Tree Genetics & Genomes (2010) 6:489–502
DOI 10.1007/s11295-009-0266-1

REVIEW

Identification of a major QTL for time of initial vegetative budbreak in apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.)

Maria M. van Dyk · Mogamat Khashief Soeker · Iwan F. Labuschagne · David Jasper G. Rees

Received: 18 June 2009 / Revised: 7 December 2009 / Accepted: 11 December 2009 / Published online: 19 January 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Abstract In the Western Cape region of South Africa, dormancy release and the onset of growth does not occur normally in apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) trees during spring due to the mild winter conditions experienced and fluctuations in temperatures experienced during and between winters. In this region, the application of chemicals to induce the release of dormancy forms part of standard orchard management. Increasing awareness of the environmental impact of chemical sprays and global warming has led to the demand for new apple cultivars better adapted to local climatic conditions. We report the construction of framework genetic maps in two F1 crosses using the low chilling cultivar 'Anna' as common male parent and the higher chill requiring cultivars 'Golden Delicious' and 'Sharpe's Early' as female parents. The maps were constructed using 320 simple sequence repeats, including 116 new markers developed from expressed sequence tags. These maps were used to identify quantitative trait loci (QTL) for time of initial vegetative budbreak (IVB), a dormancy related characteristic. Time of IVB was assessed four times over a 6-year period in 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' seedlings kept in seedling bags under shade in the nursery. The trait was assessed for 3 years on adult full-sib trees derived from a cross between 'Sharpe's Early' and 'Anna' as well as for 3 years on replicates of these seedlings obtained by clonal propagation onto rootstocks. A single major QTL for time of IVB was identified on linkage group (LG) 9. This QTL remained consistent in different genetic backgrounds and at different developmental stages. The QTL may co-localize with a QTL for leaf break identified on LG 3 by Conner et al. (1998), a LG that was, after the implementation of transferable microsatellite markers, shown to be homologous to the LG now known to be LG 9 (Kenis and Keulemans 2004). These results contribute towards a better understanding regarding the genetic control of IVB in apple and will also be used to elucidate the genetic basis of other dormancy related traits such as time of initial reproductive budbreak and number of vegetative and reproductive budbreak.

Keywords Microsatellites · Marker-assisted selection · Dormancy

Communicated by E. Dirlewanger

M. M. van Dyk (✉) · M. K. Soeker · D. J. G. Rees
Department of Biotechnology, University of the Western Cape,
Private Bag X17,
Bellville 7535, South Africa
e-mail: daleen.vandyk@up.ac.za

I. F. Labuschagne
Agricultural Research Council (ARC) Infruitec-Nietvoorbij,
Private Bag X5026,
Stellenbosch 7599, South Africa

I. F. Labuschagne
Colors Fruit (SA) (Pty) Ltd,
3rd Floor Newlink Centre, New Street,
Paarl 7646, South Africa

M. M. van Dyk
Department of Genetics, University of Pretoria,
Lynwood Road,
Pretoria 0002, South Africa

Introduction

The domesticated apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) has been distributed into diverse climatic conditions worldwide for commercial production of fruit. Apple trees need exposure to cold temperatures, referred to as chill unit (CU) accumulation during winter, in order for budbreak to

occur promptly and uniformly after winter (Cook and Jacobs 2000). In warmer production areas, such as the Western Cape region of South Africa, the application of dormancy breaking chemicals, forming part of standard orchard management, enable successful production of high chilling requiring apple cultivars in suboptimal environmental conditions. Failure to apply dormancy-breaking chemicals can result in prolonged dormancy symptoms, which include extended rest, less synchronized breaking of buds, and reduced branching (Labuschagné et al. 2002b). An increasing awareness of both global temperature increase and the negative effects associated with the use of chemical sprays (for both pest and disease resistance and growth regulation) has resulted in the need to breed cultivars better adapted to current and future environmental conditions.

The breeding of new cultivars using conventional breeding methods is a time-consuming process, especially in perennial tree species with a long juvenile phase such as apple. Markers linked to genes involved in apple disease resistance for a variety of pests and pathogens have been identified (Gardiner et al. 2007) and are already in use in breeding programs (Kellerhals et al. 2008; Tartarini and Sansavini 2003; Tartarini et al. 2000), through the implementation of marker-assisted breeding (MAB) and selection (MAS) that enables the selection of favorable genotypes at a very early seedling stage. The genetic determinants of dormancy-related characteristics, such as time of initial vegetative budbreak (IVB), are still poorly understood, and this hampers the genetic improvement of such characters using MAB. Dormancy characteristics can be controlled by factors residing within the bud itself, referred to as endodormancy, by factors in the plant but outside of the bud (paradormancy) and control by environmental factors (ectodormancy; Khan 1997; Lang et al. 1985). Although our study focused on time of IVB, a character related to endodormancy (Bradshaw and Stettler 1995), various other characteristics can be associated with dormancy, such as position and number of budbreak and budbreak duration.

Unravelling of the genetic basis of complex traits such as dormancy can be undertaken through the construction of a genetic linkage map followed by quantitative trait loci (QTL) identification (Falconer and Mackay 1996; Young 1996). A first attempt towards understanding the genetic control of 'leaf break' in apples through the identification of QTLs, was performed by Conner et al. (1998) using a population of 172 trees derived from a cross between 'Wijcik McIntosh' and NY 75441-58. Eight genomic regions on seven linkage groups (LGs) could be associated with time of budbreak. The genetic linkage map constructed during their investigation, however did not include transferable simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers, resulting in their inability to align this map with the now more commonly used LG numbering for apple genetic linkage maps (Maliepaard et al. 1998). Further investigation resulted in alignment of three LGs from these two maps, including one (LG 3) that was homologous to LG 9 of Maliepaard et al. (1998) and carried a QTL for leaf break (Kenis and Keulemans 2004). More recently Segura et al. (2007) used 123 seedlings derived from a cross between 'Starkrimson' and 'Granny Smith' to identify two QTLs for time of budbreak. The first on LG 8, corresponded to that identified on the corresponding LG 7 by Conner et al. (1998) (see Kenis and Keulemans 2004). The second QTL for time of budbreak identified by Segura et al. (2007) was on LG 6.

