**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# CrossMark

# The causal nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and agricultural ecosystem—an econometric approach

Samuel Asumadu-Sarkodie<sup>1</sup> · Phebe Asantewaa Owusu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 20 January 2016 / Accepted: 13 October 2016 / Published online: 27 October 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Achieving a long-term food security and preventing hunger include a better nutrition through sustainable systems of production, distribution, and consumption. Nonetheless, the quest for an alternative to increasing global food supply to meet the growing demand has led to the use of poor agricultural practices that promote climate change. Given the contribution of the agricultural ecosystem towards greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, this study investigated the causal nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and agricultural ecosystem by employing a data spanning from 1961 to 2012. Evidence from long-run elasticity shows that a 1 % increase in the area of rice paddy harvested will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.49 %, a 1 % increase in biomass-burned crop residues will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.00 %, a 1 % increase in cereal production will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.38 %, and a 1 % increase in agricultural machinery will decrease carbon dioxide emissions by 0.09 % in the long run. There was a bidirectional causality between carbon dioxide emissions, cereal production, and biomass-burned crop residues. The Granger causality shows that the agricultural ecosystem in Ghana is sensitive to climate change vulnerability.

Responsible editor: Philippe Garrigues

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11356-016-7908-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Samuel Asumadu-Sarkodie asumadusarkodiesamuel@yahoo.com

<sup>1</sup> Middle East Technical University, Northern Cyprus Campus, Kalkanli, Guzelyurt, TRNC 99738, via Mersin 10, Turkey **Keywords** Agriculture · Econometrics · Cointegration analysis · Carbon dioxide emissions · Ghana

JEL classification Q110 · Q120 · Q150 · Q160

#### Introduction

Climate change has gained global interest within the past decades due to their long-term effect on humanity. Real-world changes and growing scientific evidence prove that humanity is driving global environmental change leading the world into a new geological era-the Anthropocene (Steffen et al. 2011). Thus, a change in the Earth's global mean near-surface temperature shows a steady state due to doubling of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations in the atmosphere (Mohiuddin et al. 2016a; Owusu and Asumadu-Sarkodie 2016; Owusu et al. 2016; Zeebe 2013). Additional human-pressure stands a chance of causing a possible irreversible damage to planet earth resulting in extreme weather changes, food insecurity, and loss of biodiversity, which could hamper development and trigger global humanitarian crisis (Griggs et al. 2013). Possibility of halting human carbon dioxide today poses climate risks that would persist for millennia, leading to increasing global mean temperatures and sea-level rise (Davis et al. 2010; Friedlingstein and Solomon 2005; Keith 2009; Ramanathan and Feng 2008; Wigley 2005). Scientific studies suggest that the cumulative emissions of longexisting greenhouse gases are strongly related with the extent of global warming which by stabilizing global temperature via mitigating global greenhouse gas emissions will likely reduce the impact of climate change (Allen et al. 2009; Huntingford et al. 2012; Meinshausen et al. 2009).

The UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development is a "plan for action for people, planet, and prosperity." The Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 2 seeks to end hunger and achieve long-term food security, including better nutrition through sustainable systems of production, distribution, and consumption. These essential benefits for human development led to the establishment of the SDGs by the United Nations (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016d, g). Thus, agriculture is a significant component to achieving the SDG 2 and improving quality of life. However, the quest to increase global food supply to meet the increasing population has led to poor agricultural practices that are detrimental to the environment (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016h; Tilman et al. 2002).

According to IPCC report, agriculture, forestry, and other land use are the second contributing sector of global GHG (24 % of 49 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq) after electricity and heat production sector (IPCC 2014). Agricultural practices have doubled the natural rate of terrestrial nitrogen (N) fixation over hundreds of years leading to increased atmospheric nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), nitric oxide (NO), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) concentrations which contribute immensely to global climate change (Li and Lin 2000; Sanford et al. 2012). Animal and agricultural crop production systems including ruminants, animal waste, flooded rice fields, and biomass burning is the main sources and sinks for atmospheric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) which amount to one third of all global emissions (Mosier et al. 1998).

A number of scientific research (see Table 1) have studied the impact of agricultural ecosystem towards climate change, through the emission of gases such as nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, methane, carbon dioxide, and ammonia. The intensification of emissions is dependent on the type of crops, the indigenous factors related to soil type, weather patterns, and agricultural practices (Dobbie et al. 1999). Agricultural practices like fertilizer application have been found as the main contributor of atmospheric nitrous oxide emissions while livestock production and animal waste are the main contributors of ammonia (Li 2000; Parton et al. 2015). Farming practices like continuous cropping of food security crops like cassava, maize, and sorghum play a critical role in the exacerbation of emissions in Ghana (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016h). Studies show that agricultural soils, rice paddies, and crop residue burning have a potential to escalate global warming through the emission of nitrous oxide and methane (Bhatia et al. 2013). However, ammonia emissions have a seasonal pattern and a strong correlation with temperature, planting time, and agricultural practices (Xu et al. 2015).

Table 1 shows a compilation of scientific research on the impact of the agricultural ecosystem on greenhouse gas emissions. Previous studies (Table 1) have been limited to the traditional method of quantification and estimation, meta-analysis,

Table 1 Compilation of research on the impact of agricultural ecosystem on GHG emissions

