SHORT RESEARCH AND DISCUSSION ARTICLE



Relationship between energy demand, financial development, and carbon emissions in a panel of 101 countries: "go the extra mile" for sustainable development

Xuan Vinh Vo¹ • Khalid Zaman^{2,3}

Received: 29 March 2020 / Accepted: 16 April 2020 / Published online: 30 April 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

The objective of the study is to examine the impact of energy demand on carbon emissions in mediation of financial development and economic growth in a panel of 101 countries by using the time series data from 1995 to 2018. The study employed dynamic GMM estimator in order to reduce possible endogeneity in the given model. Further, the study used Granger causality and innovation accounting matrix (IAM) to find the causal relationships and variance error shocks between the variables. The results show that energy demand and FDI inflows increase carbon emissions, while financial development decreases carbon emissions across countries. Moreover, the results confirmed the inverted U-shaped relationship between income and emissions with a turning point of US\$43,500. Among 101 countries, only 13 countries hold environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis as their per capita income surpassed the stated turning point, while the remaining countries exhibit "race to the bottom" hypothesis. The feedback relationship is established between (i) income and carbon emissions, (ii) money supply and carbon emissions, and (iii) FDI inflows and energy demand across countries, whereas one-way linkages found in (i) carbon emissions to money supply, (ii) energy demand to money supply, (iii) money supply to FDI inflows and income, and (iv) energy demand to income across countries. The IAM analysis shows that energy demand, FDI inflows, and money supply will likely to increase carbon emissions, while money supply will decrease carbon emissions over a time horizon.

Keywords Carbon emissions · Energy demand · Financial development · Economic growth · FDI inflows · GMM estimator

Introduction

The balance between energy demand and carbon emissions is highly desirable in order to reduce negative environmental externalities in the form of exacerbation of GHG emissions and climate change that affect countries' sustainable development projects (Sarkodie and Strezov 2019, Anser et al. 2020,

Responsible Editor: Nicholas Apergis

Khalid Zaman khalid_zaman786@yahoo.com

- ¹ Institute of Business Research and CFVG Ho Chi Minh City, University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, 59C Nguyen Dinh Chieu Street, District 3, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam
- ² Institute of Business Research, University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, 59C Nguyen Dinh Chieu Street, District 3, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam
- ³ Department of Economics, University of Wah, Quaid Avenue, Wah Cantt, Pakistan

etc.). The need of global renewable energy demand is increasing due to its greening effects on environment (Dietzenbacher et al. 2020, Chu and Hawkes 2020, Naz et al. 2019, etc.). Financial development is another important factor that would be helpful to reduce carbon emissions through financing in the eco-friendly production and technologies (Saud et al. 2020, Anton and Nucu 2020, Chen et al. 2019, etc.). Table 1 shows the current literature on energy and carbon emissions across countries.

Earlier literature widely discussed the different scenarios of EKC hypothesis, especially, Taguchi (2013) and Rasli et al. (2018) that are considered the main proponents, which presented the divergent views of EKC, including "new toxics pollutants," "race to the bottom hypothesis," "conventional EKC hypothesis," and "revised EKC hypothesis." The "conventional EKC hypothesis" stated that income is the main predictor that influenced the level of emissions, as higher income level would surpassed after some times to be helpful to reduce emissions intensity; however, it required more policy-oriented mechanism to limit emissions. Carbon pricing is the