In the present study, genetic linkage maps were constructed for two mapping pedigrees with the low chilling requiring cultivar 'Anna' as common male parent. 'Anna' is one of only a few cultivars worldwide characterized by a low chilling requirement (CR) and with 'Dorsett Golden' was reported as varieties needing less than 300 h of chilling in Southern California (http://ucce.ucdavis.edu/files/filelibrary/5764/33384.pdf) and North and North Central Florida (Andersen and Crocker 2000). Both published SSR markers (Celton et al. 2009; Guilford et al. 1997; Hemmat et al. 2003, 1997, Liebhard et al. 2002; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006; Yamamoto et al. 2002a, b) and 116 new SSR markers, developed from expressed sequence tags (ESTs), were used for the construction of the genetic linkage maps used to identify a major QTL for time of IVB on LG 9.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Two F1 progenies, derived from crosses between the low chilling 'Anna' (common male parent) and the higher chill requiring 'Golden Delicious' (population A) and 'Sharpe's Early' (population B), containing 87 and 92 individuals, respectively, were used. Seedlings from population A were kept in seedling bags under shade netting in Groot Drakenstein (Western Cape, South Africa; 33°50′36″ S 18°58′39″ E). Seedlings in this population were cut back and re-grown to single shoots on a seasonal basis and no chemical treatment was used to induce budbreak. Seedlings from population B were planted in an orchard in Vyeboom (Western Cape, South Africa; 34°4′15″ S 19°4′47″ E) characterized by low winter chilling. Resulting trees were in their fifth growing season at the onset of this investigation. Seven clonal replicates from seedlings in population B and the two parental cultivars were grafted onto rootstocks (M793) and planted in seven randomized blocks in an adjacent orchard (34°8′21″ S 19°0′44″ E). Both sites are

characterized by warmer winters and fluctuating chilling accumulation between winters. At these sites CU accumulation varies between 500 and 1,000 CU annually. Chill units were calculated according to a modified Utah model found to be more suitable for local chilling conditions where negative CU values are not carried from one day to the next (Linsley-Noakes et al. 1994). Orchard management of adult and juvenile clonal trees from population B were typical of commercial practice, except that no pruning and tree growth manipulations, such as dormancy breaking chemicals, were applied.

Phenotypic assessment

The time of IVB was scored as the day on which the first green leaves emerged from the vegetative buds (day 1 being January 1; Labuschagné et al. 2002a, b). Phenotypic trait assessments were performed four times over a period of 6 years (1999, 2000, 2002, and 2004) on the 87 seedlings from population A. Trait assessment of population B was first performed during a 3-year period, from 1996 to 1998, on 60 adult trees, initially in their fifth growing season, followed by trait assessment on the seven clonally replicated juvenile trees of all 92 siblings from 1998 to 2000. The data obtained from population B has been used in previous studies (Labuschagné et al. 2002a, b) during which broad sense heritability of IVB was estimated between 0.62 and 0.92 in clonal trials on young seedlings and between 0.57 and 0.83 for adult seedling trees. We calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients to determine the relationship between different years of phenotypic trait assessment.

DNA extraction

Extraction of seedling and parental cultivar DNA were performed using the hexadecyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method described by Doyle and Doyle (1990) with the addition of polyvinylpyrollidone (Kim et al. 1997) in order to bind secondary plant products such as polyphenolics.

SSR marker development and implementation

Unigene sets obtained from the large public EST database (>240,000; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; Naik et al. 2006; Newcomb et al. 2006) for *Malus*, were searched for SSRs using the Tandem Repeats Finder algorithm (Benson 1999). SSRs were selected based on length of the repeat unit, number of repeats (>10 for di-, >7 for tri-, >5 for tetra- and penta-, and >3 for hexanucleotide repeats) and length of sequences flanking SSR regions. Conserved sequences flanking 196 selected SSRs (100 di-, 60 tri-, 25 tetra-, 5 penta-, and 6 hexanucleotide repeats) were used to design primers resulting in amplicons ranging between 100 and 450 bp in length. Newly developed SSR markers were tested on the three parental cultivars, 'Anna', 'Sharpe's Early', and 'Golden Delicious'.

Markers for map construction were selected based on map position as well as heterozygosity observed during previous studies. They included 238 previously published SSR markers (Celton et al. 2009; Guilford et al. 1997; Hemmat et al. 2003, 1997; Liebhard et al. 2002; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006; Yamamoto et al. 2002a, b), marker AG11 (unpublished data, A. Patocchi (ETH-Zürich, CH)) and marker *Md-EXP7* (Costa et al. 2008) and were initially screened for polymorphism over the three parental cultivars, 'Anna', 'Sharpe's Early', and 'Golden Delicious'.

SSR analysis

All SSR markers implemented in mapping populations were fluorescently labeled and up to 16 markers were multiplexed using both size and fluorescent dye (6-FAM™, VIC™, NED™, and PET™) differences. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) reactions were performed using the Qiagen multiplexing kit (QIAGEN Ltd., West Sussex, RH10 9NQ) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Resulting PCR products were prepared for capillary electrophoresis by adding 1 µl of a 1:10 diluted PCR product to 10 µl Hi-Di formamide containing 0.15 µl GeneScan™-500 LIZ™ size standard (Applied Biosystems). Genotyping was performed using the ABI Prism 310 and 3130 (16-capillary array system) Genetic Analyzers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Data collection and analysis were performed using GeneMapper®4 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Genetic linkage map construction

For both progenies, parental genetic linkage maps and integrated genetic linkage maps were constructed using JoinMap®4 (Van Ooijen 2006). A logarithm of the odds (LOD score) of 4 was used to define LGs and genetic distances between markers were calculated using the Kosambi mapping function. On the basis of previously mapped SSRs, LGs were numbered in accordance with the 17 LGs obtained by Maliepaard et al. (1998).

QTL analysis

QTL analysis was performed using MapQTL®5 (Van Ooijen 2004) using the average phenotypic value for the 4 years of phenotypic trait assessment performed on population A and the two 3-year periods of trait assessment performed on adult and juvenile trees from population B. Analyses were also performed separately for each year of

phenotypic trait assessment and in the case of clonal replicates, the mean value per genotype was used. Regions with potential QTL effects were identified using interval mapping with a step size of 1 cM. QTLs were declared significant if the maximum LOD, obtained after multiple rounds of MQM mapping, exceeded the genome wide (GW) LOD threshold (calculated with an error rate of 0.05 over 1,000 permutations). QTLs were characterized by the maximum LOD score and the percentage of phenotypic variation explained. For each QTL the differences in mean time of IVB associated with the different genotypic classes, *ac*, *ad*, *bc*, and *bd*, derived for an *ab* x *cd* cross, are reported. QTLs were graphically displayed as bars next to the LGs on which they were identified, with bars corresponding to a 95% confidence interval (LOD score drop of 0.5) and dotted lines corresponding to a 90% confidence interval (LOD score drop of 1).

Results

Phenotypic trait assessment

Bi-modal distribution patterns were observed during most years of phenotypic trait assessment (Fig. 1). The distribution patterns indicate budbreak was occurring earlier during consecutive years as trees matured. Significant levels of correlation were found between the different years during which phenotypic trait assessment were conducted (Table 1). High broad sense heritability values (h^2=0.69) for IVB were calculated by Labuschagné et al. (2002a).

SSR marker development and implementation

The amplification success of newly developed SSR markers was 86% (168 SSRs from a total of 196). From these, a total of 116 new SSR markers were polymorphic in at least one of the three parental cultivars used and were mapped in one or both mapping populations (Table 2). Of the 240 previously published markers, including 238 SSR markers (Celton et al. 2009; Guilford et al. 1997; Hemmat et al. 2003, 1997; Liebhard et al. 2002; Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006; Yamamoto et al. 2002a, b), marker AG11 (unpublished data, A. Patocchi (ETH-Zürich, CH)) and marker *Md-EXP7* (Costa et al. 2008), 232 markers yielded amplification products of which 204 markers were heterozygous in one or more of the three cultivars tested.

