RESEARCH ARTICLE

Distribution and abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp. and associated species (Dinophyceae) in the northwestern Mediterranean: the region and the macroalgal substrate matter

Aurélie Blanfuné $^1\cdot$ Charles François Boudouresque $^1\cdot$ Hubert Grossel $^2\cdot$ Thierry Thibaut 1

Received: 3 February 2015 / Accepted: 9 April 2015 / Published online: 23 April 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract The range of Ostreopsis (Dinophyceae), a taxon harmful to both human health and ecosystems, has spread from a tropical and subtropical range of distribution to temperate areas, such as the Mediterranean Sea. This study has evidenced widespread summer occurrence in the northwestern Mediterranean, from French Catalonia to the French Riviera and Corsica. Ostreopsis spp. are usually associated with two other dinophycean taxa, Prorocentrum lima and Coolia spp. No obvious correlation (indicative of competition and/or facilitation) between the abundance of the three taxa was evidenced. In addition to local variability, we observed regional variability, with low abundance and local absence in French Catalonia and Languedoc, which contrasted with overall abundance and blooms in Provence, the French Riviera and Corsica, especially in late summer. Possible causes for this regional variability are discussed. Furthermore, the three taxa can grow on a variety of macroalgal substrates: 34 taxa belonging to the fleshy, bushy, flat and erect morpho-functional groups. Some macroalgal species were correlated with either high or low abundance of the studied dinophycean taxa and could therefore enhance or hinder their blooming.

Responsible editor: Thomas Braunbeck

Aurélie Blanfuné aurelie.blanfune-thibaut@mio.osupytheas.fr

- ¹ Aix Marseille University, CNRS/INSU, University Toulon, IRD, Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography (MIO) UM 110, 13288 Marseille, France
- ² Ifremer, Institut français de recherche pour l'exploitation de la mer, Centre de Méditerranée, Laboratoire Environnement/Ressources, ZP de Brégaillon, BP 330, 83507 La Seyne sur mer, France

Keywords Ostreopsis · Prorocentrum lima · Coolia · Macroalgal assemblages · Mediterranean Sea · France

Introduction

For several decades, occurrences of harmful benthic 'microalgal'¹ blooms have strongly increased worldwide in frequency and intensity. This trend is likely to continue (Rhodes 2011). Among the Dinophyceae (kingdom Alveolata), these phenomena are observed in particular for the genus Ostreopsis. In the past, species of Ostreopsis were often observed in tropical and subtropical areas (Ballantine et al. 1988; Morton et al. 1992; Grzebyk et al. 1994). In 1995, the worldwide distribution of Ostreopsis spp. ranged between latitudes 28° N and 20° S (Faust et al. 1996). However, today, its distribution area has considerably expanded (Rhodes 2011) and extends between latitudes 45° N and 35° S. Over the last decade, massive proliferations of Ostreopsis spp. have become more common in temperate areas. Two species are mainly involved, Ostreopsis siamensis Schmidt and Ostreopsis ovata Fukuyo (Rhodes 2011). In the southern hemisphere, proliferation of Ostreopsis spp. has been reported in New Zealand (Chang et al. 2000; Rhodes et al. 2000; Shears and Ross 2009), Australia (Pearse et al. 2001; Rhodes 2011), Brazil (Granéli et al. 2002) and Hawaii (Parsons and Preskitt 2007). In the northern hemisphere, outbreaks of Ostreopsis spp. were reported in Japan (Taniyama et al. 2003; Rhodes 2011), Russia (Selina and Orlova 2010; Selina and Levchenko 2011) and throughout the Mediterranean Sea. Concerning the Mediterranean Sea, the authors referred to the observed material as Ostreopsis sp.,

¹ Inverted commas highlight the fact that the term 'algae' does not refer to a taxon, but to a highly polyphyletic set of unrelated taxa.

Ostreopsis spp., O. ovata, O. cf. ovata, O. siamensis, and O. cf. siamensis. In the western Mediterranean, two distinct species have been reported, O. cf. siamensis from Catalonia, Andalusia and Sicily and O. ovata from Catalonia, the Balearic Islands, the Ligurian coast and the southern Tyrrhenian Sea (Penna et al. 2005, 2010; Battocchi et al. 2010; Bravo et al. 2010). The first observation of Ostreopsis sp. dates back to 1972 at Villefranche-sur-Mer (southern France) (Taylor 1979), but the first bloom event of O. cf. ovata occurred in 1998 in Tuscany (Italy) (Sansoni et al. 2003). Subsequently, Ostreopsis spp. outbreaks have been reported in the Balearic islands (Vila et al. 2001a, 2001b; Penna et al. 2005; Battocchi et al. 2010), the Ligurian Sea (Ciminiello et al. 2006; Mangialajo et al. 2008, 2011; Cohu et al. 2011), the Tyrrhenian Sea (Tognetto et al. 1995; Simoni et al. 2003; Penna et al. 2005; Zingone et al. 2006; Guerrini et al. 2010), the Adriatic Sea (Monti et al. 2007; Battocchi et al. 2010; Totti et al. 2010; Guerrini et al. 2010), the Aegean Sea (Aligizaki and Nikolaidis 2006; Aligizaki et al. 2008), Lebanon (Abboud-Abi Saab 1989; Abboud-Abi Saab and El-Bakht 1998) and Tunisia (Turki 2005). These massive proliferations of Ostreopsis spp. are usually associated with two other taxa of Dinophyceae, namely P. lima and Coolia spp. (Vila et al. 2001a; Aligizaki and Nikolaidis 2006) and occur in shallow waters close to the shoreline on macroalgal substrata. The environmental conditions required for blooming are unclear and seem to be very complex (Cohu et al. 2011). Species of the genus Ostreopsis produce palytoxinlike toxins and derivates (Ciminiello et al. 2008). This toxin is one of the most potent natural non-protein compounds known to date. Palytoxin-like compounds differ, depending on the Ostreopsis species incriminated: O. siamensis produces the ostreocin-d (Usami et al. 1995; Ukena et al. 2001) whereas Ostreopsis cf. ovata produces ovatoxin-a (Ciminiello et al. 2008). The impact of massive proliferations of Ostreopsis is harmful to both human health and ecosystems, such as causing negative effects on benthic 'invertebrates',² e.g. a loss of spines in the sea urchin Evechinus chloroticus (Valenciennes, 1846) (Simoni et al. 2003; Shears and Ross 2009; Shears and Ross 2010; Faimali et al. 2012; but see Blanfuné et al. 2012). Adverse effects on human health such as respiratory difficulties, conjunctivitis and skin irritation can occur either by direct contact with cells and by inhalation (Simoni et al. 2003; Brescianini et al. 2006; Ciminiello et al. 2006; Mangialajo et al. 2008; Tichadou et al. 2010) or by indirect contact through ingestion of seafood (Ito et al. 1996; Yasumoto 1998; Rhodes et al. 2002; Taniyama et al. 2003; Deeds and Schwartz 2010). To date, no intoxication by ingestion has been reported in the Mediterranean Sea (Aligizaki et al. 2008), and symptoms disappear at the same rate as the intensity of the blooms decreases (Durando et al. 2007). In urbanized areas, blooms of *Ostreopsis* spp. can induce beach closures or evacuation of the shoreline, resulting in economic loss for the tourism industry (Sansoni et al. 2003; Ciminiello et al. 2006; Mangialajo et al. 2008; Lemée et al. 2012). Furthermore, in the case of bloom events in mussel culture areas, the closure of fish farms can be imposed, also inducing economic loss.

Since 2008, further studies have been undertaken along the French Mediterranean coast, but only a few sites were studied; every year, blooms reappear during summer time. They start in June and never persist beyond August (Mangialajo et al. 2011; Cohu et al. 2011, 2013).

The goal of the present study was (i) to assess the distribution and abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp., together with associated Dinophyceae, along the French Mediterranean Coast from the Spanish to the Italian border and around Corsica Island (3 186 km, measured on a 1/2 500 map) and (ii) to investigate the possible relationship between the abundance of the studied dinophycean taxa and the macroalgal substrates.

Materials and methods

During summer 2011, three sampling campaigns were carried out: the first in June (weeks 23–24), the second in July (weeks 27–28) and the third in August (weeks 31–32). Seventy-nine sites were studied along the French Mediterranean coast (Fig. 1): 20 sites in French Catalonia and Languedoc (LC1 through LC20), 39 in Provence and the French Riviera (PR1 through PR39) and 20 in Corsica (CO1 through CO20). Only three campaigns were carried out, as the kinetics of the bloom was beyond the scope of the present study. Locality, acronym and coordinates of each study site are given in Table 1.

Samples of 'macroalgae' were randomly collected on available hard substrates; the distance (as the crow flies) between samples was ~25-50 km, while closer samples (~5-10 km) were collected along the rocky shore of French Catalonia and in Provence and the French Riviera in order to assess the local variability. The depth was 0.3 to 0.5 m; depth was referred to the limit between the midlitoral and the infralitoral zones (according to Pérès and Picard 1964). This depth range corresponds to the maximum abundance of Ostreopsis spp. (Cohu et al. 2013). Macroalgae were gently placed in a plastic flask with the surrounding water in order to limit the loss of dinophycean cells. Samples were immediately fixed with 2 % acid Lugol's solution and kept at 4 °C in the dark. The epibiontic cells were separated from the macroalgae by vigorous shaking. The surrounding water was first filtered on a 250-µm meshed grid, followed by filtration on a 100-µm meshed grid. This protocol was repeated twice by adding 300 mL of filtered sea water (10 μ m) each time. The final volume of the surrounding water containing epibiontic cells

² Inverted commas highlight the fact that invertebrates do not refer to a taxon, but to a paraphyletic set of taxa.



