RESEARCH ARTICLE



Combined effects of carbonaceous-immobilizing agents and subsequent sulphur application on maize phytoextraction efficiency in highly contaminated soil

Stanislava Kroulíková¹ · Sascha Mohnke² · Walter W. Wenzel² · Václav Tejnecký³ · Jiřina Száková¹ · Filip Mercl¹ · Pavel Tlustoš¹

Received: 2 November 2018 / Accepted: 8 May 2019 / Published online: 20 May 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

The establishment of phytoextraction crops on highly contaminated soils can be limited by metal toxicity. A recent proposal has suggested establishing support crops during the critical initial phase by metal immobilization through soil amendments followed by subsequent mobilization using elemental sulphur to enhance phytoextraction efficiency. This 'combined phytoremediation' approach is tested for the first time in a pot experiment with a highly contaminated soil. During a 14-week period, relatively metal-tolerant maize was grown in a greenhouse under immobilization (before sulphur (S) application) and mobilization (after S application) conditions with soil containing Cd, Pb and Zn contaminants. Apart from the control (C) sample, the soil was amended with activated carbon (AC), lignite (Lig) or vermicompost (VC) all in two different doses (dose $1 \sim 45$ g additive kg⁻¹ soil and dose $2 \sim 90$ g additive kg⁻¹ soil). Elemental S was added as a mobilization agent in these samples after 9 weeks. Biomass production, nutrient and metal bioavailability in the soil were determined, along with their uptake by plants and the resulting remediation factors. Before S application, Cd and Zn mobility was reduced in all the AC, Lig and VC treatments, while Pb mobility was increased only in the Lig1 and VC1 treatments. Upon sulphur application, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb and Zn mobility was not significantly affected in the C, AC and VC treatments, nor total Cd, Pb and Zn contents in maize shoots. Increased sulphate, Mn, Cd, Pb and Zn mobilities in soil together with related higher total S, Mn, Pb and Zn contents in shoots were observed in investigated treatments in the last sampling period. The highest biomass production and the lowest metal toxicity were seen in the VC treatments. These results were associated with effective metal immobilization and showed the trend of steady release of some nutrients. The highest remediation factors and total elemental content in maize shoots were recorded in the VC treatments. This increased phytoremediation efficiency by 400% for Cd and by 100% for Zn compared to the control. Considering the extreme metal load of the soil, it might be interesting to use highly metal-tolerant plants in future research. Future investigations could also explore the effect of carbonaceous additives on S oxidation, focusing on the specific microorganisms and redox reactions in the soil. In addition, the homogeneous distribution of the S rate in the soil should be considered, as well as longer observation times.

Keywords Activated carbon · Lignite · Heavy metals · Vermicompost · Zea mays

Responsible editor: Roberto Terzano

Stanislava Kroulíková kroulikova@af.czu.cz

- ¹ Department of Agroenvironmental Chemistry and Plant Nutrition, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague (CULS), Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Prague, Czech Republic
- ² Department of Forest and Soil Sciences, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna (BOKU), Konrad Lorenz Straße 24, 3430 Tulln, Austria
- ³ Department of Soil Science and Soil Protection, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague (CULS), Kamýcká 129, 165 00 Prague, Czech Republic

Introduction

Highly metal(loid)-contaminated soils are a global issue and lead to the poor and irregular development of vegetation or even the total disappearance of plant cover on affected sites (Gray et al. 2006). Although novel, gentle technologies for plant microbe-based bioremediation (phytoremediation) and in situ immobilization using soil amendments have been developed and widely tested on slightly to moderately contaminated soils (Mench et al. 2009; Vangronsveld et al. 2009), there is little information concerning their performance at extremely high, toxic metal levels. Remedial action at such sites faces a number of problems, including enhanced metal leaching from the root zone or deeper soil layers and problems with crop establishment due to metal toxicity (Nagajyoti et al. 2010; Iqbal et al. 2012). In situ immobilization is only a temporary solution; i.e. contaminants still remain in the environment (Wuana and Okieimen 2011).

Recently, a novel soil remediation option combining enhanced phytoextraction with prior in situ immobilization of metals using immobilization agents has been proposed (Iqbal et al. 2012). Initial metal(loid) immobilization helps reduce the metal(loid) mobility and toxicity to plants, as well as leaching metal(loid)s from the root zone and thus facilitates the development of seedlings or cuttings of the metalaccumulating crops, mainly during the critical initial growth stages. Since the immobilizing agents are expected to limit phytoextraction, it has been suggested they support the phytoextraction process by the subsequent controlled addition of metal-mobilizing agents. Easily biodegraded chelating agents, including naturally occurring substances, come to the fore in enhanced phytoextraction (Iqbal et al. 2012; Ali et al. 2013).

Some studies have tested the feasibility of using acidifying compounds such as elemental sulphur (Wang et al. 2007; Iqbal et al. 2012; Amoakwah et al. 2014; Hoefer et al. 2015). The chemical fate of sulphur in soil (oxidation or reduction) depends on many factors, such as the presence of oxygen and its content (Edwards 1998). The application of elemental sulphur induces slow and steady soil acidification, mainly confined to the rhizosphere, which is considered to limit leaching while rendering metal contaminants available to phytoextraction (Iqbal et al. 2012). Under aerobic conditions, this effect is ascribed to the oxidation of sulphur to sulphuric acid by Thiobacillus bacteria domiciled in the soil matrix and thus a subsequent decrease in soil pH through the release of SO_4^{2-} and H⁺ ions (Van Ranst 2006). Under occasional anaerobic conditions, S-oxidizing bacteria may use Mn and Fe oxides as terminal electron acceptors. This leads to a reduction and dissolution of these oxides and greatly contributes to metal desorption (Iqbal et al. 2012). Under anaerobic conditions, S is reduced mainly to hydrogen sulphide and these species can be quickly volatilized, reoxidized to elemental S or precipitated with metals (Edwards 1998). Wenger et al. (2002) described the duration (i.e. a range of 4 weeks to 7 weeks) required for the sulphur-containing amendments to mobilize substantial amounts of the metals investigated. Several authors (Cui et al. 2004; Iqbal et al. 2012; Hoefer et al. 2015; Azeez et al. 2016) have verified the efficiency of elemental sulphur application on metal-tolerant plants, for example maize, sunflower or willow, and confirmed an increased plant uptake of the metals.

Following on from the experiments of Iqbal et al. (2012) and Hoefer et al. (2015), our study tests the feasibility and efficiency of sulphur treatments following immobilization of

Cd, Zn and Pb in a highly contaminated soil. Whereas in previous work immobilization was achieved using oxidic (red mud) and silicatic (gravel sludge) additives, the focus here is on carbonaceous materials, namely activated carbon (Břendová et al. 2016; Zemanová et al. 2017), lignite (Havelcová et al. 2009; Uzinger et al. 2014) and vermicompost (Jadia and Fulekar 2008; Hoehne et al. 2016). The aim is metal stabilization/immobilization via processes such as adsorption, cation exchange, surface complexation and/or transformation on the sorbent surface (Kumpiene et al. 2008; Tica et al. 2011), as well as providing nutrients to support plant growth in the contaminated soil.

The objectives of this research are (i) to test the efficiency of the combined immobilization/mobilization technology (i.e. before and after S application) using relatively metal-tolerant maize in pot conditions and (ii) to investigate the solubility of bioavailable nutrients (P, S) and metals (Mn, Fe, Cd, Pb, Zn), maize growth, element uptake by the maize and the resulting remediation factors and efficiencies of the different treatments.

Materials and methods

Experimental design

The experimental soil was sampled from the topsoil of a Gleyic Fluvisol (FAO 2014), long-term, highly Cd/Pb/Zncontaminated soil (54 mg Cd kg⁻¹, 3305 mg Pb kg⁻¹, 6172 mg Zn kg⁻¹ as pseudo-total concentrations extracted with aqua regia) from the alluvium of the Litavka River. Anthropogenic soil contamination originates from two main sources: metallurgical industry emission outputs and floods of contaminated water from metallurgical setting pits, the barriers of which have repeatedly been damaged (Borůvka and Vácha 2006). The specific characteristics of the experimental soil are given in Vondráčková et al. (2014).