Designing new SSR markers so that the resulting amplicons vary in size, enabled effective multiplexing of up to 16 markers in one PCR reaction, greatly reducing the cost involved in the screening of mapping populations. Markers used within each multiplex are very flexible when using the QIAGEN multiplexing kit (QIAGEN Ltd., West Sussex, RH10 9NQ) that provides optimal reaction conditions that increases specificity and minimizes the effect of primer-dimers and non-specific artifacts often associated with multiplex PCR reactions. The ease with which different multiplexes could be assembled enabled easy assembly of new multiplexes containing highly informative markers for each specific mapping pedigree.

Genetic linkage map construction

The four parental maps constructed (Fig. 2) enabled the positioning of 286 SSR markers on 17 LGs corresponding to the number of chromosomes in the apple haploid genome. The number of SSR markers per LG range from ten SSR markers on LG 3 to 28 SSR markers on LG 10, with an average of 17 SSR markers per LG. The positioning of the 116 newly developed SSR markers (Table 2) range from two SSR markers on LG 1 to 15 SSR markers on LG 10.

Genetic linkage map construction allowed the positioning of five previously published but unmapped markers (Liebhard et al. 2002). CH01b09b was mapped to LG 4, CH01e09b was mapped to LG 10 and CH02h11b was mapped to LG 12 in both mapping populations. CH01e12$_1$ was mapped to LG 8 and CH05c02 was mapped to LG 11 in the 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' mapping population. Three markers were mapped to different LGs when compared to their location on previously published maps: (1) CH03e03 was mapped to LG 5 compared to LG 3 (Liebhard et al. 2002), most likely due to the amplification of a different locus as observed fragment sizes are slightly larger than published (a fragment size of 216 bp was observed in 'Prima' compared to the published 186 bp), (2) Hi23g12 was mapped to LG 15 compared to LG 8 (Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006) confirming results obtained by Patocchi et al. (2009); (3) CH05d04 was mapped to LG 5 compared to LG 12 (Liebhard et al. 2002), also most likely due to the amplification of a different locus as observed fragment sizes are slightly smaller than published (fragments of 154 and 175 bp were observed in 'Prima' compared to the published 176 and 186 bp. The marker CH05g07 (Liebhard et al. 2002) was found to amplify two loci, both mapping to LG 12. A locus amplified by the marker Hi03a03 (Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006) was confirmed to map onto LG 6 in both mapping populations used while a second locus amplified by the same marker was found to map to LG 14 in the 'Anna' x 'Sharpe's Early' mapping population, confirming structural homology between LG 6 and LG14 (Celton et al. 2009).

Population A

Of the 285 SSR markers screened on 87 seedlings from the 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' mapping pedigree, 260 markers



Fig. 1 Histogram showing the distribution of time of initial vegetative budbreak (IVB) observed in *1* 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' seedlings during 4 years of phenotypic trait assessment *a* 1999, *b* 2000, *c* 2002, and *d* 2004; *2* 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' juvenile trees during 3 years of phenotypic trait assessment *a* 1998, *b* 1999, and *c* 2000; and *3* 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' adult trees during 3 years of phenotypic trait assessment *a* 1996, *b* 1997, and *c* 1998

were positioned on the integrated F1 genetic linkage map (map coverage: 1,376.7 cM). Genetic linkage maps constructed for the parental cultivars 'Golden Delicious' (map coverage: 1,124.5 cM) and 'Anna' (map coverage: 1,292.6 cM) consisted of 163 (including 72 new SSRs) and 170 (including 71 new SSRs) markers, respectively. Parental maps were aligned using 92 SSR markers in common (Fig. 2).

Population B

The 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' genetic map was constructed using 230 SSRs genotyped over the 92 F1 seedlings. The integrated F1 genetic linkage map (map coverage: 1,242.6 cM) consisted of 207 mapped SSR markers. Genetic linkage maps constructed for the parental cultivars

Table 1 Pearson's correlation coefficients indicating phenotypic association ($P<0.0001$) between different years for time of initial vegetative budbreak (IVB)

Mapping population	Association between different years of phenotypic trait assessment					
	Years 1+2	Years 1+3	Years 2+3	Years 1+4	Years 2+4	Years 3+4
'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.70	0.78	0.67
'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' (Adult trees)	0.96	0.94	0.96			
'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' (Young seedlings)[a]	0.81	0.80	0.90			

[a] Clonal trial

Table 2 Summary of 116 new SSR markers, accession number, repeat motif, primers, resulting fragment sizes and genetic linkage map position

