simulated (b) results of no-dam and dam. The horizontal dotted lines represent tidal range, solid white lines indicate numerical results for 50% salinity contours (isohalines) by Fang et al. (2021), and solid black lines indicate 50% salinity contours (isohalines) in these numerical results

z (m)

z (m)



Fig. 3 Measured (a) (Kim et al. 2017) and the simulated results (b) for salinity (a1, b1), O<sub>2</sub> (a2, b2), and in (b1) and (b2), the 1-, 10-, and 20-ppt salinity isohalines are shown



Deringer

employed in the experiments. Due to the lack of highresolution imaging, the expansion of the dispersion zone was not captured (Fang et al. 2021).

To validate the solute reactions model, we simulated the results with the same parameters as Kim et al. (2017) (Table 1) and compared the differences between them. We considered Ar and Dn; the reaction kinetics and parameters were adopted from Kim et al. (2017).

Figure 3 shows the distribution of salinity and  $O_2$ , and Fig. 4 shows the O<sub>2</sub> from in situ measurement (Kim et al. 2017) and our modeled results. As expected, these simulated results accurately reproduced the observed solute distributions. This has a good consistency in both FDZ and mixing areas (Fig. 3), but the simulated salinities are lower than measured salinities (Kim et al. 2017) at  $x \approx 143$  m. The measured and modeled O<sub>2</sub> concentrations were highest near the surface and decreased with increasing depth and seaward distance, but the Kim et al. (2017) results decreased more rapidly than our results (Figs. 3 and 4), e.g., at x = 148.3 m (Fig. 4a), the measured  $O_2$  decreased from 77.42 to 7.3 % with the depth increased from 0.6 to 2.2 m, while the modeled  $O_2$  decreased from 76 to 34 %; at x = 152.1 m (Fig. 4b), the measured  $O_2$  decreased from 81.37 to 7.47 % with the depth increased from 1.0 to 1.6 m, while the modeled O<sub>2</sub> decreased from 81.4 to 69.6 %; and at x = 158.6m (Fig. 4c), the measured and modeled  $O_2$  is not much difference (Fig. 4). It is almost likely that this model was simplified without including the fluctuation of the freshwater and sediment heterogeneity. Heterogeneity of coastal aquifers (Heiss et al. 2020; Kreyns et al. 2020) and fluctuations in water tables (Liu et al. 2016) are widespread, with important implications for the hydrodynamics of coastal systems and the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> transformation, for example, Heiss et al. (2020) reported that the salt and freshwater interfaces are more irregular under heterogeneous influences and the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> reaction is more complex. Moreover, Ni and sulfate reduction were not considered in this study (Kreyns et al. 2020). It is evident that the 2-D numerical model can be used to predict the biogeochemical processes in aquifers.

# Influences of the subsurface barriers on SWI and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination

The FDZ separated the circulating saltwater into two saline zones (Fig. 5, a1), USP and SW. After constructing the cutoff walls (Fig. 5, a2), the USP significantly increased compared to the condition without walls. As expected, the larger USP further pushed SW towards the ocean, and the SWI distance decreased from 24.2 to 20.5 m; this is mainly due to the construction of the cutoff walls, as the brine infiltrates first along the right side of the wall and subsequently rises on the left side of the wall, which leads to an increase in USP. Figure 5, a3, shows that the subsurface dams effectively prevented SWI; the SW length was limited by subsurface dam, e.g., the SW length decreased from 24.2 to 23 m after the construction of a subsurface dams.

O<sub>2</sub> and salinity distributions are consistent in the aquifer because seawater is the only source of  $O_2$ , and both  $O_2$ and salinity decrease with increasing depth and seaward distance (Fig. 5, b1-b3). The deep O<sub>2</sub> was mainly consumed by Ar (Fig. 5, e4-e3) and Ni (Fig. 5, f1-f3). Ar distributed in the same pattern as the O<sub>2</sub> since both CH<sub>2</sub>O and  $O_2$  are derived from seawater; the reaction rate was the highest in shallow areas where seawater infiltration occurs and reduced with depth. The presence of  $NH_4^+$  and  $O_2$ from different water sources indicates that Ni is related to the size of the mixing zone (the area between 10 and 90 % salinity contours is defined as a mixed zone). Once O<sub>2</sub> was completely consumed by Ar and Ni; this created reaction conditions for Dn (Fig. 5, g1-g3), and the remaining CH<sub>2</sub>O continued along circulating seawater and inflowed the freshwater-saltwater mixing zone along the outer edge of the seawater circulation cell where it encountered  $NO_3^{-}$ . The transition from Ni to Dn occurred near the 0.008-mM  $O_2$  contour (Fig. 5, f, g). The Dn rate was the highest along the boundary of SW and decreased along USP to the high tide mark, forming an arc-shaped Dn zone on the interface of the freshwater-saltwater along the out edge of 0.008 mM O<sub>2</sub> contour, while Ni took place near the 0.008 mM O<sub>2</sub> contour (Fig. 5, f). Thus, the Ni and Dn zones were located on the inner and outer of the 0.008 mM O<sub>2</sub> contour, respectively.

