Check for updates

Blockchain technology in the agri-food supply chain: a systematic literature review of opportunities and challenges

Priyanka Vern[1] · Anupama Panghal[1] · Rahul S Mor[1,2] · Sachin S. Kamble[3]

Abstract

Blockchain technology (BCT) has emerged as a promising disruptive technology with significant applications in the agri-food supply chain (AFSC). This paper reviews the BCT's research trends, benefits, challenges, and applications in AFSC through systematic literature network analysis (SLNA). A total of 187 articles were selected through a systematic literature review of different reputed databases, and citation network analysis was carried out using "*VoSviewer*" software. The information related to annual scientific production, country-specific production, type of article, subject area and the most relevant sources was analysed using "*Biblioshinny*" software. The outcomes reveal prominent benefits, including traceability and transparency, immutability, trust, and decentralization. On the other hand, policy and regulations, scalability issues, less skilled human resources, high investment, and interoperability hinder blockchain implementation. Smart contracts, cybersecurity, food safety, and public distribution systems are some of the identified applications of blockchain technology, thus enhancing agri-food supply chains. Various blockchain-based frameworks in AFSC are also discussed in this review. This review offers insights into how findings may guide practitioners and researchers in developing appropriate BCT systems for AFSC. It also provides practical implications and future research directions on the theme.

1 Introduction

The agri-food supply chain (AFSC) is a complex network of interlinked functionaries and plays a vital role in the global economy in ensuring food availability (Zhao et al. 2019). The recent pandemic and several food frauds and scandals led to the

---

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

🍀 Springer

mass spread of diseases (Stranieri et al. 2021) and have disintegrated consumer trust in the AFSC and need complete information about food product origin, quality, and nutritional values. Therefore, there is pressure on AFSC partners to enhance operational efficiency and transparency throughout the chain (Rejeb et al. 2020). Several digital technologies, including blockchain technology (BCT), are emerging towards advancements in the traceability, transparency, and safety of AFSC (Durugbo and Al-Balushi 2022; Schäfer 2022; Vern et al. 2023). BCT has emerged as a disruptive technology that can work on different dimensions to develop a traceable, transparent, sustainable, and safe AFSC (Zouari et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2021a, b). BCT is a network of interconnected blocks in the digital environment of the internet, allowing transactional information to be stored, linked, and recovered, developing an extensive database (Rocha et al. 2021). BCT is a decentralized platform that allows direct peer-to-peer transactions and reduces information asymmetry and disintermediation (Duan et al. 2022; Elnadi and Abdallah 2023; Anguiano and Parte 2023; Ofulue and Benyoucef 2022).

BCT is developing and advancing rapidly (Santhi and Muthuswamy 2022), and the number of academic experts covering the topic has rapidly increased, reflecting the growing interest in investigating various aspects of BCT in AFSC (Zhao et al. 2019). Li et al. (2021) conducted a literature review on the benefits and challenges of blockchain platforms in food supply chains. It concluded BCT enhances visibility, transaction transparency, and food safety and reduces food wastage. Technology, cost, governance, regulation, and education were identified as BCT challenges. A review analysis conducted by Rana et al. (2021) identified challenges such as scalability, privacy, high cost and network problems. Niknejad et al. (2021) adopted bibliometric analysis to map prominent trends and themes on BCT in AFSC using potential articles, authors, countries and keywords from 2016 to 2019. The analysis revealed traceability, transaction, safety and food supply chain as the most used terms in the title and abstract.

Similarly, Yogarajan et al. (2023) identified themes of BCT in AFSC through a literature review. Identified themes shed light on adoption factors of BCT, AFSC practices and strategy policy foundations. Mavilia and Pisani (2021) discussed the importance of BCT application in the agricultural sector with literature analysis. The review highlighted various applications of BCT in agricultural supply chains, such as digital payment platforms, insurance, credit facilities and land registries. Previous studies claim that BCT may transform the traditional AFSC network into a digitally manageable and connected one. BCT offers undeniable benefits such as transparency, reliability, and information accuracy (Vern et al. 2023) and its application for traceability and combating food fraud by improving data management, food safety and eliminating counterfeit products in AFSC (Vern et al. 2022). Although the literature provides an exhaustive review covering studies of the last five years with different approaches and objectives. The studies have focused on the concept of BCT, its functionalities, and benefits, either through case study methods or survey-based research, and provide suitable frameworks and solutions (Aldrighetti et al. 2021; Vivaldini 2021; Song et al. 2020). There is a lack of comprehensive studies that can provide the latest developments. Understanding how BCT could improve AFSC operations is limited (Feng et al. 2020). A few studies have systematically

researched the theme, specifically focusing on AFSC (Duan et al. 2020). The motivation behind the study is to determine the opportunities resulting from BCT in AFSC. Thus, this review aims to provide an in-depth understanding of BCT in the AFSC; the following research questions (RQs) are designed:

RQ1 What are the benefits and implementation challenges of blockchain technology in agri-food supply chains*?*

RQ2 What are the different applications of blockchain technology in agri-food supply chains?

The study adopted a systematic literature review approach to address RQs. The study will provide a background of BCT to allow researchers from diverse fields to position their research. It will summarize existing research and developments related to the BCT in AFSC by outlining the benefits, challenges and applications. To the best of the authors' knowledge, no review has provided such a composite and exhaustive review in a single article in this area through systematic literature review and citation network analysis, and that's the novelty of this research. This review also has its essence in providing the future research scope where researchers must ponder various dimensions.

The organization of the review is structured as follows. The first section addresses the subject and provides an overview. Section two provides background on the BCT and related topics. Section three presents the methodology adopted for the review. The detailed findings are discussed in the fourth section. The remaining sections discuss implications for future research, conclusions, and limitations.

2 Literature review

2.1 Agri-food supply chain

The agri-food supply chain is a chain of events linked with *'field to fork'*, including agricultural production, food processing, packaging, transportation, and consumption (Mor et al. 2018). The increased globalization has led to the increased mobility of products and information. AFSC faces unprecedented demands and proliferates to fulfil consumer needs. According to the food and agricultural organization (FAO) of the United Nations, approx. 30% of food produced yearly is unconsumed due to food loss and waste. About 14% of farm products get wasted during transportation and storage after harvesting (FAO, 2019). Lack of storage space, improper care, wastage, poor post-harvest management and information asymmetry lead to food loss. Due to the unpredictable nature of AFSC, the agri-food sector requires traceability to reduce food loss, food safety and fraud.

Food traceability demands that AFSC be skilled at maintaining product information (Mirabelli and Solina 2020). Digital technologies like the internet of things (IoT) and BCT have been adopted in the agri-food supply chain to ensure food safety and quality (Saurabh

and Dey 2021). Adopting digital technologies helps achieve collaboration and integration among various stakeholders in the AFSC and reduces food loss (Lezoche et al. 2020).

2.2 Blockchain technology

Blockchain technology is a distributed and mutually verifiable ledger technology. It is a ledger in which various actors record information on the generation, transaction, and consumption of a product and service (Kramer et al. 2021). BCT was proposed in 2008 in the finance sector, from where it was popularly known as a peer-to-peer electronic cash system (Nakamoto 2008). The evolution of BCT from blockchain 1.0 to blockchain 4.0 (Huang et al. 2020), where Bitcoins and cryptocurrencies were the first implementations of BCT. Concerning Blockchain 2.0, the smart contract was the second implementation of distributed ledger technology. The motive behind smart contracts is to develop a tamper-proof system. Blockchain 3.0, i.e., a decentralized application, provides an open network to all the members, avoiding the centralized infrastructure and enabling decentralized communication (Santhi and Muthuswamy 2022). Blockchain 4.0 seeks to introduce technology completely into the mainstream by providing BCT as a platform that businesses can utilize to develop and execute applications (Mukherjee and Pradhan 2021). Rejeb et al. (2020) define blockchain as "a digital, decentralized and distributed ledger in which transactions are logged and added in chronological order to create permanent and tamper-proof records". The system provides data traceability, sharing, and integrity by storing it in a single block within the network, meeting consumer transparency needs (Baralla et al. 2019).

2.3 Blockchain for traceability in the agri-food supply chain

The application of BCT in AFSC helps build holistic solutions to many of the problems encountered in the current supply chain. Integrating BCT with IoT could enable real-time data collection through sensors, overcome information asymmetry and transparency issues and ensure data provenance and integrity. Recent developments in BCT for traceability positively impact the overall performance of AFSC (Chan et al. 2019). Implementing BCT enhances collaborations, reduces information asymmetry, and increases trust among AFSC stakeholders (Stranieri et al. 2021). Blockchain-based traceability offers farm-to-fork traceability and transaction records. Also, it leads to decentralization, eliminates bureaucracy, enhances safety, reliability, and coordination, reduces costs, and maximizes profits (Aldrighetti et al. 2021). BCT addresses various supply chain problems, varying from large global platforms like IBM Food Trust (Shew et al. 2022) to companies like AgriChain, ripe, and honeysuckle white.

3 Review methodology

The review assesses the articles on the Web of Science, Scopus, IEEE Access, Science direct, and other reputed research databases about blockchain technology in the agri-food supply chain. The methodology adopted for the review is based on

systematic literature network analysis (SLNA), which includes systematic literature review (SLR) and citation network analysis (CNA). Two software, "*Biblioshinny*" and "*VoSviewer*", have been used to analyse performance and develop the citation network for selected articles.

3.1 Systematic literature review (SLR)

SLR is a method of identifying, evaluating, and interpreting all available research related to the research topic to answer research questions (Andrian et al. 2018). This study opted for the SLR approach as it delivers a transparent and reproducible overview of available research. It assists in identifying research trends and, gaps and opportunities for future research through the use of the relevant data (Kushwah et al. 2019). Since management research is interdisciplinary and dependent on other fields, SLR assisted in compiling data from various journals, fields and countries. The systematic literature review has three phases, i.e., "planning", "conducting", and "reporting & analysis" (Tranfield et al. 2003).

3.1.1 Planning the review

In this phase, the scope of the review is defined. The research theme is identified to understand the published studies on blockchain technology and agri-food supply chains in the last five years (2018–2022). A review protocol was designed to maintain objectivity throughout the procedure. The review protocol states the search query, inclusion and exclusion criteria, language, research databases, publication type, and period, see Table 1.

3.1.2 Conducting the review

The review was conducted in this phase based on the objectivity defined in the review protocol (Table 1). This review includes only the articles that met the full criteria specified in the modelled protocol. This phase involved identifying research, selecting studies, and synthesising data (Fig. 1). A comprehensive list of core contributions was collected to answer the review questions by searching the eight major databases, i.e., Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, Emerald, Wiley, Taylor & Francis, IEEE, and Springer. One hundred eighty-seven articles were considered for the review.

