



Potential of cyanobacteria in the conversion of wastewater to biofuels


Asemgul K. Sadvakasova¹ · Bekzhan D. Kossalbayev¹ · Bolatkhan K. Zayadan¹ · Dariga K. Kirbayeva¹ · Saleh Alwasel³ · Suleyman I. Allakhverdiev^{1,2,3}

Received: 24 April 2021 / Accepted: 5 July 2021 / Published online: 19 July 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

Abstract

Environmental and energy security has now become a serious global problem, requiring a lot of research to find and implement its cost-effective and environmentally friendly alternatives. The development and use of renewable energy sources is necessary and important in order to avoid the emergence of a global economic crisis. One of the solutions to prevent a future crisis caused by energy shortages is to introduce biofuels into the fuel market. Despite the fact that various forms of renewable energy are currently used, the prospects for the production of biofuels from cyanobacteria are quite high due to their unique properties, such as a high lipid content and a suitable fatty acid (FA) composition for the production of biofuels, their suitability for growing open water and the ability to grow on wastewater. The purpose of this article is to provide a comprehensive overview of the potential of cyanobacteria in the conversion of wastewater into biofuels. The article covers comparative data on the accumulation of lipids and the content of fatty acids in various representatives of cyanobacteria and their possibilities in the remediation of wastewater. Various approaches to the extraction of lipids from phototrophic microorganisms that are currently available, their advantages and disadvantages, and the results of the monitoring of the main key points of the development of the technology for converting cyanobacterial biomass into biofuels, with an emphasis on the existing barriers, effects and solutions, are also considered. Further research in this field is required for the successful implementation of this technology on an industrial scale.

Graphic abstract



Keywords Biodiesel · Cyanobacteria · Fatty acids · Lipids · Wastewater

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Introduction

At present, world oil consumption is about 11.6 million tons per day, in connection with this, underground resources of fossil oil will only last for several decades (Kumar 2017). Many researchers assume that a decrease in oil production will be observed starting from the 2030s, which will entail a crisis caused by energy shortages (Kumar and Rao 2015). Therefore, the search is currently underway for an economically viable, inexhaustible source of energy that can replace crude oil, in order to avoid the emergence of a global economic crisis. In addition, burning fossil fuels leads to large emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs), which in turn leads to irreversible climate change. According to forecasts of climatologists, greenhouse gases will grow to about 43 billion metric tons by 2040 year. Thus, additional energy resources are needed that are renewable and readily available. In this regard, exploring the possibilities of replacing fossil fuel resources with alternative energy is an important field of scientific research. Among other renewable energy sources, biofuels can be considered a substitute for oil due to their non-toxic, S-free, biodegradable nature, originating from renewable sources (Liew et al. 2014; Ghasemi et al. 2012; Sukenik et al. 1993; Torres et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2013; Sharma et al. 2012; Sajjadi et al. 2016).

Nevertheless, biofuel obtained from the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms is a relatively new area of research. Over the past 30 years, cyanobacteria have been intensively studied in biofuel production, especially the ability of their cells to produce lipids and rapid biomass accumulation as a result of photosynthesis (Khan et al. 2018). It is to be noted that the lipids of cyanobacteria are rather diverse in comparison with lipids of algae and plants, since they consist of diacylglycerols located in thylakoid and photosynthetic membranes. Considering the possibilities of photosynthesis on the planet, their lipids, being the most abundant, attract wide attention of researchers and have great potential in the production of biofuels.

In addition, it is important to note about the high photosynthetic activity of cyanobacteria, due to which their mass cultivation can effectively reduce carbon dioxide emissions into the atmosphere and, thus, reduce the impact of global warming, making an important contribution to the protection of the natural environment and maintaining the ecological balance. Also, the ability of cyanobacteria to grow on waste waters of various origins and carry out their bioremediation makes it possible to consider the possibility of using these effluents as a medium for their cultivation, reducing the pressure on fresh water resources in the future.

Thus, the multipurpose use of cyanobacteria in the treatment of wastewater, in the purification of air from

CO₂ can be integrated by the associated production of their biomass in order to produce environmentally friendly, renewable biofuel (Maheshwari et al. 2018). The production of such biofuels from the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms is not only cost effective, but also environmentally sustainable technology with minimization of waste generation during use (Sheng et al. 2011).

Considering the above, it is necessary to note the high relevance of studies of the capabilities of cyanobacteria in converting waste (wastewater, CO₂) into biofuel. In general, there are many different reviews and experimental articles in the literature on the production of biofuels based on phototrophic microorganisms, where the attention of researchers is paid more to microalgae (Abdelhamid et al. 2019; Kalavathi et al. 2001; Shashirekha et al. 2008; Uma et al. 2009; Balaji et al. 2014; Dellamatrice et al. 2017; Kumar et al. 2018), the study of their lipid accumulation, their fatty acid composition (Kumar et al. 2018; Singh 2015a, 2015b; Aratboni et al. 2019).

Besides, the rapid development of science in the field of bioenergy requires an analysis of the current state of scientific data in this area and their systematization.

This review analyzes the capabilities of cyanobacteria in the production of biodiesel, presents comparative data on the accumulation of lipids and the content of fatty acids in their various representatives. And since cyanobacteria have the ability to decompose various environmental pollutants, and are also promising tools for wastewater treatment, in addition to studying the possibility of obtaining biodiesel from cyanobacterial biomass, this review discusses the potential of such cyanobacteria in low- and waste-free technologies for bioremediation of contaminated water with associated production their useful biomass. Furthermore, in view of the fact that the production of high-quality products, including biofuels from the biomass of cyanobacteria, is also determined by the use of effective methods for biomass pretreatment and lipid extraction, this article analyzes the influence of various approaches on the total lipid yield and the possibility of their use when establishing this technology. In addition, the article monitors the key points in the development of technology for the conversion of cyanobacterial biomass into biofuel, considers the main barriers, effects and solutions.

Potential of cyanobacteria in bioenergy and wastewater treatment

A key role in the productivity of any biological product, including biofuels, undoubtedly plays the right choice of a suitable object. As a rule, microorganisms of interest as raw materials for biofuels are selected on the basis of a number of properties, which include the natural ability to produce

the fuel of interest or the main raw material for its production, high productivity, active growth, the ability to grow on cheap media, the ability to succumb to various metabolic and genetic manipulations to improve their properties. All this determines the possibility of their industrial application.

Thus, the ability to naturally intensively accumulate the necessary fatty acids and use sunlight as a source of energy makes cyanobacteria, along with algae, promising objects for the production of biodiesel.

As an environmentally friendly and high-performance raw material for the production of biodiesel, cyanobacteria are recognized as one of the most potentially suitable objects used for the production of third-generation biofuels. When compared with some plant objects that are first-generation fuel sources, phototrophic microorganisms are able to generate almost 30 times more energy per acre. And given their main advantage over plants, such as the lack of competition as a food source, they can be one of the potential substrates for bioenergy production today. The use of food crops as feedstocks for biofuel production is already unprofitable due to the use of agricultural land for their cultivation and competition in the food industry. Therefore, cyanobacteria, as the most ancient, fast-growing and high-energy crops, can become the basis for large-scale production of biofuels, thereby creating the basis for sustainable energy development of the future. Modern catalytic methods open up wide opportunities for processing cyanobacteria biomass into various products. Thus, various types of biofuels can be obtained from their biomass: liquid (biodiesel, biobutanol, bioethanol, bio-oil) and gaseous (methane, biohydrogen). In recent years, the attention of researchers has been increasingly focused on the use of the biomass of cyanobacteria, which actively accumulate lipids in the production of biodiesel.

As pointed out before, the waste of cyanobacterial biomass after the extraction of lipids for the production of biodiesel could be used to produce other types of biofuels. For example, carbohydrate-rich biomass waste can be a good raw material for the fermentation and production of alcohol-containing fuels, such as bioethanol and biobutanol (Fig. 1). This also highlights the great potential of cyanobacteria and the feasibility of using their biomass in bioenergy, taking into account the global trends in the development of various low-and non-waste technologies, which are currently one of the important trends.

Lipid accumulation and fatty acid profile of cyanobacteria

Natural lipid profile of cyanobacteria and influence on fuel quality

Cyanobacteria, being sources of lipids, are considered as important objects in biotechnology and bioenergy. Their lipid components mainly consist of neutral galactolipids (monohalactosyldiacylglycerol and digalactosyldiacylglycerol) and two types of anionic lipids (sulfoquinovosyldiacylglycerol and phosphatidylglycerol). Within a certain class of lipids, cyanobacteria lipids may differ in the number of carbon atoms in the fat acyl substituent and the level of saturation (Hewelt-Belka et al. 2020). Currently, the species *Synechocystis*, *Anabaena*, *Synechococcus*, *Oscillatoria* and *Spirulina* are well studied with respect to lipid accumulation (Rajeshwari and Rajashekhar 2011). They have the ability to accumulate significant amounts of diacylglyceride as a reserve lipid (20 to 50% of the dry mass of the cell) under photo-oxidative stress or other stressful environmental conditions.

Thus, recent studies have shown that the production of lipids by the *Synechocystis* PCC6803 strain was 12.5% (Maheshwari et al. 2018). The data on a sufficiently large number of lipids in the cells of cyanobacteria *Oscillatoria* sp. (31.9%), *Synechococcus* sp. (30.6%), *Croococciopsis* sp. (22.7%), *Leptolyngbya* sp. (21.15%), *Limnotherix* sp. (20.73%) are presented (Hossain et al. 2020). They also reported that a 10.8% lipid accumulation for the strain *Oscillatoria* sp. isolated from a rice field. Two species of filamentous cyanobacteria were isolated, their fatty acid composition was studied, and lipid productivity was determined in *Spirulina subsalsa* BDU 141201 and *Oscillatoria willei* BDU 130791 (16.2 and 15.9%), respectively. Palanisamy et al. (2021) established a high lipid accumulation of up to 34.5% for *Spirulina phlathensis*, which was increased to 35.8% after light optimization. Han et al. (2016) optimized the *Anabaena variabilis* strain for lipid production, achieving its productivity of up to 46.9%. Yalcin and Hoffmann (2020) cultivated the freshwater cyanobacteria *Dolichospermum affine* strain under starvation and limitation conditions, using six different concentrations of nitrate and phosphate in order to study fatty acid profile. Lipid content of the strain was 10.67% dry weight. Another related work was held with Nagappan et al. (2020) research group. They isolated 11 nitrogen fixing cyanobacterial strains from natural sources and identified their fatty acids compositions of FAME. According to obtained results, between investigated strains the high about 16.1% DW (dry weight) lipid yield was extracted from dry weight biomass of *Anabaena* sp. (g24).




Fig. 1 Scheme of obtaining biofuels from cyanobacteria cultivated in wastewater

As previously mentioned, Bolatkhan et al. (2020) investigated several cyanobacterial strains for lipid production that grown in handmade photobioreactor and the result showed unicellular cyanobacteria *Cyanobacterium* sp. IPPAS B-1200 contains 16.1% lipid from dry weight biomass. As reported by Blažina et al. (2019) *Synechococcus* sp. MK568070 strain investigated as a high productive for biodiesel production and lipid content was higher from previously mentioned strains - 21.4% dry weight. Cyanobacterium *Limnothrix* sp. ASM-1 was found between investigated strains as a high lipid producer (9.12% of dry weight) in Oliveira et al. (2018) work after cultivation in microelement-limited medium. In Cordeiro et al. (2017) research work, the maximum lipid yield was obtained after weekly cultivation of *Microcystis protocystis* in stress conditions, and the lipid parentage was near to 41.5%, while in same work *Microcystis panniformis* contains 35.8% lipid of dry weight. Among the N₂-fixing strains, the *Calothrix crustacea* cell was found to accumulate 5% of lipid, while non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria *Limnicolaria martensiana* contains 5% lipid of dry weight as shown in Nagarkar et al. (2004) work.