Fig. 1 The 79 sites studied along the French Mediterranean coast during summer 2011

was 1 L. Prior to being weighed (wet mass, ± 0.01 g), the macroalgae were cleaned of detritus and roughly wiped with absorbent paper. Only three Dinophyceae taxa were taken into account in the assessment of cell abundance: *Ostreopsis* spp., *P. lima* (Ehrenberg) F. Steinand and *Coolia* spp. Cells were counted by means of an optical microscope using calibrated squared chambers (1 mL; Sedgwick Rafter[®]). Results were expressed as number of cells per gram of wet mass of macroalgae (cell g⁻¹ WM).

Macroalgae that constitute the substrate of Dinophyceae belonged to Chlorophyta, Florideophyceae (kingdom Archaeplastida) and Phaeophyceae (kingdom Stramenopiles). Identification was done by one of the co-authors of this work (TT). In each sample, only taxa accounting for at least 20 % of the wet mass were taken into consideration here.

In order to compare macroalgal assemblage composition, as a function of the various factors of dinophycean abundance, regional effect on dinophycean abundance and potential competition/facilitation effect between the three studied taxa, we performed a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Anderson 2001) based on the S17 Bray Curtis similarity matrixes (Clarke and Warwick 1994; Clarke and Gorley 2006). *P* values were obtained by 999 permutations of residuals under a reduced model. The possible effects of the macroalgal substrate were analysed through a multivariate exploratory approach using non-metric multi-dimensional scaling (nMDS) (Clarke and Gorley 2006). Similarity measure matrixes were calculated from the initial data matrix containing, for each sample, the abundance of considered Dinophyceae after square root transformation. The chosen similarity measure was the S17 Bray Curtis similarity (Clarke and Warwick 1994; Clarke and Gorley 2006); nMDS represents samples as points in low-dimensional space such that the relative distances apart of all points are in the same rank order as the relative similarities of the samples. Finally, for each taxon, correlations of the main macroalgal substrate with the 2-D ordination plot of samples were plotted by displaying correlation vectors. Spearman correlation was used. Only samples with cell abundance higher than 1000 cell g^{-1} WM were considered for nMDS analyses.

Results

Apart from the three studied dinophycean taxa (see below), no other dinophycean taxon was ever found in significant amount

Acronym	Locality	Coordinates (WGS 84)
LC1	Cerbère	42°26,430 N 3°10,080E
LC2	Banyuls-sur-Mer (Plage de Peyrefitte)	42°27,672 N 3°09,462E
LC3	Banyuls-sur-Mer (Laboratoire Arago)	42°28,907 N 3°08,210E
LC4	Port-Vendres (Anse de Paulilles)	42°30,272 N 3°07,430E
LC5	Collioure (Anse del Rages)	42°31,448 N 3°05,749E
LC6	Argelès-sur-Mer (Le Racou)	42°32,117 N 3°03,903E
LC7	Saint-Cyprien	42°37,496 N 3°02,272E
LC8	Canet-en-Roussillon	42°42,218 N 3°02,355E
LC9	Le Barcarès (Port)	42°48,064 N 3°02,383E
LC10	Leucate	42°53,078 N 3°03,154E
LC11	Leucate (La Franqui)	42°55,753 N 3°02,735E
LC12	Port-la-Nouvelle	43°00,786 N 3°04,078E
LC13	Gruissan (Plage)	43°05,689 N 3°06,835E
LC14	Fleury d'Aude (Saint-Pierre-sur-Mer)	43°10,619 N 3°11,552E
LC15	Valras-Plage	43°14,536 N 3°17,472E
LC16	Agde (Grau d'Agde)	43°16,863 N 3°27,265E
LC17	Agde (Rochers La Roquille)	43°16,852 N 3°31,254E
LC18	Sète (Le Lazaret)	43°23,466 N 3°40,397E
LC19	Palavas-les-Flots	43°31.768 N 3°56.432E
LC20	Grau-du-Roi (Port Camargue)	43°31.434 N 4°07.742E
PR1	Saintes-Maries-de-la-Mer	43°26,940 N 4°25,740F
PR2	Arles (between Beauduc and Grand-Rhône mouth)	43°20,893 N 4°42,531E
PR3	Fos-sur-Mer (Pointe Saint-Gervais)	43°25 728 N 4°56 367F
PR4	Martigues (Can Couronne)	43°19 775 N 5°03 053F
PR5	Carry-le Rouet (Le Rouet)	43°19 957 N 5°10 311F
PR6	Marseille (Anse des cuivres)	43°16 814 N 5°20 990E
PR7	Marseille (Callelongue)	43°12 733 N 5°21 162E
PRS	Cassis	43°12,735 N 5°32,665E
PRQ	La Ciotat	43°09 992 N 5°36 388E
PR 10	La Ciotat (Les Lecques)	43°10 934 N 5°39 974E
DD 11	Soint (Ar sur Mar (Port d'Alon)	42°08 844 N 5°42 584E
	Bandol	43 08,844 N 5 42,384E
DD 12	Sanami an Mar (Daia da Caussa)	43 00,094 N 5 44,049E
	Sin Four los Plagos (Potit Coor)	45 07,574 N 5 40,390E
PRI4	Six-Fours-les Plages (Petit Gaou)	43°04,420 N 5°53,978E
PRIS	La Seyne-sur-Mer (Les Sablettes)	43°04,420 N 5°53,978E
PRI6	Le Pradet (Oursinieres)	43°05,206 N 6°01,262E
PRI7	Hyères-les-Palmiers (Giens, La Madrague)	43°02,466 N 6°06,035E
PRI8	Hyeres-les-Palmiers (Giens, la Tour Fondue)	43°01,663 N 6°09,340E
PR19	Bormes-les-Mimosas (Plage de l'Estagnol)	43°06,572 N 6°17,718E
PR20	Le Lavandou (Plage d'Aigue Belle)	43°08,970 N 6°24,281E
PR21	Rayol-Canadel-sur-Mer (Plage du Cavidel)	43°09,437 N 6°27,934E
PR22	Cavalaire-sur-Mer	43°10,034 N 6°32,170E
PR23	La Croix-Valmer	43°10,910 N 6°36,119E
PR24	Ramatuelle (Plage Tahiti)	43°14,447 N 6°40,142E
PR25	Saint-Tropez (Moulin Blanc)	43°16,451 N 6°39,238E
PR26	Gassin (Cogolin)	43°15,454 N 6°36,131E
PR27	Sainte-Maxime (La Madrague)	43°18,843 N 6°39,617E
PR28	Roquebrune-sur-Argens (Les Issambres)	43°21,008 N 6°42,845E
PR29	Fréjus (Saint-Aygulf)	43°23,468 N 6°43,912E
PR30	Saint-Raphaël (Anse de la Peyguière)	43°24,465 N 6°47,551E

Table 1 (continued)

Acronym	Locality	Coordinates (WGS 84)
PR31	Saint-Raphaël (Anthéor)	43°25,214 N 6°51,423E
PR32	Théoule-sur-Mer (Miramar)	43°29,144 N 6°56,036E
PR33	Cannes	43°33.030 N 7°03,440E
PR34	Juan-les-Pins	43°33,086 N 7°07,188E
PR35	Antibes (Fort Carré)	43°35,555 N 7°07,680E
PR36	Beaulieu-sur-Mer (Port)	43°42,785 N 7°20,464E
PR37	Beaulieu-sur-Mer (Petite Afrique)	43°42,833 N 7°20,667E
PR38	Eze-sur-Mer	43°43,300 N 7°21,481E
PR39	Menton	43°46,842 N 7°30,728E
CO1	San-Martino-di-Lota (Grigione)	42°44,014 N 9°27,661E
CO2	Valle-di-Campoloro	42°20,310 N 9°32,518E
CO3	Sari-Solenzara	41°51,376 N 9°24,131E
CO4	Porto-Vecchio	41°35,316 N 9°17,275E
CO5	Bonifacio (Sant'Amanza)	41°24,530 N 9°13,630E
CO6	Pianotolli-Caldarello	41°28,513 N 9°04,292E
CO7	Propriano	41°40,516 N 8°53,665E
CO8	Grosseto-Prugna (Porticcio)	41°52,598 N 8°47,110E
CO9	Ajaccio	41°54,618 N 8°43,349E
CO10	Calcatoggio (Sagone)	42°03,031 N 8°44,818E
CO11	Ota (Porto)	42°16,159 N 8°41,539E
CO12	Lumio	42°34,346 N 8°48,161E
CO13	Monticello	42°38,073 N 8°57,734E
CO14	Palasca (Ostriconi, Plage Algajola)	42°39,544 N 9°03,439E
CO15	Saint-Florent	42°40,544 N 9°17,183E
CO16	Farinole	42°44,216 N 9°20,704E
CO17	Centuri	42°57,860 N 9°20,816E
CO18	Ersa (Barcaggio)	43°00.425 N 9°24,129E
CO19	Rogliano (Maccinaggio)	42°57,659 N 9°27,178E
CO20	Brando (Erbalunga)	42°46,414 N 9°28,508E

at any site. It is of interest to note that before the beginning of the blooms of *Ostreopsis* spp., a short-lasting bloom of the diatom *Coscinodiscus* sp. (Coscinodiscophyceae) was very often observed.