| Authors | Country | Time period | Results |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Akram et al. (2020) | 66 countries | 1990–2014 | EEF↑CO2↓ |
| | | | RE↑CO2↓ |
| | | | NE↑CO2↓ |
| Shaheen et al. (2020) | Pakistan | 1972-2014 | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EG↑CO2↑ |
| Mukhtarov et al. (2020) | Kazakhstan | 1993–2014 | FD↑EC↑ |
| | | | EG↑EC↑ |
| | | | $\mathrm{EP}\uparrow\mathrm{EC}\downarrow$ |
| Saud et al. (2020) | 49 countries | 1990–2014 | FD↑EF↑ |
| | | | GLOB↑EF↓ |
| Ehigiamusoe et al. (2020) | 64 countries | 1990–2014 | EC↑CO2↑ |
| Mahi et al. (2020) | ASEAN-5 countries | 1980–2017 | EC↑CO2↑ |
| Shahbaz et al. (2020) | UAE | 1975–2014 | FD↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EG↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EC↑CO2↓ |
| | | | GLOB↑CO2↓ |
| Saint Akadiri et al. (2020) | Turkey | 1970–2014 | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EG↑CO2↑ |
| Awodumi and Adewuyi (2020) | Selected African countries | 1980–2015 | NREC↑CO2↑ |
| Rahman et al. (2020) | Lithuania | 1989–2018 | $EG \rightarrow CO2$ |
| | | | TOP→CO2 |
| Wasti and Zaidi (2020) | Kuwait | 1971-2017 | EC↑EG↑ |
| | | | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | CO2⇔EC |
| Magazzino et al. (2020) | South Africa | 1965–2017 | EG⇔CO2 |
| | | | EG↔CC |
| Khattak et al. (2020) | BRICS | 1980–2016 | INOV↔CO2 |
| | | | INOV↔EG |
| Przychodzen and Przychodzen (2020) | 27 countries | 1990–2014 | EG↑RE↑ |
| | | | RE↑CO2↓ |

EEF energy efficiency, *EC* energy consumption, *EP* energy prices, *EG* economic growth, *RE* renewable energy, *EF* ecological footprints, *CO2* carbon emissions, *INOV* innovation, *TOP* trade openness, *NREC* non-renewable energy consumption, *GLOB* globalization, *FD* financial development; \uparrow shows increasing, \downarrow shows decreasing, \rightarrow shows one-way linkage, and \leftrightarrow shows two-way linkages

optimistic solution to achieve this target, and it benefited from generating extra revenues from carbon tax to spend on environmental conservation (Nassani et al. 2019). The parabola relationship could be found between income and emissions by adopting strict environmental regulations in the given hypothesis (Stern 2004). The second divergent view of EKC hypothesis is the emergence of "new toxic pollutants" phenomenon, as high-tech industrial production increases unregulated pollutants along with an increase in country's income that never could be stopped until and unless the countries could signed on some environmental treaties to be conserved the natural environmental through regulated production (Karsch 2019). The "race to the bottom hypothesis" presented

another divergent view of EKC, which provoked that higher income of the country leads to increase pollution intensity at certain point interval, which becomes constantly increasing after doubling the income. Thus, an ease of environmental regulations shifts the pollution base from developed to developing countries that exacerbate the pollution level. Thus, the need of initiating the environmental certifications is highly desirable for limiting the pollution shifts from developed to developing countries (Dinda 2004). The "revised EKC hypothesis" shows that country's environmental reforms restrain income and pollution level due to growing public concern about environmental regulations, which although show a parabola relationship between the two variables; however, it is

Table 1
 Current literature on energy-finance-environment nexus

lower than the "conventional EKC" (Yao et al. 2019). Table 2 shows the energy-finance embodied EKC hypothesis across countries.

The present study has some novel contribution in the existing literature to distinguish it with the other studies, i.e., broad money supply is used as a financial development indicator in the study that is important in order to analyzed the financial deepening of the financial sector, while the previous studies largely used "domestic credit to private sector" as a financial instrument in order to observe the financial depth of the capital market. Further, energy demand is included in between financial indicator and carbon emissions as a mediator to get insights about the financial deepening effect on energy sector to reduce carbon abatement costs. Both the FDI inflows