Marker	Repeat motif	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Segregating alleles scored			Genetic linkage maps					
							F1		Parental			
				'Anna'	'Golden Delicious'	'Sharpe's Early'	'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'	'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'	'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'		'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'	
									'Golden Delicious'	'Anna'	'Sharpe's Early'	'Anna'
SAmsCO865608	TC (13)	CAACAAGTGTGCCTCTGTGG	AGCAAGCAACAGATCAAGCC	160-168	160-164		1		1	1		
SAmsDR995748	TC (16.5)	TACACCAGCGCCACACCG	TGGCGAGCACGATGAGCG	314-334	334	334	1	1		1		1
SAmsCN495924	TC (14.5)	CTCTCAATGAGTCCCCTGC	AAACCCTGTGTTCATCTTCC	148-175	150-173		2		2			
SAmsCN581002	TC (10)	TGGAGGGAAAGGAGAAGCAG	CTTGGAAGCTTTCTGTCAGC	253-267	241-253		2		2	2		
SAmsCO904847	GTT (11.3)	GTGGGTGTGGTTTTTGATGG	AGCTAAAGGAGAGCTACACC	190-193	182	193	2	2		2		2
SAmsCN944528	GAC (11.7)	GACGACGGAAAGGAAGACG	ATTACGCTGTTGCAGAGAGC	204	204-214		2		2			
SAmsEB106592	TCC (8.7)	CTTGGAAGCCCAACGAACC	AGAGGAGCTTGTTGTTGAGG	236	233-236		2		2			
SAmsEE663746	GA (15.5)	TGGCAATACCCGTTCGACC	CCATCAAATACAAGCCCACC	305-307	305-317	315-317	3	3	3	3	3	
SAmsAU301301	CT (14.5)	GGCATAGCAATGCTTGAAGG	GAATAGCACAAAGGAGGTTGC	228-234	223-241	229	3		3	3		
SAmsCN944444	AAG (8.7)	TAGTGCAAGTACTGGGGCC	CATCGATAGAATAGGACGGC	371-374	371-378	374-376	3	3	3	3	3	3
SAmsEB132187	GGA (8.3)	TCTCCCTCACTCGACGTTG	GTTGCAGGAAGGAGTGTCG	243-250	253	250		4				4
SAmsCV128959	TC (11.5)	AAATAGTGTGGAAGACGCGG	CAATATACTAATGAGTCCTTCG	240	232-242		4		4			
SAmsCN579721	CT (14)	GATCCAAATCTCAAACCCTCC	GTTGAAGACGTGGTTGGGC	246-259	248-259	259	4	4	4	4		4
SAmsEB153928	CT (25)	CTCAAATCCCAGAAGATTATCC	GTCCTCGGAATCGTCCTCC	348-350	350-357	350-353	4	4	4	4	4	4
SAmsCO052033	CT (11.5)	TTGCCAATCCGCATTCGCC	TGAGGTTCCCGCCCTTGC	118	118-196		5		5			
SAmsCO756306	AAAT (5.8)	GTAAATATCACCACCACCGC	ACACAGAACGTCGTACATCG	180-184	180	180-186	5	5		5		5
SAmsCO416051	AG (16)	CCTCACTAAACGCATTGCAC	CGGTACGATGAGGATCATCC	120-133	120-130	130-133	5	5	5		5	
SAmsCN922831	TC (13)	TTTAGATTCGGAGAGGATACG	CTGCTTGGAATCCTCGAGC	293	290-293	293-295	5	5	5		5	
SAmsCN887525	TTTA (7.8)	TAGTAGCTACACACTCTTTCC	GCATTGCCTTGAGCTCCAG	207	207-214		5		5			
SAmsCN544835	AG (17.5)	AGGAGAGCTTTCTGCATTCC	AGCGCTATCCCCAGCTGC	301-303	303-305	301-303	5		5	5		
SAmsCN444942	CT (16.5)	GCTCTCAAAGTCTCTCCAGC	TACGGACTCTCTTTGGGGC	265-273	275		6			6		
SAmsEG631303	AT (25)	GGCATGTGAATATGGTGAGC	CCAATCAATGTCTTGCTTACC	330-351	327		6			6		
SAmsEB127535	GA (30)	AACACACACACCACCATTCG	TAGGAAGTCGACGTAGTCG	326-330	322-330	326	6	6	6	6		6
SAmsCV657225	TAT (10.3)	TCCCTGTCATCGAATGATGC	GCAAACCCAATCAGAAGGAC	193	193-198		6		6			
SAmsDT041144	AG (15)	AAATGCTGCAGTGAGGCCC	GAATTCCATCTAAACGAGAGC	349-351	349	349-360	6	6		6	6	6
SAmsCN927330	ACC (7.3)	TTAAACTGCCAAATTGCACGG	GTTGGGTATTTGCATGGTGG	438-443	431-438		7		7	7		
SAmsCN903950	AGA (14.3)	TTTCCCTTTTGGCCAGTGCA	GTTTGGGCCTCGATGATGG	306-319	297-319		7		7	7		
SAmsCO756781	CT (19.5)	ATAAGTTTAGGCTCATCTGCC	AAACCCATCCCACTTAAGGC	355-361	333-361	346-379	7	7		7	7	7
SAmsCO901343	(CT) 15.5	CACCTCTTCCCTCATCAGTC	CGACAAAGGAGACTGAGAGG	208-222	208	210-230	7	7		7	7	7
SAmsCN488733	TC (13)	CACAACCATTCCACCAAGTC	CAGCCGGAGCAGTCTACC	127-131	131-142		7			7		
SAmsEB127208	AG (14.5)	ATTCCTCTCAACCCCTATCC	CACAGTGCTGTTAAAGCTGG	479-491	491	479-491	7			7		

SAmsAB162040	TC (39.5)	GGAGTGCTATTAGCTCCTCC	TCCTTGAATCTCAACTCTAGG	266		266-272		7			7	
SAmsCV883434	TC (23)	CGAAACTGGTCGAAGAACCT	AAACTACACAGAGCAAGATGG	331-335	350-354		8		8	8		
SAmsEB151277	TC (29)	TCCTCAATCTCTCTCAATACC	GCGTTCTAGAGAGAGAAAGG	179-197	197-202	202-214	8	8	8	8	8	
SAmsCN891581	TCC (8)	CCAAAACTCCCACGACCGC	CCAGAGCTTGTAGGACTCG	294-297	294-null		8		8			
SAmsEB176883	TGCT (8.5)	AAAGCTGCTTGCTTGATTGC	ACCATCAGCTGGGTTCTCG	330-338	322	322-338	9	9		9	9	9
SAmsCX025465	GAC (10.7)	TGCTAGAGCTGCGTTCTCC	TCGCAGACTGCTCGCTGC	232-238	232-238	232	9	9				9
SAmsCO903298	TC (14)	TTGAGAAGCAATGCTGCCTC	TGCCACAGTTGGAAGGTGG	344	344-350	342-344	9		9			
SAmsEB149750	TC (19)	ATCAAGGTGTGAGTGTGTGC	AAGCTTGCATCTCTAGGTCC	258-263	255		9			9		
SAmsCO900452	GA (12.5)	CAAGGCATCTCCCTCATTGG	TACTACAGTTCCGATCAAAGC	291-314	293-295	311-314	9	9	9	9	9	9
SAmsEG631184	GA (10)	CTTATGGACCCTGCAAATGG	AGACTCTGTACATACATCTCC	447-464	447		9			9		
SAmsDR992457	AGC (13.7)	TCTCCAAGTGGACGAATCAG	TCCTCAGTGAAGACAAACCC	360-370	356-368	360-365	9	9	9	9	9	9
SAmsCO898678	CT (16)	CCCAAGTGCACCACATACAG	AGCTTCTGGCAGCAAGTGC	242	238-244	238-242	9	9	9		9	
SAmsDR999029	TC (14)	CGCCCTCACTCATTCAGTC	TCAACATGAACTTCAGTCGC	440	440-443	440	9		9			
SAmsCO865207	GA (13.5)	TGCACCAAATAAGCCGATCC	CAAGAAGTGCAACCAGTCGA	134-138	120		9					
SAmsCN444550	TGGAT (5)	AGCATCAAGCCAATCTTTAAGC	GTATGCTCTTCTTCTTCATGG	346-351	341	341		10				10
SAmsEB132791a	CT (17.5)	CACTACAGAACTCCTCATCC	GTGGGATGGAACCGAAACC	312	312-316		10		10			
SAmsEB132791b				344-350	340-350	344-350	10		10	10		
SAmsCO755814	CT (21)	AACATCAAGACAGAGAAGAGC	CGTCTTCTTCACAAACTCCG	263	257-263		10		10			
SAmsCN996777	CACCT (5)	TGACAACTATGATCGAAGTGG	TTT CATATCACATGACGTGGC	270-275	275	266-275	10	10		10	10	10
SAmsCN865016	CAT (14)	TTCTTCACACCCTTCAATCC	AAAGCGCCTGCGATTGCG	340-345	334-340	340-345	10	10		10		
SAmsU50187	GA (17.5)	ACCTGAGAGAGCTCCAAACG	GTGCGCCACGTCAAATACG	160-null	149-162	149-162	10	10	10	10	10	10
SAmsEB153442	CT (23.5)	GGTTCACAAGGCCAACTTTG	ATGGTTCGATCGGTTTAATGC	366-373	373	371-373	10	10		10		10
SAmsDR990381	TCT (9.7)	AAACACTACTGTGCTGGTGG	AGTCCACTTACTACTCCTCC	287-300	300	294-300	10	10		10	10	10
SAmsDR996792	CT (15)	AGGCTTCCTTCCTTTCTTCC	GGACCATTTGTGGTGGAGC	378-399	397	388-396	10	10		10	10	10
SAmsCO751676	TC (15)	TGTGGCTCTGGATGGTTCC	TACCAGTCCATCCGTATAGC	233	218	218-228		10			10	10
SAmsCN879152	ATC (7.3)	CGTTGGAGATGATCAGTACG	ACCTACAATAGTAGTGGAGAC	256-null	243-256		10			10		
SAmsCN489062	GA (13)	ACAACTTGGTTACGCGACAC	GAACAGATTAGGGTCGCTGG	296-300	284-314	284-296	10	10		10	10	10
SAmsDR994153	AG (14.5)	CACGAGGCGAAACCGATC	AGGTCCTCAGAACCTGAGC	465-472	463-465	465-472	10		10	10		
SAmsEB149851	AGA (10.3)	GAA CAG AGG GAA GCA GAC G	AGA AGT GGC AAC CAT GTT GC	187-190	190-202	187-202	10	10		10	10	10
SAmsCN877882	CTAGT (6.8)	AACTTGCTGAGAGAGTAATGG	CAACCAAAGGGCCTGAAGC	485	495-500	485-500	11	11	11		11	
SAmsEB128431	TAA (17)	ACGTAGTGATACCGGATTCG	AGAGCTAGCTAGAGATATTCC	335	342-null	322-342		11			11	
SAmsDR994274	ACC (12.3)	CCACCCACAAAACATACACC	TGCTGTTGTTGGTGATGTGG	228	221-228		11		11			
SAmsDR993043	TC (13.5)	CACGAGGGTAAGCTCCCC	TTGGGGTTATTGCTCTGACG	298-314	279-304	293-307	11	11	11	11	11	11
SAmsCN942929	GTTT (5)	ACGCTAGGAGAGAGGAACG	GAGCATTCCGTATTAAATCCG	519-524	524-529	524	11	11	11	11		11
SAmsCN580620	CGG (7)	TGCGGTCAACGATGTCTTCG	AAGGTACAAGCCCGCAAAGG	380	377-380		12		12			
SAmsEB139609	AG (32)	ACCATATACATCTCTCTCTGC	TTCAGAAGCTGTTGTTGTTGG	322-334	313-358	340-358	12	12	12	12	12	12
SAmsCN943613	CTT (7.3)	TAGCAGAAACCAGCAGATGG	GAAGGACCCGAATTGGAGC	165-174	174		12			12		
SAmsDR995002	GAT (8)	ATCTGATGGTGCATCGGTAG	TTAGGGTCTTCTTGTCACGC	329-332	332	332	12	12		12		12
SAmsCN492206	TTG (10.7)	ACATACTGGAGTCTGCGAGC	CAATACGCTAGTGAAGACGC	398	398-471		13		13			
SAmsCO052555	AT (12.5)	GAAGTTCTCATCAAGTCTTGC	GCTTCTGCACAATGGCTGG	232-234	236	232	13	13		13		13
SAmsCN445562	TC (23.5)	CACAAACCAACCGTCTAACC	GCTCTTGATCATAGGCGTGG	139-154	150-154		13			13		