The search was performed using a combination of terms like "blockchain technology OR blockchain" AND "agri-food supply chain", "blockchain OR blockchain technology", AND "agri-food value chain".

3.1.3 Reporting and analysis of results

This section is dedicated to analysing the bibliometric results obtained for this review. The bibliometric methodology is famous for exploring and analysing extensive scientific data (Donthu et al. 2021). The enrichment technique used for the

Table 1 Review protocol for SLR

Criterion	Explanation
Research database	Major research databases used for the review were Scopus and Web of Science. Additionally, other leading research databases were also referred to collect the articles: ScienceDirect (Elsevier); Emerald insight (Emerald); Wiley Online Library (Wiley); Taylor & Francis Online (Taylor & Francis); IEEE Xplore Digital Library (IEEE) & Springer Link (Springer)
Publication type	Articles published in peer-reviewed journals and conferences
Language	English
Period	The search was conducted for the last five years (2018–2022)
Search field	Titles, abstracts, and keywords
Search terms	TITLE-ABS-KEY ("blockchain technology OR blockchain" AND "agri-food supply chain," "blockchain OR blockchain technology" AND "agri-food value chain")
Inclusion criteria	Articles focusing on application, benefits, challenges, and blockchain technology in agri-food supply chains
Exclusion criteria	Articles focusing only on technical aspects of the technology

Source: Queiroz et al. (2020)

STEP 1. Research Database

STEP 2. Titles and Abstracts exclusion

STEP 3. Full text-based exculsion

STEP 4. Final selection based on quality assessment

Total article extracted from research data base (N=366)

Removal of repeated articles (N=286)

Exclusion based full text reading of selected artiles (N=196)

Total articles selected for the review (N=187)

Fig. 1 Conducting the review and article selection

performance analysis of the 187 selected articles for the review is "*Biblioshinny*" software (Aria and Cuccurullo 2017). With the help of "*Biblioshinny*" software, information captured pertains to annual scientific production, country-specific production, type of article, subject area, and most relevant sources, presented in tables in the Annexure. In this review, citation network analysis (CNA) (Figure A2) is done using "*VoSviewer*" software based on the bibliographic coupling of selected documents. The study opted for "*Biblioshinny*" and "*VoSviewer*" software as both are fit

for providing domain visualization. "*VoSviewer*" is a freely available user-friendly software that offers simplicity, flexibility, and responsiveness to explore numerous data relationships. Kirby (2023) emphasized that "*VoSviewer*" is suitable for performing science mapping analysis. "*Biblioshinny*", powered by *Bibliometrix,* has a user-friendly interface that requires no coding knowledge, thus simplifying its usage (Arruda et al. 2022). It is a comprehensive mapping tool with extensive data analysis techniques (Moral-Muñoz et al. 2020). CNA is a network analysis method representing articles as nodes and citations as connecting arrows. An arrow extends from the sink article (cited article) to the source article in the network, which allows chronological monitoring of the citation network. CNA provides a better understanding of the impact of previous studies on subsequent studies. Bibliographic coupling is conducted for all reviewed articles that cite the same research literature, i.e., the higher the similarity of the referred article, the more similar the research content of the two articles. The nodes/articles of a network can be separated into clusters. Each cluster is regarded as a group of well-connected articles in a theme with little connection to other cluster nodes. The analysis generated four clusters, i.e., red, blue, green, and purple, for the 60 articles by taking a citation threshold of four per article from 187 articles. The analysis generated four clusters: red (benefits and implementation challenges), blue (Commercial blockchain solutions), green (blockchain frameworks), and purple (sustainability). The red cluster contains articles more focused on various benefits, adoption challenges, and deployment strategies of BCT in AFSC.

The green cluster consists of articles that focus on the commercial application of BCT in seafood provenance, restaurants, food traceability, dairy, and beef supply chains. The blue cluster includes articles that provide BCT frameworks for public distribution systems, soybean supply chains, grain supply chains, and perishable agri-food products. Some suggested BCT frameworks are integrated with the Internet-of-things, machine language, and fuzzy logic to achieve food safety, traceability,


Fig. 2 Theoretical framework of the study

and transparency. Lastly, articles of the purple cluster talk about how BCT adoption helps attain sustainability and trust among stakeholders for AFSC. With the help of CNA, authors were able to identify current research trends and themes of BCT in the AFSC. The authors have utilised the identified themes for an in-depth review. The review utilised a systematic literature review methodology and identified the benefits, challenges, and applications of blockchain technology in the agri-food supply chain based on the findings of CNA.

4 Results and discussion

This section addresses research questions by comprehensively understanding the literature through content analysis. The following subsection sheds light on blockchain technology's benefits, challenges, and applications in the agri-food supply chain.

4.1 Results

4.1.1 Benefits of BCT in the AFSC

Blockchain technology provides a platform for agri-food companies to build a holistic solution to tackle challenges like food safety, food authenticity, food fraud, illegal trade, harsh environmental impact, animal welfare, and inefficient processes (Dutta et al. 2020; Katsikouli et al. 2021). With the help of BCT, coordination and collaboration among stakeholders of the supply chain are more straightforward because all the information related to products is available on the shared ledger (Vivaldini 2021). Validation of information throughout the supply chain enhances the traceability and reliability of processes and products (Chen et al. 2021a, b). BCT positively impacts consumers' purchasing decisions by reducing the complexity of validating the product origins and certification labels, thus enhancing the transparency and traceability system (Afrianto et al. 2020). The application of smart contracts helps the company design the contract based on company requirements (Dutta et al. 2020). Other than the benefits, there are some drivers of BCT in the agri-food supply chain, including dis-intermediation, traceability, trust, coordination, compliance related to trade, price of technology (Saurabh and Dey 2021), transparent system, fraud detection, secure system, inventory management, scalability, cost reduction, safe and quality food, government regulations (Tayal et al. 2021). In Table 2, a summary of significant benefits is identified through literature and addresses the first part of RQ1. The results are categorised as functional, business, social, environmental, and economic benefits.

4.1.2 Challenges of BCT in the AFSC

Implementing blockchain technology for agri-food traceability has long-term positive impacts on research and practical implementation, as described in Sect. 4.2. Vivaldini (2021) suggested researching the challenges of blockchain technology platforms. Implementation challenges to address the second part of RQ1 were also

Table 2 Benefits of BCT in AFSC

Benefits	Explanation	References
Functional benefits	Transparency	Bermeo-almeida et al. (2018), Galvez et al. (2018), Meidayanti et al. (2019), Chan et al. (2019), Haroon et al. (2019), Madumidha et al. (2019), Mondal et al. (2019), Musah et al. (2019), Shih et al. (2019), Botelho et al. (2020), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Duan et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Enescu et al. (2020), Jaiyen et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Mezquita et al. (2020), Patelli and Mandrioli (2020), Prashar et al. (2020), Shahzad and Zhang (2021), Song et al. (2020), Thejaswini and Ranjitha (2020), Wallace and Manning (2020), Ali et al. (2021), Bai et al. (2021), Baralla et al. (2021), Cocco et al. (2021), Ekawati et al. (2021), Katsikouli et al. (2021), Kramer et al. (2021), Mukherjee et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021), Pranto et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021), Shwetha and Prabodh (2021), Sudha et al. (2021), Fakkhong et al. (2022), González-Puetate et al. (2022), Kumar et al. (2022a, b), Marchese and Tomarchio (2022), Singh and Sharma (2022), Vern et al. (2022), Xie et al. (2022)
	Traceability	Aich et al. (2019), Casino et al. (2019), Chan et al. (2019), Felippe and Demanboro (2021), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Lin Q et al. (2019), Madumidha et al. (2019), Musah et al. (2019), Pearson et al. (2019), (a)), Tsang et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen Y et al. (2020), Chen S et al. (2021), Dong et al. (2020), Gao et al. (2020), Hegde et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Prashar et al. (2020), Saji et al. (2020), Shahzad and Zhang (2021), Vincent et al. (2020), Wang et al. (2020), Al-Amin et al. (2021), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Cui et al. (2020), Ekawati et al. (2021), Espinoza-Mejia et al. (2021), Hong et al. (2021), Katsikouli et al. (2021), Lee et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021), Wang L et al. (2021), Balamurugan et al. (2021), Fakkhong et al. (2022), Fan et al. (2022), González-Puetate et al. (2022), Kumar et al. (2022a, b(a)), Kumar et al. (2022a, b), Lin et al. (2022), Marchese and Tomarchio (2022), Marchesi et al. (2022), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Qian et al. (2022), Shew et al. (2022), Varavallo et al. (2022), Xie et al. (2022)
	Provenance	Basnayake and Rajapakse (2019), Cong An et al. (2019), Longo et al. (2020), Afrianto et al. (2020), Thejaswini and Ranjitha (2020), Wallace and Manning (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), Baralla et al. (2021), Marchesi et al. (2022)
	Security	Bermeo-almeida et al. (2018), Galvez et al. (2018), Cong An et al. (2019), Madumidha et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Salah et al. (2019), Casino et al. (2020), Dinesh et al. (2020), Dong et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Enescu et al. (2020), Mezquita et al. (2020), Peña et al. (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Putri et al. (2020), Shahzad and Zhang (2021), Zhang et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Cui et al. (2020), Park and Li (2021), Sathya et al. (2021), Tao et al. (2021), González-Puetate et al. (2022), Kumar et al. (2022a, b), Rejeb et al. (2022), Xie et al. (2022)
	Privacy of information	Haroon et al. (2019), Botelho et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Peña et al. (2020), Cui et al. (2020), Mukherjee et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2022a, b)

Table 2 (continued)

