Influence of culture parameters on biological hydrogen production by *Clostridium* saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021

Mongi Ferchichi¹, Edward Crabbe^{1,*}, William Hintz², Gwang-Hoon Gil¹ and Amer Almadidy¹

¹Research and Development Department, KAM Biotechnology, #101 9710-187 St, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada V3N 4N6

²Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

*Author for correspondence: Tel.: +1-604-888-4336, Fax: +1-604-888-4338, E-mail: ecrabbe@kambiotechnology.com

Received 25 September 2004; accepted 8 November 2004

Keywords: Clostridium, culture parameters, hydrogen production, sugars

Summary

Various medium components (carbon and nitrogen sources, iron, inoculum size) and environmental factors (initial pH and the agitation speed) were evaluated for their effects on the rate and the yield of hydrogen production by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum*. Among the carbon sources assessed, cells grown on disaccharides (lactose, sucrose and maltose) produced on the average more than twice (2.81 mol-H₂/mol sugar) as much hydrogen as monosaccharides (1.29 mol-H₂/mol sugar), but there was no correlation between the carbon source and the production rate. The highest yield (2.83 mol/mol) was obtained in lactose and sucrose but the highest production rate (1.75 mmol/h) in sucrose. Using glucose as carbon source, yeast extract was the best nitrogen source. A parallel increase between the production rate and the yield was obtained by increasing glucose concentration up to 40 g/l (1.76 mol-H₂/mol, 3.39 mmol/h), total nitrogen as yeast extract up to 0.1% (1.41 mol/mol, 1.91 mmol/h) and agitation up to 100 rev/min (1.66 mol-H₂/mol, 1.86 mmol/h). On the other hand, higher production rates were favoured in preference to the yield at a neutral initial pH 7 (2.27 mmol/h), 1000 mg iron/l or more (1.99 mmol/h), and a larger inoculum size, 10%, (2.36 mmol/h) whereas an initial alkaline pH of 8.5 (1.72 mol/mol), a lower iron concentration of 25 mg/l (1.74 mol/mol) and smaller inoculum size, 1%, (1.85 mol/mol) promoted higher yield over production rate.

Introduction

The trend of global environmental goals of lower emissions and carbon dioxide reduction, and depletion of known crude oil reserves have intensified the development of non-polluting or clean energy carriers from renewable resources. Among recognized alternatives to fossil fuels, hydrogen is regarded as a clean energy carrier because water is the only product of its combustion. Moreover, its energy value of 231 BTU/mol (244 kJ/mol) is higher than that of any hydrocarbon. Although it can be generated from both renewable and non-renewable resources, more than 96% of the H_2 produced worldwide depends on fossilized energy in one form or another (Wunschiers & Lindblad 2002). By the year 2002, producers were generating about 45 million tonnes of H₂ from fossil fuel (Gorman 2002). The predominant production process of steam reforming (SR) relies heavily on natural gas, a non-renewable feedstock. SR is also an integral part of other major H₂ generation processes being developed such as coal or biomass gasification, biomass pyrolysis and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons. Although it is an efficient H_2 generation process, SR is also capital and energyintensive. Moreover, large quantities of CO₂ are produced alongside H_2 and as a result the benefits of H_2 energy are diminished. Electrolysis of water to produce H_2 provides a cleaner alternative but, at present, it is not only more expensive than SR processes but it also relies on fossilized energy for operation.

In contrast, microbial production of H_2 , also referred to as biohydrogen production, is a much less capital and energy-intensive process. In particular, H_2 production by anaerobic fermentation is technically much simpler and can generate H_2 from a large a number of carbohydrate materials obtained in refuse and waste products (Nandi & Sengupta 1998). It is superior in terms of the rate of production to photosynthetic H_2 production; and it can also make use of the existing infrastructure for biogas and fermentative acetone-butanol production without elaborate adjustments (Das & Nejat Veziroglu 2001; Van Groenestijn *et al.* 2002).