Table 2 (continued)

Marker	Repeat motif	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Segregating alleles scored			Genetic linkage maps					
							F1		Parental			
				'Anna'	'Golden Delicious'	'Sharpe's Early'	'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'	'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'	'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'		'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'	
									'Golden Delicious'	'Anna'	'Sharpe's Early'	'Anna'
SAmsCO416477	CT(14)	CCACACAACACAAACCAACC	GAGGCATTGATCCTCATCGT	218	218-224		13		13			
SAmsCO068842	TC (22)	TGGTTGGAGATGTTCCATGG	ACCAGCTAGATTATCTTCTGC	455-null	401-447		13		13	13		
SAmsEB154452	GATC (5)	CACTCAACTCACGTTTCTCC	AGGCAGAAGGCAGAAGAGG	169-174	174-184	174-181	14	14	14	14	14	14
SAmsCN880881	CCA (10)	ATAGCTCATACCGCTTCTCC	GTGACGAAAACCAAGAACCC	427-429	406-408	406-427	14	14	14	14	14	14
SAmsCN491038	TC (19)	GCTCTGTCTCGTTGATCGG	AGCTGCTTCACCCTCTTGC	498-510	510		14			14		
SAmsCN581649a	CAT (13.3)	AGCCCTGATCTTCCTCTAGC	GACAATCTTCTGAAAGTCTGG	343-351	351-354		14		14	14		
SAmsEB144379	GGCGGT (4.5)	AGCTGATGGCCAGAACTGC	GAGGGTCCAAGTTACAAAGG	418	412-418	412-418	14	14	14		14	
SAmsCN494928	ATC (14)	AATTATATCCGTCCGACTCCA	TTACTGCTACCTGATGATCC	226	209-219	209-215	14	14	14	14	14	14
SAmsEB114233	GA (11.5)	GCATCCGCCATTGTTGTCG	TGGATTGAGACTGAGAGAGG	221-227	217-223	227-231	14	14	14	14	14	14
SAmsEB147331	CT (26)	CCTAACTCTGACTCAGTTGC	AGTGTCGTCTGGAGCTTCC	257	261-266	264-266	15	15	15		15	
SAmsCN944665	TATG (10.8)	GTCTCTGCTTGCTTAATTCAG	AGGCCAATCCTGACTATAG	320	224-320		15		15			
SAmsCN490349	AGG (8.7)	GTACTATCAGCAGAAACTGG	GATTTGAGCACAACATACGG	200	200-206	200	15		15			
SAmsCN445253	CTG (8)	TGCAAGAATCATCCACTTCC	TTGGACCTGTGAGGACTCC	478-494	491		15			15		
SAmsCO900034	AAG (10.3)	AAAGTCCGTTTTGGGCTGAG	GCTCTCTGCTGCCATTTCC	361-367	353-367	361-367	15		15	15		
SAmsCO051709	CTCAAG (3.5)	CTGTGCCGTCATCTATATGC	AACCAAAGAGGGAAGAGACG	193	193-200		15		15			
SAmsCN580637	TC(16.5)	ACAACAGCTGACGAACAAGC	CTACTCGTCGAAGTACGCC	418	406-418		15		15			
SAmsCO415353	AG(14)	ATGAACAGTCACAGACTATGC	AACGAAGCAAAGGAAGACGG	329-333	329-333	329-333	15	15				
SAmsCN947446	CTT(8.3)	CCGTTACAGCTATCCAAACC	ATAATGGCCATTCTGTTCAGC	178-181	181-184	181-187	15	15	15	15	15	15
SAmsEB126773	CT(23.5)	GTTTGTGTTTGAACAACGACC	GTGGTTGTTGAGGTCGTGG	447-453	441-447	455-469	15	15	15	15	15	15
SAmsDT042298	GT(12)	AGCATGTTGTGGGAAGCCC	GCATACTCTCATACAAGTCCG	227-229	225-227	227-229	15		15	15		
SAmsDR997862	TCTG (7.8)	CACAATCATATTCCCGCACG	TTCTTCTCCGATGAGCAAGC	275-280	275-283	275	15	15	15	15		15
SAmsCN581649b	CAT (13.3)	AGCCCTGATCTTCCTCTAGC	GACAATCTTCTGAAAGTCTGG	332-338		332-347		15			15	15
SAmsCO868594	CT (19)	CACCTCTTCAAACAACACACC	GGGCGGAGGTAGTTTATCC	412-414	418-436	412-416	15		15	15		
SAmsCO905375	AG (23.5)	AGTCTCTGTTTTTGCTCGTTC	GAACGCCGGGTCCCTGC	407	407-427		15		15			
SAmsCO755991	TC (16.5)	AATCTCTCGTCTGCAAACCC	GGCACTGAGCGCACTTGG	154	150-154		15		15			
SAmsCN930386	AGA (13)	TTGGGTTTGTTGCTGAAAACC	TGACCGGACTGTTTACAGG	94-111	94	94		16				16
SAmsCV084260	AG (22)	CAAAGCAAAACAGAGGATTTG	GGAGCGCATGAAATTACTGC	226-256	262	226-264	16	16		16		16
SAmsCN900718	CAG (7.7)	AGCATCTGAACTACCAATACC	ACCGATATAGTGCTGTTGC	278	268-278		16		16			
SAmsEB154700	AG (24)	TTTGTTGGGATTGTGGGTCG	GTTGCTGAGAGTGATGATGG	229-236	229-234	234-236	16	16	16	16	16	16
SAmsCO066563	GA (11)	ACAAAGGAACAGTGAAGACTC	TACTTGCTCTGCATAGTTTGG	422-431	425	422-431	16	16		16		
SAmsEB135348	CCA (11)	ATCCCTAACCCCAGGATGG	AGCATGTGGAAATCGTATACC	330-333	330		16			16		