Benefits	Explanation	References
	Immutability	Aich et al. (2019), Cong An et al. (2019), Harshavardhan et al. (2019), Madumidha et al. (2019), Terzi et al. (2019), Casino et al. (2020 (b)), Dutta et al. (2020), Gao et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Shahid et al. (2020), Ekawati et al. (2021), Hong et al. (2021), Mukherjee et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021), Sathya et al. (2021), Shwetha and Prabodh (2021), Sudha et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2022a, b), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
	Reliability	Bermeo-almeida et al. (2018), Salah et al. (2019), Chen S et al. (2021), Dong et al. (2020), Patelli and Mandrioli (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Peña et al. (2020), Zhang et al. (2020), Lee et al. (2021), Park and Li (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021), Fakkhong et al. (2022), Marchese and Tomarchio (2022), Vern et al. (2022), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
	Efficiency	Bermeo-almeida et al. (2018), Galvez et al. (2018), Guo et al. (2018), Aich et al. (2019), Longo et al. (2020), Madumidha et al. (2019), Salah et al. (2019), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Casino et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Vincent et al. (2020), Al-Amin et al. (2021), Baralla et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021)
	Improved information management	Meidayanti et al. (2018), Adeeb et al. (2020), Ahmed et al. (2020), Chen Y et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Chopra (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Yang and Sun (2020), Zhang et al. (2020), Ekawati et al. (2021), Stranieri et al. (2021), Tao et al. (2021), Yang et al. (2021), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Vern et al. (2022), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
	Consensus mechanism	Lin Q et al. (2019), Gao et al. (2020), Kramer et al. (2021)
Food security benefits	Food fraud, or preventing counterfeiting	Guo et al. (2018), Musah et al. (2019), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen S et al. (2021), Danese et al. (2021), Pranto et al. (2021), Collart and Canales (2022), Marchesi et al. (2022)
	Food quality	Basnayake and Rajapakse (2019), George et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Casino et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Hegde et al. (2020), Jaiyen et al. (2020), Zhang et al. (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), Cocco et al. (2021), Wang L et al. (2021), Balamurugan et al. (2021), Fan et al. (2022);
	Food recall	Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Duan et al. (2020)
	Food safety	Guo et al. (2018), Mao et al. (2018), Lin Q et al. (2019), Longo et al. (2020), Dinesh et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Ji et al. (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Patelli and Mandrioli (2020), Wang et al. (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), Zhang et al. (2020), Wang et al. (2021), Balamurugan et al. (2021), Collart and Canales (2022), Fan et al. (2022), Lin et al. (2022), Vern et al. (2022)

Table 2 (continued)

Benefits	Explanation	References
Environmental benefits	Improved food wastage management	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Hegde et al. (2020), Vincent et al. (2020), Mangla et al. (2021), Park and Li (2021), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Vern et al. (2022), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
Social benefits	Fair pricing throughout the supply chain	Harshavardhan et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Kumarathunga (2020), Afrianto et al. (2020), Dutta et al. (2020), Hegde et al. (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Lezoche et al. (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Saji et al. (2020), Xu et al. (2020), Pranto et al. (2021), Park and Li (2021)
	Disintermediation	Basnayake and Rajapakse (2019), Harshavardhan et al. (2019), Jaiswal et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Prashar et al. (2020), Salah et al. (2019), Chen Y et al. (2020), Chen S et al. (2021), Dutta et al. (2020), Jai-yen et al. (2020), Pranto et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021), Tao et al. (2021), Wang et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2022a, b(b)), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
	Empowered consumers as trust increases (Between retailers and consumers)	Guo et al. (2018), Baralla et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Enescu et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Putri et al. (2020), Qian et al. (2020), Song et al. (2020), Thejaswini and Ranjitha (2020), Wallace and Manning (2020), Balakin et al. (2021), Baralla et al. (2021), Cao et al. (2021), Ekawati et al. (2021), Garaus and Treiblmaier (2021), Hong et al. (2021), Hu et al. (2021), Orjuela et al. (2021), Shwetha and Prabodh (2021), González-Puetate et al. (2022), Singh and Sharma (2022), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)
Business benefits	Integrity	Salah et al. (2019), Prashar et al. (2020), Pawar et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021)
	Enhance members' collaboration	Guo et al. (2018), Longo et al. (2020), Madumidha et al. (2019), Awan et al. (2020), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Chen S et al. (2021), Espinoza-Mejía et al. (2021), Sudha et al. (2021), Yang et al. (2021), Kumar et al. (2022a, b)
	Decentralized operations	Bermeo-almeida et al. (2018), Basnayake and Rajapakse (2019), Casino et al. (2019), Felippe and Demanboro (2021), Harshavardhan et al. (2019), Jaiswal et al. (2019), Salah et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Casino et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Peña et al. (2020), Prashar et al. (2020), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Wang et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Cui et al. (2020), Ekawati et al. (2021), Hong et al. (2021), Kramer et al. (2021), Mukherjee et al. (2021), Orjuela et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021), Sathya et al. (2021), Shivendra et al. (2021), Yang et al. (2021), González-Puetate et al. (2022)
	Enhances trust among stakeholders	Guo et al. (2018), Baralla et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Enescu et al. (2020), Köhler and Pizzol (2020), Pooja et al. (2020), Putri et al. (2020), Qian et al. (2020), Song et al. (2020), Thejaswini and Ranjitha (2020), Bai et al. (2021), Baralla et al. (2021), Ekawati et al. (2021), Hong et al. (2021), Hu et al. (2021), Orjuela et al. (2021), Shwetha and Prabodh (2021), Yang et al. (2021), Rejeb et al. (2022)

Table 2 (continued)

Benefits	Explanation	References
	Better return on investments and profits	Balakin et al. (2021), Stranieri et al. (2021), Vern et al. 2022)
	Cost benefits (reduces transaction costs, cost of quality, time, activity)	Cong An et al. (2019), Harshavardhan et al. (2019), Jaiswal et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Bumblauskas et al. (2020), Kumarathunga (2020), Perez et al. (2020), Xu et al. (2020), Baralla et al. (2021), Singh and Vinay (2022), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Varavallo et al. (2022), Wünsche and Fernqvist (2022)

identified (Table 3). BCT is error-proof and increases the challenges of immutability and irreversibility to data alteration. It doesn't allow modifying the data or verifying transactions. Thus, there is no scope for errors due to human inefficiency (Dutta et al. 2020; Kamble et al. 2020; Pranto et al. 2021). Smart contracts, once deployed, cannot be changed (Kumar et al. 2020; Pranto et al. 2021). BCT in AFSC is evolving, but the implementation challenges must be addressed. In addition, there are challenges relating to blockchain infrastructure, interoperability, standardization, and social and institutional issues, including legal and regulatory issues.

The rank effect is one of the significant implementation challenges that occur due to high cost and complexity. Technology deployment tends to move from large to small organizations. Due to the high cost, complexity, and lack of internet facilities, small organizations may not be able to adopt BCT independently in their processes (Kshetri 2019; Kshetri and DeFranco 2020). Blockchain forking causes fake transactions, economic uncertainty, and confusion (Torky and Hassanein 2020). In AFSC, multiple product lines will result in a higher number of daily transactions, and new block generation will take more time to process data due to the original setup of a blockchain network. This gives rise to latency and throughput issues within the blockchain network. The current AFSC setups are not designed to process millions of transactions in real-time (Zhao et al. 2019; Kayikci et al., 2022; Lezoche et al. 2020). Multichain management gives rise to scalability. Integrating BCT with existing traceability systems and configuring multiple BCT platforms in the standalone system leads to security, stability, storage, and system speed problems. Maintaining confidentiality is difficult, given the possibility of getting information through the shared blockchain network. Hence, setting specific standards, regulations, and policies is essential.

As BCT has many implementation challenges in AFSC, it is necessary to understand boundary conditions for its implementation. The review identified a few articles that discuss strategies and boundary conditions for implementing AFSC. Samal et al. (2019) suggested that supply chains must be modified, and significant organizational measures should be taken before BCT implementation. Many agri-food companies fail to understand the requirements to be fulfilled before implementing BCT. Behnke et al. (2019) concluded that before technology implementation, all supply chain actors should have sound knowledge of technology to access the traceability information system. Data collected and stored is used to maintain internal traceability. Blockchain develops a transparent system for consumers, producers, retailers, and farmers. It is crucial to decide on the level of information to be shared on traceability information systems. Hew et al. (2020) suggested implementing an orientation strategy for manufacturers to understand the system and institutional pressure to adopt BCT. It is essential to analyse the technological characteristics of the system and develop desired results. The technology should be assumed to match the manufacturers' expected results if its technical features match them. Chandra et al. (2019) suggested a comprehensive risk assessment to ensure the existing system integrates and mitigates the risks associated with blockchain adoption. Risks like cost, participant incompetency, scalability, and cryptographic key management. Erol et al. (2021) identified various feasibility indicators for blockchain implementation for multiple industries, i.e., logistics, agriculture, supply chain, health, and

Table 3 Implementation challenges of BCT in AFSC

Challenges	Explanation	References
Cultural challenges	Trust	Fu et al. (2020), Rogerson and Parry (2020), Yadav et al. (2020)
	Digital culture	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Lezocho et al. (2020), Rogerson and Parry (2020)
	Rapid changes in consumer preferences	Kamilaris et al. (2019)
Technical challenges	Data Management (Data integrity, ownership, retention)	Wu et al. (2019), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Chopra (2020), Demestichas et al. (2020), Kumar et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Mirabelli and Solina (2020)
	Garbage In- Garbage Out	Katsikouli et al. (2021)
	Scalability	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Pearson et al. (2019), Wu et al. (2019), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Demestichas et al. (2020), Duan et al. (2020), Liu P et al. (2020), Song et al. (2020), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Mondragon et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Nugazina et al., 2021), Astill et al. (2019), Amin et al. (2021), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021), Marchese and Tomarchio (2022)
	Immutability	Chen et al. (2021a, b), Kamble et al. (2020), Kumar et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Pranto et al. (2021)
	Interoperability	Chandra et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Demestichas et al. (2020), Iftekhar et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Liu Y et al. (2021), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Mondragon et al. (2020), Song et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Rana et al. (2021), Tan et al. (2022)
	Energy consumption	Moudoud et al. (2019), Demestichas et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Lezocho et al. (2020), Liu Y et al. (2021), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Astill et al. (2019), Pawar et al. (2021), Varavallo et al. (2022)
	Latency	Moudoud et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Lezocho et al. (2020), Torky and Hassanein (2020)

Table 3 (continued)

Challenges	Explanation	References
	Storage capacity	Moudoud et al. (2019), Wu et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Fu et al. (2020), Mirabelli and solina (2020), Song et al. (2020), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Astill et al. (2019), Pawar et al. (2021)
	Throughput	Wu et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Lezocho et al. (2020), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021)
	Digital infrastructure	Galvez et al. (2018), Lezocho et al. (2020), Rogerson and Parry (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Vern et al. (2022)
	Security and privacy	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Pearson et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Kamble et al. (2020), Liu Y et al. (2021), Torky and Hassanein (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Etemadi et al. (2021), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Rana et al. (2021)
	Blockchain architecture	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Kumar et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Katsikouli et al. (2021), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021)
Social and institutional challenges	Lack of Skilled human resources	Kshetri (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Chen S et al. (2021), Fu et al. (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Lezocho et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021)
	High investment cost	Kamilaris et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Kshetri (2019), Madumidha et al. (2019), Chen S et al. (2021), Demestichas et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020), Patelli and Mandrioli (2020), Rogerson and Parry (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Ali et al. (2021), Fu et al. (2020), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Hu et al. (2021), Pawar et al. (2021), Pranto et al. (2021), Rana et al. (2021), Oguntegbe et al. (2022)
	Rank effect	Kshetri (2019), Kshetri and DeFranco (2020)
	Lack of training and education	Kamilaris et al. (2019)

Table 3 (continued)

