

Hybrid STBC–SM Suitable for Multi-link Device-to-Device Communication in Cellular Networks

Dongho You¹ · Dong Ho $Kim¹$

Published online: 6 May 2017 - Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Abstract Device-to-device (D2D) communication in cellular networks is considered as an emerging technology in future mobile communication systems (e.g. LTE release 12 and beyond). However, most conventional D2D studies have emphasized one-to-one communications, in which only two D2D users directly communicate with each other. This is because these studies were focused on reducing interference between D2D users and base stations or cellular users via power allocation, interference cancellation, and so on. In this paper, however, we focus on multi-link D2D communication in cellular networks, in which more than two D2D users can exchange their information symbols simultaneously, such as a mesh network. Multi-link D2D communication requires a suitable transmission scheme for its efficiency and reliability; thus, we employ hybrid space-time block coding and spatial multiplexing (hybrid STBC–SM). This not only improves reliability, but also increases network data rates. Compared with other transmission schemes (e.g. STBC and SM), the proposed multi-link D2D communication method using hybrid STBC–SM provides improved performance in terms of bit error rate, frame error rate, symbol rates, and effective throughput.

Keywords Hybrid STBC–SM - D2D communication - Cellular network

1 Introduction

Recently, multimedia data traffic has rapidly increased, placing a significant burden on base stations (BSs). Thus, Release 12 of the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) is proposing direct-mode communications to solve the problem. Among these schemes,

& Dong Ho Kim dongho.kim@seoultech.ac.kr

¹ Graduate School of NID Fusion Technology, Seoul National University of Science and Technology (SeoulTech), Seoul, Korea

device-to-device (D2D) communication in cellular networks is a strong candidate [[1\]](#page-10-0). The idea of D2D communication in cellular networks was first proposed by Doppler et al. [\[2\]](#page-10-0); this scheme enables D2D users to communicate directly with each other without passing through a base station (BS). Therefore, it can not only reduce the burden on the BS, but also achieve higher total throughput of cellular networks. In general, D2D users can communicate within either uplink or downlink resources. If D2D users communicate within an uplink resource, only the BS receives interference from the D2D users, whereas if D2D users communicate within a downlink resource, the interference affects every cellular user nearby. Hence, it is an important challenge to properly manage interference. As a result, many studies have proposed new algorithms that incorporate mode selection [[3,](#page-10-0) [4](#page-10-0)], resource sharing [[5,](#page-10-0) [6\]](#page-10-0), power allocation [[7,](#page-10-0) [8](#page-10-0)], interference cancellation [\[9,](#page-10-0) [10](#page-10-0)], and so on. All of these studies show the success of improving the total system throughput in cellular networks; however, in these studies, D2D communication links were limited to one-to-one communication for simplicity.

The great success of one-to-one D2D communication has motivated us to consider information exchanges between more than two D2D users. Thus, we are interested in the following question: if there are many D2D users who want to exchange their information symbols simultaneously, is there any efficient method to exchange all information symbols in a shorter time period? Conventional one-to-one D2D communication cannot exchange symbols between many users simultaneously. Therefore, in this paper, we introduce a new link type, called multi-link D2D communication. It enables more than two D2D users to exchange their information symbols, similar to a mesh network. Our scheme can be easily understood using an example with four nodes. In this example, there are two senders and two downloaders in a D2D communication network. In the first time period, the senders directly transmit the same data (but different symbols) to each downloader. The downloaders then directly exchange the received different symbols with each other, while receiving new symbols from the senders in the second time period. An important point to notice here is that the exchange between the downloaders and the reception from the senders should be conducted simultaneously to reduce the consumed time period.

