RESEARCH ARTICLE



Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from paddy fields with no fertilizer use under continuous irrigation with treated municipal wastewater

Dong Duy Pham¹ · Agnes Hoki Briliana Suhono² · Nobuo Kaku³ · Shuhei Masuda⁴ · Fumiaki Takakai⁵ · Toru Watanabe³

Received: 3 September 2020 / Accepted: 30 December 2020 / Published online: 14 January 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Treated wastewater (TWW) irrigation has been recommended as an environmentally friendly agricultural practice and has been applied in many countries for decades. The effects of wastewater irrigation on rice yield and quality, as well as on the environment, with particular focus on greenhouse gas emissions from paddy fields with municipal wastewater irrigation, have gained substantial attention. In this study, bench-scale experiments were conducted in two cultivation seasons where seedlings of Bekoaoba, a large-grain high-yield rice variety, were transplanted and irrigated with TWW without fertilization. A control experiment was performed to simulate the cultivation conditions of normal paddy fields. The study aimed to quantify the effects of TWW irrigation on rice yield and quality, in addition to CH_4 and N_2O emissions. The highest rice yield (10.4 t ha⁻¹) and protein content in brown rice (13.8%) was achieved when the soil was repeatedly subjected to bottom-to-top TWW irrigation without any synthetic fertilizer. Bottom-to-top TWW irrigation decreased CH_4 emissions by up to 95.6% when compared with tap water irrigation, whereas bottom-to-top and top-to-top TWW irrigation increased N_2O emissions by 5 and 15 times, respectively. Bottom-to-top irrigation of TWW could be considered a promising solution for reducing greenhouse gas emissions as TWW irrigation resulted in a lower combined global warming potential than tap water irrigation. Further, bottom-to-top irrigation of TWW produced less CH_4 and N_2O than top-to-top irrigation.

Keywords Treated wastewater irrigation \cdot Bottom-to-top irrigation \cdot High yield and quality rice \cdot Greenhouse gas \cdot Soil redox potential

Responsible Editor: Philippe Garrigues

Dong Duy Pham dongpd@nuce.edu.vn

- ¹ Faculty of Environmental Engineering, National University of Civil Engineering, 55 Giai Phong Street, Hai Ba Trung District, Hanoi, Vietnam
- ² Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jalan Flora, Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia
- ³ Faculty of Agriculture, Yamagata University, 1-23 Wakaba-machi, Tsuruoka, Yamagata 997-8555, Japan
- ⁴ School of Creative System Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Akita College, Bunkyo-cho 1-1, Iijima, Akita, Akita, Japan
- ⁵ Faculty of Bioresource Sciences, Akita Prefectural University, 241-438 Aza Kaidobata-Nishi, Shimoshinjo Nakano, Akita 010-0195, Japan

Introduction

Climate change is a serious environmental problem caused by increased greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Thus, reducing GHG emissions entering the atmosphere is urgently required to mitigate the adverse impacts of climate change (Wang et al. 2017). Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are two important GHGs that have contributed to an estimated 0.6–0.7 °C increase in the Earth's surface temperature during the last century (S. Yang et al. 2012). Globally, agriculture accounted for approximately 47% and 58% of anthropogenic CH₄ and N₂O emissions in 2005, respectively, representing a 17% rise since 1990 (IPPC 2007). Atmospheric concentrations of CH₄ and N₂O have increased rapidly from pre-industrial levels (722 ppb and 270 ppb, respectively) to the present levels of 1830 ppb and 324 ppb, respectively (IPCC 2013a).

Paddy fields are recognized as a significant source of atmospheric GHGs, including CH_4 and N_2O (Meijide et al. 2016). Rice is a leading cereal crop that provides approximately 20% of the daily calorie requirements for more than 3.5 billion people through 150 million hectares of global rice land, which supply 550-600 million tons of rough rice each year (Pham and Watanabe, 2017). Rice is highly sensitive to the water regime, and paddy rice is estimated to consume approximately 50% of global irrigation water. However, irrigation water for rice paddies does not typically require the same quality as drinking water (Pham and Watanabe, 2017). As a result, municipal wastewater has been considered as an alternative source of irrigation water and has been applied and studied globally due to its high concentrations of nutrients (N and P) and organic matter, which are essential for plant growth (Hanjra et al. 2012). Although the use of wastewater for rice production would benefit farmers by enhancing the rice yield and reducing the need for fertilizers, it also has the potential to cause adverse effects to human health and the environment. Thu (2001), Yoon et al. (2001), Nyomora (2015), and Pham et al. (2017) reported that greater rice yields were achieved through wastewater irrigation than freshwater irrigation. Masto et al. (2009) found that sewage effluent irrigation enhanced soil texture and increased the soil carbon content. Furthermore, some studies have reported that sewage effluent irrigation increases soil concentrations of heavy metals such as Pb, Cd, Cu, and Zn (Q. W. Yang et al. 2006; Rogan et al. 2009; Chung et al. 2011).