Four kilograms of air-dried and sieved ($\leq 2 \text{ mm}$) soil in 5-L plastic double pots (each inner pot with three holes, 20 cm in diameter and depth) was mixed with nutrients at the rate of 0.1 g N kg⁻¹ (NH₄NO₃), 0.032 g P kg⁻¹ and 0.08 g K kg⁻¹ (both in the form of K₂HPO₄) of soil. After 1 month of plant growth, a supplementary application of nutrient solution containing only nitrogen and phosphorous in the same forms and rates as in the initial application was repeated due to visible deficiencies. Another potassium application was omitted to prevent further cation mobilization. The immobilizing agents (applied in two different doses: dose 1 (~45 g additive per kg of soil) and dose 2 (~90 g additive per kg of soil)), including activated carbon (Erspol Ltd., Czech Republic), lignite (Mibrag GmbH, Germany) and vermicompost (Oekovermes, Germany), were sieved (≤ 2 mm) without any drying and thoroughly mixed with the soil immediately after the first

application of nutrient solution. The agents and characteristics were as follows: activated carbon from coconut shells $(pH_{H_2O} = 7.6, 647 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}, 4.3 \text{ g N kg}^{-1}, 1.6 \text{ g S kg}^{-1}, 8.3 \text{ mg Zn kg}^{-1})$, lignite from sedimented organic substances $(pH_{H_2O} = 3.4, 629 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}, 5.3 \text{ g N kg}^{-1}, 28.7 \text{ g S kg}^{-1}, 2.8 \text{ mg Zn kg}^{-1})$ and vermicompost from horse manure $(pH_{H_2O} = 5.5, 247 \text{ g C kg}^{-1}, 23.3 \text{ g N kg}^{-1}, 11.2 \text{ g S kg}^{-1}, 231 \text{ mg Zn kg}^{-1})$. Total Cd and Pb concentrations of the additives were below the limit of detection (< 0.1 mg kg^{-1} and 2 mg kg^{-1}, respectively).

High-yielding Zea mays (variety Colisee, KWS Saat AG), with a known potential for phytoremediation (Mojiri 2011), was seeded (five individuals per pot) immediately after the initial application of nutrient solution and immobilizing agents. Only 3 maize plants of similar height were left after 10 days of growth. In the vicinity of the roots, 10-cm-long Rhizon samplers (Rhizosphere Research Products, Wageningen, Netherlands) were inserted at a soil depth of 10 cm into individual pots to collect soil pore water (i.e. soil solution).

Nine weeks after sowing, elemental sulphur (Central Chem, Prague, Czech Republic) was applied as a mobilization agent to the soil in all pots at a rate of 0.5 g S kg^{-1} . The S application was very difficult due to its low aqueous solubility. Therefore, a suspension of S and demineralized water was applied via five holes drilled into the uppermost 7 cm of each pot. All soil treatments (untreated control soil with maize plants (C), activated carbon (AC), lignite (Lig) and vermicompost (VC) at dose 1 (~45 g additive per kg of soil) and dose 2 (~90 g additive per kg of soil)) were replicated 9 times for a total of 63 pots. These high rates were necessary to alleviate the extreme Cd, Pb and Zn concentrations of the Litavka soil.

The plants were grown for 14 weeks in an outdoor university department vegetation hall in Prague–Suchdol under natural temperature and light conditions. Plants were harvested at several intervals (always with three replications)—after 9 weeks (before S application), 12 weeks (19 days after S application) and finally 14 weeks (33 days after S application)—and were separated into roots and shoots. Concurrently, soil samples (approximately 30 g of soil taken from the top 10 cm of soil) were collected at 3 weeks, 9 weeks (before S), 12 weeks (19 days after S) and 14 weeks (33 days after S) after planting and soil solutions after 9 weeks (before S) and 12 weeks (19 days after S) using Rhizons. Soil leachates were collected from the bottom of the outer pot after 14 weeks, i.e. 33 days after S application.

Amendments and soil and plant analysis

The pH (EN 13037 1999) of the amendments was measured, and elemental analysis of C, N and S was undertaken using a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 NCS Analyser (Germany).

Total elemental (Cd, Pb, Zn) concentrations in the amendments were determined by neutron activation analysis (Kubešová and Kučera 2012).

Soil material was collected from each pot, air-dried and analysed for pH in a 1:5 (w/v) suspension of soil and 0.01 M CaCl₂ after 1 h of shaking (ISO 10390 2011). Concurrently, the plant-available elements (P, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn) and major inorganic (NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻) and organic (oxalate) soil anions were measured. The measurements were performed after extraction with 0.01 M CaCl₂ (soil:solution ratio 1:10, 6 h shaking; Tlustoš et al. 1994) and determined using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (Varian Vista Pro, Varian, Australia) for elements or by means of an ICS 90 ion chromatograph equipped with IonPac AS14A (Dionex, USA) for anions, respectively. For further details of this method, see Tejnecký et al. (2013). After collection, the soil solutions and leachates were stored in vials, immediately cooled (4 °C) and analysed within 12 h for pH, elemental concentrations and major inorganic and organic anion concentrations using the same procedure as for the soil samples. The soil samples were analysed 3 weeks after maize sowing to determine nutrient and toxic metal bioavailability. To determine dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and N form (total, NO_3^{-} , NH_4^{+}), analysis was undertaken using a segmented flow analyser (Skalar San₊₊ system, Netherlands). Plant-available and exchangeable fractions of selected elements were analysed using ICP-OES (for P, Cd, Pb and Zn) and flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS; Varian SpectrAA-280, Australia; for K, Mg) according to the extraction methods of Tlustoš et al. (1994; plant-available fraction) and of Pierzynski (2000; exchangeable fractions, for P).

All plant materials were harvested and dried in an oven at 60 °C to constant weight on sampling dates (i.e. before S application, 19 days and 33 days after S), and the dry weight was recorded before grinding in a stainless steel mill. The roots were washed in an ultrasonic-assisted bath filled with deionized water to remove any soil particles adhering to the roots (Elmasonic S30, Elma Ultrasonic Technology). The total elemental concentrations (P, S, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn) in plant parts (roots and shoots) were determined by ICP-OES after microwave-assisted high-pressure acid digestion (65% HNO₃:30% H₂O₂ 4:1, Ethos 1, MLS GmbH, Germany). Certified reference material (CTA-OTL-1 oriental tobacco leaves) was used for quality control. The remediation factor (RF, %) was calculated as the ratio between the total metal content in a plant (g per pot) and the total metal content in soil (g per pot) multiplied by 100.

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Statistica 12.0 software (www.statsoft.com). All data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variance (Shapiro–Wilk and

Table 1 Dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved organic nitrogen (DON), nutrient and toxic element bioavailability (mg kg⁻¹, mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM), n = 3) in soil 3 weeks after maize sowing