Table 1 below shows some data on cyanobacteria strains characterized by intensive lipid accumulation.

Biodiesel is composed of fatty acid methyl ester (FAME), obtained by transesterification of biologically derived lipids. Thus, the lipid composition has a significant impact on the biodiesel production technology and product quality.

An important point when choosing the types of cyanobacteria for the production of biodiesel is not only high lipid productivity, but also the correct profile of fatty acids. An important parameter that determines the quality of biodiesel is the composition of fatty acid esters, the structure of which affects the key properties of biofuels, especially its cetane number, ignition temperature, viscosity, oxidative stability, fluidity at low temperatures (Deshmukh et al. 2019). The high content of esters of saturated fatty acids leads to an increase in the cetane number, while the delay time of the fuel combustion is shortened, that is, the fuel mixture will burn more calmly and smoothly. Thereby, a higher resistance to oxidation characterizes biofuels containing compounds with a large number of saturated bonds, and glycerol polymerization does not occur during its combustion, which significantly increases the reliability of engines (Gopinath et al. 2015). Therefore, the fatty acid composition of cyanobacteria lipids, as potential producers of biodiesel, is subject to such requirements as a high content of saturated fatty acids and a low amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Among the fatty acids, the chemical compositions C10: 0, C16: 0, C18: 1 and C18: 2 are important for the production of biodiesel.

The study of the carbon chain length of fatty acids provides a mechanism for the production of biofuels with different properties, since C10-14 fatty acids are used as

components of reactive biofuels. In addition, C16-18 fatty acids are the main objects of biodiesel production. Studies conducted so far have shown that cyanobacteria contain a large amount of C10-12 fatty acids (*Trichodesmium* grown in the laboratory accounted for 50% of the total amount of fatty acids). In addition, C14 fatty acid has been found in many cyanobacteria strains—14: 0 *Trichodesmium erythraeum* (Lu 2010; Chi et al. 2008) or *Phormidium* J and *Prochlorothrix hollandica* in some cases contains 30% of cyanobacteria 14: 1 fatty acids (Sarsekeyeva et al. 2014).

All cyanobacteria are conditionally united into four groups according to the fatty acid profile. Group 1 includes cyanobacteria species, in particular representatives of mesophilic and thermophilic *Synechococcus* species, characterized by only one double bond at the position $\Delta 9$ of fatty acids in the SN-1 region (C16 or C18) (Sarsekeyeva et al. 2014); in cyanobacteria of group 2, fatty acid C18 is saturated at positions $\Delta 9$, $\Delta 12$ and $\Delta 15$, and acid C16 contains saturated fatty acids at positions $\Delta 9$ and $\Delta 12$, which is typical for *Anabaena*, *Nostoc*, etc. C18 fatty acid in Group 3 cyanobacteria contains saturated fatty acids at positions $\Delta 6$, $\Delta 9$, and $\Delta 12$, this is typical for *Spirulina* and *Arthrospira* species (Maslova et al. 2004). Four group of cyanobacteria is characterized by saturation of positions $\Delta 6$, $\Delta 9$, $\Delta 12$ and $\Delta 15$ of the C18 fatty acid (model strain *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803). Palmitic acid C16 is not saturated in groups 3 and 4 and allow the whole genomes of cyanobacteria strains to be detected during sequencing. In addition, the genes of the main fatty acid desaturases directly control the quality of fatty acids, the study of these genes opens up opportunities for the classification and differentiation of cyanobacteria based on fatty acids. As already studied, in most cyanobacteria, the fatty acids C18 and C16 are saturated at positions— $\Delta 9$ and $\Delta 12$ (Table 2). These are mainly representatives of the species *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* (Chi et al. 2008) and they are all adapted to the production of diene fatty acids (Sarsekeyeva et al. 2014).

Temina et al. (2007) investigated the content of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids of *Nostoc* and other species by growing them in various wastewater and recording the release of lipids. It was shown that the occurrence of fatty acids in the *Nostoc* family is different. A statistical analysis of the variability of the fatty acids of the *Nostoc* family was carried out. Behnam et al. (2020) performed the direct transesterification process of the *Fremyella diplosiphon* strain and identified the fatty acid methyl esters (FAME). Alkanes, which are the main component of conventional fuels, were contained in transesterified lipids of the *Fremyella diplosiphon* strain, which confirmed that the natural synthesis of these hydrocarbons is not inhibited in the production of biodiesel (Behnam et al. 2020).

Sarsekeyeva et al. (2014) made a phylogenetic analysis of the *Cyanobacterium* sp. IPPAS B-1200 strain isolated from

Table 1 The content of lipids in the biomass of some strains of cyanobacteria

Strains	Types of cyanobacteria	Lipid content, % DW	References
<i>Oscillatoria</i> sp. U-55	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	31.9	Hossain et al. (2020)
<i>Synechococcus</i> sp. U-10	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	30.6	
<i>Croococciopsis</i> sp. U-16	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	22.7	
<i>Leptolyngbya</i> sp. U-1	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	21.1	
<i>Limnothrix</i> sp. U-67	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	20.7	
<i>Calothrix</i> sp.	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	18.1	
<i>Nostoc</i> sp.	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	15.4	
<i>Cephalothrix Komarekiana</i> U-41	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	13.8	
<i>Westiellopsisprolifca</i> U-58	Filamentous cyanobacteria with akinetes	12.8	
<i>Dolichospermum affine</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	10.67	Yalcin and Hoffmann (2020)
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. MCC4	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	15.7	Nagappan et al. (2020)
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. (g17)	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	9.6	
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. (g15)	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	9.8	
<i>Nostoc muscorum</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	8.4	
<i>Nostoc calcicola</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	6.5	
<i>Anabaena</i> sp. (g24)	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	16.1	
<i>Anabaena</i> sp. (g19)	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	9.8	
<i>Anabaena doliolum</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	9	
<i>Tolypothrix</i> sp.	Filamentous cyanobacteria with akinetes	7.7	
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp.	Unicellular cyanobacteria	3.6	
<i>Westiellopsis</i> sp.	Filamentous cyanobacteria with akinetes	9.3	
<i>Oscillatoria pseudogeminata</i>	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	15	El Fatah et al. (2020)
<i>Cyanobacterium</i> sp. IPPAS B-1200	Unicellular cyanobacteria	23.1	Bolatkhani et al. (2020)
<i>Synechococcus</i> sp. MK568070	Unicellular cyanobacteria	21.4	Blažina et al. (2019)
<i>Synechococcus</i> sp. PCC 7942	Unicellular cyanobacteria	6.13	Peng et al. (2019)
<i>Cyanobium</i> sp. BG-11	Unicellular cyanobacteria	5.48	Oliveira et al. (2018)
<i>Limnothrix</i> sp. ASM-1	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	9.12	
<i>Limnothrix</i> sp. BG-11	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	7.87	
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. BG-11	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	0.43	
<i>Nostoc</i> sp. ASM-1	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	1.74	
<i>Cyanobium</i> sp. ASM-1	Unicellular cyanobacteria	1.59	
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp.	Unicellular cyanobacteria	45.02	Derakhshandeh et al. (2019)
<i>Microcystis novacekii</i>	Unicellular cyanobacteria	31.7	Cordeiro et al. (2017)
<i>Microcystis aeruginosa</i>	Unicellular cyanobacteria	23.3	
<i>Microcystis panniformis</i>	Unicellular cyanobacteria	35.8	
<i>Microcystis protocystis</i>	Unicellular cyanobacteria	41.5	
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. NN	Unicellular cyanobacteria	19.3	Jawaharraj et al. (2017)
<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	13.1	Patel et al. (2018)
<i>Synechococcus</i> PCC 7942	Unicellular cyanobacteria	11.0	
<i>Nostoc muscorum</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	7.5	
<i>Oscillatoria</i> sp.	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	8.9	
<i>Anabaena cylindrica</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	4.8	
<i>Lyngbya</i> sp.	Unicellular cyanobacteria	10.3	
<i>Phormidium</i> sp.	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	8.4	
<i>Anabaena variabilis</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	46.8	Han et al. (2016)
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	10	Wang et al. (2016)
<i>Synechococcus</i> sp. PCC7942	Unicellular cyanobacteria	29	Silva et al. (2014)
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. PCC6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	45.5	Cai (2012)

Table 1 (continued)

Strains	Types of cyanobacteria	Lipid content, % DW	References
<i>Microcystis</i> sp	Unicellular cyanobacteria	28	El-Arady et al. (2012)
<i>Phormidium</i> sp.	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	15	
<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	13	Tran et al. (2009)
<i>Synechococcus elongatus</i>	Unicellular cyanobacteria	17.7	Wahlen et al. (2011)
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	18.4	
<i>Arthrospira platensis</i>	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	13.2	Ryckebosch et al. (2012)
<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	20	Sheng (2011)
<i>Synechocystis</i> sp. PCC 6803	Unicellular cyanobacteria	32.5	Eungrasamee et al. (2020)
<i>Oscillatoria</i> spp.	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	13	Griffiths Harrison (2009)
<i>Synechocystis</i> spp. (U)	Unicellular cyanobacteria	50	Rittmann et al. (2008)
<i>Phormidium</i> sp.	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	11	Nagarkar et al. (2004)
<i>Calothrix crustacea</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	71	
<i>Calothrix contanerii</i>	Heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	64	
<i>Limicolaria martensiana</i>	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	76	
<i>Phormidium corium</i>	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	34	
<i>Oscillatoria formosa</i>	Non-heterocystous filamentous cyanobacteria	32	

Table 2 Fatty-acid composition of the total lipids from various cyanobacterial strains. Adapted from Los and Mironov (2015)

FA groups	Strains	Type of cell	14:0 Δ^9	14:1	16:0	16:1 Δ^9	16:2 $\Delta^{9,12}$	18:0	18:1 Δ^9	18:2 $\Delta^{9,12}$	α 18:3 $\Delta^{9,12,15}$	γ 18:3 $\Delta^{6,9,1}$	18:4 $\Delta^{6,9,12,15}$
Group 1	<i>Synechococcus</i> PCC 7942	U ^a	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Group 2	<i>Nostoc muscorum</i>	F ^b	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
	<i>Anabaena variabilis</i>	F ^b	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Group 3	<i>Arthrospira platensis</i>	F ^b	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-
Group 4	<i>Synechocystis</i> PCC 6803	U ^a	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+

^aUnicellular^bFilamentous

the salt Balkhash lake and studied its morphological and ultrastructural characteristics. The strain was characterized by a temperature-independent Δ^9 -desaturation system and a relative amount of myristic (14: 0-30%) and myristolic (14: 189-10%) acids. It is also reported that the total content of C14 fatty acids reaches 40%. It is reported that the remaining fatty acids are mainly composed of palmitic (16: 0) and palmitoleic (16: 189) acids (the sum reaches about 60%). This content of fatty acids, combined with a relatively high growth rate, makes this strain a fairly potential producer for the production of biodiesel. Meanwhile, Sheng (2011) studied the lipid composition of the wild species strain *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. According to the research profile of fatty acids is as follows - C16: 0 (~ 60%), C16: 1 (~ 9.5%), C18: 0 (~ 1.2%), C18: 1 (~ 2%), C18: 2 (~ 9.8%), and C18: 3 (~ 16.5%).

