**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 



# Influences of anthropogenic activities and topography on water quality in the highly regulated Huai River basin, China

Wei Shi<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Jun Xia<sup>1,2,3</sup> · Xiang Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Received: 12 April 2016 / Accepted: 1 August 2016 / Published online: 10 August 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Our study analyzed the spatio-temporal trends of four major water quality parameters (i.e., dissolved oxygen (DO), ammonium nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), total phosphorus (TP) and permanganate index (COD<sub>Mn</sub>)) at 17 monitoring stations in one of the most polluted large river basins, Huai River Basin, in China during 2005 to 2014. More concerns were emphasized on the attributions, e.g., anthropogenic actives (land cover, pollution load, water temperature, and regulated flow) and natural factors (topography) to the changes in the water quality. The seasonal Mann-Kendall test indicated that water quality conditions were significantly improved during the study period. The results given by the Moran's I methods demonstrated that NH3-N and CODMn existed a weak and moderate positive spatial autocorrelation. Two cluster centers of significant high concentrations can be detected for DO and TP at the Mengcheng and Huaidian station, respectively, while four cluster centers of significant low concentrations for DO at Wangjiaba and Huaidian station in the 2010s. Multiple linear regression analysis suggested that water temperature, regulated flow, and load of water quality could

Responsible editor: Kenneth Mei Yee Leung

Wei Shi Weishi1208@163.com

- Jun Xia xiajun666@whu.edu.cn
- <sup>1</sup> State Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Hydropower Engineering Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
- <sup>2</sup> Hubei Provincial Collaborative Innovation center for Water Resources Security, Wuhan 430072, China
- <sup>3</sup> The Research Institute for Water Security, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

significantly influence the water quality variations. Addi tionally, urban land cover was the primary predictor for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> at large scale. The predictive ability of regression models for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> declined as the scale decreases or the period ranges from the 2000s to the 2010s. Topography variables of elevation and slope, which can be treated as the important explanatory variables, exhibited positive and negative correlations to NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub>, respectively. This research can help us identify the water quality variations from the scale-process interactions and provide a scientific basis for comprehensive water quality management and decision making in the Huai River Basin and also other river basins over the world.

Keywords Water quality  $\cdot$  Spatial association  $\cdot$  Regression analysis  $\cdot$  Land cover  $\cdot$  Scale  $\cdot$  Topography  $\cdot$  Regulated river basin

# Introduction

Exploring the mechanism of water environment variability related to the water security is an important global issue on efficient river basin management. A general consensus states that water quality deterioration becomes more significant as the urbanization accelerated around the world. Such situation is especially evident in China, where the water quality problems are becoming a key limiting factor to the socio-economic sustainable development. As one of the seven large river basins in China, water shortages and water quality problems in the Huai River Basin (HRB), which were caused by the rapid economic development, increased water consumption and aggravated water pollution since the 1980s, which have attracted lots of attention (Liu and Xia 2004; Zhao et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhai et al. 2014; Dou et al. 2015). In particular, frequent occurrence of water pollution incidents had greatly influenced the industrial and agricultural production and urban water supply in the HRB during the 1990s. After then, the Chinese government has expanded many efforts, such as the adjustment of economic structure, industrial pollution control, sewage treatment plants, and agricultural non-point source pollution treatment, to improve the water quality in the HRB since the 2000s. As the China Environment Bulletin (2014) showed severe water pollution problems existed in approximately half of monitoring stations. Therefore, an overall analysis of the spatio-temporal variability of water quality during the past several years is of great significance to provide the basis for sustainable water environment management.

The methods in analyzing the trends of water quality can generally be divided into two groups: (1) modeling the future trends and (2) detecting the past trends of water quality based on the observed time-series data (Huang et al. 2012). Moreover, many statistical techniques have been undertaken to clarify the potential influencing factors of the variability in the water quality. It is noteworthy that water quality data has several particular characteristics (Hirsch and Slack 1984), e.g., water quality data series are often rendered non-normal distribution; the seasonality in the water quality data; water quality is correlated to the size of the flow, etc. Therefore, although parametric and non-parametric tests both can be applied in the detection of water quality trends, the later methods with few assumptions about data structures become more and more popular after considering the inherent characteristics in the water quality data series. In consideration of the seasonality existing in the water quality parameters (Chang 2008), the seasonal Mann-Kendall test, which is a non-parametric test method modified by Hirsch et al. (1982), was widely used to detect the trend of long-term water quality data (Zipper et al. 2002; Djodjic and Bergström 2005; Boeder and Chang 2008), e.g., Hirsch et al. (1991) observed an increase trend in total phosphorus concentrations, dissolved solids concentrations, and sulfate concentrations during 1972-1989 at Apalachcola River, Florida. Robson and Neal (1996) suggested a significant increase in the dissolved organic carbon in Plynlimon, mid-Wales. Amini et al. (2016) found a monotonic trend in the groundwater resources for one city and 11 villages in Larestan and Gerash during the study period, and Bouza-Deaño et al. (2008), Chang (2008), and Zhai et al. (2014) also investigated various water quality parameter trends by employing the seasonal Mann-Kendall test.

Spatial autocorrelation is a common phenomenon in the complicated spatial data analysis, and it often occurs when the variables are similar with each other at nearby sites (Tobler 1970). The identification of the spatial autocorrelation is an important preliminary step for the spatial analysis (Sokal and Oden 1978a, b; Dormann et al. 2007; Dale and Fortin 2014) and can provide useful information for recognizing the variation process and identify the existed structures of

water quality variables (Brody et al. 2005; Dormann et al. 2007). One of the efficient methods to determine the spatial patterns of water quality is the Moran's I method, which has been frequently used to analyze the distribution and structure of water quality variables and measure the non-point-source's spatial autocorrelation (Chang 2008; Zhai et al. 2014). On the other hand, understanding the relationship between the river basin characteristics and water quality variations is also important. The regression analysis based on GIS was proved to be an effective statistical method to diagnose the contributions of anthropogenic activities and natural impact factors for water quality variations (Steele and Jennings 1972; Mueller et al. 1997; Antonopoulos et al. 2001; Simeonov et al. 2003; Plummer and Long 2007). Some studies have already emphasized the influence of watershed characteristics on water quality using the measured data under different scales (Chang 2008; Boeder and Chang 2008).

In this study, we conducted the spatio-temporal statistical detection of water quality variation in the upper and middle reach of HRB, which is the sixth largest river in China and have been a serious polluted problem in aquatic environment. A multi-scalar method was employed to diagnose water quality trends under different scales, i.e., subbasin and buffer scale. The variables of water quality, land use, and some other anthropogenic activity variables (such as point source emission, water temperature, and regulated flow) derived from the multi-scale were assumed to be independent in our analysis. The major objectives of this study were to (1) identify water quality trends at different scales using temporal analysis method (seasonal Mann-Kendall test) and spatial analysis method (Moran's I methods), (2) explore the spatial and temporal variations of water quality affected by anthropogenic actives and natural factors, and (3) compare the variation of water quality over time on various influencing scale (500 and 1000 m buffer zone versus whole basin). This research will serve to recognize water quality variation at different scales and provide a scientific basis for efficient water quality management.