Treatment	DOC	Total DON	NO_3^-	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	Р	Mg	К	Cd	Pb	Zn
С	71 ± 8a	1621 ± 312a	1542 ± 299a	13.1 ± 1.5a	23 ± 2b	222 ± 35a	317 ± 19b	9.1 ± 1.3a	< 0.2b	379 ± 59a
AC1	$20\pm 4d$	$261\pm43b$	$267\pm37b$	$4.9\pm0.3b$	$26\pm1b$	$128\pm8b$	$158\pm 3\ cd$	$4.3\pm0.3bc$	< 0.2b	$135 \pm 12bc$
AC2	$10 \pm 1d$	$115\pm34b$	$104 \pm 32b$	$2.6\pm0.3b$	$28\pm3b$	$114\pm9b$	$143\pm37d$	$2.4\pm0.5c$	< 0.2b	$62 \pm 17c$
Lig1	$19 \pm 4d$	$146 \pm 2b$	$142 \pm 2b$	$2.3\pm0.4b$	$24 \pm 1b$	$103\pm 6b$	$102\pm8d$	$5.0 \pm 0.3 \text{bc}$	< 0.2b	$240\pm10b$
Lig2	21 ± 2 cd	$59 \pm 16b$	$51 \pm 14b$	$1.9\pm0.2b$	$23 \pm 1b$	$127\pm5b$	$69 \pm 4d$	$3.8\pm0.1\text{bc}$	< 0.2b	$209 \pm 5b$
VC1	$43 \pm 3bc$	$171 \pm 39b$	$161 \pm 43b$	$3.9 \pm 1.5b$	$57\pm8ab$	173 ± 15ab	$276 \pm 34bc$	$5.6\pm0.4b$	< 0.2b	261 ± 16ab
VC2	$55\pm7ab$	$561\pm 3b$	$537\pm7b$	$4.0\pm0.1b$	$86 \pm 19a$	$257\pm24a$	$497\pm48a$	$5.2\pm0.4bc$	$0.3\pm0.02a$	$254 \pm 24ab$

Significant differences among the treatment means at p < 0.05 are indicated with letters. Extraction method: Olsen P, CaCl₂ and the others; detection limit: Pb, 0.2 mg kg⁻¹

C untreated control soil, AC1 activated carbon in dose 1, AC2 activated carbon in dose 2, Lig1 lignite in dose 1, Lig2 lignite in dose 2, VC1 vermicompost in dose 1, VC2 vermicompost in dose 2

Levene tests). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by a Tukey HSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$), was used to identify significant differences among treatments before S application and after S application for soil and plant data. The relationship between selected data was analysed by linear regression using Pearson coefficients.

Results and discussion

Nutrient and toxic metal bioavailability after maize sowing

Three weeks after sowing, the AC and Lig treatments showed the lowest CaCl₂-extractable concentrations of DOC, NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , Mg, K and Zn (Table 1). This can be attributed to the adsorption and/or complexation of cations to the large specific surface of AC and Lig (Qi et al. 2011; Břendová et al. 2016). The highest concentrations of P and K were recorded in the VC2 treatment, which can be explained by the release of nutrients from vermicompost (our measured nutrient contents in vermicompost were 12.5 g K kg⁻¹ and 11 g P kg⁻¹; Uz et al.



Effects of immobilization agents and sulphur on soil pH

The soil pH, measured with both methods (CaCl₂ extraction and soil solution obtained by suction cups), followed a similar



Fig. 1 Soil solution and extracted CaCl₂ pH (mean \pm SEM, n = 3) **a** before S application and **b** after S application. Significant differences among the treatment and sulphur application means at p < 0.05 are



indicated with letters. Soil leachate applies only for day 33. See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

Table 2CaCl₂-extractableelement concentration (mg kg $^{-1}$,mean \pm SEM, n = 3) for thedifferent treatments and periods

Element	Treatment	Before S application	After S application		
			19 days	33 days	
Р	С	3.9 ± 0.2 cA	3.7 ± 0.3A	$4.4 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{A}$	
	AC1	$6.6 \pm 0.5 abA$	$3.6\pm0.1B$	$3.9\pm0.4B$	
	AC2	$3.0 \pm 0.05 \text{cB}$	$4.1 \pm 0.1 A$	$4.2\pm0.3A$	
	Lig1	$4.3 \pm 0.3 bcA$	$3.6 \pm 0.1 A$	$3.8\pm0.3A$	
	Lig2	$4.0 \pm 0.2 bcB$	$3.3\pm0.04AB$	$5.0\pm0.6A$	
	VC1	7.6 ± 1.2 aA	$5.4 \pm 0.4 A$	$6.8\pm0.4A$	
	VC2	$8.0\pm0.05aB$	$8.7\pm0.1AB$	$10.0\pm0.5A$	
Fe	С	$4.3\pm0.02cdA$	$4.0\pm0.3A$	$6.6 \pm 1.3 A$	
	AC1	5.4 ± 0.4 bcdA	$4.5 \pm 0.2 A$	$5.5 \pm 0.3 A$	
	AC2	4.2 ± 0.3 dA	$4.1 \pm 0.2 A$	$7.2 \pm 2.3 A$	
	Lig1	$5.7 \pm 0.2 bcA$	$5.7 \pm 0.5 A$	$5.5 \pm 0.2 A$	
	Lig2	5.3 ± 0.5 bcdA	$6.0 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{A}$	$5.9 \pm 0.1 A$	
	VC1	9.6 ± 0.3 aA	$6.8 \pm 0.3 A$	9.0 ± 1.3A	
	VC2	$6.1 \pm 0.2 bA$	$6.9 \pm 0.5 A$	$5.9 \pm 0.6 A$	
Mn	С	35.1 ± 0.8 aA	$23.6 \pm 1.4B$	9.7 ± 1.7 C	
	AC1	20.7 ± 0.5 dA	$9.0 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{B}$	$11.6 \pm 4.3 AB$	
	AC2	6.3 ± 0.5 eA	$7.0 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{A}$	$9.7 \pm 2.9 A$	
	Lig1	24.4 ± 0.8 cAB	$22.6 \pm 0.04B$	$33.6 \pm 4.2A$	
	Lig2	29.3 ± 0.4 bB	$28.8 \pm 3.2B$	52.0 ± 4.9 A	
	VC1	24.9 ± 0.4 cA	21.4 ± 0.8 A	$47.5 \pm 17.8A$	
	VC2	25.7 ± 0.4 cA	22.7 ± 0.8 A	$25.7 \pm 1.7A$	
Cd	C	$10.0 \pm 0.02aA$	$9.9 \pm 0.1 \text{A}$	$10.0 \pm 0.6A$	
	AC1	6.6 ± 0.2 bcA	5.2 ± 0.2 A	$7.7 \pm 1.1A$	
	AC2	$29 \pm 01eB$	41 + 0.2AB	$53 \pm 0.6A$	
	L ig1	6.2 ± 0.3 cB	$63 \pm 0.3B$	8.4 ± 0.4 A	
	Lig?	$45 \pm 0.001 \text{ dB}$	$5.2 \pm 0.2B$	$6.8 \pm 0.3A$	
	VC1	$7.4 \pm 0.4bA$	$7.2 \pm 0.4\Delta$	$9.9 \pm 2.2 \Delta$	
	VC1 VC2	$5.6 \pm 0.05cA$	7.2 ± 0.4 R 3.6 ± 0.2B	6.7 ± 0.54	
Ph	С С	$1.1 \pm 0.01cA$	$1.2 \pm 0.03 \Delta$	1.2 ± 0.24	
r0		1.1 ± 0.01 cA	1.2 ± 0.03 R	$1.2 \pm 0.2 \text{A}$ $1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{A}$	
	AC1	0.5 ± 0.000 AD	0.7 ± 0.04 B	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \text{A}$	
	AC2	0.3 ± 0.02 eA	$0.0 \pm 0.05 \text{A}$	1.0 ± 0.3 A	
	Ligi	$1.3 \pm 0.010 \text{A}$	1.2 ± 0.03 A	$1.5 \pm 0.2A$	
	LIg2	$1.1 \pm 0.04cc$	$1.4 \pm 0.04B$	$1.7 \pm 0.1 \text{A}$	
	VCI	$1.0 \pm 0.02aA$	$1.3 \pm 0.02A$	2.2 ± 0.3 A	
7	VC2	1.1 ± 0.02 cB	$1.1 \pm 0.04B$	$1.4 \pm 0.1A$	
۲IJ		$30/\pm 2aA$	$334 \pm 19A$	$303 \pm 64A$	
	AC1	291 ± 14 cdA	$200 \pm 12A$	$303 \pm 103A$	
	ACZ	$79 \pm 36R$	$148 \pm 13.5 \text{AB}$	$225 \pm 39A$	
	Ligi	328 ± 22 cB	$339 \pm 7B$	$580 \pm 42A$	
	Lig2	$2/2 \pm 6 dB$	$318 \pm 10B$	$553 \pm 29A$	
	VC1	$388 \pm 10 \text{bA}$	$442 \pm 68A$	680 ± 185A	
	VC2	292 ± 4 cdB	$263 \pm 9B$	$420 \pm 37A$	