Genetic engineering of cyanobacteria to improve lipid composition

Since most of the research conducted in the twenty-first century was related to genetic engineering, there is not a little information in the literature about improving the productivity of phototrophic microorganisms by genetic manipulation. Thus, Eungrasamee et al. (2020) was able to find the fatty acid genes of the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 strain and increase the amount of lipids by modifying them. They studied the absorption of carbon dioxide by the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 strain and also performed a metabolic engineering process. Rosgaard et al. (2012) developed biosynthetic genes or regulatory genes for local cyanobacteria alkanes and used engineering methods to obtain strains that produce active lipids. One such strategy is given in Ruffing

and Jones (2012) work, where they increased the production and release of free fatty acids in cyanobacteria *S. elongatus* PCC 7942 by knocking out the acyl-ASP synthetase gene and thioesterase expression. Later, Santos-Merino et al. (2018) also in her work with *S. elongatus* PCC 7942, studied the content of fatty acids and conducted experiments of the expression of genes encoding enzymes involved in reactions using genetic engineering. They obtained a mutant of the *Synechococcus elongatus* strain PCC 7942 and made changes in the composition of fatty acids. Some of these modifications are used to improve the production of omega-3 fatty acids. In this paper, the authors presented new data on the pathway of fatty acid synthesis and new strategies that can be used to manipulate cyanobacteria with saturated fatty acid (Radakovits et al. 2010). The genetic modifications of the FFA synthesis pathways in cyanobacteria *Synechocystis* described in Liu et al. (2011), included the deletion of the acyl-ACP synthetase gene (slr1609), the inclusion and expression of acetyl-CoA carboxylase genes (fabH and fadI), and the expression of modified genes for acyl-ACP thioesterase (tesA, fatB1, or fatB2) that control the FAS chain length. In addition, Ruffing et al. (2013) conducted experiments for cultivation and testing of *S. elongatus* PCC7942 strains engineered for FFA production. The authors used several type of genetically modified *Synechococcus* species in order to increase their FAME yields. Using RNA-seq and targeted genetic engineering, they identified several candidate genes, which can improve cell growth parameters during FFA production. These genes include hypothetical proteins (Synpcc7942_1655) ROS-degrading enzymes (Synpcc7942_1214) and a porin protein (Synpcc7942_1464). The authors also concluded that temperature plays an important role in cyanobacterial FFA tolerance. In *Synechococcus* sp. PCC7002, the physiological effects of FFA production were abated by decreasing the growth temperature from 38 to 30 °C. Eungrasamee et al. (2019) employed a metabolic engineering design with target genes involved in selected processes, including fatty acid synthesis, phospholipid hydrolysis, alkane synthesis, and free fatty acid recirculation in unicellular cyanobacteria *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. All engineered strains grew slower than WT and had reduced levels of the intracellular pigment chlorophyll a and carotenoids. A higher lipid content was noted in all engineered strains compared to WT cells, with a maximum content and productivity of 34.5% of DW and 41.4 mg/L/day, during the growth phase of 4th day, respectively.

Information is given where heterologous genes encoding glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPD) and diacylglycerol-acyltransferase (DGAT) were engineered in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 to increase the synthesis of G₃P (glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase). The resulting recombinant *Synechocystis* strain produced high levels of lipids without significantly reducing cell growth. Compared

to the wild-type strain, the lipid content and productivity of the engineered cyanobacteria increased to 31–36%. They found that enhanced G₃P synthesis is an important factor in photosynthetic lipid production and that the introduction of heterologous GPD and DGAT genes is an effective strategy for increasing lipid production in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (Wang et al. 2016).

In general, the methods of genetic modification are especially relevant for fast-growing productive strains of cyanobacteria, but with a low content of lipids and are thus aimed at increasing their lipid productivity. Another key point that the developed genetic engineering methods are aimed at is the development of the ability of cyanobacteria to secrete free fatty acids, the precursors of biodiesel, into the extracellular environment, thus simplifying the release of the product at the output (Radakovits et al. 2010). All these possibilities of genetic engineering stimulate the research of cyanobacteria as a potential source of biodiesel raw materials.

Nutrient deficiency for lipid production in cyanobacteria

An important factor affecting the accumulation of lipids by cells and its biochemical composition is the dependence on the conditions of their cultivation, where nutrient deficiency plays a key role. It is known that the performance of biomass can often have an inverse relationship with the overall performance of lipids. High levels of lipids are usually produced under stress, especially when nutrients are restricted, which in turn reduces the growth of producer cells. Thus, Yalcin and Hoffmann (2020) conducted a study of the biochemical composition of lipids of a single-celled, filamentous strain of cyanobacteria *Dolichospermum affine*, where the total lipid content in the studied form was 10.67% higher compared to the control. 10 different fatty acid profiles were identified in the biomass grown on 6 different modified nutrient media. In all six different cases studied, it was reported that the main saturated fatty acid of the *D. affine* strain was palmitic acid (C16: 0), while the fatty acid release was 40.61% higher when N and P were removed from the nutrient media. The release of oleic acid (C18: 1) was detected in all cases, but the highest rate was recorded in the control group up to 33.72%. The results of this study showed that under conditions of nitrogen and phosphorus starvation, affecting valuable secondary metabolites, they also positively affected the accumulation of lipids by the *D. affine* strain.

Similar data were obtained by Cordeiro et al. (2017) who studied the lipid productivity of 4 species of the genus *Microcystis*. They found that the greatest accumulation of lipids was characteristic of the *Microcystis protocystis* strain (41.5%) when cultured on a control medium than under conditions of nitrogen enrichment of the nutrient medium. It was noted that

the nutrient medium with a low content of nutrients contributed to optimal cell growth and stimulation of lipid accumulation, which can positively affect the cost of the cultivation process by reducing the consumption of nutrients.

In the work of Loura et al. (1987), data on the effect of nitrogen deficiency on the lipid and fatty acid composition of filamentous cyanobacteria *Pseudanabaena* sp. M2 and *Oscillatoria splendida* L3. They noted a twofold increase in dry weight under conditions of nitrogen deficiency, which is apparently associated with the production of cellular polyphosphates and glycogen in response to nitrogen deficiency. The total amount of lipids in *Osc. splendida* under nitrogen deficiency conditions increased from 46 to 50 mg/g dry wt. In addition, in the case of *Pseudanabaena* sp. the amount of total lipid was the same as the control and was 40 mg/g dry wt, but the fatty acid composition showed a high level of fatty acids with C18: 3 and with C18: 1.

In addition to macronutrients, the deficiency of certain trace elements can also positively affect the accumulation of lipids in cyanobacteria cells. Thus, according to Rathore et al. (1993) *Anabaena doliolum*, grown under conditions of molybdenum deficiency, produced less biomass, but there was an increase in total lipid by 1.5-fold (from 88 to 122 mg/g dry wt). Molybdenum deficiency caused an increase in the content of digalactosyldiacylglycerol and phosphatidylglycerol lipids in the cells.

Thus, determining the potential of cyanobacteria in the production of biodiesel, it should be noted that they are quite productive, produce biomass in a short time, and are able to accumulate a lipid product 50–300 times more than some other sources (Mondal et al. 2017). However, despite this, they are still not used commercially, since the production and processing of cyanobacteria biomass into biodiesel today remains quite expensive and unprofitable process. The cultivation of cyanobacteria requires considerable expenses for macro- and microelements, certain costs are associated with the separation of biomass from water, extraction and purification of lipids.

But despite the existing problems, the production of biodiesel from cyanobacteria biomass is considered very promising from an environmental and social point of view, this serves as an incentive to find and develop technologies that can reduce its cost. In this context, the use of waste water of various origins as a nutrient medium for their cultivation can be a solution in reducing the cost of the resulting fuel.

Simplification of cyanobacteria cultivation technology using wastewater

Considering the dramatic increase in the world's population and the rising standard of living, it is necessary to note the increase in wastewater, against the background of a shortage

of fresh water around the world. So, in the world, under the influence of anthropogenic activities, at least 3 billion tons of waste water are released into the environment annually. waste, only some of which are re-treated. For example, in India alone, the amount of waste thrown out per year is 300 million tons, and over time it only increases. In the capital of India alone, the amount of wastewater discharged into the external environment is about 40 billion liters, and only less than half of it is subject to treatment and recycling. In the vast majority of countries, especially in China, most of the wastewater generated from domestic, municipal, agricultural and industrial sources is discharged into the environment without sufficient complete treatment (Boretti and Rosa 2019).

The ability of cyanobacteria to grow on a medium rich in organic carbon and inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus allows us to consider the possibility of using industrial and domestic wastewater as a nutrient medium in order to reduce the cost of their cultivation technology. Considering the potential of these facilities in the treatment of polluted wastewater, it is necessary to note the possible great prospects of low-and non-waste technologies for bioremediation of polluted water with the associated production of their useful biomass. They can be grown in special natural and artificial ponds for the treatment of industrial and domestic wastewater and used as a part of a treatment system consisting of several stages. Thus, cyanobacteria are characterized by the ability to oxidize petroleum components, complex organic compounds, and accumulate metal ions, such as Zn, Co, and Cu (Gupta et al. 2000). Their great potential in the purification of various metals is due to the content in their cells of various functional groups, such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, sulfate and other charged groups important for the binding of metals. Thus, information is provided on the biosorption of zinc and nickel by *Spirulina indica*, *Spirulina maxima*, and *Spirulina platensis* strains (Dmytryk et al. 2014). In addition, cyanobacteria are known to have great potential in removing nutrients from wastewater rich in nitrogenous and phosphoric compounds. The ability to remove nitrogen and phosphate ions from wastewater has been observed in cyanobacteria such as *Oscillatoria*, *Phormidium*, *Aphanocapsa* and *Westiellopsis* (Lau et al. 2015).

Cyanobacteria have also been reported to accumulate and detoxify various pesticides. Previous studies have shown that *Synechocystis* sp. PUPCC 64, *Westiellopsis prolifica*, *Nostoc hatei* and *Anabaena sphaerica* are capable of decomposing organophosphorus or organochlorine insecticides in the aquatic environment (Debnath et al. 2012).

In the natural environment, many cyanobacteria form symbiotic associations with other aerobic or anaerobic microorganisms. Cyanobacterial mats, including members of the genera *Oscillatoria*, *Synechocystis*, and *Pleurocapsa* have been shown to promote the degradation

of hydrocarbons present in oil. Despite the fact, cyanobacteria are not directly responsible for the degradation of hydrocarbons, they facilitate the degradation process by providing oxygen and nutrients to the associated oil-destructive bacteria (Ichor et al. 2016). A consortium consisting of *Phormidium*, *Oscillatoria*, *Chroococcus*, and an oil-decomposing bacterium *Burkholderia cepacia* has been successfully developed and used to efficiently decompose petroleum compounds.

The ability of cyanobacteria to grow on polluted wastewater allows them to be used in low-and non-waste technologies that provide for simultaneous water purification with parallel production of crop biomass, which have a great potential in the production of biodiesel. According to the global trends in the development of biotechnology, such low-and no-waste strategies are currently one of the important trends, since the absence of waste reduces the environmental burden on the environment and increases the profitability of any technology.

Wastewater is chemically/physically different due to its release from different environments (urban, industrial and agriculture streams). Depending on the concentration of macro-and micronutrients, biologically active compounds and toxins found in them, the growth rates of cyanobacteria grown in them also vary. Depending on the substances found in wastewater, they are divided into three groups: municipal, agricultural wastewater, industrial wastewater.

Municipal wastewater

Municipal wastewater is mainly generated from domestic wastewater and small businesses, as well as from some wastewater such as melted snow or storm water (Becker 1994). Municipal wastewater is the preferred wastewater source for algae cultivation due to its high volume and content of phosphorus and nitrogen. However, municipal wastewater contains significant amounts of heavy metals (zinc, lead and copper) which can interfere with cyanobacterial cultivation (Cai et al. 2013).

Agricultural wastewater

Agricultural wastewater, frequently derived from agricultural operations and livestock production (e.g. beef cattle, dairy cattle, pigs and poultry), manured wastes, and it is another source of wastewater that contains very high levels of nitrogen and phosphorus, making their suitable substrate for cyanobacterial cultivation and sustainable production of cyanobacterial biodiesel (Abou-Shanab et al. 2013).

Industrial wastewater

Industrial wastewater is one of the most serious sources of water pollution. Significant quantities of polluted water have been discharged into lakes, coastal areas and rivers over the 1–2 decades, leading to serious problems for both human health and ecosystems (Edokpayi et al. 2017). The composition of this wastewater varies greatly from industry to industry, depending on end products. Each sector has its own specific combination of pollutants, which may require specific treatment processes (Cai et al. 2013). Although, wastewater is source dependent to some extent, most industrial wastewater contains less phosphorus and nitrogen but more heavy metals (Fe, Cu, Zn and W) than agricultural wastewater and municipal wastewater.