The emission fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O from paddy fields can be affected by numerous factors, including water regime, organic matter, and nitrogen sources. CH₄ is generated under anaerobic conditions by methanogens, and then 60-90% is oxidized by methanotrophs under the aerobic conditions of the rhizosphere to form CO_2 (Wang et al. 2017). Contrary to CH₄ emissions, N₂O emissions from flooded paddy fields are mostly negligible because the anaerobic conditions of paddy soil transfer the end product of denitrification processes toward N_2 (Weller et al. 2014). Domestic sewage effluent is rich in organic matter and nitrogen, which are important sources of CH₄ and N₂O. Thus, sewage irrigation for rice cultivation may promote the emission of these gases from paddy fields. For example, Zou et al. (2009) observed that increased emissions of CH₄ and N₂O from paddy fields accompanied an increased rice yield in their study using domestic wastewater irrigation with the same amount of N-fertilizer input. However, Xu et al. (2017) found that treated sewage irrigation incorporated with straw returns significantly decreased CH₄ and N₂O emissions when compared with tap water irrigation.

In this study, we hypothesize that treated municipal wastewater (TWW) irrigation increases CH_4 and N_2O emissions from rice paddies, compared with freshwater irrigation. To investigate this, bench-scale experiments were conducted in Tsuruoka, Japan, under both tap water and TWW irrigation. The primary aim is to quantify the effect of TWW irrigation on rice yield and quality, in addition to CH_4 and N_2O emissions.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and cultivation management

The experiments were conducted using the same bench-scale apparatus used in previous studies (Muramatsu et al., 2015; Pham et al., 2019; Pham et al., 2017; Watanabe et al., 2016). The apparatus consists of a TWW feeding system and a bench to simulate a paddy field (Fig. 1). The feeding system comprised a 500-L influent tank, a peristaltic pump, and a 100-L effluent tank for each treatment. The bench-scale paddy field consisted of a 30 cm × 60 cm plastic box with a depth of approximately 35 cm. A horizontal drainpipe was fixed at the bottom of the field to supply water upward, and to drain all the water out from the field when necessary. The drainpipe was covered with a thin layer of gravel to avoid clogging, and then further covered by a 15-cm thick layer of soil. An overflow pipe was fixed at a height of 5 cm from the soil surface. Four treatments without replicates were employed under different conditions (Table 1). In 2016, bottom-to-top irrigation was applied, whereby TWW at a flow rate of 4.5 L/day continuously entered the field from the bottom, passed through the soil layer, and flowed out to the effluent tank via the overflow pipe (Treatments no. 1 and no. 2). Treatment no. 3 used top-to-top irrigation, whereby TWW was continuously supplied to the surface of the field at the same flow rate as treatments no. 1 and no. 2. Treatment no. 4 was designed as the control by adding tap water to make up the water loss due to evapotranspiration with supplementation of N-P-K fertilizers. In the 2017 season, the flow rate of treatment no. 2 was changed to 2.0 L/day, whereas the other treatments maintained the same conditions as the 2016 season.

The water used for the experiments was taken from the effluent of the municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in Tsuruoka, Yamagata, Japan, which employs a conventional technology with a standard activated sludge process and chlorine disinfection. The soils for treatments no. 1 and no. 3 in the 2016 season and treatment no. 4 in both seasons were sampled from the surface layer (0 to 20 cm) of a paddy field in the farm of Yamagata University (Tsuruoka, Yamagata, Japan) (New), whereas treatment no. 2 in the 2016 season reused the soil from the 2015 season (Pham et al. 2017) and the other treatments in the 2017 season repeatedly used the soil from the 2016 season (Pham et al. 2019).

In the 2016 season, 30-day-old rice seedlings of Bekoaoba, a large-grain high-yield variety, were transplanted on May 20, at a rate of five plants per hill and four hills per container. A water depth of 5 cm was maintained during the growing season from transplanting to harvesting on September 28, except for the period from July 4 to 11. This period is known as the midsummer drainage (MSD) and refers to the time when the water supply was stopped, and the paddy soil was kept in a dried condition in order to enhance rice root growth by serving

Table 1	Experimental	conditions
---------	--------------	------------

Cultivation condition	Treatmen	Treatment no. 1		Treatment no. 2		it no. 3	Treatment no. 4 (control)
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016 and 2017
Soil	New	Old	Old	Old	New	Old	New
Water	TWW	TWW	TWW	TWW	TWW	TWW	Tap water
Flow rate (L day ⁻¹)	4.5	4.5	4.5	2.0	4.5	4.5	Depends on evapotranspiration
Flow direction	B-to-T	B-to-T	B-to-T	B-to-T	T-to-T	T-to-T	No flow
Water supply	Cont.	Cont.	Cont.	Cont.	Cont.	Cont.	As required
Chemical fertilizer	No	No	No	No	No	No	N, P, K (for basal) and N-K (before flowering)

B-to-T bottom-to-top irrigation, T-to-T top-to-top irrigation, Cont. continuous irrigation

oxygen to the rice root zone. The 2017 cultivation season was conducted in the same way as 2016, with transplanting, MSD, and harvesting occurring on May 17, July 10–16, and October 01, respectively.

In treatment no. 4, basal N-P-K fertilizer was applied at a rate of 160 kg/ha before transplantation, and N-K fertilizer was applied as top-dressing at a rate of 100 kg/ha on July 11



Fig. 1 Simulated paddy fields with different directions of continuous irrigation: **a** bottom-to-top irrigation and **b** top-to-top irrigation

and 16, in 2016 and 2017, respectively. This was almost twice the amount of fertilizer used for rice as staple food, following the local farmer's practice. No fertilization was applied for the other treatments in either season.