Significant differences among the treatment (before S application, indicated by lowercase letters) and sulphur application (after S application, indicated by uppercase letters) means at p < 0.05 are indicated. See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

pattern of changes before S application (Fig. 1a). The pH_{CaCl_2} /soil solution increased from 5.5/5.4 in the control to 6.2/6.6

in the AC treatments. This increase can be attributed to the alkalinity of activated carbon and a corresponding liming

Element	Treatment	Before S application	After S application		
			19 days	33 days	
SO4 ²⁻	С	$401 \pm 44aA$	405 ± 7A	574 ± 209A	
	AC1	$489 \pm 188 aA$	$415 \pm 26A$	$674 \pm 280 \text{A}$	
	AC2	$466 \pm 17aA$	$876 \pm 27A$	$1215\pm308A$	
	Lig1	$145 \pm 21 aB$	$442 \pm 78B$	$1022 \pm 126A$	
	Lig2	$331 \pm 78 aB$	$934\pm4B$	$1772\pm265A$	
	VC1	$181 \pm 25aA$	$458\pm35A$	$1499\pm 602A$	
	VC2	$371 \pm 5aA$	$492\pm45A$	$936 \pm 333 A$	
Oxalate	С	$48 \pm 2.5 aB$	$49 \pm 3B$	$72 \pm 8A$	
	AC1	$33 \pm 3bA$	$30 \pm 1A$	$38 \pm 2A$	
	AC2	$25 \pm 1 \mathrm{cB}$	$29\pm0.4A$	$26 \pm 1B$	
	Lig1	18 ± 1 cdA	$18 \pm 0.5 A$	$21 \pm 0.1 A$	
	Lig2	16 ± 1 dA	$15 \pm 1A$	$14 \pm 2A$	
	VC1	14 ± 1 dA	$15 \pm 0.3 A$	$27 \pm 10A$	
	VC2	13 ± 0.5 dA	$13 \pm 0.4 A$	$15 \pm 1A$	
NO_3^-	С	$755 \pm 27aA$	$722 \pm 10A$	$602 \pm 89 \text{A}$	
	AC1	$802 \pm 144 aA$	$391 \pm 5B$	$567 \pm 46 AB$	
	AC2	550 ± 21 abA	$446 \pm 28A$	$321\pm27B$	
	Lig1	$210 \pm 49 bcB$	$462 \pm 57 AB$	$469\pm68A$	
	Lig2	$378 \pm 66 bcA$	$516 \pm 68A$	$815 \pm 194 A$	
	VC1	145 ± 1 cB	$580 \pm 99 A$	$758 \pm 58A$	
	VC2	$340 \pm 96 bcA$	$423 \pm 35A$	$618\pm222A$	

Significant differences among the treatment (before S application, indicated by lowercase letters) and sulphur application (after S application, indicated by uppercase letters) means at p < 0.05 are indicated. See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

effect (Břendová et al. 2016). In the remaining treatments (except VC2 in soil solution), soil pH did not change. Before S application, there was no difference between the pH measurement in $CaCl_2$ and soil solution extraction as observed previously by Amoakwah et al. (2013).

In general, only a few changes in pH_{CaCl}, were recorded between before and after S application (Fig. 1b). This is supported by the linear regression results for CaCl₂-extractable metal concentrations (Cd, Pb, Zn) and pH before and after S application (Cd: before S, r = -0.62, p < 0.01; 33 days after S, r = -0.49, p = 0.02; Pb: before S, r = -0.79, p < 0.01; 33 days after S, r = -0.49, p = 0.03; Zn: before S, r = -0.78, p < 0.01; 33 days after S, r = -0.63, p < 0.01). These results contrast with those of other studies (Iqbal et al. 2012; Hoefer et al. 2015) in which S application had strong effects on the correlation between pH and metals. Therefore, we can speculate that pH did not decrease after S application in the whole profile (pH of soil solution) but only in the top 10 cm of soil (pH_{CaCl₂}) at the end of the experiment. The S oxidation was limited in most treatments after the application in the pot experiment. However, significant increases in soil solution pH recorded after S application were also related to the absence of an acidifying rhizosphere effect because the last collection was extracted from soil leachate (i.e. bulk soil) and not from soil solution (i.e. root zone). Similar results were observed in the study of Azimzadeh et al. (2016).

Effects of immobilization agents and sulphur on nutrient in soil and toxic metal soil mobility

More substantial changes in CaCl₂-extractable nutrients and metals were recorded in soil samples compared to soil solution before and after S application (data for soil solution not shown). A tendency for higher CaCl₂-extractable concentrations of P and Fe was recorded in all treatments before S application (Table 2). The highest increase was recorded in VC treatments (i.e. 105% (VC2) and 123% (VC1) increase over control for P and Fe, respectively). Significantly higher CaCl₂-extractable Pb was recorded in the VC1 and Lig1 treatments compared to the control. A decrease of the DOC was found in all amendment treatments compared to the control, showing the stability of applied amendments. The highest immobilization for Mn, Cd, Pb and Zn in the AC treatments was caused by an alkaline pH, as demonstrated by the linear regression (Mn: r = -0.94, p < 0.01; Cd: r = -0.95, p < 0.01; Pb: r = -0.91, p < 0.01; Zn: r = -0.94, p < 0.01). This was probably also caused by the corresponding metal adsorption to the large specific surface of activated carbon (Břendová et al. 2016). Before S application, the oxalate concentrations decreased considerably in all the amended treatments (Table 3). We speculate that the lower oxalate concentration is related to lower Zn and other metal toxicity (i.e. lower CaCl₂-extractable metal concentrations from the soil solution) in response to the amendments. Therefore, the root exudation of oxalate, i.e. a potential detoxification mechanism due to the formation of metal complexes, was downregulated. Li et al. (2012) recorded a similar result, i.e. increased exudation of oxalic acids by Chinese cabbage grown in a Zn-polluted soil.

As mentioned above, only a few pH and metal concentration changes were recorded in CaCl₂ extracts after S application (Fig. 1b, Table 2). We can speculate that the S oxidation and therefore the metal mobilization (Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn) in the C, AC and VC treatments were inhibited. Generally, S oxidation is performed by numerous microbial species (Hagedorn 2010) within a wide pH range of 1.9 to 8.5, but it is also affected by various other environmental conditions. The inhomogeneity of S application in the pots, the S rate (higher S rate, i.e. 1.0 g kg⁻¹, with a more pronounced pH response and metal solubilization; Mohnke 2014; Hoefer et al. 2015), the use of organic immobilization agents (lowering the redox potential) and/or more time for observation are possible factors limiting S oxidation.

The CaCl₂-extractable Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn and sulphate concentrations increased and pH_{CaCl_2} decreased after S application in investigated treatments in the last sampling period (Fig. 1b, Tables 2 and 3). This may be also related to the S forms of amendments: inorganic forms easily accessed by microbes (mainly sulphate and pyritic S) and organic forms (bound to carbon and esters) greatly depend on the coal integrity, i.e. structure, and the S release from ester sulphates using extracellular enzymatic hydrolysis (Ruamsap and Akaracharanya 2002; Hagedorn 2010; Blum et al. 2013). It could also be linked to its preparation: the milling of amendments before mixing with soil could have increased the microbial availability of the organic S form since its structure was destroyed (Ruamsap and Akaracharanya 2002). Blum et al. (2013) showed that microbial S transformation in soil is greatly affected by the composition of different S forms of the source material (i.e. amendments and soil). Therefore, we speculate that easily accessible S forms in Lig and, to a lesser extent, in other amendments functioned as a driver for S oxidation and thus stimulated S-oxidizing bacteria, probably before S was applied to the soil. This could explain the tendency for increasing CaCl₂-extractable sulphate concentrations in the AC2, Lig and VC treatments, and no notable change was determined in the control after S addition (Table 3).

