ORIGINAL PAPER



Introduction of novel thermostable α -amylases from genus Anoxybacillus and proposing to group the Bacillaceae related α -amylases under five individual GH13 subfamilies

Arzu Coleri Cihan¹ · Emine Derebay Yildiz² · Ergin Sahin¹ · Ozal Mutlu³

Received: 17 February 2018 / Accepted: 12 June 2018 / Published online: 15 June 2018 © Springer Nature B.V. 2018

Abstract

Among the thermophilic *Bacillaceae* family members, α -amylase production of 15 bacilli from genus *Anoxybacillus* was investigated, some of which are biotechnologically important. These *Anoxybacillus* α -amylase genes displayed \geq 91.0% sequence similarities to *Anoxybacillus* enzymes (*ASKA*, *ADTA* and *GSX-BL*), but relatively lower similarities to *Geobacillus* (\leq 69.4% to *GTA*, *Gt-amylI*), and *Bacillus aquimaris* (\leq 61.3% to *BaqA*) amylases, all formerly proposed only in a Glycoside Hydrolase 13 (GH13) subfamily. The phylogenetic analyses of 63 bacilli-originated protein sequences among 93 α -amylases revealed the overall relationships within *Bacillaceae* amylolytic enzymes. All bacilli α -amylases formed 5 clades different from 15 predefined GH13 subfamilies. Their phylogenetic findings, taxonomic relationships, temperature requirements, and comparisonal structural analyses (including their CSR-I-VII regions, 12 sugar- and 4 calcium-binding sites, presence or absence of the complete catalytic machinery, and their currently unassigned status in a valid GH13 subfamiliy) revealed that these five GH13 α -amylase clades related to familly share some common characteristics, but also display differentiative features from each other and the preclassified ones. Based on these findings, we proposed to divide *Bacillaceae* related GH13 subfamilies into 5 individual groups: the novel *a2* subfamily clustered around α -amylase B2M1-*A* (*Anoxybacillus* sp.), the *a1*, *a3* and *a4* subfamilies (including the representatives E184aa-*A* (*Anoxybacillus* sp.), *ATA* (*Anoxybacillus tepidamans*), and *BaqA*, and the undefinite subfamily formerly defined as *xy* including *Bacillus megaterium* NL3.

Keywords Anoxybacillus · Bacillaceaei · α-Amylases · Glycoside hydrolases · Novel GH13 subfamilies

Introduction

 α -Amylases (EC 3.2.1.1) are endo-acting enzymes catalyzing the hydrolysis of α -1,4-glucosidic linkages in starch, glycogen and related polysaccharides using the retaining

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-018-2478-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- ¹ Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Ankara University, Tandogan, 06100 Ankara, Turkey
- ² Institute of Biotechnology, Ankara University, Besevler, 06100 Ankara, Turkey
- ³ Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology, Marmara University, Goztepe, 34722 Istanbul, Turkey

mechanism (Janeček et al. 2014). The tertiary structures of α -amylases bear three domains designated as A, B, and C. With being the most conserved one, domain A contains the active site of the enzymes in a typical (β/α)₈ TIM-barrel structure (Janeček et al. 1997, 2014). The Asp, Glu and Asp residues, positioned on β -strands of the barrel domain, mostly create the catalytic triad consisting of catalytic nucleophile, proton donor, and transition-state stabilizer functions, respectively (Matsuura et al. 1984; Janeček 2002). There are also seven conserved sequence regions (CSRs), CSR-I to CSR-VII, that are characteristic for the α -amylase family and function in catalytic or substrate-binding activities (Janeček 2002).

According to the actual sequence-based classification system in the Carbohydrate-Active enZYmes database (CAZy; http://www.cazy.org/), (Cantarel et al. 2009), α -amylases represent the largest group among Glycoside Hydrolyses (GHs), (Lombard et al. 2014). α -Amylase specificity is

Arzu Coleri Cihan arzucoleri@gmail.com; acihan@science.ankara.edu.tr

presented mostly in the GH13 family in addition to the GH57, GH119 and eventually in GH126 (Janeček et al. 2014, 2015). The family GH13 also forms the clan GH-H with the families GH70 and GH77 in the CAZy database. GH13 family is divided into totally 42 subfamilies, nevertheless the specific α -amylase activity is present only in the 15 subfamilies of GH13_1, 5, 6, 7, 15, 19, 24, 27, 28, 32, 36, 37, 39, 41, and 42 (Stam et al. 2006; Cantarel et al. 2009; Sarian et al. 2017). Many new subfamilies have also been proposed and awaiting to be assigned into a definite subfamily (Stam et al. 2006).

The starch is abundant in nature; therefore, the starch hydrolyzing amyloytic enzymes are also widespread among the living beings from eukaryotic to the prokaryotic origin, though displaying some differences in their enzyme activities and substrate specificities (Stam et al. 2006). Moreover, all the Bacillaceae family members frequently diverge in nature and human-made environments due to their endospore forming capabilities and their resistance to many harsh conditions such as high or low pH and temperature values. The temperature requirements of these bacilli also vary from mesophilic to thermophilic growth (Nazina et al. 2001; Cihan et al. 2014b). Since Cohn had first introduced the mesophilic genus of this family as genus Bacillus in the eighteenth century, its thermophilic members from the genera Geobacillus and Anoxybacillus could only be described after 2000's (Cohn 1872; Pikuta et al. 2000; Nazina et al. 2001). These explanations clarify why all the commercially available α -amylases are from mesophilic bacilli such as Bacillus licheniformis (MEGAZYME, E-BLAAM), Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (MEGAZYME, E-BAASS), and Bacillus subtilis subsp. subtilis str. 168 (PROZOMIX, PRO-E0403). The α -amylases of the mesophilic species Bacillus aquimaris MKSC 6.2 (BaqA), (Puspasari et al. 2013) and Bacillus megaterium NL3 (BmaN1), (Sarian et al. 2017) are also the well-characterized ones.

After the twentieth century, due to some beneficial features of the thermostable enzymes in the industrial processes, the isolation of novel thermophilic bacilli gained considerable attention. In the two phylogenetic diversity studies dealing with the Bacillaceae family revealed that Anoxybacillus species are more predominant in the extreme hot environments probably owing to their carbohydrate degrading abilities among the other genera members (Derekova et al. 2008; Cihan 2013). Many thermostable α -amylases from the genera Anoxybacillus and Geobacillus were characterized including: Anoxybacillus flavithermus (Bolton et al, 1997; Tawil et al. 2012; Agüloğlu Fincan et al. 2014; Ozdemir et al. 2015, 2016a), Anoxybacillus amylolyticus (Poli et al. 2006) and Anoxybacillus caldiproteolyticus D504 and D621 (Ozdemir et al. 2016b), Anoxybacillus spp. KP1, SK3-4 (ASKA), DT3-1 (ADTA), TSSC-1, IB-A, AH1, and YIM 342 (Chai et al. 2012; Kikani and Singh 2012;

Hauli et al. 2013; Matpan and; Güven 2014; Acer et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015) in addition to *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* MTCC 4220 (*Gt-amyII*), CCB_US3_UF5 (*GTA*), YN, NP54 (Berekaa et al. 2007; Rao and Satyanarayana 2007; Mok et al. 2013; Mehta and Satyanarayana 2014), *G. thermoleovorans* subsp. *stromboliensis* Pizzo (*amyA*), (Finore et al. 2011), *Geobacillus thermodenitrificans* HRO10 (Ezeji and Bahl 2006), and *Geobacillus* sp. IIPTN (Dheeran et al. 2010).

Despite the accumulation of several α -amylase sequence data, isolation and characterization studies, it is worth mentioning that most of these endospore-forming bacilli-originated enzymes have not been validly assigned into any of the current 42 GH13 subfamilies until now, except the presence of α -amylases belonging to *Bacillaceae* in GH13 5, 19, 28 and 36 subfamilies. Nevertheless, some novel subfamilies containing these α -amylases from *Bacillaceae* members were proposed but still non-defined. In chronological order, the three undefined bacilli GH13 subfamilies sharing two conserved tryptophan residues (W200-W201, E184aa-A numbering) which are unexceptionally positioned between the loop 3 and β 4 strand of the catalytic TIM-barrel structure, were proposed as (i) the mesophilic group including Bacillus aquimaris (BaqA), B. coahuilensis, Bacillus sp. SG-1 and NRRL B-14,911 α-amylases (Puspasari et al. 2013); (ii) the ASKA and ADTA, BaqA, GTA, amyA, and Gt-amyII amylases (Ranjani et al. 2015; Janeček et al. 2015; Sarian et al. 2017); and finally (iii) the non-defined *BmaN1* GH13 subfamily which includes the mesophilic enzyme of Bacillus megaterium NL3 having an atypical catalytic triad (Sarian et al. 2017). The thirdly proposed subfamily discriminated from the other two preceding ones by the absence of a complete catalytic machinary. Among these bacilli α-amylases, the X-ray crystal structures of Anoxy*bacillus* sp. SK3-4 α -amylase (*TASKA*, PDP ID: 5A2B; it is a truncated form of ASKA) and G. thermoleovorans GTA α-amylase (PDB ID: 4E2O) were successfully unveiled (Mok et al. 2013; Chai et al. 2016).

In this study, a total of 15 isolates and reference strains from genus *Anoxybacillus* were screened for their α -amylase activity and some of them were found to exhibit very high level of thermostable enzyme activities, which possess potential in biotechnological processes and may satisfy the industrial demands. After the PCR amplification and sequence determination of these *Anoxybacillus* α -amylase genes, their putative protein sequences were subsequently used in BLAST search, phylogenetic analyses and amino acid sequence alignments along with their most closely related sequences belonging to *Bacillaceae* family members. Phylogenetic analyses clustered these 15 sequences together with the other thermostable *Anoxybacillus* α -amylases of *ASKA*, *ADTA* and *GSX-BL* and with some putative *Anoxybacillus* α -amylase sequences, but not with genus Geobacillus or Bacillus related GTA, BaqA, amyA or Gt-amyII enzymes as proposed previously. When all 15 Anoxybacillus sequences and their homolog *Bacillaceae* family related α -amylase sequences were considered as a whole, the family branched into five separate clusters which exhibit a novel and three reorganized GH13 subfamilies in addition to the undefined "xy" labeled subfamily containing *BmaN1* (Sarian et al. 2017). These four representative sequences from the newly proposed or rearranged GH13 subfamilies were subjected to further secondary and tertiary structural comparison analyses via generally used in silico techniques based on their detailed domain and surface structures and maltose binding sites. The comparison of these new Anoxybacillus α -amylase sequences with a wide sequence collection, containing the other endo-spore forming bacilli sequences, depicted directly the evolutionary history of α -amylases from *Bacillaceae* family as all these bacillirelated clusters share some common features. Moreover, this approach allowed us to group *Bacillaceae* α -amylases under more accurate groups based on their taxonomicaly related genera, temperature optima, sequence features and their related phylogenetic analyses. In conclusion, we proposed a novel bacilli α-amylase GH13 subfamily in addition to the division of the previously proposed, but still unassigned GH13 subfamily into individual subfamilies, which contained ASKA and ADTA, BaqA, GTA, amyA, and Gt-amyII α-amylases (Ranjani et al. 2015; Janeček et al. 2015; Sarian et al. 2017) originated from different taxonomic groups: the genus Anoxybacillus, Geobacillus, or Bacillus.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates and reference strains

The bacterial isolates of *Anoxybacillus* spp. A321, A3210, D222b, E184aa, E184ab and E208a as well as the reference strains of *Anoxybacillus salavatliensis* DSM 22626^T, *Anoxybacillus gonensis* NCIMB 13933^T, *Anoxybacillus ayderensis* NCIMB 13972^T, *Anoxybacillus kestanbolensis* NCIMB 13971^T, *Anoxybacillus kamchatkensis* DSM 14988^T, *Anoxybacillus flavithermus* DSM 2641^T, *Anoxybacillus amylolyticus* DSM 15939^T, *Anoxybacillus kamchatkensis* subsp. *asaccharedens* DSM 18475^T were used for the α -amylase assays, gene amplifications and phylogenetic analyses. The isolation procedures, characterization studies and 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis of these bacilli were determined as previously described by Cihan et al. (2014a.)

Qualitative and quantitative α-amylase assays

The isolates and reference strains were screened for their amylolytic activity on Medium-I agar plates containing 1% soluble starch (Suzuki et al. 1976) upon incubation for 24 h at 55 °C. Then, the plates were treated with 0.2% I₂ dissolved in 2% KI solution, and the halo zones were measured around the colonies in order to determine the amylolytic enzyme producing ones. Geobacillus stearothermophilus DSM 22^T and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DSM 7^T were used as the α -amylase producing references for comparison. Before determining the quantitative α -amylase activity for enzyme production, the bacilli were incubated in a modified Santos and Martins (2003) broth (1.0% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1.0% soluble starch), (Santos and Martins 2003) by shaking at 150 rpm during 72 h. The incubation temperature values (55-65 °C) and pH of the media (pH 7.0-8.0) were adjusted according to the bacteria. Extracellular α-amylase activity was carried out using 3.5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method with some slight modifications (Miller 1959). The standard reaction mixture contained 0.5 ml of each 0.2 M sodium phosphate buffer, 2% soluble starch and appropriately diluted enzyme solution. The effects of temperature and pH on α -amylase activities were determined as previously described (Ozdemir et al. 2016b). The reactions were carried out at the required optimal pH and temperature values of bacteria for 10 min and stopped by boiling 5 min after addition of 1 ml DNS. Finally, the absorbance was measured spectrophotometrically at 540 nm. One unit of α-amylase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the liberation of reducing sugar equivalent to 1 µmol of maltose per min under the assay conditions. The millimolar extinction coefficient was calculated using maltose as the standard. Total amount of protein was determined by the Lowry method (1976) using Bovine Serum Albumin (Lowry and Tinsley 1976). The enzyme assays were performed at least three times.