Occurrence and abundance of Ostreopsis spp.

On the basis of visual examination, the collected material seems to correspond to *O. ovata* (small size) rather than to *O. siamensis*. However, in the absence of more accurate taxonomical characterization (electronic microscopy, genetic analysis), which would be beyond the scope of the present study, we hereafter refer the sampled material to *Ostreopsis* spp.

At 9 out of the 20 sites sampled in French Catalonia and Languedoc, *Ostreopsis* spp. were never observed during the 3 months of the study period (LC1, LC2, LC3, LC7, LC11, LC13, LC15, LC18 and LC20). Where present, it was very uncommon, with a maximum density of 56 cells g^{-1} WM (Table 2).

In Provence and the French Riviera, *Ostreopsis* spp. were was observed at all the study sites, with the exception of PR2. Its abundance generally conspicuously increased from June to August and was higher in the eastern part (PR31 through PR39), with density up to ~195,000 cells g^{-1} WM than in the western part, with density generally lower or very much lower than 10,000 cells g^{-1} WM (Table 3).

In Corsica, *Ostreopsis* spp. were recorded at all the study sites. As in Provence and the French Riviera, a distinct seasonal pattern was observed, generally peaking in August. The density was higher along the west coast (CO7 through CO13: up to ~207,000 cells g^{-1} WM) than in other areas (always less than 5000 cells g^{-1} WM) (Table 4).

Occurrence and abundance of P. lima and Coolia spp.

P. lima and *Coolia* spp. were relatively abundant in the southwestern part of French Catalonia and Languedoc (LC1 through LC6) and even conspicuously more abundant than

Table 2Abundanabbreviations, see te:	ce of the stu- xt	died dinoflagellates (cells g ⁻¹ WM m	iacroalgae) alon£	g the Languedor	c and French Catalonia	coast and domir	lant macroalgae	(at least 20 %	of the wet mass). Fo	or macroalgal
	Month	LC 1	LC 2	LC 3	LC 4	LC 5	LC 6	LC 7	LC 8	LC 9	LC 10
Ostreopsis spp.	June	0 - TA	0 - L, TA	0 - DDI, L	7 - HS	9 - C, HS, UL	8 - C, DF	0 - C	9 - P	12 - C, UL, WS	4 - C
	July	0 - L	md	SH - 0	17 - HS	16 - C	21 - HS	md	0 - C	29 - CE	0 - C
	August	0 - L	0 - L	SH - 0	28 - HS	SH - 0	SH - 0	md	0 - C	md	md
Prorocentrum lima	June	8 - TA	115 - L, TA	61 - DDI, L	SH - 86	497 - C, HS, UL	110 - C, DF	7 - C	13 - P	8 - C, UL, WS	8 - C
	July	451 - L	md	3 333 - HS	2 220 - HS	263- C	6 241 - HS	md	24 - C	57 - CE	65 - C
	August	467 - L	26 - L	1 679 - HS	917 - HS	4 870 - HS	2 842 - HS	md	0 - C	md	md
Coolia spp.	June	8 - TA	6 - L, TA	71 - DDI, L	29 - HS	1 012 - C, HS, UL	445 - C, DF	7 - C	4 - P	4 - C, UL, WS	0 - C
	July	133 - L	md	748 - HS	1 556 - HS	82- C	536 - HS	md	0 - C	0 - CE	65 - C
	August	200 - L	0 - L	71 - HS	56 - HS	348 - HS	237- HS	md	0 - C	md	md
	Month	LC 11	LC 12	LC 13	LC 14	LC 15	LC 16	LC 17	LC 18	LC 19	LC 20
Ostreopsis spp.	June	0 - TA	9 - C	0 - C	5 - JR	0 - C, UL, WS	8 - C, PC	3 - C	0 - DF	0 - C, UL	0 - CE, GN
	July	0 - DI	37 - L	0 - C	26 - C	0 - UL	0 - C	56 - C, EC	md	40 - C	0 - P
	August	0 - DDI, TA	md	md	0 - C	md	SH - 0	0 - C	md	0 - C	md
Prorocentrum lima	June	16 - TA	3 - C	0 - C	5 - JR	0 - C, UL, WS	23 - C, PC	0 - C	54 - DF	9 - C, UL	0 - CE, GN
	July	26 - DI	37 - L	29 - C	0 - C	0 - UL	36 - C	111 - C, EC	md	120 - C	0 - P
	August	1 129 - DDI, TA	md	md	0 - C	md	SH - 0	0 - C	md	79 - C	md
Coolia spp.	June	5 - TA	0 - C	0 - C	0 - JR	0 - C, UL, WS	31 - C, PC	10 - C	444 - DF	19 - C, UL	0 - CE, GN
	July	1 105 - DI	1 296 - L	0 - C	26 - C	0 - UL	0 - C	0 - C, EC	md	40 - C	0 - P
	August	1 129 - DDI, TA	md	md	0 - C	md	SH - 0	0 - C	md	79 - C	md
<i>md</i> missing data											

Environ Sci Pollut Res (2015) 22:12332-12346

🙆 Springer

Table 3Abundaabbreviations, see t	ince of th ext	e studied dinoflage	llates (cells g ⁻	⁻¹ WM macroalga	e) along the Provence	and French Rivie	rra coast and domin	nant macroalg	gae (at least 20 % c	of the wet mass).	For macroalgal
	Month	PR1 F	R2	PR3	PR4	PR5	PR6	PR7	PR8	PR9	PR10
Ostreopsis spp.	June	0 - C 0	- UL	0 - DDD	67 - DF	70 - AA	0 - CE, GC, UL	23 - C	243 - HV	33 - DDI, L	0 - DF
	July	0 - UI 0	SH - (1 125 - DDI	0 - DF	pm	143 - L	750 - DI	9 304 - HS	38 - HS	350 - L
	August	27 - UL 0) - HF	5 111 - DDD	321- DDI	md	2 560 - CL, UL	4 348 - DI	18 222 - L	1 240 - JR	200 - L
Prorocentrum lima	June	0 - C 0	- UL	0 - DDD	233 - DF	224 - AA	83 - CE, GC, UL	68 - C	568 - HV	3 267 - DDI, L	63 - DF
	July	0 - UI 3	2 - HS	63 - DDI	286 - DF	pm	918 - L	0 - DI	1 870 - HS	1 712 - HS	900 - L
	August	0 - UL 0) - HF	56 - DDD	929 - DDI	pm	1 800 - CL, UL	IC - 0	1 524 - L	10 160 - JR	100 - L
Coolia spp.	June	0 - C 0	- UL	525 - DDD	1 317 - DF	3 496 - AA	50 - CE, GC, UL	45 - C	297 - HV	433 - DDI, L	0 - DF
	July	0 - UI 0	SH - (1 000 - DDI	286 - DF	pm	531 - L	IC - 0	826 - HS	269 - HS	150 - L
	August	0 - UL 0) - HF	0 - DDD	107 - DDI	pm	40 - CL, UL	IC - 0	63 - L	80 - JR	300 - L
	Month	PR11 F	R12	PR13	PR14	PR15	PR16	PR17	PR18	PR19	PR20
Ostreopsis spp.	June	0 - HS 4	0 - HS	0 - DI	0 - CA	0 - CA	160 - HS	13 - HS	0 - DF, DI, HF	5 - UI	23 - HS
	July	519 - DF, HS 3	587 - HS	4 692 - PA	1 795 - HS	2 267 - CCO, L	1 273 - HS	540 - HS	113 - HS, JR	333 - HS	459 - HS, JR
	August	1 000 - L 1	1 108 - L	1 674 - HS	2 641 - CBB, CC, PP	1 350 - LV, PP	879 - HS, JR	204 - HS	1 087 - HI	66 - CR	SH - 209
Prorocentrum lima	June	3 529 - HS 8	SH - 009 8	1 615 - DI	163 - CA	0 - CA	3 431 - HS	443 - HS	188 - DF, DI, HF	64 - UI	2 331 - HS
	July	1 241 - DF, HS 7	778 - HS	2 282 - PA	45 182 - HS	3 833 - CCO, L	14 667 - HS	6 400 - HS	3 825 - HS, JR	681 - HS	2 000 - HS, JR
	August	1 128 - L 1	846 - L	2 087 - HS	4 391- CBB, CC, PP	1 800 - LV, PP	2 925 - HS, JR	920 - HS	1 748 - HI	246 - CR	3 263 - HS
Coolia spp.	June	1 500 - HS 5	SH - 099	821 - DI	14 - CA	0 - CA	195 - HS	135 - HS	254 - DF, DI, HF	0 - UI	423 - HS
	July	37 - DF, HS 6	83 - HS	2 051 - PA	1 409 - HS	517 - CCO, L	1 515 - HS	300 - HS	413 - HS, JR	83 - HS	279 - HS, JR
	August	128 - L 5	85 - L	739 - HS	297 - CBB, CC, PP	125 - LV, PP	56 - HS, JR	35 - HS	1H - 87	0 - CR	553 - HS
	Month	PR21 F	R22	PR23	PR24	PR25	PR26	PR27	PR28	PR29	PR30
Ostreopsis spp.	June	0 Dm	- DI, TA	0 - UI	SH - 0	0 - CV	0 - CV, HS	24 - DI	0 - DF	10 - C	136 - HF, HV
	July	0 - JR 4	-8 - L	69 - HS, JR	52 - HS, JR	SH - 89	15 - HS	333 - HS	md	220 - HS	582 - HI, HS
	August	303 - JR 6	67 - JR	578 - HS, JR	1 093 - JR	470 - HS	468 - HS	519 - HS	210 - HS	1 043 - HS	3 521- HI, HS
Prorocentrum lima	June	5 5	53 - DI, TA	5 - UI	531 - HS	1 432 - CV	285 - CV, HS	3 168 - DI	345 - DF	51- C	2 526 - HF, HV
	July	1 000 - JR 3	; 024 - L	379 - HS, JR	3 794 - HS, JR	6 822 - HS	1 279 - HS	2 500 - HS	md	260 - HS	1 031 - HI, HS
	August	136 - JR 6	5 519 - JR	289 - HS, JR	2 813 - JR	1 545 - HS	3 000 - HS	1 012 - HS	827 - HS	429 - HS	2 438 - HI, HS
Coolia spp.	June	md 1	42 - DI, TA	0 - UI	47 - HS	256 - CV	37 - CV, HS	1 217 - DI	2 151 - DF	24 958 - C	446 - HF, HV
	July	87 - JR 1	67 - L	425 - HS, JR	82 - HS, JR	384 - HS	103 - HS	214 - HS	md	SH - 09	51 - HI, HS
	August	45 - JR 2	341 - JR	108 - HS, JR	393 - JR	197 - HS	85 - HS	235 - HS	SH - 0	57 - HS	313 - HI, HS
	Month	PR31 F	PR32	PR33	PR34	PR35	PR36	PR37	PR38	PR39	
Ostreopsis spp.	June	15 - DDD 0)-C	6 - CCO	12 - DF, TA	md	20 - HS, JR	0 - TA	20 - TA	35 - C	
	July	20 - HS, JR 1	885 - C, HS	56 - DP	2 043 - HS	8 - C	571 - HS, JR, PP	pm	50 385 - JR	37 538 - C, CE	
	August	49 474 - HS 1	95 152 - HS	23 927 - HS, JR	153 548 - HS, JR	300 - HS	183 768 - HS, JR	pm	144 000 - HS	pm	
Provocentrum lima	June	1 015 - DDD 1	10 - C	0 - CCO	1 460 - DF, TA	pm	401 - HS, JR	511 - TA	632 - TA	88 - C	
	July	717 - HS, JR 7	'54 - C, HS	3 417 - DP	1 213 - HS	26 - C	29 - HS, JR, PP	pm	2 115 - JR	821 - C, CE	
	August	11 579 - HS 5	; 455- HS	3 273 - HS, JR	34 839 - HS, JR	100 - HS	0 - HS, JR	md	6 222 - HS	md	

