



Occurrence, human health, and ecotoxicological risk assessment of pesticides in surface waters of the River Nile's Rosetta Branch, Egypt

Fawzy Eissa¹ · Mahmoud Al-Sisi² · Khaled Ghanem¹

Received: 10 February 2021 / Accepted: 10 June 2021 / Published online: 17 June 2021

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

In Egypt, the shortage of freshwater resources and their pollution constitutes a growing concern. Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (i) monitor the occurrence and spatiotemporal variations of 100 pesticides in surface water samples collected monthly (from July 2018 to June 2019) from El-Rahawy, Sabal, and Tala sampling sites along the Rosetta branch of the River Nile in Egypt, (ii) identify potential non-carcinogenic health risks for the local people through the lifetime consumption of contaminated drinking water, and (iii) perform an ecological risk assessment of aquatic organisms upon exposure to pesticides detected in surface waters based on the risk quotients (RQs) method. Of the 100 pesticides analyzed, 22 belonging to 11 chemical families were detected, and 75.5% of surface water samples were contaminated with one or more pesticide residues. The most frequently detected pesticide was malathion (57%), followed by chlorpyrifos (54%), atrazine (23%), and carbendazim (20%). Spatial distribution showed that the El-Rahawy site had the highest pesticide load (38.47 µg/L), and Sabal had the lowest (16.29 µg/L). Temporal variations revealed that the highest total pesticide concentrations were detected in summer (27.98 µg/L) compared to spring (23.16 µg/L), winter (19.18 µg/L), and autumn (11.85 µg/L). For non-carcinogenic risks of pesticides detected in surface water, the target hazard quotient (THQ) values were less than one. This implies that there is no potential human risk from exposure to drinking water at the sites under study. However, 13 pesticides presented high-risk quotients (RQ > 1), posing potential ecological risks to aquatic organisms.

Keywords Pesticides · River Nile · Spatiotemporal variations · Risk quotient · THQ

Introduction

The River Nile flows through 11 African countries, including Egypt, before discharging into the Mediterranean Sea. In Cairo, the river is divided into two branches, the Rosetta and Damietta, which form the Nile Delta. Due to population growth, rapid urbanization and industrialization, and extensive agriculture, the demand for irrigation, domestic water

supply, and industrial water supply from the Rosetta Nile branch continues to grow (NBI 2005). The Rosetta branch receives enormous amounts of contaminated water daily from numerous sources, including industrial, agricultural, and municipal wastewater, and feed waste from fish cages. All such sources cause severe negative impacts on the aquatic environment (Abbassy 2018). The use of pesticides in agriculture and residential areas involve their unintentional releases to adjacent non-target ecosystems such as rivers (Schulz 2004). It is estimated that about 8 thousand tons of pesticide active ingredients had been used in Egypt in 2018 according to FAO statistics (FAOSTAT 2018). Pesticide pollution of water is governed by a wide range of mechanisms, viz., photolysis, hydrolysis, biological processes, sorption-desorption into solid particles, runoff, leaching, volatilization, and atmospheric deposition. These mechanisms are also linked to the pesticide physicochemical properties (e.g., water solubility and n-octanol-water partition coefficient K_{ow}), weather conditions of the study area (e.g., rainfall, temperature, wind, and

Responsible Editor: Ester Heath

✉ Fawzy Eissa
fawzy.eissa@yahoo.com

¹ Environment and Bio-agriculture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt

² Central Laboratory of Residue Analysis of Pesticides and Heavy Metals in Food (QCAP), Agricultural Research Center, Dokki, Giza, Egypt

precipitation), type of soil/sediment (e.g., organic matter, and microbial activity), and agricultural management practices (e.g., type of crops, irrigation intensity, and pesticide application rate) (Carazo-Rojas et al. 2018; de Souza et al. 2020; Hintze et al. 2020).

Surface waters are more vulnerable to pesticides in intensive agricultural areas, constituting a major concern for human water consumption. Residues of pesticides in river systems are not only a threat to human health by means of water and fish consumption but also a threat to aquatic species (Zheng et al. 2016).

Monitoring pollutants in the River Nile are essential to provide quantitative data on dominant pollutants, identify their origins and environmental fate, comply with regulations, contend with human and environmental health issues, and enable advanced treatment technologies for accurate targeting (Eissa et al. 2020). Despite the importance of regular pesticide monitoring programs, most studies to date (Dahshan et al. 2016; Shalaby et al. 2018; El-Alfy et al. 2019) have focused on the analysis of limited numbers and few classes of pesticides with infrequent sampling. Also, these studies have not considered human health or ecotoxicological risk assessment of pesticides in surface water.

The presence of pesticide residues in the aquatic environment, particularly in high concentrations, can cause detrimental effects on aquatic organisms and eventually human beings. Chronic ingestion of pesticides into humans above a safe threshold can have adverse effects and pose non-carcinogenic risks such as endocrine disruption, immune and neurological disorders, oxidative stress, and hepatorenal alterations among other impacts that may be more severe in elderly people, pregnant women, and children (Farmer et al. 2011; de Souza et al. 2020). The target hazard quotient (THQ), established by the US EPA, is widely used to assess the potential non-carcinogenic human health risks associated with long-term exposure to contaminated water through the oral route (US EPA, 1989). A useful indicator of risk levels linked with exposure to contaminants can be found in the THQ-based risk assessment method (Wang et al. 2012).

The ecological risk assessment of pesticides is described in terms of environmental exposure and ecotoxicological impacts. The potential aquatic ecotoxicological risk assessment of detected pesticide residues was calculated using the risk quotient (RQ) method, which is the ratio of the measured environmental concentration (MEC) of a single pesticide to the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) (Palma et al. 2014).

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to (i) monitor the occurrence and spatiotemporal variations of 100 pesticide belonging to different chemical classes in surface water samples collected monthly (from July 2018 to June 2019) for the first time from El-Rahawy, Sabal, and

Tala sampling sites situated along the Rosetta branch of the River Nile in Egypt, (ii) identify potential non-carcinogenic health risks for the local people through the lifetime consumption of contaminated drinking water, and (iii) perform an ecological risk assessment of aquatic organisms upon exposure to pesticides detected in surface waters based on the risk quotients (RQs) method.

Decision-makers can use the findings of this study to promote environmental pollution control, prioritize the highly contaminated sites in the Rosetta branch of the River Nile, and find solutions to mitigate the adverse effects of pesticides on aquatic biodiversity and human health. The findings will also provide support data for the future management, control, and reform of Egyptian pesticides market.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling campaign

The sampling strategy was adopted based on the presence of three drains (El-Rahawy, Sabal, and Tala) that receive untreated, partially treated, and/or treated industrial, agricultural, and municipal wastewater that eventually discharge their effluents directly into the Rosetta branch of the River Nile. Monitoring surveys were conducted at three sampling points along the Rosetta branch over 12 sampling periods from July 2018 to June 2019. In the three sampling sites, 144 surface water samples were collected in duplicate as follows: 1 km before (upstream) and 1 km after (downstream) the outlet of (1) the El-Rahawy drain (Giza Governorate; coordinates of 30° 12' 26.21" N and 31° 1' 58.90" E), (2) the Sabal drain (Minoufiya Governorate; coordinates of 30° 32' 13.47" N and 30° 51' 07.09" E), and (3) the Tala drain (Kafr El-Zayat, Gharbiya Governorate; coordinates of 30° 49' 01.74" N and 30° 48' 47.77" E), as described in our previous study (Eissa et al. 2020) and shown in Fig. 1. A complete list of pesticides as target pollutants, their recovery rates, and coefficient of variation at various standard levels and limits of quantification (LOQ) are provided in the Supplementary Material (Table S1).