Therefore, a transmission scheme suitable for multi-link D2D communication in cellular networks is required. When the D2D users communicate directly using one-to-one communication, considering the transmission scheme is of minor importance. However, if the number of D2D communication links is increasing, the importance of the transmission scheme increases because of transmission efficiency and reliability. In general, space-time block coding (STBC) [\[11\]](#page-10-0) and spatial multiplexing (SM) [\[12\]](#page-10-0) are the best-known transmission schemes in wireless MIMO systems. STBC focuses on the advantages of diversity to improve MIMO system performance, whereas the SM scheme focuses on the spectral efficiency advantage of the MIMO system. According to [\[13\]](#page-10-0), there is trade-off between diversity and multiplexing gains. However, by combining these two schemes, both diversity gains and higher data rates can be achieved simultaneously. The combined scheme is called hybrid STBC–SM [[14,](#page-10-0) [15](#page-10-0)]. It is very efficient because the information symbols are transmitted to not only space and time domains, but also spatial (i.e. antenna) domains. Hence, in this paper, we exploit hybrid STBC–SM to facilitate multi-link D2D communication in cellular networks, as an extension of [\[16\]](#page-10-0). Hybrid STBC–SM may achieve wireless physical transmissions for multi-link D2D communication on the assumption that different orthogonal sub-channels are allocated to each downloader.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The system model is briefly described in Sect. [2.](#page-2-0) The proposed hybrid STBC–SM scheme suitable for multi-link D2D

communication is presented in Sect. [3](#page-3-0). Finally, a simulation evaluation and conclusions are presented in Sects. [4](#page-7-0) and [5,](#page-9-0) respectively.

2 System Model

Figure 1 shows the proposed multi-link D2D communication scheme in a cellular network. We assume that there are both cellular and D2D users, and they share the uplink resources of the cellular network. The BS has information specifying the number of symbols that the data consists of, as well as perfect channel state information (CSI). A similar process is described in detail in [\[3\]](#page-10-0). Thus, the BS can allocate dedicated resources among the D2D users to avoid interference, and control the transmissions of the D2D users (e.g. deciding what to transmit). Although there are various types of D2D communication links, this paper focuses only on four-node D2D communication.

As noted before, multi-link D2D communication requires a suitable and efficient transmission scheme, because of its effect on reliability and data rates. Thus, we consider hybrid STBC–SM, which may achieve wireless physical transmissions for multi-link D2D communication on the assumption that different orthogonal sub-channels are allocated to each downloader.

Let us consider a system model of a hybrid STBC–SM scheme. The received symbol matrix $Y^m(T)$ of the *m*-th receiver in the T-th time group can be expressed as follows.

Multi-link D2D Communication

Fig. 1 Multi-link D2D communication in cellular networks

$$
\mathbf{Y}^m(T) = \mathbf{H}^m(T)\mathbf{X}^m(T) + \mathbf{N}^m(T),\tag{1}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}^m(T)$, $\mathbf{X}^m(T)$, and $\mathbf{N}^m(T)$ denote the channel, transmitted symbol, and noise matrices, respectively, and time group T consists of two time periods (i.e. t and $t + 1$). If we assume that the channel remains constant over one time group (e.g. $h_{ij}^{mk}(t) = h_{ij}^{mk}(t+1)$, we can write the channel matrix $\mathbf{H}^{m}(T)$ as

$$
\mathbf{H}^{m}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{mk} & h_{12}^{mk} \\ h_{21}^{mk} & h_{ij}^{mk} \end{bmatrix},
$$
 (2)

where h_{ij}^{mk} denotes the channel gain between the *i*-th receiving antenna of the *m*-th receiver and the *j*-th transmitting antenna of the k -th transmitter. During the T -th time group, every two symbols are grouped using 2×2 space-time encoding [[11](#page-10-0)], and transmitted to the *m*-th receiver as

$$
\mathbf{X}^{m}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{l}(t) & -x_{l+1}^{*}(t+1) \\ x_{l+1}(t) & x_{l}^{*}(t+1) \end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(3)

where l denotes the symbol stream index. The additive white Gaussian noise matrix $N^m(T)$ for the *m*-th receiver at the *T*-th time group is given as

$$
\mathbf{N}^{m}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} n_i^{m}(t) & n_i^{m}(t+1) \\ n_{i+1}^{m}(t) & n_{i+1}^{m}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (4)

Consequentially, the received symbol matrix $Y^m(T)$ can be also written in matrix form as

$$
\mathbf{Y}^{m}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i}^{m}(t) & y_{i}^{m}(t+1) \\ y_{i+1}^{m}(t) & y_{i+1}^{m}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (5)

3 Hybrid STBC–SM Suitable for Multi-link D2D Communication

The main purpose of this paper is to enable two downloaders to receive different symbols from two senders, and simultaneously exchange symbols previously received from the senders via the hybrid STBC–SM scheme.