Author (year)	Method	Dependent variables	Independent variables	Findings
Smil (1999)	Estimation	Nitrogen	Crop residue, fertilizers, animal manure, and crop harvest	Denitrification is the principal route to N removal
Dobbie et al. (1999)	Estimation	N <sub>2</sub> O	Arable land, grassland, fertilizer, and soil	Broccoli and potatoes give the same emissions as grassland
Huang et al. (2004)	Estimation	CH <sub>4</sub>	Soil, climate, and agricultural practice	Rice paddies increase CH <sub>4</sub> emissions
Hungate et al. (2009)	Meta-analysis	CO <sub>2</sub>	Soil and fertilizers	N fertilizer increases CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from soil
Couwenberg et al. (2010)	Meta-analysis	CO <sub>2</sub>	Peat soil, rice paddies, and fertilizers	Peatland re-wetting will reduce CH <sub>4</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> emissions
Hughes et al. (2011)	Estimation	CO <sub>2</sub>	Pesticides, crop disease, and barley	Fungicide treatment reduces GHG emissions
Li et al. (2012)	Multiple linear regression	N <sub>2</sub> O	Crop yield, temperature, rainfall, and fertilizer	N <sub>2</sub> O is correlated with fertilizer application
Bhatia et al. (2013)	Estimation	$N_2O$ and $CH_4$	Agricultural soils, rice paddies and crop residue burning	N <sub>2</sub> O emissions are due to inorganic fertilizer applied
Shcherbak et al. (2014)	Meta-analysis	N <sub>2</sub> O	Agricultural soils and fertilizers	N <sub>2</sub> O increases as N input increases in farming
Zhang et al. (2015)	Linear mixing model	CO <sub>2</sub>	Crop residue, crop harvest, and crop processing	Burning crop residues influences GHG emissions
Xu et al. (2015)	Estimation	NH <sub>3</sub>	Livestock manure and fertilizers	Emissions are correlated with agricultural practices
Hou et al. (2015)	Meta-analysis	$NH_3$ , $N_2O$ , and $CH_4$	Livestock manure (storage and application)	Proper farm management reduces GHG emissions
Mohamad et al. (2015)	Estimation	CO <sub>2</sub>	Land use, crop residues, soil cover, fertilizer, and manure	Manure application results in a negative net carbon flux

linear mixing model, and multiple linear regression analysis. Nevertheless, the use of modern econometric methods to analyze the impact of the agricultural ecosystem on GHG emissions is limited in the scope of the study. Modern econometric methods like vector error correction model and autoregression distribution lag have been employed in several studies to examine the causal relationship between environmental pollution, energy consumption, and macroeconomic variables in different countries (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016a, b, c, f; Mohiuddin et al. 2016a). However, the assessment of carbon dioxide emissions from developing countries like Ghana has been ignored for so long a time due to their low impact towards global climate change. It is estimated that 43 % of Ghana's GDP, 50 % of its export earnings, and 70 % of its total employment depends on agriculture and forestry (FAO 2016). As a result, climate change vulnerability is sensitive among population and economies that depend on agriculture and forestry. A recent study that examined the nexus between agricultural production and carbon dioxide emissions found a weak equilibrium relationship which dies over time (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016h). Due to sporadic and limited research in this area, little is known about the empirical relationship between the agricultural ecosystem and carbon dioxide emissions in Ghana.

Against the backdrop, the study makes an attempt to examine the causal nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and agricultural ecosystem using an econometric approach. Though similar studies have been done in developed and some developing countries, however, due to the diversity in socio-economic framework, institutional and political environment in Ghana, the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats in this environment may affect the outcome of the study. In addition, the study will serve as a reference tool for integrating climate change measures into national policies, strategies, and planning by the Government of Ghana. Given the immense contribution of agricultural ecosystem towards greenhouse gas emissions, the study examines the causal nexus between agricultural ecosystem and carbon dioxide emissions in Ghana. The study employs a multivariate cointegration analysis (Mohiuddin et al. 2016a), vector error correction model (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016b), and a Granger causality test (Granger 1988), to examine the cointegration, long-run effect, and the direction of causality of the area of rice paddy harvested, agricultural machinery (tractors), agriculture value added, biomass-burned crop residues, cereal production, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application, and stock of livestock towards carbon dioxide emissions.

#### Methodology

In order to examine the causal nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and agricultural ecosystem, the study employs a time series data from World Bank (2014) and FAO (2015) from 1961 to 2012. Ten variables are employed in the study, with carbon dioxide emissions ( $CO_2$ ) as the dependent variable while biomass-burned crop residues, agriculture value added, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, stock of livestock, agricultural machinery, area of rice paddy harvested, and cereal production are the independent variables (see Table 2).

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistical analysis of the study variables. Analysis from 52 observations shows that almost all the variables with the exception of agriculture value added and agricultural machinery have a long right tail (positive skewness); however, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers has the longest right tail while agricultural machinery has the longest left tail (negative skewness). Emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers and agricultural machinery show a leptokurtic distribution to the normal while the remaining variables show a platykurtic distribution to the normal. The Jarque-Bera test statistic operates under the null hypothesis that a series is normally distributed at a p value greater than 5 %. Since CO<sub>2</sub>, stock, and agricultural machinery are smaller than 5 % p value, the null hypothesis of the normal distribution is rejected. In other words, with the exception of CO<sub>2</sub>, stock, and agricultural machinery, the remaining variables are normally distributed (see Table 3). With the exception of agricultural machinery and agriculture value added in the Pearson's correlation, almost all the variables show a positive monotonical relationship with CO2 emissions; however, the strength of association is stronger between CO2 and enteric emissions of methane as rho ( $\rho$ ) approaches 1. Figure 1 shows the trend of variables over time. With the exception of agricultural machinery and agriculture value added, almost

 Table 2
 Data and variable definition

Variables	Definition	Source
Dependent		
$\dot{CO_2}$ independent	Carbon dioxide emissions (Kt)	WorldBank (2014)
BBCRDM	Biomass burned crop residues (dry matter; tonnes)	FAO (2015)
AVA	Agriculture, value added (% of GDP)	WorldBank (2014)
ECH4En	Enteric emissions of methane (Gg)	FAO (2015)
EN2OMA	Emissions of N <sub>2</sub> O from Manure application (Gg)	FAO (2015)
EMCO2eq N2OSF	Emissions of CO <sub>2</sub> eq of N <sub>2</sub> O from synthetic fertilizers (Gg)	FAO (2015)
Stock	Stock of livestock (head)	FAO (2015)
AMT	Agricultural machinery (tractors)	FAO (2015)
AARPH	Area of rice, paddy harvested (Ha)	FAO (2015)
CEP	Cereal production (tonnes)	FAO (2015)