As anticipated, the insertion of subsurface barriers caused changes in both reaction and solute distributions. When the cutoff wall was located at x = 23 m, O<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>O distributions followed the same pattern as salinity. Furthermore, saltwater infiltration increased at the USP exhibiting a clear retreat at the SW regions. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> along with terrestrial freshwater moved downward around the USP and discharged into the sea. Ni and Dn slightly increased at the edge of the USP (Fig. 5), while they decreased at the edge of the SW. However, when the subsurface dam was located at x = 23 m, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> climbed upward along the right side of the dam and diffused somewhat on the seaward side of the dam, whereas the subsurface dam limited the diffusion of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at the bottom of the USP, resulting in significantly lower Ni and Dn values at the dams.

We further conducted a quantitative analysis of the impact of subsurface barriers on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination in the aquifer. The insertion of cutoff walls improved the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removal efficiency ( $R_N$ ), e.g., the  $R_N$  is 5.60 % and smaller than that under the wall condition (6.25 %). However, the  $m_N$  is 4.33 kg smaller than that under the wall condition (4.45 kg)



**Fig. 5 a–g** The salinity distribution,  $O_2$ ,  $NH_4^+$ ,  $NO_3$ .<sup>-</sup>, Ar, Ni, and Dn in the aquifer with no-subsurface barriers, cutoff walls, and subsurface dams (the subsurface barriers located at 23 m with a depth of 4.3 m). The vertical white and black lines indicate the cutoff wall and

subsurface dam. The black lines show the simulated 50 % saltwater isohalines, and 10 % and 90 % salinity contours are shown in a1–a3. The magenta lines show the simulated 0.008 mM  $O_2$  isohalines in f1–g3

retained in the sandy aquifer; this is because larger USPs pressurize  $NO_3^-$  deeper into the aquifer, resulting in an increased pathway to the sea along the outer edge of the USP and thus increasing the residual mass of  $NO_3^-$ . When the dam was located at x = 23 m, and SW length was limited by the subsurface dam,  $R_N$  decreased and the mass of  $NO_3^-$  retained in the aquifer decreased, e.g., the  $R_N$  is 4.90 % and smaller than the no-subsurface dam condition (5.60 %), and the  $m_N$  is 4.25 kg smaller than the no-subsurface dam condition (4.33 kg) retained; this is most likely due to the presence of the dam preventing the downward transport of  $NO_3^-$  and thus reducing the residual amount of  $NO_3^-$ .

These suggested that cutoff walls and subsurface dams could mitigate SWI. Cutoff walls enhance both  $R_N$  and  $m_N$ , whereas subsurface dams decrease the retained mass of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> while also reducing  $R_N$ .

# Influences of the subsurface barriers of location on SWI and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination

Figure 6 depicts the impact of subsurface barrier location on salinity and reaction distribution, while Fig. 7 shows the changes in Toe (Fig. 7a, b),  $R_N$  (Fig. 7c, d), and  $m_N$ (Fig. 7e, f) under different locations of subsurface barriers.



**Fig. 6 a–f** The salinity distribution, Ni and Dn with cutoff walls, and subsurface dams (the subsurface barriers located at 17 m, 23 m, and 26 m, the depth of 4.3 m). The vertical white and black lines are the subsurface barriers, the black lines show the simulated 50 % saltwater

isohalines, and 10 % and 90 % salinity contours are shown in a1–b3. The magenta lines show the simulated 0.008 mM  $O_2$  isohalines in c1– f3

Subsurface barriers with a depth of 4.3 m were placed at x = 17 m, x = 23 m, and x = 26 m. The location of these barriers had a significant impact on salinity distribution. As anticipated, the larger USP further pushes SW towards the ocean, and the USP reaches the largest when the wall is located at 23 m; this is because the walls in the inland direction are only able to resist saltwater intrusion if they reach great depths, whereas impermeable walls in the ocean direction alter the path of freshwater to the sea leading to an increase in SW. The length of saltwater intrusion decreases the closer the local lower dam is to the ocean (Fig. 7, a1–a3).

