RESEARCH ARTICLE

Exposure to nitrogen dioxide and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Zili Zhang¹ · Jian Wang^{1,2} · Wenju Lu^{1,2,3}

Received: 21 July 2017 /Accepted: 27 February 2018 /Published online: 20 March 2018 \circled{c} Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Exposure to nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) has long been linked to elevated mortality and morbidity from epidemiological evidences. However, questions remain unclear whether $NO₂$ acts directly on human health or being an indicator of other ambient pollutants. In this study, random-effect meta-analyses were performed on examining exposure to nitrogen oxide (NO_x) and its association with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The overall relative risk (RR) of COPD risk related to a 10 μ g/m³ increase in NO₂ exposure increased by 2.0%. The pooled effect on prevalence was 17% with an increase of 10 μ g/m³ in NO₂ concentration, and 1.3% on hospital admissions, and 2.6% on mortality. The RR of COPD cases related to $NO₂$ long-term exposure was 2.5 and 1.4% in short-term exposure. The COPD effect related with a 10 μ g/m³ increase in exposure to a general outdoor-sourced $NO₂$ was 1.7 and 17.8% to exposure to an exclusively traffic-sourced $NO₂$; importantly, we did observe the effect of $NO₂$ on COPD mortality with a large majority in lag0. Long-term traffic exerted more severe impairments on COPD prevalence than long-term or short-term outdoor effect; long-term mortality effect on COPD was serious in single model from this meta-analysis. Overall, our study reported consistent evidence of the potential positive association between $NO₂$ and COPD risk.

Keywords $COPD \cdot NO_2 \cdot Relative risk \cdot Air pollution \cdot Exposure assessment$

Introduction

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is globally the fourth leading reason of death, and this has been predicted to become the third leading reason by 2030 (Mannino and Buist [2008](#page-11-0)), getting COPD as one of the pivotal health challenges worldwide (Decramer et al. [2012\)](#page-11-0). Presently, 210 million

Responsible editor: Philippe Garrigues

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-1629-7>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- ² Division of Translational and Regenerative Medicine, Department of Medicine, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721-0202, USA
- ³ Department of Laboratory Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510120, China

people have been suffering from COPD. Without effective prevention, the COPD deaths would elevate by over 30% in the near few decades (Eisner et al. [2010\)](#page-11-0). Although smoking plays a key factor in COPD, evidences agreed that other etiologies are also important to induce COPD (Eisner et al. [2010](#page-11-0)).

Air pollution has been demonstrated to be linked with potential effects on human health, weather and climate, including elevated mortality hazard, increased rates of emergency department visits and hospital admissions, exacerbated of chronic respiratory conditions (e.g., COPD and asthma), deteriorated lung function and changed climate (Samet and Krewski [2007\)](#page-12-0). Ambient air pollution is a kind of complex mixture comprised of both gaseous pollutants (e.g., nitrogen dioxide, $NO₂$) and solid particles (e.g., Particulate Matter, PM). Recently, reports on traffic-based exposure from the American Thoracic Society (ATS) (Eisner et al.) and the Health Effects Institute (HEI) (HEI [2010](#page-11-0)) have both addressed the crucial role of air pollution to COPD development and the urgent need of an association study between ambient air pollution or local traffic-related pollution and COPD. Exploration of one or two specific pollutants which is having the largest contribution to the health effect of COPD could have pivotal implications for environmental and social policies, as well for local government in taking action to protect

 \boxtimes Wenju Lu wlu92@yahoo.com

State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, The First Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

public health. Known as the massive anthropogenic emission, $NO₂$ is an alternate for traffic-sourced air pollutant and one of the most pivotal environmental pollutants (Akimoto [2003\)](#page-11-0). In view of its broadly diffusion and strong oxidization, $NO₂$ has drawn a widely increasing public attention on health. As with the short-term effects, the association between $NO₂$ and health effects remains in many studies after adjusting for other pollutants (such as PM and black smoke). Even the mechanistic evidences (Wegmann et al. [2005](#page-12-0)) and one latest study (DeVries et al. 2016) both implied a causal relationship between $NO₂$ short-term exposure and respiratory effects. Hence, it is reasonable to infer that $NO₂$ may exert some direct effects on the development of these diseases. Additionally, it is much harder to evaluate the long-term effects of $NO₂$ separately because of its high correlations with other pollutants in those studies. Therefore, $NO₂$ might represent a mixture of traffic-sourced air pollutants. However, some epidemiological studies suggested a relationship of long-term $NO₂$ exposures with respiratory diseases that was independent of mass metrics (WHO [2013\)](#page-12-0).