# Materials and methods

# Study area

Huai River  $(30^{\circ} 55' \sim 36^{\circ} 36' \text{ N}, 111^{\circ} 55' \sim 120^{\circ} 45' \text{ E})$ , located in eastern China between the Yangtze River Basin and the Yellow River Basin (Zuo et al. 2015), flows from the Tongbai Mountain of Henan province in the western to Hubei, Anhui, Shandong, Jiangsu provinces in the eastern, and into the Yangtze River at Sanjiangying of Jiangsu. (Fig. 1). The HRB encompasses 270,000 km<sup>2</sup> accounting for 3.5 % of the national land area, which is an important agricultural base with the farmland approximately Fig. 1 Location of study area, monitoring sites of water quality, dams and floodgates and sewage outlets in HRB



12,192 km<sup>2</sup> and agricultural population accounts for 86.1 %. HRB belongs to the water-scarce areas with average annual rainfall and discharge volume of runoff 911 mm and 45.2 billion m<sup>3</sup> from 1956 to 2000, respectively. The population and total amount of water resources in HRB account 16.2 and 3.4 % of China, respectively. Especially for the water use-to-availability ratio (about 60 %), it exceeds the international average level of the rational development and utilization internationally. The main land uses are dry farmland (62 %) and paddy (20 %), followed by forest, grass, water, and urbanization. As a result of the unique climatic conditions, water morphology and topography, the floods and droughts in HRB occurred frequently during the past years. To help control the flooding and relieve the water shortages in HRB, approximately 11,000 water projects were constructed by 2000, including water reservoirs and weir sluices, which largely change the natural runoff. Besides, a large number of untreated industrial wastewater and domestic sewage directly poured into the river, which resulted in the ecological environment deterioration. Natural water chemistry characteristics exists significant differences among the regions, which are subject to the impact of human activities.

# Data

Monthly water flows, water chemistry data and sewage discharge data for 17 monitoring sites are between 2000

and 2014. These used sites are distributed at two tributaries including Shaying River and Guo River (seven stations and four stations, respectively) and the main stream of Huai River (six stations). All of the data were provided by the Huai River Water Resources Commission. Four commonly used water quality indices, including DO, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub>, and TP, which were determined by following the national uniform standards for water quality (HJ 506-2009; GB 11892-1989; HJ 535-2009; GB 11893–1989), were considered in our study. These indices have also been employed by many previous studies to analyze the variability of water quality in HRB (Zhao et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhai et al. 2014; Dou et al. 2015). The detailed information of these data and sites were described in Table 1. Besides, monthly water discharges data during 2000-2012 were collected from the Huai River Hydrographic Bureau. We also calculated the mean annual water quality during two subperiods, i.e., 2000s (2005-2009) and 2010s (2010-2014), to reduce the potential effect of interannual and hydroclimatic variability for the spatial regression analysis. As the distribution of the original data (DO, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub>, TP) were positively skewed, they were log-transformed to check data outliers or remove from statistics analysis.

The data of digital elevation model (DEM) was down loaded from the SRTM 3s Digital Elevation Database provided by USGS/NASA (Ma et al. 2014). The land cover data set in 2004 and 2009 were collected from the Global

 Table 1
 Statistical parameters of monthly values from 17 sampled monitoring stations

Station	DO				NH <sub>3</sub> -N			COD <sub>Mn</sub>				ТР				
	Mean	STD	CV	Ν	Mean	STD	CV	Ν	Mean	STD	CV	Ν	Mean	STD	CV	Ν
Bengbu	7.02	2.26	0.32	119	0.53	0.56	1.06	120	5.44	2.20	0.40	116	0.12	0.09	0.75	112
Linhuaiguan	7.52	1.89	0.25	120	0.72	0.77	1.06	120	4.98	2.60	0.52	107	0.13	0.10	0.78	120
Lutaizi	8.04	1.95	0.24	118	0.55	0.52	0.95	120	5.13	3.14	0.61	95	0.13	0.16	1.19	103
Wangjiaba	7.78	2.13	0.27	120	0.68	0.69	1.02	120	5.33	2.20	0.41	110	0.14	0.05	0.36	91
Wujiadu	7.17	1.81	0.25	118	0.80	0.77	0.95	117	5.21	2.04	0.39	110	0.15	0.07	0.51	120
Xiaoliuxiang	7.66	1.57	0.20	119	0.60	0.53	0.89	120	3.69	0.92	0.25	120	1.48	4.39	2.97	120
Fuyang	6.47	2.72	0.42	117	1.95	2.56	1.31	108	9.39	3.93	0.42	108	0.34	0.53	1.55	106
Huaidian	7.29	1.92	0.26	119	2.88	2.85	0.99	119	8.99	3.74	0.42	104	0.35	0.18	0.51	72
Jieshou	7.64	1.85	0.24	120	2.69	2.61	0.97	120	8.78	3.35	0.38	120	0.29	0.16	0.55	120
Yingshang	7.45	2.94	0.39	102	1.52	1.93	1.27	119	9.11	3.46	0.38	93	0.23	0.10	0.42	100
Jialuhe	6.80	2.13	0.31	119	5.54	6.46	1.17	120	11.97	6.74	0.56	103	0.40	0.33	0.83	105
Shahe	8.36	2.30	0.28	120	0.69	0.99	1.44	120	7.94	3.73	0.47	66	0.14	0.13	0.88	67
Zhoukou	7.44	2.13	0.29	120	3.33	3.10	0.93	120	10.19	4.22	0.41	78	0.29	0.19	0.67	79
Haozhoutielu	5.93	2.58	0.43	84	5.68	5.28	0.93	117	21.16	8.60	0.41	51	0.25	0.19	0.75	87
Luhufuqiao	8.16	2.19	0.27	120	2.93	4.98	1.70	120	6.70	2.70	0.40	120	0.21	0.19	0.92	120
Mengcheng	7.32	2.05	0.28	100	1.70	2.19	1.29	120	10.14	3.70	0.36	94	0.21	0.12	0.59	99
Woyang	6.91	1.70	0.25	108	2.15	2.83	1.32	120	9.76	2.98	0.31	102	0.12	0.08	0.67	105

STD standard deviation, CV coefficient of variation, N sample size, the unit of water quality parameters is mg/L

Change Parameters Database of Chinese Academy of Sciences (available on http://globalchange.nsdc.cn). Land cover can be divided into six major land types—farmland, forest, grassland, waters, urban blocks, and unused land (lands with exposed soil, sand, rocks, or snow and never has more than vegetated cover during any time of the year). Surface elevation and mean slope were derived from the DEM. In order to explore the influence of anthropogenic and natural factors on water quality variability, the land cover changes were analyzed at various spatial scales, i.e., subbasin, 500 and 1000 m buffer scales in 2004 and 2009.

# Methodology

# **GIS** analysis

All of the analysis of land use change, topography characteristics, and monitoring stations location were analyzed by ArcGIS 10.2 Desktop GIS software (ESRI 2013). The digital format of all datasets was unified into the common coordinate system (Gauss projection coordinates). Two different spatial scales (i.e., subbasin scale and buffer scale) were considered to explore the relationship between water quality variability and land use and topography in this study. Figure 2 shows the percentages of different kinds of land cover at the two foregoing spatial scales at 2004 and 2009 in the HRB.

For the basin scale, the boundary of the subbasins was delineated by means of the DEM data in the ArcGIS, and each monitoring station was deemed to be the outlet of the corresponding subbasins. On the other hand, for the buffer scale, each water quality monitoring station was set as geographical buffer centers. In this study, two different buffer widths, i.e., 500 and 1000 m, were employed to classify the hydrologic units boundaries. These two chosen buffer widths were also used in other studies (Sliva and Williams 2001; Chang and Carlson 2005; Li et al. 2009, 2013; Zhao et al. 2015; Amuchástegui et al. 2016).

#### Statistical analysis

The seasonal Mann–Kendall test, which is a robust and nonparametric test method, and proved to be more suitable for the trend analysis for the variables with seasonality, was used to diagnose the temporal trends of water quality parameter in our study (Lettenmaier et al. 1991; Helsel and Hirsch 1992; Chang 2008; Boeder and Chang 2008; Buendia et al. 2016). In addition, median slope of each user defined season was used to estimate the variation magnitude.