The location of subsurface barriers had an impact on Ni and Dn. Denitrification was highest along the SW boundary, decreasing towards the USP's high tide mark. It formed an arc-shaped zone at the interface of saline and freshwater around the outer edge of the 0.008 mM  $O_2$  contour. Ni occurred near the 0.008 mM  $O_2$  contour (Fig. 6, c–f). It is worth noting that Dn reached a peak when the walls were located at 23 m, and the dams were located at 26 m. This

is almost likely because the reaction is dependent on the blending of freshwater and saltwater, which was considerably higher when the walls were positioned at 23 m and the dams at 26 m in comparison to other locations.

We calculated  $R_N$  and  $m_N$  for various subsurface barrier positions (Fig. 7) and conducted a quantitative analysis to evaluate their effect on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination. Interestingly, when the cutoff walls are located at 23 m, the  $R_N$ reaches the highest (6.25 %), but the  $m_N$  reaches the highest (4.45 kg). And the  $R_N$  increased and  $m_N$  decreased with the distance increase between the dams and the ocean; when the dams at x = 26 m, the  $R_N$  and  $m_N$  reached 5.83 % and 4.17 kg, respectively.

# Influences of the subsurface barriers of height on SWI and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination

Figure 8 depicts the impact of subsurface barrier height on salinity and reaction distribution, while Fig. 9 shows the





Fig. 7 The Toe,  $R_N$  and  $m_N$  under different subsurface barrier locations

changes in Toe (Fig. 9a, b),  $R_N$  (Fig. 9c, d), and  $m_N$  (Fig. 9e, f) under different heights of subsurface barriers. We fixed the location of the subsurface barriers at x = 23 m and the subsurface barriers height from 2.3 to 4.3 m. The cutoff walls height impacted the salinity distribution significantly. As expected, the larger USP further pushed SW towards the ocean, and the USP reached the largest under the wall height of 4.3 m, e.g., as the cutoff walls height increased from 2.3 to 4.3 m, the SW distance decreased from 22.68 to 20.5 m (Fig. 9a); this is due to the fact that the deeper cutoff wall carries the brine deeper into the aquifer thereby increasing the USP. And in the subsurface dams at x = 23 m, the SW distance constant with the height of the subsurface dam increased from 2.3 to 4.3 m (Fig. 9b).

Variations in subsurface barrier heights resulted in changes to both Ni and Dn (Fig. 8, c1–f3). Dn was highest along the boundary of SW, decreasing towards the high tide mark of USP, producing an arc-shaped zone at the interface of freshwater and saltwater around the outer edge of the 0.008-mM  $O_2$  contour, as illustrated in Fig. 8, while Ni took place nearby the 0.008-mM  $O_2$  contour (Fig. 8). It is worth noting that the Ni and Dn were distributed consistent with the salinity; when the wall height increases, the Dn increased along the boundary of USP and decreased along SW. This is almost likely due to the wall, which increases the size of the USP, further pushing SW towards the ocean.

For example, as the height of cutoff walls increased from 0 to 3.3 m, the  $R_N$  increased from 5.60 to 6.31 % and decreased to 6.25 % when the height of the wall was 4.3 m (Fig. 9c). The Dn decreases with the increase of the height of the dam; this is because the dam limited CH<sub>2</sub>O and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> after the construction of dams, and the limitation enhanced with the height of the dam (Fig. 9d), e.g., as the height of the dam increased from 0 to 4.3 m, the  $R_N$  decreased from 5.60 to 4.90 %. Interestingly, the  $m_N$  increased from 0 to 4.3 m, the wall height increased from 4.33 to 4.45 kg (Fig. 9e). However, the  $m_N$  decreased with the dam height increase (Fig. 9f), e.g., as the dam height increase (Fig. 9f), e.g., as the dam height increased from 0 to 4.3 m, the  $m_N$  decreased from 4.33 to 4.45 kg (Fig. 9e). However, the  $m_N$  decreased with the dam height increase (Fig. 9f), e.g., as the dam height increased from 0 to 4.3 m, the  $m_N$  decreased from 4.33 to 4.25 kg.