Amplification of α-amylase genes

Cultures grown on Medium-I plates for 18 h at 55 °C were used for genomic DNA extraction (Fermentas K0512, Genomic DNA purification kit). The α -amylase genes were amplified by PCR using the protocol of Chai and colleagues with some modifications (Chai et al. 2012). The PCR conditions were optimized according to the primers' annealing temperature (T_m) as 55 or 58 °C and by adjusting the MgCl₂ concentration as 2.0 or 2.5 mM which varied for the bacilli used. The PCR products were purified with GeneJET PCR Purification kit (Fermentas K0702) and sequenced by using an ABI 3100 gene sequencer with a Bigdye cycle sequencing kit (Macrogen, Europe).

Sequence collections

The entire protein sequences, deduced from the Anoxybacillus nucleotide sequences, were taken into the query sequence analysis in the BLASTN and BLASTP programs (Altschul et al. 1997). In collecting the sequences, the criteria, proposed by Stam et al. (2006) were used for the identification of distinct subfamilies sufficiently. The caught sequences from the blast search contained the most similar sequences sharing high similarities, appearing at the top on the blast report, displaying a slow and progressive increase in E-values as well as belonging to a closely related taxonomic group from which the sequences were obtained (Stam et al. 2006). These sequences were also checked for the presence of (i) the $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ -barrel architecture (Janeček 2002; Hostinová et al. 2010), (ii) the signal sequences, (iii) all the seven CSRs of the GH13 α-amylase family (Janeček 2002; Janeček et al. 2015), (iv) the catalytic triad, (v) the calcium ion binding sites from 1 to 4 (Mok et al. 2013; Chai et al. 2016), (vi) the substrate binding subsites (Chai et al. 2016), (vii) a pair of tryptophan (W200,W201, E184aa-A) between the CSR-V (loop 3) and CSR-II (β4 strand), (Puspasari et al. 2013), (viii) the consecutively repeated aromatic motifs of phenylalanine and tyrosine residues at the end of the C-terminal segment (Mok et al. 2013; Janeček et al. 2015), (ix) the signature residues with the invariable consecutive lysinearginine (KR) at the terminus of domain C, and (x) the 4 residues involving in the formation of putative S1 and S2 transmembrane regions. Therefore, in addition to the 15 newly introduced amino acid sequences in this study, additional 78 sequences were retrieved directly from the Universal Protein Knowledgebase (UniProt Consortium 2017), the GenBank (Benson et al. 2014), and the Protein Data Bank (PDB), (Berman et al. 2000) or from the annotated whole genome projects found in the NCBI-genome databases (https ://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/). The sequence sets also covered all the well-defined GH13 subfamilies in the CAZy database, having α -amylase specificity including subfamilies GH13_1, 5, 6, 7, 15, 19, 24, 27, 28, 32, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42 (Stam et al. 2006; Cantarel et al. 2009; Lombard et al. 2014), the unassigned cyclomaltodextrinase from Flavobacterium sp. No 92 (GH13_??; (Fritzsche et al. 2003)), and the formerly suggested bacilli related GH13 subfamilies the first one akin to BaqA α -amylase by Puspasari and colleagues (2013), the second one including BaqA, ADTA, ASKA, Gt*amyII* and *GTA* α -amylases by Chai et al. (2012), Chai et al. (2016), Janeček et al. (2015), Sarian and colleagues (2017), and lastly the GH13_xy around *BmaN1* by Sarian et al. (2017). So, totally 93 identified enzymes and hypothetical proteins were studied with in silico techniques. The list of all the polypeptide sequences used for this study, their accession numbers from UniProt (UniParc), (UniProt Consortium 2017) and GenBank (Benson et al. 2014) databases, their amino acid lengths, the results of the specific α -amylase activity experiments, and their related classified or newly proposed GH13 subfamilies from *a1* to *a4* were presented in Supplementary file, Table SI.

Bioinformatics analysis

As in the case of previously published bacilli related new α -amylase subfamily establishment studies, similar, analogous or more comprehensive bioinformatic tools were used by comparing wider and more meaningful amylolytic enzyme sequence sets in this study (Chai et al. 2012, 2016; Puspasari et al. 2013, Ranjani et al. 2015; Janeček et al. 2015; Sarian et al. 2017). The conserved domain types and probable families of 15 new Anoxybacillus originated deduced protein sequences were searched with Pfam (http:// pfam.xfam.org/; Finn et al. 2016) and NCBI-Conserved domain databases (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/ cdd/wrpsb.cgi; Marchler-Bauer et al. 2017). The molecular weights and the isoelectric points of these sequences were predicted using Geneious R10 program (http://www.genei ous.com; Kearse et al. 2012). The secondary structures including the α -helices and β -strands, the sugar binding pockets, and the transmembrane residues were predicted by Phyre2 server (Protein Homology/AnalogY Recognition Engine), (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uh/phyre/; Kelley et al. 2015). The signal peptides from transmembrane associated regions were defined using SignalP 4.0 server (http://www. cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/; Petersen et al. 2011). The extracted sequences containing domains A and B of the compact $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ TIM-barrel structure in addition to domain C were subjected to a multiple sequence alignment using the CLUSTAL-OMEGA program (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools /msa/clustalo/; Sievers et al. 2011). The similarities were maximized by a manual fine adjustment in order to align the individual CSRs and the borders of CSRs were determined from previous studies (Janeček 2002; Janeček et al. 2015). For the currently organized and suggested 4 novel α -amylase GH13 subfamilies from al to a4, the individual sequence logos were created for their seven CSRs and two adjacent aromatic tryptophan motifs typical for the novel subfamilies, using the WebLogo 3.5.0 server (http://weblogo.berkeley. edu; Crooks et al. 2004). All phylogenetic analysis containing the GH13 family members was performed with using the Geneious R10 server. The evolutionary distance matrix and its related phylogenetic tree were constructed using the UPGMA algorithm (Sokal and Michener 1958) with the bootstrap values selected based on 1000 replications (Felsenstein 1985). Additionally, the phylogenetic analyses on 16S rRNA genes of the thermophilic bacilli members from genera Anoxybacillus and Geobacillus were carried out as described previously by Cihan et al. (2014a).

The three-dimensional (3D) structural models of four representative sequences belonging to each of the four suggested subfamilies were constructed using the SWISS-MODEL (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/; Biasini et al. 2014) program server. Models were compared with the template X-Ray structures of Anoxybacillus sp. SK3-4 α-amylase (TASKA, PDB ID: 5A2B), (Chai et al. 2016) and G. thermoleovorans CCB US3 UF5 α-amylase (GTA , PDB ID: 4E2O), (Mok et al. 2013). The predicted 3D models were further visualized and drawn with PyMOL software (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.7.4 Schrödinger, LLC; http://www.pymol.org) and ICM-BrowserPro version 3.8-5 (MolSoft LLC, La Jolla, CA, USA; http://www.molsoft.com/icm browser pro. html) programs. RMSD (Å) values were calculated using PyMOL. All computational analyses were performed using Intel® Core™ i5-4570 CPU@ 3.20 GHz processor and 16 GB RAM on Windows7 Enterprise 64x environment.

Results

Extracellular α-amylase activities

The α -amylase screening assay revealed that 15 bacilli were positive for the halo zones formed around their colonies on plates containing soluble starch, but especially the isolates E184aa, E184ab, E208a, D222b and the reference strains of G. stearothermophilus, A. flavithermus, A. salavatliensis and A. amylolyticus produced relatively higher clear zones in diameter. In the quantitative α -amylase assay, extracellular enzyme activities of the bacilli were found to vary from 0.8 U/g to 341.3 U/g (Fig. 1). The ones producing higher zones also displayed the highest amylolytic enzyme activities. It is also noteworthy that at 65 °C, pH 7.0, the measured enzyme activity values of E184aa, E184ab and D222b isolates were the best among the bacilli and the amount of α -amylases produced by these isolates were 1.5 to twofold higher than the control G. stearothermophilus DSM 22^T which was known as biotechnologically important.



Fig. 1 Extracellular α-amylase activities of the bacilli when 1% of soluble starch was used as the substrate. The isolates and reference strains as well as their optimal temperature and pH values, used during determination of the extracellular α-amylase activities, are as follows: *Anoxybacillus* sp. E184aa (65 °C, pH 7.0), *Anoxybacillus* sp. E184ab (65 °C, pH 7.0), *Anoxybacillus* sp. D222b (65 °C, pH 7.0), *G. stearothermophilus* DSM 22^T (65 °C, pH 7.0), *Anoxybacillus* sp. E208a (65 °C, pH 7.0), *A. flavithermus* DSM 2641^T (65 °C, pH 7.0),

A. salavatliensis DSM 22626^{T} (65 °C, pH 7.0), (A) amylolyticus DSM 15939^T (65 °C, pH 7.0), (B) amyloliquefaciens DSM 7^T (37 °C, pH 7.0), A. kestanbolensis NCIMB 13971^T (55 °C, pH 8.0), Anoxybacillus sp. A3210 (65 °C, pH 7.0), Anoxybacillus sp. A3210 (65 °C, pH 7.0), Anoxybacillus sp. A321 (65 °C, pH 7.0), A. gonensis NCIMB 13933^T (55 °C, pH 7.5), A. ayderensis NCIMB 13972^T (55 °C, pH 8.0), A. kamchatkensis subsp. asaccharedens DSM 18475^T (55 °C, pH 7.5), A. thermarum DSM 17141^T (60 °C, pH 7.0), and A. kamchatkensis DSM 14988^T (60 °C, pH 8.0)

Molecular characterization of the nucleotide sequences

The PCR amplicon sizes of the 15 Anoxybacillus were between 1515 and 1518 nucleotides which were compatible with the length of known α -amylase gene sequences, (1518 bp for only A. flavithermus and A. amylolyticus). The amplicons had the DNA G+C contents varying from 42.1 to 43.8 mol%. All the sequenced α -amylase genes were deposited in GenBank database (Benson et al. 2014) under the accession numbers of KY426431 (E184aa), KY426432 (E208a), KY426433 (E184ab), KY426434 (D222b), KY426435 (A3210), KY426436 (A321), KY426437 (A. salavatliensis DSM 22626^T), KY426438 (A. gonensis NCIMB 13933^T), KY426439 (A. avderensis NCIMB 13972^T), KY426440 (A. kestanbolensis NCIMB 13971^T), KY426441 (A. kamchatkensis DSM 14988^T), KY426442 (A. flavithermus DSM 2641^T), KY426443 (A. amylolyticus DSM 15939^T), KY426444 (A. thermarum DSM 17141^T) and KY426445 (A. kamchatkensis subsp. asaccharedens DSM 18475^T). The nucleotide sequence query in BLASTN showed that the open reading frames (ORFs) of these novel sequences displayed 91.0-99.0% gene sequence similarities with α -amylases of ASKA, ADTA, and GSX-BL. The CDS (Conserved Domain Search) analysis, based on the annotations of the subfamily domain architectures, also revealed that they all belong to AmyAc_bac_CMD_like_2 (cd11339) Conserved Protein Domain Family, which is identified as the α -amylase catalytic domain in bacterial cyctomaltodextinases and the related proteins. Then the nucleotide sequences were converted into deduced amino acid sequences of polypeptides that composed of 504 to 505 amino acids in order to use in further phylogenetic analysis and in silico techniques.

The query results and the phylogenetic analysis

The BLASTP search query using 15 Anoxybacillus amino acid sequences revealed 48 similar putative or biochemically characterized α-amylases belonging to endosporeforming from Bacillaceae family. Sequence collection of GH13 α -amylases used in this study was given in the Supplementary file, Table SI. Interestingly, all of these closely related homologue *a*-amylases have not been classified properly into a definite GH13 subfamily yet. Therefore, these new Anoxybacillus α -amylase sequences were aligned not only with their 48 related unclassified homologues, but also along with other 30 currently classified prokaryotic and eukaryotic α-amylases under well-defined GH13 subfamilies. Surprisingly, the phylogenetic analysis of those 93 α -amylase amino acid sequences showed that all the 15 Anoxybacillus α -amylase sequences from this study are clustered with other Anoxybacillus α -amylases such as ASKA, ADTA, and GSX-BL, but not with BaqA or GTA. The 63 bacilli α -amylases, including the novel sequences were all originated from endospore-forming bacilli by creating 5 distinct phylogenetic clades, as can be seen in the evolutionary tree in Fig. 2. In the similarity matrices, deduced α -amylase protein sequences of the 15 Anoybacilli displayed 95.1-100% sequence homology to each other. While the protein sequences of A321, E184aa, E184ab, E208a, A3210 and A. gonensis α -amylases completely resembled to each other, the most distinct protein sequence was from A. kestanbolensis (95.1%-97.6%). Based on the protein sequence similarities and the evolutionary relations, four differently proposed subfamilies, which were not belonging to any of the currently classified GH13 subfamilies, were inferred from the phylogenetic analyses. The bootstrap values of al to a4 clades were higher than 70% which verified their brach positions in the phylogenetic tree. The sequence identity rates among the members were given in Supplementary Table SII. The firstly proposed subfamily al was composed of 27 α-amylases from genus Anoxybacillus including our 15 sequences, Anoxybacillus sp. DT3-1, SK3-4, and GSX-BL enzymes and other 9 putative Anoxybacillus α -amylases annotated in whole genome sequences. The a1 clade showed protein sequence similarities between 72.4% and 100% among the group, having the lowest similarity to BCO1 α -amylase. The *al* clade was mostly related with a second a2 α -amylase cluster containing other four hypothetical Anoxybacillus α-amylases deduced from annotated genome sequences of Anoxybacillus sp. B2M1, B7M1, P3H1B, and UARK-01 as well as with a third a3 cluster composed of 19 endospore-forming, thermophilic bacilli genera from Geobacillus containing GTA, Parageobacillus in addition to genus Anoxybacillus. The members of a2 and a3 clade displayed sequence similarities ranging from 89.2 to 100% and from 74.9 to 100% within their own groups, respectively. All the α -amylases from mesophilic genus *Bacillus* formed a fourth a4 cluster with 9 members including BaqA which showed 58.3-99.4% similarity values with each other. Finally, the unclassified but proposed four representative aberrant α -amylases from genus *Bacillus* clustered in a different clade (GH13_xy by Sarian et al. 2017) which diverged from other mesophilic bacilli from a4 clade around the BaqA α -amylase.