Table 3	Descriptive	statistical	analysis
---------	-------------	-------------	----------

Variable	CO2	AVA	BBCRDM	STOCK	ECH4EN	EN2OMA	EMCO2EQN2OSF	AARPH	CEP	AMT
Mean	4488.48	45.26	592,844.31	22,701,538.46	59.15	0.19	58.30	89,573.13	1,233,442	1929.42
SD	2675.82	10.07	259,475.11	14,880,331.06	18.65	0.07	63.50	41,894.43	704,946	177.85
Median	3335.14	45.05	580,645	16,250,000	57.55	0.19	45.18	79,100	990,500	1940
Skew	0.76	-0.25	0.36	1.58	0.37	0.66	1.90	0.58	0.68	-2.81
Kurtosis	-0.71	-0.42	-0.84	1.56	-0.71	0.56	3.38	-0.21	-0.59	12.34
Jarque-Bera	6.089	0.779	2.431	29.363	2.086	5.056	$61.754 (0.000)^{a}$	3.093	4.837	435.983
1	$(0.048)^{a}$	(0.678)	(0.297)	$(0.000)^{a}$	(0.352)	(0.080)		(0.213)	(0.089)	$(0.000)^{a}$
Pearson's Correlation										
CO2	1									
AVA	-0.7077	1								
BBCRDM	0.9181	-0.6699	1							
STOCK	0.9114	-0.7494	0.8206	1						
ECH4EN	0.9603	-0.6568	0.9416	0.8982	1					
EN2OMA	0.8856	-0.5331	0.8797	0.8360	0.9373	1				
EMCO2EQN2OSF	0.8028	-0.3859	0.7400	0.7296	0.8015	0.9013	1			
AARPH	0.8482	-0.4573	0.7972	0.8597	0.8456	0.8566	0.8225	1		
CEP	0.9260	-0.7242	0.9616	0.8620	0.9346	0.8446	0.6840	0.8158	1	
AMT	-0.0867	0.3930	-0.0804	-0.0544	0.0677	0.0694	0.0252	0.0786	-0.0978	1

<sup>a</sup> denotes rejection of the null hypothesis that variables are normally distributed.



Fig. 1 Trend of variables over time

all the variables increase periodically but is, however, inconclusive without making an inferential analysis.

**Model estimation** The study employs the vector error correction (VEC) model, which has been used frequently in existing literature at macroeconomic levels. This modern econometric approach has been utilized in global research (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016b, f). Granger causality is employed to ascertain the direction of the causal relationship between the variables under investigation (Granger 1969). For brevity, the model estimation is available in the Appendix.

## **Results and discussion**

This section presents the results and the discussion of the study outcome. After estimating the VEC model of cointegrated variables, Granger causality, diagnostic, and stability tests were performed to ascertain the direction of the causal relationship between study variables, to verify and validate the estimated model.

**Unit root test** Performing unit root test is a requirement before estimating the Johansen method of cointegration. Table 4 presents the results of augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron (PP) unit root tests. The null hypothesis cannot be rejected by both ADF and PP unit root tests at 5 % level but rejected at first difference. Hence, the variables are integrated at I(1).

**Johansen's test of cointegration** This method is used in order to determine the existence of a cointegration among the variables using the selected optimal lags. Table 5 presents the results of unrestricted cointegration rank test for the variables. The trace test statistic indicates six cointegrating equations while Max-eigenvalue test statistic indicates five cointegrating equations at the 5 % level.

**Long-run equilibrium relationship** The study employs six cointegrating equations and two optimal lags in the VEC model. Table 6 presents the results of the long-run equilibrium relationship based on VEC model. Results from Table 6 shows that the speed of adjustment (CointEq1 = -0.96) in the VEC model is negative and statistically significant at the 5 % level, showing the existence of a long-run equilibrium