SAmsCN881550	CAG (14.3)	ATCCAAACAACCCCATTGCG	AGTCGATGTTGAACGCTCCA	346-348	356		16			16		
SAmsCN868149	AT (10)	TTGCTGCTGTCTGTGTTTGC	GTCTCGTCGAAATCTTAAAGG	246-252	252		16			16		
SAmsDT001786	GA (17.5)	TTCTCTGTCTGTGAAATTGCG	GTTAACTGAGCTCCTGGTATTCC	143-147	141		16			16		
SAmsCN943252	TTC (9.7)	TCCCACTGACACTATCACC	TGCAGGAAATGAGAATGCGC	194	194-197		16		16			
SAmsEB106034	AAG (11.7)	AGAAGAAGCCCATCCCAGC	TTCACCTTCGTCGGCATGG	191-194	194	191	16	16		16		16
SAmsCN910302	TCTG (6.8)	TTTTCAGGCATCACTGTCCC	ATCAGGATTTCCAACAGCGC	466-484	484		16			16		
SAmsEE663640	GA (12.5)	AGTGTAGCAACCAAACGCTG	TTATTTCCTCGTCGGCAAGG	486	483-488	481-483	17	17	17		17	
SAmsAU301254	TC (15)	TCCCGGAAATTTTCAACGC	AACGCTAGGGATTGGTCGC	233-246	233	233-240		17			17	
SAmsCO414947	AG (12)	TTTGATTGGACCTGCAGTGG	TTAGCAGCTGCTTCAGTGTG	346-350	341-354		17	17	17	17	17	17
SAmsCN492417	TC (10)	TACCATGTTTTAGCACCATGG	GGCCAAGTTAGGTCAAGACG	122	122-126		17		17			
SAmsCN490324	AG (16)	ATAGAGAGGTAGAGGACTGG	TTCGCCCAGTGTAACATTGG	230-232	223-232		17		17	17		
SAmsCN938125	TTC (13.7)	GCCTTCATCCCCCCTTGA	GGTGTATAGGAATCTTGGAG	338-345	345-352	340-354	17	17	17		17	17
SAmsCN910036	CTT (13.7)	GAGAAACCGTTTGATTACAGC	CTCCATCCCCAATCACACC	235-241	232-235	220-241	17	17	17		17	17
SAmsCN855917	AAT (15.3)	CTCTTTCTTCTCCCTTCTCC	GATGAGATCCAAATCCGTAGT	149-174	159-174	146-165	17		17			
SAmsCN929037	TA (13.5)	AGTTGACTACCTCCTCCGC	GTGGTTCTCACGGTACACG	218-225	218-239	218-220	17	17	17		17	17

'Sharpe's Early' (map coverage: 1,012.9 cM) and 'Anna' (map coverage: 1,050.6 cM) consisted of 127 (including 41 new SSRs) and 126 (including 45 new SSRs) markers respectively. Parental maps were aligned using 79 SSR markers in common (Fig. 2). The parental map constructed for 'Anna' has 94 SSR markers in common with the parental map for 'Anna' constructed for population A.

QTL detection and mapping

A single major QTL for time of IVB was detected on LG 9 (Fig. 3). Analyses performed on the average time of IVB for the different populations and developmental stages showed that this QTL exceeded the GW LOD threshold during phenotypic trait assessment performed on adult trees from population B. LOD scores obtained for the analyses performed on averages from population A and juvenile trees from population B were just below the GW LOD thresholds. Separate QTL analysis for the different years of phenotypic trait assessment performed on seedlings from population A resulted in GW LOD thresholds being reached during trait assessment performed on seedlings in their fourth (2002) and sixth (2004) year (Table 3). GW LOD thresholds were exceeded during all 3 years phenotypic trait assessment has been performed on adult trees from population B (Table 3). Separate QTL analysis for the three different years of phenotypic trait assessment performed on juvenile trees from population B resulted in GW LOD thresholds not being reached during the first three juvenile years (Table 3). One-way analysis of variance indicated significant association ($P<0.0001$) between specific NZmsCN943946 alleles inherited from the parental cultivar 'Anna' and time of (IVB). This association was true during all years of phenotypic trait assessment on 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' ($30.22<F>91.73$) and 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' adult ($34.39<F>49.9$) and juvenile ($30.6<F>69.27$) trees.

Differences in time of IVB associated with the four genotypic classes, *ac*, *ad*, *bc*, and *bd*, derived from an *ab* x *cd* cross, indicate that the phenotypic variation can be associated with alleles inherited from the common male parent 'Anna'. This QTL explains between 4.8% and 40.1% of the phenotypic variation observed in population A and between 11.9% and 44.6% of the phenotypic variation observed in population B.

Discussion

The genetic linkage maps constructed are composed entirely of SSR markers and since a very large proportion of these markers are derived from EST sequences (more

Fig. 2 Parental genetic linkage maps of 'Golden Delicious' (GD) and 'Anna' from population A and 'Sharpe's Early' (SE) and 'Anna*' from population B. Numbering of LGs are according to Maliepaard et al. (1998). Newly developed SSRs are prefixed by SAms and are indicated in ***bold***, *italic*, and underlined

Fig. 2 (continued)

than 120) these maps are the most functional maps yet available. The newly developed and mapped SSR markers will enable the expansion of the 15 cM reference map, currently consisting of 86 SSR markers covering 85% of the genome, proposed by Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. (2006) with up to 11 SSR markers. Depending on polymorphic information content determined on a larger number of cultivars, some of the newly developed SSR markers might be used to



Fig. 3 Position of the QTL for time of IVB detected on LG 9 of the consensus 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' (GDxAn) map and the 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' (SExAn) map. QTLs are represented by *boxes* where the length of the box corresponds to a 5% confidence interval and extended lines to a 10% confidence interval. Boxes representing average time of IVB are *filled* and boxes representing time of IVB for separate years are *open*. Boxes indicating QTL detected on juvenile trees are *green* and those indicating QTL detected on adult trees are *red*

replace markers with low polymorphism now included in the reference set, due to lack of more polymorphic SSR markers in certain regions (Silfverberg-Dilworth et al. 2006).