CH₄ and N₂O measurement

In the 2016 season, only CH₄ was sampled using manual static chambers. In the 2017 season, samples of both CH₄ and N₂O were collected using the same chambers as the 2016 season. The chambers were made of acrylic with a 20-cm × 22-cm footprint and two heights: 60 cm for the early rice growing stage and 115 cm for the middle and later rice-growing stages. Each chamber covered two hills of rice and was installed with an air-circulating fan to ensure complete gas mixing during the sampling period. Chambers were placed on the frame of the apparatus in each plot prior to gas sampling. Sampling was conducted four times at intervals of 20 min, once a week in the morning (10:30 to 12:00), using a 60-ml syringe through a silicon tube embedded at the flank of the chamber. The samples were then immediately transferred to 10-ml glass vials for measurement with a gas chromatography instrument (Hitachi GC-163) with a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH₄ and a Shimadzu GC-14B gas chromatography instrument with an electron capture detector (ECD) for N₂O. The fluxes of gas emissions were calculated according to the equation proposed by Minamikawa et al. (2015).

GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O

Global warming potential (GWP) is an index that attempts to integrate the overall climate impacts of a specific action. In the GWP calculation, CO_2 is typically used as the reference gas. The GWPs were calculated over a specific time interval by multiplying the seasonal total CH_4 and N_2O emissions by their respective radiative forcing potentials. In this study, we calculated the GWPs for 20-year and 100-year periods. The radiative forcing potentials for CH_4 and N_2O were 84 and 264 for the 20-year period, and 28 and 265 for the 100 year period, respectively, for a CO_2 value of 1 (IPCC 2013).

Other data measurement

During the growing season, various rice plant growth parameters were measured, including plant height, tiller number, and leaf chlorophyll concentration detected using a soil plant analysis development (SPAD) meter (Markwell et al. 1995). The rice yield and yield components (number of ears per square meter, number of kernels per ear, grain weight, matured kernels, and rice weight of grain per hectare) and dry mass were measured after harvesting. Nitrogen contents in the rice grain were analyzed using an automatic high-sensitivity NC analyzer (SUMIGRAPH NC-220F, SCAS, Japan).

The properties of irrigation water were measured once a week for basic parameters, including total nitrogen (TN), N components (NH₄, NO₃), total organic carbon (TOC), total phosphorus (TP), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), and heavy metal contents, according to the methods described by Pham et al. (2017). Soil properties before and after the experiments were measured using the standard methods of Homer and Pratt, 1962 for TC, TN, TP, and heavy metals. A platinum Eh electrode (EP-201, Fujiwara, 24 cm) was installed permanently at 10 cm soil depth during the growing season. The soil

redox potential (Eh) was measured during gas sampling using an Eh meter (PRN-41, Fujiwara, DKK-TOA Corporation).

The overall balance of nitrogen in the experiment was calculated by multiplying the dry weight of soil, whole rice plant, or volume of irrigation water by its concentrations in these samples. The difference from N input (soil, irrigation water, and fertilizer) to its output (soil and rice plant) can be explained by the release of N_2O and N_2 into the air.

Statistical analysis

The treatments were not replicated owing to resource limitations. Instead, four plants of rice seedlings were transplanted into each treatment and were considered as replicates during data analysis (Pham et al. 2019). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted at 5% probability, and the mean differences were detected using a least significant difference (LSD) test. The statistical package SPSS 24.0 was used for the data analysis.

Results

Irrigation water quality and soil properties

The monthly chemical characteristics of irrigation water in 2017 are illustrated in Table 2. The pH of the irrigation TWW varied

Table 2Monthly average quality of irrigation water in 2017

Parameters	Units	Tap water	TWW							
			Month					Average	Permissible limits ^a	Data in 2016 ^b
			May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.			
рН	_	NA	7.3	7.3	7.6	7.2	7	7.3	NA	7.1 (7.0–7.3)
ORP	mV	NA	197	211	219	234	279	228	NA	236 (296–178)
EC	$\mathrm{mS}~\mathrm{m}^{-1}$	NA	71.7	61.7	67.4	67.7	64.2	66.5	NA	63.6 (56.7–72.5)
DO	mg L^{-1}	NA	4.8	2.9	3.2	2.9	3	3.4	NA	3.7 (1.9–5.9)
TN	mg L^{-1}	< 0.1	40	31.9	28.5	33.5	27.8	32.3	NA	27.6 (23.0-40.0)
NH ₄ -N	mg L^{-1}	NA	27.5	17.0	18.9	20.4	15.6	18.9	NA	20.4 (4.2–35.6)
NO ₃ -N	mg L^{-1}	NA	2.8	4.8	4.6	9.7	11.0	11.2	NA	9.54 (1.0-26.8)
TOC	mg L^{-1}	<0.6	6.3	6.3	5.8	5.5	5.3	5.8	NA	6.6 (4.8–9.3)
TP	mg L^{-1}	NA	0.27	0.3	0.44	0.21	0.18	0.28	NA	0.17 (0.15-0.23)
Cu	$\mu g \ L^{-1}$	NA	8.5	7.7	7.8	7.4	6.5	7.6	200	11.2 (8.4–15.4)
Cr	$\mu g \ L^{-1}$	NA	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	100	0.64 (0.60-0.80)
Zn	$\mu g \ L^{-1}$	NA	25.4	14.1	13.5	12.1	18.5	16.7	2000	47.5 (40.2–58.0)
Cd	$\mu g L^{-1}$	NA	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	10	NA
Pb	$\mu g \ L^{-1}$	NA	3.2	1.2	4.1	0.9	1.6	2.2	5000	0.68 (0.6-0.8)
As	$\mu g \ L^{-1}$	NA	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	100	NA

