## **SPECIAL FEATURE: FOREWORD**

**Environmental DNA as a Practical Tool for Aquatic Conservation and Restoration**



## **Special issue: Environmental DNA as a practical tool for aquatic conservation and restoration**

**Hideyuki Doi<sup>1</sup> · Keigo Nakamura2**

Published online: 23 December 2022

© The Author(s) under exclusive licence to International Consortium of Landscape and Ecological Engineering 2022

Environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis has been widely used to investigate the distribution and abundance/biomass of macro-organisms, and during the past decade, the application of eDNA-based biomonitoring has gained increasing interest (Goldberg et al. [2015;](#page-1-0) Thomsen and Willerslev [2015](#page-1-1); Tsuji et al. [2019;](#page-1-2) Doi et al. [2021](#page-1-3)). eDNA, which comprises DNA fragments released by organisms into the environment is believed to be derived from mixtures of feces, skin cells, mucus, and secretions. eDNA techniques can be used to analyze DNA collected directly from environmental sources, such as water, soil, and air (Goldberg et al. [2015](#page-1-0)). With respect to water bodies, these methods have been applied in monitoring multiple species inhabiting a range of limnologic habitats, including rivers, lakes, and ponds, and eDNA detection and quantifcation have been performed for a diverse range of taxa, including fsh, aquatic plants, mollusks, insects, crustaceans, reptiles, amphibians, birds, and mammals (Tsuji et al. [2019](#page-1-2)).

eDNA analysis involves the use of one or both of two detection techniques, namely, real-time PCR and metabarcoding via DNA sequencing (Tsuji et al. [2019](#page-1-2); Doi et al. [2021\)](#page-1-3). In addition, high-throughput DNA sequencing has recently been applied for the simultaneous detection of multiple taxa [e.g., the fsh community (MiFish); Miya et al. [2015](#page-1-4)], a procedure referred to as "eDNA metabarcoding." eDNA methods can be applied to perform two types of estimation, namely, the presence/absence and abundance/biomass of organisms. Of these two approaches, most eDNA

 $\boxtimes$  Hideyuki Doi hideyuki.doi@icloud.com Keigo Nakamura nakamura-keigo@rfc.or.jp

Japan Riverfront Research Center, Tokyo, Japan

studies conducted to date have sought to estimate the presence or absence of species based on the detection of DNA copies using real-time PCR or DNA sequencing with universal primers. However, comparatively few studies have attempted to estimate species biomass and abundance based on eDNA concentrations in water (Takahara et al. [2012](#page-1-5); Doi et al. [2017](#page-1-6)).

By performing eDNA surveys of water bodies, we can obtain information on the distribution of species and changes in their populations with high taxonomic resolution. Consequently, it is anticipated that eDNA methods will revolutionize the scope and efficacy of biodiversity management and conservation surveys in aquatic habitats. Accordingly, eDNA methods can provide a practical tool for aquatic conservation and restoration. In this regard, recent advances in portable, feld-friendly technology, and molecular genetics techniques (e.g., DNA metabarcoding for communities and species-specifc eDNA detection of invasive or rare species) have provided additional approaches for the expansion of research in the conservation of aquatic habitats (Huerlimann et al. [2020](#page-1-7)).

However, despite these promising advances, further developments are still required to enhance the quality, reliability, and interpretability of aquatic eDNA analyses. In this special issue, we have collected articles with particular relevance to two main topics regarding the application of eDNA analysis for aquatic ecosystems: (1) eDNA methods for aquatic species/community detection and quantifcation and (2) eDNA surveys for the conservation and restoration of the aquatic ecosystems. Given the importance and paucity of information regarding eDNA, the case studies and review presented in this special issue highlight the potentially instrumental contribution of eDNA as a practical tool for aquatic conservation and restoration.

**Data Availability** In this preface article, we did not use any data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

## **References**

- <span id="page-1-6"></span>Doi H, Inui R, Akamatsu Y, Kanno K, Yamanaka H, Takahara T, Minamoto T (2017) Environmental DNA analysis for estimating the abundance and biomass of stream fsh. Freshw Biol 62:30–39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12846>
- <span id="page-1-3"></span>Doi H, Minamoto T, Takahara T, Tsuji S, Uchii K, Yamamoto S, Katano I, Yamanaka H (2021) Compilation of real-time PCR conditions toward the standardization of environmental DNA methods. Ecol Res 36:379–388. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12217) [1703.12217](https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12217)
- <span id="page-1-0"></span>Goldberg CS, Strickler KM, Pilliod DS (2015) Moving eDNA methods from concept to practice for monitoring aquatic macroorganisms. Biol Conserv 183:1–3. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.040) [040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.040)
- <span id="page-1-7"></span>Huerlimann R, Cooper MK, Edmunds RC, Villacorta-Rath C, Le Port A, Robson HLA, Strugnell JM, Burrows D, Jerry DR (2020) Enhancing tropical conservation and ecology research with aquatic environmental DNA methods: an introduction for

non-environmental DNA specialists. Anim Conserv 23:632–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12583>

- <span id="page-1-4"></span>Miya M, Sato Y, Fukunaga T, Sado T, Poulsen JY, Sato K, Minamoto T, Yamamoto S, Yamanaka H, Araki H, Kondoh M, Iwasaki W (2015) MiFish, a set of universal PCR primers for metabarcoding environmental DNA from fshes: detection of more than 230 subtropical marine species. R Soc Open Sci 2:150088. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150088) [org/10.1098/rsos.150088](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150088)
- <span id="page-1-5"></span>Takahara T, Minamoto T, Yamanaka H, Doi H, Kawabata ZI (2012) Estimation of fsh biomass using environmental DNA. PLoS ONE 7:e35868.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035868>
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>Thomsen PF, Willerslev E (2015) Environmental DNA—an emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. Biol Conserv 183:4–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019) [11.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.11.019)
- <span id="page-1-2"></span>Tsuji S, Takahara T, Doi H, Shibata N, Yamanaka H (2019) The detection of aquatic macroorganisms using environmental DNA analysis—a review of methods for collection, extraction, and detection. Environ DNA 1:99–108. <https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.21>