The time of IVB showed a wide bi-modal distribution in the seedlings derived from both mapping populations. Although bi-modality could be explained by seedlings having a difference in their rapidity of response to favorable conditions after their CR was satisfied (Labuschagné et al. 2003), the distribution of time of IVB can be explained by the fact that the trait is controlled by a major QTL together

Table 3 Parameters associated with the QTL for time of initial vegetative budbreak (IVB) identified on LG 9 of the consensus map used for population A and population B, using multiple QTL mapping (MQM)

Year	LOD[a]	mu_ac{00}[b]	mu_bc{00}[b]	mu_ad{00}[b]	mu_bd{00}[b]	% Expl.[c]
Population A: 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna'						
Average	6.07 (6.8)	271	271	247	252	36.7
1999	3.1 (5.4)	309	311	285	292	25.4
2000	3.91 (8.9)	307	312	277	302	4.8
2002	5.7 (4.4)	282	279	269	271	23.6
2004	7 (4.8)	254	254	226	235	40.1
Population B: 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'—adult trees						
Average	8.65 (7.0)	252	262	219	219	41.6
1996	9.52 (8.6)	258	267	221	222	44.6
1997	8.04 (6.1)	254	262	224	222	39
1998	6.83 (5.3)	245	256	212	212	38.2
Population B: 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna'—juvenile trees						
Average	4.68 (4.9)	246	246	226	225	17.6
1997	2.56 (4.5)	260	256	245	242	11.9
1998	4.44 (4.6)	254	255	235	232	20.9
1999	4.49 (5.8)	251	253	230	226	17.9

[a] Maximum LOD score with considered threshold in parentheses

[b] Estimated mean of the distribution of time of IVB associated with each genotypic class with alleles "a" and "b" inherited from the parental cultivars 'Golden Delicious' and 'Sharpe's Early', respectively, and alleles "c" and "d" inherited from the cultivar 'Anna'

[c] Percentage of the variance explained by the QTL

with some minor QTLs. High heritability estimates, although specific to the experimental conditions in which they have been calculated, were calculated for time of IVB by both Labuschagné et al. (2002a) (h^2=0.69) and Segura et al. (2007) (h^2=0.58), indicating that the trait has a strong genetic influence and that it can be selected for using marker-assisted selection. Heritability is not always related to the power of QTL detection (Segura et al. 2007), as the latter is also influenced by population size and the number of QTLs affecting the trait. The small number of individuals included in phenotypic trait assessment (87 from 'Golden Delicious' x 'Anna' and 60 and 92 for adults and juveniles from the 'Sharpe's Early' x 'Anna' mapping pedigrees) and the amount of variation observed among seedlings from the same mapping population, allowed for the detection of only one QTL with large effect. The fact that this QTL explains up to 40.1% and 44.6% of the phenotypic variation observed in populations A and B, respectively, indicates that there are further QTLs affecting time of IVB. These may include several QTLs with smaller effect that are statistically not detectable due the restricted population sizes used and the phenotypic variation observed in the seedlings. During initial interval mapping (van Dyk et al. 2009), the involvement of several minor QTLs were suggested. Implementation of more markers leading to better genome coverage and the ability to perform MQM analysis enabled the identification of a QTL with large effect in the current study.

Genetic linkage maps constructed for both mapping populations enabled the efficient detection of a major QTL affecting the time of IVB on LG 9 (Table 3). This QTL may co-localize with one of eight QTLs involved in leaf break that was identified by Conner et al. (1998). The QTL identified on LG 3 of the genetic linkage map produced by Conner et al. (1998) was, after the implementation of transferable microsatellite markers, shown to be homologous to the LG now known to be LG 9 (Kenis and Keulemans 2004). In the present study, the QTL on LG 9 can be associated with specific allele inheritance from the common parent 'Anna'. Performing QTL analyses on an integrated parental map when working with an outbreeder, as was done during this study, enables the determination of both the effect of alleles inherited from a single parent and the interaction between alleles inherited from both parents. Results (Table 3) indicated a clear difference in average time of IVB between seedlings that inherited allele "c" from 'Anna' (average "ac" and "bc") compared to seedlings that inherited allele "d" from 'Anna' (average "ad" and "bd"). No clear difference could be detected between seedlings that inherited different alleles from the other parental cultivar involved in each mapping pedigree or seedlings with a specific combination of parental alleles.

The power of QTL detection (LOD score) increased during consecutive years of phenotypic trait assessment being performed on seedlings from population A and juvenile trees from population B. This suggests that although the QTL can be associated with time of IVB in young seedlings, the association between the QTL and the trait becomes stronger as the tree matures. The QTL was found to be significant (LOD score exceeding GW LOD thresholds) in all 3 years during which phenotypic trait assessment was performed on adult trees from population B (Table 3). Although significant GW LOD thresholds are not met in juvenile trees from population B, the association between the QTL and time of IVB can be seen from obtained phenotypic means associated with each of the genotypic classes (Table 3). Budbreak occurring earlier as trees mature has not been reported before. Preliminary results suggest no correlation between the earlier time of vegetative budbreak, associated with seedling age in two apple populations studied, and the CU accumulated during different years. These results suggest that the CR, which is the major determinant of time of budbreak (Bradshaw and Stettler 1995), has been met and that the time of vegetative budbreak is also influenced by factors associated with tree age. These results need to be confirmed in future studies, including several years of phenotypic trait assessment performed during different developmental stages and on different populations.

Markers linked to the QTL identified will be used in a validation test on a larger progeny sharing common parentage. The QTL region will be saturated with markers selected for their positioning on the genetic linkage map as a result of selective (bin) mapping on a subset of individuals (van Dyk and Rees 2009). The ideal will be the identification of markers flanking the QTL that can be used for the implementation of MAS in breeding for cultivars that are better adapted to local climatic conditions.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the Deciduous Fruit Producers Trust (DFPT), the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI-THRIP), and the National Research Foundation (NRF) for financial support. Thank you to Dr. Jean-Marc Celton and Dr. W.E. van de Weg for useful discussion regarding mapping. We are especially grateful to Prof. B. D. Wingfield from the University of Pretoria for the use of facilities.