NA not available

^a Adapted from (FAO 1985)

^b Average (Min–Max) (Pham et al., 2019)

Table 3Volume and nitrogen contents in effluents in 2017

Treatment	Volume (L)	TN (m	L^{-1}			. Sep 1.4 0.9 20.4		
		May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep		
No. 1	385	4.6	8.3	9.3	2.3	1.4		
No. 2	155	5.9	0.7	5.9	1.2	0.9		
No. 3	390	23.6	23.8	14.6	13.1	20.4		

from 7.0 to 7.6. The ORP varied from 197 to 279 mV. The average TN ranged from 27.8 to 40.0 mg L⁻¹, and TP fluctuated between 0.18 and 0.44 mg L⁻¹. NH₄-N was the dominant component of nitrogen in the TWW throughout the period of experiment, as the result of nitrification control in the wastewater treatment process for phosphorus removal. The concentrations of trace metals in TWW complied with the regulation on water quality for agriculture (FAO 1985). The similar characteristics of irrigation water were observed in 2016 (Pham et al. 2019). The average TN and TOC in tap water were lower than 0.1 and 0.6 mg L⁻¹, respectively (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the basic elements in the soil prior to the experiments. The TN and TP in the soils varied from 1.3 to 1.7 g kg^{-1} and from 0.5 to 1.2 g kg^{-1} , respectively. The concentrations of heavy metals in the soils were comparable, except for Cu. The contents of Cu in the old soils (used for treatment no. 2 in 2016 and treatments no. 1, no. 2, and no. 3 in 2017) were significantly higher than in new soils (used for treatments no. 1, no. 3, and no. 4 in 2016 and treatment no. 4 in 2017). This was attributed to the oxidation of copper cables used for the experiment in 2015 (Pham et al. 2019).

Grain yield, grain quality, and whole plant dry biomass

The yield and protein content of rice grains, in addition to the dry mass of the complete rice plant, is provided in Table 5.

Generally, for the treatments irrigated with TWW, the 2016 season exhibited higher values of rice yield, rice grain protein, and rice plant dry mass than the 2017 season. In contrast, the rice yield and dry mass of the control were higher in the 2017 season compared with the 2016 season, indicating that reductions in the rice yield, rice protein content, and plant dry mass in the treatments using TWW were not due to climatic differences. Instead, the P remaining in the soil from the 2015 season must have been used effectively during the 2016 season (Table 4).

Soil redox potential during cultivation

Soil Eh of the four treatments during the growing periods of 2016 and 2017 are illustrated in Fig. 2. The soil redox potentials ranged from -210 to +225 mV in the 2016 season, and from -160 to +500 mV in the 2017 season. Data for the first 3 weeks of the 2016 season are absent due to late preparation for the measurement. In general, soil Eh decreased gradually after the beginning of the season until MSD, when the fields were drained. After MSD, when the soil was re-flooded again, the soil redox potential continued to decrease until the end of the season. In the 2016 season, the soil redox potential values in most treatments were less than 0 mV.

Seasonal variation of CH₄ emission

Seasonal variations of CH_4 emission fluxes from the paddy fields in 2016 and 2017 are illustrated in Fig. 3. In 2015, CH_4 emissions were not observed, which is likely due to the inhibitory effect of high copper levels in the soil (Pham et al., 2017). After transplantation, the paddy soil was maintained in a flooded state with a 5-cm water depth, and the CH_4 emissions gradually increased with the development of soilreductive conditions and plant growth until week 7, immediately prior to MSD. After MSD with no measurement of

Parameters	Units	2016		2017	
		Treatments no. 1, no. 3, no. 4	Treatments no. 2	Treatments no. 1, no. 2, no. 3	Treatment no. 4
TN	g kg $^{-1}$	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6
TP	$\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.7
TC	$g kg^{-1}$	21.5	17.1	21.5	28.3
Cu	mg kg^{-1}	17.7	97.1	59.6	16.7
Cr	mg kg^{-1}	20.5	28.5	34.3	38.5
Zn	mg kg^{-1}	98.0	119.2	88.5	82.4
Cd	mg kg^{-1}	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.14
Pb	mg kg^{-1}	12.8	16.1	16.6	18.4
As	mg kg^{-1}	1.5	3.3	2.0	2.6

 Table 4
 Basic elements in the soils before the experiments

Table 5Crude protein, grainyield, and biomass

Fig. 2 Variations in the soil redox

potential in paddy soils (mV)