Table 4 ADF and PP unit root tests

Unit root level	Variables	ADF		РР		1st difference	ADF		PP	
		Test statistic	Prob	Test statistic	Prob		Test statistic	Prob	Test statistic	Prob
None	CO2	5.7044	1.0000	8.2651	1.0000	None	-1.7256	0.0799	-9.7269	0.0000
	AARPH	0.7457	0.8723	1.7458	0.9792		-8.9245	0.0000	-9.0475	0.0000
	AMT	-1.6963	0.0848	0.7758	0.8779		-9.7304	0.0000	-22.1955	0.0000
	AVA	-0.9884	0.2849	-1.0016	0.2800		-6.0470	0.0000	-6.1670	0.0000
	BBCRDM	3.3941	0.9997	4.7012	1.0000		-8.1062	0.0000	-8.3983	0.0000
	CEP	2.0787	0.9901	4.0546	1.0000		-10.3803	0.0000	-11.1619	0.0000
	ECH4EN	2.6557	0.9977	3.8014	0.9999		-2.4723	0.0144	-4.7710	0.0000
	EMCO2EQN2OSF	1.8116	0.9818	0.8581	0.8923		-8.5252	0.0000	-8.5896	0.0000
	EN2OMA	3.1895	0.9995	-3.5691	0.0006		-6.0655	0.0000	-6.2744	0.0000
	STOCK	1.7984	0.9813	3.0931	0.9993		-1.8924	0.0564	-4.1719	0.0001
Intercept	CO2	2.7778	1.0000	-0.5350	0.8754	Intercept	-6.4047	0.0000	-32.0259	0.0001
*	AARPH	-0.9728	0.7562	-1.7302	0.4103	*	-9.1730	0.0000	-9.7266	0.0000
	AMT	-0.7303	0.8285	-7.5790	0.0000		-9.4598	0.0000	-26.1049	0.0001
	AVA	0.2996	0.9759	0.8489	0.9940		-6.2143	0.0000	-6.1395	0.0000
	BBCRDM	1.4765	0.9990	-0.7290	0.8299		-7.2554	0.0000	-13.8731	0.0000
	CEP	0.4790	0.9843	-0.6956	0.8385		-10.7420	0.0000	-17.7593	0.0000
	ECH4EN	1.0673	0.9967	-2.0952	0.2474		-3.3092	0.0198	-6.5824	0.0000
	EMCO2EQN2OSF	1.1103	0.9970	-1.9097	0.3254		-8.6669	0.0000	-8.9418	0.0000
	EN2OMA	0.9384	0.9953	-1.2461	0.6474		-7.2740	0.0000	-7.2752	0.0000
	STOCK	8.4862	1.0000	0.8713	0.9943		-2.6861	0.0837	-5.5558	0.0000
Trend and intercept	CO2	-0.6405	0.9717	-4.6074	0.0028	Trend and intercept	-8.4854	0.0000	-31.3198	0.0001
*	AARPH	-2.5217	0.3169	-3.1589	0.1043	•	-9.5249	0.0000	-9.6435	0.0000
	AMT	-3.1025	0.1181	-21.8628	0.0001		-4.4559	0.0049	-21.4594	0.0001
	AVA	-1.5207	0.8086	-0.3523	0.9868		-7.1473	0.0000	-8.6195	0.0000
	BBCRDM	-4.0667	0.0124	-4.2692	0.0072		-6.7335	0.0000	-13.6514	0.0000
	CEP	-1.9532	0.6119	-4.8337	0.0014		-8.1546	0.0000	-17.6809	0.0000
	ECH4EN	-0.8211	0.9564	-4.1167	0.0109		-16.0336	0.0000	-6.6940	0.0000
	EMCO2EQN2OSF	-0.9635	0.9389	-2.7103	0.2370		-7.2804	0.0000	-8.8179	0.0000
	EN2OMA	-0.3802	0.9858	-2.0341	0.5690		-6.6180	0.0000	-7.2279	0.0000
	STOCK	4.8097	1.0000	-0.4791	0.9815		-13.6809	0.0000	-5.7202	0.0001

Table 5	Unrestricted	cointegration	rank test	(trace and	maximum	Eigenval	ue)
				V		0	~ ~ /

Hypothesized no. of $CE(s) = r$	Eigenvalue	Trace statistic	Critical value	Prob	Max-Eigen statistic	Critical value	Prob
r = 0	0.9585	520.6413	259.0294	$0.0000^{a}$	155.8909	67.9103	$0.0000^{a}$
$r \leq 1$	0.9049	364.7504	215.1232	$0.0000^{a}$	115.2879	61.8055	$0.0000^{a}$
$r \leq 2$	0.7132	249.4625	175.1715	$0.0000^{a}$	61.1987	55.7282	0.0129 <sup>a</sup>
$r \leq 3$	0.6733	188.2638	139.2753	$0.0000^{a}$	54.8198	49.5863	0.0131 <sup>a</sup>
$r \leq 4$	0.6125	133.4439	107.3466	$0.0003^{a}$	46.4545	43.4198	0.0227 <sup>a</sup>
$r \leq 5$	0.4874	86.9894	79.3415	$0.0117^{a}$	32.7419	37.1636	0.1480
$r \le 6$	0.3842	54.2475	55.2458	0.0611	23.7544	30.8151	0.2839
$r \leq 7$	0.3255	30.4930	35.0109	0.1405	19.2982	24.2520	0.1977
$r \leq 8$	0.1960	11.1949	18.3977	0.3726	10.6888	17.1477	0.3368
$r \leq 9$	0.0103	0.5061	3.8415	0.4768	0.5061	3.8415	0.4768

<sup>a</sup> Rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level

relationship running from biomass-burned crop residues, agriculture value added, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, stock of livestock, agricultural machinery, area of rice paddy harvested, and cereal production to carbon dioxide emissions. Thus, the variables can converge in the long-run regardless of possible shocks in the short-run. Ninety-six percent of the past year's disequilibria are corrected in the present year, signifying a good speed of adjustment in the relationship between agricultural ecosystem and carbon dioxide emissions following a shock.

**Long-run elasticity** In addition, a 1 % increase in the area of rice paddy harvested will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.49 % in the long run, a 1 % increase in biomass-burned crop residues will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.00 % in the long run, a 1 % increase in cereal production will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.38 % in the long run, while a 1 % increase in agricultural machinery will decrease carbon dioxide emissions by 0.09 % in the long run.

**Short-run equilibrium relationship** A Wald's test of coefficient restriction was used to check the short-run equilibrium relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variables. Results from Table 7 show no evidence of short-run equilibrium relationship. The results suggest that the short-run effect of the area of rice paddy harvested, agricultural machinery (tractors), agriculture value added, biomass-burned crop residues, cereal production, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application, and stock of livestock may not occur in the short-term but will rather increase carbon dioxide emissions in the long run.

Granger causality tests After estimating the cointegration between the variables using the Johansen's test of cointegration and establishing a long-run equilibrium relationship with the vector error correction model, the direction of causality among the variables is uncertain. From this regard, the study examines the direction of causality among the variables using the Granger causality test. Table 8 presents the results of pairwise Granger causality test. Table 8 shows a bidirectional causality between carbon dioxide emissions ↔ cereal production and carbon dioxide emissions  $\leftrightarrow$  biomass-burned crop residues and a unidirectional causality between: carbon dioxide emissions  $\rightarrow$  area of rice paddy harvested, carbon dioxide emissions  $\rightarrow$  agricultural machinery, carbon dioxide emissions  $\rightarrow$  agriculture value added, carbon dioxide emissions  $\rightarrow$  enteric emissions of methane, and carbon dioxide emissions → emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers.