Given the potential crucial roles of $NO₂$ in multiple respiratory diseases, the role of $NO₂$ in the development of COPD remains largely uncertain so far, which requires further investigations. The common feature of the existing available researches was almost conducted with small sample sizes, which might limit the statistical power to uncover mild effect and/or correlation. In this circumstance, we widely reviewed and discussed the accumulated scientific evidence on the effects on COPD of $NO₂$ and extensive rationales for the plausible answers were also given in this study. We sought to test the hypotheses that $NO₂$ might have potential detrimental effects on COPD. In particular, we aimed to determine whether culture, exposure term, sources of exposure, lag periods, pollution model, etc. could influence this situation.

Methods

Literature search

Systematic searches of the literature were performed by using PubMed and ISI Web of Knowledge resources (until January 13, 2018). The keywords for the searches included nitrogen dioxide [Title/Abstract] or NO₂ [Title/Abstract] or nitrogen oxide [Title/Abstract] or NO_x [Title/Abstract] concatenated with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [Title/Abstract] or COPD [Title/Abstract] or Chronic Bronchitis [Title/Abstract] or Emphysema [Title/Abstract] or Chronic Obstructive Airways Disease [Title/Abstract] or COAD [Title/Abstract]. First, two professional workers identified eligible articles by the abstracts available. Second, if the abstracts were consistent with the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the whole article text was obtained (included criteria published after January 1,

1997; observational studies; human studies in adults; diagnosed with COPD; effects of $NO₂$ or NO_x on COPD; the risk estimates included such as hazard ratio (HR), relative risk (RR), odds risk (OR), excessive risk (ER) or increased risk (IR). Excluded criteria detailed as age did not accord with, $NO₂$ or NO_x were not reported, inadequate detail on classifications, not on human studies, English not chose or English translation unavailable).

Study selection

Studies were included if effects on COPD were evaluated and the associations between COPD and NO_2 or $NO_x (NO+NO_2)$ assessed (detailed as inclusion criteria). Articles were excluded if no original data were analyzed, or articles were on reviews, or inadequate detail on classification, and other descriptive or intervention articles (detailed as exclusion criteria). However, articles conducted on groups restricted to at-risk subjects should be included.

Data extraction

Two professional workers separately extracted the data and came to a consensus on all of the items according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Once meeting the criteria, the following information was collected: subject group characteristics (including age of COPD patients, the sample size, lag days, statistical methods, pollution model (single or multiple)), sources of exposure, study region, year of publication, study design and study period, exposure endings, the average levels of $NO₂$, and the exposure metrics (such as HR, RR, OR, ER or IR).

Data synthesis and analysis

The effects showed as interquartile (quintile or percentile) differences, or different units were converted into effects of a 10 μ g/m³ increase of NO₂ or NO_x. For these measuring NO₂ in parts per billion, a conversion factor of 1 ppb = $1.88 \mu g/m³$ for both $NO₂$ and NO_x was employed, and this is based on ambient pressure of 1 atm and a temperature of 25 °C (Vrijheid et al. [2011\)](#page-12-0). To convert the effect assessment from NO_x to $NO₂$ effects, multiply by a conversion factor of 0.75 before pooling data (EPA [2005](#page-11-0)). RRs were used in a random-effects model (determined by results of heterogeneity test), and therefore the risk assessment in the comprehensive analysis was independent of the work design. The risk assessment and 95% confidence intervals (CI) from most studies were showed to be adjusted for the factors the original authors thought as confounders. The effect on standard error (SE) was calculated based on the risk estimates and the 95%CI (SE = (lnRR-lnLowestlimit CI)/1.96 or $SE =$ (lnHighestlimit CI-lnRR)/1.96). Stratified analyses were also provided by study region, sources of exposure, exposure term,

Fig. 1 Relative risks of COPD relevant to a 10 μ g/m³ increase in NO₂ exposure. The meta-estimate and weights in the forest plot estimated from random effects meta-analyses

exposure ending, and lag periods. Heterogeneity test was carried out according to the Q-test (DerSimonian and Laird [1986\)](#page-11-0), and P values more than 0.05 suggests a lack of heterogeneity (Fig. 1). Funnel plots and Egger's linear regression test were employed to evaluate diagnosis of the possible publication bias (Egger et al. [1997\)](#page-11-0) (Fig. S1). Sensitivity analysis was assessed by using Metaninf and it was robust and reliable (Fig. S2). The Stata software used to perform all analyses (Version 12.0; StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) using two-sided P values.