Challenges	Explanation	References
Regulatory challenges	Industry standards and Governance	Pearson et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Chen et al. (2021a, b), Chopra (2020), Rogerson and Parry (2020), Voswinckel et al. (2020), Katsikouli et al. (2021)
	Law, policy, and regulations	Galvez et al. (2018), Chandra et al. (2019), Kamilaris et al. (2019), Zhao et al. (2019), Afrianto et al. (2020), Duan et al. (2020), Fu et al. (2020), Iftekhar et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Kumar et al. (2020), Lezocho et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Ali et al. (2021), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Vern et al. (2022)
Organizational challenges	Aversion from organizations to change their existing revenue model	Kamble et al. (2020), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021)
	Aversion from supply chain intermediaries (Due to unethical behaviour and decentralization)	Duan et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Aldrighetti et al. (2021), Astill et al. (2019), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Shahid et al. (2021)
	Lack of sound knowledge about technology	Chandra et al. (2019), Demestichas et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Duan et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Astill et al. (2019), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021), Oguntegbe et al. (2022), Vern et al. (2022)
	Coordination and collaboration	Madumidha et al. (2019), Fu et al. (2020), Kamble et al. (2020), Yadav et al. (2020), Kouhizadeh et al. (2021)

finance. The study concluded that the logistics and supply chain sector is feasible for blockchain projects. It is vital to understand regulations and governance, the level of technological maturity, the potential to increase revenue and reduce operational cost, and the need for building trust and improved visibility and traceability. Wu et al. (2021) investigated strategies for adopting BCT in the perishable supply chain. Consumers' preference for traceability information and traceability cost for implementing the BCT system. The BCT in AFSC should be adopted if the implementation cost is low and consumers' preference for traceability information is high. Hence, before implementing BCT in AFSC, these strategies and conditions can be considered to assess the feasibility.

4.1.3 Applications of BCT in AFSC

Most literature mainly focuses on the benefits of blockchain technology, such as traceability, transparency, and other technical aspects. Increasing consumer demand to know the origins of the products is a major driving force for companies to invest in blockchain (Rogerson and Parry 2020). Products within food supply chains are prone to fraud, which makes them vulnerable. Blockchain can be applied to counter fraud to ensure food safety and food quality (Rogerson and Parry 2020). An empirical study concluded that consumers are willing to shift towards innovative electronic labels based on QR and blockchain products (Violino et al. 2019). There are different types of blockchain platforms- public/private/hybrid. Cost analysis of different platforms concludes that public ledgers are expensive, whereas private and local storages are the simplest and cheapest with the best blockchain architecture (Voulgaris et al., 2020). It can also be used for environmental management to keep track of payments related to environmental services, forest mapping, traceability, soil, and climate control (Rocha et al. 2021). BCT application helps in data management related to smart farming and efficient trading in the supply chain. Walmart and IBM worked closely to address provenance traceability and food authentication (Fang et al., 2020). To address RQ2, various BCT applications in AFSC were identified through the review (Table 4). Many agri-food companies are experimenting with BCT to achieve enhanced transparency, traceability, and sustainability.

BCT has been adopted for food products like coffee, fish, beef, beer, pork, fresh food, milk, and pasta to ensure data immutability, sustainability claims, provenance, and security and food safety (Chopra 2020; Shew et al. 2022). Its applicability in e-agriculture can address food contamination and fraud (Mavilia and Pisani 2021), safety, and authenticity (Creydt and Fischer 2019). Implementing BCT in the milk delivery system economizes the system by reducing cost, time spent on each quality test, faster delivery, and product monitoring (Makarov et al. 2019). BCT with RFID tags can determine the provenance and validate seafood authenticity (Gopi et al. 2019). Integrating BCT with other prevailing future technologies like the IoT and big data will positively impact agri-food supply chains (Karunanayaka and Wickramarachchi, 2020; Amin et al. 2021). It helps to address HACCP standards and ensure food safety (Biscotti, 2020). Besides these applications, various BCT-based frameworks are developed and designed in studies. The application of BCT is at an infant stage; many pilot studies/ solutions/ frameworks have been developed for

Table 4 Applications of BCT in AFSC

	Applications	References
1	Public distribution system	Kumar (2021), Thakare et al. (2021)
2	Smart contracts	Liu P et al. (2019), Liu Y et al. (2021), Ronaghi (2021)
3	Food traceability	Pearson et al. (2019), Salah et al. (2019), Dutta et al. (2020), Grecuccio et al. (2020), Liu P et al. (2019), Prashar et al. (2020), Pranto et al. (2021), Shahbazi and Byun (2021), Bhat et al. (2021)
4	Precision agriculture	Torky and Hassanein (2020), Liu W et al. (2021)
5	Smart farming	Zhao et al. (2019), Lin W et al. (2020), Liu P et al. (2020)
6	Food and feed safety recalls	Lara Gracia (2020), Hong et al. (2021)
7	Certification and auditing	Galvez et al. (2018)
8	Cybersecurity	Liu et al. (2021a, b)
9	Carbon footprint	Shakhbulatov et al. (2019)
10	Management of the credit system	Mavilia and Pisani (2021)
11	Business process reengineering	Li Yet al. (2020), Johng et. (2020)
12	Land registry	Mavilia and Pisani (2021)
13	Logistics (fleet monitoring, geolocation, delivery statistics in real-time)	Liu W et al. (2021), Rocha et al. (2021), Remondino and Zanin (2022)

AFSC, such as Agriblockiot (Caro et al. 2018; Dey et al. 2021), FOODSQRBlock (Arena et al. 2019), BRUSCHETTA (Shaikh et al. 2019), AgroVita (Patel et al. 2021), Kranti (Malik et al. 2018).

4.2 Discussion

Blockchain technology has been a far-reaching area of research and holds the power to redesign the agri-food supply chain. This review offers a guide for practitioners and researchers to understand the various dimensions of BCT in AFSC. Based on the study findings, a theoretical framework is proposed for adopting BCT in AFSC (Fig. 2). The framework brings together the various implementation challenges that occur during BCT adoption. The framework also discusses the benefits of the BCT, which will create value for AFSC stakeholders involved. The proposed framework provides a distinction between the challenges and benefits. Policy and regulations, scalability issues, less skilled human resources, and high investment are significant challenges. Feng et al. (2020) identified issues such as security, scalability, legal and regulations as major implementation challenges of blockchain-based traceability systems in AFSC. Similarly, Li et al. (2023) concluded issues related to governance and regulations, high investment costs, and lack of sound knowledge about technology are challenges to BCT adoption in food supply chains. Vern et al. (2023) and Choi et al. (2020) revealed high investment cost, lack of regulations and scalability as influential barriers to BCT implementation in AFSC. Interoperability, traceability, transparency, immutability, trust, and

decentralization are revealed as benefits. Review findings are in line with the findings of Santhi and Muthuswamy (2022), Yogarajan et al. (2023), and Vu et al. (2023).

The review reveals various potential areas where BCT can be implemented in the agri-food supply chain, such as smart contracts, cybersecurity, and food safety. Yadav et al. (2022) suggested that BCT can emerge as a game changer in AFSC in ensuring food safety. Xiong et al. (2020) also highlighted smart agriculture as an application of BCT in AFSC. For example, Taiwan's farmland irrigation associations use BCT to archive data related to water irrigation. The application of BCT brings transparency, which helps improve water resource use. This framework provides new theoretical constructs that will improve the knowledge of BCT adoption.

Managers can gain an overview of BCT developments in AFSC regarding its benefits, implementation challenges and potential applications. Additionally, although conceptual, the framework can assist managers in planning and executing BCT. It will enable the managers to adopt early changes in this domain, become competitive, and gain benefits.

5 Implications for future research directions

This literature review comprehensively analyses BCT's benefits, implementation challenges, and applications in the agri-food supply chain. In this prominent area of research, there are several unexplored topics like the role of blockchain in addressing protection challenges, security of smart contracts, examining food fraud, and security and safety of traceability databases. The study has summarized the research gaps and future research directions in the field of BCT for AFSC proposed by various researchers in managing and understanding the technology based on the literature review. On the technological front, future research can investigate the willingness of different supply chain stakeholders towards public/private/hybrid blockchain platforms. It is difficult for AFSC to adapt to other commercial BCT platforms, which will give rise to various challenges. Thus, conducting empirical studies on identifying these challenges and suggesting strategies to overcome them will be insightful. Research can also focus on developing and designing a different mechanism to ensure the authenticity and originality of the data entering the system. Various studies have suggested that the security of IoT networks can be improved if implemented with BCT. Yet, few studies have empirically investigated the critical success factors for adopting blockchain in AFSC to reduce IoT security risks and threats. Therefore, integrating IoT with BCT and its effect on enhancing the system's security can be studied.

It is interesting to note that several contributions also highlight that BCT has the potential to enhance organizational collaborations and trust between different stakeholders to facilitate transparency and traceability. Thus, theoretical and empirical research is required to understand the effect of BCT on consumer awareness, consumer knowledge, and consumers' understanding of different food quality dimensions in food firms. Additionally, the role of BCT in strengthening the vertical relationship among the supply chain stakeholders can be studied. The consumers' level of adoption, trust, and acceptance of BCT applications would be a promising area for future research. It would also be insightful to explore further and assess the economic impacts of BCT adoption in AFSC. Governance is usually considered an economic sustainability pillar in supply

chain management. Effective, sustainable governance can improve a firm's competitiveness and boost overall profits.

Further research can focus on studying the influence of BCT on AFSC governance and improving overall sustainability. This review produced several useful insights that would interest academics and researchers. Future research can empirically explore the review findings further by combining them with management theories and extending the work on BCT adoption in AFSC. The framework provided in the study can further be explored using multi-criteria decision-making techniques such as structural equation modelling, fuzzy decision-making trials, evaluation laboratories, etc.

6 Conclusions

The current state of blockchain technology in the agri-food supply chain is examined in the review. The research is timely because it offers a firm framework for comprehending a technology whose benefits are not yet fully understood, whose challenges are unpredictable, and whose application path remains unclear. The literature regarding blockchain technology in the agri-food supply chain was analysed for five years, between 2018 and 2022. A total of 366 articles were collected from Web of Science, Scopus, IEEE Access, Springer link, and refereed journals, out of which 187 were considered for the review. The bibliometric method was used for performance analysis of the literature. The review depicts increased publications where most authors are from China and India. The *'VoSviewer'* software was used for citation network analysis, which provided four clusters indicating different research trends within the umbrella of BCT in AFSC, viz. benefits and challenges, commercial applications, BCT-based frameworks, sustainability, and trust. The four clusters were treated as building blocks of the review and were further explored in depth through content analysis of 187 articles by identifying the benefits, challenges, and applications of BCT in AFSC. The identified benefits were grouped under heads of functional, social, business, food security and environmental. Similarly, the review grouped implementation challenges under the heads of organizational, regulatory, cultural, technical, and social. Lastly, we explored the potential applications of BCT in AFSC.