Surprisingly, two of the α -amylases from *A. tepidamans* DSM 16325^T and *A. geothermalis* ATCC BAA 2555^T, sharing 75.1% similarity, were clustered together with the members of the genus *Geobacillus* from *a3* instead of their original *Anoxybacillus a1* and *a2* clades. *A. tepidamans* α -amylase (*ATA*) was most closely related to *P. thermantarcticus* M1 (80.8%), *G. thermoleovorans* MTCC 4220 (*Gt-amyII*), (76.7%) and *G. thermoleovorans* CCB US3 UF5 (*GTA*), (76.2%) α -amylases, whereas *A. geothermalis* enzyme was mostly related to to *Geobacillus* sp. LC300



Fig. 2 The evolutionary tree of the GH13 α -amylase family obtained from 93 protein sequences. The newly proposed *a1* (red), *a2* (blue), *a3* (green) and *a4* (orange) members as well as the GH13_xy members (purple) all belonged to *Bacillaceae* family and none of these amylases have been assigned to any GH13 subfamilies till now. After

GH13_ subfamily indication, mostly the GenBank accession numbers, but if not available, the UniProt (UniParc) numbers are given at the end of the species epithet. "*" symbol near the accession numbers display α -amylase gene sequences obtained from this study

(98.6%) and *G. thermoleovorans* CCB US3 UF5 (*GTA*), (94.0%) α -amylases were clustered within the *a3* clade. Interestingly, the results of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis on all the described *Anoxybacillus* species revealed that members of this genus, harbouring 23 species and 2 subspecies,

divide into two phylogenetically diverge branches that share high 16S rRNA gene homologies only within their related groups (Euzéby and Parte 1997; Gul-Guven et al. 2008; Deep et al. 2013). *A. tepidamans* DSM 16325^T (FN428691) and *A. geothermalis* ATCC BAA2555^T (KJ722458) species were clustered together with the second group members of A. vitaminiphilus JCM 16594^T (FJ474084), A. calidus DSM 25220^T (FJ430012), A. contaminans DSM 15866^T (AJ551330), A. voinovskiensis DSM 17075^T (AB110008), A. amylolyticus DSM 15939^T (AJ618979), A. rupiensis DSM 17127^T (AJ879076) and A. caldiproteolyticus DSM 15730^T (FN428698). 16S rRNA gene sequences of this second group shared lower sequence homology (<97.0%) with all the other type species from genus Anoybacillus, whereas there was a strict requirement for DNA hybridization analysis among each other for their species identifications. This second branch arised based on the analysis 16S rRNA genes, which encloses A. tepidamans and A. geothermalis species (98.2% homology to each other), was also seemed to be more closely related to genus Geobacillus than the first 16S rRNA gene group of Anoxybacillus group. In a recent study of Bezuidt et al. (2016) dealing with the comparative analysis on conserved core and flexible genes of 61 Geobacillus, Anoxybacillus and Bacillus genome sequences, A tepidamans PS2 (BioProject Accession: PRJNA214279) clustered within the genus Geobacillus, whereas other 12 Anoxybacillus species branched on their own clustures. They also suggested that A. tepidamans PS2 should be regarded as a species in Geobacillus based on their shared genes and Average Nucleotide Identitity values (Bezuidt et al. 2016).

Beside these findings, the alignment of *Anoxybacillus* α -amylases with their other counterparts clearly displayed the presence and varieties of the seven CSRs from regions I to VII (Janeček 2002), the catalytic triad, the common (β/α)₈-barrel fold containing domains A, B and C as well as a pair of tryptophans in the α 3 helix between CSR-V and CSR-II (Mok et al. 2013; Puspasari et al. 2013) within these phylogenetic groups (Fig. 3). The aligned 93 sequences classified under 21 groups and, it was noteworthy that five of the clades including *a1*, *a2*, *a3* and *a4* (totally 59 sequences) and *xy* (representative 4 sequences) were all composed of endospore formers from *Bacillaceae* family and shared similarities in terms of these general features above in congruence with the results of phylogenetic analyses.

Since the 15 *Anoxybacillus* putative proteins obtained from this study were all clustered within the phylogenetic group *a1*, and the highest amylolytic enzyme activity was measured in *Anoxybacillus* sp. E184aa, E184aa-A (E184aa α -amylase) was chosen as the representative member of *a1* clade within the other 26 sequences. Among thermostable α -amylases from *Anoxybacillus* sp. B2M1, B7M1, P3H1B and UARK-01, only the amylolytic enzyme activities of B2M1 and B7M1 were known as positive (Filippidou et al. 2016), therefore, B2M1-A (B2M1 α -amylase) was selected as the representative sequence of *a2* clade for further structural investigations. *A. tepidamans* DSM 16325^T α -amylase (*ATA* α -amylase) was chosen as the representative member of the *a3* clade which contained 19 sequences belonging to three different genera from thermophilic bacilli. Finally, *Bacillus aquimaris* strain MKSC 6.2 α -amylase (*BaqA* α -amylase) was selected for being the representative member of the nine α -amylases from *a4* clade, all the members of which were from the mesophilic genus *Bacillus*. *A. tepi-damans* DSM 16325^T (Coorevits et al. 2012), and *Bacillus aquimaris* MKSC 6.2 (Puspasari et al. 2013) were also known as amylolytic strains from previous studies.

The alignment results revealed the importance of CSR-V in the loop 3 which shares the conserved motif of LPDLx and a pair of tryptophans between loop 3 and β 4 strand among the enzymes belonging to these Bacillaceae members (Janeček et al. 2015; Ranjani et al. 2015). All the thermostable α -amylases from a1, a2 and a3 clades had an alanine residue (A184, E184aa-A numbering) at the end of LPDLx signature, whereas mesophilic members contained an asparagine residue (N185, BaqA) at this site. The two consecutive tryptophans were also shared among these Bacillaceae members only with an exception in (A) flavithermus subsp. yunnanensis containing W200 and R201. By combining these phylogenetic analyses and alignment results, the specific CSRs logos defining the currently proposed or revised GH13 subfamilies of a1, a2, a3, and a4 were created and presented in Fig. 4. Among these logos, the catalytic triad which consists of aspartic acid $(D213^{\Delta})$ residue serving as the catalytic nucleophile in CSR-II, glutamic acid (E242^{Δ}) playing the proton donor role in CSR-III, the transition-state stabilizer aspartic acid (D310^{Δ}) in CSR-IV, and the invariable arginine (R211) in CSR-II were all conserved except in members from a2 subfamily (E184aa-A numbering). Nevertheless, the logo of a2 was the most different among the common CSRs motifs of α -amylases from other wellknown subfamilies as well as a1, a3, and a4 groups. B2M1-A, B7M1-A, P3H1B-A and UARK-01-A members from a2 clade, harboured an abnormal catalytic machinery as in the case of an atypical α-amylase GH13_xy subfamily (Sarian et al. 2017). Solely, the catalytic nucleophile aspartate $(D215^{\Delta}, B2M1-A)$ was preserved in a2 clade, but the proton donor of E242^{Δ} (E184aa-A) was replaced with a glycine G244^{Δ} (B2M1-A) residue in CSR-III (β 5 strand) like in the Bacillus sp. 2 A57 CT2 from GH-13 xy (Sarian et al. 2017). But there was also an aspartate residue (D246, B2M1-A), shifted two positions downstream, which might be the potential catalytic nucleophile instead of usual glutamate. Moreover, in CSR-IV residing on β 7 strand, the transitionstate stabilizer aspartate replaced with a strange arginine (R312, B2M1-A) residue similar to the Bacillus sp. 1NLA3E α -amylase from aberrant GH13_xy subfamily. However, there was also an aspartate residue (D311^{Δ}, B2M1-A), which was shifted one position upstream to this abnormal arginine. In addition, although the invariant arginine (R211, E184aa-A) in CSR-II was fully conserved among all GH13 subfamilies except almost all members of GH13 xy, it was