CS cross-sectional, CC case-crossover, RE retrospective ecological CS cross-sectional, CC case-crossover, RE retrospective ecological

^a No. of COPD is daily mean No. of COPD is daily mean $^{\rm b}$ Pollutant is $\rm NO_x$ b Pollutant is NO_x

 $^{\rm c}$ Unit is ppb Unit is ppb

 $^{\rm d}$ Lag days maybe 0 Lag days maybe 0

e Mean age

 $^{\rm f}$ Converted from ER, HR, IR or their percentage increases $f_{\text{Converted from ER, HR, IR}}$ or their percentage increases

Table 1 Description of studies included

 $\underline{\textcircled{\tiny 2}}$ Springer

Results

Results of the literature search

At the beginning, 235 articles were included, of which 183 deleted according to included and discarded criteria. The rest of 52 abstracts were reviewed, and whole studies were estimated, of which 28 potentially associated articles were retained. Among the remaining articles, extra 24 articles were also excluded. Finally, we involved and focused on the remaining 28 studies that were satisfied with our inclusion and exclusion criteria (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-0) (Andersen et al. [2010](#page-11-0); Anderson et al. [1997](#page-11-0);

Atkinson et al. [2014](#page-11-0); DeVries et al. [2016;](#page-11-0) Erbas and Hyndman [2005;](#page-11-0) Faustini et al. [2012;](#page-11-0) Faustini et al. [2013](#page-11-0); Fischer et al. [2003;](#page-11-0) Fusco et al. [2001](#page-11-0); Ghozikali et al. [2014](#page-11-0), [2016;](#page-11-0) Hansel et al. [2012;](#page-11-0) Kan and Chen [2003](#page-11-0); Ko et al. [2008;](#page-11-0) Li et al. [2016](#page-11-0); Lindgren et al. [2009;](#page-11-0) Meng et al. [2013;](#page-11-0) Morgan et al. [1998](#page-11-0); Naess et al. [2006;](#page-11-0) Peacock et al. [2011;](#page-12-0) Schikowski et al. [2005](#page-12-0), [2013;](#page-12-0) Tao et al. [2013;](#page-12-0) Wong et al. [2002](#page-12-0); Yang et al. [2005;](#page-12-0) Yorifuji et al. [2010,](#page-12-0) [2012;](#page-12-0) Zhang et al. [2014](#page-12-0)) (Table [1](#page-3-0), Table S1, $P_{O-test} < 0.001$). Articles excluded based on reasons such like age not in accord with standard, $NO₂$ or NO_x concentration degrees not shown, classifications not clearly reported, researches

Fig. 3 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD associated with a 10 μ g/m³ increase in exposure to NO₂ stratified by exposure ending, and random effects meta-analyses employed

15138 Environ Sci Pollut Res (2018) 25:15133–15145

not focused on human, and English not chose or English translations unavailable. The included studies were all published after 1997 and were all observational studies (Table [1,](#page-3-0) Table S1). The consistent positive relationship between $NO₂$ and COPD was observed with adjusted or unadjusted for potential confounders classified according to original data (Fig. S3).

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by prevalence, mortality, and hospital admission

Three articles reported the contributions to prevalence. The pooled prevalence risk was elevated by 17% when exposed to high-level $NO₂$. Ten articles studied the association between $NO₂$ and mortality. A 2.6% higher risk was identified

for COPD death. The remaining 14 articles observed the acute effect of exposure, and the total effect assessment of hospital admissions was 1.013 with 95%CI of 1.005–1.021 (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)).

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by exposure term

According to duration of exposure, 15 papers reported the effects of long-term $NO₂$ exposure on COPD. It was that long-term exposure led to a 2.5% increase for estimate risk. Short-term exposure effects of $NO₂$ on COPD have been invested by 13 articles, and these short-term exposures caused the risk elevated by 1.4% (Fig. 4). The total adverse effect of NO2 on COPD was much higher in long-term exposure than in short-term exposure.

Fig. 4 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD relevant to a 10 μ g/m³ increase in NO₂ exposure stratified by exposure term, and random effects meta-analyses employed

Fig. 5 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD relevant to a 10 $\mu g/m³$ increase in exposure to NO₂ stratified by sources of exposure, and random effects meta-analyses employed

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by sources of $NO₂$

The sources of $NO₂$ mainly divided into two parts in this meta-analysis: general outdoor-sourced $NO₂$ and traffic-sourced $NO₂$. From the results, 21 studies investigated the contribution of general outdoor-sourced $NO₂$ to COPD risk and a 1.017-fold high risk on COPD was observed. Five studies conducted the contribution of traffic-sourced $NO₂$ to COPD risk, and the risk increased by 17.8% (Fig. 5).