	Rice yield	(t/ha)	Protein content (%)		ent (%) Dry biomass	
Treatment	2016*	2017	2016*	2017	2016*	2017
Treatment no. 1	14.1a	10.4a	13.5ab	12.8ab	15.5a	13.2a
Treatment no. 2	12.3a	9.1a	14.6a	12.3bc	15.0a	11.1a
Treatment no. 3	10.3a	9.4a	13.2ab	10.3c	11.8a	10.4a
Treatment no. 4	9.0a	10.1a	10.5c	10.4c	10.4b	11.7a

*Pham et al., 2019

GHG, the paddy fields were re-flooded, and CH_4 emission rates increased gradually again, reaching a peak during the heading stage (week 12), before finally dropping to the same level as the initial stage during the late ripening stage (weeks 18 and 19). The emission fluxes of CH_4 from all treatments varied from 0.03 to 0.52 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ in 2016 and from 0.01 to 7.69 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ in 2017, which were much lower than those reported from normal paddy fields (S. Yang et al. 2012; Win et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015; Riya et al. 2015). Interestingly, the 2017 season control revealed that CH_4 emissions began to increase sharply from week 12 until a peak flux of 7.69 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ in week 17. This flux was much greater than in the other growing stages and treatments throughout the experiment. Seasonal CH_4 average emission fluxes in treatments no. 1, no. 2, no. 3, and no. 4 were 0.12, 0.14, 0.15, and 0.16 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ in 2016 and 0.12, 0.09, 0.12, and 2.06 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ in 2017, respectively (Table 6). There was no significant difference in emission fluxes between treatments, except for treatment no. 4 in 2017.



Table 6 CH_4 average emission fluxes (mg m⁻² h⁻¹)

Treatment	Treatment no. 1	Treatment no. 2	Treatment no. 3	Treatment no. 4
2016	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.16
2017	0.12	0.09	0.12	2.06

Fig. 3 Seasonal variations in the methane emission flux $(mg m^{-2} h^{-1})$ from paddy fields: **a** in the 2016 season, **b** in the 2017 season with full range of Y-axis, and **c** in the 2017 season with short range of Y-axis to show the differences between treatments clearly



Table 7 N_2O average emissionfluxes (µg m ⁻² h ⁻¹) in the 2017	Treatment	Treatment no. 1	Treatment no. 2	Treatment no. 3	Treatment no. 4
season	2017	180	58	545	40

Seasonal variation of N₂O emission

Figure 4 shows the seasonal variations in nitrous oxide emission fluxes from the paddy fields in 2017. Generally, TWW irrigation on the surface of the field led to significantly increased N₂O emissions compared with those irrigated from the bottom of the field or using tap water with synthetic fertilizers. For the first week following rice transplanting, emission fluxes from all treatments were similarly low. Subsequently, in the greening and tillering stages, emission fluxes for TWW irrigation from the bottom at a lower flow rate (treatment no. 2) and in the control treatment remained low. Conversely, emissions increased slightly in subsurface TWW irrigation at a higher flow rate before reaching a peak in week 4 and increased sharply in surface TWW irrigation before reaching a peak in week 5 after transplanting. The highest emission fluxes of treatments no. 1, no. 2, no. 3, and no. 4 were 713, 271, 1578, and 261 μ g m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively. After MSD in week 8, N₂O emission fluxes from all treatments were still high, but then decreased gradually until harvesting. In the control, from week 16 at the start of the ripening stage, no emissions were observed. The average seasonal N2O emissions over the entire rice season of surface TWW irrigation were significantly higher than those of bottom-to-top irrigation and the control (Table 7). In subsurface irrigation, treatment no. 1 with a higher flow rate produced a larger amount of N₂O than treatment no. 2, although the difference was not statistically significant.

Combined GWP of CH₄ and N₂O emissions

Compared with tap water irrigation or top-to-top TWW irrigation, TWW irrigation from the bottom of the field significantly decreased the net GWP for 20-year or 100-year periods (Table 8). In comparison with synthetic fertilizer application, top-to-top TWW irrigation decreased the net GWP slightly by 7.0% over the 20-year period but significantly increased the net GWP by 57.6% over the 100-year period. For the treatments with bottom-to-top irrigation, a lower irrigation flow rate contributed to a lower GWP (IPCC 2013b).

Discussion

Effect of sub-irrigation of treated municipal wastewater on rice yield and quality

The rice yield and quality data for the 2016 season was discussed in detail in previous research (refer to Pham et al., 2019); therefore, this section only discusses data from the 2017 season. Rice yield in the control treatment in 2017 was higher than that obtained in 2016, indicating better climatic conditions for rice development in the 2017 season. Therefore, the decrease of rice yield observed for other treatments in this season could not be attributed to the climatic conditions. Compared with top-to-top irrigation, bottom-to-top irrigation at the same flow rate resulted in a 10.2% higher rice yield and a 24.3% higher protein content in brown rice. A

Fig. 4 Seasonal variations in nitrous oxide emission fluxes $(\mu g m^{-2} h^{-1})$ from paddy fields in the 2017 season



Table 8 Net GWPs of CH_4 and N_2O emissions over the growingseason (kg CO2-eq ha⁻¹) in 2017

Treatment	Treatment no. 1	Treatment no. 2	Treatment no. 3	Treatment no. 4
20-year period	1863	757	4995	5367
100-year period	1639	608	4807	2036

comparison between treatments no. 1 and no. 2, which applied the same irrigation flow direction without fertilization, indicated that a higher flow rate increased the rice yield and rice protein content by 14.3% and 8.5%, respectively. In treatment no. 1, the rice yield and quality decreased in the 2017 season; however, these decreases were not statistically significant, implying that upward TWW irrigation at a high flow rate can produce high rice yield and quality without any fertilizer, even when the soil is used repeatedly. However, long-term experiments should be conducted to verify the rice yield and quality when the paddy soil is irrigated with TWW from the bottom of the field with no fertilization.