**Diagnostics and robustness of the VEC model** In order to check the robustness of the model, the VEC model was subjected to a series of diagnostic tests. Table 9 presents the diagnostic tests of the VEC model. The results from Table 9 shows that the residuals are normally distributed (Jarque-Bera test), no residual serial correlation exists (Lagrange multiplier test), and no conditional heteroskedasticity exists in the model (Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test). Figure 2 depicts the roots of linear recurrence relation in the VEC model. Figure 2 shows that the VEC model specification imposes only one unit root without a single root outside the circle, meaning that the VEC model obeys the VAR stability conditions thus, statistically valid and robust to make unbiased inferences.

**Discussion** The bidirectional causality between carbon dioxide emissions and cereal production shows that increasing or decreasing carbon dioxide emissions in Ghana would result in either positive or negative outcome. An elevated level of

Table 6 Vector (	error correction	n model estimates							
Error correction	D(LCO2)	D(LAARPH)	D(LAMT)	D(LAVA)	D(LBBCRDM)	D(LCEP)	D(LECH4EN)	D(LEMC02EQN20SF)	D(LEN2OMA)
CointEq 1	-0.9569	1.4858	-0.0939	-0.1038	1.0038	1.3848	-0.0493	1.4166	-0.0637
Standard error	-0.3375	-0.5450	-0.0388	-0.1610	-0.3534	-0.4058	-0.0681	-1.2962	-0.1653
T stats	-2.8351	2.7265	-2.4205	-0.6444	2.8407	3.4121	-0.7230	1.0929	-0.3856
Prob	0.0050*	0.0069*	$0.0164^{*}$	0.5200	0.0049*	0.0008*	0.4705	0.2757	0.7002
CointEq2	-0.0824	0.2373	0.0774	0.1462	0.3624	0.1423	0.0469	0.9243	0.1172
Standard error	-0.1710	-0.2761	-0.0197	-0.0816	-0.1791	-0.2056	-0.0345	-0.6568	-0.0837
T stats	-0.4818	0.8593	3.9370	1.7920	2.0240	0.6919	1.3578	1.4073	1.3995
Prob	0.6305	0.3912	0.0001*	$0.0746^{**}$	0.0442*	0.4898	0.1760	0.1608	0.1631
CointEq3	-0.1519	-4.0344	-1.0179	-0.3420	-2.1573	-2.7810	-0.2541	-3.2661	-2.0690
Standard error	-1.4748	-2.3811	-0.1695	-0.7036	-1.5440	-1.7733	-0.2977	-5.6633	-0.7221
T stats	-0.1030	-1.6944	-6.0061	-0.4860	-1.3972	-1.5683	-0.8536	-0.5767	-2.8654
Prob	0.9181	$0.0917^{**}$	0.0000*	0.6275	0.1638	0.1183	0.3943	0.5648	$0.0046^{*}$
CointEq4	0.2114	-0.2810	-0.1015	-0.6519	-0.5926	-0.9107	-0.0696	2.7848	-0.0796
Standard error	-0.3558	-0.5745	-0.0409	-0.1698	-0.3725	-0.4278	-0.0718	-1.3664	-0.1742
T stats	0.5942	-0.4891	-2.4819	-3.8403	-1.5907	-2.1286	-0.9687	2.0381	-0.4570
Prob	0.5530	0.6253	0.0139*	0.0002*	0.1132	0.0345*	0.3338	$0.0428^{*}$	0.6481
CointEq5	0.0585	-1.1591	0.0032	-0.1103	0.1703	0.7665	0.2221	-3.6612	-0.0786
Standard error	-0.4381	-0.7074	-0.0504	-0.2090	-0.4587	-0.5268	-0.0884	-1.6825	-0.2145
T stats	0.1336	-1.6386	0.0630	-0.5278	0.3714	1.4550	2.5112	-2.1761	-0.3666
Prob	0.8938	0.1028	0.9498	0.5982	0.7107	0.1472	$0.0128^{*}$	$0.0307^{*}$	0.7143
CointEq6	0.5800	-0.6860	-0.0338	0.0352	-1.0309	-1.4559	-0.1248	0.0978	-0.0794
Standard error	-0.2887	-0.4661	-0.0332	-0.1377	-0.3022	-0.3471	-0.0583	-1.1085	-0.1413
T stats	2.0094	-1.4719	-1.0188	0.2554	-3.4110	-4.1946	-2.1419	0.0882	-0.5619
Prob	0.0458*	0.1425	0.3095	0.7987	0.0008*	0.0000*	0.0333*	0.9298	0.5748

D(LSTOCK)

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.0493\\ -0.1376\\ 0.3580\\ 0.3580\\ 0.7207\\ 0.1208\\ 0.1208\\ 0.1208\\ 0.1208\\ 0.17318\\ 0.0501\\ -0.0697\\ 0.0848^{***}\\ 0.0848^{***}\\ 0.0833\\ 0.0833\\ 0.0833\\ 0.0833\\ 0.0934\\ 0.0153^{**}\\ 0.0248^{**}\\ 0.0248^{**}\\ 0.0984\end{array}$ 

7	
5	
ð	
5	
ర	
E	
3	
ŭ	
Ξ	
5	1
. 53	
8	
0	
-	
H	
60	
<u>.</u> 2	
8	
Ĕ	
Z	
ă	
>	2
4	
12	
Ξ	
ō	
문	
÷	
0	
Ö	
÷Ξ	
8	
· 5	2
Ľ	
×.	
33	
*	
÷	
vel: **	
evel: **	
: level: **	
ce level: **	
nce level: **	
cance level: **	
ficance level: **	•
ufficance level: **	
znificance level: **	`
significance level: **	) )
significance level: **	) )
% significance level: **	) )
5 % significance level: **	) )
t 5 % significance level: **	ý
at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	ò
s at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	ò
sis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	ò
tesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	, )
thesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	) )
bothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	) )
vpothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	, ,
hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
I hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
ull hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
e null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	, ,
f the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	, ,
of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
1 of the null hypothesis at 5 % significance level: **	
on of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
tion of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
sction of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	
ection of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	, ,
Rejection of the null hypothesis at 5 $\%$ significance level: **	