References

Andersen PC, Crocker TE (2000) Low chill apple cultivars for North and North Central Florida, University of Florida Extension. Institute for Food and Agricultural Sciences

Benson G (1999) Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 27:573–580

Bradshaw BDJ, Stettler RF (1995) Molecular genetics of growth and development in *Populus*. IV. Mapping QTLs with large effects on

growth, form and phenology traits in a forest tree. Genetics 139:963–973

Celton J-M, Tustin DS, Chagne D, Gardiner SE (2009) Construction of a dense genetic linkage map for apple rootstocks using SSRs developed from *Malus* ESTs and *Pyrus* genomic sequences. Tree Genet Genom 5:93–107

Conner PJ, Brown SK, Weeden NF (1998) Molecular-marker analysis of quantitative traits for growth and development in juvenile apple trees. Theor Appl Genet 96:1027–1035

Cook NC, Jacobs G (2000) Progression of apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) bud dormancy in two mild winter climates. J Hort Sci Bio 75:233–236

Costa F, Van de Weg WE, Stella S, Dondini L, Pratesi D, Musacchi S, Sansavini S (2008) Map position and functional allelic diversity of *Md-Exp7*, a new putative expansin gene associated with fruit softening in apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) and pear (*Pyrus communis*). Tree Genet Genom 4:575–586

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus 12:13–15

Falconer DS, Mackay TFC (1996) Introduction to quantitative genetics, 4th edn. Pearson, England

Gardiner S, Bus VGM, Rusholme RL, Chagne D, Rikkerink EHA (2007) Apple. In: Kole C (ed) Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants. Fruits and Nuts, vol. 4. Springer, Berlin, pp 1–62

Guilford P, Prakash S, Zhu JM, Rikkerink E, Gardiner S, Bassett H, Forster R (1997) Microsatellites in *Malus* x *domestica* (apple): abundance, polymorphism and cultivar identification. Theor Appl Genet 94:249–254

Hemmat M, Weeden NF, Brown SK (2003) Mapping and evaluation of *Malus* x *domestica* microsatellites in apple and pear. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 128:515–520

Hemmat M, Weeden NF, Conner PJ, Brown SK (1997) A DNA marker for columnar growth habit in apple contains a simple sequence repeat. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 122:347–349

Kellerhals M, Patocchi A, Duffy B, Frey J (2008) Presented at the Ecofruit—13th International conference on cultivation technique and phytopathological problems in organic fruit growing. Weinsberg, Germany

Kenis K, Keulemans J (2004) QTL analysis of growth characteristics in apple. Eucarpia symposium on fruit breeding and genetics, Angers, France, vol 663. Acta Horticulturae 663:369–374

Khan AA (1997) Quantification of plant dormancy: introduction to the workshop. Hort Science 32:608–614

Kim CS, Lee CH, Shin JS, Chung YS, Hyung NI (1997) A simple and rapid method for isolation of high quality genomic DNA from fruit trees and conifers using PVP. Nucleic Acids Res 25:1085–1086

Labuschagné IF, Louw JH, Schmidt K, Sadie A (2002a) Genetic variation in chilling requirement in apple progeny. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 127:663–672

Labuschagné IF, Louw JH, Schmidt K, Sadie A (2002b) Genotypic variation in prolonged dormancy symptoms in apple families. Hort Science 37:157–163

Labuschagné IF, Louw JH, Schmidt K, Sadie A (2003) Budbreak number in apple seedlings as selection criterion for improved adaptability to mild winter climates. Hort Science 38:1186–1190

Lang GA, Early JD, Arroyave NJ, Darnell RL, Martin GC, Stutte GW (1985) Dormancy: towards a reduced, universal terminology. Hort Science 20:809–812

Liebhard R, Gianfranceschi L, Koller B, Ryder CD, Tarchini R, Van de Weg E, Gessler C (2002) Development and characterisation of 140 new microsatellites in apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.). Mol Breeding 10:217–241

Linsley-Noakes GC, Allan P, Matthee G (1994) Modification of rest completion prediction models for improved accuracy in South African stone fruit orchards. J South African Hort Sci 4:13–15

Maliepaard C, Alston FH, Van Arkel G, Brown LM, Chevreau E, Dunemann F, Evans KM, Gardiner S, Guilford P, Vand Heusden AW, Janse J, Laurens F, Lynn JR, Manganaris AG, Den Nijs APM, Periam N, Roche REP, Ryder C, Sansavini S, Schmidt H, Tartarini S, Verhaegh JJ, Vrielink-van Ginkel M, King GJ (1998) Aligning male and female linkage maps of apple (*Malus pumila* Mill.) using multi-allelic markers. Theor Appl Genet 97:60–73

Naik S, Hampson C, Gasic K, Bakkeren G, Korban SS (2006) Development and linkage mapping of E-STS and RGA markers for functional gene homologues in apple. Genome 49:959–968

Newcomb RD, Crowhurst RN, Gleave AP, Rikkerink EHA, Allan AC, Beuning LL, Bowen JH, Gera E, Jamieson KR, Janssen BJ, Laing WA, McArtney S, Nain B, Ross GS, Snowden KC, Souleyre EJF, Walton EF, Yauk Y-K (2006) Analysis of expressed sequence tags from apple (*Malus* x *domestica*). Plant Physio 141:1–20

Patocchi A, Fernandez-Fernanadez F, Evans K, Gobbin D, Rezzonico F, Boudichevskaia A, Dunemann F, Stankiewicz-Kosyl M, Mathis-Jeanneteau F, Durel CE, Gianfranceschi L, Costa F, Toller C, Cova V, Mott D, Komjane M, Barbaro E, Kodde L, Rikkerink E, Gessler C, Van de Weg WE (2009) Development and test of 21 multiplex PCRs composed of SSRs spanning most of the apple genome. Tree Genet Genom 5:211–223

Segura V, Denance C, Durel CE, Costes E (2007) Wide range QTL analysis for complex architectural traits in a 1-year-old apple progeny. Genome 50:159–171

Silfverberg-Dilworth E, Matasci CL, Van de Weg WE, Van Kaauwen MPW, Walser M, Kodde LP, Soglio V, Gianfranceschi L, Durel CE, Costa F, Yamamoto T, Koller B, Gessler C, Patocchi A (2006) Microsatellite markers spanning the apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.) genome. Tree Genet Genom 2:202–224

Tartarini S, Sansavini S (2003) The use of molecular markers in pome fruit breeding. Acta Hort 622:129–132

Tartarini S, Sansavini S, Vinatzer BA, Gennari F, Domizi C (2000) Efficiency of marker assisted selection (MAS) for the *Vf* scab resistance gene. Acta Hort 538:549–553

van Dyk MM, Rees DJG (2009) Bin Mapping of EST-SSRs in Apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.). Acta Hort 814:681–687

van Dyk MM, Labuschagne IF, Rees DJG (2009) Genetic linkage map construction and identification of qtls affecting time of initial vegetative budbread in apple (*Malus* x *domestica* Borkh.). Acta Hort 814:586–590

Van Ooijen JW (2004) MapQTL®5, software for the mapping of quantitative trait loci in experimental populations. Kyazma B. V., Wageningen, Netherlands

Van Ooijen JW (2006) JoinMap®4. Software for the calculation of genetic linkage maps in experimental populations. Kyazma B. V., Wageningen, Netherlands

Yamamoto T, Kimura T, Sawamura Y, Manabe T, Kotobuki K, Hayashi T, Ban Y, Matsuta N (2002a) Simple sequence repeats for genetic analysis in pear. Euphytica 124:129–137

Yamamoto T, Kimura T, Shoda M, Ban Y, Hayashi T, Matsuta N (2002b) Development of microsatellite markers in the Japanese pear (*Pyrus pyrifolie* Nakai). Mol Ecol Notes 2:14–16

Young ND (1996) QTL mapping and quantitative disease resistance in plants. Annu Rev Phytopathol 34:479–501