Effect of TWW irrigation on GHG emissions

Zou et al. (2009) reported that, in comparison with river water irrigation, sewage irrigation significantly increases CH_4 emissions from paddy fields. Several explanations were provided for this result. Firstly, sewage is rich in organic matter, which can promote CH_4 production (Zou et al. 2005). Secondly, wastewater irrigation may change the condition of soil physiochemical properties and bacterial communities that encourage CH_4 production. However, the results of the present study are not consistent with their findings. TWW irrigation led to remarkably decreased CH_4 emissions, in comparison with tap water irrigation. This could be attributed to the inhibitory effect of nitrogen in TWW on CH_4 formation. TWW was rich in nitrogen, and denitrification is generally believed to occur before methanogenesis. Moreover, denitrification intermediates such as NO_2^- and NO may inhibit methanogenic microorganisms, resulting in reduced CH₄ formation (Chen and Lin 1992). During the phase of reduction of NO_3^- , NO_2^- , and N_2O , the partial pressure of H₂ may decrease, reducing the concentrations required to support CH₄ production (Bao et al. 2016). As shown in Table 2, the dominant form of nitrogen in the TWW used for irrigation was ammonium. If TWW dominated by nitrate is used, further reduction of CH4 emission is expected as the result of enhanced denitrification.

In normal paddy fields, the peak flux of CH₄ emissions typically occurs during the early stage of rice development (Zou et al. 2009; S. Yang et al. 2012). In contrast, in this study, the peak CH₄ emissions occurred during the ripening stage of the rice plant (Fig. 5). This shift was likely caused by the above inhibitory effect of nitrogen on CH₄ production; a lower concentration of nitrogen in soil could promote CH₄ production in the final stage of rice growth. Furthermore, this rise may have been due to an increase in the available C from root exudates in the reproductive stage. A rice plant during flowering to ripening stages offers more exudates for the methanogenic microbial community (Singh and Dubey 2012). Furthermore, the increase in CH₄ emission rates at the flowering stage is associated with the improved CH₄ transport capacity of the rice plants due to well-developed aerenchyma tissues (Gaihre et al. 2014). Methane emission from the control treatment in 2017 was greater than in 2016, which is likely



Fig. 5 Overall mass balance of nitrogen in the experiment (g)

a result of the greater concentration of C in the soil in 2017 (426 and 605 g/g in 2016 and 2017, respectively).

Conversely, TWW irrigation significantly increased N₂O emissions when compared with tap water irrigation, especially when top-to-top irrigation or bottom-to-top irrigation at a higher flow rate was applied. This could be because high nitrogen contained in the TWW may have enhanced nitrification and denitrification processes in the soil and consequently increased N₂O emissions. The larger amount of nitrogen was released to the air in treatments no. 1, no. 2, and no. 3 than the control (Fig. 2), although it contained both N_2O and N_2 . Alternatively, carbon is a key factor controlling soil nitrification and denitrification processes; thus, organic matter in the TWW acted as carbon sources to enhance nitrification and denitrification processes in the soil, resulting in increased N₂O emissions (Ndour et al. 2008). The TOC concentration in TWW was not so high (Table 2) but, under continuous irrigation, it must have supplied a considerable amount of carbon into the soil.

Hou et al. (2000) reported that N_2O emissions are strongly influenced by the soil redox potential and that less N₂O is emitted under low redox potential conditions, probably due to the reduction of N₂O to N₂. In the 2017 season, both a higher soil redox potential (Fig. 2) and notably greater N₂O emissions were recorded in treatment no. 3, especially before MSD, in comparison with the other treatments. Moreover, in the top-totop irrigation (treatment no. 3), N₂O can be produced in the nitrification process in the surface water regardless of soil redox potential at the deep soil layer (Kampschreur et al. 2009). The ammonium-dominant TWW used for irrigation (Table 2) should have contributed to N₂O emission in this pathway. For the same reason, nitrate-dominant TWW seems to reduce N2O emission in the top-to-top irrigation, while the emission may increase due to the enhanced denitrification in the bottom-totop irrigation. Although it is known that rice plants can uptake NH_4^+ more easily than NO_3^- (Fried et al. 1965), the dependency of GHG emission from paddy fields on the nitrogen form in the TWW should be investigated in further studies.

Conclusions

Bench-scale experiments were conducted under tap water and TWW irrigation conditions in order to quantify the effect of TWW irrigation on rice yield and CH_4 and N_2O emissions. The main findings are as follows:

- A high rice yield and protein content was achieved over two cultivation seasons by bottom-to-top TWW irrigation at a high flow rate without any fertilizers.
- TWW irrigation decreased CH₄ emissions, but increased N₂O emissions, resulting in a lower combined GWP than tap water irrigation.