Surface water samples (sampled at a depth of about 50 cm from the middle section of the Rosetta branch) were collected in 2.5-L amber glass bottles. The bottles were filled to the seal, left no space for air bubbles, labeled, and transferred in an icebox to the Central Laboratory of Residue Analysis of Pesticides and Heavy Metals in Food in Giza. Upon arrival, water samples were immediately vacuum filtered using a glass fiber filter (GC-50, diameter: 47 mm; pore size: 0.5 µm, Advantec) to remove suspended particles. Each filter was then washed with 5 mL of methanol, which was added to the filtrate. All samples were refrigerated at ± 4 °C until extraction.




Fig. 1 The sampling site map of surface waters from the River Nile’s Rosetta branch, Egypt

Extraction of pesticide residues

Pesticides were extracted from surface water samples according to Rocha et al. (2012) with some modifications. Oasis HLB cartridges were sequentially washed with 5 mL of ethyl acetate followed by 5 mL of methanol and 10 mL of deionized water at a 2 mL/min flow rate. Surface water samples (500 mL) were loaded into solid-phase extraction (SPE) cartridges at a constant flow rate of 5 mL/min. After passing the sample, cartridges were dried under vacuum for 30 min. The adsorbed pesticides were then eluted with 10 mL of ethyl acetate into 10 mL tubes at a speed of 1 mL/min. Four milliliters of the eluate was transferred and evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and taken up in 2 mL of acetonitrile before injecting into the LC-MS/MS system. Another 4 mL of the eluate was transferred and evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and taken up in 2 mL of ethyl acetate before injecting into GC-MS/MS.

Gas chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (GC/MS-MS) analysis

As described in our previous study (Eissa et al. 2020), an Agilent 7890A gas chromatography system tailored with a 7000B triple quadrupole Agilent mass spectrometer was used. The column was a DB-35MS Ultra Inert Capillary Column (35% Phenyl-65% dimethylpolysiloxane, 30 m length × 0.18 mm internal diameter × 0.25 μm film thickness, Agilent Technologies). The GC oven temperature program started at 70 °C for 1.3 min and rose to 150 °C at 70 °C/min. It was then raised to 270 °C at 12 °C/min and finally to 310 °C at 18 °C/min and held for 6.3 min for a total run time of 21 min per sample. The inlet temperature was 250 °C, the injection volume was 1 μL, and the injection was performed in splitless mode. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a

constant flow rate of 0.7 mL/min, and nitrogen was used as the collision gas. Electron impact mode was used, and the ionization energy was 70 electron-volts (eV). The ion source temperature was 320 °C, the GC-MS/MS interface temperature was 320 °C, and the quadrupole temperature was 180 °C. The MassHunter software was used for instrument control and data acquisition/processing.

Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS) analysis

As described in our previous study (Eissa et al. 2020), the LC-MS/MS system consisting of an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC connected to an API 4000 Qtrap MS/MS from Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, USA) was used. The separation was performed on an Agilent C18 ZORBAX Eclipse XDB column with a length of 150 mm, an inner diameter of 4.6 mm, and a particle size of 5.0 μm. The temperature of the column was 40 °C, and the volume of injection was 5 μL. The separation was performed by gradient elution between two components; A: 10 mM of ammonium formate solution in methanol: water (1:9 v/v) and B: methanol. The initial flow rate was 0.5 mL/min, starting with 100% of component A, gradually changing to 5% A (95% B) over 6 min, and held constant for 17 min at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. After this 23 min run time, a 2-min post time was followed using the initial 100% of A at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The MS/MS analysis was performed using electrospray ionization (ESI) in the positive ion mode in multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM). The following source and gas parameters were used: 450 °C temperature; 25 psi curtain gas; medium collision gas; 5000 V ion spray voltage; 1, 40 psi ion source gas; and 2, 40 psi ion source gas. Analyst Software version 1.6. was used for instrument control and data acquisition/processing.

Quality assurance

All analytical methods and instruments were entirely validated as part of a laboratory quality control and assurance system (ISO/IEC 17025:2017). They were audited and accredited by the Centre for Metrology and Accreditation, Finnish Accreditation Service (FINAS), Helsinki, Finland. In order to estimate extraction efficiency, the blank and spiked samples were analyzed according to the methods mentioned above. The mean recovery of the selected pesticides in surface water samples ranged from 70 to 110%. The precision expressed as the percentage of the relative standard deviation RSD% was < 20%, while the limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.05 μg/L for pesticide residues. Reproducibility was evaluated during 2 months by different analysts and instruments and was found to be lower than 13.26%. The repeatability experiments were performed by same operator, apparatus, and method at short intervals of time and were found to be lower than 16.1%. All

analytes showed good linearity in the calibration curves consisted of 4 concentration levels ranging from 0.005 to 0.1 µg/mL and 0.01 to 0.5 µg/mL for LC-MS/MS and GC-MS/MS, respectively, with correlation coefficients (R^2) ≥ 0.99 . These data are provided in Table S1 (Supplementary Material).

Human risk assessment

The potential non-carcinogenic health risks associated with the consumption of contaminated water with pesticide residues were assessed based on the target hazard quotient (THQ), which was estimated using the following equation (USEPA 1989):

$$THQ = (EF \times ED \times WIR \times C) / (RfD \times BW \times AT)$$

where EF is the exposure frequency (365 days/year), ED is the exposure duration (70 years; equivalent to the average human lifetime), WIR is the water ingestion rate (2000 mL/person/day), C is the pesticide concentration in water (mg/L), RfD is the oral reference dose (USEPA 2019) (Table 3), BW is the body weight (70 kg/person), and AT is the average time for non-carcinogens (365 days/year \times ED). If the THQ value is ≥ 1 , exposed individuals may experience health risks by consuming contaminated water. Therefore, interventions and protective measures need to be taken.

Ecotoxicological risk assessment

The potential aquatic ecotoxicological risk was assessed based on the risk quotient (RQ) method (ECC 2003) for detected pesticide residues in surface water. This is the ratio between the measured environmental concentration (MEC) of a single pesticide and the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) ($RQ = MEC/PNEC$) (Palma et al. 2014). For calculating PNEC, the lowest no observed effect concentration (NOEC) values were used. In the absence of NOEC, the median effective concentration (EC_{50}) or the median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) values, taken from the pesticide properties database (Lewis et al. 2016), were used.

The PNEC values were estimated by dividing the NOEC or the EC_{50} or LC_{50} values of the most sensitive species by an appropriate assessment factor (AF) for the three trophic levels (algae, *Daphnia* sp., and fish) used. According to the European Commission's Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment, (i) an AF of 1000 is used when at least one short-term assay is available at one trophic level; (ii) an AF of 100 is used when data are available from a single long-term assay of either fish or zooplankton; and (iii) AFs of 50 and 10 are used when two and three long-term assays are available, respectively. Thus, we determined each pesticide

ecological risk for the aquatic ecosystem at each sampling site, using the mean detected concentrations of the pesticides.

Results and discussion

Spatiotemporal distribution of pesticide residues in surface water along the Rosetta Nile branch

Of the 100 pesticides analyzed, 22 pesticides (12 insecticides, six fungicides, two herbicides, one acaricide, and one breakdown product) belonging to 11 chemical families were detected. Overall, 75.5% of all surface water samples (69% of the upstream and 82% of the downstream samples) were contaminated with one or more pesticide residues (as shown in Tables 1 and 2). Nine out of the 22 detected pesticides in surface water samples were banned pesticides (viz., atrazine, carbendazim, chlorpropham, diazinon, dicofol, heptenophos, permethrin, phenthoate, and tetramethrin). The increasing number of banned or unregistered pesticide residues detected over the monitoring period is a matter of concern which reflect their desorption from soil and sediment particles (Barbieri et al. 2021) and/or their illegal usage. Their prevalence requires the urgent need to control and reform of Egyptian pesticides market.