As shown in Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) we assume that users 1 and 2 are senders, and users 3 and 4 are downloaders. The senders use a single antenna, whereas the downloaders use two antennas, which is similar to the antenna configurations in [[17](#page-10-0)]. Moreover, two dedicated subchannels $H³$ and $H⁴$ are allocated to users 3 and 4, respectively. As a result, each sender can simultaneously transmit different symbols to each downloader without interference. We also separate the transmission time into two groups: the initial time group (i.e. T-th time group), and the remaining time groups (i.e. from the $(T + 1)$ -st time group through the end of the download). Because the downloading process of each downloader is very similar (except in the T-th time group), for simplicity we focus on the T-th and $(T + 1)$ -st time groups for user 3.

Fig. 2 Hybrid STBC–SM for multi-link D2D communication during T-th time group

3.1 The T-th Time Group for UE3

Figure 2 shows the downloading process of the initial time group (i.e. T-th time group), which appears similar to STBC for virtual antenna array (VAA) systems [\[18\]](#page-10-0). However, during the T-th time group, the downloaders should receive different symbols, in order to exchange their received symbols with each other in the next time group.

Therefore, the senders (users 1 and 2) cooperatively transmit different STBC-encoded symbols $(x_1, x_2 \text{ and } x_3, x_4)$ to the downloaders (users 3 and 4) through dedicated sub-channels H^3 and H^4 , respectively. Because we focus only on the downloading process for user 3, the received symbol matrix for user 3 during the T-th time group can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{Y}^3(T) = \mathbf{H}^3(T)\mathbf{X}^3(T) + \mathbf{N}^3(T),\tag{6}
$$

where the matrices are given as:

$$
\mathbf{H}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{31} & h_{11}^{32} \\ h_{21}^{31} & h_{21}^{32} \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{X}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) & -x_{2}^{*}(t+1) \\ x_{2}(t) & x_{1}^{*}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{N}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} n_{1}^{3}(t) & n_{1}^{3}(t+1) \\ n_{2}^{3}(t) & n_{2}^{3}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}
$$

\n
$$
\mathbf{Y}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1}^{3}(t) & y_{1}^{3}(t+1) \\ y_{2}^{3}(t) & y_{2}^{3}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}.
$$

\n(7)

We are only interested in detecting x_1 and x_2 . Thus, these matrices can be rewritten as the following Eq. (8), when the channel $\mathbf{H}^{3}(T)$ remains constant over the two time slots (i.e. $h_{ij}^{mk}(t) = h_{ij}^{mk}(t+1)).$

$$
\mathbf{H}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{31} & h_{11}^{32} \\ h_{11}^{*32} & -h_{11}^{*31} \\ h_{21}^{31} & h_{21}^{32} \\ h_{21}^{*32} & -h_{21}^{*31} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathbf{N}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} n_{1}^{3}(t) & n_{1}^{*3}(t+1) & n_{2}^{3}(t) & n_{2}^{*3}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}^{T}
$$

$$
\mathbf{Y}^{3}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} y_{1}^{3}(t) & y_{1}^{*3}(t+1) & y_{2}^{3}(t) & y_{2}^{*3}(t+1) \end{bmatrix}^{T}.
$$

$$
(8)
$$

The desired symbol matrix ${\bf X}^3(T)$ can be easily detected by linear detectors, namely zeroforcing (ZF) or minimum mean square error (MMSE) detectors. A maximum likelihood (ML) detector may also provide improved performance, but its complexity is high (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

3.2 The $(T + 1)$ -th Time Group for UE3

Through the previous T-th time group, users 3 and 4 have x_1 , x_2 and x_3 , x_4 , respectively. In other words, they have different symbols. Therefore, during the $(T + 1)$ -th time group, users 3 and 4 can exchange the received symbols x_1 , x_2 and x_3 , x_4 , respectively, while receiving new symbols x_5 , x_6 and x_7 , x_8 cooperatively transmitted from the senders through the dedicated sub-channels H^3 and H^4 , respectively. The received symbol matrix for user 3 during the $(T + 1)$ -st time group can be expressed as

$$
\mathbf{Y}^{3}(T+1) = \mathbf{H}^{3}(T+1)\mathbf{X}^{3}(T+1) + \mathbf{N}^{3}(T+1),
$$
\n(9)

where the matrices are given as:

$$
\mathbf{H}^{3}(T+1) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{31} & h_{11}^{32} & h_{11}^{34} & h_{12}^{34} \\ h_{21}^{31} & h_{21}^{32} & h_{21}^{34} & h_{22}^{34} \end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\mathbf{X}^{3}(T+1) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3}(t+2) & -x_{4}^{*}(t+3) \\ x_{4}(t+2) & x_{3}^{*}(t+3) \\ x_{5}(t+2) & -x_{6}^{*}(t+3) \\ x_{6}(t+2) & x_{5}^{*}(t+3) \end{bmatrix}.
$$
(10)