Table 2 Current literature on
energy-finance embodied EKC
hypothesis

| Authors | Time period | Country | Results |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Farhani and Balsalobre-Lorente (2020) | 1965–2017 | China, India, and the USA | $EC_{c}\Psi CO2_{c}$ |
| | | | $EG_{I,US}\Omega CO2$ |
| Aziz et al. (2020) | 1990-2018 | Pakistan | REC↑EF↓ |
| | | | EGΩEF |
| Dogan and Inglesi-Lotz (2020) | 1980–2014 | 7 countries | EGΩCO2 |
| | | | $IND\Psi CO2$ |
| Danish et al. (2020) | 1992-2016 | BRICS countries | REC↑EF↓ |
| | | | EGΩEF |
| Sarkodie and Ozturk (2020) | 1971–2013 | Kenya | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EGΩCO2 |
| Raza et al. (2020) | 1990-2015 | 16 countries | ΕСΩСО2 |
| Ike et al. (2020) | 1980–2010 | 15 countries | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EGΩCO2 |
| | | | TOP↑CO2↑ |
| | | | OP↑CO2↑ |
| Chen and Taylor (2020) | Historic data | Singapore | ΗΜΩCO2 |
| Altıntaş and Kassouri (2020) | 1990–2014 | 14 countries | EGΩEF |
| Ullah and Khan (2020) | 1972–2014 | Pakistan | CRΩCO2 |
| | | | MCH↑CO2↑ |
| Jin and Kim (2020) | 1990-2016 | 34 countries | EGЙCO2 |
| Badulescu et al. (2020) | 1995–2013 | 28 countries | EGΩCO2 |
| Destek and Sinha (2020) | 1980–2014 | 24 countries | REC↑CO2↓ |
| | | | EGЙCO2 |
| Gulistan et al. (2020) | 1995-2017 | 112 countries | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | $EG\Omega CO2$ |
| Gill et al. (2019) | 1970-2016 | Malaysia | FDΩCO2 |
| | | | FD↑CO2↓ |
| Phong (2019) | 1971-2014 | 5 countries | FD↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EC↑CO2↑ |
| | | | $EG\Omega CO2$ |
| Bass et al. (2019) | 1990–2016 | Russia | FD↑CO2↑ |
| | | | $EG\Omega CO2$ |
| Rahman et al. (2020) | 1970–2016 | Pakistan | FD↑CO2↓ |
| | | | EGЙCO2 |
| Saud et al. (2019) | 1980–2016 | 18 countries | FD↑CO2↑ |
| | | | EGΩCO2 |

 EC_c Chinese energy consumption, REC renewable energy consumption, EF ecological footprints, IND industry value added, EC energy consumption, $EG_{I,US}$ India-USA economic growth, EG economic growth, REC renewable energy, $CO2_c$ Chinese carbon emissions, CO2 carbon emissions, TOP trade openness, FD financial development, HM heavy metal, MCH machinery, OP oil prices; \uparrow shows increasing, \downarrow shows decreasing, Ψ shows U-shaped, Ω shows inverted U-shaped, and \check{H} shows no EKC hold

Table 3 List of variables and descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics

| 23359 | 9 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

| ation Skewness Kurtosis |
|-------------------------|
| 3.371 18.948 |
| 1.857 7.556 |
| 2.486 11.240 |
| 2.878 32.063 |
| 2.217 7.573 |
| 11 |

Source: World Bank (2019)

and economic growth simultaneous be used in the given nexus to substantiate "pollution haven" hypothesis and EKC hypothesis, respectively, under the capital market gains. Thus, these motivations bring to make this study more pragmatic and policy oriented. The main questions that also evolved in the key prescribed variables that used in this study are as follows:

- To what extent financial market capitalize green energy projects to limit carbon emissions?
- Does FDI inflows would be helpful to reduce carbon emissions through cleaner production technologies?
- Whether continued economic growth improves environmental quality under green financing projects?

These questions would be highly needed to explore the given nexus for sustainable development across countries. The objectives of the study are as follows:

- To examine the impact of financial development, energy demand, and economic growth on carbon emissions across countries
- To evaluate the EKC hypothesis and "pollution haven" hypothesis under financial development indicator
- iii) To analyze the causal relationship and variance error shocks among the variables

These objectives have been set to analyze the dynamic linkages among the studied variables at level and over a time horizon, which would give more insights into the futureoriented action plans towards reaching environmental sustainable goals at global scale.

Material, methods, results, and discussion

Table 3 shows the list of variables and their descriptive statistics for ready reference. The carbon emissions served as a "criterion" variable, while money supply, FDI inflows, energy demand, and GDP per capita served as predictors of the response variable. The maximum carbon emissions value is about 70.042 metric tons during the period of 1990–2018. The average growth in the money supply, on average, is far higher than the FDI inflows during the stipulated time period, which are about 57.45% relative to GDP in money supply and 3.64% in FDI inflows across countries. The maximum value of per capita income and energy demand reached US\$92077.57 and 160.06 kg of oil equivalent, respectively.