Ostreopsis spp. In contrast, in the other study areas, they were generally less abundant than *Ostreopsis* spp. Massive proliferations were recorded at, e.g. PR29 (*Coolia* spp. ~25,000 in June) and PR14 (*P. lima* ~45,000 in July). No abundance higher than 100,000 cells g^{-1} WM was recorded (Tables 2, 3 and 4).

Regional effect on abundances of the three dinophycean taxa

Abundances of the three dinophycean taxa, *Ostreopsis* spp., *P. lima* and *Coolia* spp., were significantly dependent on the region (Table 5a). When focusing on Provence and the French Riviera, where abundances were high, the regional effect was no longer significant (Table 5b).

Correlation between *Ostreopsis* spp., *P. lima* and *Coolia* spp. abundance

The abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp. was not correlated (positively or negatively) with the presence and abundance of the two other dinophycean taxa, *P. lima* and *Coolia* spp. (Table 6). That means there is neither competition between these taxa, for example access to a resource, nor inhibition through, e.g. toxic compounds produced by one of the dinophycean taxa, nor facilitation.

Effect of the macroalgal substrate

The macroalgal species composition of each sample was highly variable and the three dinophycean taxa were associated with 34 macroalgal taxa: 4 Chlorophyta (CR=Caulerpa *cylindracea* Sonder (=*Caulerpa racemosa var. cylindracea*); CL=Cladophora laetevirens (Dillwyn) Kützing, UI=Ulva intestinalis Linnaeus and UL=Ulva lactuca Linnaeus), 14 Florideophyceae (Rhodophyta) (AA=Asparagopsis armata Harvey, CE=Ceramium spp., C=Corallina elongata (J. Ellis & Solander) K.R. Hind & G.W. Saunders, GN=Gelidiella nigrescens (Feldmann) Feldmann & Hamel, GC=Gelidium crinale (Hare ex Turner) Gaillon, HV=Haliptylon virgatum (Zanardini) Garbary & H.W. Johansen, HI=Halopitys incurvus Hudson, JR=Jania rubens (Linnaeus) J.V. Lamouroux, L=Laurencia spp., LV=Liagora viscida (Forsskål) C. Agardh, PA=Parviphycus pannosus (Feldmann) G. Furnari, PC=Plocamium cartilagineum (Linnaeus) P.S. Dixon, P=Polysiphonia spp., WS= Womersleyella setacea (Hollenberg) R.E. Norris) and 16 Phaeophyceae (CV=Cladostephus verticillatus (Lightfoot) Lyngbye, CA=Cystoseira amentacea (C. Agardh) Bory de Saint-Vincent, CB=Cystoseira barbata (Stackhouse) C. Agardh, CBB=Cystoseira brachycarpa var. balearica (Sauvageau) Giaccone, CCO=Cystoseira compressa (Esper) Gerloff & Nizamuddin, CC=Cystoseira crinita Duby, DP=

	pu
	18 - C 0 - C, CE 2 222 - HS
	3 103 - TA 5 192 - JR md
	511 - TA md 1 159 - HS, JR
	281 - HS, JR 29 - HS, JR, PP 0 - HS
	md 0 - C 1 290 - HS, JR
	377 - DF, TA 43 - HS 291 - HS, JR
	31 - CCO 431 - DP 606- HS
	16 - C 98 - C, HS 3 789 - HS
	134 - DDD 10 - HS, JR August
(nonii	June July
minn) c anne	'oolia spp.

nd missing data

Table 4 Al	oundance	of the studied c	linoflagellates (c.	ells g ⁻¹ WM 1	nacroalgae) along the Co	rsica coast and dom	inant macroalga	e (at least 20 % of t	he wet mass). For mac	roalgal abbrev	iations, see text
	Month	C01	C02	CO3	CO4	CO5	CO6	CO7	CO8	CO9	CO10
Ostreopsis	June	49 - C, TA	74 - HS, TA	85 - HS	62 - HF	234 - HS	111 - HF	50 - HS	98 - CC	23 - HS	0 - CA
spp.	July	57 - HS, JR	837 - HS	594 - HS	49 - CBB, DI, HS, PP	10 - DI, DP, HS	75 - CB, HS	12 198 - HS, JR	64 - HS	29 - HS	0 - HS, JR
	August	147 - HS, JR	921 - HS	205 - HS	117 - C, HS	4 403 - HS	SH - 68	206 977 - JR	184 - JR	107 839 - JR	3 276 - HI, JR
Prorocentrum	June	1 307 - C, TA	345 - HS, TA	13 618 - HS	154 - HF	18 070 - HS	1 111 - HF	594 - HS	4 439 - CC	3 147 - HS	503 - CA
lima	July	340 - HS, JR	6 250 - HS	4 970 - HS	8 155 - CBB, DI, HS, PP	5 400 - DI, DP, HS	336 - CB, HS	793- HS, JR	1 664 - HS	1 755 - HS	1 309 - HS, JR
	August	2 517 - HS, JR	1 842 - HS	3 402 - HS	268 - C, HS	2 013 - HS	133 - HS	45 736 - JR	3 701 - JR	33 270 - JR	1 952 - HI, JR
Coolia spp.	June	425 - C, TA	591 - HS, TA	2 423 - HS	92 - HF	10 643 - HS	74 - HF	1 089 - HS	1 634 - CC	1 096 - HS	632 - CA
	July	132 - HS, JR	87 - HS	257 - HS	641 - CBB, DI, HS, PP	590 - DI, DP, HS	28 - CB, HS	264- HS, JR	773 - HS	765 - HS	245 - HS, JR
	August	589 - HS, JR	164 - HS	114 - HS	0 - C, HS	354 - HS	SH - 0	35 659 - JR	266 - JR	20 650 - JR	1 197 - HI, JR
	Month	CO11	C012	C013	C014	C015	CO16	C017	CO18	CO19	CO20
Ostreopsis	June	25 - C	49 - CC, HS	0 - CC	17 - JR	83 - HS	0 - CC, JR	75 - CB, L, PP	0 - CC, JR	0 - DF	211 - HS, JR
spp.	July	38 - HS, JR	0 - CC, HS	38 - HS	0 - CC, HS	295 - HS	400 - HS	164 - C	0 - CC, DDI, JR, PP	51 - HS, JR	179 - JR
	August	48 889 - C, P	32 941 - JR	14 528 - CC	1 279 - CV, JR	283 - CV, HS, JR	2 131 - CC, JR	1 196 - JR	4 731 - JR	1 893 - CV	572 - HS, JR
Prorocentrum	June	25 - C	6 677 - CC, HS	575 - CC	417 - JR	SH - 868 9	607 - CC, JR	2 400 - CB, L, PP	6 713 - CC, JR	2 981 - DF	7 107 - HS, JR
lima	July	1 179 - HS, JR	873 - CC, HS	1 150 - HS	635 - CC, HS	267 - HS	371 - HS	137 - C	743 - CC, DDI, JR, PP	153 - HS, JR	103 - JR
	August	5 641 - C, P	6 542 - JR	3 984 - CC	1 586 - CV, JR	3 284 - CV, HS, JR	852 - CC, JR	3 677 - JR	4 360 - JR	1 514 - CV	10 268 - HS, JR
Coolia spp.	June	0 - C	810 - CC, HS	281 - CC	583 - JR	1 773 - HS	658 - CC, JR	1 100 - CB, L, PP	1 121 - CC, JR	1 966 - DF	898 - HS, JR
	July	132 - HS, JR	254 - CC, HS	225 - HS	63 - CC, HS	38 - HS	10 - HS	27 - C	0- CC, DDI, JR, PP	0 - HS, JR	0 - JR
	August	0 - C, P	6 083 - JR	1 289 - CC	563 - CV, JR	148- CV, HS, JR	654 - CC, JR	299 - JR	482 - JR	535 - CV	376 - HS, JR