The findings indicate that cutoff walls positioned within the intertidal zone can alleviate SWI and increase  $R_N$ ; however, beyond a certain height,  $R_N$  declined. Nevertheless, following the construction of a cutoff wall, the USP increased significantly compared to the no-wall scenario, which could potentially result in secondary aquifer contamination. While subsurface dams are effective in addressing SWI, they significantly decrease NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removal efficiency. Therefore, we propose a novel approach — upper CH<sub>2</sub>O and lower subsurface dam (UCLD), which controls SWI and reduces NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution.



**Fig. 8 a–f** The salinity distribution, Ni and Dn with cutoff walls, and subsurface dams (the subsurface barriers depth is 2.3 m, 3.3 m, and 4.3 m at x=23 m). The vertical white and black lines indicates the cutoff walls and subsurface dams. The black lines show the simulated

50 % saltwater isohalines, and 10 %, and 90 % salinity contours are shown in a1–b3. The magenta lines show the simulated 0.008 mM  $\rm O_2$  isohalines in c1–f3

# Influences of the UCLD on SWI and $\mathrm{NO_3}^-$ contamination

Previous studies have demonstrated the crucial role played by Dn in removing  $NO_3^-$  from freshwater waters to coastal (Kim et al. 2017). They have also identified DOC (CH<sub>2</sub>O) scarcity as a major impediment to efficient  $NO_3^-$  removal and highlighted that Dn is markedly augmented by increasing DOC (DOM, dissolved organic matter) inputs (Anwar et al. 2014; Heiss 2020). Therefore, we propose a novel approach that involves injecting CH<sub>2</sub>O into the  $NO_3^-$  distribution area to create a PC-Wall.

The CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration altered the chemical composition of groundwater in the beach aquifer. First, we fixed the depth of the subsurface dam of 4.3 m at x = 23m and the PC-Walls with various concentrations (concentrations were 0.2 mM, 0.5 mM, and 1.0 mM, respectively) on the x = 35 m; the PC-Wall depth was 4.3 m (Fig. 10, a-c). Subsequently, a series of the PC-Wall location changes (the PC-Wall location at x = 35 m, 40 m, and 45 m with CH<sub>2</sub>O concentrations of 1.0 mM) were performed to assess the impact of CH<sub>2</sub>O location on Dn (Fig. 10, d-f).

Figure 10 illustrates the impact of CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration and location on Dn reactions. As discussed in the previous section, a Dn zone takes shape on the freshwater-saltwater interface of the 0.008-mM O<sub>2</sub> contour arc outline. The application of CH<sub>2</sub>O induces additional Dn effects, progressively increasing the Dn rate with increasing CH<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, e.g., as the CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration increased from 0 to 1.0 mM, the maximum Dn rate increased from  $10 \times 10^{-10}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-9}$  mM s<sup>-1</sup>. As PC-Walls penetrate deeper inland, the Dn region gradually expands; this expansion coincides with the location of the PC-Walls (Fig. 10, d–f), e.g., the Dn area extends to x = 45 m when the PC-Walls location at x = 45m. This is because the CH<sub>2</sub>O provides reactants for Dn in the anaerobic region and large amounts of CH<sub>2</sub>O encounter more NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> inland, leading to a significant increase in Dn.



Fig. 9 The Toe,  $R_N$ , and  $m_N$  under different subsurface barriers depths



**Fig. 10** a–f The Dn in the aquifer with different  $CH_2O$  concentrations and locations (a, b, and c are the  $CH_2O$  concentrations of 0.2 mM, 0.5 mM, and 1.0 mM at x=35 m; d, e, and f are the PC-Walls loca-

tion at 35 m, 40 m and 45 m with  $CH_2O$  concentrations of 1.0 mM). The vertical red and black lines indicate the PC-Walls and subsurface dams. The magenta lines show the simulated 0.008 mM  $O_2$  isohalines