Regarding the number of pesticides detected per category in all surface water samples, insecticides were the most frequently detected pesticides (72%), followed by herbicides (27%), fungicides (24%), and acaricides (5%).

With regard to the pesticide families, the organophosphorus pesticides (OPPs) were the most frequently detected group found in 68% of the total surface water samples. This was followed by triazines (23%) and benzimidazoles (20%), while carbamates and neonicotinoids were similar (7%). Organochlorine and pyrethroid pesticides displayed the same detection frequency (5%), followed by benzoylureas (2%), and finally, anilinopyrimidines, carboxamides, and phthalimides, which all exhibited the same detection frequency (1%). The overall frequency of OPP detection may be due to the widespread use of these compounds in Egyptian agriculture due to their high efficiency and low cost.

The detection frequency (%) and mean concentrations (µg/L) of the most frequently detected pesticide compounds in all surface water samples were malathion (57% and 0.42 µg/L), followed by chlorpyrifos (54% and 0.183 µg/L), atrazine (23% and 0.084 µg/L), carbendazim (20% and 0.082 µg/L), phenthoate (15% and 1.63 µg/L), diazinon (14% and 0.257 µg/L), chlorpropham (7% and 0.131 µg/L), and imidacloprid (7% and 0.312 µg/L). In addition, permethrin, heptenophos, and dicofol exhibited the same detection frequency (5%), with mean concentrations of 0.666, 0.103, and 0.083 µg/L, respectively. In this study, the highest pesticide concentrations were 6.04 µg/L for phenthoate measured

Table 1 Spatiotemporal distribution of detected pesticide residues ($\mu\text{g/L}$) in upstream surface water samples collected from the River Nile's Rosetta branch, Egypt

Detected pesticides ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Summer 2018				Autumn 2018				Winter 2019				Spring 2019			
	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	
Atrazine	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05–0.06	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BQL–0.06	
	Mean	-	-	BQL	-	0.055	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	BQL	0.055	
	Freq. %	-	-	17	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	100	
Boscalid	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL–0.1	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	
Carbendazim	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BQL	BQL	BQL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BQL	
	Mean	-	-	BQL	BQL	BQL	-	BQL	-	BQL	-	BQL	-	-	BQL	
	Freq. %	-	-	17	50	50	-	17	-	25	-	25	-	-	25	
Chlorpropham	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05–0.09	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chlorpyrifos	Conc. range	BDL	BQL–0.05	BQL	0.09–0.13	0.07–0.09	BQL–0.07	0.07–0.09	BQL–0.1	0.25	BQL–0.1	BQL–0.1	BQL	BQL	BQL–0.05	
	Mean	-	0.05	BQL	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.25	0.07	0.07	BQL	BQL	0.05	
	Freq. %	-	33	33	50	75	75	75	100	17	100	75	25	50	50	
Diazinon	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.06–0.1	BDL	0.11	BDL	0.09–0.11	0.07	0.09–0.11	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	0.08	-	0.11	-	0.1	0.07	0.1	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	50	-	25	-	33	17	33	-	-	-	-	
Dicofol	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.05	-	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	
Dimethoate	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.05	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	
Heptenophos	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.13	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.13	-	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	
Imidacloprid	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL–0.06	BQL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	0.06	BQL	-	BQL	-	BDL	-	BDL	-	BDL	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	50	25	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lufenuron	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BQL	0.05	BDL	0.05	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	BQL	-	BQL	0.05	-	0.05	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	25	-	25	17	-	17	-	-	-	-	

Table 1 (continued)

Detected pesticides ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Summer 2018				Autumn 2018				Winter 2019				Spring 2019			
	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	
Malathion	Conc. range	< LOQ	0.05–0.06	BDL	0.87–1.1	0.06–0.12	BQL–0.14	0.17	0.18–0.28	BQL–0.17	0.23–0.4	BQL–0.18	0.05–0.06			
	Mean	BQL	0.055	-	0.985	0.095	0.1	0.17	0.22	0.11	0.315	0.17	0.055			
Metalaxyl	Freq. %	17	33	-	50	100	100	17	67	75	50	100	50	100	50	
	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
Permethrin	Mean	-	-	-	-	BQL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Phenthoate	Conc. range	0.05–0.15	BDL	0.07	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	0.1	-	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pirimethanil	Freq. %	33	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	5.05	BDL	BQL–0.39	0.08–2.5	0.295	1.13	
Pirimethanil	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.05	-	0.19	0.19	75	100	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	0.19	BDL	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	BDL	BDL	BDL	-	-	-	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	

The mean concentration of each season or sampling site represents the mean value of 3 months. *BDL*, below detection limit; *BQL*, below quantification limit

Table 2 Spatiotemporal distribution of detected pesticide residues ($\mu\text{g/L}$) in downstream surface water samples collected from the River Nile's Rosetta branch, Egypt

Detected pesticides ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Summer 2018				Autumn 2018				Winter 2019				Spring 2019			
	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	
Acetamiprid	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Atrazine	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Conc. range	BQL-0.13	BQL-0.11	BQL-0.1	BDL	BQL-0.12	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05-0.07	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL-0.16	
Carbendazim	Mean	0.1	0.105	0.08	-	0.095	-	-	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	0.11	
	Freq. %	50	67	50	-	75	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	100	
Chlorpropham	Conc. range	BQL	0.05-0.06	BQL	BQL-0.05	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.07-0.18	BQL-0.05	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	BQL	0.055	BQL	0.05	BQL	-	-	-	0.12	0.045	BQL	-	-	-	
Chlorpyrifos	Freq. %	33	33	10	50	25	-	-	-	67	50	25	-	-	-	
	Conc. range	0.11-0.19	BDL	BDL	0.17-0.27	BDL	0.06	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
Diazinon	Mean	0.135	-	-	0.22	-	0.06	-	-	-	BQL	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	67	-	-	50	-	17	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	
Dicofol	Conc. range	0.39-1.7	0.08-0.1	BQL	0.49-0.71	BQL-0.07	BQL-0.05	BDL	BDL	0.12-0.13	0.05-0.1	BQL-0.05	BDL	BQL-0.1	BQL	
	Mean	0.94	0.09	BQL	0.6	0.07	0.05	-	-	0.12	0.07	0.05	BDL	0.1	BQL	
Dimethoate	Freq. %	67	33	10	50	100	83	-	-	67	100	75	BDL	50	83	
	Conc. range	0.19-0.51	BDL	BDL	0.25-0.4	1.2	BQL	BDL	BDL	0.11-0.14	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
Folpet	Mean	0.375	-	-	0.325	1.2	BQL	-	-	0.12	BQL	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	67	-	-	50	25	17	-	-	67	17	-	-	-	-	
Heptenophos	Conc. range	BQL-0.15	BDL	BDL	0.05-0.07	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	0.11	-	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Imidacloprid	Freq. %	67	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Conc. range	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.16-0.25	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
Imidacloprid	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.205	-	-	-	-	-	
	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	
Imidacloprid	Conc. range	0.05-0.1	BDL	BDL	0.12-0.19	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05-0.08	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Mean	0.075	-	-	0.155	-	-	-	-	0.065	-	-	-	-	-	
Imidacloprid	Freq. %	33	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-	-	-	
	Conc. range	BQL	BDL	BDL	0.59-0.77	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.05	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
Imidacloprid	Mean	BQL	-	0.68	0.09	0.09	-	-	-	0.05	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	
	Freq. %	33	-	20	-	25	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 2 (continued)