-0.1177 0.8360 0.4041

Table 7 Short-run equilibrium relationship based on VEC model

Wald test	F statistic	Prob	Chi-square	Prob
LAARPH	1.9961	0.1608	3.9923	0.1359
LAMT	0.0532	0.9483	0.1065	0.9481
LAVA	0.1801	0.8364	0.3603	0.8351
LBBCRDM	0.2244	0.8009	0.4488	0.7990
LCEP	2.0837	0.1495	4.1673	0.1245
LECH4EN	0.5090	0.6083	1.0180	0.6011
LEMCO2EQN2OSF	0.0541	0.9475	0.1081	0.9474
LEN2OMA	1.6240	0.2209	3.2480	0.1971
LSTOCK	0.7261	0.4956	1.4521	0.4838

carbon dioxide emissions in the atmosphere leads to climate change which results in increasing temperature and changing rainfall patterns affecting cereal production. A study by Fitzgerald et al. (2010) suggests that elevated carbon dioxide emissions alter crop physiology, grain quality, yield, growth, pest and disease dynamics, and soil processes, which might change future human nutrition and cropping systems and patterns. In the reverse option, cereals absorb carbon dioxide to prepare its food through a process called photosynthesis. A recent study showed that some cereals' biomass increased significantly by 25–30 % due to carbon dioxide emissions (Fitzgerald et al. 2010). In contrast, the long-run elasticity in Table 6 shows that an increasing rate of cereal production will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.38 %, which may be

Table 8Pairwise Grangercausality tests

due to poor agricultural practices in the production of cereals in Ghana.

The bidirectional causality between carbon dioxide emissions and biomass-burned crop residues is multifaceted. Some studies (Awasthi et al. 2010; Kludze et al. 2013; Viana et al. 2013) suggest that biomass-burned crop residues lead to economic loss, health impacts, and are not sustainable due to its environmental impact like greenhouse gas emissions, disruption of the physical, chemical, and biological composition of soil quality, and its effect on crop yield. On the contrary, some studies (Kutcher and Malhi 2010; Vasilica et al. 2014) suggest that burning biomass of crop residues in the field has been proven to control the emergence of invasive weed species, insects, crop diseases, repairs damaged soil structure, improves soil water retention, improves soil fertility, increase the total organic carbon, increase the total soil nitrogen, improve soil-aggregated stability, etc. which directly affect agricultural productivity. However, evidence from the longrun elasticity in Table 6 shows that an increasing rate of biomass-burned crop residues will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.00 % in Ghana. Burning biomass of crop residues is one of the unsustainable farming practices in Ghana which has received public health concern as it underpins environmental and health problems like air pollution. Changes in farming practices will undoubtedly improve Ghana's agricultural sector while curbing environmental pollution and pollutionrelated health problems.

Null hypothesis	Obs	F statistic	Prob
LAARPH does not Granger cause LCO2	50	0.7402	0.4827
LCO2 does not Granger cause LAARPH		3.2776	0.0469*
LAMT does not Granger cause LCO2	50	1.8129	0.1749
LCO2 does not Granger cause LAMT		14.4716	0.0000*
LAVA does not Granger cause LCO2	50	1.2811	0.2877
LCO2 does not Granger cause LAVA		5.6585	0.0064*
LBBCRDM does not Granger cause LCO2	50	3.6442	0.0341*
LCO2 does not Granger cause LBBCRDM		4.5148	0.0163*
LCEP does not Granger cause LCO2	50	6.6093	0.0030*
LCO2 does not Granger cause LCEP		4.0736	0.0237*
LECH4EN does not Granger cause LCO2	50	2.0094	0.1459
LCO2 does not Granger cause LECH4EN		3.8215	0.0293*
LEMCO2EQN2OSF does not Granger cause LCO2	50	0.4312	0.6524
LCO2 does not Granger cause LEMCO2EQN2OSF		3.1944	0.0504**
LEN2OMA does not Granger cause LCO2	50	0.3416	0.7125
LCO2 does not Granger cause LEN2OMA		1.2646	0.2922
LSTOCK does not Granger cause LCO2	50	0.2872	0.7517
LCO2 does not Granger cause LSTOCK		1.3589	0.2673

\*Rejection of the null hypothesis at 0.05 significance level; \*\*rejection of the null hypothesis at 0.10 significance level

Table 9VECM diagnostics test

Component	Jarque-Bera	df	Prob
1	2.8039	2	0.2461
2	0.3005	2	0.8605
3	0.7714	2	0.6800
4	2.0885	2	0.3520
5	0.2028	2	0.9036
6	0.5006	2	0.7786
7	4.3003	2	0.1165
8	2.0422	2	0.3602
9	0.2375	2	0.8880
10	0.8853	2	0.6423
Joint	14.1330	20	0.8237
Heteroskedasticit	y test: Breusch-Pag	an-Godfrey	
F statistic	1.4155	Prob <i>F</i> (30, 18)	0.2216
VEC residual ser	ial correlation LM	tests	
Lags	LM-Stat		Prob
1	117.2869		0.1141
2	108.4362		0.2652
3	123.3564		0.0566

## Conclusion

In this study, the causal nexus between carbon dioxide emissions and agricultural ecosystem was examined by employing a data spanning from 1961 to 2012. Evidence from the study shows that the variables are integrated at I(1) and cointegrated. There was an indication of a long-run equilibrium relationship running from the area of rice paddy harvested, agricultural machinery (tractors), agriculture value added, biomassburned crop residues, cereal production, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, emissions of nitrous oxide



from manure application, and stock of livestock to carbon dioxide emissions.

The study shows that a 1 % increase in the area of rice paddy harvested will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.49 % in the long run, a 1 % increase in biomass-burned crop residues will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.00 % in the long run, a 1 % increase in cereal production will increase carbon dioxide emissions by 1.38 % in the long run, while a 1 % increase in agricultural machinery (tractors) will decrease carbon dioxide emissions by 0.09 % in the long run.