In order to quantify the impact of CH<sub>2</sub>O on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> contamination in sandy aquifers, we performed calculations for  $m_{Dn}$  and  $m_N$  at various CH<sub>2</sub>O concentrations and locations (Fig. 11). As expected, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased with the CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration (Fig. 11a), e.g., as the CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration increased from 0 to 1.0 mM, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased from 0.04 to 0.817 g m<sup>-1</sup>, a 1942.5 % increase; however, the  $m_N$ decreased from 4.25 to 3.93 kg, a 7.53 % decrease. This is due to the fact that higher concentrations of CH<sub>2</sub>O provide more reactants, which in turn lead to further enhancement of Dn. In addition, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased with the PC-Wall distance from the sea boundary (Fig. 11b), and the  $m_N$  decreased (Fig. 11c), e.g., as the distance increased from 35 to 45 m, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased from 0.817 to 1.664 g m<sup>-1</sup>, a 103.7 % increase; however, the  $m_N$  decreased from 3.93 to 3.47 kg, a 11.7 % decrease. This is due to the fact that the CH<sub>2</sub>O injection location is closer inland; the more CH<sub>2</sub>O comes into contact with NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leading to a larger denitrification zone and further enhancement of Dn.

There results indicated the importance of PC-Walls for  $NO_3^-$  pollution in beach aquifers;  $CH_2O$  altered the chemical composition of groundwater. Thus, we proposed this new method (UCLD) that not only controls SWI but also promotes greater  $NO_3^-$  removal and significantly decreases the compound mass of  $NO_3^-$  retained in the aquifer.

### Discussion

In this study, we first evaluated the impact of subsurface barriers on  $NO_3^-$  contamination levels and SWI distances within a sandy aquifer. We subsequently propose a hybrid

technique for deploying subsurface dams and PC-Walls that can both control SWI and mitigate NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution.

Over the past few years, SWI has become widespread, prompted by population expansion and economic growth. Cutoff walls and subsurface dams are currently the most common strategies employed in numerous coastal countries to mitigate SWI, and several previous reports have addressed the effectiveness of subsurface barriers when deployed to hinder them (Kang et al. 2021). We investigated the impact of various cutoff wall locations and depths on salinity distribution and suggested that the most effective method for controlling SWI was achieved with a cutoff wall depth of 4.3 m at x = 23 m. This is a wall located at 23 m and a depth of 4.3 m, resulting in a larger USP and further pushing the SW towards the ocean. It is therefore clear that only installing cutoff walls at the tidal range can effectively resist SWI, this is consistent with Shen et al. (2020), which showed that the subsurface barrier inland on SWI was no longer evident compared to no-tide condition. It is worth noting that, after a cutoff wall was constructed, the USP was significantly larger than that of the no-wall condition; this is likely to lead to secondary contamination of the aquifer, for example, when a storm surge occurs nearshore, it transports salt deeper aquifer. Moreover, this study demonstrated the influence of subsurface dams on SWI in the unconfined nearshore aquifer, e.g., the Toe reduced from 24.2 to 23 m with the dam at x = 23 m, and the Toe reduced from 23 to 17 m with the dam moved from x = 23 to 17 m.

In addition to SWI, aquifers in coastal areas worldwide face serious  $NO_3^-$  pollution. Thus, we analyzed different cutoff wall and subsurface dam locations and depths on

the  $NO_3^-$  pollution. The highest Dn was observed along the boundary of SW and decreased along USP toward the high tide mark, forming an arc-shaped Dn zone on the interface of the freshwater-saltwater along the out edge of 0.008 mM O<sub>2</sub> contour. Ni took place nearby the 0.008-mM  $O_2$  contour. Ni and Dn were changed with the subsurface barrier location, and the depth varied. We analyzed different cutoff wall locations and depths on the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution and suggested the removal of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was the most significant when the wall location at 23 m, increased with the depth of the wall from 2.3 to 3.3 m and decreased under the wall height was 4.3 m. However, the  $m_N$  increased with the wall height increase. Interestingly, our results demonstrated the  $R_N$  decrease with the dam height increase, e.g., the  $R_N$ decreased from 5.60 to 4.90 % with the dam depth increase to 4.3 m at x = 23 m, and  $m_N$  only decreased by 1.85 %. A number of previous studies have been reported, for example, Sun et al. (2021) showed that upstream  $NO_3^-$  concentration increased remarkably after subsurface dam construction. Undoubtedly, this leads to a large amount of  $NO_3^{-}$  into the ocean and takes a turn for the worse for the marine environment.