Detected pesticides ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	Summer 2018			Autumn 2018			Winter 2019			Spring 2019		
	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala	El-Rahawy	Sabal	Tala
Lufenuron	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.06	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	-	-	-	-
Malathion	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
	Conc. range	1.1–5.1	0.05–0.08	BDL	0.99–1.6	BQL–0.21	BQL–0.14	BQL–0.2	BQL–0.14	BDL	BQL–0.24	BQL–0.05
Metalaxyl	Mean	3.125	0.065	-	1.295	0.14	0.094	0.14	0.1	-	0.185	0.05
	Freq. %	67	33	-	50	100	100	67	83	-	75	83
Permethrin	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BQL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	BQL	-	-	-	-
Phenthoate	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-
	Conc. range	1.8–2.3	BDL	0.14–0.15	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Profenofos	Mean	2.05	-	0.145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Freq. %	33	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pyrimethanil	Conc. range	BDL	0.69	0.94	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	3.9	0.36	1.59–6.04	0.07–4.99
	Mean	-	0.69	0.94	-	-	-	-	3.9	0.36	3.815	1.22
Tetramethrin	Freq. %	-	17	10	-	-	-	-	8	25	50	100
	Conc. range	0.06–0.08	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Pymethanil	Mean	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Freq. %	33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tetramethrin	Conc. range	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	0.16	BDL	BDL
	Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.16	-	-
Tetramethrin	Freq. %	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-
	Conc. range	0.18	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL	BDL
Tetramethrin	Mean	0.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Freq. %	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

The mean concentration of each season or sampling site represents the mean value of three months. *BDL*, below detection limit; *BQL*, below quantification limit

downstream of Sabal in the spring and 5.1 µg/L for malathion measured at El-Rahawy in the summer. These findings indicate the continuous and intensive use of malathion and chlorpyrifos which are among the top 10 most widely used pesticides by farmers in Egypt according to the statistics of the Egyptian agricultural pesticide committee.

Of the 144 analyzed surface water samples, 18, 24, 12, 10, 4, 2, and 4% were contaminated with 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 pesticide compounds, respectively. Of the 144 surface water samples, 24% contained residues < 0.1 µg/L (ranging from below LOQ to 0.08 µg/L), 32% contained residues ≥ 0.1 µg/L (ranging from 0.1 to 0.47 µg/L), 6% contained residues ≥ 0.5 µg/L (ranging from 0.5 to 0.94 µg/L), and 15% contained residues > 1 µg/L (ranging from 1.02 to 9.6 µg/L). The pesticide load found at the Rosetta branch occurs through various transportation routes, including surface water runoff, leaching, erosion, spray drift, drain outflow, and agricultural, residential, and industrial effluent discharge (Eissa et al. 2020).

The spatial distribution of the detected pesticides in surface water samples along the Rosetta branch of the River Nile revealed that El-Rahawy had the highest sum of all pesticide concentrations with 38.47 µg/L (4.15 µg/L in the upstream and 34.32 µg/L in the downstream samples), followed by Tala with 27.41 µg/L (11.23 µg/L in the upstream and 16.18 µg/L in the downstream samples), and Sabal with 16.29 µg/L (3.5 µg/L in the upstream and 12.79 µg/L in the downstream samples). Furthermore, 50% of El-Rahawy samples were contaminated with the highest number of pesticides (18 compounds). For comparison, 88% of the Sabal and Tala samples were contaminated with 13 and 10 pesticides, respectively. Moreover, downstream surface water samples contained more pesticides in terms of number and concentration than those collected from upstream sampling sites. In particular, surface water downstream of the El-Rahawy sampling site showed relatively higher levels of pesticide residues than Tala and Sabal due to receiving primary treated wastewater from the Abu-Rawash wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) through the El-Rahawy drain. This is considered the main cause of water quality deterioration at the River Nile's Rosetta branch. Köck-Schulmeyer et al. (2013) found high levels of pesticides in WWTP effluents, even in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) with tertiary treatment, despite the common belief that this treatment produces water with adequate quality for use in various fields. Furthermore, conventional urban WWTPs have not been originally designed to remove pesticides. Therefore, the efficient removal of pesticides depends on many factors, such as the specificity of the pesticides and the employed treatment methods (Deblonde et al. 2011). Spatial distribution analysis for the detected pesticides in surface water of the Abou Ali River in Lebanon revealed that the highest concentrations were found in the areas affected by either intensive agricultural activities or by urban discharge and waste dumping (Jabali et al. 2020).

Based on temporal variations, the highest total pesticide concentration detected for 14 pesticides in the summer was 27.98 µg/L (0.43 µg/L in the upstream and 27.55 µg/L in the downstream samples) compared to 8 pesticides in the spring that was 23.16 µg/L (6.68 µg/L in the upstream and 16.48 µg/L in the downstream samples), 15 pesticides in the winter that was equal to 19.18 µg/L (8 µg/L in the upstream and 11.18 µg/L in the downstream samples), and 12 pesticides in the autumn that amounted to 11.85 µg/L (3.77 µg/L in the upstream and 8.08 µg/L in the downstream samples). Meanwhile, during summer, the El-Rahawy samples recorded the highest total pesticide concentration equal to 23.61 µg/L (0.2 µg/L in the upstream and 23.41 µg/L in the downstream samples). Likewise, samples collected from the El-Rahawy area during autumn were the most contaminated with a total pesticide concentration of 7.91 µg/L (2.55 µg/L in the upstream and 5.36 µg/L in the downstream samples). Conversely, during winter and spring, the Tala samples contained the highest total pesticide concentration equal to 10.71 µg/L (5.84 µg/L in the upstream and 4.87 µg/L in the downstream samples) and 12.69 µg/L (4.83 µg/L in the upstream and 7.86 µg/L in the downstream samples), respectively. Seasonal differences in pesticide residues can be attributed to the additional impact of urban and industrial activities on neighboring activities that coexist with agricultural activities. Elevated pesticide levels in the summer may also be due to their high application rates mainly in this season and pesticides partitioning from their reservoirs accumulated in sediments.

These findings are partially consistent with previous studies in other rivers around the world. For example, Gao et al. (2009) found malathion in 43.5% of surface water samples collected in 2003 and 2004 from seven major river basins and three major internal river drainage areas in China. Fadaei et al. (2012) showed that surface water samples of the Babolrood River in Iran were contaminated by malathion and diazinon at mean concentrations of 55.7 to 75.9 µg/L and from 77.6 to 101.6 µg/L, respectively. Their results also showed that the amount of detectable OPPs in the water samples diminished in cold weather. Sangchan et al. (2014) revealed that chlorpyrifos was frequently present in water samples (75%) collected from the Mae Sa river in Thailand. Montuori et al. (2015) showed the presence of nine organophosphate pesticides at concentrations between 5.58 and 39.25 ng/L in water samples from the Sarno River in Italy. Ccancapa et al. (2016) indicated that chlorpyrifos, diazinon, and carbendazim were the most frequent pesticides in the water samples of the Ebro River in Spain (found in 95, 95, and 70% of the samples, respectively). Dahshan et al. (2016) detected chlorpyrifos in water samples along the River Nile at a mean concentration of 0.578 µg/L. Aisha et al. (2017) found that chlorpyrifos and diazinon were the most abundant pesticides in the surface water of rivers in Lebanon. Qiu

et al. (2021) revealed that diazinon and malathion had high concentrations in Maozhou River surface water compared with the main rivers of Shenzhen, China.