There are a few limitations to this review. Many articles were chosen to drive insights, and the search query/string was carefully formulated. There might be a possibility that some of the relevant studies may have been overlooked. The review lacks empirical justification for the obtained results. Nevertheless, this review provides a valuable theoretical contribution to the topic. The nature of the SLR methodology is qualitative and descriptive. This review offers evidence-based future avenues for implementing BCT in AFSC.

7 Appendix

See Figures 3 and 4.

Fig. 3 Annual scientific production

Fig. 4 Citation network analysis

See Tables 5, 6, 7.

Table 5 Country-specific production

Country	Number of articles
China	156
India	106
Italy	87
United States of America	51
United Kingdom	42
Greece	22
Canada	18
Malaysia	18
Australia	17
Indonesia	17

Table 6 Top journals

Sources	No. of articles
IEEE Access	10
Journal of Cleaner Production	8
Sustainability	8
Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing	7
IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science	5
Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy	3
Communications in Computer and Information Science	3
Food Control	3
International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health	3
International Journal of Information Management	3
Trends in Food Science and Technology	3
ACM International Conference Proceeding Series	2
British Food Journal	2
Computers and Electronics in Agriculture	2
Computers in Industry	2
Electronics	2
International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications	2
International Journal of Production Economics	2
International Journal of Production Research	2

Table 7 Primary information about articles

Description	Results
Timespan	2018:2022
Sources (Journals, Books, etc.)	131
Documents	187
Average years from publication	1.68
Average citations per document	40.02
Average citations per year per doc	13.78
References	8833
Document types	
Research articles	92
Book chapter	2
Conference paper	70
Review	23
Document contents	
Keywords plus (ID)	995
Author's keywords (DE)	412
Authors	
Authors	677
Author appearances	727
Authors of single-authored documents	8
Authors of multi-authored documents	669
Authors collaboration	
Single-authored documents	8
Documents per author	0.27
Authors per document	3.62
Co-Authors per documents	3.89
Collaboration index	3.74

Data availability This manuscript has no associated data.

Conflict of interest None.

References

Adeeb A, Ronald R, Khuntia J (2020) Blockchain for supply chain management: practice-based view. In: 26th Americas conference on information systems, 6. https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2020/sig_green/sig_green/6.

Afrianto I, Djatna T, Arkeman Y, Sukaesih Sitanggang I, Hermadi I (2020) Disrupting agro-industry supply chain in indonesia with blockchain technology: current and future challenges. In: 8th ICITSM. https://doi.org/10.1109/CITSM50537.2020.9268872

Ahmed S, Islam ME, Hosen MT, Hasan MH (2020) BlockChain based fertilizer distribution system: Bangladesh perspective. ACM Int Conf Proc Series Doi 10(1145/3377049):3377116

Aich S, Chakraborty S, Sain M, Lee H-I, Kim H-C (2019) A review on benefits of iot integrated blockchain based supply chain management implementations across different sectors with case study. In: International conference on advanced communication technology, ICACT, February 2019, pp 138–141. https://doi.org/10.23919/ICACT.2019.8701910

Aldrighetti A, Canavari M, Hingley MK (2021) A Delphi study on blockchain application to food traceability. Int J Food Syst Dyn 12(1):6–18

Ali MH, Chung L, Kumar A, Zailani S, Tan KH (2021) A sustainable Blockchain framework for the halal food supply chain: lessons from Malaysia. Technol Forecast Soc Change. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120870

Amin MR, Khan MY, Zuhairi MF (2021) Review of FSCM with blockchain and big data integration. Indian J Comput Sci Eng 12(1):193–201

Andrian HR, Kurniawan NB, Suhardi (2018) Blockchain technology and implementation: a systematic literature review. In: 2018 international conference on information technology systems and innovation. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICITSI.2018.8695939

Anguiano TD, Parte L (2023) The state of art, opportunities and challenges of blockchain in the insurance industry: a systematic literature review. Manag Rev Quart. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-023-00328-6

Arena A, Bianchini A, Perazzo P, Vallati C, Dini G (2019) BRUSCHETTA: An IoT blockchain-based framework for certifying extra virgin olive oil supply chain. IEEE Smart Comput. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMARTCOMP.2019.00049

Arruda H, Silva ÉR, Lessa M, Júnior DP, Bartholo R (2022) VOSViewer and Bibliometrix. J Med Libr Assoc 110(3):392–395. https://doi.org/10.5195/jmla.2022.1434

Aria M, Cuccurullo C (2017) bibliometrix: An R-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis. J Informet 11(4):959–975. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2017.08.007

Awan SH, Nawaz A, Ahmed S, Khattak HA, Zaman K, Najam Z (2020) Blockchain based smart model for agricultural food supply chain. In: 2020 international conference on UK-China emerging technology https://doi.org/10.1109/UCET51115.2020.9205477

Bai Y, Fan K, Zhang K, Cheng X, Li H, Yang Y (2021) Blockchain-based trust management for agricultural green supply: a game theoretic approach. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127407

Balakin MD, Ashmarina TI, Pulyaev NN (2021) Use of innovative technological solutions in the development of the fish products market. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/650/1/012020

Balamurugan S, Ayyasamy A, Joseph KS (2021) IoT-Blockchain driven traceability techniques for improved safety measures in food supply chain. Int J Inf Technol 14(2):1087–1098. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-020-00581-y

Baralla G, Pinna A, Corrias G (2019) Ensure traceability in European food supply chain by using a blockchain system. In: IEEE/ACM 2nd international workshop on emerging trends in software engineering for blockchain, 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1109/WETSEB.2019.00012

Baralla G, Pinna A, Tonelli R, Marchesi M, Ibba S (2021) Ensuring transparency and traceability of food local products: a blockchain application to a Smart Tourism Region. Practice and Experience, Concurrency and Computation. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpe.5857

Basnayake BMAL, Rajapakse C (2019) A Blockchain-based decentralized system to ensure the transparency of organic food supply chain. IEEE Int Res Conf SCSE https://doi.org/10.23919/SCSE.2019.8842690

Behnke K, Janssen MFWHA (2020) Boundary conditions for traceability in food supply chains using blockchain technology. Int J Inf Manag. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.025

Bermeo-Almeida O, Cardenas-Rodriguez M, Samaniego-Cobo T, Ferruzola-Gómez E, Cabezas-Cabezas R, Bazán-Vera W (2018) Blockchain in agriculture: a systematic literature review. In: Valencia-García R, Alcaraz-Mármol G, Del Cioppo-Morstadt J, Vera-Lucio N, Bucaram-Leverone M (eds) Communications in computer and information science, vol 883. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00940-3_4

Bhat SA, Huang NF, Sofi IB, Sultan M (2022) Agriculture-food supply chain management based on blockchain and IoT: a narrative on enterprise blockchain interoperability. Agriculture 12(1):40. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12010040

Biscotti A, Giannelli C, Keyi CFN, Lazzarini R, Sardone A, Stefanelli C, Virgilli G (2020) Internet of things and blockchain technologies for food safety systems. IEEE ICSC 2020:440–445. https://doi.org/10.1109/SMARTCOMP50058.2020.00091

Botelho A, Silva IR, Ribeiro L, Lopes MS, Au-Yong-Oliveira M (2020) Improving food transparency through innovation and blockchain technology. In: European conference on innovation and entrepreneurship, 128–136. https://doi.org/10.34190/EIE.20.034

Bumblauskas D, Mann A, Dugan B, Rittmer J (2020) A blockchain use case in food distribution: do you know where your food has been? Int J Inf Manag. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.09.004

Caro MP, Ali MS, Vecchio M, Giaffreda R (2018) Blockchain-based traceability in Agri-Food supply chain management: a practical implementation. IoT Vert Top Summit Agric 2018:1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/IOT-TUSCANY.2018.8373021

Casino F, Kanakaris V, Dasaklis TK, Moschuris S, Rachaniotis NP (2019) Modeling food supply chain traceability based on blockchain technology. IFAC-PapersOnLine 52(13):2728–2733. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.620

Casino F, Kanakaris V, Dasaklis TK, Moschuris S, Stachtiaris S, Pagoni M, Rachaniotis NP (2020) Blockchain-based food supply chain traceability: a case study in the dairy sector. Int J Prod Res. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1789238

Chan KY, Abdullah J, Khan AS (2019) A framework for traceable and transparent supply chain management for agri-food sector in malaysia using blockchain technology. Int J Adv Comput Sci Appl 10(11):149–156. https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2019.0101120

Chandra GR, Liaqat IA, Sharma B (2019) Blockchain redefining: the halal food sector. AICAI 2019:349–354. https://doi.org/10.1109/AICAI.2019.8701321

Chen H, Chen Z, Lin F, Zhuang P (2021a) Effective management for blockchain-based agri-food supply chains using deep reinforcement learning. IEEE Access 9:36008–36018. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3062410

Chen S, Liu X, Yan J, Hu G, Shi Y (2021) Processes, benefits, and challenges for adoption of blockchain technologies in food supply chains: a thematic analysis. Info Syst E-Bus Manag. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-020-00467-3

Chen Y, Li Y, Li C (2020) Electronic agriculture, blockchain and digital agricultural democratization: origin, theory and application. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122071

Choi D, Chung CY, Seyha T, Young J (2020) Factors affecting organizations' resistance to the adoption of blockchain technology in supply networks. Sustainability 12(21):8882. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12218882

Chopra A (2020) Blockchain technology in food industry ecosystem. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/872/1/012005

Cocco L, Mannaro K, Tonelli R, Mariani L, Lodi MB, Melis A, Simone M, Fanti A (2021) A blockchain-based traceability system in agri-food SME: case study of a traditional bakery. IEEE Access 9:62899–62915. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3074874

Collart AJ, Canales E (2022) How might broad adoption of blockchain-based traceability impact the US fresh produce supply chain? Appl Econ Perspect Policy 44(1):219–236. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13134

Cong An A, Thi Xuan Diem P, Thi Thu Lan L, Van Toi T, Duong Quoc Binh L (2019) Building a product origins tracking system based on blockchain and PoA consensus protocol. In: Proceedings - 2019. International conference on advanced computing and applications, ACOMP 2019, pp 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACOMP.2019.00012

Creydt M, Fischer M (2019) Blockchain and more - algorithm driven food traceability. Food Control 105:45–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.05.019

Cui Y, Idota H, Ota M (2020) Rebuilding Food Supply Chain with Introducing Decentralized Credit Mechanism. In: Proceedings of the 7th multidisciplinary in international social networks conference and the 3rd international conference on economics, management and technology (MISNC2020&IEMT2020). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 7. pp 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1145/3429395.3429401