Our study revealed a positive correlation between Dn rates and CH<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in the treatment area, with Dn areas expanding toward PC-Wall locations. On the one hand, CH<sub>2</sub>O provides the reactant for Dn, and a large amount of CH<sub>2</sub>O encountered more NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; the Dn rate and area increase with CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration and CH<sub>2</sub>O diffusion area in an inland anaerobic environment. On the other hand, the CH<sub>2</sub>O driven by inland freshwater was discharged between the USP and SW, increasing the Ar and limiting the Ni, e.g., the  $m_{Ni}$  decreased from 0.369 to 0.345 g m<sup>-1</sup> with a  $CH_2O$  increase of 1.0 mM at x = 35 m. It is worth noting that, after a PC-Wall was constructed, the  $m_{Dn}$  was significantly larger than that of the no PC-Wall condition, e.g., the  $m_{Dn}$  increased 1942.5 % with the CH<sub>2</sub>O increase to 1.0 mM at x = 35 m. Interestingly, the construction of a PC-Wall resulted in a significant shift from dominant Ni to dominant Dn, e.g., the  $m_{Dn}$  was 0.04 g m<sup>-1</sup> smaller than  $m_{Ni}$  (0.369 g  $m^{-1}$ ) at the no PC-Wall condition, and the  $m_{Dn}$  was 0.817 g m<sup>-1</sup> larger than  $m_{Ni}$  (0.345 g m<sup>-1</sup>) at the PC-Wall (1.0 mM) condition. This finding bears significant implications for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution treatment.

Thus, using subsurface dams to prevent the SWI, at the same time, coastal managers can make PC-Wall out of organic waste such as animal remains and plant leaves; this approach not only solved organic waste but also yields an important PC-Wall to promote more Dn. In a word, we proposed this new method (UCLD) that not only controls SWI but also promotes greater  $NO_3^-$  removal. However, the design of the PC-Walls and dams process should carefully consider the location and depth and thus balance the effectiveness and engineering cost.

#### Conclusion

Variable-density flow, solute transport, and biogeochemical modeling were utilized to examine the impact of cutoff walls, subsurface dams, and  $CH_2O$  on both SWI and  $NO_3^-$ -cycling in sandy coastal aquifers under tidal influence. We compared the relative effectiveness of cutoff walls and subsurface dams and also explored the potential of our new method. The key results of our study are presented below:

- (1) Construction of cutoff walls at the intertidal zone can help mitigate SWI and increase  $R_N$ ; however, as the walls reach increasing heights, the  $R_N$  decreases progressively. While subsurface dams remain a useful option for addressing SWI, their effectiveness in reducing NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> significantly declines.
- (2) A new approach, called the Upper PC-Walls and Lower Subsurface Dams (UCLD) approach, mitigates SWI while simultaneously reducing NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution. Subsurface dams are an effective method to manage SWI, while upper PC-walls significantly enhance NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-removal efficiency.
- (3) A transition from dominance in Ni to dominance in Dn once a 1.0-mM PC-Wall was established. As the CH<sub>2</sub>O concentration increased from 0 to 1.0 mM, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased 1942.5 %, and as the distance increased from 35 to 45 m, the  $m_{Dn}$  increased from 0.817 to 1.664 g m<sup>-1</sup>, a 103.7 % increase, and the  $m_N$  decreased from 3.93 to 3.47 kg. We should try our best to build the dams on the seaside and the PC-Walls inland, to better control SWI and decrease the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> pollution.

Author contribution Chao Gao: investigation; methodology; conceptualization; writing — original draft; writing — review and editing.

Jun Kong: resources; funding acquisition; writing — review and editing; visualization; supervision.

Jun Wang: writing - review and editing; supervision.

Yuncheng Wen: writing — review and editing.

**Funding** This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51979095) and the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX22\_0658).

**Data availability** The measured data used to produce Fig. 2, a1, a2 are compiled from Fang et al. (2021) and Fig. 3, a1, a2, are compiled from Kim et al. (2017). And all data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

#### Declarations

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication All authors consent for publication.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