According to the seasonal Mann–Kendall test, the null hypothesis  $H_0$  of randomness defines that the data





□Farmland □Forest □Grassland ■Waters □Urban blocks ■Unused la

Fig. 2 Land use compositions of each land cover type in the **a** subbasin, **b** 500 m and **c** 1000 m buffer scale for 17 monitoring stations in 2004 and 2009 (which showed in the *left and right column*, respectively)

 $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is a sample of *n* independent and identically distributed random variables (Chen et al. 2006), which any seasonal but otherwise trend-free process will not be violated. Let  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)^T$  and  $X_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{in_p})$ . Where *X* and  $X_i$  are the observed water quality sample and subsample series respectively, and  $X_i$  contains the  $n_i$  annual values from month *i*. The statistic S<sub>i</sub> for each month is defined as follows:

$$S_{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}-1} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n_{i}} \operatorname{sgn}(x_{ij} - x_{ik}) (1 \le k < j \le n)$$
(1)

The SMK test statistic is  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ ; under the null hypothesis, we have E(S) = 0,  $Var(S) = \sum_{j} \sigma_j^2 + \sum_{j,k} j \neq k \sigma_{jk}$ . Among the month *i*, the variance compared from the observed series can be calculated as follows:

$$\sigma_j^2 = \frac{n_j (n_j - 1) (2n_j + 5)}{18} \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma_{jk} = \frac{H_{jk} + 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ij}R_{ik} - n(n_g + 1)(n_h + 1)}{3}$$
(3)

$$H_{jk} = \sum_{i < m} \operatorname{sgn} \left[ \left( x_{mj} - x_{ij} \right) \left( x_{mk} - x_{ik} \right) \right]$$
(4)

The standard normal deviate Z (standardized statistic) follows a standard normal distribution and is expressed as follows:

$$Z = \begin{cases} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{Var(S)}}, S > 0\\ 0, S = 0\\ \frac{S+1}{\sqrt{Var(S)}}, S < 0 \end{cases}$$
(5)

In this study, we select the significance level  $\alpha$  at 0.05 and 0.10 in a two-sided test for water quality parameter trend, the null hypothesis should be accepted if  $|Z| < Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$  and 1.65, respectively, where FN  $(Z_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha/2$ , FN being the standard normal cumulative distribution function, and *a* being the size of the significance level for the test (Hirsch et al. 1982). When the value of S is positive, it represents an upward trend. In contrast, it would be a downward trend. Furthermore, median slope indicates the magnitude of water quality trend (Helsel and Hirsch 1992). The seasonal Kendall slope can be calculated by  $d_{ijk} = (x_{ij} - x_{ik})/(j - k)$  for  $(1 \le i < j \le n_i)$ , where *d* is the slope, *x* denotes the variable, *n* is the sample size, and *i*, *j* are indices.

Spatial autocorrelation analysis is an important field of spatial statistics research, and it is also one core method for studying the distribution association among geographic units. Global indices of spatial autocorrelation have been widely used to evaluate the degree to which similar observations tend to occur near each other. As a widely used spatial autocorrelation index, Moran's I (Moran 1950) reflects the spatial dependence degree of different variables and can be expressed as follows:

$$I = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} (X_i - X) (X_j - X)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - X)^2}$$
(6)

Although useful for determining overall patterns of a particular dataset, the Global Moran's I statistic falls short when examining the relationships between sites of that dataset, and this shortcoming was addressed with the development of a Local Moran's I analysis that yields further information about where the patterns of autocorrelation exist within the occurrences of interest (Anselin 1995). An important distinction between the analyses is that Local Moran's I is disaggregated and therefore examines the degree to which neighboring data points are similar or dissimilar. The spatial autocorrelation differences of different objects can be represented by the local Moran's I index and Moran scatter plot. The local Moran's I is expressed as follows:

$$I_{i} = \frac{n}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} \left(x_{i} - x\right) \left(x_{j} - x\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(x_{j} - x\right)}$$
(7)

Where,  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  represent water quality parameters monitored from station *i* and station *j*, respectively. *X* and  $W_{ij}$  are the average value of water quality and the weight matrix, calculated by the inverse distance of station *i* and *j*. Moran's I value ranges from -1 to 1. Positive values of *I* are associated with strong geographic patterns of spatial clustering, negative values of *I* are associated with a regular pattern, and a value close to zero (*I* = 0) represents complete spatial randomness (O'Sullivan and Unwin 2003). The spatial autocorrelation indices (*I*) compute the standard normal variate *Z* by

$$Z = \frac{I - E(I)}{\sqrt{Var(I)}} \tag{8}$$

Where E(I) and Var(I) are the mean and variance of spatial autocorrelation indices.

Since the Z-score results reported from Moran's I analysis are in essence the slope of a regression line derived from the scatter plot of the differences between data points and the COtype reported is determined by which quadrant (e.g., "High-High (H-H)", "Low-Low (L-L)", "High-Low (H-L)", or "Low-High (L-H)") (Anselin 1996). The significance level  $\alpha$  is selected for the test, if  $Z > Z_{\alpha/2}$ , it indicates the monitoring station is a significant high or low concentration cluster center for water quality parameters, namely represents cluster pattern the "H-H" or "L-L". If  $Z < -Z_{\alpha/2}$ , the data of the monitoring station illustrates an outlier ("H–L" or "L–H"). Otherwise, regional spatial autocorrelation of variables is not significant and shows a random distribution.

The data of land use and water quality from the subbasin and buffer scale are used to identify the relation between them by the regression analyses. The multiple linear regression used widely in other similar studies (Joarder et al. 2008; Yan et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2013) is used to identify the impacts of anthropogenic interventions and natural factors on the variation of water quality, percentage of land cover at different scales, elevation and mean slope, water quality load, regulated flows and water temperature are the independent variables. The predictor indices and the response variables (influencing factors on water quality) are log-transformed before regression analysis. The forms of spatial error models are used from the formula:  $Y_i = X_i\beta_i$ ,  $\varepsilon = \lambda W_{\varepsilon} + \xi$ , where,  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  are the dependent variable at location i,  $\beta_i$  the regression coefficient,  $\varepsilon$  the random error terms,  $\lambda$  the autoregressive coefficients of the spatial error model,  $W_{\varepsilon}$  the spatially lagged error term, and  $\zeta$ the homoskedastic and independent error term. Three extensively used indicators (tolerance: Tol, variance inflation factor: VIF, condition index: CI) for describing the multicollinearity degree are employed in the regression diagnostic analysis (Zhai et al. 2014). More detail descriptions about fitness and statistical significance test of the regression function can be seen from these literatures (Wherry 1931; Velleman and Roy 1981; Asterious and Hall 2011).

# Results

#### Spatio-temporal variation trends of water quality

#### Temporal trends

Figure 3 shows the temporal trends of the four water quality elements given by the seasonal Mann-Kendall test at the 17 stations during 2005 to 2014. We can observe significant increasing trends, which relates to the improving of water quality (range 0.35–2.51 %/year) for DO at the Mengcheng station in the Wo River, Bengbu and Wujiadu in the Huai Mainstream, Huai dian, Zhoukou, Jialuhe and Shahe in the Shaying River, whereas significant decreasing trend at Jieshou station in the Shaying River (range 0.23-1.23 %/year). For NH<sub>3</sub>-N, all stations show decreasing trends, with most of them being significant (range 0.12-3.68 mg/L/ year). These stations with insignificant decreasing trends are distributed in the Huai Mainstream. Similar results can be showed from the trends analysis for COD<sub>Mn</sub>. There are approximately 60 % stations exhibit significant decreasing trends (range 0.52-12.75 mg/L/year) with extra 30 % stations showing insignificant downward trends in the Wo River and Huai Mainstream.