Danese P, Mocellin R, Romano P (2021) Designing blockchain systems to prevent counterfeiting in wine supply chains: a multiple-case study. Int J Oper Prod Manag 41(13):1–33. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-12-2019-0781

Demestichas K, Peppes N, Alexakis T, Adamopoulou E (2020) Blockchain in agriculture traceability systems: a review. Appl Sci 10(12):1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/APP10124113

Dey S, Saha S, Singh AK, McDonald-Maier K (2021) FoodSQRBlock: digitizing food production and the supply chain with blockchain and QR code in the cloud. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063486

Dinesh Kumar K, Kumar M, Anandh R (2020) Blockchain technology in food supply chain security. Int J Sci Technol Res 9(1):3446–3450

Dong Z, Ma C, Wang Y, Liu Z (2020) Food information traceability system based on fabric. In: ACM international conference on proceeding series, 563–570. https://doi.org/10.1145/3434581.3434685

Donthu N, Kumar S, Mukherjee D, Pandey N, Lim WM (2021) How to conduct a bibliometric analysis: an overview and guidelines. J Bus Res. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.070

Duan J, Zhang C, Gong Y, Brown S, Li Z (2020) A content-analysis based literature review in blockchain adoption within food supply chain. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17(5):1784. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051784

Duan L, Sun Y, Zhang K, Ding Y (2022) Multiple-Layer security threats on the Ethereum blockchain and their countermeasures. Secur Commun Networks 2022:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5307697

Durugbo C, Al-Balushi Z (2022) Supply chain management in times of crisis: a systematic review. Manag Rev Quart. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-022-00272-x

Dutta P, Choi T-M, Somani S, Butala R (2020) Blockchain technology in supply chain operations: applications, challenges and research opportunities. Transp Res Part E. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102067

Ekawati R, Arkeman Y, Suprihatin S, Sunarti TC (2021) Proposed design of white sugar industrial supply chain system based on blockchain technology. Int J Adv Comput Sci Appl 12(4):459–465

Enescu FM, Manuel Ionescu V (2020) Using blockchain in the agri-food sector following SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. In: 12th International Conference on Electronics, Computers and Artificial Intelligence (ECAI), Bucharest, Romania. pp 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1109/ECAI50035.2020.9223161

Erol I, Ar IM, Ozdemir AI, Peker I, Asgary A, Medeni IT, Medeni T (2021) Assessing the feasibility of blockchain technology in industries: evidence from Turkey. J Enterp Inf Manag 34(3):746–769. https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-09-2019-0309

Elnadi M, Abdallah YO (2023) Industry 40: critical investigations and synthesis of key findings. Manag Rev Quart. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-022-00314-4

Espinoza-Mejía M, Saquicela V, Abril-Ulloa V (2021) Ensuring traceability and orchestration in the food supply chain. In: Botto-Tobar M, Cruz H, Díaz Cadena A (eds) Artificial intelligence, computer and software engineering advances. CIT 2020. Advances in intelligent systems and computing, vol 1326. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68080-0_10

Fakkhong K, Rangsaritvorakarn N, Tantitecha C (2022) Using Blockchain Technology for a sustainable agri-food supply chain in Thailand. In: 2022 international conference on decision aid sciences and applications. https://doi.org/10.1109/dasa54658.2022.9764968

Fan ZP, Wu XY, Cao BB (2022) Considering the traceability awareness of consumers: should the supply chain adopt the blockchain technology? Ann Oper Res 309(2):837–860. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03729-y

Felippe AD, Demanboro AC (2021) Smart contracts and blockchain: an application model for traceability in the beef supply chain. In: Iano Y, Arthur R, Saotome O, Kemper G, Padilha França R (eds) Proceedings of the 5th Brazilian Technology Symposium. BTSym 2019. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 201. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57548-9_47

Feng H, Wang X, Duan Y, Zhang J, Zhang X (2020) Applying blockchain technology to improve agri-food traceability: a review of development methods, benefits and challenges. J Clean Prod 260:121031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121031

Fu H, Zhao C, Cheng C, Ma H (2020) Blockchain-based agri-food supply chain management: case study in China. Int Food Agribus Manag Rev 23(5):667–679

Galvez JF, Mejuto JC, Simal-Gandara J (2018) Future challenges on the use of blockchain for food traceability analysis. Trends Anal Chem 107:222–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.08.011

Gao K, Liu Y, Xu H, Han T (2020) Hyper-FTT: a food supply-chain trading and traceability system based on hyperledger fabric. Commun Comput Inf Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-2777-7_53

Garaus M, Treiblmaier H (2021) The influence of blockchain-based food traceability on retailer choice: the mediating role of trust. Food Control. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108082

George RV, Harsh HO, Ray P, Babu AK (2019) Food quality traceability prototype for restaurants using blockchain and food quality data index. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118021

González-Puetate I, Marín Tello CL, Reyes Pineda H (2022) Agri-food safety optimized by blockchain technology: review. Revista Facultad Nacional de Agronomía Medellín https://doi.org/10.15446/rfnam.v75n1.95760

Gopi K, Mazumder D, Sammut J, Saintilan N (2019) Determining the provenance and authenticity of seafood: a review of current methodologies. Trends Food Sci Technol 91:294–304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.010

Grecuccio J, Giusto E, Fiori F, Rebaudengo M (2020) Combining blockchain and iot: food-chain traceability and beyond. Energies. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13153820

Guo M, Liu XJ, Zhang W (2018) Using blockchain technology in human food chain provenance. WIT Trans Built Environ 179:391–396. https://doi.org/10.2495/UG180361

Haroon A, Basharat M, Khattak AM, Ejaz W (2019) Internet of Things platform for transparency and traceability of food supply chain. In: 2019 IEEE 10th annual information technology, electronics and mobile communication conference, IEMCON 2019, pp 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMCON.2019.8936158

Hegde B, Ravishankar B, Appaiah M (2020) Agricultural supply chain management using blockchain technology. In: 2020 international conference on mainstreaming block chain implementation. In: 2020 International conference on mainstreaming block chain implementation (ICOMBI), Bengaluru, India. pp 1–4. https://doi.org/10.23919/ICOMBI48604.2020.9203259

Hew J-J, Wong L-W, Tan GW-H, Ooi K-B, Lin B (2020) The blockchain-based Halal traceability systems: a hype or reality? Supply Chain Manag 25(6):863–879. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-01-2020-0044

Hong W, Mao J, Wu L, Pu X (2021) Public cognition of the application of blockchain in food safety management—Data from China's Zhihu platform. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127044

Hu S, Huang S, Huang J, Su J (2021) Blockchain and edge computing technology enabling organic agricultural supply chain: a framework solution to trust crisis. Comput Ind Eng. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.107079

Huang L-Y, Cai J-F, Lee T-C, Weng M-H (2020) A study on the development trends of the energy system with blockchain technology using patent analysis. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12052005

Iftekhar A, Cui X, Hassan M, Afzal W (2020) Application of blockchain and internet of things to ensure tamper-proof data availability for food safety. J Food Qual. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5385207

Jaiyen J, Pongnumkul S, Chaovalit P (2020) A proof-of-concept of farmer-to-consumer food traceability on blockchain for local communities. In: 2020 international conference on computer science and its application in agriculture, ICOSICA 2020. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOSICA49951.2020.9243172

Ji L, Guo J, Ma Z (2020) An online cold-chain monitoring system powered by miniature smart tag and blockchain. In: 5th international conference on universal village. https://doi.org/10.1109/UV50937.2020.9426190

Johng H, Kim D, Park G, Hong JE, Hill T, Chung L (2020) Enhancing business processes with trustworthiness using blockchain: a goal-oriented approach. In: ACM symposium on applied computing, 61–68. https://doi.org/10.1145/3341105.3374022

Kamble SS, Gunasekaran A, Sharma R (2020) Modeling the blockchain enabled traceability in agriculture supply chain. Int J Inf Manag. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.023

Kamilaris A, Fonts A, Prenafeta-Boldú FX (2019) The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. Trends Food Sci Technol 91:640–652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034

Karunanayaka C, Vidanagamachchi K, Wickramarachchi R (2020) Transforming agriculture supply chain with technology adoption: a critical review of literature. In: Proceedings of the IEOM, 1163–1170.

Katsikouli P, Wilde AS, Dragoni N, Høgh-Jensen H (2021) On the benefits and challenges of blockchains for managing food supply chains. J Sci Food Agric 101(6):2175–2181. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10883

Kirby A (2023) Exploratory bibliometrics: using VOSViewer as a preliminary research tool. Publications 11(1):10. https://doi.org/10.3390/publications11010010

Köhler S, Pizzol M (2020) Technology assessment of blockchain-based technologies in the food supply chain. J Clean Prod 269:122193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122193

Kouhizadeh M, Saberi S, Sarkis J (2021) Blockchain technology and the sustainable supply chain: theoretically exploring adoption barriers. Int J Prod Econ. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107831

Kramer MP, Bitsch L, Hanf J (2021) Blockchain and its impacts on agri-food supply chain network management. Sustainability 13(4):1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042168

Kshetri N (2019) Blockchain and the economics of food safety. IT Professional 21(3):63–66. https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2019.2906761

Kshetri N, Defranco J (2020) The economics behind food supply blockchains. Computer 53(12):106–110. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2020.3021549

Kumar A, Ghode DJ, Jain R (2022) An adoption of blockchain technology in agri food supply chain: an overview. Lect Notes Mech Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7059-6_15

Kumar A, Srivastava SK, Singh S (2022b) How blockchain technology can be a sustainable infrastructure for the agrifood supply chain in developing countries. J Glob Oper Strategic Sourc. https://doi.org/10.1108/jgoss-08-2021-0058

Kumar A (2021) Improvement of public distribution system efficiency applying blockchain technology during pandemic outbreak COVID-19. J Hum Logist Supply Chain Manage 11(1):1–28

Kumar A, Liu R, Shan Z (2020) Is blockchain a silver bullet for supply chain management? Technical challenges and research opportunities. Decis Sci 51(1):8–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/deci.12396

Kumarathunga M (2020). Improving farmers' participation in agri supply chains with blockchain and smart contracts. In: 2020 7th international conference on software defined systems, 139–144. https://doi.org/10.1109/SDS49854.2020.9143913

Kushwah S, Dhir A, Sagar M, Gupta B (2019) Determinants of organic food consumption. A systematic literature review on motives and barriers. Appetite 143:104402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104402

Lara Gracia MA (2020) Blockchain technology applied to food and feed safety recalls. In: 24th world multi-conference on systemics, cybernetics and informatics, 1, 132–137.