	β2 CSR-VI	β3 <u>csr-i</u>	loop3 CSR-V	WW	β CSR	4 -II	β5 <u>CSR-III</u>	β7 <u>CSR-IV</u>	β8 <u>CSR-VII</u>
			+		! Δ		Δ	Δ	
al Anoxybacillus sp E184aa AQU15044.1* al Anoxybacillus sp E184ab AQU15046.1*	80 GETALWLTP 13 80 GETALWLTP 13	35 DFVVNH 18 35 DFVVNH 18	0 LPDLA 0 LPDLA	200_ <mark>WW</mark> 200_WW	209 GYRLD 209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238 IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD 305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT 341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus sp E208a AQU15045.1*	80 GFTAIWLTP 13	35 DEVVNH 18		200 <mark>WW</mark>	209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_sp_A3210_AQ015048.1* al Anoxybacillus_sp_A321_AQ015049.1*	80_GFTAIWLTP 13	35_DEVVNH 18 35_DEVVNH 18	0_LPDLA	200_ WW 200_ <mark>WW</mark>	209 GIRLD	IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341_GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_gonensis_AQU15051.1* al Anoxybacillus_sp_GXS-BLAE038578.1	80 GETAIWLTE 13 80 GETAIWLTE 13	35 <mark>DEVV</mark> NH 18	0 LPDLA	200 <mark>WW</mark> 200WW	209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT 341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_sp_DT3-1	80 GFTAIWLTP 13	35 DFVVNH 18	0_LPDLA	200 <mark>_ww</mark>	209 GYRLD	1 <mark>78</mark> H 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341_GIPIMYYGT
al A_kamchat_subsp_asaccharedens_AQU15058.1* al Anoxybacillus sp D222b AQU15047.1*	80 GETALWITE 13 80 GETALWITE 13	35_DEVVNH 18 35 DEVVNH 18	0 PDIA 0 PDIA	200 WW 200 WW	209 GYRID 209 GYRID	IVKH 238 IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT 341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus salavatliensis AQU15050.1*	80 GETAIWLTP 13	35 <mark>DEVV</mark> NH 18		200 WW	209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_flavithermus_AQU15055.1*	80_GFTAIWLTP 13	35_DEVVNH 18	0_LPDLA	200 <mark>_ww</mark>	209 GYRLD	IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341_GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_flavithermus_WK1_ACJ34547.1 al A flavithermus_NBRC 109594_GAC90171.1	80 GETALWLTP 13 80 GETALWLTP 13	35 DEVVNH 18 35 DEVVNH 18	0 IPDLA	200_WW 200_WW	209 GYRLD 209 GYRLD	IVKH 238 IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD 305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT 341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_sp_KU2-6(11)_KFZ43795.1	80 GFTAIWLTP 13	35 DEVVNH 18	0_LPDLA	200 <mark>_ww</mark>	209 GYRLD	1 <mark>78</mark> H 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 <mark>GIPIMYY</mark> GT
al Anoxybacillus_kestanbolensis_AQ015053.1* al Anoxybacillus sp 103 WP 077427690.1	80 GETALWLIE 13 80 GETALWLIE 13	35 DEVVNH 18 35 DEVVNH 18	0 PD A 0 PD A	200 <u>ww</u> 200 ww	209 GYRLD 209 GYRLD	IVKH 238 IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIPIMYYGI 341 GIPIMYYGI
al Anoxybacillus_pushchinoensis_SFA47738.1	80 GETAIWLTP 13	35 <mark>DEVV</mark> NH 18	0 LPDLA	200 <mark>WW</mark>	209 GYRLD	1 <mark>78</mark> H 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_yunnanensis_WP_032100815.1	80 GFTAIWLTP 13	35_DFVVNH 18	0 LPDLA	200 WR	209 GYRLD	TVKH 238	FILGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341_GIPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_kamchatkensis_AQU15054.1* al Anoxybacillus_sp_BCO1_KHF30424_1	80 GETAIWLTP 13 80 GETAIWLTP 13	35 DEVVNH 18	0 LPDLA	200_ <mark>WW</mark> 200_WW	209 GYRLD	IVKH 238 TVKH 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_ayderensis_AQU15052.1	80 GETAIWLTP 13	35 DEVVNH 18	0 LPDLA	200 <mark>.WW</mark>	209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341_GMPIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus_mongoliensis_WP_075039288.1 al Anoxybacillus thermarum AQU15057.1*	80 GETALWITE 13 80 GETALWITE 13	35_DEVVNH 18 35 DEVVNH 18	0 IPDIA 0 IPDIA	200 WW 200 WW	209 GYRID 209 GYRID	IVKH 238 IVKH 238	FLLGEVWH FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIRIMYYGT 341 GIRIMYYGT
al Anoxybacillus amylolyticus MRC3 AQU15056.1*	80 GFTAIWLTP 13	35 <mark>DFVV</mark> NH 18	0 LPDLA	200 <mark>WW</mark>	209 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 238	FLLGEVWH	305 FLDNHD	341 GIPIMYYGT
a2 Anoxybacillus_sp_B2M1_ANB5/951.1 a2 Anoxybacillus_sp_B7M1_ANB65691.1	82 GETAIRLITP 13 82 GETAIRLITP 13	37 DEVINE 18	2 PD A 2 PD A	202 ww 202 ww	211 GYYLD 211 GYYLD	DAGH 240 DAGH 240	YLLGGIDS YLLGGIDS	307 FLDNDR	343_GVP11YYGT 343_GVP11YYGT
a2 Anoxybacillus_sp_P3H1B_WP_066148217.1	82 GFTAIRLTP 13	37 DEVINH 18	2 LPDLA	202 <mark>WW</mark>	211 GYYLD	DAGH 240	YLLAGIDS	307 FLDNDR	343 GVPIVYYGT
a3 Anoxybacillus_tepidamans_WP_027408973.1	82_GFTAIWLTP 13	37_DEVINH 14 37_DEVANH 18	2_LPDLA	202_ <mark>WW</mark>	211_GYRLD	AMKH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343_GIPIMYYGT
a3 Anoxybacillus_geothermalis_WP_044746216.1 a3 Geobacillus_thermoleovorans_AFK08971_1	82 GETAIWLTP 13 82 GETAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2 LPDLA	202_ <mark>WW</mark> 202_WW	211 GYRLD	TVRH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	
a3 Geobacillus_thermoleovorans_AEV18110.1	82 GFTAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2 LPDLA	202 <mark>.WW</mark>	211 GYRLD	IVRH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIPIMYYGT
a3 Geobacillus_subterraneus_WP_063166610.1 a3 Geobacillus kaustophilus WP_042381235.1	82 GETAIWLTE 13 82 GETAIWLTE 13	37 DEVANH 18 37 DEVANH 18	2 PDIA 7 PDIA	202 <u>WW</u> 202 WW	211 GYRLD 211 GYRLD	IVEH 240 IVEH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIRIMYYGT
a3 G_thermodenitrificans_WP_011886900.1	82_ <mark>GFTAIWL</mark> TP 13	37 <mark>DFV</mark> ANH 18	2 LPDLA	202 <mark>_WW</mark>	211 GYRLD	MVRH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 <mark>FL</mark> DNHD	343 <mark>GIPI</mark> MYYGT
a3 Geobacillus_stearothermophilus_10_A0A0K2HAE0 a3 Geobacillus stearothermophilus A0A161SX26	82 GETALWLTP 13 82 GETALWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18 37 DEVANH 18	2 PD A 2 PD A	202_WW 202_WW	211 GYRLD 211 GYRLD	IVEH 240 IVEH 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIPIMYYGT 343 GIPIMYYGT
a3 Geobacillus_jurassicus_WP_066230451.1	82 GFTAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2_LPDLA	202 <mark>.WW</mark>	211 GYRLD	1 <mark>VRH</mark> 240	FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIPIMYYGT
a3 Geobacillus sp 44B WP 081160388.1	82 GETAIWLTP 13 82 GETAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANE 18	2 PD A 2 PDIA	202 <u>ww</u> 202 ww	211 GYRLD 211 GYRLD	103H 240 103H 240	FLIGEVWS FLIGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIPIMYYGT
a3 Geobacillus_sp_44C_WP_081189459.1	82 GETAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2 LPDLA	202 <mark>WW</mark>	211 GYRLD	AVEH 240	LLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIRIMYYGT
a3 Geobacillus_sp_GHH01_wP_015374071.1 a3 Geobacillus_sp_WCH70_C5D6S3	82 GFTAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2 LPDLA	202_ww 202_ <mark>ww</mark>	211 GIRLD 211 GYRLD	AVRH 240	LLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	343 GIPIMIIGI 343 GIPIMYYGT
a3 Parageobacillus_thermantarcticus_SFA50721.1 a3 P. caldoxylosilyticus_WP_017436518_1	82 GETAIWLTP 13 82 GETAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2 LPDLA	202_ <mark>WW</mark> 202_WW	211 GYRLD	TVKH 240	FLLGEVWN FLLGEVWS	307 FLDNHD	
a3 Parageobacillus_toebii_WP_062753850.1	82_GFTAIWLTP 13	37 DEVANH 18	2_LPDLA	202 <mark>_ww</mark>	211_GYRLD	AVRH 240	LLLGEVWA	307 FLDNHD	343_GIPIMYYGT
a3 Parageobacillus_genomosp_1_A0A023CSB0 a4 Bacillus aquimaris AER68125.1	82 GETAINLTE 13 82 GETSIN TE 13	37 DEVANH 18 37 DEVVNH 18	2 PDLA 1 PDLN	202_WW 201 WW	211 GYRLD 210 GYRLD	IVEH 240 IVEH 239	LLLGEVWS YLLGEVFD	307 FLDNHD 306 FLDNHD	343 GIRIMYYGT 342 GIRIVYYGS
a4 Bacillus enclensis KSU62196.1	82_GFTSIWLTP 13	37 DFVVNH 18	1_LPDLN	201 <mark>_WW</mark>	210 GYRLD	т <mark>ук</mark> н 239	YLLGEVFD	306 FIDNHD	342_GIPIVYYGS
a4 Bacillus_sp_MK0004_OAT83145.1 a4 Bacillus vietnamensis WP 034763406.1	82 GETSIWLTE 13 82 GETAIWLTE 13	37_DEVVNH 18 37_DEVVNH 18	1_PD_N 1_PDLN	201_WW 201_WW	210 GYRLD 210 GYRLD	IVEH 239 IVEH 239	YLLGEVFD YLLGEVFD	306 FIDNED	342 GIPIVYYGS 342 GIPIVYYGS
a4 Bacillus sp NRRL B-14911 EAR66328.1	82 GFTAIWLTP 13	37 <mark>DFVV</mark> NH 18	2_LPDLA	202 <mark>WW</mark>	211 GYRLD	I <mark>VKH</mark> 240	YLLGEVWT	307 FMDNHD	343 GIPIVYYGS
a4 Bacillus_mailsllavi_wF_053427507.1 a4 Bacillus_coahuilensis_WP_059350539.1	83_GFTAIWLTP 13	38_ <mark>DFVV</mark> NH 18	3_LPDLN	201_ WW	210 GIRLD 212 GYRLD	IVEH 239	YLIGEI FD	308 FIDNHD	344_GIPIVYYGS
a4 Bacillus sp V-88 WP 079534822.1	82 GFTAIWLTP 13	37 DEVVNH 18	1 LPDLN 7 LPDLN	201 WW	210 GYRLD	IVRH 239	YLLGEVFD	306 FIDNHD	342 GIPIVYYGS
xy Bacillus_megaterium_AGT45938.1	79 <mark>GF</mark> TAVLLTP 13	33 EFPLTI 16	9 LPHLN	189 <mark>ww</mark>	198 GYYVK	01DQ 227	LLIGEING	289 <mark>FLDDV</mark> H	325_S <mark>VPIVFY</mark> IS
xy Bacillus_flexus_KNH18792.1 xy Bacillus sp 2 A 57 CT2 EFV74976.1	79 GETAVILTE 13 82 GETTLMLTE 13	33 DEPUTI 16 37 DEPSNN 18	9 PHUN 0 LPEIN	189_WW 200 WW	198 GYYV 209 GEOLN	TON 238	LLIGEIKG YLIAGIVP	289 FLDDVH 304 YMDNPH	325_SVPIVFYGT 340_GVPIVYYGS
xy Bacillus_sp_1NLA3E_AGK52691.1	82 GFTAICLSP 13	37 EFPANS 17	6 LPDLN	196 <mark>.WW</mark>	205 GYKLD	AAN 234	FLLGDIEA	301 FMDNOR	337 GIPIVYYGS
1 Aspergillus_oryzae_CAA31218.1 1 Saccharomycopsis fibuligera ADD80242.1	83 GETALWITE 13	44 DIVANH 19	4 PD D 0 LPDLR	214 SI 220 DE	223 GLRID	SAKH 253	YOLGEVLD YSVGEVFQ	313 EVENHD 319 EVENHD	344 GIPIIYAGO 350 GIPVIYYGQ
5 Bacillus amyloliquefaciens AAA22191.1 5 Geobacillus stearothermorbilus AMU10478 1	65 GITAVWIPP 12	29 <mark>DVVLNH</mark> 22	9 YADVD	249 WY	258 GERID	AAKH 288		354 FVENHD	388 GYPOVFYGD
6 Hordeum_vulgare_AAA32929.1	58_GVTHVWLPP 11	12_ <mark>DIVI</mark> NH 17	1_APDID	191_ <mark>W</mark> 1	200 AWRLD	A G 225	LAVAEVWD	310 FVDNHD	342_GIPCIFYDH
6 Malus_domestica_AAX33234.1 7 Pyrococcus woesei AAD54338.1	57 GETSAWLPP 11 66 GESALWLPP 13	10 <mark>DIVI</mark> NH 16 31 DVVINH 20	1 VPNID	181_ <mark>WL</mark> 210 AV	190 DFRFD 219 GW FD	FARG 215	FSVGEYWD	296 FLDNHD	328 GIPTVFYDH
7 Thermococcus_hydrothermalis_AAC97877.1	63_GISAIWIPP 12	28 <mark>_DIVI</mark> NH 20	3 YPDIC	207 AY	216 AWRED	YVKG 240	WAVGEYWD	306 FVANHD	330 GOPATFYRD
15 Drosophila_melanogaster_AAA92226.1 15 Tenebrio molitor P56634	54 GYAGVOVSP 11 36 GFGGVOISP 9	12 DVVFNH 17 94 DAVINH 15	2 BIN 3 BIN	192 HM 173 HM	200 GERVD 181 GERVD	AACH 237 AACH 218	YIVQEVID FIYOEVID	301 FVDNHD 282 FVDNHD	340_GTERVMSSE 318_GTTRIMSSE
19 Bacillus halodurans BAF93484.1	106 GINAIWITA 17	74 DVVMNH 25	5_LPDFR	313_AW	322 GERVD	TAKH 367	<mark>WMV</mark> GEVWG	432 YL SQHD	458 GGVQVFYGD
<i>19 Escherichia_coli_AAB</i> 18548.1 <i>24 Gallus gallus AA</i> C60246.1	51 GFGGVQVSE 11	10 DVVMNH 40 11 DAVVNH 18	4PD1 0D1A	200 且	208 GERID	AAKH 244	FIYQEVID	310 FVDNHD	349 GETRVMSSY
24 Homo_sapiens_AAA51724.1 27 Kanthomonas_campestric_AAA27501_1	51 GFGGVOVSP 11	11 DAVINH 18	0 LLDLA	200 HI	208 GFRLD	ASKH 244	FIYOEVID	310 FVDNHD	349 GFTRVMSSY
27 Aeromonas_tydrophila_AAA21991.1 27 Aeromonas_hydrophila_AAA21936.1	46_GYKQVLISP 10	$02_{\text{DVVL}NH}$ 18	4 LPDLD	203_AL	225_GERVD 211_GERVD	AVKH 238	HVFGEVIT	309 FAITHD	344_GSPLVYSDH
28 Bacillus_subtilis_BAA08938.1 28 Lactobacillus_amylovorus_AAC45781_1	74 GYTATQTSP 13	38 DAVINH 18		205 A	213 GERED	AAKH 245	FOYGETLO	305 WVESHD	339 CSTPLFFS-
32 Thermomonospora_curvata_CAA41881.1	65 GFGAVOVSP 12	26 <mark>DAVI</mark> NH 18	7 LADLK	207 81	215 GFRID	AARH 249	YIFQEVIA	308 FVVNHD	342_GTPKVMSSY
32 Streptomyces_limosus_AAA88554.1 36 Anaerobranca gottschalkii AAW32491.1	60 GYGYYQVSE 11 97 GYNGIWITE 15	16 DSVINH 17 50 DLVINH 21	3 DADID 0 MEDIN	193 DI 230 BW	201 GERID	AACH 230 AACH 273		291 FVDNHD 339 FTSNHD	325 CSPDVHSCY 371 CDPYTEACE
36 Bacillus_sp_WS06_AAX84031.1	89 OVNGIWMMP 14	42 DLVVNH 20	7 MPDIN	227 <mark>FW</mark>	235 GERLD	AATH 275	YLTGEVWD	341 FLTNHD	373 GNPYIYYGE
3/ Fnotobacterium_profundum_CAG22972.1 37 Uncultured_bacterium AEM89278.1	59 GMNATE 12	70 DGVEGH 19 24 DG <mark>VEGH</mark> 15	1 YP	∠11_ <mark>1₩</mark> 165_ <mark>FW</mark>	220 GW 31D 174 GW RLD	263 2820 263 2820 217	YMVABIWN YMVABIWN	338_M GNHD 292_MLGNHD	334 GPITLYYGD
39 Geobacillus stearothermophilus ABR26448.1	477 GUNTIYLNE 53	30 DGVFNH 62		657 S <mark>W</mark>	665 GWRLD	ANE 704	ALLGEIWD	768 LIGSHD	818 GAPTIYYGD
41 Micrococcus_sp_207_CAA39321.1	400_GVNTIWISP 46	55_DGVEBD 76 67_DVVVNH 52	2_LPDF1	542 DW	557 YFRVD	540N 823 IVKH 586		651 FLGSHD	683_GOPVIYYGE
41 Roseburia sp A2-194 CAJ20070.1 42 Streptomyces lividans CAB06816 1	979 GVNTIWITE104	46 DVVLNH109	4 LEDEV1	.114 <mark>BW</mark> 1 621 KV	123 YYRVD 629 CERVD	TVEH1152		217 FLSSHD	1257 GOPVLYYGE 819 GIPTLYYCS
?? Flavobacterium_sp_92Q8KKG0	179 GFTQLWPTP 23	36 DVVLSH 29	6_MPDLN	316 <mark>WW</mark>	325 GL TD	1 <mark>YGY</mark> 354	NMVGEDWS	431 FGGNHD	463 RIPOFYSCD