The data of the candidate variables of 101 countries for a period of 1995–2018 are taken from World Bank (2019) data set. Table 4 shows the list of the sample countries for ready reference.

The study followed the Stern (2004) reduced form of equations where emissions are subject to be changed through increase in income at its second degree. The empirical equation of the study is further extended with the recent scholarly work of Yue et al. (2020), Nizam et al. (2020), and Khan et al. (2019) and illustrated in a schematic manner, i.e.:

$$CO2_{i,l} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 BMS_{i,l} + \alpha_2 ENU_{i,l} + \alpha_3 FDI_{i,l} + \alpha_4 GDPpc_{i,l} + \alpha_5 SQGDPpc_{i,l} + \varepsilon_{i,l}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial BMS}{\partial CO2} < 0, \frac{\partial ENU}{\partial CO2} > 0, \frac{\partial FDI}{\partial CO2} > 0, \frac{\partial GDPpc}{\partial CO2} > 0, \frac{\partial GDPpc}{\partial CO2} < 0 EKC = \frac{\alpha_4}{-2\alpha_5}$$
(1)

where CO2 shows carbon emissions, BMS shows broad money supply, ENU shows energy use, FDI shows FDI inflows, GDPpc shows per capita GDP, SQGDPpc shows square of GDPpc, and shows error term.

There are number of alternative panel statistical measures available in order to get sound inferences of the parameter of interests, not limited to the following, i.e., panel least squares regression, panel fixed effect versus random effect models, panel cointegration techniques, and panel instrumental variables techniques. The instrumental variable techniques have a distinct position among the rest of the methods, as it handles possible endogeneity issues among the regressors, for instance, two-stage least squares (2SLS) regression, 3SLS, and GMM estimator. These are the estimators used in different situations where the endogeneity issues become a major concern; hence, system and differenced GMM estimators are considered more reliable techniques where the number of crosssections is larger than the variables of interest to get robust inferences. Thus, the study employed dynamic GMM

| Table 4 List of sample countries | Sample countries (101) | "Albania, Algeria, Angola, Argentina, Armenia, Australia, Bahrain, Bangladesh, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Benin, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Brune Darussalam, Bulgaria, Cambodia, Cameroon, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Congo Dem Republic, Costa Rica, Cote d'Ivoire, Croatia, Czech Republic, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gabon, Georgia, Ghana, Guatemala, Haiti, Hungary, Iceland, India, Indonesia, Iran, Jamaica, Japan, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Korea, Kuwait, Kyrgyz Republic, Lebanon, Malaysia, Mauritius, Mexico, Moldova, Mongolia, Morocco, Mozambique, Myanmar, Namibia, Nepal, New Zealand, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Qatar, Romania, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Singapore, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Suriname, Sweden, Switzerland, Tajikistan, Tanzania, Thailand, Togo, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Ukraine, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, United States, Uruguay, Venezuela RB, Vietnam, Zambia, Zimbabwe" |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|
|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|

estimator proposed by Arellano-Bond differenced estimator that gives robust inferences by minimizing possible endogeneity from the given data set. The endogeneity is handled by incorporating the first lagged of the criterion variable and predictor variables. The Hansen J statistics and instrumental rank checked the instrumental validity in the given model. Equation (2) shows the Arellano-Bond illustration as follows:

$$CO2_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + \alpha 1 CO2_{i,t} + \alpha_2 BMS_{i,t} + \alpha_3 ENU_{i,t} + \alpha_4 FDI_{i,t} + \alpha_5 GDPpc_{i,t} + \alpha_6 SQGDPpc_{i,t} + z_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(2)

where z shows instrumental variables.