In research works, wastewater is used to grow cyanobacteria by partial or direct replacement of nutrient media. Bolatkhan et al. (2020) conducted research work in the application of municipal wastewater for the cultivation of cyanobacteria strains, enabling the development of bioenergy industry and environmentally friendly environmental remediation technologies. They studied the effect of different concentrations of carbon dioxide and municipal wastewater on the growth of cyanobacteria and determined their capabilities in wastewater treatment processes. It was found that the optimal concentration of CO₂ for growing *Cyanobacterium* sp. IPPAS B-1200 and *Desertifilum* sp. IPPAS B-1220 is 10%. It is shown that the cultivation of *Cyanobacterium* sp. IPPASB-1200 on sewage wastewater reduces the concentration of organic pollutants and, accordingly, improves the physical and chemical properties of water. The percentage of cleaning of the selected pollutants was 68–100%. The resulting cyanobacteria biomass was characterized by a significantly high content of lipids (22%). The qualitative and quantitative composition of the fatty acids of the studied strains was the most suitable for the production of biodiesel. Of course, the development of such technologies will allow the development of waste-free technologies, the processing of liquid and air waste into energy resources, which will save raw materials and energy, in turn, reduce waste generation.

In the Yalcin and Hoffmann (2020) study, a single-celled, filamentous strain of *Dolichospermum affini* was grown on a BG-11 medium modified with municipal wastewater containing six different concentrations of nitrate (NaNO₃) and phosphate (K₂HPO₄). The molecular characteristics of *D. affini* strains were determined and the lipid yield rate was 18.10%. Cells grown in wastewater had a higher lipid accumulation activity compared to other media. A study by Soydemir et al. (2016) examined mixed cultures of cyanobacteria grown in treated municipal wastewater. The total lipid yield of the mixed cultures was 26.2% by dry biomass weight. Gas chromatography analysis showed that the FAME composition mainly consists of methyl esters of

palmitic, palmitoleic, stearic, oleic, linoleic and linolenic acids. This study suggests that treated domestic wastewater can be a favorable and sustainable environment for cyanobacteria to grow as a biodiesel feedstock.

Blazina et al. (2019) studied the possibility of cyanobacteria *Synechococcus* sp. MK568070 in the bioremediation of oil refinery wastewater and determined its potential in the production of biomass and lipids. According to their data, despite the existing dependence of biomass productivity on the source of nutrients, their concentration, salinity and the addition of CO₂, in general, there is a positive correlation between the increase in biomass yield (767 mg dry wt/l) and the accumulation of lipids (21.4%) against the background of wastewater treatment. The possibility of accumulation of lipids due to functional photosynthesis, even at a minimum concentration of nutrients, in the conditions of waste water and an excess of industrial CO₂, undoubtedly determines the prospects of the *Synechococcus* sp. strain (MK568070) for industrial production of biodiesel and wastewater treatment.

Kamilya et al. (2006) evaluated the potential for removing nitrogen and phosphorus sources from wastewater by cultures of cyanobacteria *Spirulina platensis* and *Nostoc muscorum*. Thus, they found that during 7 days of cultivation, the removal of NH₄, NO₂, NO₃, and PO₄ by *N. muscorum* biomass was 83.6, 44.2, 14.17 and 41.79%, respectively, while these indicators for *S. platensis* were significantly higher and amounted to 92.4, 48.7, 50.39 and 47.76%, respectively. The high growth potential of these crops on wastewater and their potential for purification determine the prospects for their further use as a source of biofuels.

Mostafa (2012) show a significant increase in the productivity of cyanobacteria in wastewater. According to their data, the yield of the biomass of *Nostoc humifusum* reached the maximum values (572.80 mg dry weight/l), when cultivated on wastewater without any treatment and additives, compared with the control (BG-11 medium) value (400 mg dry weight/l), and for *Phormedium fragile* these indicators were 156.16 mg dry weight/l in the experiment. An increase in the productivity of cyanobacteria in wastewater was also noted in the work Ashokkumar et al. (2019) work for cyanobacteria of the genus *Synechocystis* and El-Sheekh et al. (2014) for *Nostoc muscorum* and *Anabaena subcylindrica*. The prospects of the cyanobacteria *Nostoc linkkia* in the purification of wastewater from nitrogen-containing, phosphorus-containing compounds and surfactants with the simultaneous production of lipid-containing biomass were noted in Bolatkhan et al. (2020).

Thus, cyanobacteria have a great potential in the bioremediation of industrial, domestic and agricultural wastewater. In addition, some of their species can grow at high concentrations of carbon dioxide, can convert carbon dioxide into organic compounds and simultaneously have a cleansing effect on the atmosphere and hydrosphere.

As previously mentioned, the combination of water purification processes with the production of microalgae biomass will significantly increase the environmental and economic efficiency of the production of biomass of biodiesel producers. Therefore, the use of wastewater is considered as a promising alternative to the use of synthetic media with a significant reduction in cultivation costs due to their high availability and availability of nutrients necessary for growth (Molazadeh et al. 2019). This is especially important when scaling the biomass production process of cyanobacteria. Therefore, the development of effective approaches to the cultivation of cyanobacteria, along with the selection of highly effective strains and the study of their metabolic capabilities, is an important step towards the development of an economically sound technology for the production of biodiesel from cyanobacteria biomass.

Efficiency of the approaches used in obtaining lipids from the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms

However, in addition to the development of effective cost-effective approaches to the cultivation of cyanobacteria, there is another important point that plays a significant role in the commercial implementation of this technology. This is a methodological support for the technology of separation of cyanobacteria biomass and isolation of lipids from it. In particular, one of the current problems of the widespread use of cyanobacterial biomass for the production of biofuels is the separation of biomass and the optimization of the methodology for the separation of lipids from the liquid. In addition, cyanobacteria cells are surrounded by cell walls that prevent the release of internal lipid. In this regard, studies on the destruction of the cell envelope to improve the extraction of lipids, the choice of optimal solvents still requires full-fledged research. The dependence of the lipid yield on the methods used for biomass pretreatment and lipid isolation determines the importance of analyzing the methods currently used. Therefore, the optimization and modification of existing methods and the constant search for new catalysts for processing microalgae biomass into biodiesel can significantly reduce the cost of this process (Aratboni et al. 2019).

The methodological support of the technology of industrial production of biodiesel based on cyanobacteria includes all the methods of the main stages of this process, starting from the concentration of the grown cyanobacteria biomass to the methods of lipid extraction (Fig. 2). It is necessary to note the wide variety of existing methods, to which quite a few review and experimental articles have been devoted to date. In this section of the article, we will not dwell in detail on the description of all known methods for obtaining lipids, but only conduct a brief comparative analysis of some of




Fig. 2 Methods for separating the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms from the culture fluid

them, with the definition of advantages and disadvantages and the determination of their effect on the total lipid yield in phototrophic microorganisms.

Methods for separating biomass of phototrophic microorganisms from the culture liquid

Thus, the known methods of separating the obtained biomass of phototrophic microorganisms, including cyanobacteria, from the culture medium, such as centrifugation, sedimentation deposition, filtration, flocculation, flotation and ultrasonic processing, have their advantages and disadvantages that affect the cost of this process. The main difficulties of this stage are associated with the small size of cyanobacteria cells and their low number in the suspension, which makes it difficult to concentrate them. In addition, the method used must preserve the viability of the cells in order to prevent changes in the biochemical composition of the cells obtained as a result of cultivation. It is known that separation by means of centrifugation is characterized by high-energy consumption and the filtration method requires frequent replacement of filters, membranes and a long process time (Lal et al. 2018). Sedimentation deposition of biomass, despite its low energy consumption, also requires a long time of its implementation and is additionally characterized by a low degree of cell separation from the supernatant, which certainly limits its industrial use. The method of separating biomass by electro flotation requires frequent replacement of electrodes and high-energy costs (Jeevanandam et al. 2020).

The efficiency of the separation of microbial biomass by electrocoagulation was successfully demonstrated in

the studies of Uduman et al. (2011), which studied the electrocoagulation of microalgae using various types of electrodes. They determined the high efficiency of the use of aluminum, apparently associated with its charge, which provides a greater probability of the formation of agglomerates due to the neutralization of the surface charge of the cells.

Of all the known methods, the most widely used is the method of concentrating biomass-using flocculants. Flocculation of microorganisms, including cyanobacteria, occurs due to the neutralization of the charge and a decrease in the electrostatic repulsive forces between the charged cells in the suspension, so their individual cells aggregate to form larger particles that precipitate faster. Despite the fact that this method is characterized by high efficiency and low cost, the dependence of the effectiveness of salts of various metals (Zn, Cu, Al, Fe, etc.) used as flocculants on external factors requires additional research and possible modifications of this method (Vandamme et al. 2013).

The use of chemical coagulants for the concentration of biomass from suspension, including titanium tetrachloride and manganese sulfate (Politaeva et al. 2018), and slaked lime, has been widely studied. However, the disadvantages of using chemical coagulants are associated with the transition of part of the cell contents of phototrophic microorganisms into the culture liquid (Bonnet et al. 2019).

Thus, summing up the methods for separating the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms, including cyanobacteria, from the culture liquid, we would like to note the complexity, energy intensity and duration of such methods as centrifugation, separation, filtration and reducing the quality of the resulting products when using chemical precipitation methods. Therefore, the search for an effective way to

separate the biomass from the culture suspension is still an urgent problem (Branyikova et al. 2018).

Methods of cell disintegration of phototrophic microorganisms and lipid extraction

Analyzing the methodology of the next stage of this technology, it would be better to note the equally important methods used in the destruction of cyanobacteria cells. As is known, the procedure of lipid extraction itself involves the destruction of cells and the actual extraction of lipids using various solvents (Ren et al. 2017). Unfortunately, there are only limited works in the literature devoted to the study of the total lipid yield. In addition, the complexity of comparative study is associated with the use of experimenters of combinations of different methods of cell destruction with different methods of extraction, as well as the use of solvents of different nature. But despite all this, the correct choice of the method of cell destruction undoubtedly determines the success of the final result. Despite the more simplified structure of the cell wall of cyanobacteria compared to microalgae and, the overall lipid productivity of the strain directly depends on the correctly selected method of disintegration of their cells. Of course, preference is given to methods characterized by low energy consumption and high productivity, which exclude destruction or changes in the target product.

Cell destruction methods can be physical, chemical, or biological. Physical methods—shaking, ultrasound, autoclaving, microwave oven and others. These methods cause mechanical failure due to contact, pressure or denaturation. One of the advantages of these methods is that they are efficient and do not generate waste, but the disadvantage is that they require energy. On the other hand, chemical methods are characterized by the use of acidic or alkaline chemicals to destroy cells. The advantage of this process is that it simplifies two processes, since cell destruction and hydrolysis of polysaccharides can be achieved using chemical reagents (mainly sulfuric acid). The biological method, in turn, is characterized by the use of enzymes both for the destruction of cells and for the hydrolysis of polysaccharides. The advantage of enzymes is that they do not harm the environment. However, today in the world the method of mechanical/physical destruction of cell membranes is widely used.

Mechanical methods of destruction of the cell envelope, carried out mainly with the use of solid (for example, high-speed homogenization) and liquid mechanical forces (high-pressure homogenization, micro-fluidization), require the transfer of energy to the cells, either on the basis of waves (laser, ultrasonic and microwave processing), or conventional heat (autoclave and water bath) or using a pulsed electric field.