Table 5Permutationmultivariate analysis of variance(PERMANOVA) on square roottransformation of the abundanceof the three studied dinophyceantaxa (Ostreopsis spp.,Prorocentrum lima and Cooliaspp.), as a function of the region(regional effect)

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)	perms
(a) All regions (Frenc	h Catalonia	, Languedoc, Prove	ence, French Ri	iviera and Corsica)	
Regional effect	4	40,752	10,188	6.5307	0.001 ^a	997
Residual	151	2.3556E5	1560			
Total	155	2.7632E5				
(b) Only 2 regions (Pr	rovence and	French Riviera) u	sing S17 Bray	Curtis similarities		
Regional effect	1	487.95	487.95	0.27045	0.818	999
Residual	84	1.5155 E5	1804.2			
Total	85	1.5204 E5				

^a Highly significant

Dictyopteris polypodioides (A.P. De Candolle) J.V. Lamouroux, DDD=Dictyota dichotoma (Hudson) J.V. Lamouroux var. dichotoma, DDI=Dictyota dichotoma var. intricata (C. Agardh) Greville, DF=Dictyota fasciola (Roth) J.V. Lamouroux, DI=Dictyota implexa (Desfontaines) J.V. Lamouroux, EC=unidentified Ectocarpales, HF=Halopteris filicina (Grateloup) Kützing, HS=Halopteris scoparia (Linnaeus) Sauvageau, PP=Padina pavonica (Linnaeus) Thivy, TA=Taonia atomaria (Woodward) J. Agardh).

Table 7 shows that the abundance of the three taxa of Dinophyceae significantly differed according to the species composition of the macroalgal substrate. Samples composed of *J. rubens* and *H. scoparia* exhibited the highest abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp. (>100,000 cell g⁻¹ WM) in contrast to samples composed of *U. lactuca, C. elongata, Laurencia* spp., *D. fasciola* and *T. atomaria* which presented lower abundances (1000 to 10,000 cell g⁻¹ WM). *Coolia* spp. followed the same trend, unlike *P. lima* that showed the opposite trend (Fig. 2).

When the regional effect was removed, i.e. when the nMDS ordination was focused upon Provence and the French Riviera (excluding therefore the other regions), a larger number of macroalgae were associated with dinophycean abundance. In addition to the former species, *U. intestinalis*,

 Table 6
 Permutation multivariate analysis of variance

 (PERMANOVA) on square root transformation of abundance of

 Ostreopsis spp. in each sample, according to the abundance of the two

 other dinophycean taxa, Prorocentrum lima and Coolia spp. using S17

 Bray Curtis similarities. Only Provence and French Riviera were considered, in order to avoid a possible bias due to the regional effect

Source	df	SS	MS	Pseudo- F	P(perm)	perms
Prorocentrum lima	2	5536.2	2768.1	1.7067	0.154	999
Coolia spp.	2	8205.4	4102.7	2.5296	0.056	998
P. lima×Coolia spp.	1	894	894	0.55121	0.621	999
Residual	80	1.2975E5	1621.9			
Total	85	1.5204E5				

C. amentacea, *D. dichotoma* var. *intricata* and *D. dichotoma* var. *dichotoma* emerged (Fig. 3). The two varieties of *Dictyota* and *C. amentacea* were associated with high densities of *Ostreopsis* spp. and *Coolia* spp. and low densities of *P. lima*, while the opposite was the case with *U. lactuca*, *C. elongata*, *D. fasciola* and *T. atomaria*. In addition, the status of three macroalgae was slightly changed (*J. rubens, Laurencia* spp. and *H. scoparia*).

Discussion and conclusion

Our study clearly showed the extensive distribution of *Ostreopsis* spp. along most of the French Mediterranean coast. In French Catalonia and Languedoc, abundance was conspicuously low, more than two orders of magnitude less than in Provence, French Riviera and Corsica; this cannot be due to the extension of beaches in Languedoc, as density was also low along the neighbouring rocky shores of French Catalonia. In addition, density was relatively high on the eastern shore of Corsica, with a similarly long extension of sandy beaches (Tables 2, 3 and 4). The reason is therefore a geographical parameter, such as temperature, salinity or the invasional process. The temperature, which is considered to enhance *Ostreopsis* blooms (Vila et al. 2001a, 2001b; Granéli et al. 2011; Mangialajo et al. 2011; David et al. 2012; Cohu et al. 2013), is lower in the Gulf of Lions than in Provence, French

Table 7 Permutation multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on square root transformation of the abundance of the dinophycean taxa (*Ostreopsis* spp., *Prorocentrum lima* and *Coolia* spp.), as a function of the macroalgal composition of each sample (all regions and dates), using S17 Bray Curtis similarities

df	SS	MS	Pseudo-F	P(perm)	perms
44	1.3097E5	2976.6	1.9384	0.001 ^a	998
159	2.4416E5	1535.6			
203	3.7513E5				
	df 44 159 203	dfSS441.3097E51592.4416E52033.7513E5	df SS MS 44 1.3097E5 2976.6 159 2.4416E5 1535.6 203 3.7513E5	df SS MS Pseudo-F 44 1.3097E5 2976.6 1.9384 159 2.4416E5 1535.6	df SS MS Pseudo-F P(perm) 44 1.3097E5 2976.6 1.9384 0.001 ^a 159 2.4416E5 1535.6 - - 203 3.7513E5 - - -

^a Highly significant



Fig. 2 Two-dimensional nMDS. a Correlation vectors of taxa of macroalgae vs. Dinophyceae abundance; correlation >0.3 (Spearman). All samples considered. b Two-dimensional nMDS ordination plot on abundance of *Ostreopsis* spp. in each sample. c Two-dimensional nMDS ordination plot on abundance of *Prorocentrum lima* in each

Riviera and Corsica (Nykjaer 2009; Schaeffer et al. 2011; Shaltout and Omstedt 2014). Low salinity possibly hinders *Ostreopsis* spp. (Pistocchi et al. 2011; Pezzolesi et al. 2014; Grossel et al. 2013); it is slightly lower in the Gulf of Lions than in other study regions due to the Rhone River plume (Diaz et al. 2008; Brasseur et al. 1996). Finally, the progression of *Ostreopsis* spp. could be a time-dependent process (the

sample. **d** Two-dimensional nMDS ordination plot on abundance of *Coolia* spp. in each sample. Each *circle* represents a sample. Abundance of Dinophyceae: *light grey circles*=1000 to 10,000 cell g^{-1} WM; *dark grey circles*=10,000 to 100,000 cell g^{-1} WM; *black circles*=>100,000 cell g^{-1} WM. 2D stress: 0.01

Ostreopsis invasion has not yet reached this area), rather than a habitat-linked one. Such contrasting abundances, at small scale (i.e. regional scale), were not highlighted by previous authors, who did not study such an extensive area at regional scale.

In addition to regional variability, there is also local spatial variability. Abundance was highly contrasted over short

Fig. 3 Two-dimensional nMDS. Correlation vectors of taxa of macroalgae vs. Dinophyceae abundance (see Fig. 2b–d); correlation >0.3 (Spearman). Only samples from Provence and the French Riviera. 2D stress: 0.01



distances (a few kilometres), as already noted by previous authors (Aligizaki and Nikolaidis 2006; Cohu et al. 2011, 2013; Mangialajo et al. 2011).