Table 5 shows the differenced GMM estimates of the given variables. The results show that the first lagged of the criterion variable has a positive sign that implies divergence in the carbon emissions data, which need sustainable policy instruments to mitigate carbon emissions across countries. The negative relationship is found between money supply and carbon emissions, which implies that financial development substantially decreases carbon emissions through initiating investment in the green financing projects (Hoque et al. 2019, Rani 2020, etc.), whereas the positive relationship found between energy demand and carbon emissions that provoked the viability of energy associated emissions, which could be reduced by shifting towards non-renewable to renewable energy

fuels at global scale (Destek and Sinha 2020, Kabel and Bassim 2020, etc.). The emission intensity is increasing through financial liberalization policies, which substantiate "pollution haven" hypothesis in a panel of selected countries. The need of strict environmental regulations and use of cleaner production technologies would be the sustainable instruments for limiting dirty polluting industries at global scale (Dou and Han 2019, Shao et al. 2019, etc.).

The positive relationship exerts between GDPpc and carbon emissions at initial level, while it becomes negative relationship at its second degree coefficient value which tends to exhibit the inverted U-shaped relationship between them to support EKC hypothesis. The turning point of US\$43,500 is required to achieve environmental sustainability agenda in the selected sample of the countries. On the basis of EKC turning point, only 13 countries are qualified to reduce carbon emissions through continued economic growth, which surpass the threshold level of US\$43,500. Table 6 shows the qualified list of countries that could achieve EKC hypothesis through increasing their GDP per capita. The 13 countries listed in the given table show that the countries have an ability to achieve EKC hypothesis as their GDP per capita falls in the EKC threshold, while 88 countries have not yet achieved EKC hypothesis as their income level is far less than the threshold level. Thus, the "race to the bottom" hypothesis would be visible in the remaining countries.

| Dependent variable: ln(CO2) _t | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Variables (CO2) _{t-1} | Coefficient 0.609 | Standard error 2.82E-05 | Prob. value 0.000 | Statistical tests | |
| (BMS) _t | -0.021 | 1.18E-05 | 0.000 | J statistic: 99.074 | |
| (ENU) _t | 0.004 | 7.37E-06 | 0.000 | Prob. J statistic: 0.405 | |
| (FDI) _t | 0.016 | 3.07E-05 | 0.000 | Instrumental rank: 103 | |
| (GDPpc) ^t | 0.0003 | 1.54E-07 | 0.000 | AR(1)-t-statistics: -2.629* | |
| (SQGDPpc)t | -3.45E-09 | 1.29E-12 | 0.000 | AR(2)-rho: -155.817 | |
| EKC turning point | -GDPpc/2(SQC | GDPPC) = \$43,500 | | | |

* indicates 1% significance level

 Table 5
 Differenced GMM

 estimates

Table 6 EKC and "race to thebottom" hypotheses hold in thegiven sample of countries

| Sample countries (101) | "Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Iceland, Japan, Kuwait, Norway, Qatar, Singapore, Sweden, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom, and United States" | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Eligible countries that may achieve EKC hypothesis (13) | 13 countries hold EKC hypothesis | | |
| Countries that could not be achieved EKC hypothesis (88) | 88 countries hold "race to the bottom" hypothesis | | |

Source: Authors estimation

Table 7 shows the estimates of VAR Granger causality, IRF, and VDA for ready reference. The results confirmed the feedback relationship between GDPpc and carbon emissions and FDI inflows and energy demand while the unidirectional causality running from carbon emissions to money supply, energy demand to money supply, money supply to FDI inflows and GDP per capita, and energy demand to GDP per capita. The results supported carbon-led finance, energy-led finance, finance-led growth, and energy-led growth hypothesis across countries.

The IRF estimates show that energy demand, FDI inflows, and GDP per capita will likely to increase carbon emissions, whereas money supply will decrease carbon emissions for the next 10-year time period. The forecast variance error shocks show that income will exert a greater magnitude in terms of influencing carbon emissions, while money supply will exert a least influenced to carbon emissions over a time horizon.