Fig. 3 Trends in water quality for a DO, b NH<sub>3</sub>-N, c COD<sub>Mn</sub>, d TP, 2005–2014

TP shows decreasing trends in most stations with 50 % of them being significant (range 0.08–0.33 mg/L/year). It is noteworthy that the three sites in the lower reach of the Huai Mainstream presents an increasing trend. The decreasing of NH3-N,  $COD_{Mn}$ , and TP means the improvement of water quality; thus, the trends in these three elements all indicate an improvement of water quality in most areas in the HRB during 2005–2014.

#### Spatial variation of water quality for the 2000s and 2010s

Figure 4 and Fig. 5 provide the spatial variation of the four water quality elements during the divided two subperiods (2000s and 2010s). As shown in Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a, DO condition is better in the Huai Mainstream and the upper reach of the major tributaries, with higher average values of DO concentration in these areas. The comparison between the two subperiods shows that the DO concentration values increase about 14.1 % from 2000s to 2010s for all the stations as a whole. In detail, about 88 % of the stations shows an increase in the DO concentration during the two subperiods, while two stations present a reduction change (Fuyang decreases 14.3 % and Woyang decreases 4.6 %). Among all the stations giving an increasing trend, Haozhoutielu, Luhufuqiao and Bengbu have a higher changes with the increase rate of 33.4 %, 25.1 % and 21.9 % respectively. Figure 4b and Fig. 5b show that the NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration is higher in the major tributaries than the Huai Mainstream. The reduction of NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration in all stations indicates an improvement of the water quality. The average decreasing rate is 53.0 % from 2000s to 2010s for all the stations as a whole. Furthermore, the average reduction rates of the Huai Mainstream, Shaying River, and Wo River are 31.5, 63.3, and 67.1 %, respectively. Particularly, the most obviously improvement is observed in the Luhufugiao station



Fig. 4 Spatial trends of water quality for a DO, b NH<sub>3</sub>-N, c COD<sub>Mn</sub> and d TP during the 2000s in the HRB

with the rate of -85.2 % during the two subperiods. A similar spatial extent can be observed between the COD<sub>Mn</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>-N, i.e., high values in the major tributaries and low values in the Huai Mainstream of the HRB. For the stations (accounting for 76.5 % of all stations) showing decreasing trends of COD<sub>Mn</sub> between the two subperiods, the average reduction rate is 17.9 %, while the other four stations showing increasing trends increase by 26, 4.8, 12.5, and 16.1 % at Lutaizi, Fuyang, Yingshang, and Mengcheng, respectively. The average reduction rate of the COD<sub>Mn</sub> concentration in the Huai Mainstream, Shaving River, and Wo River are 7.8, 16.5, and 26.0 %, respectively. For TP, water quality is improved in 47.1 % stations, and the most obvious change is in the Xiaoliuxiang with the reduction rate of 55.9 % followed by Jialuhe (55.9 %) and Haozhoutielu (44.6 %) from the 2000s to 2010s. It should be noted that the percentage of stations showing increase in the TP concentration (52.9 %) is a liter higher than that with decreasing changes. For example, TP concentration increases by 94.6 and 54.1 % in the stations Menfcheng and Fuyang, which means that the water quality in these stations is deteriorated.

#### Spatial autocorrelation of water quality trends

#### Global spatial autocorrelation analysis

Table 2 shows a weak and moderate positive spatial autocorrelation in  $NH_3$ -N and  $COD_{Mn}$  with the values of Moran's I varying from 0.23 to 0.31 and 0.23 to 0.34, respectively. The Moran's I values of DO also indicate a weak positive spatial autocorrelation for the whole period and 2010s, while there a weak and negative spatial autocorrelation in the 2000s. Similarly, TP



Fig. 5 Spatial trends of water quality for a DO, b NH<sub>3</sub>-N, c COD<sub>Mn</sub> and d TP during the 2010s in the HRB

varies randomly through space with different types of spatial autocorrelation that can be observed in different periods.

In addition, the increase in Moran's I values of  $COD_{Mn}$ , DO and TP between the 2000s and the 2010s can be possibly caused by the moderation of external disturbances while the declines in NH<sub>3</sub>-N indicates that

the localized problems of water quality should be carefully further concerned. DO and TP with lower Moran's I values, indicate existed spatial heterogeneity in watershed characteristics might contribute the variations of DO and TP concentrations. It is possible to cause the increases by regional anthropogenic interventions or natural impact factors such as a large amount of the dams

**Table 2** Moran's I values andstandardized statistic Z scores ofwater quality trends form 2005 to2014 and in the 2000s and the2010s for 17 sites in HRB

Year	DO		NH <sub>3</sub> -N		COD <sub>Mn</sub>		ТР		
	Moran's I	Ζ	Moran's I	Ζ	Moran's I	Ζ	Moran's I	Ζ	
2005–2014	0.07	0.91	0.30	2.58*	0.28	2.76*	-0.09	-0.35	
2000s	-0.10	-0.27	0.31	2.63*	0.23	2.57*	-0.06	-0.02	
2010s	0.16	1.61	0.23	2.14*	0.34	2.90*	0.14	1.45	

\*Significant at the 95 % confidence level

 Table 3
 The significance level of local spatial autocorrelation analysis

	21469

Stations	DO			NH <sub>3</sub> -N			COD <sub>Mn</sub>			ТР		
	2005–2014	2000s	2010s	2005–2014	2000s	2010s	2005–2014	2000s	2010s	2005–2014	2000s	2010s
Bengbu	0.41	0.99	0.15	0.55	0.64	0.31	0.76	0.61	0.76	0.83	0.80	0.43
Linhuaiguan	0.88	0.70	0.92	0.54	0.54	0.59	0.90	0.74	0.90	0.66	0.58	0.39
Lutaizi	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.10	0.10	0.19	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.61	0.63	0.31
Wangjiaba	0.08	0.98	0.00(LL)	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.27	0.57	0.27	0.73	0.61	0.03(LH)
Wujiadu	0.88	1.00	0.51	0.59	0.48	0.75	0.46	0.70	0.46	0.73	0.72	0.93
Xiaoliuxiang	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.63	0.63	0.68	0.88	0.83	0.88	0.91	0.90	0.80
Fuyang	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.38	0.38	0.46	0.69	0.56	0.69	0.90	0.81	0.35
Huaidian	0.14	0.84	0.02(LL)	0.90	0.90	0.86	0.54	0.84	0.54	0.90	0.71	0.01(HH)
Jieshou	0.85	0.84	0.90	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.94	0.86	0.94	0.79	0.75	0.76
Yingshang	0.74	0.95	0.39	0.68	0.66	0.76	0.74	0.81	0.74	0.53	0.41	0.96
Jialuhe	0.93	0.86	0.94	0.11	0.11	0.18	0.36	0.20	0.36	0.39	0.44	0.85
Shahe	0.99	0.97	0.95	0.13	0.11	0.24	0.03(LL)	0.07	0.03(LL)	0.00(HL)	0.00(HL)	0.11
Zhoukou	0.93	0.87	0.96	0.12	0.10	0.22	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.97	0.91	0.44
Haozhoutielu	0.97	0.59	0.87	0.06	0.05	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.89	0.91	0.44
Luhufuqiao	0.40	0.31	0.20	0.13	0.10	0.46	0.17	0.36	0.17	0.75	0.75	0.75
Mengcheng	0.14	0.85	0.05(HH)	0.21	0.15	0.76	0.90	0.94	0.90	0.84	0.79	0.56
Woyang	0.13	0.02(LH)	0.64	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.95	0.87	0.95	0.86	0.94	0.66

The italicized data indicate the stations are the cluster centers or outliers. LH, HL, LL and HH represent "L-H", "H-L", "L-L" and "H-H" spatial patterns respectively

Significant at the 95 % confidence level

and floodgates contracted in HRB, pollution emissions into the rivers and extreme events.