 Bottom-to-top TWW irrigation produced less CH₄ and N₂O than top-to-top TWW irrigation.

Authors' contributions Conceiving experiment: Dong Pham Duy, Toru Watanabe.

Conducting experiment: Dong Pham Duy, Agnes Hoki Briliana Suhono, Nobuo Kaku, Shuhei Masuda, Fumiaki Takakai.

Analyzing the data: Dong Pham Duy. Preparing the manuscript draft: Dong Pham Duy.

Revising the manuscript all the authors

Funding This study was supported by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism (MLIT) of Japan through the Gesuido Academic Incubation to Advanced (GAIA) project, and by the Ministry of Education, Sports, Culture, Science, and Technology (MEXT) of Japan through the Center of Community (COC) project. This study was conducted as a part of the activities of the Institute for Regional Innovation, Yamagata University.

Data availability Available.

Compliance with ethical standards

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval, consent to participate and publish This manuscript is the original work of authors, which has not been previously published elsewhere.

The manuscript reflects the authors' own research and analysis in a truthful and complete manner.

The manuscript properly credits the meaningful contributions of coauthors.

The results are appropriately placed in the context of prior and existing research.

All sources used are properly disclosed (correct citation).

All the authors have been personally and actively involved in substantial work leading to the paper, and will take public responsibility for its content.

Abbreviations *EC*, Electrical conductivity; *GHG*, Greenhouse gas; *MSD*, Midsummer drainage; *ORP*, Oxidation-reduction potential; *SPAD*, Soil plant analysis development; *TN*, Total nitrogen; *TWW*, Treated wastewater; *WWTP*, Wastewater treatment plant

References

- Bao Q, Huang Y, Wang F, Nie S, Nicol GW, Yao H, Ding L (2016) Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and/or Rice straw amendment on methanogenic Archaeal communities and methane production from a rice paddy soil. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100(13):5989–5998. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7377-z
- Chen Kuo-Cheng, Lin Ying-Feng. 1992. Inhibitory effect of ionic nitrogen oxides on methanogenesis of methanol in methanogenic sludge authors and affiliations. In *Proceedings of Asia-Pacific Biochemical Engineering*, 839–42. Tokyo
- Chung BY, Song CH, Park BJ, Cho JY (2011) Heavy metals in brown rice (Oryza Sativa L.) and soil after long-term irrigation of wastewater discharged from domestic sewage treatment plants. Pedosphere 21(5):621–627. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(11)60164-1

- FAO. 1985. Water Quality for Agriculture. FAO IRRIGATION AND DRAINAGE PAPER. 29 Rev. 1
- Fried M, Zsoldos F, Vose PB, Shatokhin IL (1965) Characterizing the nitrate and ammonium uptake process of Rice roots by use of N15 labelled NH4NO3. Physiol Plant 18:313–320
- Gaihre YK, Wassmann R, Tirol-Padre A, Villegas-Pangga G, Aquino E, Kimball BA (2014) Seasonal assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from irrigated lowland rice fields under infrared warming. Agric Ecosyst Environ 184:88–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee. 2013.11.024
- Hanjra MA, Blackwell J, Carr G, Zhang F, Jackson TM (2012) Wastewater irrigation and environmental health: implications for water governance and public policy. Int J Hyg Environ Health 215(3):255–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.10.003
- Homer CD, Pratt PF (1962) Methods of analysis for soils, plants and waters. Soil Sci 93(1):68
- Hou AX, Chen GX, Wang ZP, Van Cleemput O, Patrick WH (2000) Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice field in relation to soil redox and microbiological processes. Soil Sci Soc Am J 64(6):2180–2186. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2000.6462180x
- IPCC (2013a) "Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change." Edited by V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.) Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018
- IPCC (2013b) Climate change 2013 the physical science basis. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 446:727–728. https:// doi.org/10.1038/446727a
- IPPC. 2007. Mitigation of climate change: contribution of working group III to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*
- Kampschreur MJ, Temmink H, Kleerebezem R, Jetten MSM, van Loosdrecht MCM (2009) Nitrous oxide emission during wastewater treatment. Water Res 43(17):4093–4103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. watres.2009.03.001
- Minamikawa K, Tokida T, Sudo S, Padre A, Yagi K (2015) Guidelines for measuring methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies by a manually operated closed chamber method. National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan
- Liu G, Yu H, Ma J, Xu H, Wu Q, Yang J, Zhuang Y (2015) Effects of straw incorporation along with microbial inoculant on methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice fields. Sci Total Environ 518–519: 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.028
- Markwell J, Osterman JC, Mitchell JL (1995) Calibration of the Minolta SPAD-502 leaf chlorophyll meter. Photosynth Res 46(3):467–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00032301
- Masto RE, Chhonkar PK, Singh D, Patra AK (2009) Changes in soil quality indicators under long-term sewage irrigation in a subtropical environment. Environ Geol 56(6):1237–1243. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00254-008-1223-2
- Meijide, A, C Gruening, I Goded, G Seufert, and A Cescatti. 2016. Water management reduces greenhouse gas emissions in a Mediterranean rice paddy fi eld. "Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment" 238: 168–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.08.017
- Muramatsu A, Ito H, Sasaki A, Kajihara A, Watanabe T (2015) Cultivation of rice for animal feed with circulated irrigation of treated municipal wastewater for enhanced nitrogen removal: comparison of cultivation systems feeding irrigation water upward and downward. Water Sci Technol 72(4):579–584. https://doi.org/10. 2166/wst.2015.251
- Ndour NYB, Baudoin E, Guissé A, Seck M, Khouma M, Brauman A (2008) Impact of irrigation water quality on soil nitrifying and total bacterial communities. Biol Fertil Soils 44(5):797–803. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00374-008-0285-3