Conclusion and policy implications

The environmental sustainability agenda is highly provoked in the academic and research literature, which need sustainable policy instruments to mitigate carbon emissions across countries. This study focused on energy demand and carbon emissions in the mediation of financial development and economic growth in a diversified panel of countries, and it is utilized in the long-term time series data from 1995 to 2018 to obtain robust inferences. The results confirmed the EKC hypothesis, energy associated emissions, and "pollution haven" hypothesis across countries. The bidirectional causality found between income and carbon emissions and energy and FDI inflows, while unidirectional relationship is running from carbon emissions to financial development, financial development to FDI inflows and income, and energy demand to income across countries. The variance error shocks show that country's income will exert a greater magnitude, while money supply will be least influence to carbon emissions for the next 10-year time period. The following policy implications are proposed to conserve global environment, i.e.:

- Financial development may played a vital role to improve environmental quality through initiated green financing projects, for instance, investment in the renewable energy sources, alternative energy fuels, startups sustainable projects, and to get equipped with efficient machinery for eco-friendly production.
- Carbon pricing mechanism should be introduced in order to minimize the production of air pollutants, while at the same time the revenue generated from carbon tax would be spend for eco-friendly production, growing more plants, efficient waste recycling process, and carbon abatement cost. Thus, the advancement in the cleaner production process is key for achieving global environmental sustainability agenda.
- iii) High need for environmental regulation to conserve natural environment is imperative for long-term sustainable development. The efforts should be made to limiting the use of chemicals that affect ozone layer and introduced emissions – cap trading programs for controlling emissions.

 Table 7
 VAR Granger causality and IAM estimates

| VAR Granger causality | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| GDPpc↔CO2 | $CO2 \rightarrow BMS$ | $ENU \rightarrow BMS$ | FDI↔ENU | $\mathrm{BMS} \mathop{\rightarrow} \mathrm{FDI}$ | $BMS \rightarrow GDPpc$ |
| $ENU \rightarrow GDPpc$ | | | | | |
| Innovation accounting matrix: IRF estimates | | | | | |
| Forecast relationship (2019-2028) | BMS↑CO2↓ | ENU↑CO2↑ | FDI↑CO2↑ | GDPpc↑CO2↑ | |
| VDA estimates | | | | | |
| Forecast variance error shocks (2019–2028) | GDPpc will have a greater magnitude of 0.891% that influenced CO2 emissions | | BMS will exert a least influenced on CO2 emissions for the next 10-year time period | | |

These policy implications are highly important for governing environmental laws to protect natural environment.

References

- Akram R, Chen F, Khalid F, Ye Z, Majeed MT (2020) Heterogeneous effects of energy efficiency and renewable energy on carbon emissions: evidence from developing countries. J Clean Prod 247: 119122
- Altıntaş H, Kassouri Y (2020) Is the environmental Kuznets curve in Europe related to the per-capita ecological footprint or CO2 emissions? Ecol Indic 113:106187
- Anser MK, Yousaf Z, Zaman K, Nassani AA, Alotaibi SM, Jambari H, Khan A, Kabbani A (2020) Determination of resource curse hypothesis in mediation of financial development and clean energy sources: go-for-green resource policies. Res Policy 66:101640
- Anton SG, Nucu AEA (2020) The effect of financial development on renewable energy consumption. A panel data approach. Renew Energy 147:330–338
- Awodumi OB, Adewuyi AO (2020) The role of non-renewable energy consumption in economic growth and carbon emission: evidence from oil producing economies in Africa. Energy Strateg Rev 27: 100434
- Aziz N, Sharif A, Raza A, Rong K (2020) Revisiting the role of forestry, agriculture, and renewable energy in testing environment Kuznets curve in Pakistan: evidence from Quantile ARDL approach. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:10115–10128
- Badulescu D, Badulescu A, Simut R, Bac D, Iancu EA, Iancu N (2020) Exploring environmental Kuznets curve. An investigation on EU economies. Technol Econ Dev Econ 26(1):1–20
- Bass A, Burakov D, Freidin M (2019) Does financial development matter for environmental Kuznets curve in Russia? Evidence from the autoregressive distributed lag bounds test approach. Int J Energy Econ Policy 9(4):334–341
- Chen Q, Taylor D (2020) Economic development and pollution emissions in Singapore: evidence in support of the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis and its implications for regional sustainability. J Clean Prod 243:118637
- Chen S, Saleem N, Bari MW (2019) Financial development and its moderating role in environmental Kuznets curve: evidence from Pakistan. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(19):19305–19319
- Chu CT, Hawkes AD (2020) A geographic information system-based global variable renewable potential assessment using spatially resolved simulation. Energy 193:116630
- Danish, Ulucak R, Khan SUD (2020) Determinants of the ecological footprint: role of renewable energy, natural resources, and urbanization. Sustain Cities Soc 54:101996
- Destek MA, Sinha A (2020) Renewable, non-renewable energy consumption, economic growth, trade openness and ecological footprint: evidence from organisation for economic co-operation and development countries. J Clean Prod 242:118537
- Dietzenbacher E, Kulionis V, Capurro F (2020) Measuring the effects of energy transition: a structural decomposition analysis of the change in renewable energy use between 2000 and 2014. Appl Energy 258: 114040
- Dinda S (2004) Environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: a survey. Ecol Econ 49(4):431–455
- Dogan E, Inglesi-Lotz R (2020) The impact of economic structure to the environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis: evidence from European countries. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27:12717–12724
- 🖄 Springer