Lezoche M, Panetto H, Kacprzyk J, Hernandez JE, Alemany Díaz MME (2020) Agri-food 4.0: A survey of the supply chains and technologies for the future agriculture. Comput Ind. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103187

Li Y, Chu X, Tian D, Feng J, Mu W (2020) A traceability architecture for the fresh food supply chain based on blockchain technology in China. Commun Comput Info Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-8083-3_31

Li K, Lee J, Gharehgozli A (2021) Blockchain in food supply chains: a literature review and synthesis analysis of platforms, benefits and challenges. Int J Prod Res. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1970849

Lin Q, Wang H, Pei X, Wang J (2019) Food safety traceability system based on blockchain and EPCIS. IEEE Access 7:20698–20707. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2897792

Lin W, Huang X, Fang H, Wang V, Hua Y, Wang J, Yin H, Yi D, Yau L (2020) Blockchain technology in current agricultural systems: From techniques to applications. IEEE Access 8:143920–143937. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2020.3014522

Lin W, Ortega DL, Ufer D, Caputo V, Awokuse T (2022) Blockchain-based traceability and demand for US beef in China. Appl Econ Perspect Policy 44(1):253–272

Liu P, Long Y, Song H-C, He Y-D (2020) Investment decision and coordination of green agri-food supply chain considering information service based on blockchain and big data. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123646

Liu Y, Ma X, Shu L, Hancke GP, Abu-Mahfouz AM (2021) From industry 4.0 to Agriculture 40: current status, enabling technologies, and research challenges. IEEE Trans Ind Inform 17(6):4322–4334. https://doi.org/10.1109/TII.2020.3003910

Liu W, Shao X, Wu C, Qiao P (2021b) A systematic literature review on applications of information and communication technologies and blockchain technologies for precision agriculture development. J Clean Prod 298:126763. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126763

Longo F, Nicoletti L, Padovano A (2020) Estimating the impact of blockchain adoption in the food processing industry and supply chain. Int J Food Eng 16:5–6. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijfe-2019-0109

Madumidha S, Siva Ranjani P, Vandhana U, Venmuhilan B (2019) A theoretical implementation: agriculture-food supply chain management using blockchain technology. ICMICPWN 2019:174–178. https://doi.org/10.1109/IMICPW.2019.8933270

Makarov EI, Polyansky KK, Makarov ME, Nikolaeva YR, Shubina EA (2019) Conceptual approaches to the quality system of dairy products based on the blockchain technology. Stud Comput Intell. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13397-9_109

Malik S, Kanhere SS, Jurdak R (2018) ProductChain: scalable blockchain framework to support provenance in supply chains. In: IEEE 17th international symposium on network computing and applications (NCA), Cambridge, MA, USA, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1109/nca.2018.8548322

Mangla SK, Kazancoglu Y, Ekinci E, Liu M, Özbiltekin M, Sezer MD (2021) Using system dynamics to analyze the societal impacts of blockchain technology in milk supply chains refer. Transp Res Part E https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2021.102289

Mao D, Wang F, Hao Z, Li H (2018) Credit evaluation system based on blockchain for multiple stakeholders in the food supply chain. Int J Environ Res Public Health. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15081627

Marchese A, Tomarchio O (2022) A blockchain-based system for agri-food supply chain traceability management. SN Comput Sci. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-022-01148-3

Marchesi L, Mannaro K, Marchesi M, Tonelli R (2022) Automatic generation of ethereum-based smart contracts for agri-food traceability system. IEEE Access 10:50363–50383. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3171045

Mavilia R, Pisani R (2021) Blockchain for agricultural sector: the case of South Africa. Afr J Sci Technol Innov 14(3):845–851. https://doi.org/10.1080/20421338.2021.1908660

Meidayanti K, Arkeman Y, Sugiarto. (2019) Analysis and design of beef supply chain traceability system based on blockchain technology. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/335/1/012012

Mezquita Y, González-Briones A, Casado-Vara R, Chamoso P, Prieto J, Corchado JM (2020) Blockchain-based architecture: a MAS proposal for efficient agri-food supply chains. In: Advances in intelligent systems and computing, vol 1006. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24097-4_11

Mirabelli G, Solina V (2020) Blockchain and agricultural supply chains traceability: research trends and future challenges. Procedia Manuf 42:414–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.02.054

Mondal S, Wijewardena KP, Karuppuswami S, Kriti N, Kumar D, Chahal P (2019) Blockchain inspired RFID-based information architecture for food supply chain. IEEE Internet Things J 6(3):5803–5813. https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2019.2907658

Mor RS, Bhardwaj A, Singh S (2018) A structured-literature-review of the supply chain practices in Dairy industry. J Oper Supply Chain Manag 11(1):14–25

Moral-Munoz JA, Liu X, Santisteban-Espejo A, Cobo MJ (2020) Software tools for conducting bibliometric analysis in science: An up-to-date review. Profesional De La Informacion. https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2020.ene.03

Moudoud H, Cherkaoui S, Khoukhi L (2019) An IoT blockchain architecture using oracles and smart contracts: the use-case of a food supply chain. In: IEEE interantional symposium on personal, indoor and mobile radio communications. https://doi.org/10.1109/PIMRC.2019.8904404

Mukherjee P, Pradhan C (2021) Blockchain 1.0 to blockchain 4.0—the evolutionary transformation of blockchain technology. In: Panda SK, Jena AK, Swain SK, Satapathy SC (eds) Blockchain technology: applications and challenges. Intelligent systems reference library, vol 203. Springer, Cham, pp 29–49. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-69395-4_3

Mukherjee AA, Singh RK, Mishra R, Bag S (2021) Application of blockchain technology for sustainability development in agricultural supply chain: justification framework. Oper Manag Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-021-00180-5

Musah S, Medeni TD, Soylu D (2019) Assessment of role of innovative technology through blockchain technology in Ghana's cocoa beans food supply chains. In: 3rd international symposium on multi-disciplinary studies and innovation technology. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISMSIT.2019.8932936

Nakamoto S (2008) Bitcoin: a peer-to-peer electronic cash system. https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf. Accessed on august 16, 2021

Niknejad N, Ismail W, Bahari M, Hendradi R, Salleh AZ (2021) Mapping the research trends on blockchain technology in food and agriculture industry: a bibliometric analysis. Environ Technol Innov 21:101272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101272

Ofulue J, Benyoucef M (2022) Data monetization: insights from a technology-enabled literature review and research agenda. Manag Rev Quart. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-022-00309-1

Oguntegbe KF, di Paola N, Vona R (2022) Behavioural antecedents to blockchain implementation in agrifood supply chain management: A thematic analysis. Technol Soc 68:101927. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.101927

Orjuela KG, Gaona-García PA, Marin CEM (2021) Towards an agriculture solution for product supply chain using blockchain: case study Agro-chain with BigchainDB. Acta Agric Scand Sect B 71(1):1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2020.1840618

Park A, Li H (2021) The effect of blockchain technology on supply chain sustainability performances. Sustainability 13(4):1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041726

Patel N, Shukla A, Tanwar S, Singh D (2021) KRanTi: Blockchain-based farmer's credit scheme for agriculture-food supply chain. Trans Emerg Telecommun Technol. https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.4286

Patelli N, Mandrioli M (2020) Blockchain technology and traceability in the agrifood industry. J Food Sci 85(11):3670–3678. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.15477

Pawar MK, Patil P, Hiremath PS, Hegde VS, Agarwal S, Naveenkumar PB (2021) Scalable blockchain framework for a food supply chain. Lect Notes Electr Eng. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6977-1_35

Pearson S, May D, Leontidis G, Swainson M, Brewer S, Bidaut L, Frey JG, Parr G, Maull R, Zisman A (2019) Are distributed ledger technologies the panacea for food traceability? Glob Food Sec 20:145–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2019.02.002

Peña M, Llivisaca J, Siguenza-Guzman L (2020) Blockchain and its potential applications in food supply chain management in ecuador. In: Advances in intelligent systems and computing (Vol. 1066). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32022-5_10

Pooja S, Meeradevi, Mundada MR (2020) Analysis of agricultural supply chain management for traceability of food products using blockchain-ethereum technology. In: 2020 IEEE international conference on distributed computing, VLSI, Electrical circuits and robotics, DISCOVER 2020 - Proceedings, pp 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1109/DISCOVER50404.2020.9278029

Pranto TH, Noman AA, Mahmud A, Haque AB (2021) Blockchain and smart contract for IoT enabled smart agriculture. PeerJ Comput Sci 7:1–29. https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ-CS.407

Prashar D, Jha N, Jha S, Lee Y, Joshi GP (2020) Blockchain-based traceability and visibility for agricultural products: a decentralized way of ensuring food safety in India. Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083497

Putri AN, Hariadi M, Wibawa AD (2020) Smart agriculture using supply chain management based on hyperledger blockchain. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/466/1/012007

Qian J, Dai B, Wang B, Zha Y, Song Q (2022) Traceability in food processing: problems, methods, and performance evaluations- a review. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 62(3):679–692. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2020.1825925

Quayson M, Bai C, Sarkis J (2021) Technology for social good foundations: a perspective from the smallholder farmer in sustainable supply chains. IEEE Trans Eng Manage 68(3):894–898. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2020.2996003

Queiroz MM, Telles R, Bonilla SH (2020) Blockchain and supply chain management integration: a systematic review of the literature. Supply Chain Manag. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2018-0143

Rana RL, Tricase C, De Cesare L (2021) Blockchain technology for a sustainable agri-food supply chain. British Food J. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-09-2020-0832

Rejeb A, Rejeb K, Abdollahi A, Zailani S, Iranmanesh M, Ghobakhloo M (2022) Digitalization in food supply chains: a bibliometric review and key-route main path analysis. Sustainability 14(1):83. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010083

Rejeb A, Keogh JG, Zailani S, Treiblmaier H, Rejeb K (2020) Blockchain technology in the food industry: a review of potentials. Challenges Fut Res Direct Logist 4(4):27. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics4040027

Remondino M, Zanin A (2022) Logistics and agri-food: digitization to increase competitive advantage and sustainability literature review and the case of Italy. Sustainability 14(2):787

Rijanto A (2020) Business financing and blockchain technology adoption in agroindustry. J Sci Technol Policy Manag 12(2):215–235. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-03-2020-0065

Rocha GDSR, de Oliveira L, Talamini E (2021) Blockchain applications in agribusiness: a systematic review. Fut Internet. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi13040095

Rogerson M, Parry GC (2020) Blockchain: case studies in food supply chain visibility. Supply Chain Mgmt 25(5):601–614. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-08-2019-0300

Ronaghi MH (2020) A blockchain maturity model in agricultural supply chain. Inf Proc Agric. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2020.10.004

Schäfer N (2022) Making transparency transparent: a systematic literature review to define and frame supply chain transparency in the context of sustainability. Manag Rev Quart 73(2):579–604. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-021-00252-7