Atrazine is a triazine herbicide that is a common surface water contaminant owing to its high application rates and chemical properties (Callicott and Hooper-Bùi 2019). Although atrazine has been banned since 2003 in the European Union, it is still regularly detected in surface waters in that region (Barchanska et al. 2017). Zhang et al. (2021) showed that metalaxyl and atrazine were the predominant pesticides in the surface water of the Wenyu River, Beijing, China, and their detection frequencies were 100%.

Carbendazim is a benzimidazole fungicide that is widely used in crop protection. It is also frequently applied as a preservative for fiber, leather, rubber, and polymerized materials as well as a preservative for construction materials such as building facades (Coutu et al. 2012). The presence of carbendazim in surface water and wastewater samples has been documented in Denmark (Bollmann et al. 2014), Germany (Launay et al. 2016), and China (Xu et al. 2020). Carbendazim concentrations in the range of 600–6000 ng/L have been recorded in particular areas of Spain, where this substance was widely used in agriculture (Masiá et al.

2015). In addition, from 2007 to 2012, carbendazim was the most frequently detected pesticide in the surface waters of Costa Rican river basins (Carazo-Rojas et al. 2018). Merel et al. (2018) suggested that carbendazim in surface water originates primarily from treated municipal wastewater discharge. Moreover, paper and textile industries have been found as new potential sources of carbendazim due to the discharge of their effluents. Chlorpropham is widely applied as a sprout inhibitor in stored potatoes, contaminating the storeroom fabric, atmosphere, soil, and waterways (Douglas et al. 2018). The high residual level of dicofol found in the surface water is associated with its use in agriculture as it is a cheaper pesticide. It has also been identified as an additional source of *o,p'*-DDT (Wei et al. 2008). Another study conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey demonstrated that at least one neonicotinoid was found in 53% of surface water samples collected from rivers across the USA. Here, imidacloprid was the most frequently detected neonicotinoid occurring in 37% of samples (Hladik and Kolpin 2016). Furthermore, effective mitigation measures should be implemented to mitigate the risk of these pesticides such as the implementation of a suitable buffer zone between the edge of agricultural fields and water bodies, implying that pesticides applied on the

Table 3 Target hazard quotient (THQ) for detected pesticide residues in upstream surface water samples collected from the River Nile's Rosetta branch, Egypt

Sampling site	Detected pesticides	Mean Conc. (µg/L)	ADI (mg/kg bw/d)	RfD (mg/kg bw/d)	THQ
El-Rahawy	Boscalid	0.1	0.04	na	0.0001
	Carbendazim	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.0001
	Chlorpropham	0.22	0.05	0.5	0.0001
	Chlorpyrifos	0.48	0.001	na	0.0137
	Diazinon	0.24	0.0002	na	0.0343
	Dicofol	0.06	0.002	0.2	0.0009
	Heptenophos	0.15	0.003	na	0.0017
	Malathion	0.68	0.03	0.3	0.0006
	Permethrin	0.1	0.05	na	0.0001
Sabal	Atrazine	0.055	0.02	na	0.0001
	Chlorpyrifos	0.07	0.001	na	0.002
	Diazinon	0.1	0.0002	na	0.0143
	Lufenuron	0.05	0.015	na	0.0001
	Malathion	0.14	0.03	0.3	0.0002
	Phenthoate	0.3	0.003	na	0.0029
	Pyrimethanil	0.19	0.17	na	0.0000
Tala	Atrazine	0.055	0.02	na	0.0001
	Chlorpyrifos	0.07	0.001	na	0.002
	Diazinon	0.11	0.0002	na	0.0157
	Dimethoate	0.05	0.001	0.01	0.0014
	Malathion	0.1	0.03	0.3	0.0001
	Permethrin	0.07	0.05	na	0.0000
	Phenthoate	1.91	0.003	na	0.0182

na, not available; ADI, acceptable daily intake; RfD, oral reference dose

fields would not easily access the aquatic environment, where they can lead to harmful effects (Fai et al. 2019).

Risk assessment of water for human consumption

The potential health risks of individual pesticides detected in the Rosetta branch of the River Nile’s upstream surface water were assessed using the target hazard quotient, THQ (USEPA, 1989). According to WHO recommendations, each adult should drink 2 L of water every day. Assuming that the drinking water treatment plants do not remove pesticides using the conventional treatment techniques (Zheng et al. 2016), calculations were undertaken. Regarding non-carcinogenic risks of the detected pesticides in surface water samples, as shown in Table 3, the THQ values were lower than 1. This implies no potential risk to the human body due to the drinking water exposure in the study areas. Surface water samples from the El-Rahawy area showed the highest THQ values, i.e., 0.04 and 0.016 for diazinon and chlorpyrifos, respectively. Likewise, diazinon THQ values were the highest at Tala (0.018) and Sabal (0.017). These results agree with those obtained by Gao et al. (2012) who demonstrated that the non-carcinogenic risk hazard quotient values of the detected OPPs in the Haihe River water were less than one, indicating the health risk caused by these compounds was at an acceptable

level. Papadakis et al. (2015) showed that pesticides in the northern Greece rivers pose low potential risks to humans due to exposure to drinking water. Zheng et al. (2016) revealed that the health risk associated with the Jiulong River water consumption in South China was low, despite the river water contained pesticide residues at detectable levels.

Ecotoxicological risk assessment

The risk quotients (RQs) correlated with exposure to individual pesticides detected in surface water samples were calculated for three representative trophic levels, viz., algae, *Daphnia* sp., and fish. The average detected concentrations at each site were used to determine the RQ and identify the high-risk sites. These were compared to the levels of concern recorded in the literature (i.e., $RQ \geq 1$: high-risk; $0.1 \leq RQ < 1$: medium risk; $RQ < 0.1$: low risk) (Sánchez-Bayo et al. 2002).

Tables 4, 5, and 6 show the ecotoxicological risks of detected pesticides based on their annual average concentrations and risk quotient approach. Of the sites monitored, the El-Rahawy site indicated the highest ecotoxicological risk, followed by Sabal and Tala. Risk quotient (RQ) values revealed high ecotoxicological risks (> 1) at all sites for chlorpyrifos, diazinon, malathion, and phenthoate. The highest RQ at the El-Rahawy site was for malathion, followed by

Table 4 Ecological risk assessment for detected pesticide residues in El-Rahawy’s surface water samples collected from the River Nile’s Rosetta branch, Egypt