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD endings stratified by sources of $NO₂$ combined with exposure term and exposure endings

Exposure term included long-term and short-term exposure. COPD ending defined as mortality, hospitalization, or prevalence. According to this meta-analysis, the sources of $NO₂$ mainly divided into two parts: general outdoor-sourced $NO₂$ and traffic-sourced $NO₂$. Long-term traffic exerted more severe impairments on COPD prevalence than long-term and short-term

Study ID	RR (95% CI)	%Weight
Outdoor-Short-Hospitalization		
Anderson et al. 1997	1.004 (1.000, 1.009)	28.88
Morgan et al. 1998	1.013 (0.998, 1.029)	6.68
Erbas et al. 2005	1.018 (1.003, 1.033)	7.22
Yang et al. 2005	1.116 (1.019, 1.231)	0.21
Ghozikali et al. 2014	1.004 (1.000, 1.009)	28.50
Ghozikali et al. 2016	1.004 (1.000, 1.009)	28.50
DeVries et al. 2016	\blacktriangleright 1.363 (0.898, 2.202)	0.01
Subtotal	1.006(1.001, 1.010)	100.00
Outdoor-Long-Hospitalization		
Fusco et al. 2001	1.005 (0.981, 1.010)	18.26
Ko et al. 2008	1.026(1.022, 1.031)	23.74
Tao et al. 2013	0.997 (0.984, 1.013)	18.19
Faustini et al. 2013	1.012 (1.002, 1.022)	20.87
Atkinson et al. 2014	1.060(0.980, 1.140)	2.31
Zhang et al. 2014	1.036 (1.018, 1.053)	16.62
Subtotal	1.016 (1.004, 1.028)	100.00
Outdoor-Short-Mortality		
Wong et al. 2002	1.023(1.006, 1.041)	28.85
Faustini et al. 2012	1.077(1.014, 1.144)	5.05
Kan et al. 2013	1.042 (1.019, 1.067)	21.61
Meng et al. 2013	1.018 (1.011, 1.024)	44.49
Subtotal	1.027(1.013, 1.042)	100.00
Outdoor-Long-Mortality		
Fischer et al. 2003	1.024(1.012, 1.037)	64.78
Naess et al. 2006	1.046 (1.012, 1.082)	8.64
Li et al. 2016	1.023 (1.004, 1.043)	26.57
Subtotal	1.026(1.016, 1.036)	100.00
Traffic-Long-Prevalence		
Schikowski et al. 2005	1.229 (1.121, 1.357)	82.74
Lindgren et al. 2009	1.269 (1.026, 1.561)	17.26
Subtotal	1.235 (1.132, 1.348)	100.00
0.9 1.0	1.3	
RR		

Fig. 6 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD relevant to a 10 $\mu g/m³$ increase in exposure to NO₂ stratified by sources of exposure-exposure term-exposure ending, and random effects meta-analyses used

outdoor effects (the adverse effects were 1.235, 1.016, 1.026, 1.027, and 1.006, respectively) in Fig. 6.

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by pollution model or combined with exposure term-ending

When the effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by pollution model (multiple and single model), the effect was higher in single model than in multiple model (Fig. [7](#page-8-0)). After further stratification analysis, it has been showed that the effects of long term-mortality in single model were much higher than the others effect (Fig. [8](#page-9-0) and Table S2).

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by study region

The relative effect of $NO₂$ on COPD was a little higher in European (2.7%) than Asian (1.7%) stratified by study region. There are 12 qualified papers belonged to European and 11 in Asian (Fig. S4). Two original studies were performed in the USA observed a 1.17-fold high risk (DeVries et al. [2016](#page-11-0)) and a 2.71-fold high risk (Hansel et al. [2012\)](#page-11-0) on COPD from $NO₂$ exposure, respectively; only one study conducted in Australia with a 1.06-fold high risk on COPD and one performed in Canada with a 1.12-fold high risk.