A tendency for decreasing CaCl₂-extractable nitrate concentrations was only recorded in the C and AC2 treatments after S application, which did not correspond with low biomass yield and is in line with the findings of Hoefer et al. (2015). Hailegnaw et al. (2019) also found immobilization of soil nitrates due to decline of negatively charged groups at a high rate of high temperature-produced biochar. In contrast, the CaCl₂-extractable nitrate concentration in the AC1, Lig and VC treatments increased after S application despite higher plant uptake due to the higher biomass production compared to the C and AC treatments. The VC and Lig applications may have influenced the N mineralization, leading to a sustained release from organic compounds. This agrees with the results of Murugan and Swarnam (2013), who found slow but steady N mineralization in vermicompost-treated soil. The oxalate concentrations significantly increased in the control treatment after S application, probably due to increasing root exudation of organic acids.

Effects of immobilization agents and sulphur on maize growth

Maize biomass increased for the treatments in the order of $C \approx AC \approx Lig < VC$ after S application (Fig. 2a). This is consistent with Tury et al. (2008), who reported positive



Fig. 2 Maize biomass (dry weight, DW) measured **a** before S application and **b** after S application. Significant differences among the treatment means at p < 0.05 are indicated with letters. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (n = 3). See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

Table 4 Element concentrations in maize roots (mg kg⁻¹, mean \pm SEM, n = 3) for the different treatments and periods

Element	Treatment	Before S application	After S application		
			19 days	33 days	
Р	С	546 ± 9bA	477 ± 79A	$615 \pm 50 \text{A}$	
	AC1	$573 \pm 12bA$	$394 \pm 30B$	$430\pm32B$	
	AC2	$467 \pm 43 bA$	$422 \pm 9A$	$478 \pm 44A$	
	Lig1	$482 \pm 30 bA$	$595 \pm 34A$	$558 \pm 34A$	
	Lig2	$539 \pm 23 bA$	$344 \pm 148A$	$589 \pm 18A$	
	VC1	$1113 \pm 202aA$	$750 \pm 105 A$	$871 \pm 41A$	
	VC2	$586 \pm 46bC$	$842 \pm 11B$	$1046 \pm 21A$	
S	С	$4453 \pm 63aA$	$3527 \pm 271 A$	$4292 \pm 250 A$	
	AC1	$5149 \pm 267 aA$	$4780 \pm 39A$	$4270\pm252A$	
	AC2	$4759 \pm 346 aA$	$4481 \pm 12A$	$4682 \pm 1203 A$	
	Lig1	$4099 \pm 109 aB$	$3502 \pm 397B$	$5744 \pm 242A$	
	Lig2	$5094 \pm 5aA$	$3133 \pm 1546A$	$6582 \pm 624A$	
	VČ1	$5058 \pm 353 aA$	$4131 \pm 236A$	$4048 \pm 443 A$	
	VC2	$4490 \pm 129 aA$	$3460 \pm 1114A$	$4875 \pm 257A$	
Fe	С	$1585 \pm 62 bA$	$2975 \pm 415 A$	$2687 \pm 1286 \text{A}$	
	AC1	3127 ± 533bA	$4865 \pm 647 A$	$3193 \pm 860 \text{A}$	
	AC2	$1241 \pm 88bA$	$2538 \pm 203 A$	$6664 \pm 2455 A$	
	Lig1	$1154 \pm 59 bA$	$12.250 \pm 5442A$	$10.652 \pm 2291 \text{A}$	
	Lig2	3425 ± 1241 bA	$10.041 \pm 5118A$	$9905 \pm 3940 \text{A}$	
	VC1	$10.977 \pm 2031aA$	$7916 \pm 2981A$	$15.985 \pm 2912A$	
	VC2	$2455 \pm 1022 \text{bB}$	$877 \pm 344B$	$18.292 \pm 2621A$	
Mn	C	$99 \pm 2bA$	$300 \pm 11A$	$476 \pm 254A$	
	AC1	$235 \pm 67bA$	$479 \pm 103A$	$330 \pm 98A$	
	AC2	$141 \pm 43bA$	$216 \pm 28A$	$918 \pm 502A$	
	Lig1	$82 \pm 13bA$	$2053 \pm 847A$	$1669 \pm 89A$	
	Lig2	657 ± 299 abA	$1556 \pm 726A$	$1603 \pm 613A$	
	VC1	$1441 \pm 330aA$	$1618 \pm 745A$	$2810 \pm 571A$	
	VC2	212 ± 92 bB	$80 \pm 21B$	$3201 \pm 593A$	
Cd	C	$193 \pm 14abA$	$176 \pm 26A$	$142 \pm 18A$	
	AC1	$252 \pm 37aA$	$126 \pm 1B$	$131 \pm 16B$	
	AC2	$114 \pm 13bA$	$106 \pm 0.2A$	$113 \pm 15A$	
	Lig1	$154 \pm 21bA$	$129 \pm 14AB$	$84 \pm 3B$	
	Lig?	$104 \pm 14bA$	$40 \pm 17B$	$74 \pm 5AB$	
	VC1	$192 \pm 13abA$	$195 \pm 28A$	$128 \pm 36A$	
	VC2	$121 \pm 4bA$	$117 \pm 62A$	$128 \pm 6A$	
Ph	C	$248 \pm 6bA$	$365 \pm 51A$	$424 \pm 166A$	
10	AC1	$368 \pm 69hA$	500 ± 5111 570 + 81A	$446 \pm 111A$	
	AC2	190 ± 40 bA	$279 \pm 12A$	$888 \pm 421 \text{A}$	
	Lig1	150 ± 10011 $159 \pm 17bA$	2034 ± 9154	1496 ± 2164	
	Lig?	$605 \pm 238bA$	$1430 \pm 719A$	$1470 \pm 210 M$ $1479 \pm 567 A$	
	VC1	$1367 \pm 308_{3}$ A	$1304 \pm 533A$	$2305 \pm 317A$	
	VC2	$267 \pm 105 \text{bB}$	$109 \pm 47B$	$2509 \pm 317M$ $2598 \pm 406A$	
Zn	C	$7067 \pm 141aA$	$6422 \pm 228 AB$	5802 + 149R	
Zn	AC1	$4769 \pm 156 \text{ bc} \text{ A}$	4028 ± 215 AB	$3374 \pm 430B$	
	AC2	$1976 \pm 125 dB$	7020 ± 210 AD 2476 ± 100 AR	3154 + 3604	
	L ig1	$3673 + 523bcd\Delta$	$4946 + 307 \Delta$	4945 + 310 A	
	Lig?	3219 ± 480 cdA	2888 ± 13664	$4077 + 838\Delta$	
	VC1	$5219 \pm 5000A$ $5300 \pm 525hA$	$3485 \pm 503 \text{ A}$	5304 ± 220	
	VC2	2377 + 276 dR	1602 ± 500 A	$5374 \pm 223A$ $5407 \pm 331A$	
	V C2	2311 ± 210 ub	1002 - 007D	5707 ± 551A	

Significant differences among the treatment (before S application, indicated by lowercase letters) and sulphur application (after S application, indicated by uppercase letters) means at p < 0.05 are indicated. See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

effects of compost on plant growth in contaminated soils. After S application, the final maize biomass was also significantly increased in the Lig2 treatment (Fig. 2b). Despite presenting the lowest concentrations of CaCl₂-extractable Cd, Pb and Zn (Table 2), the AC treatments showed a substantially lower (up to 14 times) biomass production than the VC treatments. The NO₃⁻ immobilization was

only pronounced in the AC2 treatment. Therefore, we can conclude that the VC treatment—and to a lesser extent the Lig application—showed the trend of steady release of some nutrients, while in the AC treatment, sorption mechanisms limited the availability of nutrients, during the whole experimental period and thus limited plant growth.