#### Local spatial autocorrelation analysis

Once the Global Moran's I analysis is completed and reveals a high degree of clustering within the dataset, the Local Moran's I analysis is performed to further examine the nature of individual relationships between the data points. Table 3 shows the *p*-score results from Local Moran's I analysis and reveals areas of clusters with the significance level greater than 95 % determined by the ptest. Two cluster centers with significant high concentrations can be detected for DO and TP in the 2010s at Mengcheng and Huaidian, respectively, while four cluster centers with significant low concentrations for DO at the stations Wangjiaba and Huaidian in the 2010s and for COD<sub>Mn</sub> in the whole period and 2010s at Shahe. Besides, one LH and two HL outlier can be detected for TP at Wangjiaba in the 2010s, and at Shahe for the whole period and 2000s, respectively. The results in Table 3 indicates that no significant autocorrelation exists in NH<sub>3</sub>-N series for all the three periods. The significant HL outlier for TP at Shahe in 2000s changes into the significant LH outlier and moves downstream towards the Wangjiaba station in 2010s.

# Relation of water quality and anthropogenic activities and topography

#### Pollution emissions

To quantify the magnitude and significance level of the relationship between anthropogenic intervention factors and three water quality parameters NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub> and TP, the multiple regression analysis, which is an effective and wildly used method is employed in this study. The results of multiple linear regression models between the concentration of each water quality element and the flow, water quality load and water temperature, monitored at four stations (Lutaizi, Wangjiaba, Fuyang and Meng cheng) of the HRB from 2000 to 2012 are given in Table 4. Table 4 shows that the multicollinearity is not serious among T<sub>w</sub>, Q, and water quality load series when  $Tol_{min}$  (the minimum tolerance) > 0.14, VIF<sub>max</sub> (the maximum variance inflation factor) < 9 and CImax (the maximum condition index) < 30. The statistic results in the Durbin-Watson and Breusch-Godfrey test for the residuals indicates that the regression models exhibits significant uncorrelated (P < 0.05) for the stations of Lutaizi, Wangjiaba, and Fuyang, while insignificant uncorrelated for TP series in the Lutaizi station. Therefore, it is unbiased and effective for the coefficients of regression models.

Parameters	Station	Coefficient				R	${R_{adj}}^2$	Tol <sub>min</sub>	VIFmax	CI <sub>max</sub>	DW	Р
		Constant	Tw	Q	Load							
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	Lutaizi	0.082	0.01**	-5.013E-5	0.002	0.72	0.359	0.535	1.869	15.77	1.789	0.075
	Wangjiaba	0.081	0.008*	5.837E-5	0.007**	0.937	0.836	0.61	1.64	16.446	2.623	0
	Fuyang	-0.496**	0.058**	0	0.034**	0.971	0.923	0.222	4.495	26.047	1.349	0
	Mengcheng	1.386	-0.065	0.005**	0.021*	0.84	0.608	0.623	1.604	27.634	1.803	0.009
COD <sub>Mn</sub>	Lutaizi	0.926**	-0.002	0.001	0.002**	0.869	0.673	0.351	2.852	16.723	1.519	0.004
	Wangjiaba	0.41**	0.03**	0.01	0.002	0.945	0.858	0.14	8.869	25.072	2.879	0
	Fuyang	0.879**	0.009	-0.001*	0.004**	0.702	0.323	0.246	4.069	22.063	1.445	0.094
	Mengcheng	3.859**	-0.149**	-0.004**	0.008**	0.868	0.671	0.671	1.49	26.351	1.707	0.004
TP	Lutaizi	0.055**	5.639E-5	-2.092E-5	0.003	0.5	-0.22	0.552	1.812	14.951	2.468	0.437
	Wangjiaba	0.066**	0.026	0.003	0.018**	0.902	0.75	0.313	3.198	15.671	1.735	0.001
	Fuyang	0.12	0.001	-0.001**	0.054**	0.75	0.416	0.25	3.997	20.282	1.522	0.05
	Mengcheng	0.616*	-0.032*	-0.002**	0.423**	0.88	0.7	0.452	2.211	21.517	2.486	0.003

Table 4 Water quality (NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub> and TP) estimated from multiple linear regression models

The Tol<sub>min</sub>, VIF<sub>max</sub> and CI<sub>max</sub> are diagnostic indicators for multicollinearity between the variables Load, Q and  $T_w$  series. R (relation coefficient) and  $R_{adj}^2$  (adjusted coefficient) are statistic parameters for regression model. DW and P represent the statistic value and significance level for Durbin–Watson test and Breusch–Godfrey test for residuals, respectively

\* and \*\* significant at the 90 and 95 % confidence levels, respectively

From the columns R and  $R_{adi}^2$ , all the correlation coefficients and adjusted determination coefficients are greater than 0.70 and 0.32, respectively, except for TP series at Lutaizi station, which suggests a good fit between the regression models and the observed data. Furthermore, Tw, Q and load of water quality are significantly correlated to water quality variation.  $T_w$  is significantly (P < 0.05) correlated to NH<sub>3</sub>-N changes at Lutaizi station, NH<sub>3</sub>-N's load changes (P < 0.05) and  $T_w$  changes (P < 0.10) for Wangjiaba, NH<sub>3</sub>-N's load and  $T_w$  changes (P < 0.05) for Fuyang and Q (P < 0.05), and NH<sub>3</sub>-N's load (P < 0.10) for Mengcheng. On the other hand,  $COD_{Mn}$ 's load is significantly (P < 0.05) correlated to  $COD_{Mn}$  variation at Lutaizi station, while  $T_w$  (P < 0.05) for Wangjiaba,  $COD_{Mn}$ 's load and Q (P < 0.10) for Fuyang and  $COD_{Mn}$ 's load, and Q and T<sub>w</sub> (P < 0.05) for Mengcheng. Similarly, TP's load is significantly (P < 0.05) correlated to TP variation at Wangjiaba station, while TP's load and Q (P < 0.05) for Fuyang and TP's load and Q (P < 0.05) and  $T_w (P < 0.10)$  for Mengcheng.

#### Land cover and topography

To investigate the influence of land cover and topography on water quality variations, the spatial regression models (Table 5) in the 2000s and 2010s at various scales (subbasin, 1000 m buffer and 500 m buffer) are established to identify the significant explanatory variables for  $NH_3$ -N,  $COD_{Mn}$  and TP, respectively. The regression diagnostic shows that multicollinearity is not serious among land cover and topography variables

 $(Tol_{min} > 0.1, VIF_{max} < 20, CI_{max} < 30)$ . Besides, the residuals from the regression models are considered to be uncorrelated at the significance level of 0.05 or 0.10. Thus, the estimated coefficients in the established regression functions are perceived as unbiased and effective.