Fig. 7 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD relevant to a 10 μ g/m³ increase in exposure to NO₂ stratified by pollution model, and random effects meta-analyses employed

The effects of NO2 on COPD stratified by lag periods or combined with exposure term-ending

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD was examined at different lags in time (from lag0 to lag3). Sixteen studies investigated the effect of NO₂ on COPD at lag0, and it showed that a 10 μ g/m³ increase in exposure to $NO₂$ was associated with COPD risk increased by 2.0% (Fig. S5). An almost similar effect was also observed at other lag periods. When stratified by lag periods-exposure ending (mortality and hospital admission), the effect was 1.035 (1.008, 1.068) on COPD mortality in lag0 (Fig. S6). After further stratification analysis, it has been showed that lag0-long-term $NO₂$ exposure on COPD prevalence 1.170 (1.046, 1.308) was more serious than the others effects (Fig. S7).

The effect of $NO₂$ on COPD stratified by exposure term-exposure ending

Exposure term was short- or long-term exposure and exposure ending defined as mortality or hospitalization. Long-term prevalence effect on COPD was more serious than long-term and short-term COPD hospitalization and mortality. The risk increased by 17% for long-term prevalence, 3% for short-term mortality, 1.8% for

Fig. 8 Forest plot of study-specific estimates of relative risk of COPD relevant to a 10 μ g/m³ increase in exposure to NO₂ stratified by pollution modelexposure term-exposure ending, and random effects meta-analyses employed

long-term hospitalization, 2.6% for long-term mortality, and 0.6% for short-term hospitalization (Fig. S8).

Discussion

The current review and meta-analysis study provided evidences of the positive relationship between $NO₂$ and COPD. Moreover, stratified analyses also revealed consistent results performed by study region, sources of $NO₂$ (indoor, outdoor, or traffic-dominated), exposure term (long-term or short-term), exposure ending (mortality, hospital admissions, or prevalence), lag periods, lag periods-exposure ending, sources of exposure-exposure term, and exposure term-exposure ending. As far as we know, this is the first review and meta-analysis that directly linked $NO₂$ to COPD in adults.

To date, the air pollution problem in China has drawn extensive concern, particularly for which potential adverse effects on human health, weather, and climate. As a byproduct of economic prosperity and high socioeconomic status, $NO₂$ is one of the pivotal gaseous air pollutants, which continues to raise more and more attention, and except PM_{10} , it is the other one of the pollutants regulated by the European Union (Brunekreef and Holgate [2002\)](#page-11-0). Therefore, we performed the current research focused on NO_x and NO_2 ; NO_2 is a component of NO_x , but two of them were used as markers of traffic-based exposure in epidemiological study. Evidences even identified that $NO₂$ characterizes the spatial variation of traffic-sourced air pollutants better than PM_{10} or $PM_{2.5}$, and also showed that health effects caused by $NO₂$ have extended from impaired lung function to premature respiratory death (Nafstad et al. [2004;](#page-12-0) Neuberger et al. [2002\)](#page-12-0). Importantly,

evidences identified the patterns of pooled RR of mortality and hospital admission for various rubrics with the order $NO₂$ $SO_2 > PM_{10} > O_3$ per unit increase in level of every pollutant (Wong et al. [2008](#page-12-0)). Our earlier studies also observed the detrimental effects of $NO₂$ on respiratory diseases (Zhang et al. [2014](#page-12-0), [2015](#page-12-0)). These findings supported the efforts to reduce air pollutants and improved public health by anthropogenic intervention. Therefore, we compared this meta-analysis together with our previous study to see if there could conclude a consistent conclusion.

The relative effect of $NO₂$ on COPD was certainly higher in European than Asian, which might be the outcome of different exposure traits: The researches in Australia and Asian performed the effect of lower level $NO₂$ exposure than the researches in European and USA. The mortality effect of $NO₂$ on COPD cases was more serious than hospital admission for the much longer exposure term. The short-term effect of $NO₂$ on COPD was higher than long-term effect, suggesting that $NO₂$ might affect the exacerbation of COPD status, and this was also identified by the increased prevalence for COPD after $NO₂$ accumulated. Traffic-sourced effect was much more serious than general outdoor source that suggested that traffic indeed contributed to the increased $NO₂$. Additionally, in the present study, we did not find that the effect of $NO₂$ on COPD was robust to specific lag day; those results might show the fact that $NO₂$ was associated at extensive lags (from lag0 to lag3), but with a large majority in lag0, especially on COPD mortality in lag0. Notably, we identified that long-term traffic exerted more severe impairments on COPD than long-term and short-term outdoor effect; short-term mortality effect on COPD was more serious than long-term COPD effect (hospitalization and mortality) and short-term hospitalization. After further stratification analysis, long-term traffic exerted more severe impairments on COPD prevalence than long-term and short-term outdoor effect single model. The currently increasing COPD prevalence may be due to the increased long-term exposure from traffic pollution. It has been showed that the effects of long term-mortality in single model were much higher than the others effect.