Fig. 3 The α -amylase sequence alignments of seven CSRs (I-VII) belonging to the newly proposed *a1*, *a2*, *a3* and *a4* novel GH13 subfamilies with GH13_xy *BmaN1* group, the unassigned cyclo-maltodextrinase from *Flavobacterium* sp. No 92 (GH13_??) and the other well-defined GH13 subfamilies displaying α -amylase specificity including subfamilies GH13_1, 5, 6, 7, 15, 19, 24, 27, 28, 32, 36,

37, 39, 41, and 42. The representative members of *a1*, *a2*, *a3* and *a4* groups are highlighted with gray. +, characteristic alanine (A); $^{\Delta}$, the catalytic triad (D/E/D); !, invariable arginine (R). Colour code for the selected residues: W—yellow; F, Y—blue; V, L, I—green; D, E—red; R, K—cyan; H–brown; C—magenta; G, P—black



Fig.4 Sequence logos made with regard to the CSRs of the four newly proposed GH13 subfamilies from *a1-a4* all belonging to *Bacillaceae* family. The positions of CSRs regions from I-VII, two consecutive tryptophans in addition to the catalytic triad ($^{\Delta}$) are also

changed to a tyrosine (Y213, B2M1-A) residue as in the case of *BmaN1* α -amylase of (*B*) megaterium NL3 belonging to the atypical subfamily.

In silico analyses on the secondary structures

The deduced polypeptides of 15 Anoxybacillus sequences contained 504 to 505 amino acids starting with a 23 residues long putative signal peptide. The predicted molecular weight and pI values of these enzymes ranged between 58.7 and 59.0 kDa and 5.98-6.26, respectively. From this point, totally 59 sequences from endo-spore forming bacilli which comprised the currently suggested a1, a2, a3, and a4 clades, including the 15 Anoxybacillus α -amylases, were used for further *in silico* secondary and tertiary structure analyses. The characteristic features of these proposed GH13 subfamilies obtained from in silico techniques were also presented in Supplementary file, Table SII. The highest proline contents, predicted molecular weights and pI values of these enzymes were observed in a3, a4 and a2, respectively. The only exception was the pI value of ATA which increased the maximum limits of a3 from 7.91 to 9.31. All the members of

presented. The logos were created by using 27, 4, 19, and 9 protein sequences for the subfamilies *a1*, *a2*, *a3* and *a4*, respectively. The residues are numbered according to their representative α -amylase sequences: E184aa-A (*a1*), B2M1-A (*a2*), *ATA* (*a3*), and *BaqA* (*a4*)

a1, a2, a3 and *a4* had also signal peptide sequences aligning between residues 1 and 23. The bacilli amylolytic enzymes were predicted to be transmembrane proteins as they shared two putative components embedded in the hydrophobic membrane: S1 and S2, which crossed the membrane from cytoplasmic to extracellular sides with four responsible amino acid residues (K330, Y346, I482 and F498, E184aa-A numbering) in their helix structure. An example of transmembrane helix image, created for E184aa-A α -amylase, was shown in Supplementary file, Fig. S1.

As deduced from topological alignment of primary and secondary (2D) structures of the representative members from the *a1-a4* clades (with *GTA* from *a3*), all the enzymes consisted of three domains typical for α -amylases from GH13 family (MacGregor et al. 2001). The catalytic domain A containing the exact (β/α)₈-barrel structure, the domain B connecting the β 3 strand, and α 3 helix, and finally the domain C succeeding domain A, which contain eight antiparallel β -sheets were all shared by enzymes of these *a1*, *a2*, *a3* and *a4* clades. The predicted signal sequences, β -strand and α -helix numbering, the catalytic triad, the CSRs, and additionally the possible pockets for substrate-binding were

all displayed on the 2D structures of E184aa-A, B2M1-A, *ATA*, and *BaqA* obtained by Phyre2 server (Supplementary file, Fig. S2a-S2d).

When all these data obtained from CSRs sequence alignments, Phyre 2D topological alignments from this study as well as the previous experimental analyses of the most related α-amylases of ASKA (Chai et al. 2016), GTA (Mok et al. 2013) and BagA (Puspasari et al. 2013) were considered, the entire sequences of 63 α -amylases belonging to Bacillaceae family were aligned eventually in order to compare the entire amino acid residues forming the signal sequences, the catalytic triad, the CSRs, the possible calcium and sugar binding residues, the possible sugar pockets for substrate specificities or sugar recognitions, the transmembrane helix regions, the tyrosine and phenylalanine repeats and the consecutive lysine and arginine residues at the end of C termini. However, only the sequence alignments of the 2 representatives of each proposed subfamilies that are E184aa-A and ASKA (a1), B2M1-A and P3H1B-A (a2), ATA and GTA (a3), BaqA and MKU004-A (a4) α-amylases were presented in Fig. 5 to demonstrate these characteristic properties.

In our phylogenetic investigations, ASKA and GTA thermostable α -amylases grouped under proposed a1 and a3 subfamilies. Their calcium and maltose binding sites as well as the other related residues were previously examined in detail by X-ray crystal structure analyses (Mok et al. 2013; Chai et al. 2016). Therefore, the amino acid residues associated with the 2 calcium binding sites of GTA and four sites of TASKA were screened for the newly suggested four subfamily members. As can be seen in the alignment of the representative sequences in Fig. 5, the residues involved in the formation of Ca1, Ca2 and Ca4 calcium binding sites, detected in the crystal structures of GTA and TASKA, were mostly conserved among the proposed a1, a3 and a4 subfamilies. Nevertheless, there were some amino acid substitutions, which were expected to have relatively minor effects on calcium binding like neutral (E/D) transitions or N/D changes from positive to negative charge the latter of which may increase the binding affinity. But the most critical changes from negative to positive charge were also detected as D/P, E/P, E/A, and E/Q mutations, pocessing high possibility of decreasing the sensitivity and affinity for calcium ion (Tien et al. 2014). The conversion of E173/Q174 (E184aa-A/BaqA) in Ca1 sites of a4 members were observed as mutations that may have an effect on binding of calcium ion. The amino acid substitutions of N46/D48 (E184aa-A/ in all a2, a3 and a4 members) in Ca2 sites, E109/D111 (E184aa-A/in all a2, a3 and a4 members) and E110/P112 (E184aa-A/B2M1-A and ATA numbering) residues in Ca3 sites, and additionally E283/A285 (E184aa-A/B2M1-A) and E283/D284 (E184aa-A/BaqA) in Ca4 sites were also noticed.

The secondary structure analysis of the four representative members using Phyre2 server also presented some hints, which might be associated with sugar binding pockets. These hints, which were not used in other studies before, were found to be very useful for further detailed structural analyses. When these hints were combined with the previous findings on maltose binding residues from GTA and TASKA, the conserved regions of CSR-II (β 4), III (β 5), and IV (β 7) were thought to be important both in the catalytic activity and substrate binding. Whereas, the CSR-VI (β 2), I (β 3), V (loop 3), and VII (β 8) regions probably play roles in enzyme specificity and substrate binding of these al to a4 subfamilies (Janeček 2002; Mok et al. 2013; Chai et al. 2016). Accordingly, the evaluation of 59 bacilli sequence alignments under 4 clades revealed 12 pockets having possible functions in enzyme activity and substrate specificity: (1) F pocket in β 1 strand (in all), (2) W (in *a*1, *a*3 and *a*4) or R (in a2) pockets in CSR-VI, (3) H-YW (in a1, a3 and a4) or Q-KK (in a2) pockets downstream to CSR-VI, (4) H-Y (in a1 and a3) pocket in CSR-I, (5) W (in a1, a2 and a3) or F (in a4) pockets upstream to CSR-V, (6) LF-L in CSR-V (in a1), LN-L (in a2) or LY-L (in a3 and a4) pockets, (7) R-DTVKH (in a1), Y-DDAGH (in a2), R-DAMKH (in a3) or R-DTVRH (in a4) pockets in CSR-II, (8) E-W (in a1 and a3), G-D (in a2) or E-F (in a4) pockets in CSR-III, (9) L-Y (only in a2) and V-F (solely in a4) pockets downstream to CSR-III, (10) HDTV (in a1 and a3), DRTV (in a2) or HDME (in a4) pockets in CSR-IV, 11 I-Y (in all) pocket in CSR-VII and, 12) ED-NR (in a1 and a4), KA-NH (in a2) or ND-NR (in a3) pockets downstream to CSR-VII (Fig. 5 and Supplementary file, Table SII).

In addition to these calcium and maltose binding sites, some specific residues found at the end of the sequences also took attention when 2D structures and the whole sequence alignments were compared. At the C-termini, two novel consecutive tyrosine residues (Y457, Y469, E184aa-A numbering) were detected in addition to the previously described repeated aromatic motifs of tyrosine (Y489, Y497, E184aa-A numbering) and phenylalanine (F481, F492, F495, E184aa-A) by Janeček et al. (2015), which could be evaluated as additional stop signals in all 59 bacilli sequences. Moreover, the residues in helix structures associated with the formation of predicted S1 and S2 regions crossing the cell membrane were nearly conserved among all the sequences (Fig. 5 and Supplementary file, Table SII). Residues that combined S1 region spanning from extracellular environment (S1-E: K330, E184aa-A) to cytoplasm (S1-C: Y346, E184aa-A) were all preserved in a1-a4, whereas the amino acid residues conjoining S2 region from extracellular to cytoplasm varied in S2-E: I482/I485 (in al/a2 and a3) or L485 (in a4), and S2-C: F498 (in a1), Y501 (in a2 and a3) or L501 (in *a4*). Finally, all these α -amylase sequences from Bacillaceae family were found to be ended with two

a1 a2 a2 a3 a3 a4	Anoxybacillus_sp_E184aa_AQU15044.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_SK3-4_AFI49455.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_B2M1_ANB57951.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_P3H1B_KXG10124.1 A_tepidamans_WP_027408973.1 G_thermoleovorans_AEV18110.1 Bacillus_aquimaris_AER68125.1	Signal sequence F * * * * * W1 * 1 MKRVFRALLIFVLLSVTTPASA 23 36 F 44 NGNPKND 50 63 GGD 65 80 FTAIWJPEIFAN 92 1 MKRVFRALLIFVLLSVTTPVSA 23 36 F 44 NGNPKND 50 63 GGD 65 80 FTAIWJPEIFAN 92 1 MKRVFRALLIFALLSVTTPVSA 23 36 F 44 NGNPKND 50 63 GGD 65 80 FTAIWJPEIFAN 2 1 MKRVFRALLIFALLSVTTPVSA 23 38 F 46 NLDSTND 52 65 GGD 67 82 FTAIRJPEIFQT 94 1 MKRAFXSSLALLFLLSFAIPAQA 23 38 F 46 NLDSTSD 52 65 GGD 67 82 FTAIRJPEIFQT 94 1 MKRILASFLAVFFLLAAAVPARA 23 38 F 46 NMDPTND 52 65 GGD 67 82 FTAIWJPEIFKN 94 1 MKRRVLSLILVPFLLFYALPVGA 23 38 F 46 NMDPTND 52 65 GGD 67 82 STAIWJPEIFKN 94 1
a4 a1 a2 a3 a3 a4 a4	Bacillus_sp_MKU004_OAT83145.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_E184aa_AQU15044.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_SK3-4_AFI49455.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_B2M1_ANB57951.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_P3H1B_KXG10124.1 A_tepidamans_WP_027408973.1 G_thermoleovorans_AEV18110.1 Bacillus_aquimaris_AER68125.1 Bacillus_sp_MKU004_OAT83145.1	1_MKRRVLALILVPFLLFYAVPAGA_23 38_F_ 46_NGDTTND_52 65_GGD_67 82 PTSIW_TEVFDN_94 H-YW ** CSR-V WW 98 ICWIEDFYKVEE 110 135 DFVVNHTGY 143 166 WANQQEVENGWLFGLPDLA 184 200 WW 201 98 ICWIEDFYKVEE 110 135 DFVVNHTGY 143 166 WANQQEVENGWLFGLPDLA 184 200 WW 201 100 QCKKVTDFYKVDP 112 137 DFVTNHTSS 145 168 WNNPRQIETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 QCKKVTDFYKVDP 112 137 DFVTNHTSS 145 168 WNNPRQIETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCKWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVTNHTSS 145 168 WNNPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCKWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVTNHTSS 145 168 WNNPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVTNHTSS 145 168 WNNPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUETGWINGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 GCWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 ICWVSDFYQVDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 ICWVSDFYQDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 ICWVSDFYQDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 ICWVSDFYQDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 168 WNDPQUENGWYGLPDLA 186 202 WW 203 100 ICWVSDFYQDP 112 137 DFVNHTSS 145 167 FSDKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTDFYKDE 112 137 DFVNHTSP 145 167 FSNKESLQNAWLYGLPD N 185 201 WW 202 100 ICWVFTTDFYK
a1 a2 a2 a3 a3 a4 a4	Anoxybacillus_sp_E184aa_AQU15044.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_EX3-4_AFI49455.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_B2M1_ANB57951.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_P3H1B_KXG10124.1 A_tepidamans_WP_027408973.1 G_thermoleovorans_AEV18110.1 Bacillus_aquimaris_AER68125.1 Bacillus_sp_MKU004_0AT83145.1	CSR-II CSR-III CSR-III CSR-IV 209 GYRIDTVKH 217 238 FLIGEVAF 245 263 IDF 265 283 E 305 FLONHD'W 310 330 KL 331 209 GYRIDTVKH 217 238 FLIGEVAF 245 263 IDF 265 283 E 305 FLONHD'W 310 330 KL 331 211 GYRIDTVKH 217 238 FLIGEVAF 247 265 LDV 267 285 A 307 FLONDR'W 312 332 KL 332 211 GYRIDAGH 219 240 YLLGGIDS 247 265 LDV 267 285 307 FLONDR'W 312 332 KL 333 211 GYRIDAMH 219 240 FLIGEVAS 247 265 LDV 267 285 307 FLONDR'W 312 332 KL 333 2
a1 a2 a2 a3 a3 a4 a4	Anoxybacillus_sp_E184aa AQU15044.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_SK3-4_AFI49455.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_B2M1_ANB57951.1 Anoxybacillus_sp_P3H1E_KXG10124.1 A_tepidamans_WP_027408973.1 G_thermoleovorans_AEV18110.1 Bacillus_aquimaris_AER68125.1 Bacillus_sp_MKU004_0AT83145.1	CSR-VII EDNR * S2-E S2-C KR 341 GIPIKYGT 357 EDPDNR 362 400 E 457 ¥ 469 ¥ S1 FTAALVVVYTAFGLFLYFARKR 502 341 GIPIKYGT 357 EDPDNR 362 400 E 457 ¥ 469 ¥ S1 FTAALVVVYTAFGLFLYFARKR 502 343 GVPITYYGT 359 KAPDNH 363 402 E 460 ¥ 472 ¥ 84 FTAALLAVYTAFGLFLYFARKR 505 343 GVPTYYGT 359 KAPDNH 363 402 E 460 ¥ 472 ¥ 84 FTAALVAVYUFGLFLYFARKR 505 343 GVPTYYGT 359 NDPDNR 364 402 E 460 ¥ 472 ¥ 84 FTAALVAVYUFGLFLYYUKKR 505 343 GIPTWYGT 359 ODPDNR 364 402 E 460 ¥ 472 ¥