Saji AC, Vijayan A, Sundar AJ, Baby Syla L (2020) Permissioned blockchain-based agriculture network in rootnet protocol. Adv Intell Syst Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0324-5_23

Salah K, Nizamuddin N, Jayaraman R, Omar M (2019) Blockchain-based soybean traceability in agricultural supply chain. IEEE Access 7:73295–73305. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2918000

Samal A, Pradhan BB (2019) Boundary traceability conditions in food supply chains using block chain technology. Int J Psychosoc Rehabil 23(6):121–126

Sander F, Semeijn J, Mahr D (2018) The acceptance of blockchain technology in meat traceability and transparency. British Food J 120(9):2066–2079. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-07-2017-0365

Santhi AR, Muthuswamy P (2022) Influence of blockchain technology in manufacturing supply chain and logistics. Logistics 6(1):15. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics6010015

Sathya D, Nithyaroopa S, Jagadeesan D, Jacob IJ (2021) Block-chain technology for food supply chains. In: 3rd ICICTVMN, 212–219. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICV50876.2021.9388478

Saurabh S, Dey K (2021) Blockchain technology adoption, architecture, and sustainable agri-food supply chains. J Clean Prod. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124731

Shahbazi Z, Byun Y-C (2021) A procedure for tracing supply chains for perishable food based on blockchain, machine learning and fuzzy logic. Electronics 10(1):1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10010041

Shahid A, Almogren A, Javaid N, Al-Zahrani FA, Zuair M, Alam M (2020) Blockchain-based agri-food supply chain: a complete solution. IEEE Access 8:69230–69243. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2986257

Shahzad A, Zhang K (2021) An integrated IoT-blockchain implementation for end-to-end supply chain. Adv Intell Syst Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63089-8_65

Shaikh S, Butala M, Butala R, Creado M (2019) AgroVita using Blockchain. In: 2019 IEEE 5th ICCT. https://doi.org/10.1109/I2CT45611.2019.9033705

Shakhbulatov D, Arora A, Dong Z, Rojas-Cessa R (2019) Blockchain implementation for analysis of carbon footprint across food supply chain. In: 2019 IEEE international conference on blockchain (Blockchain), Atlanta, GA, USA, 2019, pp. 546–551, https://doi.org/10.1109/Blockchain.2019.00079.

Shew AM, Snell HA, Nayga RM, Lacity MC (2022) Consumer valuation of blockchain traceability for beef in the United States. Appl Econ Perspect Policy 44(1):299–323. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13157

Shih D-H, Lu K-C, Shih Y-T, Shih P-Y (2019) A simulated organic vegetable production and marketing environment by using Ethereum. Electronics. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics8111341

Shivendra CK, Tripathi MK, Maktedar DD (2021) Block chain technology in agriculture product supply chain. In: International conference on AISS, 1325–1329. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIS50930.2021.9395886

Shwetha AN, Prabodh CP (2021) A comprehensive review of blockchain based solutions in food supply chain management. In: 5th international conference on CMC, 519–525. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCMC51019.2021.9418305

Singh V, Sharma SK (2022) Application of blockchain technology in shaping the future of food industry based on transparency and consumer trust. J Food Sci Technol. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-022-05360-0

Song L, Wang X, Merveille N (2020) Research on blockchain for sustainable E-agriculture. In: 2020 IEEE technology and engineering management conference. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEMSCON47658.2020.9140121

Spadoni R, Nanetti M, Bondanese A, Rivaroli S (2019) Innovative solutions for the wine sector: the role of startups. Wine Econ Policy 8(2):165–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wep.2019.08.001

Stranieri S, Riccardi F, Meuwissen MPM, Soregaroli C (2021) Exploring the impact of blockchain on the performance of agri-food supply chains. Food Control. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107495

Sudha V, Kalaiselvi R, Shanmughasundaram P (2021) Blockchain based solution to improve the Supply Chain Management in Indian agriculture. In: International conference on AISS, 1289–1292. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIS50930.2021.9395867

Tan A, Gligor D, Ngah A (2022) Applying blockchain for Halal food traceability. Int J Log Res Appl 25(6):947–964. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1825653

Tao Q, Chen Q, Ding H, Adnan I, Huang X, Cui X (2021) Cross-department secures data sharing in food industry via blockchain-cloud fusion scheme. Secur Commun Netw. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6668339

Tayal A, Solanki A, Kondal R, Nayyar A, Tanwar S, Kumar N (2021) Blockchain-based efficient communication for food supply chain industry: Transparency and traceability analysis for sustainable business. Int J Commun Syst 34(4):e4696. https://doi.org/10.1002/dac.4696

Terzi S, Zacharaki A, Nizamis A, Votis K, Ioannidis D, Tzovaras D, Stamelos I (2019) Transforming the supply-chain management and industry logistics with blockchain smart contracts. ACM Int Conf Proc Ser 10(1145/3368640):3368655

Thakare P, Dighore N, Chopkar A, Chauhan A, Bhagat D, Tote M (2021) Implementation of block chain technology in public distribution system. Adv Intell Syst Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49336-3_21

Thejaswini S, Ranjitha KR (2020) Blockchain in agriculture by using decentralized peer to peer networks. In: Proceedings of 4th ICISC, 600–606. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISC47916.2020.9171083

Torky M, Hassanein AE (2020) Integrating blockchain and the internet of things in precision agriculture: Analysis, opportunities, and challenges. Comput Electron Agric. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105476

Tranfield D, Denyer D, Smart P (2003) Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British J Manag. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375

Tsang YP, Choy KL, Wu CH, Ho GTS, Lam HY (2019) Blockchain-driven IoT for food traceability with an integrated consensus mechanism. IEEE Access 7:129000–129017. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2940227

Varavallo G, Caragnano G, Bertone F, Vernetti-Prot L, Terzo O (2022) Traceability platform based on green blockchain: an application case study in dairy supply chain. Sustainability 14(6):3321. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063321

Vern P, Miftah N, Panghal A (2022) Digital technology: implementation challenges and strategies in agri-food supply chain. Agri-Food 4:17–30. https://doi.org/10.1108/s1877-636120220000027002

Vern P, Panghal A, Mor RS, Kamble SS, Islam MS, Khan S, a. R. (2023) Influential barriers to blockchain technology implementation in agri-food supply chain. Oper Manag Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-023-00388-7

Vincent H, Jack B, Gagneja KK (2020) Food network system secured with blockchain. Proc IEEE Southeastcon. https://doi.org/10.1109/SoutheastCon44009.2020.9249738

Violino S, Pallottino F, Sperandio G, Figorilli S, Antonucci F, Ioannoni V, Fappiano D, Costa C (2019) Are the innovative electronic labels for extra virgin olive oil sustainable, traceable, and accepted by consumers? Foods. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8110529

Vivaldini M (2021) Blockchain in operations for food service distribution: steps before implementation. Int J Logist Manag 32(3):995–1029. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-07-2020-0299

Vu N, Ghadge A, Bourlakis M (2021) Blockchain adoption in food supply chains: a review and implementation framework. Prod Plann Control. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2021.1939902

Wallace CA, Manning L (2020) Food provenance: assuring product integrity and identity. CAB Rev: Perspect Agric Vet Sci Nutr Nat Resour 15(32). https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR202015032

Wang L, Xu L, Zheng Z, Liu S, Li X, Cao L, Li J, Sun C (2021) Smart contract-based agricultural food supply chain traceability. IEEE Access 9:9296–9307. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3050112

Wang Y, Chen K, Hao M, Yang B (2020) Food safety traceability method based on blockchain technology. J Phys Conf Ser. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1634/1/012025

Wu X-Y, Fan Z-P, Cao B-B (2021) An analysis of strategies for adopting blockchain technology in the fresh product supply chain. Int J Prod Res. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1894497

Wünsche JF, Fernqvist F (2022) The potential of blockchain technology in the transition towards sustainable food systems. Sustainability 14(13):7739. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137739

Xie J, Wan C, Tolón Becerra A, Li M (2022) Streamlining traceability data generation in apple production using integral management with machine-to-machine connections. Agronomy 12(4):921. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12040921

Xiong H, Dalhaus T, Wang P, Huang J (2020) Blockchain technology for agriculture: applications and rationale. Front Blockchain. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2020.00007

Xu S, Zhao X, Liu Z (2020) The impact of blockchain technology on the cost of food traceability supply chain. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/615/1/012003

Yadav VS, Singh AR, Raut RD, Govindarajan UH (2020) Blockchain technology adoption barriers in the Indian agricultural supply chain: an integrated approach. Resourc, Conserv Recycl. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104877

Yadav VS, Singh A, Raut RD, Mangla SK, Luthra S, Kumar A (2022) Exploring the application of Industry 40 technologies in the agricultural food supply chain: a systematic literature review. Comput Ind Eng 169:108304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2022.108304

Yang C, Sun Z (2020). Data management system based on blockchain technology for agricultural supply chain. In: IEEE ICDMW, 907–911. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDMW51313.2020.00130

Yang J, Sun X, Shi T, Zhang Y (2021) Research on the trust relationship of Jilin province agricultural products supply chain enterprises based on the theory of green environmental protection block chain. IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci 714(2):022034. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/714/2/022034

Yogarajan L, Masukujjaman M, Ali MH, Khalid N, Osman LH, Alam SS (2023) Exploring the hype of blockchain adoption in agri-food supply chain: a systematic literature review. Agriculture 13(6):1173. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13061173

Zhang X, Sun P, Xu J, Wang X, Yu J, Zhao Z, Dong Y (2020) Blockchain-based safety management system for the grain supply chain. IEEE Access 8:36398–36410. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2975415

Zhao G, Liu S, Lopez C, Lu H, Elgueta S, Chen H, Boshkoska BM (2019) Blockchain technology in agri-food value chain management: A synthesis of applications, challenges and future research directions. Comput Ind 109:83–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2019.04.002

Zhou X, Zheng F, Zhou X, Chan KC, Gururajan R, Wu Z, Zhou E (2020) From traceability to provenance of agricultural products through blockchain. Web Intell 18(3):179–180. https://doi.org/10.3233/WEB-200440

Zouari D, Ruel S, Viale L (2021) Does digitalising the supply chain contribute to its resilience? Int J Phys Distrib Logist Manag 51(2):149–180. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-01-2020-0038

Authors and Affiliations

Priyanka Vern[1] · **Anupama Panghal[1]** · **Rahul S Mor[1,2]** · **Sachin S. Kamble[3]**

✉ Anupama Panghal
anupamaniftem@gmail.com

✉ Rahul S Mor
dr.rahulmor@gmail.com

1   National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship and Management (NIFTEM-K), Kundli (Sonepat), India

2   Business Systems and Operations, Faculty of Business and Law, University of Northampton, Northampton, UK

3   EDHEC Business School, 59100 Roubaix, France