Among physical methods, one of the most frequently used methods of destroying the cells of phototrophic microorganisms is Expeller Pressing, which does not require expensive equipment and involves the use of simple chemicals. Basically, the production of lipids using an expeller is used for filamentous cyanobacteria, and currently up to 75% of the lipids produced by phototrophic microorganisms are obtained by destroying cells by this method. Depending on the type of biomass, different configurations of expellers can be used: screw, piston, etc. (Topare et al. 2011). However, this method is relatively slow and requires a lot of cyanobacterial biomass. In the studies of Milledge and Heaven (2014) this method of mechanical processing of cells is shown as a method that requires a large amount of biomass.

One of the most effective methods most often used in the work on the crushing of microbial biomass is Bead Milling. This method has many advantages, such as high cell destruction, easy biomass loading, optimal operating temperature, and application for various types of biomass from laboratory to industrial scale. The successful destruction of cells is ensured by the correct position and speed of the mixer, the optimal rotation of the suspension flow, regardless of the biomass concentration. Cheng et al. (2011) in his studies showed high lipid productivity of the Bead Milling method modified using a mixture of SC-CO₂ for cyanobacteria *Pavlova* sp cells. Cyanobacteria cells were dried using a 3 mm diameter spray gun, and the extraction process through glass beads was carried out under high pressure. The Bead Milling method, performed using a mixture of SC-CO₂, showed a high lipid yield (15.7%) rather than using N-hexane (10.4%).

The method of pulsed electric field in which an external electric field creates a critical electric potential through the cell membrane increases its porosity and the release of lipids from the cells. In this way, treating *Synechocystis* PCC 6803 with a pulsed electric field (intensity > 35 kWh/m³) and isopropanol as a solvent significantly increased the total lipid yield (Sheng et al. 2011).

Recently, a widely used method of destroying microbial cells of microalgae and cyanobacteria, bacteria and yeast is High-Pressure Homogenization. High-pressure homogenization is a simple system for breaking down microbial biomass, and it can often be used for blasting wet biomass, where the processing liquid is subjected to pressure in the amplifiers and passes through the homogenization chamber. Samarasinghe et al. (2012) in their research work with microalgae *Nannochloris oculata* using this method recorded a lipid yield of up to 60%. Kim et al. (2010) in their work showed that the use of high pressure is the simplest and easiest method in cell destruction. The authors used osmotic pressure to destroy microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, *Botryococcus* sp., *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Scenedesmus* sp. when studying the accumulation of lipids by their cells.

One of the most frequently used effective methods of destruction of cyanobacteria cells is the method of Ultrasonication. When cells are treated with ultrasound, acoustic flow and cavitation are created, affecting the cells in the form of microtubules, creating pressure that leads to the destruction of cell walls and intracellular membranes. Many scientific papers have been devoted to the production of lipids in combination with ultrasound (Kumar and Banerjee 2019). Wu et al. (2011) used low-frequency (20 kHz) high-intensity (0.0403 W/cm^3) ultrasound treatment to destroy *Microcystis aeruginosa* cells and found that ultrasonic water degradation generates free radicals that weaken the cyanobacteria cell wall. Studies by Keris-Sen et al. (2014) showed that disruption of the cell structure by ultrasound significantly increases lipid productivity, while significantly reducing the processing time of cells. In Kaiwan-arporn et al. (2012), a lipid extraction procedure involving ultrasound treatment and chloroform: methanol extraction resulted in up to 18.58% lipid yield in cyanobacteria *Synechocystis aquatilis* TISTR8612. Despite the high efficiency of this method, there are methods that can further increase the total lipid yield. So Qv et al. (2014) found that when extracting lipids of the microalgae *Dunaliella tertiolecta* using ultrasound and microwave rays, the extraction rate during ultrasound treatment was lower and amounted to 45.94% with an extraction time of 5 min than when exposed to Microwave radiation, where this indicator was equal to 57% with a reduction in the exposure time to 160 s. The results obtained by them indicate a much greater efficiency of microwave rays than ultrasound. The Microwave Irradiation method is a fairly widely used method for extracting lipids from microorganisms by the action of electromagnetic waves on cell suspensions in an organic solvent. A comparison of lipid extraction in *Nannochloropsis* sp. using the method of cell destruction by microwave irradiation and heating in a water bath is also presented, the results obtained were also in favor of microwave irradiation - 38.31% and 23.01% (Wahidin et al. 2013).

Of course, a combination of several methods simultaneously in order to optimize the conditions for their implementation (reducing processing time, power and, accordingly, energy consumption, increasing the extraction rate) can be successfully used and contribute to an increase in overall lipid productivity (Qv et al. 2014).

Along with mechanical methods of cell disintegration, which have a number of disadvantages, such as insufficient extraction performance, the use of harmful solvents and the duration of the procedure, non-mechanical methods of destruction, such as chemical and enzymatic cell lysis, have great prospects. If the use of chemical reagents (alkalis, acids, salts) involves their effect on the cell wall, causing denaturation and coagulation of proteins, oxidation of cell wall components, accompanied by the effect of reagents on other cell structures, then the use of various

enzymes-xylanase, cellulase, amylase, papain, pectinase and hemicellulase ensures the destruction of bonds between the substances of the cell wall without destroying the target product. Han et al. (2016) used this method for the *Scenedesmus* sp. strain to obtain a 96.4% lipid yield. Maffei et al. (2018) when working with the marine microalgae *Nannochloropsis* sp., treating the strain with cellulase and mannanase, improved the yield of lipid extraction from 40.8 to 73%.

Data on the use of hydrophilic ionic liquid, 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium for the lysis of microalgae cell walls in the isolation of cellular lipids are presented (Salvo et al. 2010). The hydrophilic ionic liquid breaks down the cell walls and forms two immiscible phases, one of which consists of lipids. After mixing the hydrophilic ionic liquid with a suspension of microalgae cells, the hydrophobic phase of lipids, due to the action of gravity, is placed on top, where it is removed from the mixture and purified.

Despite the variety of existing methods of cell disintegration, it should be noted that cyanobacteria, unlike microalgae, are easily subjected to genetic manipulation, which in turn makes it possible to carry out genetic engineering work with them in order to simplify some stages of this technology. Genetically modified strains, which are of great interest to researchers as a resource for obtaining fourth-generation fuel, allow them to avoid the expensive stages of biomass collection and cell disintegration. The ability of such genetically engineered strains of cyanobacteria to release the end products of metabolism including free fatty acids into the nutrient medium undoubtedly greatly simplifies the technology of processing biomass in the production of biodiesel (Ashokkumar et al. 2019). Thus, it is reported that the removal of the *sll1951* gene for the surface protein in the genetically modified *Synechocystis* strain significantly weakened the peptidoglycan layer of the cell wall and thus contributes to increased FFA secretion into the culture medium (Liu et al. 2011). Information is given that such free fatty acids are deposited in the form of a ring on the walls of the flasks and accumulate in the nutrient medium above the aqueous phase (Haedrich et al. 2020). Of course, this ability of cyanobacteria strains to secrete hydrophobic fatty acids into the nutrient medium greatly simplifies the process of their separation and, most importantly, eliminates the stages of periodic biomass build-up and cell destruction.

Thus, since the stage of dehydration of biomass with subsequent drying is a rather energy-intensive process, due to which the energy contribution during the production of lipids exceeds the energy output from the resulting lipid, the development of methods for extracting lipids without these auxiliary operations is very relevant today. In addition to the effect on cells by genetically engineered methods, avoiding the stage of dehydration, drying and disintegration of cells can be achieved by using special

solvents. Thus, information is provided on the use of dimethyl ether (DME), which has a high affinity for both water and organic compounds and is able to penetrate through cell walls. It was possible to extract 26.4% using DME of the total amount of crude lipids and 54.4% of the total amount of fatty acid methyl esters (Wang et al. 2021). Of course, such approaches are more economical, since they allow simultaneous dehydration with the extraction of lipids and do not require cell destruction.

In general, the lipid extraction stage is a crucial step in the process and represents an important bottleneck for the production of biodiesel on an industrial scale.

Despite the success in the cultivation of cyanobacteria, obtaining their active strains, which are of great interest in the field of bioenergy, there are still no commercially successful industrial methods for extracting lipids from their biomass. The currently existing set of methods for extracting lipids from the biomass of phototrophic microorganisms are represented by varieties of such basic methods as: Soxhlet method, Superior Solvent Extraction Methods, Bligh and Dyer method and Folch method, which differ in the use of various solvents or a combination of diverse solvents and their different ratios. Traditional extraction methods have a number of limitations for large-scale biodiesel production, such as the toxicity of the solvent used in the extraction, the specificity of the solvents used, the environmental pollution of the spent solvents, and the high-energy intensity of the solvent-lipid separation process, which involves high costs for their subsequent purification and distillation (Ren et al. 2017). The main problem in the extraction of lipids from biomass is the presence of residual water, which prevents complete phase separation and reduces the efficiency of the process. In this regard, technologies that ensure the extraction of lipids and do not require pre-drying of biomass are of great importance (Khan et al. 2018). To eliminate these shortcomings, researchers using many modifications are constantly looking for improved methods. Known methods of in situ lipid hydrolysis and supercritical in situ transesterification (SC-ST/E) for the extraction of lipids from wet algae biomass. In this method, wet algae biomass is processed to extract lipids, followed by transesterification to produce biodiesel.

Thus, there are many ways to destroy microbial cells and isolate lipids from them. Each method is effective and specific, and there are still modification processes going on. However, according to the review, the most commonly used and more productive methods for destroying cell structure are Microwave Irradiation and Ultrasonication. By the method of Microwave irradiation from the type *Trichosporon* sp. during production, the amount of lipids was 43.1% (Kumar and Banerjee 2019), while 57.1% of lipids were obtained by the method of Microwave Irradiation (Qv et al. 2014).

Regarding the methods of the actual extraction of lipids, the existing methods are based on the Folch method, which uses organic solvents, the use of which causes the separation and settling of lipids in the upper phase of the solution. The Bligh and Dyer method, a gravimetric method characterized by the ratio of organic solvents, is still widely used for the evaluation of lipids by algae technologists, and is used for both pilot and large-scale extraction processes. There are quite a few modifications of this method aimed at increasing productivity and reducing its toxicity. The most common modification is the addition of 1 M NaCl instead of water, 0.2 M phosphoric acid, HCl, acetic acid, and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) (Matyash et al. 2008). However, all these listed modifications of the method involve the use of chloroform, which, despite the fact that it provides effective extraction, is still not suitable for large-scale use due to toxicity. Therefore, less toxic but less effective substitutes such as ethanol, isopropanol, butanol, MTBE, acetic acid esters, hexane, and various solvent combinations have been and are being investigated by many researchers for the extraction of microalgae lipids (Sheng et al. 2011). Thus, the above-mentioned methods should be tested for its commercial applicability and further research in this area is required for the successful implementation of this technology on an industrial scale.

Prospects and problems of production of biodiesel based on cyanobacteria

Summing up the results of the previous sections of our article, we note that cyanobacteria have the ability to produce a large number of various necessary products, including lipids. And along with microalgae, they can be considered as promising objects for the production of biodiesel due to their correct fatty acid composition and the ability to be easily subjected to various genetic manipulations.

However, our monitoring of the main key points in the development of the technology for converting cyanobacterial biomass into biofuels indicates that, despite the prospects for their use as a renewable source of biomass, at present, the industrial production of such biofuels is not economically justified and has significant barriers (Fig. 3). In addition to the problem of increasing the production of lipids by individual strains of cyanobacteria, an important problem is the scaling of the process of their cultivation. The cultivation of cyanobacteria is one of the most expensive parts of any production process, regardless of whether it is in the conditions of photobioreactors or open ponds.

Therefore, despite the great energy potential of cyanobacteria, today the production of biodiesel based on them is limited by the high costs of its production due to the high cost of the resources used, including water, components of




Fig. 3 Monitoring of key points in the development of the technology of conversion of cyanobacterial biomass into biofuels

the nutrient medium and electricity, etc. Given that the economic efficiency of producing biofuels from phototrophic microorganisms depends on their large-scale productivity, the greatest obstacle to the commercialization of cyanobacteria biofuels is the difficulty of repeating the productive potential for large-scale production. Therefore, despite their potential for high-speed biomass production relative to land-based raw materials, carrying out this process on a large scale may require significant effort.