Recent reports on anaerobic H_2 production have involved the use of mixed anaerobic consortia; some of these studies merely enriched for H₂-producing sporeformers through heating (Oh et al. 2003) or by pH adjustment to kill the hydrogenotrophic bacteria (Chen et al. 2002). Subsequent analysis of the microbial species in some of these consortia and their soluble metabolic products has revealed Clostridium as the dominant anaerobic genus in these cultures (Chen et al. 2002; Lin & Chang 2004a; Dinopoulou et al. 1988). Clostridia have the capacity to utilize a wide variety of sugars, thus broadening the spectrum of potential carbon sources for H₂ production. Optimizing fermentation conditions that favour clostridial growth should therefore, essentially enhance H_2 production. However, what controls the mechanisms of clostridial H₂ production has not been determined in detail, since the culture conditions that affect H₂ production are only beginning to be ascertained (Logan et al. 2002). To date, studies have shown that iron availability, H₂ partial pressure, substrate concentration, temperature (Zhang et al. 2003), and the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio (Lin & Lay 2004b) all in some way affect the H₂-producing potential of a microorganism. Nonetheless, most clostridia produce both organic solvents (alcohols and ketones) and acids. Since alcohol production involves the consumption of H₂ in the form reducing equivalents such as NADH, it is inevitable that fermentation conditions that favour the metabolism of sugar to alcohols reduce H_2 production.

Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021 is a known alcohol-producing microorganism. A number of studies have been conducted using this microorganism for fermentative acetone, butanol and ethanol (ABE) production from defined media (Sirisansaneeyakul *et al.* 1998; Ishizaki *et al.* 1999; Crabbe *et al.* 2001), biomass (Crabbe *et al.* 1998) and biological waste (Bujang *et al.* 1997; Ishizaki *et al.* 1998). Its hydrogen-producing potential, however, has not been reported. Hence this study was conducted to investigate the influence of culture parameters on H_2 production by the microorganism.

Materials and methods

Microorganisms, maintenance and growth conditions

Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021 was used in this study. The microorganism was grown and maintained in peptone-yeast extract (PY) medium at -78 °C. The PY medium contained per litre of deionized water: tryptone (FisherBiotech, Fair Lawn, NJ), 5.0 g; Bacto peptone (BD, Sparks, MD), 5.0 g; yeast extract (FisherBiotech), Fair Lawn, NJ), 10.0 g; glucose, 5.0 g; Bacto beef extract (BD, Sparks, MD), 5.0 g; Tween 80, 1.0 ml; K₂HPO₄, 2.0 g; salt solution, 40.0 ml (per litre: CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.25 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.50 g; K₂HPO₄, 1.0 g; KH₂PO₄, 1.0 g; NaHCO₃, 10.0 g; NaCl, 2.0 g); haemin solution, 10.0 ml (per litre: 0.5 g haemin, 10 ml 1 M NaOH); 0.5% vitamin K₁, 200 1 (per litre: 5 ml vitamin K₁, 970 ml 95% ethanol); and L-cysteine-HCl.H₂O, 0.5 g. Tryptone-yeast extract (TY) medium served as the basal medium for H₂ fermentation studies. It contained the following components per litre of deionized water: tryptone, 6 g; yeast extract, 2 g; K₂HPO₄, 0.5 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.3 g; and FeSO₄·7H₂O, 50 mg. Unless stated, the total amount of carbon source in the medium was 20 g/l. Prior to inoculation the pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.5 with 5 M KOH and sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min. The microorganism was activated for fermentation by transferring 1 ml of the stock culture into 10 ml of fresh PY medium followed by anaerobic incubation with the aid of a H₂ and CO₂ generator in a BBL Gaspak jar (BD, Sparks, MD) at 30 °C. Overnight cultures were subcultured in fresh PY medium for 6–9 h and used as inoculum.

Fermentation experiments

Experiments were conducted to determine the effect of the type of sugar on H₂ production. The sources were glucose and fructose as monosaccharides with lactose, maltose and sucrose serving as disaccharides. Subsequent experiments were conducted using glucose as carbon source to determine the effects of other culture parameters on H₂ production. These were glucose concentration (10–60 g/l), initial pH (5–9), nitrogen source and concentration, inoculum size (1–10%), iron concentration (0–2000 mg/l), and agitation speed (0–400 rev/min).