- Dou J, Han X (2019) How does the industry mobility affect pollution industry transfer in China: empirical test on pollution haven hypothesis and porter hypothesis. J Clean Prod 217:105–115
- Ehigiamusoe KU, Lean HH, Smyth R (2020) The moderating role of energy consumption in the carbon emissions-income nexus in middle-income countries. Appl Energy 261:114215
- Farhani S, Balsalobre-Lorente D (2020) Comparing the role of coal to other energy resources in the environmental Kuznets curve of three large economies. Chin Econ 53(1):82–120
- Gill AR, Hassan S, Haseeb M (2019) Moderating role of financial development in environmental Kuznets: a case study of Malaysia. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(33):34468–34478
- Gulistan A, Tariq YB, Bashir MF (2020) Dynamic relationship among economic growth, energy, trade openness, tourism, and environmental degradation: fresh global evidence. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–11
- Hoque N, Mowla M, Uddin MS, Mamun A, Uddin MR (2019) Green banking practices in Bangladesh: a critical investigation. Int J Econ Financ 11(3):58–68
- Ike GN, Usman O, Sarkodie SA (2020) Testing the role of oil production in the environmental Kuznets curve of oil producing countries: new insights from method of moments quantile regression. Sci Total Environ 711:135208
- Jin T, Kim J (2020) Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve for annex I countries using heterogeneous panel data analysis. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–16
- Kabel TS, Bassim M (2020) Reasons for shifting and barriers to renewable energy: a. Int J Energy Econ Policy 10(2):89–94
- Karsch NM (2019) Examining the validity of the environmental Kuznets curve. Consilience 21:32–50
- Khan KA, Zaman K, Shoukry AM, Sharkawy A, Gani S, Ahmad J et al (2019) Natural disasters and economic losses: controlling external migration, energy and environmental resources, water demand, and financial development for global prosperity. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(14):14287–14299
- Khattak SI, Ahmad M, Khan ZU, Khan A (2020) Exploring the impact of innovation, renewable energy consumption, and income on CO2 emissions: new evidence from the BRICS economies. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–16
- Magazzino C, Bekun FV, Etokakpan MU, Uzuner G (2020) Modeling the dynamic Nexus among coal consumption, pollutant emissions and real income: empirical evidence from South Africa. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–11
- Mahi M, Phoong SW, Ismail I, Isa CR (2020) Energy–finance–growth nexus in ASEAN-5 countries: an ARDL bounds test approach. *Sustainability 12*(1):article ID: 5
- Mukhtarov S, Humbatova S, Seyfullayev I, Kalbiyev Y (2020) The effect of financial development on energy consumption in the case of Kazakhstan. J Appl Econ 23(1):75–88
- Nassani AA, Awan U, Zaman K, Hyder S, Aldakhil AM, Abro MMQ (2019) Management of natural resources and material pricing: global evidence. Res Policy 64:101500
- Naz S, Sultan R, Zaman K, Aldakhil AM, Nassani AA, Abro MMQ (2019) Moderating and mediating role of renewable energy consumption, FDI inflows, and economic growth on carbon dioxide emissions: evidence from robust least square estimator. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(3):2806–2819
- Nizam HA, Zaman K, Khan KB, Batool R, Khurshid MA, Shoukry AM et al (2020) Achieving environmental sustainability through information technology:"digital Pakistan" initiative for green development. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–16
- Phong LH (2019) Globalization, financial development, and environmental degradation in the presence of environmental Kuznets curve: evidence from ASEAN-5 countries. Int J Energy Econ Policy 9(2): 40–50