It should be pointed out that adult was the main population we did focus on in order to avoid statistical bias resulting from gender differences in $NO₂$ effects in this study.

Oxidative stress and system inflammation have been considered as result of air pollution exposure. It was reported that both pulmonary and systemic effects have been identified and those pathways were potential contributors to the mechanisms linked to COPD pathogenesis. Evidences indicated continuous systemic inflammation in COPD. Even among non-current smokers, there were evidences for low-level systemic inflammation reaction in those with chronic airflow limitation. They also suggested that, once COPD develops, cessation of smoking might not fully attenuate the inflammatory process related with this condition. Therefore, they concluded that COPD was responsible for the systemic inflammation. $NO₂$

was a ubiquitous atmospheric gaseous pollutant that made a huge contribution to respiratory inflammation, infections, and symptoms (Rajarathnam et al. 2011). NO₂ inhalation exerted deleterious effects on pulmonary tissue. Unlike most water-soluble, irritant gases which have their strongest effects at earliest point of contact with the mucous membranes, $NO₂$ hydrolyzes more slowly and is quite capable of getting to the bronchioles and alveoli. At these locations, $NO₂$ undergoes almost complete hydrolysis to nitrous and nitric acids, resulting in a profound chemical pneumonitis and pulmonary edema. Earlier studies also described that immune modulatory effects of $NO₂$ could be responsible for these dampening effects (Brunekreef and Holgate [2002](#page-11-0); Garn et al. [2003](#page-11-0); Kienast et al. 1996). The exposure of NO₂ could cause impaired function of macrophages and epithelial cells leading to elevated susceptibility to infections and development of alternatively activated macrophages. For instance, one study observed that NO2 exposure reduced LPS-induced pro-inflammatory cytokine production by alveolar macrophages in vitro, while baseline cytokine levels caused by non-stimulated macrophages were not affected by $NO₂$ (Kienast et al. [1996\)](#page-11-0). Perhaps, macrophage and epithelial cell function was impaired after sub-chronic $NO₂$ exposure leading to reduced pro-inflammatory cytokine production. By decreasing the immune response to infections, $NO₂$ exposure might also result in increased susceptibility to exacerbations in COPD, since the majority of exacerbations were linked with viral or bacterial infections (Papi et al. [2006\)](#page-12-0). This was in consistent with data indicating relationship between hospital admissions for COPD exacerbations and $NO₂$ (Anderson et al. [1997\)](#page-11-0).

Despite of the strengths and biologic rationality of the linking showed in the present work, inherited biases in our study might have led to spurious outcomes. Firstly, information regarding this possibility was generally missing in the individual study, which might be adverse factors for COPD, and lacking of these original data confined our further estimate of underlying interactions, secondly, not every country was included (e.g., America could be not represented greatly in the present study), and thirdly, the high correlation between NO2 and others pollutants implied the conceivability that the $NO₂$ effects might be due partly to confounding from other pollutants. Lastly, caution still remained in the final conclusion for $NO₂$ on COPD, since their association with COPD was not strong to lag specific days. The findings might also show the fact that $NO₂$ was related at extensive lags. It is better to integrate exposure, toxicology, and human studies to the response to the causality issue, rather than unique from the epidemiological researches.

In summary, our meta-analysis suggested an effect of $NO₂$ as an aggravating cause of COPD in adults. We did observe that the pooled effect on COPD prevalence was higher than hospital admissions and mortality. The effects of $NO₂$ on COPD mortality with a large majority were in lag0,

long-term traffic exerted more severe impairments on COPD prevalence than long-term or short-term outdoor effect, and long-term mortality effect on COPD was serious in single model from this meta-analysis. Overall, our study reported consistent evidence of the potential positive association between $NO₂$ and COPD risk. We believe that our study provides a pooled effect assessment for the need for air quality improvement and could also contribute to the scientific debate on this field.