Because $Y^3(T + 1)$ and $N^3(T + 1)$ are similar to Eq. ([7](#page-4-0)), we opted to omit the matrices. These matrices are also rewritten as follows.

$$
\mathbf{H}^{3}(T+1) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{31} & h_{11}^{32} & h_{11}^{34} & h_{12}^{34} \\ h_{11}^{*32} & -h_{11}^{*31} & h_{12}^{*34} & -h_{11}^{*34} \\ h_{21}^{31} & h_{21}^{32} & h_{21}^{34} & h_{22}^{34} \\ h_{21}^{*32} & x_{4} & -h_{21}^{*35} & x_{41}^{*34} \\ h_{21}^{*32} & -h_{21}^{*35} & h_{22}^{*34} & -h_{21}^{*34} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(11)

The desired symbols ${\bf X}^3(T+1)$ can be also detected by simple linear detectors. During the $(T + 1)$ -st time group, the downloaders (users 3 and 4) can receive four symbols, respectively. In other words, they receive twice the number of symbols they receive during the T-th time group. Thus, as shown in Table [1](#page-6-0), the symbol rates gradually increase until

Fig. 3 Hybrid STBC–SM for multi-link D2D communication during $(T + 1)$ -th time group

the maximum number of antennas is reached. For the succeeding time groups, similar downloading processes are conducted until the process is complete.

3.3 Linear Detection

The rewritten symbol matrix \bf{X} can be easily detected by linear detectors regardless of the time groups. Although an ML detector can provide greater performance improvements, we employ ZF and MMSE detectors for their simplicity [\[19\]](#page-10-0). In order to eliminate the channel matrix H, ZF and MMSE detectors (i.e. W_{ZF} and W_{MMSE}) are given as

Time period (t)	Cumulative received symbols $(r = 2t - 2)$	Symbol rates $(r_s = r/t)$
2	$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{L}}$	
$\overline{4}$	6	1.5
6	10	1.66
50	98	1.96
100	198	1.98

Table 1 Increasing symbol rates of the downloader

$$
\mathbf{W}_{ZF} = (\mathbf{H}^H \cdot \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^H.
$$
 (12)

$$
\mathbf{W}_{MMSE} = (\mathbf{H}^H \cdot \mathbf{H} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^H.
$$
 (13)

By multiplying the given detectors by received signal \bf{Y} , we can detect the desired symbol matrix $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ as follows:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{ZF} = \mathbf{W}_{ZF} \cdot \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{W}_{ZF} \cdot \mathbf{N}.\tag{14}
$$

The ZF detector caused a performance degradation, owing to the noise enhancement; in contrast, the MMSE detector easily improved performance by using the statistical information of noise σ^2 .

$$
\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{MMSE} = \mathbf{W}_{MMSE} \cdot \mathbf{Y}.\tag{15}
$$

4 Performance Evaluation

In order to evaluate the performance of the hybrid STBC–SM suitable for multi-link D2D communication, we analyze the STBC and SM schemes individually.

In the STBC scheme's case, the downloaders (users 3 and 4) can each receive two symbols, respectively, during the T-th time group. This is the same process used in the hybrid STBC–SM case. However, during the $(T + 1)$ -st time group, users 3 and 4 cannot receive four symbols. According to [[11\]](#page-10-0), a downloading user with two antennas can receive only two symbols during two time periods (i.e. a single time group). If downloaders can receive four symbols during a single time group in an STBC scheme, it is no longer an STBC scheme but a hybrid STBC–SM scheme. Meanwhile, in the case of the SM scheme, the downloaders (users 3 and 4) can each receive four symbols, respectively, during the Tth time group. This is a higher symbol rate than that of the hybrid STBC–SM case. However, during the($T + 1$)-st time group, users 3 and 4 cannot receive eight symbols. In other words, they cannot exchange their received four symbols with each other during the $(T + 1)$ -st time group. This is because the downloaders have only two antennas.