### References

- Abarca E, Karam H, Hemond HF, Harvey CF (2013) Transient groundwater dynamics in a coastal aquifer: the effects of tides, the lunar cycle, and the beach profile. Water Resour Res 49:1–16. https:// doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20075
- Abdoulhalik A, Ahmed AA (2017) The effectiveness of cutoff walls to control saltwater intrusion in multi-layered coastal aquifers: experimental and numerical study. J Environ Manag 199:62–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.05.040
- Anwar N, Robinson C, Barry D (2014) Influence of tides and waves on the fate of nutrients in a nearshore aquifer: numerical simulations. Adv Water Resour 73(nov.):203–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. advwatres.2014.08.015
- Bardini L, Boano F, Cardenas MB, Revelli R, Ridolfi L (2012) Nutrient cycling in bed-form induced hyporheic zones. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 84:47–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ga.2012.01.025
- Botero-Acosta A, Donado LD (2015) Laboratory scale simulation of hydraulic barriers to seawater intrusion in confined coastal aquifers considering the effects of stratification. Procedia Environ Sci 25:36–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2015.04.006
- Burow KR, Nolan BT, Rupert MG, Dubrovsky NM (2010) Nitrate in groundwater of the United States, 1991–2003. Environ Sci Technol 44:4988–4997. https://doi.org/10.1021/es100546y
- Chang Q, Zheng T, Zheng X, Zhang B, Walther M (2019) Effect of subsurface dams on saltwater intrusion and fresh groundwater discharge. J Hydrol 576:508–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydr ol.2019.06.060
- Chang Q, Zheng T, Chen Y, Zheng X, Walther M (2020) Investigation of the elevation of saltwater wedge due to subsurface dams. Hydrol Process 34(22):4251–4261. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp. v34.2210.1002/hyp.13863
- Christy R, Lakshmanan E (2017) Percolation pond as a method of managed aquifer recharge in a coastal saline aquifer: a case study on the criteria for site selection and its impacts. J Earth Syst Sci 126(5):66
- Ebeling P, Händel F, Walther M (2019) Potential of mixed hydraulic barriers to remediate seawater intrusion. Sci Total Environ 693:133478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.284
- Edmunds W, Gaye C (1997) Naturally high nitrate concentrations in groundwaters from the Sahel. J Environ Qual 26:1231–1239. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq1997.00472425002600050006x
- Fang Y, Zheng T, Zheng X, Peng H, Wang H, Xin J, Zhang B (2020) Assessment of the hydrodynamics role for groundwater quality using an integration of GIS, water quality index and multivariate statistical techniques. J Environ Manag 273:111185. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jenjenvman.2020.111185
- Fang Y, Zheng T, Wang H, Guan R, Zheng X, Walther M (2021) Experimental and numerical evidence on the influence of tidal activity on the effectiveness of subsurface dams. J Hydrol 603(2021):127149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127149
- Fang Y, Zheng T, Wang H, Zheng X, Walther M (2022) Nitrate transport behavior behind subsurface dams under varying hydrological conditions. Sci Total Environ 838(2022):155903. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155903
- Fang Y, Qian J, Zheng T, Wang H, Zheng X, Walther M (2023) Submarine groundwater discharge in response to the construction of subsurface physical barriers in coastal aquifers. J Hydrol 617:129010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.129010
- Gao C, Kong J, Zhou L, Wang J (2023) Macropores and burial of dissolved organic matter affect nitrate removal in intertidal aquifers.

J Hydrol 617(2023):129011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2022.129011