Experimental set-up and H_2 quantification

Batch fermentation was carried out at a working volume of 200 ml in 250 ml media bottles at 30 °C in the respirometer (Challenge Environmental Systems, AK, USA). Unless stated, each medium was seeded with a 5% inoculum and sparged with nitrogen gas (99.9%). All the bottles were tightly closed with open-top screw caps each fitted with a butyl septum. With the exception of the study on the effect of agitation speed, the cultures were agitated at 50 rev/min. The culture pH was not controlled during fermentation. To quantify H₂, gas produced during fermentation was continuously channelled through a needle inserted through the butyl septum into a 30% KOH solution (100 ml) contained in a 150 ml bottle, inserted inline, which stripped CO₂ from the gas stream. The residual gas, essentially H₂, was then channelled into a bubble counter for the measurement of H_2 . To ensure that the 30% KOH solution did indeed remove CO₂ from the fermentation gas stream, the H₂ content of the residual gas was also measured with a H₂ sensor (H₂Scan DCH, CA, USA). Each bubble counter was pre-calibrated and linked through an interface to a computer. Therefore, the volume of H₂ measured at any given time by a bubble counter was computed as real-time volumetric data and continuously logged in the computer.

Reproducibility and statistical analysis

All the results presented in this work are the average of a minimum of duplicate experiments. The significance was reported at P < 0.05 using the *t*-test.

Analytical methods

Cell density was measured spectrophotometrically as optical density at 600 nm immediately after gas production ceased. Glucose concentration was estimated by the glucose oxidase-peroxidase enzymatic method using the Sigma Diagnostic kit procedure 510 (Sigma Chemical Co., MO, USA). All other sugars were assayed by the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method described by Miller (1959); for disaccharides, 1.5 ml cell-free samples were first pretreated with 100 μ l of concentrated hydrochloric acid and boiled for 5 min. The resulting solution was neutralized with 300 μ l of 5 M KOH and used for sugar analysis.

Results and discussion

Effects of carbon source and carbon concentration

Five carbon sources, consisting of two monosaccharides, glucose and fructose, and three disaccharides, lactose, sucrose and maltose, were evaluated for their effect on the yield and rate of hydrogen production. All five sugars were nearly completely (>99%) consumed in H_2 production (Table 1). The highest yield (2.83 mol/ mol) was obtained in lactose and sucrose but the least in fructose (1.24 mol/mol). The highest production rate of 1.75 mmol/h was obtained in sucrose and the least, 10.8 mmol/h, from lactose. However, differences were observed in the yield and the H₂ production rate based on the type of sugar used. Disaccharides, on the average, produced twice as much H₂ (2.81 mol/mol) as monosaccharides (1.29 mol/mol), whereas the average production rate obtained from the monosaccharides (1.35 mmol/h) was slightly higher than that of a disaccharide (1.31 mmol/h). These results are higher

Table 1. Effect of various sugars on hydrogen production from by Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021.

^a Carbon source		H ₂ produ	ction			
	Residual (g/l)	Duration (h)	Volume (ml)	Yield ^b (mol/mol)	Rate (mmol/h)	
Туре						
Glucose	0.60	20.0	657.4	1.37	1.47	
Fructose	0.67	20.7	575.6	1.20	1.24	
Lactose	0.57	29.6	716.5	2.82	1.08	
Sucrose	0.15	18.7	734.8	2.83	1.75	
Maltose	0.66	28.4	703.0	2.77	1.10	

^a Initial concentration of each carbon source, 20 g sugar/l.

^b Yield is expressed as mole H₂ per mole sugar.

than the respective yields of 0.98 mol/mol-glucose (Oh *et al.* 2003) and 1.8 mol/mol-sucrose (Logan *et al.* 2002) obtained for a mixed microbial culture, which indicated that mixed microbial cultures did not produce H_2 with any greater efficiency than pure cultures. Furthermore, the carbon sources used in this study are the types of sugar commonly found in food and dairy waste. These industries generate waste with high chemical oxygen demand making treatment and disposal very difficult. As the results indicate these sugars could serve as carbon sources for H_2 production. This is important because converting food or dairy waste into hydrogen provides an alternative means of alleviating the problem and reducing pollution.