Fig. 5 The comparison of primary structures of four newly proposed subfamilies, presenting two representatives from each group. Colour code: (1) Conserved sequence regions (CSR I-VII) are highlighted in gray. (2) Residues for Ca1, Ca2, Ca3 and Ca4 binding sites are highlighted in yellow and marked by red, blue, green and black (*) asterisks, respectively. The conserved amino acids in calcium binding sites are indicated with yellow. (3) Residues involved in maltose binding are indicated by blue, and the related maltose binding pockets are abbreviated as F, W1, H-YW, H–Y, W2, LF-L, R-DTVKH, E-W, L-Y / V-F⁺ (⁺; only found in *a*2 and *a*4), HDTV, I-Y and ED–NR. (4) The catalytic triad are signified by red triangles ($^{\Delta}$). (5) The invari-

conserved, consecutive and positively charged lysine and arginine (K501R502, E184aa-A) residues only with the exceptional RR or KK residues found in 5 of the α -amylases from *a3* and *a4*.

Tertiary structure predictions

The crystal structure analyses of *G. thermoleovorans GTA* α -amylase (PDB ID: 4E2O) and *Anoxybacillus* sp. SK3-4 α -amylase (*TASKA*, PDB ID: 5A2B) from the members of the rearranged subfamilies of *a1* and *a3* were already

antly conserved position of the arginine in the CSR-II is highlighted in turquoise and marked by a hashtag (#). (6) Residue A in CSR-V, only found in thermophilic groups is indicated with (!). (7) The two adjacent characteristic tryptophans, positioned between CSR-V and CSR-II, are highlighted in pink. (8) The seven conserved tyrosine and phenylalanine residues at the C terminus are highlighted in turquoise. (9) The invariable KR residues at the C-termini are indicated in dark yellow. (10) The putative transmembrane regions and their related residues are indicated with violet and abbreviated as S1C:S1 regioncytoplasmic, S1E: S1 region-extracellular, S2C: S2 region-cytoplasmic, and S2E: S2 region-extracellular

investigated in detail by Mok et al. (2013) and Chai et al. (2016), respectively. The 3D models of the representative E184aa-A (*a1*), B2M1-A (*a2*), and *BaqA* (*a4*) α -amylases were predicted by SWISS-MODEL and visualized by PyMOL and ICM-Browser-Pro using the best template as *TASKA* among nearly 240 candidates. Only in the case of *ATA* (*a3*), the best template model was preferred as *GTA* α -amylase in terms of higher sequence identity and lower RMSD values to *GTA* (79.60%, 0.085 Å) rather than *TASKA* (77.88%, 0.673 Å). The calculated RMSD values, obtained from the structural alignments of E184aa-A,

The substrate binding sites

B2M1-A and BaqA models with TASKA template were 0.078 Å, 0.116 Å, and 0.153 Å, in addition to 0.085 Å RMSD value in the case of ATA model with GTA template. According to homology report, the sequence identities, coverage and QMEAN values of E184aa-A (96.92%, 0.90 and -0.38), B2M1-A (69.45%, 0.89, -0.82), and BaqA (64.10%, 0.89, -1.73) to TASKA as well as ATA (79.60%, 0.88, -0.66) to GTA were all given in parenthesis, respectively. In Supplementary Fig S3a, the folded 3D structure models of E184aa-A, B2M1-A, ATA and BaqA were presented by giving the three domain structures arranged as domain A, B and C. The superimposed structures (green) of these four α -amylases both with TASKA (red) and GTA-II (blue) obviously pointed out the overall similarity of the catalytic $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ -barrel structure exists in GH13 α -amylase family (MacGregor et al. 2001), (Supplementary file Fig. S3b). The surface views of these α -amylases were also displayed in Supplementary Fig. S3c, which depicted a big groove for the active site region associated with maltose binding.

E184aa-A

The four representative α -amylase models (green) were overlapped with TASKA (red) and the active site regions as well as the residues possibly associated with maltose binding were illustrated in Fig. 6. In all models, maltose bound to substrate interacting subsites of -1 and -2 similar to TASKA. The substrate binding pockets, detected by the hints of the previous topological secondary structure analyses, revealed that these residues are directly interacting with sugar ring or the ones responsible for substrate specificity and stabilization. When the structural maltose binding region of E184aa-A α -amylase superimposed with TASKA, model, completely matched to the template as they were both proposed to be the members of al subfamily. The catalytic triad of E184aa-A, consisting of Asp-Glu-Asp triad, were seemed to be acting on sugar ring in subsite -2. Among these triplets, D213^{Δ} serve as a catalytic nucleophile, $E242^{\Delta}$ is the proton donor and $D310^{\Delta}$ act as transition state stabilizer. Moreover, invariable

B2M1-A

Fig. 6 Overlapping the active sites of the 3D models (green) of Anoxybacillus sp. E184aa (E184aa-A), Anoxybacillus sp. B2M1 (B2M1-A), A. tepidamans DSM 16325^T (ATA) and B. aquimaris MKSC 6.2 (BaqA) amylases with TASKA template (red, PDB ID: 5A2B). Model and template residue numbers are coloured in black and red, respectively. All the superimpositions with TASKA-maltose (yellow) were bound to active site region at subsites -1 and -2



arginine, positioned in the β 4 strand, was located at R211 in E184aa-*A* α -amylase. These conserved catalytic residues and invariable arginine were all preserved in *ATA* (D215^{Δ}, E244^{Δ}, D312^{Δ} and R213) and *BaqA* (D214^{Δ}, E243^{Δ}, D311^{Δ} and R212) as a common feature of GH13 α -amylase family. But two of the catalytic residues (E242^{Δ}/G244^{Δ} and D310^{Δ}/R312^{Δ} transitions) in addition to the invariable arginine (R211/Y213) were replaced in the case of B2M1-*A* like *BmaN1* α -amylase. The various residues which may involve in maltose binding of E184aa-*A*, B2M1-*A*, *ATA* and *BaqA* α -amylases were listed in Supplementary Table SII.

In concordance with the secondary structure analysis of E184aa-A dealing with the detected pocket sites, the amino acid residues of H98, Y100 and W101 (H-YW pocket downstream to CSR-VI), H140 and Y143 (H-Y pocket in CSR-I), F178 (LF-L pocket in CSR-V), D358 and R362 (ED-NR pocket downstream to CSR-VII) were in close relationship with maltose by their side chains in subsite -2, whereas amino acids of R211 and D213^{Δ} (R-DTVKH pocket in CSR-II), E242^{Δ} (E–W pocket in CSR-III), H309 and D310^{Δ} (HDTV pocket in CSR-IV) served for the catalytic activity directly in the subsite -1 of the sugar binding groove. Moreover, there were some additional residues which were probably reside in the substrate binding subsite -1, not being in contact with maltose, as T214 and H217 residues in the R-DTVKH pocket, the aromatic side chains of F36 and W85 in the HDTV pocket, and W166 with H217 in the R-DTVKH pocket. Additionally, W244 which seemed to render the E-W pocket with its bulky side chain, and finally, the residues of I344 and Y346 which appeared to form a loop may have an importance in the substrate specificity.

In substrate binding groove of B2M1-A, despite many residual variations, the overall catalytic system was conserved as Anoxybacillus sp. B2M1 is known to possess amylolytic activity (Filippidou et al. 2016). The amino acid residues of Q100, K102, K103 (Q-KK), H142, S145 (H-S), N180 (LN-L), A360 and H364 (KA-NH) bound to sugar ring at subsite -2, and Y213, D215^{Δ}, D216 (Y-DDAGH), G244^{Δ} (G-D), D311, and R312^{Δ} (DRTV) were all positioned in subsite -1 of the maltose binding groove in B2M1-A. Other than the similar residues present in E184aa-A, the additional two loops between the residues L265, Y267 (downstream to CSR-III) and residues W168, N169, I174 (upstream to CSR-V) were only peculiar to B2M1-A (Supplementary Table SII). In the case of ATA and BaqA enzymes, most of the amino acid residues interacting directly or indirectly with sugar ring were more conserved similar to E184aa-A with some exceptions. Superimpositions of ATA and BaqA to TASKA revealed the Y143/P145, F178/Y180, T214/A216 amino acid residue modifications in ATA and aromatic Y143/P145, F178/Y179, W244/F245, W166/F167 residue changes in *BaqA* α -amylase. Besides,

the residues of V264 and F266, (pocket downstream to CSR-III) and F167 were also only unique to *BaqA*.

Discussion

Thermostable *a*-amylases have been used in several industrial applications as they possess thermal stability to harsh industrial processes including elevated temperatures (Demirjian et al. 2001). Starch degradation, baking, brewing, production of glucose and fructose syrups, fruit juices, alcoholic beverages, papers, pharmaceuticals, α -amylase assay kits, detergents and textiles are the major areas of utilization for amylolytic enzymes in the industry (Klein et al. 1970; Vieille and Zeikus 2001; Van der Maarel et al. 2002; Gupta et al. 2003). Anoxybacillus species are thought to be widespread in thermal habitats rather than other Bacil*laceae* members (Deep et al. 2013), having heterogeneous intra-species 16S rRNA gene similarity values varying from 93.8 to 99.7% (from this study). Moreover, whole genome sequences of 27 Anoxybacillus were available on Genomes OnLine Database-GOLD v.6 (Mukherjee et al. 2017) and GenBank (Benson et al. 2014) databases now. We screened the starch hydrolysing activities of some newly isolated Anoxybacillus strains in this study, which could be suggested to novel starch hydrolysis applications. Although all the bacilli were found to be amylolytic, Anoxybacillus sp. E184aa, E184ab and D222b isolates stand out from the others by means of their α -amylase production capabilities. Additionally, α -amylase production capacity of A. salavatliensis was also experimentally proved to be similar with that of A. flavithermus (Bolton et al. 1997; Tawil et al. 2012; Agüloğlu et al. 2014; Ozdemir et al. 2015, 2016a) and A. amylolyticus (Poli et al. 2006) species which were already known as amylolytic enzyme producers. Totally, 15 novel Anoxybacillus α -amylase gene sequences were introduced to databases with this study and their preliminary BLASTP queries displayed (\geq 91.0%) gene sequence similarities to only well-known ASKA, ADTA and GSX-BL amylases originated from Anoxybacillus species, which were formerly proposed in a single subfamily within other Bacillaceae enzymes including GTA, Pizzo, Gt-amyII and BaqA from genus Geobacillus and Bacillus (Janeček et al. 2015; Ranjani et al. 2015; Chai et al. 2016; Sarian et al. 2017). Nevertheless, the protein sequence homologies of these Anoxybacillus amylases to GTA ($\leq 69.4\%$) and BaqA ($\leq 61.3\%$) were found to be relatively lower. As the putative protein and genome sequences were accumulated in databases, it is obvious that the accuracy of GH13 sequence-based classification system would increase and their related subfamilies might be classified under more meaningful groups. Thereby, the 15 Anoxybacillus sequences were analysed in detail with blast query and phylogenetic investigation by adding 30 α -amylase sequences from formerly defined GH13 subfamilies and 48 endospore-forming bacilli sequences (hypothetical or experimentally characterized) with the recommendations of Stam et al. (2006). It was interesting that any of these 48 α -amylase sequences from *Bacillaceae* family still could not be validly assign to any of the defined GH13 subfamilies. The constructed phylogenetic tree in Fig. 2 has completely drawn the picture of the phylogenetic relations between the amylases from Bacillaceae family and the other described GH13 subfamilies. Bacilli amylases were divided into totally 5 distinct branches far from other 15 well-defined GH13 subfamilies. The reorganized a1 and a3 clades, composed from E184aa-A and ATA, and the newly proposed a2 clade including B2M1-A representative were all thermostable members. Whereas the a4 clade which appeared as akin to BaqA and the formerly proposed "xy" non-defined subfamiliy containing BmaN1, formed the other two mesophilic bacilli originated amylases belonging to Bacillaceae family. These bacilli amylases could easily be separated both from the other GH13 subfamilies and from the taxonomic genera within the Bacillaceae family except the a3 clade. Only the clade a3 contained species from different genera: Anoxybacillus, Geobacillus and Parageobacillus, all of which were thermophilic. This clade included two exceptional Anoxybacillus species, A. geothermalis and A. tepidamans. Nevertheless, it must be noted that these two species displayed higher sequence similarities to a3 clade members than to a1 and a2 Anoxybacillus amylases, and they shared high 16S rRNA gene homologies to each other compare to the other genera members (this study, Coorevits et al. 2012; Bezuidt et al. 2016; Filippidou et al. 2016). Moreover, a taxonomic revision of A. tepidamans species was recently proposed and this species was transferred from genus Geobacillus to Anoxybacillus (Schäffer et al. 2004; Coorevits et al. 2012). The differential situation of A. tepidamans α -amylase and its non-conserved calcium binding sites were also previously mentioned by Chai and colleagues (2016). Therefore, these explanations clearly elucidate why A. geothermalis and A. *tepidamans* α -amylases were positioned in the *a3* clade.

The phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 2 and the comparison of the sequence alignments as well as the secondary and tertiary structure analyses of these 63 bacilli amylases definitely supported the findings below as presented in Fig. 5 and Supplementary file, Table SII. According to these results, five of the *Bacillaceae* α -amylase family clusters from *a1* to *xy* share some common features including high sequence homologies to each other and displaying slowly increased *E*-values within the related group as suggested by Stam et al. (2006). At least one or more of their members are known to possess amylolytic activity experimentally, including the thermostable representatives which are E184aa-A (*a1*), B2M1-A (*a2*), *ATA* (*a3*) and the mesophilic *BaqA* (*a4*) and *BmaN1* (*xy*) enzymes (Chai et al. 2012; Coorevits et al.

2012; Mok et al. 2013; Puspasari et al. 2013; Filippidou et al. 2016; Sarian et al. 2017). All the members contained signal peptide sequences (Chai et al. 2012; Mok et al. 2013) and predicted to be cellular components of the membrane that harboured residues in relation with cytoplasmic to extracellular sides. Although the two consecutive tryptophans in the loop 3 of domain A were preserved among Bacillaceae family, the 3D modelling analyses revealed that these aromatic residues were positioned far from the catalytic site (data not shown) and might play an unknown role instead of sugar binding as proposed before (Mok et al. 2013; Puspasari et al. 2013). The Phyre2 server also did not recognize two consecutive tryptophans as sugar binding pockets. When the surface view and overall fold of the 3D structure models were superimposed both with TASKA and GTA, they mostly covered the similar $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ TIM-barrel structure and three domain organization as arranged in the GH13 α-amylase family (MacGregor et al. 2001), (Supplementary Fig. S3). The 3D-modelling also supported the position of ATA and GTA α -amylases within the same a3 subfamily because of the derived homology report displaying the highest sequence identity and the lowest RMSD values to each other. These bacilli sequences contained well-defined α-amylase family specific conserved regions from CSR-I to CSR-VII with various amino acid residue differences, and shared the characteristic LPDLx motif in their CSR-V regions (Janeček 2002; Ranjani et al. 2015). Besides the F481, Y489, F492, F495, Y497 (E184aa-A numbering) residues, involved in the conserved aromatic motifs at the C-terminus as previously reported (Mok et al. 2013; Janeček et al. 2015), Y457 and Y469 were also additionally found to be preserved among the Bacillaceae amylases. The end of their C-termini also contained consecutive lysine and arginine residues (K501-R502, E184aa-A).

Beside these general features, Bacillaceae family α -amylase clusters from al to xy displayed significant differential characteristics from each other (Fig. 5, Supplementary Table SI and SII). The a1 and a2 members from genus Anoxybacillus in addition to the a3 members from gerera Anoxybacillus, Geobacillus and Parageobacillus were all thermophilic groups of Bacillaceae family. The signature sequence of LPDLx motif in CSR-V was LPDLA in these thermophiles. In the case of mesophilic genus Bacillus counterparts of a4 and xy, this residue changed to alanine in their LPDLN motif. The function of alanine residue (A184) of ASKA was already displayed by experimental mutation analysis (Chai et al. 2016) and its presence only in the thermophilic bacilli α-amylases indicates its importance in enzyme thermostability. The enzyme activity and stability of α -amylases from al to al clusters were also higher in elevated temperatures when compared with a4 and xy members due to their temperature requirements. As there were some residue differences in the seven CSR regions of α -amylases from Bacillaceae families, the created specific logos peculiar to each subfamily in this study would be useful for placing a novel bacilli α-amylase properly to its relevant subfamily in further studies. In 2017, a novel GH13_xy subfamily akin to *BmaN1* α -amylase, having catalytic activity despite its atypical catalytic residues, was described by Sarian et al. (2017). The catalytic triad including the traditional Asp, Glu and Asp (D213^{Δ}, E242^{Δ}, D310^{Δ}, E184aa-A) residues as well as the invariable Arg (R211, E184aa-A) are all preserved in a1, a3 and a4 members like other defined GH13 subfamilies, but in the case of a2 (D215^{Δ}, G244^{Δ} and R312^{Δ}, B2M1-A) and xy (K202^{Δ}, E231^{Δ}, and H294^{Δ}, *BmaN1*) subfamilies, an irregular catalytic triad was identified in addition to the residue changes in invariable Arg position (Y213/Y200, B2M1-A/BmaN1). Although the amylolytic activities of both B2M1-A and BmaN1 enzymes from a2 to xy clusters were experimentally proved, they differed from the other three Bacillaceae related groups, by the lack of complete catalytic machinery and this invariable Arg position (Filippidou et al. 2016; Sarian et al. 2017). Moreover, the pI values of a2 and xy members (>9.0) were predicted higher than the others groups.

The previous studies confirmed that calcium ion could affect amylases by enhancing both their catalytic activities and structural stabilities (Declerck et al. 2000; Tao et al. 2008). Calcium had no effect on the enzyme activity of GTA α -amylase, but increased its thermostability (Mok et al. 2013). In the case of ASKA and ADTA, calcium ion increased both their enzyme activity and thermostability (Chai et al. 2012). The two calcium-binding sites in GTA and four sites in TASKA were already clarified with their crystal structure analyses. Therefore, when these residues involved in calcium binding were compared using sequence alignments of the a1, a2, a3 and a4 representatives, the possible Ca1, Ca2 and Ca4 binding sites were found to be mostly conserved among these bacilli amylases. In Ca2 of a2, a3 and a4 members, an Asn residue (N46, E184aa-A) was changed to Asp residue, from neutral to positive charge which may increase the affinity to calcium. In contrast, amino acid substitutions, having the possibility of decreasing the Ca^{2+} ion binding efficiency, was observed in a2, a3 and a4 members with Ca3 being the least conserved region among these bacilli. In the secondary structure alignment studies with Phyre2 server, hints for 12 substrate-binding pockets in relation with substrate specificities or sugar recognitions were also found out (Supplementary Fig. SIIa-d). The presence and roles of these pockets in maltose binding groove were confirmed by the *in silico* 3D models of E184aa-A (a1), B2M1-A (a2), ATA (a3), and BagA (a4) α -amylases, which superimposed with both GTA and TASKA templates. Also, some additional residues for maltose binding, different from the previously reported ones were determined (Mok et al. 2013; Chai et al. 2016). The differences of the residue changes for 12 sugar pockets and 4 calcium-binding sites peculiar to the proposed subfamilies were also listed in Supplementary Table SII.

Novel thermostable α -amylases were introduced in this study that would be biotechnologically important and investigation of these Anoxybacillus α -amylases collectively with a great number of other bacilli originated enzymes provided a better look for the big picture of α -amylases from *Bacil*laceae family. Despite some other hypothesis, the evolution of α -amylase genes was thought to be occurred via divergent evolution (Jespersen et al. 1993; Janeček 2002). The number of the recognized α -amylase sequences from *Bacillaceae* family was considerably increased during the last decade and this survey described the basic story of divergent evolution of endospore-forming bacilli originated α -amylases. Thereby, we suggest the necessity that the still non-defined GH13 subfamily members containing the ASKA, ADTA, GTA, Pizzo, Gt-amyII and BaqA α -amylases which were formerly proposed in a single subfamily (Janeček et al. 2015; Ranjani et al. 2015; Chai et al. 2016; Sarian et al. 2017) should be classified into appropriately separated subfamilies and the examined 63 homologous Bacillaceae family related α -amylases could be grouped under more accurate and manageable GH13 subfamilies as they shared some similarities peculiar to the endospore-forming bacilli, but also contained significant differences that required dividing them into 5 individual subfamilies. This proposal was based upon the phylogenetic findings both on α-amylase and 16S rRNA genes, the genera level taxonomic origins as well as the temperature requirements of these amylolytic enzymes, the high sequence homologies of our 15 Anoxybacillus α -amylases to only Anoxybacillus related ASKA, ADTA and GSX-BL enzymes, their distant relatedness to GTA, Gt-amyII and BagA, the separate branches and high boostrap values of GTA and BaqA in the cladogram, the comparisional sequence alignments and structural analyses including their 7 CSR regions, 12 sugar-binding and 4 calcium-binding sites, the presence or absence of the complete catalytic machinery in addition to the currently unassigned status of these bacilli α-amylases to a proper GH13 subfamiliy. Consequently, the proposed Bacillaceae family related subfamilies were the new a2 group clustered around α -amylase B2M1-A from Anoxybacillus sp. B2M1, the *a1*, *a3* and *a4* subfamilies (including the representatives E184aa-A, ATA, and BaqA) all of which were composed from the division of the formerly grouped single subfamily clustered around α -amylase *BaqA*, and finally the xy subfamily previously designated by Sarian et al. (2017)that clustered around amylolytic emzyme BmaN1 from B. megaterium.

Acknowledgements This study was funded by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) with the Project Number of 115R001. Therefore, we thank to TUBITAK for the financial support.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest No conflict of interest declared.

References

- Acer Ö, Pirinççiğlu H, Bekler FM, Gül-Güven R (2015) Anoxybacillus sp. AH1, an α-amylase-producing thermophilic bacterium isolated from Dargeçit hot spring. Biologia 70(7):853–862
- Agüloğlu FS, Enez B, Özdemir S, Matpan BF (2014) Purification and characterization of thermostable a-amylase from thermophilic *Anoxybacillus flavithermus*. Carbohydr Polym 102:144–150
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25(17):3389–3402
- Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW (2014) GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D32–D37
- Berekaa MM, Soliman NA, Abdel-Fattah YR (2007) Production, partial characterization and cloning of thermostable α-amylase of a thermophile *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* YN. Biotechnol 6:175–183
- Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, Shindyalov IN, Bourne PE (2000) The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res 28(1):235–242
- Bezuidt OK, Pierneef R, Gomri AM, Adesioye F, Makhalanyane TP, Kharroub K, Cowan DA (2016) The *Geobacillus* pan-genome: implications for the evolution of the genus. Frontiers in Microbiol 7:723
- Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, Kiefer F, Cassarino TG, Bertoni M, Bordoli L, Schwede T (2014) SWISS-MODEL: modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids Res 42(W1):W252–W258
- Bolton DJ, Kelly CT, Fogarty WM (1997) Purification and characterization of the α-amylase of *Bacillus flavothermus*. Enzym Microb Technol 229(96):340–343
- Cantarel BL, Coutinho PM, Rancurel C, Bernard T, Lombard V, Henrissat B (2009) The Carbohydrate-Active EnZymes database (CAZy): an expert resource for glycogenomics. Nucleic Acids Res 37:D233–D238
- Chai YY, Rahman RNZRA., Illias RM, Goh K (2012) Cloning and characterization of two new thermostable and alkalitolerant α -amylases from the *Anoxybacillus* species that produce high levels of maltose. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 39(5):731–741
- Chai KP, Othman NFB, Teh AH, Ho KL, Chan KG, Shamsir MS, Goh KM, Ng CL (2016) Crystal structure of *Anoxybacillus* α -amylase provides insights into maltose binding of a new glycosyl hydrolase subclass. Sci Rep 6:23126
- Cihan AC (2013) Taxonomic classification of *Anoxybacillus* isolates from geothermal regions in Turkey by 16S rRNA gene sequences and ARDRA, ITS-PCR, Rep-PCR analyses. Polish J Microbiol 62(2):149–163
- Cihan AC, Cokmus C, Koc M, Ozcan B (2014a) *Anoxybacillus calidus* sp. nov., a thermophilic bacterium isolated from soil near a thermal power plant. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:211–219
- Cihan AC, Koc M, Ozcan B, Tekin N, Cokmus C (2014b) *Thermolongibacillus altinsuensis* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Thermolongibacillus kozakliensis* sp. nov., novel aerobic thermophilic long bacilli isolated from hot springs. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:187–197
- Cohn F (1872) Genus Micrococcus. Beitr Biol Pflanz 1:127-224