Pesticide	Mean conc. (µg/L)	MEC (mg/L)	LC ₅₀ fish (mg/L)	NOEC fish (mg/L)	EC ₅₀ daphnia (mg/L)	NOEC daphnia (mg/L)	EC ₅₀ algae (mg/L)	NOEC algae (mg/L)	AF	PNEC	Risk quotient (RQ)
Atrazine	0.1	0.0001	4.5	2	85	0.25	0.059	0.1	10	0.005900	0.016949153
Boscalid	0.1	0.0001	2.7	0.125	5.33	1.3	3.75	-	50	0.002500	0.04
Carbendazim	0.108	0.000108	0.19	0.0032	0.15	0.0015	> 7.7	-	50	0.000030	3.6
Chlorpropham	0.14	0.00014	7.8	0.32	3.7	1	1.65	0.32	50	0.006400	0.021875
Chlorpyrifos	0.46	0.00046	0.025	0.00014	0.0001	0.0046	0.48	0.043	50	0.000002	230
Diazinon	0.22	0.00022	3.1	0.7	0.001	0.00056	6.4	> 10	50	0.000011	19.64285714
Dicofol	0.08	0.00008	0.51	0.0044	0.14	125	0.075	0.05	50	0.000088	0.909090909
Folpet	0.205	0.000205	0.233	0.0081	0.68	0.002	> 10	-	50	0.000040	5.125
Heptenophos	0.103	0.000103	0.056	-	0.0022	-	35	25	1000	0.000002	46.81818182
Imidacloprid	0.055	0.000055	> 83	9.02	85	1.8	> 10	10	10	0.180000	0.000305556
Malathion	1.39	0.00139	0.018	0.091	0.0007	0.00006	13	-	50	0.000001	1158.333333
Permethrin	1.075	0.001075	0.0125	0.000093	0.0006	-	0.0125	0.0009	100	0.000001	1155.913978
Phenthoate	0.36	0.00036	> 2.5	-	0.0017	-	-	-	1000	0.000002	211.7647059
Profenofos	0.07	0.00007	> 0.08	0.002	> 0.5	-	-	-	100	0.000020	3.5
Pyrimethanil	0.16	0.00016	10.56	1.6	2.9	0.94	1.2	-	50	0.018800	0.008510638
Tetramethrin	0.18	0.00018	0.016	-	0.045	-	-	-	1000	0.000016	11.25

MEC, measured environmental concentration of pesticide; LC₅₀, the median lethal concentration; NOEC, no observed effect concentration; EC₅₀, the median effective concentration; AF, assessment factor; PNEC, predicted no-effect concentration

Table 5 Ecological risk assessment for detected pesticide residues in Sabal's surface water samples collected from the River Nile's Rosetta branch, Egypt

Pesticide	Mean conc. ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	MEC (mg/L)	LC_{50} fish (mg/L)	NOEC fish (mg/L)	EC_{50} daphnia (mg/L)	NOEC daphnia (mg/L)	EC_{50} algae (mg/L)	NOEC algae (mg/L)	AF	PNEC	Risk quotient (RQ)
Acetamiprid	0.04	0.00004	> 100	19.2	49.8	5	> 98.3	-	50	0.100000	0.0004
Atrazine	0.08	0.00008	4.5	2	85	0.25	0.059	0.1	10	0.005900	0.013559322
Carbendazim	0.05	0.00005	0.19	0.0032	0.15	0.0015	> 7.7	-	50	0.000030	1.666666667
Chlorpyrifos	0.07	0.00007	0.025	0.00014	0.0001	0.0046	0.48	0.043	50	0.000002	35
Diazinon	0.47	0.00047	3.1	0.7	0.001	0.00056	6.4	> 10	50	0.000011	41.96428571
Imidacloprid	0.09	0.00009	> 83	9.02	85	1.8	> 10	10	10	0.180000	0.0005
Lufenuron	0.055	0.000055	> 29	0.02	0.0013	0.0001	8.8	-	50	0.000002	27.5
Malathion	0.14	0.00014	0.018	0.091	0.0007	0.00006	13	-	50	0.000001	116.6666667
Phenthoate	1.78	0.00178	> 2.5	-	0.0017	-	-	-	1000	0.000002	1047.058824
Pyrimethanil	0.19	0.00019	10.56	1.6	2.9	0.94	1.2	-	50	0.018800	0.010106383

MEC, measured environmental concentration of pesticide; LC_{50} , the median lethal concentration; NOEC, no observed effect concentration; EC_{50} , the median effective concentration; AF, assessment factor; PNEC, predicted no-effect concentration

permethrin, chlorpyrifos, and phenthoate with values of 1158.3, 1155.9, 230, and 211.8, respectively. Of all the pesticides detected at the Sabal and Tala sites, phenthoate displayed the highest RQ at 1047 and 982, respectively. At the Sabal site, high RQ values of 116.7, 41.9, and 35 were also found for malathion, diazinon, and chlorpyrifos, respectively. Regarding the Tala site, high RQ values of 129, 79.2, 29.5, and 9.8 were also found for permethrin, malathion, chlorpyrifos, and diazinon, respectively.

The high and unacceptable risks posed by these pesticides are mainly due to their relatively high toxicity to algae, *Daphnia* sp., and fish. This results in their relatively low PNEC values. Moreover, the increased risks observed also result from the combination of the relatively high MEC values and low PNEC values. This ecotoxicological risk assessment

emphasizes that such pesticides ($RQ > 1$) must be prioritized for risk management, mainly because the River Nile is a habitat to numerous species. The high aquatic risk posed by pesticides leads to changes in fish and invertebrate populations in the long term, leading to a decrease in the most vulnerable species and an increase in the most resistant species, resulting in biodiversity loss (Palma et al. 2014; Kuzmanović et al. 2015).

Our findings agree with those of Ccanccapa et al. (2016) who found that detected OPPs posed high risks to algae, daphnia, and fish in the Ebro River in Spain. Wee and Aris (2017) indicated that the overall exposure to chlorpyrifos in the surface water of the Langat River in Malaysia posed a high risk ($RQ > 1$). Sumon et al. (2018) showed high RQs (> 1) for chlorpyrifos, diazinon, quinalphos, malathion, and

Table 6 Ecological risk assessment for detected pesticide residues in Tala's surface water samples collected from the River Nile's Rosetta branch, Egypt

Pesticide	Mean conc. ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	MEC (mg/L)	LC_{50} fish (mg/L)	NOEC fish (mg/L)	EC_{50} daphnia (mg/L)	NOEC daphnia (mg/L)	EC_{50} algae (mg/L)	NOEC algae (mg/L)	AF	PNEC	Risk quotient (RQ)
Atrazine	0.09	0.00009	4.5	2	85	0.25	0.059	0.1	10	0.005900	0.015254237
Chlorpropham	0.06	0.00006	7.8	0.32	3.7	1	1.65	0.32	50	0.006400	0.009375
Chlorpyrifos	0.059	0.000059	0.025	0.00014	0.0001	0.0046	0.48	0.043	50	0.000002	29.5
Diazinon	0.11	0.00011	3.1	0.7	0.001	0.00056	6.4	> 10	50	0.000011	9.821428571
Dimethoate	0.05	0.00005	30.2	0.4	2	0.04	90.4	32	50	0.000800	0.0625
Imidacloprid	0.68	0.00068	> 83	9.02	85	1.8	> 10	10	10	0.180000	0.003777778
Malathion	0.095	0.000095	0.018	0.091	0.0007	0.00006	13	-	50	0.000001	79.16666667
Permethrin	0.12	0.00012	0.0125	0.000093	0.0006	-	0.0125	0.0009	100	0.000001	129.0322581
Phenthoate	1.67	0.00167	> 2.5	-	0.0017	-	-	-	1000	0.000002	982.3529412

MEC, measured environmental concentration of pesticide; LC_{50} , the median lethal concentration; NOEC, no observed effect concentration; EC_{50} , the median effective concentration; AF, assessment factor; PNEC, predicted no-effect concentration

fenitrothion in the surface water of different water bodies in northwestern Bangladesh. In some water samples from the Guangzhou waterways in China, permethrin was present at high-risk levels with RQ values greater than 1 (Li et al. 2019). Contrarily, RQ values for the pesticides detected in small streams in the European Union (Casado et al. 2019) were lower than the RQs calculated in this study for boscalid (0.01275) and carbendazim (1.279753) but higher for dimethoate (0.248765) and imidacloprid (5.235504). In general, further research is required to determine if exposure to multiple pesticide residues has synergistic, additive, or antagonistic effects on human health and aquatic organisms.