To investigate the effect of substrate concentration, glucose was used as the sole carbon source. As shown in Figure 1, H₂ production increased with increasing glucose concentration. Although the highest H₂ production was obtained in 60 g glucose/l, the H₂ yield increased from 1.28 mol/mol in 10 g glucose/l up to 1.76 mol/mol in 40 g glucose/l then decreased to 1.60 mol/mol in 60 g glucose/l. A similar trend was observed between the substrate concentration and the H₂ production rate. The highest production rate of 3.39 mmol/h was also obtained in 40 g glucose/l. The reductions in the H₂ yield and production rate in 60 g glucose/l were attributed to a shift from the acidogenic to the solventogenic pathways where H₂ was consumed to reduce the acids to alcohols (Van Ginkel et al. 2001). Wu & Lin(2004) observed a similar trend between the yield and molasses concentration, in which the highest yield was found at a substrate concentration of 40 g COD/l. This trend, however, differed from that of a steady decrease in the H₂ yield with increasing substrate concentration observed for starch in starch wastewater (Zhang et al. (2003) and for cellulose (Lay 2001). It was also noted that investigators have reported H₂ yield as mol H₂ per mol substrate, mol H₂ per gramme substrate



Figure 1. Effect of glucose concentration on the rate and the yield of H_2 production. Results from duplicate run. Symbols: Volume produced, (\blacktriangle); yield, (\blacksquare); production rate (\blacklozenge).

or H_2 produced (ml) per gramme substrate; hence, for ease of comparison with values reported, the H_2 yields were all converted to H_2 produced (ml) per gramme substrate. On that basis, it was found that the maximum yield of 1.76 mol/mol-glucose (219 ml/g-glucose) obtained in this study was higher than the reported values of 132 ml/g glucose (Oh *et al.* 2003), 92 ml/g-starch in starch wastewater (Zhang *et al.* 2003), 193 ml/g-cellulose (Ueno *et al.* 2001), 134 ml/g-molasses (Logan *et al.* 2002), 126 ml/g-sucrose (Lee *et al.* 2002) but less than 314 ml/g-sucrose reported by Lin & Lay (2004b). This indicated that *C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum* is one of the most efficient hydrogen producers.

Effect of initial pH

The effect of the initial pH of the medium on H₂ production was investigated by varying the pre-sterilization pH between 5 and 8.5. As shown in Table 2, increasing the pH at intervals of 0.5 units affected H₂ production. Between pH 6 and 8.5, H₂ production was enhanced by every 1 unit increase in pH. While the highest yield, 1.72 mol/mol, was obtained at pH 8.5, the highest production rate of 2.27 mmol/h was observed at pH 7, but there was no clear correlation between initial pH and the H₂ yield or the production rate between pH 7 and 8.5. This suggested that a high production rate did not necessarily translate into a high yield. However, no H₂ production was observed at pH 5 because the organism did not grow whereas production at pH 6 was low, indicating that H₂ production was favoured by a neutral to slightly alkaline initial pH. The optimal pH range of 7-8.5 for H₂ production observed in this study was higher than values reported for similar studies of pH 6.2 using glucose and a mixed culture (Oh et al.

Table 2. Effect of initial pH on hydrogen production by *Clostridium* saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021.

РН		H ₂ production					
Initial	Final	Duration (h)	Volume (ml)	Yield ^a (mol/mol)	Rate (mmol/h)		
5.0	5.03	24.0	0.0	0.00	0.00		
6.0	5.95	16.5	367.8	0.74	1.00		
6.5	6.10	15.2	650.6	1.31	1.91		
7.0	6.05	15.4	784.2	1.58	2.27		
7.5	6.04	16.3	708.6	1.43	1.88		
8.0	5.95	16.9	699.0	1.40	1.85		
8.5	5.71	19.1	859.2	1.72	2.00		

^a Yield is expressed as mole H_2 per mole glucose.