- Coorevits A, Dinsdale AE, Halket G, Lebbe L, De Vos P, Landschoot AV, Logan NA (2012) Taxonomic revision of the genus *Geobacillus*: emendation of *Geobacillus*, *G. stearothermophilus*, *G. jurassicus*, *G. toebii*, G. thermodenitrificans and *G. thermoglucosidans* (nom. corrig., formerly 'thermoglucosidasius'); transfer of *Bacillus thermantarcticus* to the genus as *G. thermantarcticus* comb. nov.; proposal of *Caldibacillus debilis* gen. nov., comb. nov.; transfer of *G. tepidamans* to *Anoxybacillus* as *A. tepidamans* comb. nov.; and proposal of *Anoxybacillus caldiproteolyticus* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:(7):1470–1485
- Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE (2004) WebLogo: A sequence logo generator. Genome Res 14:1188–1190
- Declerck N, Machius M, Wiegand G, Huber R, Gaillardin C (2000) Probing structural determinants specifying high thermostability in *Bacillus licheniformis* α-amylase. J Mol Biol 301:1041–1057
- Deep K, Poddar A, Das SK (2013) *Anoxybacillus suryakundensis* sp. nov, a moderately thermophilic, alkalitolerant bacterium isolated from hot spring at Jharkhand, India. PLoS One 8(12):e85493
- Demirjian D, Moris-Varas F, Cassidy C (2001) Enzymes from extremophiles. Curr Opin Chem Biol 5:144–151
- Derekova A, Mandeva R, Kambourova M (2008) Phylogenetic diversity of thermophilic carbohydrate degrading bacilli from Bulgarian hot springs. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 24:1697–1702
- Dheeran P, Kumar S, Jaiswal YK, Adhikari DK (2010) Characterization of hyperthermostable α-amylase from Geobacillus sp. IIPTN. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 86:1857–1866
- Euzéby JP, Parte AC (1997) LPSN. http://www.bacterio.net/anoxybacil lus.html. Accessed 01 Aug 2017
- Ezeji TC, Bahl H (2006) Purification, characterization, and synergistic action of phytate-resistant α-amylase and α-glucosidase from *Geobacillus thermodenitrificans*. HRO10 J Biotechnol 125:27–38
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791
- Filippidou S, Jaussi M, Junier T, Wunderlin T, Jeanneret N, Palmieri F, Palmieri I, Roussel-Delif L, Vieth-Hillebrand A, Vetter A, Chain PS (2016) Anoxybacillus geothermalis sp. nov., a facultatively anaerobic, endospore-forming bacterium isolated from mineral deposits in a geothermal station. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 66(8):2944–2951
- Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, Potter SC, Punta M, Qureshi M, Sangrador-Vegas A, Salazar GA, Tate J (2016) The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D279–D285
- Finore I, Kasavi C, Poli A, Romano I, Oner ET, Kirdar B, Dipasquale L, Nicolaus B, Lama L (2011) Purification, biochemical characterization and gene sequencing of a thermostable raw starch digesting a-amylase from *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* subsp. *stromboliensis* subsp. nov. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 27:2425–2433
- Fritzsche HB, Schwede T, Schulz GE (2003) Covalent and threedimensional structure of the cyclodextrinase from *Flavobacterium* sp. no. 92. Eur J Biochem 270:2332–2341
- Gul-Guven R, Guven K, Poli A, Nicolaus B (2008) Anoxybacillus kamchatkenses subsp. asacchared.ens subsp. nov., a thermophilic bacterium isolated from a hot spring in Batman. J Gen Appl Microbiol 54(6):327–334
- Gupta R, Gigras P, Mohapatra H, Goswami VK, Chauhan B (2003) Microbial α-amylases: A biotechnological perspective. Process Biochem 38:1599–1616
- Hauli I, Sarkar B, Mukherjee T, Mukhopadhyay SK (2013) Isolation and identification of a novel thermo-alkaline, thermostable, SDS and chelator resistant amylase producing *Anoxybacillus* sp. Adv Appl Sci Res 4:202–212
- Hostinova E, Janecek S, Gasperik J (2010) Gene sequence, bioinformatics and enzymatic characterization of α-amylase from *Saccharomycopsis fibuligera* KZ. Protein J 29:355–364

- Janeček Š (2002) How many conserved sequence regions are there in the α -amylase family? Biologia (Bratisl) 57(11):29–41
- Janeček Š, Svensson B, Henrissat B (1997) Domain evolution in the α-amylase family. J Mol Evol 45:322–331
- Janeček Š, Svensson B, MacGregor EA (2014) α-Amylase: an enzyme specificity found in various families of glycoside hydrolases. Cell Mol Life Sci 71(7):1149–1170
- Janeček Š, Kuchtová A, Petrovičová S (2015) A novel GH13 subfamily of α-amylases with a pair of tryptophans in the helix α3 of the catalytic TIM-barrel, the LPDIx signature in the conserved sequence region V and a conserved aromatic motif at the C-terminus. Biologia 70(10):1284–1294
- Jespersen HM, MacGregor EA, Henrissat B, Sierks MR, Svensson B (1993) Starch-and glycogen-debranching and branching enzymes: prediction of structural features of the catalytic (β/α) 8-barrel domain and evolutionary relationship to other amylolytic enzymes. J Protein Chem 12(6):791–805
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Mentjies P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28(12):1647–1649
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ (2015) The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10(6):845–858
- Kikani BA, Singh SP (2012) The stability and thermodynamic parameters of a very thermostable and calcium-independent α-amylase from a newly isolated bacterium, *Anoxybacillus beppuensis* TSSC-1. Process Biochem 47(12):1791–1798
- Klein B, Foreman JA, Searcy RL (1970) New chromogenic substrate for determination of serum amylase activity. Clin Chem 16(1):32–38
- Lombard V, Golaconda Ramulu H, Drula E, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B (2014) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2014. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D490–D495
- Lowry RR, Tinsley IJ (1976) Rapid colorimetric determination of free fatty acids. J Am Oil Chem Soc 53(7):470–472
- Maarel MJ van der, Veen B van der, Uitdehaag JC, Leemhuis H, Dijkhuizen L (2002) Properties and applications of starchconverting enzymes of the alpha-amylase family. J Biotechnol 94(2):137–155
- MacGregor EA, Janeček Š, Svensson B (2001) Relationship of sequence and structure to specificity in the α-amylase family of enzymes. Biochim Biophys Acta 1546(1):1–20
- Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Geer RC, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI. Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Wang Z, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zheng C, Geer LY, Bryant SH (2017) CDD/SPARCLE: functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids Res 45(D1):D200–D203
- Matpan Bekler F, Güven K (2014) Isolation and production of thermostable amylase from thermophilic Anoxybacillus sp. KP1 from Diyadin hot spring in Ağri. Turkey Biol (Bratisl) 69(4):419–427
- Matsuura Y, Kusunok M, Harada W, Kakudo M (1984) Structure and possible catalytic residues of Taka-amylase A. J Biochem 95:697–702
- Mehta D, Satyanarayana T (2014) Domain C of thermostable α-amylase of *Geobacillus thermoleovorans* mediates raw starch adsorption. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98(10):4503–4519
- Miller GL (1959) Use of dinitro-salicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugars. Anal Chem 31(3):426–428
- Mok SC, Teh AH, Saito JA, Najimudin N, Alam M (2013) Crystal structure of a compact a-amylase from *Geobacillus thermoleo*vorans. Enzyme Microb Technol 53(1):46–54
- Mukherjee S, Stamatis D, Bertsch J, Ovchinnikova G, Verezemska O, Isbandi M, Thomas AD, Ali R, Sharma K, Kyrpides NC,

Reddy TBK (2017) Genomes OnLine Database (GOLD) v.6: data updates and feature enhancements. Nucleic Acids Res 45(D1):D446–D456

- Nazina TN, Tourova TP, Poltaraus AB, Novikova EV, Grigoryan AA, Ivanova AE, Lysenko AM, Petrunyaka VV, Osipov GA, Belyaev SS, Ivanov MV (2001) Taxonomic study of aerobic thermophilic bacilli: descriptions of Geobacillus subterraneus gen. nov., sp. nov. and Geobacillus uzenensis sp. nov. from petroleum reservoirs and transfer of Bacillus stearothermophilus, Bacillus thermocatenulatus, Bacillus thermoleovorans, Bacillus kaustophilus, Bacillus thermoglucosidasius and Bacillus thermodenitrificans to Geobacillus as the new combinations G. stearothermophilus, G. thermocatenulatus, G. thermoleovorans, G. kaustophilus, G. thermoglucosidasius and G. thermodenitrificans. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 51(2):433–446
- Ozdemir S, Okumus V, Ulutas MS, Dundar A, Akarsubası AT, Dumonted S (2015) Isolation of a novel thermophilic *Anoxybacillus flavithermus* SO-13, production, characterization and industrial applications of its thermostable α-amylase. J Bioprocess Biotech 5:237
- Ozdemir S, Okumus V, Ulutas MS, Dundar A, Akarsubasi AT, Dumontet S (2016a) Production and characterization of thermostable α-amylase from thermophilic *Anoxybacillus flavithermus* sp. nov. SO-19 Starch-Stärke 68:1244–1253
- Ozdemir SC, Cihan AC, Kilic T, Cokmus C (2016b) Optimization of thermostable alpha-amylase production from *Geobacillus* sp. D413". J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci 6(1):689–694
- Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H (2011) SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat methods 8(10):785–786
- Pikuta E, Lysenko A, Chuvilskaya N, Mendrock U, Hippe H, Suzina N, Nikitin D, Osipov G, Laurinavichius K (2000) Anoxybacillus pushchinensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel anaerobic, alkaliphilic, moderately thermophilic bacterium from manure, and description of Anoxybacillus flavithermus comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50(6):2109–2117
- Poli A, Esposito E, Lama L, Orlando P, Nicolaus G, de Appolonia F, Gambacorta A, Nicolaus B (2006) *Anoxybacillus amylolyticus* sp. nov., a thermophilic amylase producing bacterium isolated from Mount Rittmann (Antarctica). Syst Appl Microbiol 29(4):300–307
- Puspasari F, Radjasa OK, Noer AS, Nurachman Z, Syah YM, Maarel M, Dijkhuizen L, Janeček Š, Natalia D (2013) Raw starchdegrading α-amylase from *Bacillus aquimaris* MKSC 6.2: isolation and expression of the gene, bioinformatics and biochemical characterization of the recombinant enzyme. J Appl Microbiol 114(1):108–120
- Ranjani V, Janeček Š, Chai KP, Shahir S, Rahman RNZRA., Chan KG, Goh KM (2015) Protein engineering of selected residues from conserved sequence regions of a novel *Anoxybacillus* α-amylase. Sci Rep 4:5850
- Rao JLUM., Satyanarayana T (2007) Purification and characterization of a hyperthermostable and high maltogenic α-amylase of an extreme thermophile *Geobacillus thermoleovorans*. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 142:179–193
- Santos EO, Martins MLL (2003) Effect of the medium composition on formation of amylase by *Bacillus* sp. Braz Arch Biol Technol 46(1):129–134
- Sarian FD, Janeček Š, Pijning T, Ihsanawati Nurachman Z, Radjasa OK, Dijkhuizen L, Natalia D, van der Maarel MJEC. (2017) A new group of glycoside hydrolase family 13 α-amylases with an aberrant catalytic triad. Sci Rep 7:44230
- Schäffer C, Franck WL, Scheberl A, Kosma P, McDermott TR, Messner P (2004) Classification of isolates from locations in Austria and Yellowstone National Park as *Geobacillus tepidamans* sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 54(6):2361–2368

- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen DG, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Söding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol 7(1):539
- Sokal RR, Michener CD (1958) A statistical method for evaluating systematic relationships. Univ Kans Sci Bull 38:1409–1438
- Stam MR, Danchin EG, Rancurel C, Coutinho PM, Henrissat B (2006) Dividing the large glycoside hydrolase family 13 into subfamilies: towards improved functional annotations of α-amylase-related proteins. Protein Eng Des Sel 19:555–562
- Suzuki Y, Kishigami T, Abe S (1976) Production of extracellular α-glucosidase by a thermophilic *Bacillus* species. Appl Environ Microbiol 31(6):807–812
- Tao X, Jang MS, Kim KS, Yu Z, Lee YC (2008) Molecular cloning, expression and characterization of alpha-amylase gene from a marine bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. MY-1. Indian J Biochem Biophys 45:305–309

- Tawil G, Viksø-Nielsen A, Rolland-Sabaté A, Colonna P, Buléon A (2012) Hydrolysis of concentrated raw starch: A new very efficient α-amylase from *Anoxybacillus flavothermus*. Carbohydr Polym 87(1):46–52
- Tien J, Peters CJ, Wong XM, Cheng T, Jan YN, Jan LY, Yang H (2014) A comprehensive search for calcium binding sites critical for TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channel activity. eLife 3:e02772
- UniProt Consortium (2017) UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D158–D169
- Vieille C, Zeikus GJ (2001) Hyperthermophilic enzymes: sources, uses, and molecular mechanisms for thermostability. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 65:1–43
- Zhang F, Yang X, Geng L, Zhang Z, Yin Y, Li W (2015) Purification and characterization of a novel and versatile α-amylase from thermophilic *Anoxybacillus* sp. YIM 342 Starch-Stärke 68:446–453