The 18 spatial regression models in Table 5 indicates that the topography variables (elevation and slope) are positively correlated to NH<sub>3</sub>-N at the 0.05 significance level while negatively correlated to COD<sub>Mn</sub> at the 0.1 significance level. The predictive ability of regression models for  $NH_3$ -N and  $COD_{Mn}$ generally declines from the 2000s to 2010s as shown in lower  $R^2$  values in the 2000s. Similar conclusion can also be summarized as the scale decreases. This suggests that other factors that have not been included in the regression models have become important to explain the variation of water quality. At the subbasin scale, urban land cover is the primary predictor for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub>. As for NH<sub>3</sub>-N, the second significant factor is farmland at the subbasin scale and 500 m buffer scale while is the farmland land cover at the 1000 m buffer scale. The positive sign of the coefficients between the farmland and NH<sub>3</sub>-N suggests that the agriculture development is the driving source of nutrient concentrations. As for  $COD_{Mn}$ , the topography variables, farmland, and forest land cover are the main explanatory variables. Farmland and forest exhibit negative coefficients for  $COD_{Mn}$ . In the 2000s, the variation of NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> at the subbasin scale can be partially explained by the land cover of urban, elevation, and forest. At the 1000-m buffer scale, farmland, forest and waters can explain the variation in NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> in 2000s. At the

#### Table 5 Water quality (NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub>, and TP) estimated from spatial regression models

Parameters	Multiple linear regression models	$R^2$	Tol <sub>min</sub>	VIF <sub>max</sub>	CI <sub>max</sub>	DW	Sig
NH <sub>3</sub> -N							
2000s Subbasin	$0.023 \times \text{Urban} + 0.009 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.072 \times \text{Forest} + 0.851$	0.734	0.120	9.809	15.18	2.824	**
2000s 1000m	0.001 × Farmland – 0.017 × Forest – 0.154 × Slope – 0.005 × Waters + 0.870	0.661	0.157	17.182	19.08	2.361	*
2000s 500m	$0.012 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.223 \times \text{Slope} + 0.002 \times \text{Urban} + 0.389$	0.635	0.113	8.875	26.332	2.294	*
2010s Subbasin	$0.009 \times \text{Urban} + 0.004 \times \text{Elevation} + 0.036 \times \text{Farmland} - 3.101$	0.478	0.137	16.115	29.35	2.839	*
2010s 1000m	$0.001 \times Farmland - 0.015 \times Waters - 0.009 \times Grassland + 0.379$	0.449	0.383	11.845	26.618	2.829	*
2010s 500m	$0.005 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.001 \times \text{Farmland} - 0.078 \times \text{Slope} + 0.299$	0.403	0.44	2.275	15.827	2.655	*
COD <sub>Mn</sub>							
2000s Subbasin	$0.071 \times \text{Urban} + 0.007 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.024 \times \text{Forest} + 1.276$	0.735	0.16	6.10	20.14	2.572	**
2000s 1000m	$0.001 \times Farmland - 0.012 \times Forest - 0.006 \times Waters + 1.241$	0.625	0.174	17.036	25.523	2.295	**
2000s 500m	$0.009 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.222 \times \text{Slope} - 0.01 \times \text{Forest} - 0.007 \times \text{Grassland} + 0.916$	0.579	0.144	6.939	14.319	2.205	*
2010s Subbasin	$0.108 \times \text{Urban} - 0.56 \times \text{Waters} + 0.058 \times \text{Farmland} + 6.863$	0.713	0.299	17.273	26.324	2.576	**
2010s 1000m	$-0.199 \times Slope - 0.017 \times Forest + 0.001 \times Farmland + 1.391$	0.561	0.396	10.223	21.056	2.363	*
2010s 500m	$-0.127 \times Slope - 0.005 \times Forest - 0.001 \times Farmland + 1.107$	0.533	0.56	1.786	9.65	2.295	*
ТР							
2000s Subbasin	$0.95 \times$ Waters + $0.789 \times$ Unused land – $0.803 \times$ Grassland + $0.167$	0.321	0.388	8.6	15.18	2.36	*
2000s 1000m	$0.021 \times \text{Waters} + 0.009 \times \text{Elevation} - 0.347 \times \text{Slope} + 0.086$	0.533	0.369	15.739	13.262	2.268	*
2000s 500m	$0.006 \times Farmland-0.005 \times Waters-0.005 \times Urban+$ $0.003 \times Elevation-0.005 \times Grassland + 0.574$	0.943	0.155	6.449	17.208	2.053	**
2010s Subbasin	0.018 × Farmland + 0.114 × Unused land + 0.001 × Elevation + 1.778	0.624	0.28	13.344	10.204	1.961	**
2010s 1000m	$-0.004 \times Forest - 0.048 \times Slope + 0.012 \times Unused land + 0.002 \times Waters + 0.178$	0.497	0.278	11.095	22.004	2.796	*
2010s 500m	$0.117 \times Farmland - 0.028 \times Slope + 0.147$	0.529	0.44	1.784	19.65	2.436	*

\* and \*\* significant at the 90 and 95 % confidence levels, respectively

500 m buffer scale, elevation and slope are the significant factors to explain the variation of  $NH_3$ -N and  $COD_{Mn}$ . As for TP, slope and forest exhibit negative coefficients in both periods at different spatial scales. At the 500 m buffer scale, farmland is the primary predictor for TP.

# Discussions

# Spatio-temporal statistical analysis

Due to the rapid development of regional socio-eco nomic in the HRB, anthropogenic activities including water consumption and pollution emission control play an important role in the water quality improvement, and result in large spatial variability in water quality para meters.

Trend analysis results for DO, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, COD<sub>Mn</sub>, and TP indicate a significant improvement of water quality conditions from 2005 to 2014, and the stations with the improvement of water quality accounts for 88.2 % (15/17), 100 % (17/17), 82.4 % (14/17), and 47.1 % (8/17)

of all the sample sites for the four water quality elements, respectively. For each element, the Huai Main stream and the upper reach of the major tributaries had better DO condition, while the major tributaries had higher  $\rm NH_3\text{-}N$  and  $\rm COD_{Mn}$  concentration than the Huai Mainstream. Besides, it indicated that the water quality of Huai Mainstream was deteriorated if only the TP indicators were considered. Lots of pollution control measures including industrial pollution control, sewage treatment plants, and agricultural non-point source pollution control taken by local government since 2000, contributed to the significant improvement of water quality in the HRB. By the implementation of the 10th and 11th Five-Year Plan in China, the total amount of pollution emission declined to 4.7 billion t, which contained the total discharge of pollutant emission of ammonia factors and chemical oxygen reduced to 1.042 million t and 140,000 t, respectively. On the other hand, the dam operation might also be the potential caused to the improvement of water quality conditions since that the HRB is a highly regulated river basin with large amounts of dams and floodgates.

#### Influence of pollution emissions

As two important influential factors contributing to water quality concentration increasing, industrial pollution accounts about 60 % of urban sewage and waste emissions, and point source emission is mainly resulted from the unreasonably heavy use of pesticides and fertilizers flowing into the rivers and significantly increasing the total amount of  $NH_3$ -N,  $COD_{Mn}$ , and TP elements. Water quality can be affected by the hydrological variables (water flow), which contribute to the migration and transformation of pollutants in the river, and the water environmental variables (water temperature), which indirectly reflect the degree of the pollutants degradation in water body. Similar analysis can also be seen in the previous studies (Buck et al. 2004; Chang and Carlson 2005; Dou et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013; Zhao et al. 2015).

# Influence of land cover and topography

The regression analysis results between water quality and land cover in this study suggested that the land cover types could influence the water quality parameters ( $NH_3$ -N and  $COD_{Mn}$ ) at different degrees. We found that urban land cover was significantly and positively correlated to the NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> variations at subbasin scale, and our results were consistent with most previous researches (Ahearna et al. 2005; Haidary et al. 2013; Wan et al. 2014). We can also infer that the accelerating urbanization process in China and the huge changes of underlying since 2000 might also be the causes for the changes in water quality conditions. At subbasin scale, farmland and urban blocks were the main source of non-point source pollution in the HRB compared to the other land use types, agreeing that NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> came primarily from agricultural and urban blocks land uses (Jones et al. 2001; Sonoda et al. 2001). Besides the farmland and urban blocks, industrial and domestic factors also can contribute to affect the water quality conditions (Zhao et al. 2015). However, the relationships between these factors and water quality elements (NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub>) were only significant at the subbasin and 1000 m buffer scale. In our study, we can also observe positive coefficients between industrial land use and water quality which was opposite to the result given by Sonoda et al. (2001).