The ecology of Ostreopsis spp. blooms, in relation with environmental parameters, has been investigated by several authors (Vila et al. 2001a, 2001b; Penna et al. 2005; Mangialajo et al. 2008; Totti et al. 2010; Accoroni et al. 2011, 2012; Cohu et al. 2011). However, the bloom determinism seems to be complex and is still far from being fully understood. In Provence, the French Riviera and Corsica, the abundance of Ostreopsis spp. increased from June to August. Previous authors have already emphasized this trend (Mangialajo et al. 2008, 2011; Cohu et al. 2011, 2013). These blooms are of the same magnitude as those previously recorded in summer in Provence, French Riviera and the Ligurian Sea (Mangialajo et al. 2008, 2011; Cohu et al. 2011, 2013). Our results confirm that high densities and blooms are linked with high sea surface temperature (SST); their frequency in the French Riviera may be related to the low frequency of wind events, which induce rapid cooling episodes of the sea surface water (Schaeffer et al. 2011).

In the Mediterranean Sea, Ostreopsis spp. have been recorded in the water column (Taylor 1979) and on a variety of substrates, such as seagrasses, macroalgae, dead biotic material, reefs and sand (Faust et al. 1996; Turki 2005; Aligizaki and Nikolaidis 2006; Mangialajo et al. 2008; Battocchi et al. 2010; Totti et al. 2010; Cohu et al. 2013; Mabrouk et al. 2014). As far as macroalgae are concerned, previous authors did not distinguish the species of macroalgae (Mangialajo et al. 2008, 2011; Cohu et al. 2011) or only considered a very few species (Cohu et al. 2013), with the exception of Battocchi et al. 2010. Cohu et al. (2013) noted the ability of Dictyota spp. to support very high abundance of O. cf. ovata during blooms, but were unsuccessful in establishing significant preferences. Here, 34 taxa of dominant macroalgae hosting the species were identified. They belong to three higher taxa (Chlorophyta, Florideophyceae and Phaeophyceae) and to a variety of morphological types (articulate, fleshy, bushy, flat and erect). We have recorded blooms mainly on two varieties of D. dichotoma, C. amentacea and H. scoparia bearing, as epibionts, a large amount of the articulated coralline J. rubens. In contrast, abundance was significantly lower on U. lactuca, D. fasciola, T. atomaria and C. elongata. It is worth noting that our results explain the failure of previous authors (Cohu et al. 2013) to establish a correlation between dinophycean abundance and the presence of Dictyota spp.: In fact, this taxon encompasses species and varieties that present opposite trends (Fig. 3).

What could be the reasons for the correlations (positive or negative) between the abundance of dinophycean cells and the dominant macroalgae within the sample? Several features may account for these differences. (i) The morphology of macroalgae can provide a wide span of available surface area for epibiontic cells, depending upon, e.g. the high density of branches (as in H. scoparia and C. amentacea) vs. flat and sparsely branched blades (as in Ulva spp., Dictvota spp. and T. atomaria). The fact that D. dichotoma var. dichotoma and D. dichotoma var. intricata, on one hand, and D. fasciola and T. atomaria, on the other hand, exhibited opposite trends (Fig. 3) suggests that morphology is not the sole parameter involved in the observed correlations. (ii) Many macroalgae produce a variety of biologically active compounds (e.g. Fenical 1983; Baker 1984; Paul and Fenical 1986; Hay 2009; Michalak and Chojnacka in press). Because of their properties (antimicrobial, antiviral, antifungal and antiherbivore toxins), these compounds can hinder the settlement of epibiontic organisms, both multicellular and unicellular. T. atomaria, which was associated with low densities of Ostreopsis spp. and Coolia spp., exhibits high antimicrobial and antiviral activities (Caccamese et al. 1980; but see Salvador et al. 2007). D. fasciola contains several sesquiterpenes (Amico et al. 1979), in addition to antiviral activities (Caccamese et al. 1980); sesquiterpenes are known to exert antiherbivory properties (Boudouresque et al. 1996; Estell et al. 2008). Solid extracts of C. elongata, when lyophilized, exert in summer antimicrobial and antifungal activities (Salvador et al. 2007). As far as macroalgae associated with high abundances of Dinophyceae are concerned, D. dichotoma var. intricata and H. scoparia lack in summer antimicrobial and antifungal activities (Salvador et al. 2007). This is consistent with the behaviour of the herbivorous sea urchin Paracentrotus lividus (Lamarck, 1816), which strongly to moderately prefers these species when browsing, behaviour usually indicative of the scarcity of defence compounds (Boudouresque and Verlaque 2013). However, the production of active compounds cannot account for all of our results (Fig. 3). C. amentacea and D. dichotoma var. dichotoma exhibit antimicrobial activities (Salvador et al. 2007; Alghazeer et al. 2013), while being highly associated with Dinophyceae; in addition, the former species is strongly preferred and the latter moderately to strongly preferred by sea urchins (Boudouresque and Verlague 2013). (iii) Ostreopsis spp. and associated dinophyceans can be co-consumed by herbivores, together with the macroalgal substrate (Bire et al. 2013). If small multicellular metazoans (invertebrates) and/or unicellular eukaryotic grazers actively feed on these dinophyceans, they would control their abundance in the regions or on the macroalgae where they dwell. Unfortunately, nothing is known about the direct consumption of the studied dinophyceans.

Finally, *Ostreopsis* spp. are frequently associated with two other Dinophyceae, *P. lima* and *Coolia* spp., as already highlighted by the authors (Penna et al. 2005; Turki 2005; Zenetos et al. 2005; Aligizaki and Nikolaidis 2006; Streftaris and Zenetos 2006; Zingone et al. 2006; Aligizaki et al. 2009; Ingarao et al. 2009; Ignatiades and Gotsis-Skretas 2010). Our results confirmed this frequent association of the three dinophycean taxa; they did not show any obvious competition or facilitation between them.

Our study clearly questions the dispersal of *Ostreopsis* spp. Obviously, the dispersal seems to be effective but its mechanisms remains unclear; further studies are need to disentangle the role of currents, drifting debris (Masó et al. 2003) or aerosols (Ciminiello et al. 2014) in the dispersal of the species and to determine at which stage of development (cell, dormant cysts or non-dormant cysts) it occurs. The understanding of these mechanisms would contribute to explaining the scarcity of the species in French Catalonia and Languedoc.

Acknowledgments This work was funded by the Agence de l'Eau Rhône Méditerranée-Corse (Pierre Boissery). Thanks are due to Michael Paul, an English native speaker, for proofreading the English. We gratefully acknowledge M. Henry, C. Tomasino, M. Brochen, P. Sargian, F. Chavanon, F. Miralles, C. Ravel and N. Ganzin for collecting the samples and two anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions.

References

- Abboud-Abi Saab M (1989) Les dinoflagellés des eaux côtières libanaises - espèces rares ou nouvelles du phytoplancton marin. Leban Sci Bull 5:5–16
- Abboud-Abi Saab M, El-Bakht Y (1998) Dominant and potentially toxic microalgae in Lebanese coastal waters. In: Reguera B, Blanco J, Fernández ML, Wyatt T (eds) Harmful algae. Xunta de Galicia and Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, Paris, p 92
- Accoroni S, Romagnoli T, Colombo F, Pennesi C, Di Camillo CG, Marini M, Battocchi C, Ciminiello P, Dell'Aversano C, Dello Iacovo E, Fattorusso E, Tartaglione L, Penna A, Totti C (2011) Ostreopsis cf. ovata bloom in the northern Adriatic Sea during summer 2009: ecology, molecular characterization and toxin profile. Mar Pollut Bull 62(11):2512–2519
- Accoroni S, Colombo F, Pichierri S, Romagnoli T, Marini M, Battocchi C, Penna A, Totti C (2012) Ecology of Ostreopsis cf. ovata blooms in the northwestern Adriatic Sea. Cryptogam Algol 33(2):191–198
- Alghazeer R, Whida F, Abduelrhmane E, Gammoudi F, Aswai S (2013) Screening of antibacterial activity in marine green, red and brown macroalgae from the western coast of Libya. Nat Sci 5(1):7–14
- Aligizaki K, Nikolaidis G (2006) The presence of the potentially toxic genera Ostreopsis and Coolia (Dinophyceae) in the North Aegean Sea, Greece. Harmful Algae 5:717–730
- Aligizaki K, Katikou P, Nikolaidis G, Panou A (2008) First episode of shellfish contamination by palytoxin-like compounds from Ostreopsis species (Aegean Sea, Greece). Toxicon 51:418–427
- Aligizaki K, Nikolaidis G, Katikou P, Baxevanis AD, Abatzopoulos TJ (2009) Potentially toxic epiphytic *Prorocentrum* (Dinophyceae) species in Greek coastal waters. Harmful Algae 8(2):299–311
- Amico V, Oriente G, Piattelli M, Tringali C, Fattorusso E, Magno S, Mayol L (1979) Sesquiterpenes based on the cadalane skeleton from the brown alga *Dilophus fasciola*. Experientia 35:450–451
- Anderson MJ (2001) Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis of variance and regression. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 58(3):626–639
- Baker JT (1984) Seaweeds in pharmaceutical studies and applications. Hydrobiologia 116–117:29–40