Based on this knowledge, we compare the symbol rate, coded bit error rate (BER), frame error rate (FER), and effective throughput performance of our hybrid STBC–SM scheme with those of STBC and SM. We use quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) modulation over a block-fading Rayleigh channel, and convolutional code (a code rate of 1/2, a constraint length of 7, and code generator polynomials of $171₈$ and $133₈$).

As shown in Table [1,](#page-6-0) the symbol rates of the proposed hybrid STBC–SM case are measured as $r_s = 1.5$ at four time periods (i.e. two time groups). However, the symbol rate gradually increases $r_s \approx 2$ as time elapses, because each downloader receives four symbols at every time group (except the T-th time group). Similarly, the symbol rates of SM case are always measured in $r_s = 2$, whereas in STBC they are always measured in $r_s = 1$. In terms of coded BER and FER, however, the STBC case (black line) is clearly superior to the other cases, as shown in Fig. [4](#page-8-0). This is because two symbols transmitted during two time periods have a diversity of order 2 [\[11\]](#page-10-0). Finally, we define effective throughput, which is the rate at which symbols are successfully received by the receiver. The effective throughput is defined as $r_s(1$ -FER), which reflects both the symbol rate (r_s) and FER performance. Figure [5](#page-9-0) shows effective throughput performance according to the changes in time groups. In the early time group (i.e. Fig. [5](#page-9-0)a, b), the proposed hybrid STBC–SM

Fig. 4 Error rate performance of the proposed hybrid STBC–SM for multi-link D2D communication compared with performance of STBC and SM (1 frame $= 10³$ bits). a Coded BER performance, **b** FER performance

case has a degradation area, in contrast with the STBC case. However, the degradation area becomes narrower as time elapses, as shown in Fig. [5c](#page-9-0). Furthermore, the proposed scheme finally shows improved effective throughput performance compared with the SM and STBC cases in Fig. [5](#page-9-0)d. In other words, the performance gains increase significantly as downloading time elapses. Consequently, the proposed hybrid STBC–SM

Fig. 5 Effective throughput performance of the proposed hybrid STBC–SM for multi-link D2D communication compared with STBC and SM, according to changes in time groups. a During $(T + 1)$ -st time group, b during $(T + 4)$ -st time group, c during $(T + 24)$ -st time group, d during $(T + 49)$ -st time group

scheme suitable for multi-link D2D communication can be an appropriate solution for downloading services that distribute large files (e.g. video on demand (VOD) services), because such files typically require a significant amount of time to download.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered multi-link D2D communication in cellular networks. It enables multiple D2D users to exchange their information symbols simultaneously. Additionally, we aimed to identify a physical transmission scheme suitable for multi-link D2D communication. The STBC, SM, and hybrid STBC–SM schemes were candidates; however, only the hybrid STBC–SM scheme showed improved throughput performance compared with the others. In particular, performance gains increase significantly as downloading time elapses. Therefore, the proposed hybrid STBC–SM scheme suitable for multi-link D2D communication is an appropriate solution for large file downloading services (e.g. video on demand (VOD) services), because such files typically require a significant amount of time to download.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (No. NRF-2015R1A2A2A03008129).