Funding sources This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81520108001, 81700043), the 973 Key Scheme of China (2015CB553406), Guangzhou Department of Education for Innovative Team (13C08) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (2016A030313593), state Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases (SKLRD), and Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health (SKLRD- QN-201719). The funding sponsors had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declared that no competing interests have existed

References

- Akimoto H (2003) Global air quality and pollution. Science 302:1716– 1719
- Andersen ZJ, Hvidberg M, Jensen SS et al (2010) Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution: a cohort study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 183:455–461
- Anderson HR, Spix C, Medina S, Schouten JP, Castellague J, Rossi G, Zmirou D, Touloumi G, Wojtyniak B, Ponka A, Bacharova L, Schwrtz J, Katsouyanni K (1997) Air pollution and daily admissions for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in 6 European cities: results from the APHEA project. Eur Respir J 10:1064–1071
- Atkinson RW, Carey IM, Kent AJ et al (2014) Long-term exposure to outdoor air pollution and the incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a national English cohort. Occup Environ Med 72:42–48
- Brunekreef B, Holgate ST (2002) Air pollution and health. Lancet 360: 1233–1242
- Decramer M, Janssens W, Miravitlles M (2012) Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Lancet 379:1341–1351
- DerSimonian R, Laird N (1986) Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7:177–188
- DeVries R, Kriebel D, Sama S (2016) Low level air pollution and exacerbation of existing copd: a case crossover analysis. Environ Health 15:98
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M et al (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 315:629–634
- Eisner MD, Anthonisen N, Coultas D, Kuenzli N, Perez-Padilla R, Postma D, Romieu I, Silverman EK, Balmes JR, Committee on Nonsmoking COPD, Environmental and Occupational Health Assembly (2010) An official American Thoracic Society public policy statement: novel risk factors and the global burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 182: 693–718
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2005) Revision to the guideline on air quality models: adoption of a preferred general purpose (flat and complex terrain) dispersion model and other revisions. Fed Register 70:68218–68261
- Erbas B, Hyndman RJ (2005) Sensitivity of the estimated air pollutionrespiratory admissions relationship to statistical model choice. Int J Environ Health Res 15:437–448
- Faustini A, Stafoggia M, Cappai G, Forastiere F (2012) Short-term effects of air pollution in a cohort of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Epidemiology 23:861–879
- Faustini A, Stafoggia M, Colais P, Berti G, Bisanti L, Cadum E, Cernigliaro A, Mallone S, Scarnato C, Forastiere F, EpiAir Collaborative Group (2013) Air pollution and multiple acute respiratory outcomes. Eur Respir J 42:304–313
- Fischer P, Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Verhoeff A, van Wijnen J (2003) Air pollution and mortality in the Netherlands: are the elderly more at risk? Eur Respir J 21(Suppl 40):34s–38s
- Fusco D, Forastiere F, Michelozzi P, Spadea T, Ostro B, Arca M, Perucci CA (2001) Air pollution and hospital admissions for respiratory conditions in Rome, Italy. Eur Respir J 17:1143–1150
- Garn H, Siese A, Stumpf S, Barth PJ, Müller B, Gemsa D (2003) Shift toward an alternatively activated macrophage response in lungs of NO2-exposed rats. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 28:386–396
- Ghozikali MG, Mosaferi M, Safari GH et al (2014) Effect of exposure to O(3), NO(2), and SO(2) on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease hospitalizations in Tabriz, Iran. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 22:2817– 2823
- Ghozikali M, Heibati B, Naddafi K et al (2016) Evaluation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) attributed to atmospheric O3, NO2, and SO2 using air Q model (2011-2012 year). Environ Res 144:99–105
- Hansel NN, McCormack MC, Belli AJ et al (2012) In-home air pollution is linked to respiratory morbidity in former smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 187: 1085–1090
- HEI (2010) Traffic-related air pollution: a critical review of the literature on emissions, exposure, and health effects. Health Effects Institute, Boston
- Kan H, Chen B (2003) A case-crossover analysis of air pollution and daily mortality in Shanghai. J Occup Health 45:119–124
- Kienast K, Knorst M, Muller-Quernheim J et al (1996) Modulation of IL-1 beta, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-alpha, and TGF-beta secretions by alveolar macrophages under NO2 exposure. Lung 174:57–67
- Ko FW, Tam W, Wong TWet al (2008) Temporal relationship between air pollutants and hospital admissions for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Hong Kong. Thorax 62:780–785
- Li L, Yang J, Song YF, Chen PY, Ou CQ (2016) The burden of COPD mortality due to ambient air pollution in Guangzhou, China. Sci Rep 6:25900
- Lindgren A, Stroh E, Montnemery P et al (2009) Traffic-related air pollution associated with prevalence of asthma and COPD/chronic bronchitis. A cross-sectional study in Southern Sweden. Int J Health Geogr 8:2
- Mannino DM, Buist AS (2007) Global burden of COPD: risk factors, prevalence, and future trends. Lancet 370:765–773
- Meng X, Wang CC, Cao DC, Wong CM, Kan HD (2013) Short-term effect of ambient air pollution on COPD mortality in four Chinese cities. Atmos Environ 77:149–154
- Morgan G, Corbett S, Wlodarczyk J (1998) Air pollution and hospital admissions in Sydney, Australia, 1990 to 1994. Am J Public Health 88:1761–1766
- Naess O, Nafstad P, Aamodt G et al (2006) Relation between concentration of air pollution and cause-specific mortality: four-year exposures to nitrogen dioxide and particulate matter pollutants in 470 neighborhoods in Oslo, Norway. Am J Epidemiol 165:435–443
- Nafstad P, Haheim LL, Wisloff T et al (2004) Urban air pollution and mortality in a cohort of Norwegian men. Environ Health Perspect 112:610–615
- Neuberger M, Moshammer H, Kundi M (2002) Declining ambient air pollution and lung function improvement in Austrian children. Atmos Environ 36:1733–1736
- Papi A, Bellettato CM, Braccioni F, Romagnoli M, Casolari P, Caramori G, Fabbri LM, Johnston SL (2006) Infections and airway inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severe exacerbations. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 173:1114–1121
- Peacock JL, Anderson HR, Bremner SA, Marston L, Seemungal TA, Strachan DP, Wedzicha JA (2011) Outdoor air pollution and respiratory health in patients with COPD. Thorax 66:591–596
- Rajarathnam U, Sehgal M, Nairy S et al (2011) Part 2. Time-series study on air pollution and mortality in Delhi. Res Rep Health Eff Inst 157: 47–74
- Samet J, Krewski D (2007) Health effects associated with exposure to ambient air pollution. J Toxicol Environ Health A 70:227–242
- Schikowski T, Sugiri D, Ranft U, Gehring U, Heinrich J, Wichmann HE, Krämer U (2005) Long-term air pollution exposure and living close to busy roads are associated with COPD in women. Respir Res 6: 152
- Schikowski T, Adam M, Marcon A et al (2013) Association of ambient air pollution with the prevalence and incidence of COPD. Eur Respir J 44:614–626
- Tao Y, Mi S, Zhou S et al (2013) Air pollution and hospital admissions for respiratory diseases in Lanzhou, China. Environ Pollut 185:196– 201
- Vrijheid M, Casas M, Bergstrom A et al (2011) European birth cohorts for environmental health research. Environ Health Perspect 120:29–37
- Wegmann M, Fehrenbach A, Heimann S, Fehrenbach H, Renz H, Garn H, Herz U (2005) NO2-induced airway inflammation is associated