- Ballantine DL, Tosteson TR, Bardales AT (1988) Population dynamics and toxicity of natural populations of benthic dinoflagellates in southwestern Puerto Rico. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 119:201–212
- Battocchi C, Totti C, Vila M, Masó M, Capellacci S, Accoroni S, Reñé A, Scardi M, Penna A (2010) Monitoring toxic microalgae Ostreopsis (dinoflagellate) species in coastal waters of the Mediterranean Sea using molecular PCR-based assay combined with light microscopy. Mar Pollut Bull 60:1074–1084
- Bire R, Trotereau S, Lemée R, Delpont C, Chabot B, Aumond Y, Krys S (2013) Occurrence of palytoxins in marine organisms from different trophic levels of the French Mediterranean coast harvested in 2009. Harmful Algae 28:10–22
- Blanfuné A, Cohu S, Mangialajo L, Lemée R, Thibaut T (2012) Preliminary assessments of the impact of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* (Dinophyceae) development on macroinvertebrates in the North Western Mediterranean Sea. Cryptogam Algol 33(2):129–136
- Boudouresque CF, Verlaque M (2013) *Paracentrotus lividus*. In: Lawrence JM (ed) Sea urchins: biology and ecology, 3rd edn. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 297–327
- Boudouresque CF, Lemée R, Mari X, Meinesz A (1996) The invasive alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* is not a suitable diet for the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus*. Aquat Bot 53:245–250
- Brasseur P, Beckers JM, Brankart JM, Schoenauen R (1996) Seasonal temperature and salinity fields in the Mediterranean Sea: climatological analyses of a historical data set. Deep-Sea Res Pt I 43(2): 159–192
- Bravo I, Vila M, Magadán S, Rial P, Rodriguez F, Fraga S, Franco JM, Riobó P, Sala MM (2010) Studies on cultures of *Ostreopsis* cf *ovata*: life cycle observations. 14th International Conference on Harmful Algae, Conference Proceedings, Crete 2010: 3–5
- Brescianini C, Grillo C, Melchiorre N, Bertolotto R, Ferrari A, Vivaldi B, Icardi G, Gramaccioni L, Funari E, Scardala S (2006) Ostreopsis ovata algal blooms affecting human health in Genoa, Italy, 2005 and 2006. Euro Surveill 11(9), E060907
- Caccamese S, Azzolina R, Furnari G, Cormaci M, Grasso S (1980) Antimicrobial and antiviral activities of extracts from Mediterranean algae. Bot Mar 23:285–288
- Chang FH, Shimizu Y, Hay B, Stewart R, Mackay G, Tasker R (2000) Three recently recorded *Ostreopsis* spp. (Dinophyceae) in New Zealand: temporal and regional distribution in the upper North Island from 1995 to 1997. N Z J Mar Freshw Res 34:29–39
- Ciminiello P, Dell'Aversano C, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Magno GS, Tartaglione L, Grillo C, Melchiorre N (2006) The Genoa 2005 outbreak. Determination of putative palytoxin in Mediterranean Ostreopsis ovata by a new liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method. Anal Chem 78:6153–6159
- Ciminiello P, Dell'Aversano C, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Tartaglione L, Grillo C, Melchiorre N (2008) Putative palytoxin and its new analogue, ovatoxin-a, in *Ostreopsis ovata* collected along the Ligurian coasts during the 2006 toxic outbreak. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 19: 111–120
- Ciminiello P, Dell'Aversano C, Iacovo ED, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Tartaglione L, Benedettini G, Onorari M, Serena F, Battocchi C, Casabianca S, Penna A (2014) First finding of *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* toxins in marine aerosols. Environ Sci Technol 48(6):3532–3540
- Clarke KR, Gorley RN (2006) Primer v6: user manual/tutorial. Primer-E Ltd, Plymouth
- Clarke KR, Warwick RM (1994) Changes in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth
- Cohu S, Thibaut T, Mangialajo L, Labat JP, Passafiume O, Blanfuné A, Simon N, Cottalorda JM, Lemée R (2011) Occurrence of the toxic dinoflagellate Ostreopsis cf. ovata in relation with environmental factors in Monaco (NW Mediterranean). Mar Pollut Bull 62:2681– 2691

- Cohu S, Mangialajo L, Thibaut T, Blanfuné A, Marro S, Lemée R (2013) Proliferation of the toxic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis* cf. *ovata* in relation with depth, biotic substrate and environmental factors in NW Mediterranean Sea. Harmful Algae 24:32–44
- David H, Ganzedo U, Laza-Martínez A, Orive E (2012) Relationships between the presence of *Ostreopsis* (Dinophyceae) in the Atlantic coast of the Iberian Peninsula and sea-surface temperature. Cryptogam Algol 33(2):199–207
- Deeds JR, Schwartz MD (2010) Human risk associated with palytoxin exposure. Toxicon 56:150–162
- Diaz F, Naudin JJ, Courties C, Rimmelin P, Oriol L (2008) Biogeochemical and ecological functioning of the low-salinity water lenses in the region of the Rhone River freshwater influence, NW Mediterranean Sea. Cont Shelf Res 28(12):1511–1526
- Durando P, Ansaldi F, Oreste P, Moscatelli P, Gasparini LM, Icardi G (2007) Ostreopsis ovata and human health: epidemiological and clinical features of respiratory syndrome outbreaks from a twoyear syndromic surveillance, 2005–06, in north-west Italy. Euro Surveill 12:191–193
- Estell RE, Fredrickson EL, Anderson DM, Remmenga MD (2008) Effects of *cis*-β-ocimene, *cis*-sabinene hydrate, and monoterpene and sesquiterpene mixtures on alfalfa pellet intake by lambs. J Anim Sci 86(6):1478–1484
- Faimali M, Giussani V, Piazza V, Garaventa F, Corra C, Asnaghi V, Privitera D, Gallus L, Cattaneo-Vietti R, Mangialajo L, Chiantore M (2012) Toxic effects of harmful benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata* on invertebrate and vertebrate marine organisms. Mar Environ Res 76:97–107
- Faust MA, Morton S, Quod JP (1996) Further SEM study of marine dinoflagellates: the genus Ostreopsis (Dinophyceae). J Phycol 32: 1053–1065
- Fenical W (1983) Investigation of benthic marine algae as a resource for new pharmaceutical and agricultural chemicals. In: Tseng CK (ed) Proceedings of the joint China-U.S. phycology symposium. Science Press, Beijing, pp 497–521
- Granéli E, Ferreira C, Yasumoto T, Rodrigues E, Neves MH (2002) Sea urchins poisoning by the benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata* on the Brazilian Coast. In: Book of abstracts of Xth international conference on harmful algae. Florida, p 131
- Granéli E, Vidyarathna NK, Funari E, Cumaranatunga PRT, Scenati R (2011) Can increases in temperature stimulate blooms of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate Ostreopsis ovata? Harmful algae 10(2):165– 172
- Grossel H, Thibaut T, Blanfuné A, Brissac C (2013) Ostreopsis : Répartition du stock macroalgal sur les côtes méditerranéenes françaises - Déterminismes environnementaux. Rapp Comm int Mer Medit 40:777
- Grzebyk D, Berland B, Thomassin BA, Bosi C, Arnoux A (1994) Ecology of ciguateric dinoflagellates in the coral reef complex of Mayotte Island (S.W. Indian Ocean). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 178:51– 66
- Guerrini F, Pezzolesi L, Feller A, Riccardi M, Ciminiello P, Dell'Aversano C, Tartaglione L, Iacovo ED, Fattorusso E, Forino M, Pistocchi R (2010) Comparative growth and toxin profile of cultured *Ostreopsis ovata* from the Tyrrhenian and Adriatic Seas. Toxicon 55:211–220
- Hay ME (2009) Marine chemical ecology: chemical signals and cues structure marine populations, communities, and ecosystems. Ann Rev Mar Sci 1:193–212
- Ignatiades L, Gotsis-Skretas O (2010) A review on toxic and harmful algae in Greek coastal waters (E. Mediterranean Sea). Toxins 2: 1019–1037
- Ingarao C, Lanciani G, Verri C, Pagliani T (2009) First record of *Prorocentrum lima* (Dinophyceae) inside harbor areas and along the Abruzzo region coast, W Adriatic. Mar Pollut Bull 58(4):596– 600