A number of researchers conducted a technical and economic analysis of the feasibility of different ways of producing biofuels based on phototrophic microorganisms indicate a large variability in the estimated cost of fuel. Thus, Benemann and Oswald (1996) report a low production cost of \$1.65 gal⁻¹, while Richardson et al. (2012) report a high production cost of \$33.16 gal⁻¹. The differences between the presented results can be explained by differences in the processing paths and modeling of the current systems. A meta-analysis performed by Sun et al. (2011) to align the modeling efforts of the studies conducted with the published results, which initially ranged from \$11.40 to \$27.10 gal led to much more closely matched ranges of \$11.68–\$14.31 gal, illustrating a significant reduction in variability due to the use of similar growth conditions and production trajectory. Significant differences in cost are also shown between

competing cultivation types, open air and closed photobioreactors. Studies comparing the two costs have concluded that ORP is more cost-effective than PBR. In the work of Pienkos and Darzins (2009), the economic costs of biodiesel for trucks with a capacity of 30 g/m²/d and 15 g/m²/d were studied. The economic cost per 1 t/km of fuel for trucks with biodiesel with a capacity of 30 g/m²/d from cyanobacteria at 100% CO₂ (ammonia plant) was \$2.8, and for biodiesel at 15% CO₂ (flue gases) was \$2.2. The cost of biodiesel from rapeseed was \$4.2, according to their calculations. They also conducted a technical and economic analysis of this production process in order to estimate the cost of biofuels based on phototrophic microorganisms. They estimated the cost to range from \$25 per gallon for low performance (10 g/m²/day at 15% TAG) to \$2.50/gallon for high performance (50 g/m²/d at 50% TAG). They determined that only with high productivity forecasts, the price of fuel based on microalgae can be competitive with the price of soy (Comar and Nelson 1975). Obviously, the challenge facing the commercialization of the lipid fuel of phototrophic microorganisms is to achieve high productivity while further reducing capital and operating costs. Thus, according to the results of the analysis of technical and economic calculations, it is assumed that the cost of biofuels from phototrophic microorganisms will be approximately 6–10 times higher than traditional diesel fuel.

Of course, this price comparison is approximate, since it is necessary to take into account the existence of a number of «hidden costs» for fossil fuels that are not reflected in the price of ULS diesel fuel. These include the fact that the initial high capital costs have been largely written off over time, in addition, most governments provide subsidies and tax incentives for oil production, and thus taxpayers have indirect costs that do not directly affect the price of fuel. It should also be noted that the costs incurred by governments and individuals due to the health effects of fossil fuels are also not reflected in fuel prices (Balan 2014).

For example, at lower production rates, the final product may be more expensive than fossil diesel, and potentially even more expensive than biodiesel from rapeseed. Profitability requires a source of carbon dioxide and a high rate of cyanobacteria production. But it should be taken into account that despite the low cost of ULS fuel, with the obvious increase in the price of fossil oil, due to its reduction, technological advances in the production of biodiesel from phototrophic microorganisms, including cyanobacteria, can be quite profitable.

For the successful production of biodiesel by cyanobacteria, additional aspects of improving producers through genetic and metabolic approaches need to be explored. The development of genetic engineering, high-performance technologies and advances in systems biology using genome-wide tools make it possible to create strains of cyanobacteria with a given genotype and the required fatty acid composition.

Another key to the successful commercialization of cyanobacteria biodiesel is the improvement of ways to efficiently process biomass. The improvement of biomass separation methods, the selection of optimal solvents and their ratios are important key points that affect the outcome and success of this technology. In addition, the methods used today involve the use of various alkaline and acidic catalysts, which have such disadvantages as the difficulty of separating them from the final products and high requirements for the quality of the feedstock. Innovative technologies promising in this direction are the use of biocatalysts based on immobilized enzymes, which have a number of advantages over transesterification catalysts. This is a low process temperature, usable and easy to separate from the final products. All this will contribute to a significant reduction in the cost of the technological process of obtaining biodiesel.

Technical and economic assessment of the production of biofuels based on phototrophic microorganisms indicates the need to plan and invest in new low-and non-waste technologies that allow, on the one hand, to make the anthropogenic cycle of substances more closed, on the other hand, to significantly reduce the cost of the proposed technology. Thus, the main focus should be on the integration of various innovative technologies. An example of such integration is

the production of cyanobacterial biodiesel when they are cultivated in wastewater. Such low-and non-waste technologies are the basis for the complex conversion of solar energy by phototrophic microorganisms into bioenergy, and subsequently into biofuels. The so-called WMC FWC Strategy The Sustainable Development Act provides for the use of wastewater as a nutrient medium, as well as industrial flue gases to replace traditional sources of CO₂ in the cultivation of cyanobacteria and microalgae. Various types of wastewater can be used, including domestic wastewater, aquaculture wastewater, fermentation wastewater, wastewater from paper production, etc. Undoubtedly, such technologies reduce the load on environmental objects and significantly save resources and thus reduce the cost of production of necessary products, including in the field of bioenergy.

In addition, subsidies and investments should be directed to the development of infrastructure for storage, transportation, and scaling of biodiesel technologies. A significant part of the investment should be directed to highly efficient processing processes of the resulting biodiesel product, all of which require large investments in equipment and modern advanced technologies. Such political support is necessary, since it is clear that the production of biodiesel and the expansion of the market for its use will not occur until sufficient distribution and marketing infrastructure is established. In addition, there is an urgent need to introduce advanced technologies to improve the efficiency of biodiesel production, reduce their cost, which also requires sustainable investment. In general, the creation of a technological and technical base for the development of this bioenergy sector, the introduction of modern engineering developments and the production of necessary equipment, as well as the financing of regional projects in the field of energy and heat production from biodiesel requires large investments and political support from the state (The BEFS 2010).

All of the above determines the impact of various policy aspects on the profitability of biodiesel production in many industries, including agriculture, energy, transport, the environment and trade. The development of appropriate policies and the quantification of their impact in specific cases is complicated by the variety of policy instruments and their application. However, in many cases, these are subsidies aimed at supporting bioenergy and affecting the financial attractiveness of its production, trade and use. It should also be noted that the development of modern trends in the global market of any biofuel is accompanied by the development of contradictions of subjects at all levels from the state to individual economic structures and consumers, in which the economic, environmental and social consequences of the introduction of biofuels remain the subject of extensive disputes (Babu et al. 2013).

Thus, biofuels from phototrophic microorganisms are a complex subject that includes aspects such as economics,

ecology, microbiology, biochemistry, genetics, chemical engineering, and politics. Of course, the complete replacement of fossil fuels with biofuels is not considered achievable, but modern biofuels have a crucial role to play in the long term to help limit the growth of greenhouse gas emissions and lead to the transition of the current oil-based society to a more sustainable fuel. According to experts, by 2030 the global production of biofuels can be increased to 150 million tons of oil equivalent with an annual growth rate of 7–9%. Its share will reach 4–6% of the total fuel consumed by the transport sector. Third and fourth generation biofuels, although still in the early stages of development, can replace more than 70 billion liters of fossil fuels annually, with a likely maximum development period in the period 2025–2035 (Babu et al. 2013).

Conclusion

Unconventional renewable energy sources such as biodiesel, which have been actively developed in recent years as a sustainable response to the coming environmental and food crisis, can be a worthy innovation to meet the basic living needs of the world's population. Cyanobacteria have a huge potential in bioenergy, whose lipids, having the right fatty acid profile, promising in the production of biodiesel, it is a promising renewable raw material for the production of biofuels. Their ability to grow in an environment rich in organic substances and the potential of these objects in the treatment of polluted wastewater, allows us to consider possible great prospects for low- and non-waste technologies for bioremediation of polluted water with the associated production of their cheap useful biomass. However, despite their high productivity and a wide range of produced lipid compounds, the existing number of problems that prevent their industrial use still requires intensive research and development. The improvement of biomass collection methods, cell disintegration approaches, the process of lipid recovery from wet biomass, and the search for and use of non-toxic solvents still require significant research efforts. Considering the unique properties of cyanobacteria and the possibilities of modern bioengineering approaches, great hopes are pinned on genetic engineering technologies that allow both to increase the synthesis of lipids by cells, and to establish ways to isolate them from cells, providing opportunities to bypass the intermediate expensive stages of cell destruction and, accordingly, the periodic increase in biomass. Thus, cyanobacteria with great prospects in the "third generation" fuel technologies, where their biomass is collected and chemically processed into fuel, and in the «fourth generation» fuel technologies, where they directly produce fuel from carbon dioxide and water, are of great interest to researchers, allowing them to minimize the consumption of organic resources

of nature in the future and lead humanity to a new productive branch of energy-efficient development.

Acknowledgements This work was funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan in the framework of the Projects: AP09260077, AP08052402, AP08052481; SA would like to thank the Distinguished Scientists Fellowship Program, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, for their support. SIA were supported by the grant from Russian Science Foundation (No: 19-14-00118).

Author contributions AKS, BDK, Conceptualization, methodology, software; AKS, BDK, BKZ, DKK, SA analysis, and investigation, resources, data curation; SIA, AKS, BDK writing -original draft preparation; AKS, SIA project administration; BDK, AKS, BKZ, SA, SIA, All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

- Abdelhamid SA, Hussein AA, Asker MS (2019) Optimization of culture conditions for biodiesel production from Egyptian isolate *Penicillium commune* NRC2016. Bull Natl Res Centre 43:15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-019-0045-6>
- Abou-Shanab RA, Ji M-K, Kim H-C, Paeng K-J, Jeon B-H (2013) Microalgal species growing on piggerywastewater as a valuable candidate for nutrient removal and biodiesel production. J Environ Manag 115:257–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.11.022>
- Aratboni AH, Rafiei N, Garcia-Granados R, Alemzadeh A, Morones-Ramírez JR (2019) Biomass and lipid induction strategies in microalgae for biofuel production and other applications. Microb Cell Fact 18(1):178. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-019-1228-4>
- Ashokkumar V, Chen W-H, Ngamcharussrivichai C, Agila E, Ani FN (2019) Potential of sustainable bioenergy production from *Synechocystis* sp. cultivated in wastewater at large scale—a low cost biorefinery approach. Energy Convers Manag 186:188–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2019.02.056>
- Babu V, Thapliyal A, Kumar PG (2013) Bio-hydrogen production: current scenarios and future prospects. In: Babu V, Thapliyal A, Patel GK (eds) Biofuels production. Wiley, Hoboken
- Balaji S, Kalaivani T, Rajasekaran C, Shalini M, Siva R, Singh RK, Akthar MA (2014) *Arthrospira* (*Spirulina*) species as bioadsorbents for lead, chromium, and cadmium—a comparative study. Clean (weinh) 42:1790–1797. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clean.20130478>
- Balan V (2014) Current challenges in commercially producing biofuels from lignocellulosic biomass. ISRN Biotechnol 2014:463074. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/463074>
- Becker EW (1994) Microalgae: biotechnology and microbiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 230
- Behnam T, Afua A, Alok SK, Singh PK, Sittler V (2020) Nitrogen deprivation in *Fremyella diplosiphon* augments lipid production without affecting growth. Energies 21:5769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13215769>
- Benemann JR, Oswald WJ (1996) Systems and economic analysis of microalgae ponds for conversion of CO₂ to biomass. Final Rep 97:004328. <https://doi.org/10.2172/493389>
- Blažina M, Haberle I, Hrusti E, Budiša A, Petri I, Konjevi L, Šilovi T, Djakovac T, Gecek S (2019) Growth aspects and biochemical composition of *Synechococcus* sp. MK568070 cultured in