Conclusions

This study presents the first monitoring campaign for 100 pesticides in the Rosetta branch of the River Nile. This research was performed to assess the occurrence, spatiotemporal distribution, and risk assessment of pesticides. Twenty-two pesticides belonging to 11 chemical families were detected, and 75.5% of surface water samples were contaminated with one or more pesticide residues. Moreover, downstream surface water samples contained more pesticides in terms of number and concentration than those collected from upstream sampling sites. The present study has significance in providing baseline data on contamination of Rosetta branch of the River Nile with pesticides and illustrating the contemporary trends of pesticide use in agriculture which enable decision-makers to promote environmental pollution control in addition to manage, control, and reform of the Egyptian pesticide market. For example, although agricultural use of nine of the pesticides detected has been banned in Egypt, their residues are still detected in surface water indicating their desorption from soil and sediment particles, and/or their illegal usage. The THQ values of pesticides detected in surface water samples were lower than one, implying no potential risks to humans through exposure to drinking water in the study sites. However, 13 pesticides showed high-risk quotients ($RQ > 1$), posing a potential ecological risk to aquatic organisms. On the other hand, pesticides typically occur in aquatic ecosystems as a mixture of multiple pesticides rather than individually which require further research on their potential combined ecotoxicological impacts. There is also a need to increase assessments of urban, agricultural, and industrial wastewater released into surface waters and evaluate the treatment efficiency requirements of WWTPs. Hence, regular monitoring is recommended to assess the occurrence and potential sources of the River Nile pollution and its detrimental effects on human health and riverine aquatic life to define mitigation strategies.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-14911-5>.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful for the kind help provided to this work by the director and all employees of the Central Laboratory of Residue analysis of Pesticides and Heavy Metals in Food (QCAP), Giza, Egypt.

Author contribution Fawzy Eissa: study design, data processing, results analysis, writing, and editing.

Mahmoud Al-Sisi: sample collecting, analysis, data processing, and writing a first draft.

Khaled Ghanem: reviewing the manuscript.

Data availability The datasets used or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval This research article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent to participate The authors declare consent to participate.

Consent for publication All the authors approved the final manuscript and agreed to its submission to the Environmental Science and Pollution Research.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abbassy MMS (2018) Distribution pattern of persistent organic pollutants in aquatic ecosystem at the Rosetta Nile branch estuary into the Mediterranean Sea, North of Delta, Egypt. *Mar Pollut Bull* 131: 115–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2018.03.049>
- Aisha AA, Hneine W, Mokh S, Devier MH, Budzinski H, Jaber F (2017) Monitoring of 45 pesticides in Lebanese surface water using polar organic chemical integrative sampler (POCIS). *Ocean Sci J* 52:455–466. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12601-017-0041-4>
- Barbieri MV, Peris A, Postigo C, Moya-Garcés A, Monllor-Alcaraz LS, Rambla-Alegre M, Eljarrat E, de Alda ML (2021) Evaluation of the occurrence and fate of pesticides in a typical Mediterranean delta ecosystem (EBRO RIVER delta) and risk assessment for aquatic organisms. *Environ Pollut* 274:115813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115813>
- Barchanska H, Sajdak M, Szczypka K, Swientek A, Tworek M, Kurek M (2017) Atrazine, triketone herbicides, and their degradation products in sediment, soil and surface water samples in Poland. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 24:644–658. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-7798-3>
- Bollmann UE, Tang C, Eriksson E, Jönsson K, Vollertsen J, Bester K (2014) Biocides in urban wastewater treatment plant influent at dry and wet weather: concentrations, mass flows and possible sources. *Water Res* 60:64–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.04.014>
- Callicott K, Hooper-Bùi L (2019) An investigation of environmental concentrations of atrazine, chlorothalonil, and fipronil in a Mississippi River-influenced marsh in southern Louisiana. *Microchem J* 146:1241–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.01.060>
- Carazo-Rojas E, Pérez-Rojas G, Pérez-Villanueva M, Chinchilla-Soto C, Chin-Pampillo JS, Aguilar-Mora P, Alpizar-Marín M, Masís-Mora M, Rodríguez-Rodríguez CE, Vryzas Z (2018) Pesticide monitoring and ecotoxicological risk assessment in surface water bodies and sediments of a tropical agro-ecosystem. *Environ Pollut* 241:800–809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.020>