The Granger causality shows a bidirectional causality between carbon dioxide emissions and cereal production and carbon dioxide emissions and biomass-burned crop residues. In addition, there was a unidirectional causality running from carbon dioxide emissions to the area of rice paddy harvested, carbon dioxide emissions to agricultural machinery, carbon dioxide emissions to agriculture value added, carbon dioxide emissions to enteric emissions, and carbon dioxide emissions to emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application.

Results from the vector error correction model shows that the combined effect of biomass-burned crop residues, agriculture value added, enteric emissions of methane, emissions of nitrous oxide from manure application, emissions of carbon dioxide equivalent of nitrous oxide from synthetic fertilizers, stock of livestock, agricultural machinery, area of rice paddy harvested, and cereal production affect carbon dioxide emissions in the long run. A visual inspection of the regression line in Fig. 1 throws more light on the vector error correction model. It is evidential that an increase in value-added agricultural products and agricultural machinery like tractors and wheelers reduce the rate of carbon dioxide emissions. Harvest and post-harvest losses have become the major burden of Ghana's agricultural sector. The majority of Ghana's farming communities are located in rural areas with low harvest and post-harvest management systems which affect the carbon footprint as agricultural products deteriorate. Poor value addition to agricultural products has recently affected the food commodity market leading to an extreme food price volatility. Improving the use of energy-saving agricultural machinery in Ghana would help reduce carbon dioxide emissions in the long run. Improving manure management by employing carbonization technologies for livestock excretion thus, utilization of manure for energy generation would reduce enteric emissions of methane thereby reducing carbon dioxide emissions. Employing high-speed puddling machines in the area of rice paddy harvested would play a role in the reduction of methane emissions from rice harvesting. Cycle usage of biomass residues into effective use rather than burning it in open fields would help reduce carbon dioxide emissions. A recent study shows that biomass residue can be used to generate electricity while providing economic advantages (Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu 2016e; Mohiuddin et al. 2016b). Finally, reducing the use and input of fertilizer on farm land during

crop production would help reduce nitrous oxide emissions thereby reducing environmental pollution.

Future studies should employ multivariate analysis methods like non-linear iterative partial least squares or statistically inspired modification of partial least squares that are capable of dealing with problems of multicollinearity which falls short in econometric methods like vector error correction model which limits the use of important explanatory variables.

Acknowledgment SAS expresses his sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ali Cevat Taşıran of Middle East Technical University, Northern Cyprus campus, who provided the seed and earlier guidance for this research.

#### References

- Allen MR, Frame DJ, Huntingford C, Jones CD, Lowe JA, Meinshausen M, Meinshausen N (2009) Warming caused by cumulative carbon emissions towards the trillionth tonne. Nature 458:1163–1166
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016a) Carbon dioxide emission, electricity consumption, industrialization and economic growth nexus: the Beninese case. Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy. doi:10.1080/15567249.2016.1217286
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016b) Carbon dioxide emissions, GDP, energy use and population growth: a multivariate and causality analysis for Ghana, 1971-2013. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23: 13508–13520. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6511-x
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016c) The causal effect of carbon dioxide emissions, electricity consumption, economic growth and industrialization in Sierra Leone. Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy. doi:10.1080 /15567249.2016.1225135
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016d) The causal nexus between energy use, carbon dioxide emissions and macroeconomic variables in Ghana. Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy. doi:10.1080/15567249.2016.1225134
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016e) Feasibility of biomass heating system in Middle East Technical University. Northern Cyprus Campus Cogent Engineering 3:1134304. doi:10.1080 /23311916.2015.1134304
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016f) Multivariate co-integration analysis of the Kaya factors in Ghana. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:9934–9943. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6245-9
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016g) Recent evidence of the relationship between carbon dioxide emissions, energy use, GDP and population in Ghana: a linear regression approach energy sources, part B: economics, planning, and policy. doi:10.1080/ 15567249.2016.1208304
- Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Owusu PA (2016h) The relationship between carbon dioxide and agriculture in Ghana: a comparison of VECM and ARDL model. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 23:10968–10982. doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6252-x
- Awasthi A, Singh N, Mittal S, Gupta PK, Agarwal R (2010) Effects of agriculture crop residue burning on children and young on PFTs in North West India. Sci Total Environ 408:4440–4445
- Bhatia A, Jain N, Pathak H (2013) Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from Indian rice paddies, agricultural soils and crop residue burning. Greenhouse Gases-Science and Technology 3:196–211. doi:10.1002/ghg.1339
- Couwenberg J, Dommain R, Joosten H (2010) Greenhouse gas fluxes from tropical peatlands in south-east Asia. Glob Chang Biol 16: 1715–1732