- Przychodzen W, Przychodzen J (2020) Determinants of renewable energy production in transition economies: a panel data approach. Energy 191:116583
- Rahman HU, Ghazali A, Bhatti GA, Khan SU (2020) Role of economic growth, financial development, trade, energy and FDI in environmental Kuznets curve for Lithuania: evidence from ARDL bounds testing approach. Eng Econ 31(1):39–49
- Rani L (2020) Green banking for sustainable development: initiatives by SBI & ICICI. Our Heritage 68(30):12271–12283
- Rasli AM, Qureshi MI, Isah-Chikaji A, Zaman K, Ahmad M (2018) New toxics, race to the bottom and revised environmental Kuznets curve: the case of local and global pollutants. Renew Sust Energ Rev 81: 3120–3130
- Raza SA, Shah N, Khan KA (2020) Residential energy environmental Kuznets curve in emerging economies: the role of economic growth, renewable energy consumption, and financial development. Environ Sci Pollut Res 27(5):5620–5629
- Saint Akadiri S, Alola AA, Olasehinde-Williams G, Etokakpan MU (2020) The role of electricity consumption, globalization and economic growth in carbon dioxide emissions and its implications for environmental sustainability targets. Sci Total Environ 708:134653
- Sarkodie SA, Ozturk I (2020) Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in Kenya: a multivariate analysis. Renew Sust Energ Rev 117:109481
- Sarkodie SA, Strezov V (2019) Effect of foreign direct investments, economic development and energy consumption on greenhouse gas emissions in developing countries. Sci Total Environ 646:862–871
- Saud S, Chen S, Haseeb A, Khan K, Imran M (2019) The nexus between financial development, income level, and environment in central and eastern European countries: a perspective on belt and road initiative. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(16):16053–16075
- Saud S, Chen S, Haseeb A (2020) The role of financial development and globalization in the environment: accounting ecological footprint

indicators for selected one-belt-one-road initiative countries. J Clean Prod 250:119518

- Shahbaz M, Haouas I, Sohag K, Ozturk I (2020) The financial development-environmental degradation nexus in the United Arab Emirates: the importance of growth, globalization and structural breaks. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–15
- Shaheen A, Sheng J, Arshad S, Salam S, Hafeez M (2020) The dynamic linkage between income, energy consumption, urbanization and carbon emissions in Pakistan. Pol J Environ Stud 29(1):267–276
- Shao Q, Wang X, Zhou Q, Balogh L (2019) Pollution haven hypothesis revisited: a comparison of the BRICS and MINT countries based on VECM approach. J Clean Prod 227:724–738
- Stern DI (2004) The rise and fall of the environmental Kuznets curve. World Dev 32(8):1419–1439
- Taguchi H (2013) The environmental Kuznets curve in Asia: the case of sulphur and carbon emissions. Asia Pac Dev J 19(2):77–92
- Ullah A, Khan D (2020) Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in the presence of green revolution: a cointegration analysis for Pakistan. Environ Sci Pollut Res:1–17
- Wasti SKA, Zaidi SW (2020) An empirical investigation between CO2 emission, energy consumption, trade liberalization and economic growth: a case of Kuwait. J Build Eng 28:101104
- World Bank (2019) World development indicators. World Bank, Washington D.C
- Yao S, Zhang S, Zhang X (2019) Renewable energy, carbon emission and economic growth: a revised environmental Kuznets curve perspective. J Clean Prod 235:1338–1352
- Yue S, Munir IU, Hyder S, Nassani AA, Abro MMQ, Zaman K (2020) Sustainable food production, forest biodiversity and mineral pricing: interconnected global issues. Res Policy 65:101583

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.