Effects of immobilization agents and sulphur on total metal uptake and toxic metal remediation factors

Large amounts of metals (Mn, Fe, Cd, Pb, Zn) were taken up by the maize roots due to the high metal concentrations (both total and CaCl₂-extractable) in the Litavka soil (Table 4). Before S application, majority of treatments did not show statistical differences in the root concentrations, higher values recorded for the VC1 treatment; could be caused by some impurity coming from soil; and were not determined in other samplings. Only the mobile elements like Zn and, up to some extent, Cd decreased root concentrations in treated soils before S application, presenting a stronger effect at treatments with higher rates of amendments. Generally, metal (Fe, Mn, Cd,

Table 5 Element concentrations in maize shoots (mg kg⁻¹, mean \pm SEM, n = 3) for the different treatments and periods

Element	Treatment	Before S application	After S application		
			19 days	33 days	
P	С	1046 ± 18 cA	$718 \pm 28B$	$809 \pm 100 AB$	
	AC1	$1095 \pm 6bcA$	$666 \pm 5B$	$591 \pm 20C$	
	AC2	1176 ± 20 bcA	$781 \pm 1.5 A$	$797 \pm 173 A$	
	Lig1	$1151 \pm 8bcA$	$855 \pm 1.5B$	$709 \pm 43C$	
	Lig2	$1172 \pm 3bcA$	$848 \pm 23B$	$653 \pm 21C$	
	VC1	$1221 \pm 45bA$	$791 \pm 11B$	$609 \pm 16C$	
	VC2	$1503 \pm 72aA$	$836 \pm 14B$	$642 \pm 22C$	
S	С	$2124 \pm 6bcA$	$3576 \pm 153 \text{A}$	$5417 \pm 1796A$	
	AC1	$2269 \pm 8bB$	$2690 \pm 338 \text{AB}$	$4931 \pm 932A$	
	AC2	$2840 \pm 155 aA$	$4441 \pm 175A$	$3845 \pm 1806 A$	
	Lig1	1788 ± 87 cdA	$2190 \pm 195 A$	$3314 \pm 684A$	
	Lig2	$2022 \pm 56 bcdB$	$1908 \pm 97B$	$2780 \pm 165A$	
	VČ1	1692 ± 14 dAB	$1887 \pm 21A$	$1462 \pm 100B$	
	VC2	1841 ± 72 cdA	$1425 \pm 61B$	$1354 \pm 50B$	
Fe	С	2317 ± 795 aA	$1600 \pm 306 \text{A}$	$4406 \pm 2103 \text{A}$	
	AC1	432 ± 70 bA	$555 \pm 165A$	$1747 \pm 641 \text{A}$	
	AC2	638 ± 77 bA	$830 \pm 83A$	$731 \pm 471 \text{A}$	
	Lig1	$338 \pm 14bA$	$491 \pm 137A$	$508 \pm 158A$	
	Lig?	$268 \pm 31bA$	$280 \pm 16A$	439 + 91A	
	VC1	$381 \pm 12bA$	$373 \pm 1A$	157 ± 910	
	VC2	324 + 9bAB	575 ± 171 576 + 171A	$140 \pm 22B$	
Mn	C C	$405 \pm 144aA$	$286 \pm 37A$	$821 \pm 515A$	
10111	AC1	42 + 6bA	56 ± 174	239 ± 804	
	AC2	56 + 9bA	137 ± 274	$101 \pm 68A$	
	Lig1	$33 \pm 3bA$	35 + 1A	89 ± 264	
	Lig?	$37 \pm 3bB$	$30 \pm 1R$ $30 \pm 1B$	70 ± 94	
	VC1	$35 \pm 30B$ $35 \pm 1bB$	30 ± 10 46 ± 0.44	70 ± 911 24 ± 20	
	VC2	50 ± 100 50 + 4bA	40 ± 0.4 A 41 ± 2 AB	24 ± 20 $34 \pm 4B$	
Cd	C	$46 + 22 \Delta$	$41 \pm 2AD$ $48 \pm 3\Delta$	47 ± 104	
		$70 \pm 2aA$ $22 \pm 1bAB$	16 ± 18	$\frac{47 \pm 10A}{28 \pm 4\Delta}$	
	AC2	22 ± 10 AD 22 ± 1 bA	10 ± 10 10 ± 0.1 Å	$20 \pm 4A$ $14 \pm 4A$	
	Lig1	$12 \pm 10A$	$19 \pm 0.1A$ $13 \pm 1A$	$14 \pm 4A$ $15 \pm 3A$	
	Lig1	$12 \pm 1cA$ $13 \pm 1cA$	$15 \pm 1A$ $65 \pm 0.4B$	$10 \pm 3A$ $10 \pm 1AB$	
	VC1	$13 \pm 1cR$ $14 \pm 1cR$	$0.5 \pm 0.4D$ 18 ± 0.01 Å	10 ± 1 AD 12 ± 1 B	
	VC1 VC2	14 ± 100	$10 \pm 0.01A$ $10 \pm 0.5P$	$12 \pm 1D$ $12 \pm 1D$	
DP	VC2	$10 \pm 10A$ $385 \pm 165aA$	$10 \pm 0.3B$ $232 \pm 58A$	12 ± 10 670 ± 403 Å	
P0		335 ± 105 dA	49 ± 17	$079 \pm 403A$ $202 \pm 76A$	
	AC1	$55 \pm 50 \text{A}$	$46 \pm 1/A$ 106 ± 17A	$203 \pm 70A$ $84 \pm 62A$	
	Lia1	$30 \pm 30 \text{A}$	$100 \pm 1/A$	$64 \pm 02A$	
	Ligi	$24 \pm 30A$	$21 \pm 2A$ $21 \pm 1D$	$01 \pm 20A$	
	LIG2	$23 \pm 30B$	$21 \pm 1D$ $20 \pm 2A$	$4/\pm \delta A$	
	VC1 VC2	$20 \pm 10B$	$29 \pm 2A$	13 ± 20	
Zn	VC2	$1/\pm 30A$ 2255 $\pm 247c^{4}$	$\angle 1 \pm 4A$ 2007 $\pm 164A$	$12 \pm 3A$	
Z11		$5235 \pm 24/aA$	$390/ \pm 104A$	$44/9 \pm 90/A$	
	AC1	$11/1 \pm 100B$ 1000 + 171 A	$1052 \pm 240B$ 1270 + 20 A	$2195 \pm 1/5A$	
	ACZ	$1099 \pm 1/DA$	$12/9 \pm 20A$	$808 \pm 304A$	
	Ligi	$1422 \pm 420A$	$1134 \pm 43A$ $1024 \pm 12D$	$143 / \pm 241A$	
	L1g2	$1298 \pm 43bA$	$1034 \pm 12B$	$1118 \pm 36B$	
	VCI	$1202 \pm /3bA$	$1206 \pm 2/A$	$983 \pm 3B$	
	VC2	$1056 \pm 0.5 bA$	$692 \pm 83B$	$520 \pm 13B$	

Significant differences among the treatment (before S application, indicated by lowercase letters) and sulphur application (after S application, indicated by uppercase letters) means at p < 0.05 are indicated. See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

Pb. Zn) concentrations in the maize shoots were largest in the control, and partly at AC1 treatment with low biomass production, and lowest in the treatments with high biomass production before and after S application (Fig. 2, Table 5). The opposite trend was found for roots: high biomass yield corresponded with high Fe, Mn and Pb concentrations, because of relatively high availability, and root uptake, but limited translocation to aboveground tissues (Siedlecka 1995). Hence, we conclude that biomass production caused a dilution effect for the respective metal concentrations only in the maize shoots. Vondráčková et al. (2014) recorded similar results. Another reason could be related to an increase in biomass over time after S application. Moreover, the plant membrane selectivity during stress due to toxicity or deficiency can deteriorate and lead to an uncontrolled uptake of toxic metals (Hall 2002). Pourrut et al. (2011) described the breakdown of the Casparian stripe under toxicity stress. This could explain the excessive uptake of less mobile Pb and other metals (Cd, Zn, Fe and Mn) into the shoots in the control (Table 5). There were no considerable changes in root or shoot concentrations of elements after S application.