References

- 1. Astely, D., Dahlman, E., Fodor, G., Parkvall, S., & Sachs, J. (2013). LTE release 12 and beyond [accepted from open call]. IEEE Communications Magazine, 51(7), 154-160.
- 2. Doppler, K., Rinne, M., Wijting, C., Ribeiro, C. B., & Hugl, K. (2009). Device-to-device communication as an underlay to LTE-advanced networks. IEEE Communications Magazine, 47(12), 42–49.
- 3. Doppler, K., Yu, C. H., Riberio, C. B., & Janis, P. (2010). Mode selection for device-to-device communication underlaying an LTE-advanced network. In Proceedings of IEEE wireless communications and networking conference (WCNC), 2010 (pp. 1–6).
- 4. Min, H., Seo, W., Lee, J., Park, S., & Hong, D. (2011). Reliability improvement using receive mode selection in the device-to-device uplink period underlaying cellular networks. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 10(2), 413–418.
- 5. Yu, C. H., Doppler, K., Ribeiro, C. B., & Tirkkonen, O. (2011). Resource sharing optimization for device-to-device communication underlaying cellular networks. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 10(8), 2752–2763.
- 6. Kaufman, B., Lilleberg, J., & Aazhang, B. (2013). Spectrum sharing scheme between cellular users and ad-hoc device-to-device users. IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, 12(3), 1038–1049.
- 7. Yu, C. H., Tirkkonen, O., Doppler, K., & Ribeiro, C. (2009). Power optimization of device-to-device communication underlaying cellular communication. In Proceedings of IEEE international conference on communications (ICC), 2009 (pp. 1–5).
- 8. Lee, D., Kim, S. I., Lee, J., & Heo, J. (2014). Power allocation and transmission period selection for device-to-device communication as an underlay to cellular networks. Wireless Personal Communications, 79(1), 1–20.
- 9. Xu, S., Wang, H., Chen, T., Huang, Q., & Peng, T. (2010). Effective interference cancellation scheme for device-to-device communication underlaying cellular networks. In Proceedings of IEEE vehicular technology conference (VTC) 2010-Fall (pp. 1–5).
- 10. Wang, D., & Wang, X. (2014). Effective interference cancellation schemes for device-to-device multicast uplink period underlaying cellular networks. Wireless Personal Communications, 75(4), 2201–2216.
- 11. Alamouti, S. (1998). A simple transmit diversity technique for wireless communications. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 16(8), 1451–1458.
- 12. Wolniansky, P. W., Foschini, G. J., Golden, G. D., & Valenzuela, R. A. (1998). V-BLAST: An architecture for realizing very high data rates over the rich-scattering wireless channel. In *Proceedings* of IEEE international symposium on signal, systems, and electronics (ISSSE) (pp. 295–300).
- 13. Zheng, L., & Tse, D. N. C. (2003). Diversity and multiplexing: A fundamental tradeoff in multipleantenna channels. IEEE Transaction on Information Theory, 49(5), 1073–1096.
- 14. Zhao, L., & Dubey, V. K. (2005). Detection schemes for space-time block code and spatial multiplexing combined system. IEEE Communications Letters, 9(1), 49–51.
- 15. Zhuang, X., Vook, F. W. , R-Leveil, S., & Gosse. K. (2003). Transmit diversity and spatial multiplexing in four-transmit-antenna OFDM. In Proceedings of IEEE international conference on communications (ICC) (pp. 2316–2320).
- 16. You, D., & Kim, D. H. (2014). Multi-device-to-multi-device communication in cellular network for efficient contents distribution. In Proceedings of IEEE international conference on consumer electronics (ICCE), 2014 (pp. 244–247).
- 17. Qualcomm. (2013). Way forward D2D deployments. 3GPP TSG RAN WG1 Meeting #72bis, R1–131689.
- 18. Dohler, M., Lefranc, E., & Aghvami, H. (2002). Space-time block codes for virtual antenna arrays. In Proceedings of IEEE personal, indoor and mobile radio communications (PIMRC), 2002 (pp. 414–417).
- 19. Cho, Y. S., Kim, J., Yang, W. Y., & Kang, C. G. (2010). MIMO-OFDM Wireless Communications with MATLAB. Hoboken: Wiley.

Dongho You received his B.S. and M.S. degrees from Seoul National University of Science and Technology (SeoulTech), Korea, in 2012 and 2014, respectively, all in media IT engineering. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the Department of Broadcasting and Communication Program, the Graduate School of NID Fusion Technology, SeoulTech, Korea. His current research interests include distributed algorithms for robust wireless video transmission and cooperative communication.

Dong Ho Kim received B.S. degree from Yonsei University, Korea, in 1997, and M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Korea, in 1999 and 2004, respectively, all in electrical engineering. Since 2007, he has been with the Department of Electronic and IT Media Engineering, Seoul National University of Science and Technology (SeoulTech), Korea. He was in 4G Wireless Technology Lab., Samsung Advanced Institute of Technology (SAIT) in the years 2004–2006, and Mobile Communications Research Institute, Samsung Electronics in the years 2006–2007. His current research interests include convergence of broadcasting and mobile communication: joint source-channel coding, multimedia signal processing, and all aspects of OFDM-MIMO systems.