Initial glucose, 20 g/l.

2003), pH 5.5–6.0 using sucrose and a heat-treated inoculum (Van Ginkel *et al.* 2001) and pH 6–7 using molasses and a mixed culture (Wu & Lin 2004). They are however in agreement with results which showed that H_2 production by *Clostridia* is completely inhibited below a pH range of 4 to 5 (Bahl *et al.* 1986; Roychowdhury *et al.* 1988; Dabrock *et al.* 1992; Wu & Lin 2004). We concluded that the pH for optimal H_2 production was dependent on the hydrogen-producing microorganism(s).

Effect of nitrogen sources

To study the effect of the nitrogen source on the rate and yield of H_2 production, the fermentation medium was supplemented with various organic and inorganic sources of nitrogen (Table 3). It was observed the nitrogen source also had a marked effect on H_2 production. In general, H_2 production by cultures

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen sources on hydrogen production by Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021.

Nitrogen source	H ₂ production							
	Duration (h)	Final pH	Residual glucose (g/l)	Volume (ml)	Yield ^a (mol/mol)	Rate (mmol/h)		
Organic								
^b Control	15.3	4.99	0.22	701.0	1.45	2.04		
Yeast extract	15.3	4.93	0.16	786.7	1.58	2.30		
Corn steep liquor	25.5	5.08	0.10	772.6	1.56	1.35		
Casamino acids	20.5	4.78	0.10	700.1	1.44	1.53		
Tryptone	16.8	4.88	0.02	678.4	1.43	1.81		
Peptone	31.3	4.31	0.19	650.3	1.34	0.93		
Cotton seed flour	42.3	4.44	5.78	331.4	0.96	0.35		
Beef extract	42.3	4.13	12.20	294.5	1.58	0.31		
Soy bean flour	42.0	4.19	9.75	264.5	0.51	0.28		
Corn gluten meal	42.0	3.77	11.04	215.2	0.41	0.23		
Inorganic								
Ammonium sulphate	22.6	3.89	11.70	205.6	1.08	0.41		
Ammonium nitrate	35.8	4.13	15.30	57.6	0.56	0.07		
Ammonium chloride	42.6	6.53	19.60	0.3	0.00	0.00		
Urea	42.6	38.67	19.10	0.2	0.00	0.00		

^a Yield is expressed as mole H₂ per mole glucose.

^b Nitrogen source was Tryptone + yeast extract as in the basal medium. Initial glucose, 20 g/l.

supplemented with organic nitrogen was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than those supplemented with inorganic nitrogen sources. Cultures supplemented with yeast extract, corn steep liquor, casamino acids, tryptone and peptone produced higher H₂ yields with near complete sugar consumption (98.9–99.9%). Among these sources, yeast extract was the best source of nitrogen for H₂ production because it facilitated the highest production rate (2.3 mmol/h) and yield (1.58 mol/mol); the H₂ yield was significantly (P < 0.05) higher than that of the control which was supplemented with both yeast extract and tryptone (Table 3). The H₂ yields obtained with corn steep liquor (1.56 mol/mol), casamino acids (1.43 mol/ mol) and tryptone (1.43 mol/mol) were comparable to that of the control (1.45 mol/mol) indicating the former could be considered as alternative nitrogen sources. However, cultivation with other organic nitrogen sources such as beef extract, cottonseed flour, corn gluten meal or soybean meal was characterized by incomplete sugar consumption (29 and 49%), lower final culture pHs and lower H₂ production than the control (Table 3). Replacing organic with inorganic nitrogen sources resulted in even lower sugar consumption (0-46.5%) and poor H₂ production. Although a number of investigators have used inorganic nitrogen sources such as ammonium hydrogen carbonate (Lay et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002; Lee et al. 2002; Logan et al. 2002; Sung et al. 2002; Lin & Chang 2004a) and ammonium chloride (Oh et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2003) in H₂ fermentation media, others have shown that when ammonium chloride replaced peptone as a nitrogen source, H₂ yields are halved (Ueno et al. 2001). These observations were attributed to the composition of the nitrogen source. Organic nitrogen is a complex nitrogen source composed of a spectrum of peptides and free amino acids. During fermentation these are taken up from the medium by the cell and directly incorporated into proteins or transformed into other cellular nitrogenous constituents (Large 1986). By contrast, the cell spends more energy and time in synthesizing amino acids for protein synthesis from inorganic nitrogen sources (Da Cruz et al. 2002). Among organic nitrogen sources, differences in protein and amino acid composition could have accounted for the differences in the production rates and yields observed in this study. However, the results indicate that organic nitrogen is the preferred nitrogen source.