- Casado J, Brigden K, Santillo D, Johnston P (2019) Screening of pesticides and veterinary drugs in small streams in the European Union by liquid chromatography high resolution mass spectrometry. *Sci Total Environ* 670:1204–1225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.207>
- Cancncapa A, Masiá A, Navarro-Ortega A, Picó Y, Barceló D (2016) Pesticides in the Ebro River basin: occurrence and risk assessment. *Environ Pollut* 211:414–424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.059>
- Coutu S, Rota C, Rossi L, Barry DA (2012) Modelling city-scale facade leaching of biocide by rainfall. *Water Res* 46:3525–3534. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.03.064>
- Dahshan H, Megahed AM, Abd-Elall AMM, Abd-El-Kader MAG, Nabawy E, Elbana MH (2016) Monitoring of pesticides water pollution-the Egyptian River Nile. *J Environ Health Sci Eng* 14: 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40201-016-0259-6>
- de Souza RM, Seibert D, Quesada HB, de Jesus BF, Fagundes-Klen MR, Bergamasco R (2020) Occurrence, impacts and general aspects of pesticides in surface water: a review. *Process Saf Environ* 135:22–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.12.035>
- Deblonde T, Cossu-Leguille C, Hartemann P (2011) Emerging pollutants in wastewater: a review of the literature. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 214:442–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.08.002>
- Douglas L, MacKinnon G, Cook G, Duncan H, Briddon A, Seamark S (2018) Determination of chlorpropham (CIPC) residues, in the concrete flooring of potato stores, using quantitative (HPLC UV/VIS) and qualitative (GCMS) methods. *Chemosphere* 195:119–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.010>
- ECC (2003) Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment. In: Part II. Brussels, Belgium, European Community Commission
- Eissa F, Ghanem K, Al-Sisi M (2020) Occurrence and human health risks of pesticides and antibiotics in Nile tilapia along the Rosetta Nile branch, Egypt. *Toxicol Rep* 7:1640–1646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2020.03.004>
- El-Alfy MA, Hasballah AF, Abd El-Hamid HT, El-Zeiny AM (2019) Toxicity assessment of heavy metals and organochlorine pesticides in freshwater and marine environments, Rosetta area, Egypt using multiple approaches. *Sustain Environ Res* 29:19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-019-0020-9>
- Fadaei A, Dehghani MH, Nasserli S, Mahvi AH, Rastkari N, Shayeghi M (2012) Organophosphorous pesticides in surface water of Iran. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 88:867–869. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-012-0568-0>
- Fai PBA, Ncheuveu NT, Tchamba MN, Ngealekeloeh F (2019) Ecological risk assessment of agricultural pesticides in the highly productive Ndop flood plain in Cameroon using the PRIMET model. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26:24885–24899. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05592-2>
- FAOSTAT (2018) Pesticides use in Egypt, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/RP>. accessed 28 April 2021
- Farmer JG, Broadway A, Cave MR, Wragg J, Fordyce FM, Graham MC, Ngwenya BT, Bewley RJ (2011) A lead isotopic study of the human bioaccessibility of lead in urban soils from Glasgow, Scotland. *Sci Total Environ* 409:4958–4965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.08.061>
- Gao J, Liu L, Liu X, Zhou H, Lu J, Huang S, Wang Z (2009) The occurrence and spatial distribution of organophosphorous pesticides in Chinese surface water. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol* 82:223–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-008-9618-z>
- Gao J, Liu L, Liu X, Lu J, Hao H, Yuan H, Zhou H (2012) The organic contamination survey and health risk assessment of 16 source water reservoirs in Haihe River basin. *Water Sci Technol* 65:998–1006. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.072>
- Hintze S, Glauser G, Hunkeler D (2020) Influence of surface water-groundwater interactions on the spatial distribution of pesticide metabolites in groundwater. *Sci Total Environ* 733:139109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139109>
- Hladik ML, Kolpin DW (2016) First national-scale reconnaissance of neonicotinoid insecticides in streams across the USA. *Environ Chem* 13:12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1071/EN15061>
- Jabali Y, Millet M, El-Hoz M (2020) Spatio-temporal distribution and ecological risk assessment of pesticides in the water resources of Abou Ali River, Northern Lebanon. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 27: 17997–18012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08089-5>
- Köck-Schulmeyer M, Villagrasa M, de Alda ML, Céspedes-Sánchez R, Ventura F, Barceló D (2013) Occurrence and behavior of pesticides in wastewater treatment plants and their environmental impact. *Sci Total Environ* 458:466–476. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.010>
- Kuzmanović M, Ginebreda A, Petrović M, Barceló D (2015) Risk assessment based prioritization of 200 organic micropollutants in 4 Iberian rivers. *Sci Total Environ* 503:289–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.056>
- Launay MA, Dittmer U, Steinmetz H (2016) Organic micropollutants discharged by combined sewer overflows—characterisation of pollutant sources and stormwater-related processes. *Water Res* 104:82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.07.068>
- Lewis KA, Tzilivakis J, Warner DJ, Green A (2016) An international database for pesticide risk assessments and management. *Hum Ecol Risk Assess* 22:1050–1064. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2015.1133242>
- Li WG, Huang DY, Chen D, Wang C, Wei GL (2019) Temporal-spatial distribution of synthetic pyrethroids in overlying water and surface sediments in Guangzhou waterways: potential input mechanisms and ecological risk to aquatic systems. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26: 17261–17276. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05013-4>
- Masiá A, Campo J, Navarro-Ortega A, Barceló D, Picó Y (2015) Pesticide monitoring in the basin of Llobregat River (Catalonia, Spain) and comparison with historical data. *Sci Total Environ* 503: 58–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.095>
- Merel S, Benzing S, Gleiser C, Di Napoli-Davis G, Zwiener C (2018) Occurrence and overlooked sources of the biocide carbendazim in wastewater and surface water. *Environ Pollut* 239:512–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.040>
- Montuori P, Aurino S, Nardone A, Cirillo T, Triassi M (2015) Spatial distribution and partitioning of organophosphates pesticide in water and sediment from Sarno River and Estuary, Southern Italy. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 22:8629–8642. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-4016-z>
- NBI, 2005 Nile Basin Initiative-Nile Basin water quality monitoring baseline report, Nile Basin National Water Monitoring Baseline Study Quality Report for Egypt - Final, 5/8/05. http://nile.riverawarenesskit.org/English/NRAK/Resources/Document_centre/WQ_Baseline_report_Egypt.pdf
- Palma P, Köck-Schulmeyer M, Alvarenga P, Ledo L, Barbosa IR, De Alda ML, Barceló D (2014) Risk assessment of pesticides detected in surface water of the Alqueva reservoir (Guadiana basin, southern of Portugal). *Sci Total Environ* 488:208–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.088>
- Papadakis EN, Vryzas Z, Kotopoulou A, Kintzikoglou K, Makris KC, Papadopoulou-Mourkidou E (2015) A pesticide monitoring survey in rivers and lakes of northern Greece and its human and ecotoxicological risk assessment. *Ecotox Environ Safe* 116:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2015.02.033>
- Qiu W, Shao H, Jin W, Xiong Y, Xu B, Chen B (2021) Determination of OCPs, OPPs, and 21 SVOCs in water and sediment samples in five rivers of Shenzhen, China, during the period of 2017 and 2018. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13717-9>
- Rocha MJ, Ribeiro MF, Cruzeiro C, Figueiredo F, Rocha E (2012) Development and validation of a GC-MS method for determination

- of 39 common pesticides in estuarine water—targeting hazardous amounts in the Douro River estuary. *Int J Environ Anal Chem* 92: 1587–1608. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2011.581366>
- Sánchez-Bayo F, Baskaran S, Kennedy IR (2002) Ecological relative risk (EcoRR): another approach for risk assessment of pesticides in agriculture. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 91:37–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(01\)00258-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(01)00258-4)
- Sangchan W, Bannwarth M, Ingwersen J, Hugenschmidt C, Schwadorf K, Thavomyutikarn P, Pansombat K, Streck T (2014) Monitoring and risk assessment of pesticides in a tropical river of an agricultural watershed in northern Thailand. *Environ Monit Assess* 186:1083–1099. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-013-3440-8>
- Schulz R (2004) Field studies on exposure, effects, and risk mitigation of aquatic nonpoint-source insecticide pollution. *J Environ Qual* 33: 419–448. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.4190>
- SEPA, 1989 Guidance manual for assessing human health risks from chemically contaminated, fish and shellfish. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC., U.S., EPA-503/8-89-002
- Shalaby SEM, El-Saadany SS, Abo-Eyta AM, Abdel-Satar AM, Al-Afify ADG, Abd El-Gleel WMM (2018) Levels of pesticide residues in water, sediment, and fish samples collected from Nile River in Cairo, Egypt. *Environ Forensic* 19:228–238. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15275922.2018.1519735>
- Sumon KA, Rashid H, Peeters ET, Bosma RH, Van den Brink PJ (2018) Environmental monitoring and risk assessment of organophosphate pesticides in aquatic ecosystems of north-west Bangladesh. *Chemosphere* 206:92–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.167>
- USEPA 2019 Regional screening levels (RSLs)—generic tables (accessed October 2019). <https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rsls-generic-tables>
- Wang Y, Qiao M, Liu Y, Zhu Y (2012) Health risk assessment of heavy metals in soils and vegetables from wastewater irrigated area, Beijing-Tianjin city cluster, China. *J Environ Sci (China)* 24:690–698. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742\(11\)60833-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1001-0742(11)60833-4)
- Wee SY, Aris AZ (2017) Ecological risk estimation of organophosphorus pesticides in riverine ecosystems. *Chemosphere* 188:575–581. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.09.035>
- Wei S, Wang YJCW, Lam JC, Zheng GJ, So MK, Yueng LW, Horii Y, Chen LQ, Yu H, Yamashita N, Lam PK (2008) Historical trends of organic pollutants in sediment cores from Hong Kong. *Mar Pollut Bull* 57:758–766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.03.008>
- Xu L, Granger C, Dong H, Mao Y, Duan S, Li J, Qiang Z (2020) Occurrences of 29 pesticides in the Huangpu River, China: highest ecological risk identified in Shanghai metropolitan area. *Chemosphere* 251:126411. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126411>
- Zhang Y, Qin P, Lu S, Liu X, Zhai J, Xu J, Wang Y, Zhang G, Liu X, Wan Z (2021) Occurrence and risk evaluation of organophosphorus pesticides in typical water bodies of Beijing, China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 28:1454–1463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-10288-z>
- Zheng S, Chen B, Qiu X, Chen M, Ma Z, Yu X (2016) Distribution and risk assessment of 82 pesticides in Jiulong River and estuary in South China. *Chemosphere* 144:1177–1192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.09.050>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.