This study performed a negative coefficient between slope and NH<sub>3</sub>-N and  $COD_{Mn}$  in both periods at the buffer scale. That is to say, water quality concentration decreased as the slope variability increased. In common, the concentration of dissolved oxygen containing in the water body will be higher if water in rivers flows faster (Chang 2008) and contribute to declining the water quality concentration. However, Pratt and Chang (2012) suggested that gentle slope could slow water movement, and it contributed to mixing pollutants and provided a longer time to oxidize and decompose them. Similar results that increased slope variability positively correlating to the increases of water quality concentrations could be seen from the study of Richards et al. (1996) and Sliva and Williams (2001).

# Variations linking with scales

Our analysis demonstrated that the buffer size play an important role on the significant relations for different types of land cover and water quality indicators. The conclusions in our study agreed with the previous studies, which indicated that the bigger scale drainage area had a more significant influence than the smaller scale buffer (Sliva and Williams 2001; Nash et al. 2009). Our study area has large area (270,000 km<sup>2</sup>) and population density, and it is known that water quality variables are influenced by the scale of land cover assessment. As shown from the results, urban blocks and farmland land cover were the significant factors that could explain NH<sub>3</sub>-N and  $COD_{Mn}$  variations at larger scales. Diffusion sources emissions from agricultural land, industries, and domestic sewage pouring into the rivers distantly can increase the water quality concentration.

Therefore, the plans including the diffusion sources emissions control, industrial restructuring, and pollution control projects should be taken by local government and focus on the large scale. Besides, forest, grassland, and waters are benefit to improve water quality conditions at larger scales. However, the composition proportion of forest, grassland, and waters within HRB are currently only about 10, 1, and 1 %, respectively, and it still needs to further improve the land cover conditions consistent with the world average level.

# Conclusions

This study aims to detect the spatio-temporal variation of water quality, identify these important influence factors of water quality variations, and reveal the influence mechanisms of land use on water quality variations, along with scaleprocess interactions on the variations at multiple scales in the HRB. This study will provide a basis for water pollution control, water environment protection and ecological restoration of the HRB. The conclusions are as follows:

(1) This study showed a decreased trend for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> parameter, while diverging trends for DO and TP parameter. NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> concentrations exhibited decreasing trends at all stations with 76.5 and 60 % of them were significant, respectively). TP showed significant decreasing trends for half stations with increasing trends in extra three stations distributed in the Huai Mainstream. In addition, DO concentrations exhibited significant increasing trends for 50 % stations and only the Jieshou station showed significant decreasing trend.

Overall, the analysis demonstrated significant improvement of water quality during 2005–2014 in the HRB.

- (2) There was a weak and moderate positive spatial autocorrelation for water quality parameters NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> while DO exhibited a weak positive spatial autocorrelation for the whole period and in 2010s. Two cluster centers of significant high concentrations were detected for DO and TP at Mengcheng and Huaidian respectively, while four cluster centers of significant low concentrations for DO at Wangjiaba and Huaidian in the 2010s. The control measures of point source emissions could explain the spatial patterns appearance, and these local management efforts for improving water quality conditions included a large amount of regulated dams and floodgates, economic structure adjustment and sewage treatment plants and so on.
- (3) Multiple regression models were used to explain the relationship between water quality parameters and environmental variables. Water temperature, regulated flow, and load of water quality variables exhibited a significant correlation to water quality variation. Water quality variations could be determined differently from each station for each water quality parameters. Urban land cover was the primary predictor for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> at larger scales. The predictive ability of regression models for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub> declined as the scale decreases or the period ranges from 2000s to 2010s. Topography variables of elevation and slope exhibited positive and negative correlations to NH<sub>3</sub>-N and COD<sub>Mn</sub>, respectively.
- (4) Water quality variations can be affected by many factors (anthropogenic activities or natural factors) such as point source pollution emissions, hydrological variables altered by intensive dams and floodgates, land use, topography and extreme events and so on. Future appropriate water quality management policy made by local government for water quality improvement should be based on the deeply understanding how anthropogenic activities, land use and natural factors impact on water quality variations and how scale affects the linkages over time and space.

**Acknowledgments** This research was supported by the National Grand Science and Technology Special Project of Water Pollution Control and Improvement (no. 2014ZX07204-006). Thanks also to the editors and one anonymous reviewer for their constructive comments on the earlier draft, which led to a great improvement of the final paper.

# References

Ahearna DS, Sheibley RW, Dahlgrena RA (2005) Land use and land cover influence on water quality in the last free-flowing river draining the western Sierra Nevada, California. J Hydrol 313(3–4): 234–247

- Amini H, Haghighat GA, Yunesian M, et al. (2016) Spatial and temporal variability of fluoride concentrations in groundwater resources of Larestan and Gerash regions in Iran from 2003 to 2010. Environ Geochem Health 38(1):25–37
- Amuchástegui G, di Franco L, Feijoó C (2016) Catchment morphometric characteristics, land use and water chemistry in Pampean streams: a regional approach. Hydrobiologia 767(1):65–79
- Anselin L (1995) Local indicators of spatial association—LISA. Geogr Anal 27(2):93–115
- Anselin L (1996) The Moran scatterplot as an ESDA tool to assess local instability in spatial association. In: Fisher M, Scholten H, Unwin D (eds) Spatial analytical perspectives on GIS in environmental and socio-economic sciences. Taylor and Francis, London, pp. 111–125
- Antonopoulos VZ, Papamichail DM, Mitsiou KA (2001) Statistical and trend analysis of water quality and quantity data for the Strymon River in Greece. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 5(4):679–692
- Asterious D, Hall SG (2011) Applied econometrics, 2nd edn. Palgrave Macmillan p 95–108
- Boeder M, Chang H (2008) Multi-scale analysis of oxygen demand trends in an urbanizing Oregon watershed, USA. J Environ Manag 87(4):567–581
- Bouza-Deaño R, Ternero-Rodríguez M, Fernández-Espinosa AJ (2008) Trend study and assessment of surface water quality in the Ebro River (Spain). J Hydrol 361(3–4):227–239
- Brody SD, Highfield W, Peck BM (2005) Exploring the mosaic of perceptions for water quality across watersheds in San Antonio, Texas. Landsc Urban Plan 73(2):200–214
- Buck O, Niyogi DK, Townsend CR (2004) Scale-dependence of land use effects on water quality of streams in agricultural catchments. Environ Pollut 130(2):287–299
- Buendia C, Bussi G, Tuset J, Vericat D, Sabater S, Palau A, Batalla RJ (2016) Effects of afforestation on runoff and sediment load in an upland Mediterranean catchment. Sci Total Environ 540:144–157
- Chang H (2008) Spatial analysis of water quality trends in the Han River basin, South Korea. Water Resour 42(13):3285–3304
- Chang H, Carlson T (2005) Water quality during winter storm events in Spring Creek, Pennsylvania, USA. Hydrobiologia 544(1):321–332
- Chen Y, Takeuchi K, Xu C, et al. (2006) Regional climate change and its effects on river runoff in the Tarim Basin, China. Hydrol Process 20(10):2207–2216
- China Environment Bulletin (2014) Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China [in Chinese]
- Dale MR, Fortin MJ (2014) Spatial analysis: a guide for ecologists. Cambridge University Press
- Djodjic F, Bergström L (2005) Phosphorus losses from arable fields in Sweden—effects of field-specific factors and longterm trends. Environ Monit Assess 102(1–3):103–117
- Dormann CF, McPherson JM, Araújo MB, et al. (2007) Methods to account for spatial autocorrelation in the analysis of species distributional data: a review. Ecography 30(5):609–628
- Dou M, Zheng BQ, Zuo QT, Qi M (2013) Identification of quantitative relation of ammonia-nitrogen concentration and main influence factors in the river reaches controlled by sluice. J Hydraul Eng 44(8): 934–941 [in Chinese]
- Dou M, Zhang Y, Zuo QT, Mi QB (2015) Identification of key factors affecting the water pollutant concentration in the sluice-controlled river reaches of the Shaying River in China via statistical analysis methods. Environ Sci: Proc Impact 17(8):1492–1502
- ESRI (2013) ArcGIS desktop: release 10.2.1. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA
- GB 11892–1989 (1989) The state environmental protection standards of the People's Republic of China: water quality determination of permanganate index [in Chinese]
- GB 11893–1989 (1989) The state environmental protection standards of the People's Republic of China: water quality determination of total phosphorus [in Chinese]