with progressive airflow limitation and development of emphysemalike lesions in C57bl/6 mice. Exp Toxicol Pathol 56:341–350

- WHO (2013) Review of evidence on health aspects of air pollution-REVIHAAP project: technical report, [www.euro.who.int/en/what](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/publications)[we-do/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/publications) [publications,](http://www.euro.who.int/en/what-we-do/health-topics/environment-and-health/air-quality/publications) WHO Regional Office for Europe
- Wong TW, Tam WS, Yu TS, Wong AH (2002) Associations between daily mortalities from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases and air pollution in Hong Kong, China. Occup Environ Med 59:30–35
- Wong CM, Vichit-Vadakan N, Kan H, Qian Z (2008) Public Health and Air Pollution in Asia (PAPA): a multicity study of short-term effects of air pollution on mortality. Environ Health Perspect 116:1195– 1202
- Yang QY, Chen Y, Krewski D, Burnett RT, Shi YL, McGrail KM (2005) Effect of short-term exposure to low levels of gaseous pollutants on chronic obstructive pulmonary disease hospitalizations. Environ Res 99:99–105
- Yorifuji T, Kashima S, Tsuda T, Takao S, Suzuki E, Doi H, Sugiyama M, Ishikawa-Takata K, Ohta T (2010) Long-term exposure to trafficrelated air pollution and mortality in Shizuoka, Japan. Occup Environ Med 67:111–117
- Yorifuji T, Kashima S, Tsuda T et al (2012) Long-term exposure to trafficrelated air pollution and the risk of death from hemorrhagic stroke and lung cancer in Shizuoka, Japan. Sci Total Environ 443:397–402
- Zhang Z, Wang J, Chen L, Chen X, Sun G, Zhong N, Kan H, Lu W (2014) Impact of haze and air pollution-related hazards on hospital admissions in Guangzhou, China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 21: 4236–4244
- Zhang Z, Wang J, Guo M et al (2015) Air quality improvement during 2010 Asian games on blood coagulability in COPD patients. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:6631–6638