- oil refinery wastewater. *J Mar Sci Eng* 7:164. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse7060164>
- Bolatkhani K, Sadvakasova AK, Zayadan BK, Kakimova AB, Sarsekeyeva FK, Kossalbayev BD, Bozieva AM, Alwasel S, Allakhverdiev SI (2020) Prospects for the creation of a waste-free technology for wastewater treatment and utilization of carbon dioxide based on cyanobacteria for biodiesel production. *J Biotechnol* 324:162–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2020.10.010>
- Bonnet M, Lagier JC, Raoult D, Khelaifia S (2019) Bacterial culture through selective and non-selective conditions: the evolution of culture media in clinical microbiology. *New Microbes New Infect* 34:100622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2019.100622>
- Boretti A, Rosa L (2019) Reassessing the projections of the World Water Development Report. *Clean Water* 2:15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-019-0039-9>
- Branyikova I, Prochazkova G, Potocar T, Jezkova Z, Branyik T (2018) Harvesting of microalgae by flocculation. *Fermentation* 4(4):93. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation4040093>
- Cai T (2012) Cultivation of *Nannochloropsis salina* and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 in anaerobic digestion effluent for nutrient removal and lipid production. Thesis. The Ohio State University. http://rave.ohiolink.edu/etdc/view?acc_num=osu1343748848
- Cai T, Park SY, Li Y (2013) Nutrient recovery from wastewater streams by microalgae: status and prospects. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 19:360–369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.030>
- Chen C-Y, Zhao X-Q, Yen H-W, Ho S-H, Cheng C-L, Lee D-J (2013) Microalgae-based carbohydrates for biofuel production. *Biochem Eng J* 78:1–10
- Cheng CH, Du TB, Pi HC, Jang SM, Lin YH, Lee HT (2011) Comparative study of lipid extraction from microalgae by organic solvent and supercritical CO₂. *Bioresour Technol* 102(21):10151–10153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.064>
- Chi X, Yang Q, Zhao F, Qin S, Yang Y, Shen J, Lin H (2008) Comparative analysis of fatty acid desaturases in cyanobacterial genomes. *Comp Funct Genomics* 284508:25. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/284508>
- Comar CL, Nelson N (1975) Health effects of fossil fuel combustion products: report of a workshop. *Environ Health Perspect* 12:149–170
- Cordeiro RS, Vaz ICD, Magalhães SMS, Barbosa FAR (2017) Effects of nutritional conditions on lipid production by cyanobacteria. *An Acad Bras Cienc* 89:2021–2031. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720150707>
- Debnath M, Mandal NC, Ray S (2012) Effect of fungicides and insecticides on growth and enzyme activity of four cyanobacteria. *Indian J Microbiol* 52(2):275–280
- Dellamatrice PM, Silva-Stenico ME, Moraes Beraldo LA, Fiore MF, Rosim RT (2017) Monteiro degradation of textile dyes by cyanobacteria. *Braz J Microbiol* 48(1):25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.09.012>
- Derakhshandeh M, Atici T, Umran TUN (2019) Lipid extraction from microalgae *Chlorella* and *Synechocystis* sp. using glass microparticles as disruption enhancer. *Energy Environ* 30(8):1341–1355
- Deshmukh S, Bala K, Kumar R (2019) Selection of microalgae species based on their lipid content, fatty acid profile and apparent fuel properties for biodiesel production. *Environ Sci* 26(24):24462–24473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05692-z>
- Dmytryk A, Saeid A, Chojnacka K (2014) Biosorption of microelements by *Spirulina*: towards technology of mineral feed supplements. *Sci World J* 356328:15. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/356328>
- Edokpayi JN, Odiyo JO, Durowoju OS (2017) Impact of wastewater on surface water quality in developing countries: a case study of South Africa. *Water Qual.* <https://doi.org/10.5772/66561>
- El Fatah HMA, El-Baghdady KZ, Zakaria AE, Sadek HN (2020) Improved lipid productivity of *Chlamydomonas globosa* and *Oscillatoria pseudogeminata* as a biodiesel feedstock in artificial media and wastewater. *Biocatal Agric Biotechnol* 25:101588
- El-Arady O, El-Enin SAA, El Semary NA, El Diwani G (2012) Microalgal culture in photo-bioreactor for biodiesel production: case studies from Egypt. *Afinidad LXIX*, 558, Abril - Junio. <https://raco.cat/index.php/afinidad/article/view/268401>
- El-Sheekh MM, El-Shouny WA, Osman ME (2014) Treatment of sewage and industrial wastewater effluents by the cyanobacteria *Nostoc muscorum* and *Anabaena subcylindrica*. *J Water Chem Technol* 36:190–197. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1063455X14040079>
- Eungrasamee K, Miao R, Incharoensakdi A, Lindblad P, Jantaro S (2019) Improved lipid production via fatty acid biosynthesis and free fatty acid recycling in engineered *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 12(8):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1349-8>
- Eungrasamee K, Incharoensakdi A, Lindblad P (2020) *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 overexpressing genes involved in CBB cycle and free fatty acid cycling enhances the significant levels of intracellular lipids and secreted free fatty acids. *Sci Rep* 10:4515. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61100-4>
- Ghasemi Y, Rasoul-Amini S, Naseri AT, Montazeri-Najafabady N, Mobasher MA, Dabbagh F (2012) Microalgae biofuel potentials (review). *Appl Biochem Microbiol* 48:126–144. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683812020068>
- Gopinath A, Sairam K, Velraj R, Kumaresan G (2015) Effects of the properties and the structural configurations of fatty acid methyl esters on the properties of biodiesel fuel: a review. *Proc Inst Mech Eng D* 229(3):357–390
- Griffiths MJ, Harrison STL (2009) Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. *J Appl Phycol* 21:493–507. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-008-9392-7>
- Gupta R, Ahuja P, Khan S, Saxena R, Mohapatra H (2000) Microbial biosorbents: meeting challenges of heavy metal pollution in aqueous solutions. *Curr Sci* 78(8):967–973
- Haedrich J, Stumpf C, Denison MS (2020) Rapid extraction of total lipids and lipophilic POPs from all EU-regulated foods of animal origin: Smedes' method revisited and enhanced. *Environ Sci Eur* 32:118. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-00396-5>
- Han F, Pei H, Hu W, Jiang L, Cheng J, Zhang L (2016) Beneficial changes in biomass and lipid of microalgae *Anabaena variabilis* facing the ultrasonic stress environment. *Bioresour Technol* 209:16–22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.02.103>
- Hewelt-Belka W, Kot-Wasik A, Tamagnini P, Oliveira P (2020) Untargeted lipidomics analysis of the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803: lipid composition variation in response to alternative cultivation setups and to gene deletion. *Int J Mol Sci* 21:8883. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21238883>
- Hossain MF, Ratnayake RR, Mahub S, Kumara WKL, Magana-Arachchi DN (2020) Identification and culturing of cyanobacteria isolated from freshwater bodies of Sri Lanka for biodiesel production. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 27(6):1514–1520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.03.024>
- Ichor T, Okerentugba PO, Okpokwasili GC (2016) Biodegradation of total petroleum hydrocarbon by a consortium of cyanobacteria isolated from crude oil polluted Brackish Waters of Bodo Creeks in Ogoniland. *Rivers State Res J Environ Toxicol* 10:16–27. <https://doi.org/10.3923/rjet.2016.16.27>
- Jawaharraj K, Karpagam R, Ashokkumar B, Kathiresan S, Moorthy IMG, Arumugam M, Varalakshmi P (2017) Improved biomass and lipid production in *Synechocystis* sp. NN using industrial wastes and nano-catalyst coupled transesterification for biodiesel production. *Bioresour Technol* 242:128–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.03.067>

- Jeevanandam J, Harun MR, Lau SY, Sewu DD, Danquah MK (2020) Microalgal biomass generation via electroflotation: a cost-effective dewatering technology. *Appl Sci* 10(24):9053. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10249053>
- Kaiwan-arporn P, Hai PD, Thu NT, Ajit PA (2012) Cultivation of cyanobacteria for extraction of lipids. *Biomass Bioenergy* 44:142–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.04.017>
- Kalavathi DF, Subramanian Uma G (2001) Degradation and metabolization of the pigment-melanoidin in distillery effluent by the marine cyanobacterium *Oscillatoria boryana* BDU 92181. *Enzym Microb Technol* 29:246–251. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229\(01\)00383-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(01)00383-0)
- Kamilya D, Sarkar S, Maiti TK, Bandyopadhyay S, Mal BC (2006) Growth and nutrient removal rates of *Spirulina platensis* and *Nostoc muscorum* in fish culture effluent: a laboratory-scale study. *Aquac Res* 37(15):1594–1597. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2006.01588.x>
- Keris-Sen UD, Sen U, Soydemir G, Guroi MD (2014) An investigation of ultrasound effect on microalgal cell integrity and lipid extraction efficiency. *Bioresour Technol* 152:407–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.11.018>
- Khan MI, Shin JH, Kim JD (2018) The promising future of microalgae: current status, challenges, and optimization of a sustainable and renewable industry for biofuels, feed, and other products. *Microb Cell Fact* 17(1):36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-018-0879-x>
- Kim J, Lingaraju BP, Rheaume R, Lee J-Y, Siddiqui KF (2010) Removal of ammonia from wastewater effluent by *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Tsinghua Sci Technol* 15(4):391–396. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1007-0214\(10\)70078-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1007-0214(10)70078-X)
- Kumar N (2017) Oxidative stability of biodiesel: causes, effects and prevention. *Fuel* 190:328–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.11.001>
- Kumar SPJ, Banerjee R (2019) Enhanced lipid extraction from oleaginous yeast biomass using ultrasound assisted extraction: a greener and scalable process. *Ultrason Sonochem* 52:25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.08.003>
- Kumar RR, Rao PH, Arumugam M (2015) Lipid extraction methods from microalgae: a comprehensive review. *Front Energy Res* 6(2):61. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2014.00061>
- Kumar A, Kaushal S, Saraf SA, Singh JS (2018) Microbial bio-fuels: a solution to carbon emissions and energy crisis. *Front Biosci* 23:1789–1802. <https://doi.org/10.2741/4673>
- Lal A, Ghosh S, Das D (2018) Improvement in electrically induced biomass harvesting of *Chlorella* sp. MJ11/11 for bulk biomass production. *J Appl Phycol* 30:979–993. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-017-1268-2>
- Lau NS, Matsui M, Abdullah AA (2015) Cyanobacteria: photoautotrophic microbial factories for the sustainable synthesis of industrial products. *BioMed Res Int* 2015:754934. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/754934>
- Liew WH, Hassim MH, Ng DKS (2014) Review of evolution, technology and sustainability assessments of biofuel production. *J Clean Prod* 71:11–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.01.006>
- Liu X, Sheng J, Curtiss R (2011) Fatty acid production in genetically modified cyanobacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 108(17):6899–6904. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1103014108>
- Los DA, Mironov KS (2015) Modes of Fatty Acid desaturation in cyanobacteria: an update. *Life* 5(1):554–567. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life5010554>
- Loura IC, Dubacq JP, Thomas JC (1987) The effects of nitrogen deficiency on pigments and lipids of Cyanobacteria. *Plant Physiol* 83(4):838–843
- Lu X (2010) A perspective: photosynthetic production of fatty acid-based biofuels in genetically engineered cyanobacteria. *Biotechnol Adv* 28:742–746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.05.021>
- Maffei G, Bracciale MP, Broggi A, Zuurro A, Santarelli ML, Lavecchia R (2018) Effect of an enzymatic treatment with cellulase and mannanase on the structural properties of *Nannochloropsis* microalgae. *Bioresour Technol* 249:592–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.10.062>
- Maheshwari N, Kumar M, Thakur IS, Srivastava S (2018) Carbon dioxide biofixation by free air CO₂ enriched (FACE) bacterium for biodiesel production. *J CO₂ Util* 27:423–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2018.08.010>
- Maslova IP, Mouradyan EA, Lapina SS, Klyachko-Gurvich GL, Los DA (2004) Lipid fatty acid composition and thermophilicity of cyanobacteria. *Russ J Plant Physiol* 51:353–360. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:RUPP.0000028681.40671.8d>
- Matyash V, Liebisch G, Kurzchalia TV, Shevchenko A, Schwudke D (2008) Lipid extraction by methyl-tert-butyl ether for high-throughput lipidomics. *J Lipid Res* 49(5):1137–1146. <https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.d700041-jlr200>
- Milledge JJ, Heaven S (2014) Methods of energy extraction from microalgal biomass: a review. *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol* 13:301–320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-014-9339-1>
- Molazadeh M, Ahmadzadeh H, Pourianfar HR, Lyon S, Rampelotto PH (2019) The use of microalgae for coupling wastewater treatment with CO₂ biofixation. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 7:42. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00042>
- Mondal M, Goswami S, Ghosh A (2017) Production of biodiesel from microalgae through biological carbon capture: a review. *3 Biotech* 7:99. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-017-0727-4>
- Mostafa SSM (2012) Microalgal biotechnology: prospects and applications. *Plant Sci*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/53694>
- Nagappan S, Bhosale R, Duc Nguyen D, Pugazendhi A, Tsai PC, Chang SW, Ponnusamy VK, Kumar G (2020) Nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria as a potential resource for efficient biodiesel production. *Fuel* 279:118440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.118440>
- Nagarkar S, Williams GA, Subramanian G, Saha SK (2004) Cyanobacteria-dominated biofilms: a high quality food resource for intertidal grazers. *Hydrobiologia* 512:89–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0944-7_12
- Oliveira DT, Vasconcelos CT, Feitosa AMT, Aboim JB, Oliveira AN, Xavier LP, Santos AS, Gonçalves EC, Filho GNR, Nascimento LAS (2018) Lipid profile analysis of three new Amazonian cyanobacteria as potential sources of biodiesel. *Fuel* 234(5):785–788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2018.07.080>
- Palanisamy KM, Paramasivam P, Jayakumar S, Maniam GP, Rahim AMH, Govindan N (2021) Economical cultivation system of microalgae *Spirulina platensis* for lipid production. *IOP Conf Ser* 641:12022. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/641/1/012022>
- Patel VK, Sundaram S, Patel AK (2018) Characterization of seven species of cyanobacteria for high-quality biomass production. *Arab J Sci Eng* 43:109–121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2666-0>
- Peng Z, Feng L, Wang X (1864) Miao X (2019) Adaptation of *Synechococcus* sp. PCC 7942 to phosphate starvation by glycolipid accumulation and membrane lipid remodeling. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Biol Lipids* 12:158522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101588>
- Pienkos PT, Darzins A (2009) The promise and challenges of microalgal-derived biofuels. *Biofuels Bioprod Biorefin* 3(4):431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.159>
- Politaeva N, Smyatskaya Y, Toumi A, Oparina A (2018) Concentration of *Chlorella sorokiniana* microalga biomass at combined usage of coagulants and flocculants. *Eurasian Chem-Technol J* 20(3):243–247. <https://doi.org/10.18321/ectj728>
- Qv XY, Zhou QF, Jiang JG (2014) Ultrasound-enhanced and microwave-assisted extraction of lipid from *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and