- Haidary A, Amiri BJ, Adamowski J, Fohrer N, Nakane K (2013) Assessing the impacts of four land use types on the water quality of wetlands in Japan. Water Resour Manag 27(7):2217–2229
- Helsel DR, Hirsch RM (1992) Statistical methods in water resources. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Hirsch RM, Slack JR (1984) A nonparametric trend test for seasonal data with serial dependence. Water Resour Res 20(6):727–732
- Hirsch RM, Slack JR, Smith RA (1982) Techniques of trend analysis for monthly water quality data. Water Resour Res 18(1):107–121
- Hirsch RM, Alexander RB, Smith RA (1991) Selection of methods for the detection and estimation of trends in water quality. Water Resour Res 27(5):803–813
- HJ 506–2009 (2009) The state environmental protection standards of the People's Republic of China: water quality-determination of dissolved oxygen—electrochemical probe method [in Chinese]
- HJ 535–2009 (2009) The state environmental protection standards of the People's Republic of China: water quality determination of ammonia nitrogen—Nessler's reagent spectrophotometry [in Chinese]
- Huang D, He XL, Yang G, Wang CX, Du YJ, Yang WX, Xu SD (2012) Temporal and spatial analysis of surface water quality in Manas River basin. J Shihezi Univ (Natural Science) 30(6):749–754 [in Chinese]
- Joarder MAM, Raihan F, Alam JB, Hasanuzzaman S (2008) Regression analysis of ground water quality data of Sunamganj District, Bangladesh. Int J Environ Res 2(3):291–296
- Jones KB, Neale AC, Nash MS (2001) Predicting nutrient and sediment loadings to streams from landscape metrics: a multiple watershed study from the United States mid-Atlantic region. Landsc Ecol 16(4):301–312
- Lettenmaier DP, Hooper ER, Wagoner C, Faris KB (1991) Trends in stream quality in the continental United States, 1978–1987. Water Resour Res 27(3):327–339
- Li S, Gu S, Tan X, Zhang Q (2009) Water quality in the upper Han River basin, China: the impacts of land use/land cover in riparian buffer zone. J Hazard Mater 165(1):317–324
- Li S, Xia X, Tan X, Zhang Q (2013) Effects of catchment and riparian landscape setting on water chemistry and seasonal evolution of water quality in the upper Han River basin, China. PLoS One 8(1): e53163
- Liu C, Xia J (2004) Water problems and hydrological research in the Yellow River and the Huai and Hai River basins of China. Hydrol Process 18(12):2197–2210
- Ma F, Ye A, Gong W, Mao Y, Miao C, Di Z (2014) An estimate of human and natural contributions to flood changes of the Huai River. Glob Planet Chang 119:39–50
- Moran PAP (1950) Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. Biometrika 37(1–2):17–23
- Mueller DK, Ruddy BC, Battaglin WA (1997) Logistic model of nitrate in streams of the upper-midwestern United States. J Environ Qual 26(5):1223–1230
- Nash MS, Heggem DT, Ebert D, Wade TG, Hall RK (2009) Multi-scale landscape factors influencing stream water quality in the state of Oregon. Environ Monit Assess 156(1–4):343–360
- O'Sullivan D, Unwin DJ (2003) Geographic information analysis. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ
- Plummer JD, Long SC (2007) Monitoring source water for microbial contamination: evaluation of water quality measures. Water Res 41(16):3716–3728

- Pratt B, Chang H (2012) Effects of land cover, topography, and built structure on seasonal water quality at multiple spatial scales. J Hazard Mater 209(4):48–58
- Richards C, Johnson LB, Host GE (1996) Landscape-scale influences on stream habitats and biota. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 53(53):295–311
- Robson AJ, Neal C (1996) Water quality trends at an upland site in Wales, UK, 1983–1993. Hydrol Process 10(2):183–203
- Simeonov V, Stratis JA, Samara C, et al. (2003) Assessment of the surface water quality in northern Greece. Water Res 37(17):4119–4124
- Sliva L, Williams DD (2001) Buffer zone versus whole catchment approaches to studying land use impact on river water quality. Water Res 35(14):3462–3472
- Sokal RR, Oden NL (1978a) Spatial autocorrelation in biology: I. Methodology. Biol J Linn Soc 10(2):199–228
- Sokal RR, Oden NL (1978b) Spatial autocorrelation in biology: II. Some biological implications and four applications of evolutionary and ecological interest. Biol J Linn Soc 10(2):229–249
- Sonoda K, Yeakley JA, Walker CE (2001) Near-stream land use effects on stream water nutrient distribution in an urbanizing watershed. J Am Water Resour Assoc 37(6):1517–1532
- Steele TD, Jennings ME (1972) Regional analysis of streamflow chemical quality in Texas. Water Resour Res 8(2):460–477
- Tobler WR (1970) A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region. Econ Geogr 46(2):234–240
- Velleman PF, Roy EW (1981) Efficient computing of regression diagnostics. Am Stat 35(4):234–242
- Wan R, Cai S, Li H, Yang G, Li Z, Nie X (2014) Inferring land use and land cover impact on stream water quality using a Bayesian hierarchical modeling approach in the Xitiaoxi River watershed, China. J Environ Manag 133:1–11
- Wherry RJ (1931) A new formula for predicting the shrinking of the coefficient of multiple correlation. Ann Math Stat 2(4):440–457
- Yan B, Fang NF, Zhang PC, Shi ZH (2013) Impacts of land use change on watershed streamflow and sediment yield: an assessment using hydrologic modelling and partial least squares regression. J Hydrol 484(6):26–37
- Yu D, Shi P, Liu Y, Xun B (2013) Detecting land use-water quality relationships from the viewpoint of ecological restoration in an urban area. Ecol Eng 53(3):205–216
- Zhai X, Xia J, Zhang Y (2014) Water quality variation in the highly disturbed Huai River basin, China from 1994 to 2005 by multistatistical analyses. Sci Total Environ 496:594–606
- Zhang Y, Xia J, Shao Q, Zhai X (2013) Water quantity and quality simulation by improved SWAT in highly regulated Huai River basin of China. Stoch Env Res Risk A 27(1):11–27
- Zhao CS, Sun CL, Xia J, Hao XP, Li FG, Rebensburg K, Liu CM (2010) An impact assessment method of dam/sluice on instream ecosystem and its application to the Bengbu sluice of China. Water Resour Manag 24(15):4551–4565
- Zhao J, Lin L, Yang K, Liu Q, Qian G (2015) Influences of land use on water quality in a reticular river network area: a case study in shanghai, China. Landsc Urban Plan 137:20–29
- Zipper CE, Holtzman GI, Darken PF, Gildea JJ, Stewart RE (2002) Virginia USA water quality, 1978 to 1995: regional interpretation. J Am Water Resour Assoc 38(3):789–802
- Zuo Q, Chen H, Dou M, Zhang Y, Li D (2015) Experimental analysis of the impact of sluice regulation on water quality in the highly polluted Huai River basin, China. Environ Monit Assess 187(7):1–15