- Ito E, Ohkusu M, Yasumoto T (1996) Intestinal injuries caused by experimental palytoxicosis in mice. Toxicon 34:643–652
- Lemée R, Mangialajo L, Cohu S, Amzil Z, Blanfuné A, Chomerat N, Cohu S, Ganzin N, Gasparini S, Grossel H, Guidi-Guivard L, Hoareau L, Le Duff F, Marro S, Simon N, Nezan E, Pedrotti ML, Sechet V, Soliveres O, Thibaut T (2012) Interactions between scientists, managers and policy makers in the framework of the French MediOs project on *Ostreopsis* (2008–2010). Cryptogam Algol 33(2):137–142
- Mabrouk L, Hamza A, Bradai MN (2014) Variability in the structure of planktonic microalgae assemblages in water column associated with *Posidonia oceanica* (L.) bed in Tunisia. J Mar Biol 2014, 621238
- Mangialajo L, Bertolotto R, Cattaneo-Vietti R, Chiantore M, Grillo C, Lemée R, Melchiorre N, Moretto P, Povero P, Ruggieri N (2008) The toxic benthic dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis ovata*: quantification of proliferation along the coastline of Genova, Italy. Mar Pollut Bull 56:1209–1214
- Mangialajo L, Ganzin N, Accoroni S, Asnaghi V, Blanfuné A, Cabrini M, Cattaneo-Vietti R, Chavanon F, Chiantore M, Cohu S, Costa E, Fornasaro D, Grossel H, Marco-Miralles F, Masó M, Reñé A, Rossi AM, Sala MM, Thibaut T, Totti C, Vila M, Lemée R (2011) Trends in *Ostreopsis* proliferation along the Northern Mediterranean coasts. Toxicon 57:408–420
- Masó M, Garcés E, Pagès F, Camp J (2003) Drifting plastic debris as a potential vector for dispersing harmful algal bloom (HAB) species. Sci Mar 67(1):107–111
- Michalak I, Chojnacka K (in press) Algae as production systems of bioactive compounds. Eng Life Sci doi: 10.1002/elsc.201400191
- Monti M, Minocci M, Beran A, Ives L (2007) First record of Ostreopsis cf. ovata on macroalgae in the Northern Adriatic Sea. Mar Pollut Bull 54:598–601
- Morton SL, Norris DR, Bomber JW (1992) Effect of temperature, salinity and light intensity on the growth and seasonality of toxic dinoflagellates associated with ciguatera. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 157:79–90
- Nykjaer L (2009) Mediterranean Sea surface warming 1985–2006. Clim Res 39(1):11
- Parsons ML, Preskitt LB (2007) A survey of epiphytic dinoflagellates from the coastal waters of the island of Hawai'i. Harmful Algae 6: 658–669
- Paul VJ, Fenical W (1986) Chemical defense in tropical green algae, order Caulerpales. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 34:157–169
- Pearse I, Marshall JM & Hallegraeff GM (2001) Toxic epiphytic dinoflagellates from east coast Tasmania. In: Hallegraeff GM, Blackburn SI, Bolch CJ, Lewis RJ (eds) Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Harmful Algal Blooms, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO. Hobart, Tasmania, pp 54–57
- Penna A, Vila M, Fraga S, Giacobbe MG, Andreoni F, Riobo P (2005) Characterization of *Ostreopsis* and *Coolia* (Dinophyceae) isolates in the Western Mediterranean Sea based on morphology, toxicity and internal transcribed spacer 5.8S rDNA sequences. J Phycol 41:212– 225
- Penna A, Fraga S, Battocchi C, Casabianca S, Giacobbe MG, Riobó P, Vernesi C (2010) A phylogeographical study of the toxic benthic dinoflagellate genus Ostreopsis Schmidt. J Biogeogr 37(5):830–841
- Pérès JM, Picard J (1964) Nouveau manuel de bionomie benthique de la mer Méditerranée. Bull Stat Mar Endoume 31(47):5–137
- Pezzolesi L, Pistocchi R, Fratangeli F, Dell'Aversano C, Dello Iacovo E, Tartaglione L (2014) Growth dynamics in relation to the production of the main cellular components in the toxic dinoflagellate Ostreopsis cf. ovata. Harmful Algae 36:1–10
- Pistocchi R, Pezzolesi L, Guerrini F, Vanucci S, Dell'Aversano C, Fattorusso E (2011) A review on the effects of environmental conditions on growth and toxin production of *Ostreopsis ovata*. Toxicon 57:421–428

- Rhodes L (2011) World-wide occurrence of the toxic dinoflagellate genus Ostreopsis Schmidt. Toxicon 57:400–407
- Rhodes L, Adamson J, Suzuki T, Briggs L, Garthwaite I (2000) Toxic marine epiphytic dinoflagellates, *Ostreopsis siamensis* and *Coolia monotis* (Dinophyceae), in New Zealand. N Z J Mar Freshw Res 34: 371–383
- Rhodes L, Towers N, Briggs L, Munday R, Adamson J (2002) Uptake of palytoxin-like compounds by shellfish fed *Ostreopis siamensis* (Dinophyceae). N Z J Mar Freshw Res 36:631–636
- Salvador N, Gómez Garreta A, Lavelli L, Ribera MA (2007) Antimicrobial activity of Iberian macroalgae. Sci Mar 71(1):101– 113
- Sansoni G, Borghini B, Camici G, Casatti M, Righini P, Rustighi C (2003) Fioriture algali di Ostreopsis ovata (Gonyaulacales: Dinophyceae): un problema emergente. Biol Ambient 17(1):17–23
- Schaeffer A, Garreau P, Molcard A, Fraunié P, Seity Y (2011) Influence of high-resolution wind forcing on hydrodynamic modeling of the Gulf of Lions. Ocean Dyn 61(11):1823–1844
- Selina MS, Levchenko EV (2011) Species composition and morphology of dinoflagellates (Dinophyta) of epiphytic assemblages of Peter the Great Bay in the Sea of Japan. Russ J Mar Biol 37:23–32
- Selina MS, Orlova TYU (2010) First occurrence of the genus *Ostreopsis* (Dinophyceae) in the Sea of Japan. Bot Mar 53(3):243–249
- Shaltout M, Omstedt A (2014) Recent sea surface temperature trends and future scenarios for the Mediterranean Sea. Oceanologia 56(3):411– 443
- Shears NT, Ross PM (2009) Blooms of benthic dinoflagellates of the genus Ostreopsis; an increasing and ecologically important phenomenon on temperate reefs in New Zealand and worldwide. Harmful Algae 8:916–925
- Shears NT, Ross PM (2010) Toxic cascades: multiple anthropogenic stressors have complex and unanticipated interactive effects on temperate reefs. Ecol Lett 13:1149–1159
- Simoni F, Gaddi A, Paolo CD, Lepri L (2003) Harmful epiphytic dinoflagellate on Tyrrhenian Sea reefs. Harmful Algae News 24:13–14
- Streftaris N, Zenetos A (2006) Alien marine species in the Mediterraneanthe 100 'Worst Invasives' and their impact. Medit Mar Sci 7(1):87– 118
- Taniyama S, Arakawa O, Terada M, Nishio S, Takatani T, Mahmud Y, Noguchi T (2003) Ostreopsis sp., a possible origin of palytoxin (PTX) in parrotfish Scarus ovifrons. Toxicon 42:29–33

- Taylor FJR (1979) A description of the benthic dinoflagellate associated with maitotoxin and ciguatoxin, including observations on Hawaiian material. In: Taylor DL, Seliger HH (eds) Toxic dinoflagellate blooms. Elsevier, North Holland, pp 71–76
- Tichadou L, Glaizal M, Armengaud A, Grossel H, Lemée R, Kantin R, Lasalle JL, Drouet G, Rambaud L, Malfait P, Haro LD (2010) Health impact of unicellular algae of the *Ostreopsis* genus blooms in the Mediterranean Sea: experience of the French Mediterranean coast surveillance network from 2006 to 2009. Clin Toxicol 48:839–844
- Tognetto L, Bellato S, Moro I, Andreoli C (1995) Occurrence of Ostreopsis ovata (Dinophyceae) in the Tyrrhenian Sea during summer 1994. Bot Mar 38:291–295
- Totti C, Accoroni S, Cerino F, Cucchiari E, Romagnoli T (2010) Ostreopsis ovata bloom along the Conero Riviera (northern Adriatic Sea): relationships with environmental conditions and substrata. Harmful Algae 9:233–239
- Turki S (2005) Distribution of toxic dinoflagellates along the leaves of seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* and *Cymodocea nodosa* from the Gulf of Tunis. Cah Biol Mar 46:29–34
- Ukena T, Satake M, Usami M, Oshima Y, Naoki H, Fujita T, Kan Y, Yasumoto T (2001) Structure elucidation of ostreocin D, a palytoxin analogisolated from the dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis siamensis*. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 65:2585–2588
- Usami M, Satake M, Ishida S, Inoue A, Kan Y, Yasumoto T (1995) Palytoxin analogs from the dinoflagellate *Ostreopsis siamensis*. J Am Chem Soc 117:5389–5390
- Vila M, Camp J, Garcés E, Masó M, Delgado M (2001a) High resolution spatio-temporal detection of potentially harmful dinoflagellates in confined waters of the NW Mediterranean. J Plankton Res 23: 497–514
- Vila M, Garcés E, Masó M (2001b) Potentially toxic epiphytic dinoflagellate assemblages on macroalgae in the NW Mediterranean. Aquat Microb Ecol 26:51–60
- Yasumoto T (1998) Fish poisoning due to toxins of microalgal origins in the Pacific. Toxicon 36:1515–1518
- Zenetos A, Çinar ME, Pancucci-Papadopoulou MA, Harmelin JG, Furnari G, Andaloro F, Bellou N, Streftaris N, Zibrowius H (2005) Annotated list of marine alien species in the Mediterranean with records of the worst invasive species. Medit Mar Sci 6(2):63–118
- Zingone A, Siano R, D'Alelio D, Sarno D (2006) Potentially toxic and harmful microalgae from coastal waters of the Campania region (Tyrrhenian Sea, Mediterranean Sea). Harmful Algae 5:321–337