- Nyomora, Agnes MS. 2015. Effect of treated domestic wastewater as source of irrigation water and nutrients on rice performance in Morogoro, Tanzania. J Environ Waste Manag, no. 2
- Pham DD, Cai K, Phung LD, Kaku N, Sasaki A (2019) Rice cultivation without synthetic fertilizers and performance of microbial fuel cells (MFCs) under continuous irrigation with treated wastewater. Water (Switzerland) 11(1516):1–15
- Pham DD, Kurashima S, Kaku N, Sasaki A, Jian P, Watanabe T (2017) Bottom-to-top continuous irrigation of treated municipal wastewater for effective nitrogen removal and high quality rice for animal feeding. Water Sci Technol Water Supply 18(4):1183–1195. https://doi. org/10.2166/ws.2017.190
- Pham, Dong Duy, and Toru Watanabe. 2017. Municipal wastewater irrigation for rice cultivation. Edited by Suren Kulshreshthat and Amin Elshorbagy. Current Perspective on Irrigation and Drainage. 1st ed. Legal Deposit, Croatia: Intech. https://doi.org/10.5772/711
- Riya S, Muroi Y, Kamimura M, Zhou S, Terada A, Kobara Y, Hosomi M (2015) Mitigation of CH4 and N2O emissions from a forage rice field fertilized with aerated liquid fraction of cattle slurry by optimizing water management and topdressing. Ecol Eng 75:24–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.11.034
- Rogan N, Serafimovski T, Dolenec M, Tasev G, Dolenec T (2009) Heavy metal contamination of paddy soils and rice (Oryza Sativa L.) from Kocani field (Macedonia). Environ Geochem Health 31(4):439– 451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-008-9197-2
- Singh A, Dubey SK (2012) Temporal variation in methanogenic community structure and methane production potential of tropical rice ecosystem. Soil Biol Biochem 48:162–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2012.01.022
- Thu, Nguyen Ngoc. 2001. Urbanization and wastewater reuse in periurban areas: a case study in Thanh Tri district, Hanoi City. IWMI Working Paper, no 30: 16–17. http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/ Publications/Working Papers/working/WOR30.pdf
- Wang, Chun, Derrick Y. F. Lai, Jordi Sardans, Weiqi Wang, Congsheng Zeng, and Josep Peñuelas. 2017. Factors related with CH4 and N2O emissions from a paddy field: clues for management implications. PLoS One 12 (1): e0169254. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0169254
- Watanabe T, Mashiko T, Maftukhah R, Kaku N, Pham DD, Ito H (2016) Nitrogen removal and power generation from treated municipal wastewater by its circulated irrigation for resource-saving rice cultivation. Water Sci Technol 75(4):898–907. https://doi.org/10.2166/ wst.2016.572
- Weller S, Kraus D, Ayag KRP, Wassmann R, Alberto MCR, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R (2014) Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice and maize production in diversified rice cropping systems. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 101(1):37–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-014-9658-1
- Win KT, Nonaka R, Win AT, Yu S, Toyota K, Motobayashi T (2013) Effects of water saving irrigation and rice variety on greenhouse gas emissions and water use efficiency in a paddy field fertilized with anaerobically digested pig slurry. Paddy Water Environ 13(1):51– 60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-013-0406-y
- Xu S, Hou P, Xue L, Wang S, Yang L (2017) Treated domestic sewage irrigation significantly decreased the CH4, N2O and NH3 emissions from paddy fields with straw incorporation. Atmos Environ 169:1– 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.009
- Yang QW, Lan CY, Wang HB, Zhuang P, Shu WS (2006) Cadmium in soil-rice system and health risk associated with the use of untreated mining wastewater for irrigation in Lechang, China. Agric Water Manag 84(1–2):147–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2006.01. 005
- Yang S, Peng S, Xu J, Luo Y, Li D (2012) Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from paddy field as affected by water-saving irrigation. Phys Chem Earth 53–54:30–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2011. 08.020

- Yoon CG, Kwun SK, Ham JH (2001) Effects of treated sewage irrigation on paddy rice culture and its soil. Irrig Drain 50(3):227–236. https:// doi.org/10.1002/ird.27
- Zou J, Huang Y, Jiang J, Zheng X, Sass RL (2005) A 3-year field measurement of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer application. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 19(2):1–9. https://doi.org/10. 1029/2004GB002401
- Zou J, Liu S, Qin Y, Pan G, Zhu D (2009) Sewage irrigation increased methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in Southeast China. Agric Ecosyst Environ 129(4):516–522. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.agee.2008.11.006

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.