In general, distinguishing between toxicity symptoms and deficiencies was rather difficult throughout the experiment. Certainly, a combination of both occurred. We can speculate that P and K deficiencies might have reduced plant growth at treatments with high C/N ratio. The high CaCl₂-extractable concentrations of Cd and Zn might have induced plant stress and a poor performance (i.e. tapering leaves and a reduction in the leaf area) in the C treatment. Other authors have recorded similar symptoms (Reichmann 2002; White 2012; Sherameti and Varma 2015). These findings can be supported by comparing the concentrations of nutrients and metals measured in the maize shoots with the sufficiency ranges recommended in the literature (White 2012). The Cd, Pb and Zn concentrations in the maize shoots in all treatments were often higher than the toxicity range (5-10 mg Cd kg⁻¹; 10-20 mg Pb kg⁻¹; 100-700 mg Zn kg⁻¹). The concentrations in the control were always the highest. The content of Fe and Mn in shoots lay within the sufficiency range (50–250 mg Fe kg⁻¹; 10–20 mg Mn kg⁻¹) in both VC treatments, but within the toxicity range $(> 500 \text{ mg Fe kg}^{-1}; 200-5300 \text{ mg Mn kg}^{-1})$ in the control. The content of P in the shoots indicates a deficiency for the plants in all treatments (sufficiency range 3000- 5000 mg kg^{-1} ; Marschner 1995).

The highest total Cd and Zn uptake in the maize shoots was recorded in the VC treatments (Fig. 3a, c) and increased phytoremediation efficiency by 400% for Cd and 100% for Zn in comparison with the control. Phytoremediation efficiency could be substantially increased in the VC and, to a lesser extent, in the Lig treatments, since the total Cd and Zn uptake in the maize shoots was higher than that in the control. While the total Cd and Zn uptake by the maize shoots from the VC treatments was not significantly affected by S application, the



Fig. 3 a–**c** Total metal content (biomass multiplied by the metal concentration, mg pot⁻¹) in maize shoots after S application. Significant differences among the treatment means at p < 0.05 are indicated with letters. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (n = 3). See Table 1 for more details about the treatments

content in the Lig2 treatment increased significantly, but the values were more than 40% (for Zn) to 70% (for Cd) lower than those in the VC treatments. The phytoremediation efficiency in VC treatments was mostly driven by higher shoot biomass. The Cd and Zn concentration in shoot decreased after S application. The VC treatments did not show higher nutrient availability than the other treatments (Table 3). Therefore, the higher Cd and Zn removal is only related to the high shoot growth, very likely due to the effect of vermicompost on plant growth. Alternatively, a high metal-accumulating plant (i.e. willow instead of relatively metal-tolerant maize) could be used in further experiments to enable

Fig. 4 Proportion of **a** Cd, **b** Pb and **c** Zn removed from the soil by maize biomass before S application (treatment) and after S application (sulphur application) periods as indicated by the remediation factor (RF, %). Significant differences among the treatment and sulphur application means at p < 0.05 are indicated with letters. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (n = 3). See Table 1 for more details about the treatments



larger amounts of Cd, Pb and Zn to be taken up without suffering from toxicity (Mohnke 2014).

Before S application, the remediation factors for Cd, Pb and Zn increased considerably in the VC treatments by approximately three, five and four times, respectively (Fig. 4a–c). For Cd and Zn, this can be explained by higher total biomass, as confirmed by linear regression (Cd: r = 0.94, p < 0.01; Zn: r = 0.94, p < 0.01). In contrast, the higher remediation factor for Pb can be better explained by higher Pb concentrations in the root (r = 0.89, p < 0.01) than by higher biomass. Moreover, after S application, the remediation factors also increased in the Lig treatment for Cd and Zn. The increased removal of toxic metals is due to the higher plant growth.

Conclusions

Before S application, AC, Lig and VC applications reduced the CaCl₂-extractable Cd and Zn concentrations, while the concentrations of Pb increased in the Lig1 and VC1 treatments compared to the control. After S application, the metal concentrations (Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn) in the soil solution and the CaCl₂ extraction in the C, AC and VC treatments were not significantly affected by S application. Similarly, the Cd, Pb and Zn concentrations in the maize shoots did not significantly increase in the respective treatments. Several processes (heterogeneous S application or the use of organic agents; Edwards 1998) might have decreased the redox potential and thus inhibited S oxidation. The CaCl2-extractable concentrations of Mn, Cd, Pb, Zn and sulphate increased significantly, and the pH decreased after S addition in investigated treatments in the last sampling period. We suppose that easily accessible S forms in amendments functioned as a driver for microbial S oxidation, probably even before S was applied to soil. During the whole experimental period, the VC treatment and, to a lesser extent, the Lig treatment showed the trend of steady release of some nutrients, while in AC treatment, sorption mechanisms limited the availability of nutrients. Consequently, the highest biomass production and the lowest toxicity and deficiency symptoms were recorded in the VC and Lig treatments, while the AC treatments produced even less biomass than the control. Severe toxicity symptoms were found over the duration of the experiment there. The maize biomass production at the final harvest was about five times higher in the Lig2 treatment and fourteen times higher in the VC treatment compared to the control. The remediation factors for Cd, Pb and Zn increased mainly in the VC treatments because of higher total biomass (for Cd and Zn) and higher metal concentration in the roots (for Pb).

In future research, redox potential changes in the plant rhizosphere should be regularly monitored to test the possibility of microbial sulphate reduction when using Litavka soil and organic amendments. Using different plants (hyperaccumulators or fast-growing, metal-accumulating trees such as *Salix smithiana*) that are able to take up large amounts of toxic metals in highly contaminated soils might also be productive. The subsequent S application should be improved to guarantee a more homogeneous distribution in the soil, as well as a higher S application rate. Alternatively, longer observation times could be used to obtain statistical significance.

Funding information The Nutrisk Centre CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/ 0000845 supported the finalization of the paper.

References

- Ali H, Khan E, Sajad MA (2013) Phytoremediation of heavy metals concepts and applications. Chemosphere 91:869–881
- Amoakwah E, Van Slycken S, Tack FMG, Essumang D (2013) Assessing the extraction efficiency of CaCl₂ and Rhizon extraction methods after the application of organic matter and CaCl₂ as soil amendments to enhance the mobility of Cd and Zn. J Environ Anal Toxicol 3(2)
- Amoakwah E, Van Slycken S, Essumang DK (2014) Comparison of the solubilizing efficiencies of some pH lowering (sulphur and (NH₄)₂SO₄) amendments on Cd and Zn mobility in soils. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 93:187–191
- Azeez JO, Adeleye OA, Oyinlola RO (2016) The effect of sulfur and urea on the heavy metal extraction by african wild sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia*) in an artificially contaminated soil. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 47:1940–1949