- Gao S, Zheng T, Zheng X, Walther M (2022) Influence of layered heterogeneity on nitrate enrichment induced by cut-off walls in coastal aquifers. J Hydrol 609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol. 2022.127722
- Hanson G, Nilsson A (1986) Ground-water dams for rural-water supplies in developing countries. Ground Water 24(4):497–506
- Heiss J, Michael H (2014) Saltwater-freshwater mixing dynamics in a sandy beach aquifer over tidal, spring-neap, and seasonal cycles. Water Resour Res 50:6747–6766. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014W R015574
- Heiss J, Post V, Laattoe T, Russoniello C, Michael H (2017) Physical controls on biogeochemical processes in intertidal zones of beach aquifers. Water Resour Res 53:9225–9244. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/2017WR021110
- Heiss J, Michael H, Koneshloo M (2020) Denitrification hotspots in intertidal mixing zones linked to geologic heterogeneity. Environ Res Lett 15(2020):084015. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ ab90a6
- Heiss J (2020) Whale burial and organic matter impacts on biogeochemical cycling in beach aquifers and leachate fluxes to the nearshore zone science direct. J Contam Hydrol 233. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2020.103656
- Kang P, Xu S (2017) The impact of an underground cut-off wall on nutrient dynamics in groundwater in the lower Wang River watershed, China. Isot Environ Health Stud 53(1):36–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/10256016.2016.1186670
- Kang P, Li S, Wang F, Zhao H, Lv S (2021) Use of multiple isotopes to evaluate nitrate dynamics in groundwater under the barrier effect of underground cutoff walls. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28(6):7076–7089. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-020-10792-2
- Kim K, Heiss J, Michael H, Cai W, Laattoe T, Post V (2017) Spatial patterns of groundwater biogeochemical reactivity in an intertidal beach aquifer. J Geophys Res: Biogeosciences. https://doi. org/10.1002/2017JG003943
- Kong J, Gao C, Jiang C, Wang J, Gao X, Jing L (2023) Effect of cutoff wall on the fate of nitrate in coastal unconfined aquifers under tidal action. Front Mar Sci. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars. 2023.1135072
- Kreyns P, Geng X, Michael H (2020) The influence of connected heterogeneity on groundwater flow and salinity distributions in coastal volcanic aquifers. J Hydrol 586(4):124863. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.124863
- Liu Y, Jiao J, Luo X (2016) Effects of inland water level oscillation on groundwater dynamics and land-sourced solute transport in a coastal aquifer. Coast Eng 114:347–360. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.coastaleng.2016.04.021
- Lu C, Chen Y, Zhang C, Luo J (2013) Steady-state freshwaterseawater mixing zone in stratified coastal aquifers. J Hydrol 505:24–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.09.017
- Lu C, Cao H, Ma J, Shi W, Rathore S, Wu J (2019) A proof-of-concept study of using a less permeable slice along the shoreline to increase fresh groundwater storage of oceanic islands: analytical and experimental validation. Water Resour Res 55:6450–6463. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018WR024529
- Luyun R, Momii K, Nakagawa K (2009) Laboratory-scale saltwater behavior due to subsurface cutoff wall. J Hydrol 377(3–4):227– 236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.08.019
- Santos R, Chen X, Lecher A, Sawyer A, Moosdorf N, Rodellas V, Tamborski J, Cho H, Dimova N, Sugimoto R, Bonaglia S, Li H, Hajati M, Li L (2021) Submarine groundwater discharge impacts on coastal nutrient biogeochemistry. Nat Rev Earth Environ. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00152-0

- Shen Y, Xin P, Yu X (2020) Combined effect of cutoff wall and tides on groundwater flow and salinity distribution in coastal unconfined aquifers. J Hydrol 581(2020):124444. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jhydrol.2019.124444
- Spiteri C, Slomp CP, Charette MA, Tuncay K, Meile C (2008a) Flow and nutrient dynamics in a subterranean estuary (Waquoit Bay, MA, USA): Field data and reactive transport modeling. Geochimicaet Cosmochimica Acta 72(14):3398–3412. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.04.027
- Spiteri C, Slomp CP, Charette MA, Tuncay K, Meile C (2008) Modeling biogeochemical processes in subterranean estuaries: effect of flow dynamics and redox conditions on submarine groundwater discharge of nutrients. Water Resour Res 2008 44:W04701. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007WR006071
- Stein S, Sola F, Yechieli Y, Shalev E, Sivan O, Kasher R (2020) The effects of long-term saline groundwater pumping for desalination on the fresh-saline water interface: field observations and numerical modeling. Sci Total Environ 732:139249. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139249
- Sun Q, Zheng T, Zheng X (2021) Effects of physical barrier on seawater intrusion and nitrate accumulation in upstream aquifers. J Contam Hydrol 243(2021):103913. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jconhyd.2021.103913
- Van Genuchten MT (1980) A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated Soils. Soil Sci Soc

Am J 44(5):892–898. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1980.03615 995004400050002x

- Werner AD, Bakker M, Post VEA, Vandenbohede A, Lu C (2013) Seawater intrusion processes, investigation and management: recent advances and future challenges. Adv Water Resour 51:3–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.03.004
- Xin P, Robinson C, Li L, Barry DA (2010) Effects of wave forcing on a subterranean estuary. Water Resources Research 46 (12). https:// doi.org/10.1029/2010WR009632
- Zheng T, Zheng X, Sun Q, Wang L, Walther M (2020) Insights of variable permeability full-section wall for enhanced control of seawater intrusion and nitrate contamination in unconfined aquifers. J Hydrol 586:124831. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020. 124831

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.