- fatty acid profile analysis. *J Sep Sci* 37(20):2991–2999. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jssc.201400458>
- Radakovits R, Jinkerson RE, Darzins A, Posewitz MC (2010) Genetic engineering of algae for enhanced biofuel production. *Eukaryot Cell* 9(4):486–501
- Rajeshwari KR, Rajashekhar M (2011) Biochemical composition of seven species of cyanobacteria isolated from different aquatic habitats of Western Ghats, Southern India. *Braz Arch Biol Technol Curitiba* 54(5):849–857. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132011000500001>
- Rathore DS, Kumar A, Kumar HD (1993) Lipid content and fatty acid composition in N₂-fixing cyanobacterium *Anabaena doliolum* as affected by molybdenum deficiency. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 9:508–510. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00386284>
- Ren X, Zhao X, Turcotte F, Deschênes JS, Tremblay R, Jolicoeur M (2017) Current lipid extraction methods are significantly enhanced adding a water treatment step in *Chlorella protothecoides*. *Microb Cell Fact* 16(1):26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-017-0633-9>
- Richardson JW, Johnson MD, Outlaw JL (2012) Economic comparison of open pond raceways to photo bio-reactors for profitable production of algae for transportation fuels in the southwest. *Algal Res* 1(1):93–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2012.04.001>
- Rittmann BE, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Halden RU (2008) Pre-genomic, genomic and post-genomic study of microbial communities involved in bioenergy. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 6(8):604–612. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1939>
- Rosgaard L, de Porcellinis AJ, Jacobsen JH, Frigaard N, Sakuragi Y (2012) Bioengineering of carbon fixation, biofuels, and biochemicals in cyanobacteria and plants. *J Biotechnol* 162:134–147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.05.006>
- Ruffing AM, Jones HD (2012) Physiological effects of free fatty acid production in genetically engineered *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 109(9):2190–2199. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24509>
- Ruffing AM, Trahan CA, Jones HDT (2013) Genetic engineering of cyanobacteria as biodiesel feedstock. Sandia report. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/207094185.pdf>
- Ryckeboesch E, Bruneel C, Muylaert K, Foubert I (2012) Microalgae as an alternative source of omega-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Lipid Technol* 24(6):128–130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lite.201200197>
- Sajjadi B, Raman AAA, Arandiyan H (2016) A comprehensive review on properties of edible and non-edible vegetable oil-based biodiesel: composition, specifications and prediction models. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 63:62–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.035>
- Salvo RD, Reich A, Dykes HWH, Teixeira RJR (2010) Lipid extraction from microalgae using a single ionic liquid. Patent No. 8450111 US 12/970, 484
- Samarasinghe N, Fernando S, Faulkner B (2012) Effect of high pressure homogenization on aqueous phase solvent extraction of lipids from *Nannochloris Oculata* microalgae. *J Energy Nat Resour* 1(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jenr.20120101.11>
- Santos-Merino M, Garcillán-Barcia MP, da Cruz F (2018) Engineering the fatty acid synthesis pathway in *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942 improves omega-3 fatty acid production. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 5(11):239. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-018-1243-4>
- Sarsekeyeva F, Usserbaeva A, Zayadan B, Mironov K, Sidorov R, Kozlova A, Kupriyanova E, Sinetova M, Los D (2014) Isolation and characterization of a new cyanobacterial strain with a unique fatty acid composition. *Adv Microbiol* 4:1033–1043. <https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2014.415114>
- Sharma KK, Schuhmann H, Schenk PM (2012) High lipid induction in microalgae for biodiesel production. *Energies* 5:1532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2013.03.006>
- Shashirekha V, Sridharan MR, Swamy M (2008) Biosorption of trivalent chromium by free and immobilized blue green algae: kinetics and equilibrium studies. *J Environ Sci Health A Toxicol Hazard Subst Environ Eng* 43:390–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520701795608>
- Sheng J (2011) Downstream processing of *Synechocystis* for biofuel production. Thesis. Arizona State University. December. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011PhDT.....282S/abstract>
- Sheng J, Vannella R, Rittmann BE (2011) Evaluation of methods to extract and quantify lipids from *Synechocystis* PCC 6803. *Bioreour Technol* 102:1697–1703. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.08.007>
- Silva CSP, Silva-Stenico ME, Fiore MF, Castro HF, Rós PCM (2014) Optimization of the cultivation conditions for *Synechococcus* sp. PCC7942 (cyanobacterium) to be used as feedstock for biodiesel production. *Algal Res* 3:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2013.11.012>
- Singh JS (2015) Plant-microbe interactions: a viable tool for agricultural sustainability. *Appl Soil Ecol* 92:45–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2015.03.004>
- Singh JS (2015) Microbes: the chief ecological engineers in reinstating equilibrium in degraded ecosystems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 203:80–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2015.01.026>
- Soydemir G, Keris-Sen UD, Sen U (2016) Biodiesel production potential of mixed microalgal culture grown in domestic wastewater. *Bioprocess Biosyst Eng* 39:45–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00449-015-1487-3>
- Sukenik A, Yamaguchi Y, Livne A (1993) Alterations in lipid molecular species of the marine eustigma tophyte *Nannochlorosis* Sp.1. *J Phycol* 29:620–626. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1993.00620.x>
- Sun A, Davis R, Starbuck M, Ben-Amotz A, Pate R, Pienkos PT (2011) Comparative cost analysis of algal oil production for biofuels. *Energy* 36(8):5169–5179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.06.020>
- Temina M, Rezankova H, Rezanka T, Dembitsky VM (2007) Diversity of the fatty acids of the *Nostoc* species and their statistical analysis. *Microbiol Res* 162(4):308–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2006.01.010>
- The BEFS Analytical Framework (2010) <http://www.fao.org/3/i1968e/i1968e.pdf>
- Topare NS, Raut SJ, Renge VC, Khedkar SV, Chavan YP, Bhagat SL (2011) Extraction of oil from algae by solvent extraction and oil expeller method. *Int J Sci* 9(4):1746–1750
- Torres CM, Ríos SD, Torras C, Salvadó J, Mateo-Sanz JM, Jiménez L (2013) Microalgae-based biodiesel: a multicriteria analysis of the production process using realistic scenarios. *Bioreour Technol* 147:7–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.07.145>
- Tran HL, Hong SJ, Lee CG (2009) Evaluation of extraction methods for recovery of fatty acids from *Botryococcus braunii* LB 572 and *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng* 14:187–192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12257-008-0171-8>
- Uduman N, Bourniquel V, Danquah MK, Hoadley AFA (2011) A parametric study of electrocoagulation as a recovery process of marine microalgae for biodiesel production. *Chem Eng J* 174(1):249–257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.09.012>
- Vandamme D, Foubert I, Muylaert K (2013) Flocculation as a low-cost method for harvesting microalgae for bulk biomass production. *Trends Biotechnol* 31(4):233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.12.005>
- Wahidin S, Idris A, Shaleh SRM (2013) The influence of light intensity and photoperiod on the growth and lipid content of microalgae *Nannochloropsis* sp. *Bioreour Technol* 129:11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.11.032>
- Wahlen BD, Willis RM, Seefeldt LC (2011) Biodiesel production by simultaneous extraction and conversion of total lipids from

- microalgae, cyanobacteria, and wild mixed-cultures. *Bioresour Technol* 102(3):2724–2730. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.026>
- Wang X, Xiong X, Sa N (2016) Metabolic engineering of enhanced glycerol-3-phosphate synthesis to increase lipid production in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 100:6091–6101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7521-9>
- Wang Q, Oshita K, Takaoka M (2021) Effective lipid extraction from undewatered microalgae liquid using subcritical dimethyl ether. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 14:17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-01871-0>
- Wu X, Joyce EM, Mason TJ (2011) The effects of ultrasound on cyanobacteria. *Harmful Algae* 10(6):738–743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2011.06.005>
- Yalcin D, Hoffmann W (2020) Growth, lipid content, and fatty acid profile of freshwater cyanobacteria *Dolichospermum affine* (Lemmermann) by using modified nutrient media. *Aquacult Int* 28:1371–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-020-00531-2>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Asemgul K. Sadvakasova¹ · Bekzhan D. Kossalbayev¹ · Bolatkhan K. Zayadan¹ · Dariga K. Kirbayeva¹ · Saleh Alwasel³ · Suleyman I. Allakhverdiev^{1,2,3} 

✉ Asemgul K. Sadvakasova
asem182010@gmail.com

✉ Suleyman I. Allakhverdiev
suleyman.allakhverdiev@gmail.com

¹ Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Biology and Biotechnology, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Al-Farabi Avenue 71, 050038 Almaty, Kazakhstan

² Controlled Photobiosynthesis Laboratory, Institute of Plant Physiology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Botanicheskaya Street 35, Moscow, Russia 127276

³ Zoology Department, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 12372, Saudi Arabia