- Azimzadeh Y, Shirvani M, Shariatmadari H (2016) Rhizosphere and green manure effects on soil chemical attributes and metal bioavailability as a function of the distance from plant roots in mono and mixed corn and canola cultures. Arch Agron Soil Sci 62:1066–1081
- Blum SC, Lehmann J, Solomon D, Caires EF, Alleoni LRF (2013) Sulfur forms in organic substrates affecting S mineralization in soil. Geoderma 200:156–164
- Borůvka L, Vácha R (2006) Litavka river alluvium as a model area heavily polluted with potentially risk elements. In: Phytoremediation of metal-contaminated soils. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 267–298
- Břendová K, Zemanová V, Pavlíková D, Tlustoš P (2016) Utilization of biochar and activated carbon to reduce Cd, Pb and Zn phytoavailability and phytotoxicity for plants. J Environ Manag 181:637–645
- Cui Y, Dong Y, Li H, Wang Q (2004) Effect of elemental sulphur on solubility of soil heavy metals and their uptake by maize. Environ Int 30:323–328
- Edwards PJ (1998) Sulfur cycling, retention, and mobility in soils: a review. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Radnor
- EN 13037 (1999) Soils improvers and growing media—determination of pH. CEN, Brussels
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome (2014) World reference base for soil resources 2014. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. FAO, Rome
- Gray CW, Dunham SJ, Dennis PG, Zhao FJ, McGrath SP (2006) Field evaluation of in situ remediation of a heavy metal contaminated soil using lime and red-mud. Environ Pollut 142:530–539
- Hagedorn C (2010) Environmental microbiology: sulfur oxidation. URL http://filebox.vt.edu/users/chagedor/biol_4684/Cycles/Soxidat.html (accessed 16 Oct 2013)
- Hailegnaw NS, Mercl F, Pračke K, Száková J, Tlustoš P (2019) High temperature-produced biochar can be efficient in nitrate loss prevention and carbon sequestration. Geoderma 338:48–55
- Hall JL (2002) Cellular mechanisms for heavy metal detoxification and tolerance. J Exp Bot 53:1–11
- Havelcová M, Mizera J, Sýkorová I, Pekař M (2009) Sorption of metal ions on lignite and the derived humic substances. J Hazard Mater 161:559–564
- Hoefer C, Santner J, Puschenreiter M, Wenzel WW (2015) Localized metal solubilisation in the rhizosphere of *Salix smithiana* upon sulphur application. Environ Sci Technol 49:4522–4529
- Hoehne L, de Lima CVS, Martini MC, Altmayer T, Brietzke DT, Finatto J, Goncalves TE, Granada CE (2016) Addition of vermicompost to heavy metal-contaminated soil increases the ability of black oat (Avena strigosa Schreb) plants to remove Cd, Cr, and Pb. Water Air Soil Pollut 227:443
- Iqbal M, Puschenreiter M, Oburger E, Santner J, Wenzel WW (2012) Sulfur-aided phytoextraction of Cd and Zn by *Salix smithiana* combined with in situ metal immobilization by gravel sludge and red mud. Environ Pollut 170:222–231
- ISO 10390 (2011) Soil quality-determination of pH. Czech Standardization Organization
- Jadia CD, Fulekar MH (2008) Phytoremediation: the application of vermicompost to remove zinc, cadmium, copper, nickel and lead by sunflower plant. Environ Eng Manag J 7:547–558
- Jordan R, Yonge DR, Hathhorn WE (1997) Enhanced mobility of Pb in the presence of dissolved natural organic matter. J Contam Hydrol 29:59–80
- Kubešová M, Kučera J (2012) How to calculate uncertainties of neutron flux parameters and uncertainties of analysis results in k0-NAA? J Radioanal Nucl Chem 293:87–94
- Kumpiene J, Lagerkvist A, Maurice C (2008) Stabilization of As, Cr, Cu, Pb and Zn in soil using amendments—a review. Waste Manag 28: 215–225

- Li X, Chen X, Cui X (2012) Zinc chemical forms and organic acid exudation in non-heading Chinese cabbages under zinc stress. Agric Sci China 3:562–566
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral nutrition of higher plants, 2nd edn. Academic, London
- Mench M, Schwitzguébel JP, Schroeder P, Bert V, Gawronski S, Gupta S (2009) Assessment of successful experiments and limitations of phytotechnologies: contaminant uptake, detoxification, and sequestration, and consequences to food safety. Environ Sci Pollut Res 16: 876–900
- Mohnke S (2014) Combined chemical immobilization and subsequent remobilization of metallic pollutants in enhanced phytoextraction. Master thesis. BOKU, Vienna. CULS, Prague, p 109
- Mojiri A (2011) The potential of corn (*Zea mays*) for phytoremediation of soil contaminated with cadmium and lead. J Biol Environ Sci 5:17–22
- Murugan AV, Swarnam TP (2013) Nitrogen release pattern from organic manures applied to an acid soil. J Agri Sci 5(6):174
- Nagajyoti PC, Lee KD, Sreekanth TVM (2010) Heavy metals, occurrence and toxicity for plants: a review. Environ Chem Lett 8:199– 216
- Pierzynski GM (2000) Methods of phosphorus analysis for soils, sediments, residuals, and waters. North Carolina State University, Southern Cooperative Series Bulletin No. 396. North Carolina, pp 1–102
- Pourrut B, Shahid M, Dumat C, Winterton P, Pinelli E (2011) Lead uptake, toxicity, and detoxification in plants. In: Whitacre DM (ed) Reviews of environmental contamination and toxicology, vol 213. Springer, New York, pp 113–136
- Qi Y, Hoadley FA, Chaffee AL, Garnier G (2011) Characterisation of lignite as an industrial absorbent. Fuel 90:1567–1574
- Reichmann SM (2002) The response of plants to metal toxicity. The Australian Minerals & Energy Environment Foundation, Melbourne
- Ruamsap N, Akaracharanya A (2002) Pyritic sulfur removal from lignite by thiobacillus ferrooxidans: optimization of a bioleaching process. J Sci Res Chula Univ 27(2):155–162
- Sherameti I, Varma A (2015) Heavy metal contamination of soils: monitoring and remediation. Soil biology 44. Springer International, Cham
- Siedlecka A (1995) Some aspects of interactions between heavy metals and plant mineral nutrients. Acta Soc Bot Pol 64:265–272
- Tejnecký V, Bradová M, Borůvka L, Němeček K, Šebek O, Nikodem A (2013) Profile distribution and temporal changes of sulphate and nitrate contents and related soil properties under beech and spruce forests. Sci Total Environ 442:165–171
- Tica D, Udovic M, Lestan D (2011) Immobilization of potentially toxic metals using different soil amendments. Chemosphere 85:577–583

- Tlustoš P, van Dijk D, Száková J, Pavlíková D (1994) Cd and Zn release through the selected extractants. Rostl Výr 40:1107–1121
- Tury R, Szakal P, Szegedi L (2008) A tavaszi árpa (Hordeum vulgare) növekedése és nehézfémakkumulációja a gyöngyösoroszi bányameddőn különböző kezelések hatására. Talajvédelem, Talajtani vándorgyűlés 29–29
- Uz I, Sonmez S, Tavali IE, Citak S, Uras DS, Citak S (2016) Effect of vermicompost on chemical and biological properties of an alkaline soil with high lime content during celery (*Apium graveolens* L. var. *dulce* Mill.) production. Not Bot Horti Agrobot Cluj-Na 44:280–290
- Uzinger N, Rekasi M, Draskovits E, Anton A (2014) Stabilization of Cr, Pb, and Zn in soil using lignite. Soil Sediment Contam 23:270–286
- Van Ranst E (2006) Properties and management of soils in the tropics. Chapter 2:31–32
- Vangronsveld J, Herzig R, Weyens N, Boulet J, Adriaensen K, Ruttens A, Thewys T, Vassilev A, Meers E, Nehnevajova E, Lelie D, Mench M (2009) Phytoremediation of contaminated soils and groundwater: lessons from the field. Environ Sci Pollut Res 16:765–794
- Vondráčková S, Hejcman M, Száková J, Müllerová V, Tlustoš P (2014) Soil chemical properties affect the concentration of elements (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn) and their distribution between organs of *Rumex obtusifolius*. Plant Soil 379: 231–245
- Wang G, Koopmans GF, Song J, Temminghoff EJM, Luo Y, Zhao Q, Japenga J (2007) Mobilization of heavy metals from contaminated paddy soil by EDDS, EDTA, and elemental sulfur. Environ Geochem Health 29:221–235
- Wenger K, Kayser A, Gupta SK, Furrer G, Schulin R (2002) Comparison of NTA and elemental sulphur as potential soil amendments in phytoremediation. Soil Sediment Contam 11:655–672
- White PJ (2012) Heavy metal toxicity in plants. In: Shabala S (ed) Plant stress physiology. Cabi, Cambridge, pp 210–235
- Wuana RA, Okieimen FE (2011) Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. ISRN Ecology 2011:1–20
- Zemanová V, Břendová K, Pavlíková D, Kubátová P, Tlustoš P (2017) Effect of biochar application on the content of nutrients (Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P) and amino acids in subsequently growing spinach and mustard. Plant Soil Environ 63:322–327

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.