- Davis SJ, Caldeira K, Matthews HD (2010) Future CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and climate change from existing energy infrastructure. Science 329: 1330–1333
- Dobbie KE, McTaggart IP, Smith KA (1999) Nitrous oxide emissions from intensive agricultural systems: variations between crops and seasons, key driving variables, and mean emission factors. J Geophys Res-Atmos 104:26891–26899. doi:10.1029/1999 jd900378
- FAO (2015) FAO statistical yearbooks-world food and agriculture. http://faostat3.fao.org/home/E. Accessed 24 October 2015
- FAO (2016) Introduction & status of the forestry sector in Ghana. http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/ab567e/AB567E02.htm. Accessed 16 July 2016
- Fitzgerald G et al (2010) Future effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on wheat production—an overview of FACE research in Victoria, Australia. In: Food security from sustainable agriculture. 15th Agronomy Conference, 15–18 November 2010, Lincoln, New Zealand. Australian Society of Agronomy, Gosford, NSW
- Friedlingstein P, Solomon S (2005) Contributions of past and present human generations to committed warming caused by carbon dioxide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:10832–10836
- Granger CW (1969) Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. Econometrica 424–438
- Granger CW (1988) Some recent development in a concept of causality. J Econ 39:199–211
- Griggs D et al (2013) Policy: sustainable development goals for people and planet. Nature 495:305–307
- Hou Y, Velthof GL, Oenema O (2015) Mitigation of ammonia, nitrous oxide and methane emissions from manure management chains: a meta-analysis and integrated assessment. Glob Chang Biol 21: 1293–1312
- Huang Y, Zhang W, Zheng X, Li J, Yu Y (2004) Modeling methane emission from rice paddies with various agricultural practices. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 109:1984–2012
- Hughes DJ, West JS, Atkins SD, Gladders P, Jeger MJ, Fitt BD (2011) Effects of disease control by fungicides on greenhouse gas emissions by UK arable crop production. Pest Manag Sci 67:1082–1092
- Hungate BA et al (2009) Assessing the effect of elevated carbon dioxide on soil carbon: a comparison of four meta-analyses. Glob Chang Biol 15:2020–2034
- Huntingford C, Lowe JA, Gohar LK, Bowerman NH, Allen MR, Raper SC, Smith SM (2012) The link between a global 2 C warming threshold and emissions in years 2020, 2050 and beyond. Environ Res Lett 7:014039
- IPCC (2014) Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg3/. Accessed 16 April 2016
- Keith DW (2009) Why capture CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere? Science 325: 1654–1655
- Kludze H, Deen B, Weersink A, van Acker R, Janovicek K, De Laporte A, McDonald I (2013) Estimating sustainable crop residue removal rates and costs based on soil organic matter dynamics and rotational complexity. Biomass Bioenergy 56:607–618
- Kutcher H, Malhi S (2010) Residue burning and tillage effects on diseases and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and canola (*Brassica napus*). Soil Tillage Res 109:153–160
- Li CS (2000) Modeling trace gas emissions from agricultural ecosystems. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 58:259-276. doi:10.1023 /A:1009859006242
- Li Y, Lin ED (2000) Emissions of N2O, NH3 and NOx from fuel combustion, industrial processes and the agricultural sectors in China. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 57:99–106
- Li Y, Barton L, Chen D (2012) Simulating response of N2O emissions to fertiliser N application and climatic variability from a rain-fed and wheat-cropped soil in Western Australia. J Sci Food Agric 92:1130–1143

- Meinshausen M et al (2009) Greenhouse-gas emission targets for limiting global warming to 2 C. Nature 458:1158–1162
- Mohamad R, Verrastro V, Al Bitar L, Roma R, Moretti M, Al Chami Z (2015) Effect of different agricultural practices on carbon emission and carbon stock in organic and conventional olive systems. Soil Research
- Mohiuddin O, Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Obaidullah M (2016a) The relationship between carbon dioxide emissions, energy consumption, and GDP: a recent evidence from Pakistan. Cogent Engineering 3: 1210491. doi:10.1080/23311916.2016.1210491
- Mohiuddin O, Mohiuddin A, Obaidullah M, Ahmed H, Asumadu-Sarkodie S (2016b) Electricity production potential and social Benefits from Rice husk, a case study in Pakistan. Cogent Engineering 3:1177156. doi:10.1080/23311916.2016.1177156
- Mosier A, Kroeze C, Nevison C, Oenema O, Seitzinger S, Van Cleemput O (1998) Closing the global N2O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst 52: 225–248
- Owusu P, Asumadu-Sarkodie S (2016) A review of renewable energy sources. Sustainability Issues and Climate Change Mitigation Cogent Engineering 3:1167990. doi:10.1080/23311916.2016.1167990
- Owusu PA, Asumadu-Sarkodie S, Ameyo P (2016) A review of Ghana's water resource management and the future prospect. Cogent Engineering 3:1164275. doi:10.1080/23311916.2016.1164275
- Parton WJ, Gutmann MP, Merchant ER, Hartman MD, Adler PR, McNeal FM, Lutz SM (2015) Measuring and mitigating agricultural greenhouse gas production in the US Great Plains, 1870–2000. Proc Natl Acad Sci 112:E4681–E4688
- Ramanathan V, Feng Y (2008) On avoiding dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system: formidable challenges ahead. Proc Natl Acad Sci 105:14245–14250
- Sanford RA et al (2012) Unexpected nondenitrifier nitrous oxide reductase gene diversity and abundance in soils. Proc Natl Acad Sci 109: 19709–19714

- Shcherbak I, Millar N, Robertson GP (2014) Global metaanalysis of the nonlinear response of soil nitrous oxide (N2O) emissions to fertilizer nitrogen. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:9199–9204
- Smil V (1999) Nitrogen in crop production: an account of global flows. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 13:647–662
- Steffen W et al (2011) The Anthropocene: from global change to planetary stewardship. Ambio 40:739–761
- Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S (2002) Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. Nature 418:671–677
- Vasilica S, Fîntîneru G, Mihalache M (2014) Multicriteria analysis of the effects of field burning crop residues. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 42:255–262
- Viana M et al (2013) Evidence of biomass burning aerosols in the Barcelona urban environment during winter time. Atmos Environ 72:81–88
- Wigley TM (2005) The climate change commitment. Science 307:1766– 1769
- World Bank (2014) World Development Indicators. http://data. worldbank.org/country. Accessed 24 October 2015
- Xu P, Zhang Y, Gong W, Hou X, Kroeze C, Gao W, Luan S (2015) An inventory of the emission of ammonia from agricultural fertilizer application in China for 2010 and its high-resolution spatial distribution Atmospheric Environment
- Zeebe RE (2013) Time-dependent climate sensitivity and the legacy of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110:13739–13744
- Zhang T, Wooster MJ, Green DC, Main B (2015) New field-based agricultural biomass burning trace gas, PM 2.5, and black carbon emission ratios and factors measured in situ at crop residue fires in Eastern China. Atmospheric Environment