Following this investigation, the amount of yeast extract was optimized for H₂ production over a range of 0.025 and 0.2% total nitrogen (Figure 2). Increasing the amount of yeast extract enhanced both the rate of H₂ production and the yield. The highest yield (1.41 mol/mol) was obtained with 0.2% yeast extract but this was not significantly different (P < 0.05) from 1.39 mol/mol obtained with 0.1%. Therefore, subsequent experiments were conducted with yeast extract (0.1% total nitrogen) as the sole source of nitrogen.



Figure 2. Effect of yeast extract concentration on hydrogen production by *C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum* ATCC 27021 from glucose. Results from duplicate run. Culture volume was 200 ml. Symbols: Volume produced, (\blacktriangle); yield, (\blacksquare); production rate (\diamondsuit).

Effect of inoculum size

 H_2 production as affected by the inoculum size (1 to 10%) is presented in Table 4. The H_2 production rate increased from 2 mmol/h at 1% to 2.36 mmol/h with a 10% inoculum. There was no clear correlation between the inoculum size and the H₂ yield although the highest yield of 1.85 was obtained with a 1% inoculum. H₂ evolution by Clostridium is primarily a growth-associated process and therefore it is expected that the highest rates of evolution be attained during the growth phase. This implied that for the same concentration of substrate, an initially high substrate to cell ratio would prolong the growth phase and facilitate a longer duration of high rates of H₂ production by the growing cells and that would result in high H₂ production. This however disagrees with the findings of Lay (2001) which showed that a low substrate (cellulose) to cell density facilitated high H₂ generation by a mixed hydrogenproducing culture.

Effect of iron

To determine the effect of iron on H_2 production, ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (0–2000 mg/l) was added to the fermentation medium before sterilization. This

Table 4. Effect of inoculum size on hydrogen production by Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum ATCC 27021.

Parameter	Inoculum size (%)				
	1.0	5.0	10.0		
Duration (h)	20.5	14.8	16.0		
H ₂ Volume (ml)	920.6	725.6	848.0		
Yield ^a (mol/mol)	1.85	1.46	1.70		
Rate (mmol/h)	2.00	2.19	2.36		

^a Yield is expressed as mole H_2 per mole glucose. Initial glucose, 20 g/l.

1 u o c o c o c o c o c o c o c o c o c o	Table 5.	Effect of iron on	hydrogen	production by	Clostridium sacche	aroperbutylacetonicum	ATCC 27021.
---	----------	-------------------	----------	---------------	--------------------	-----------------------	-------------

FeSO ₄ (mg/l)	Residual glucose	Biomass (OD at 600 nm)	H ₂ production					
	(g/1)		Final pH	Duration (h)	Volume (ml)	Yield ^a (mol/mol)	Rate (mmol/h)	
0	9.58	1.83	3.93	24.0	361.7	1.47	0.67	
25	0.13	5.19	4.93	26.3	837.8	1.74	1.42	
50	0.11	5.68	4.82	19.1	795.8	1.65	1.86	
125	0.15	5.86	4.91	19.1	793.5	1.65	1.85	
250	0.15	6.53	4.78	19.5	814.1	1.69	1.87	
500	0.16	6.56	4.78	19.1	808.1	1.68	1.89	
1000	0.15	6.35	4.87	17.9	797.9	1.66	1.99	
2000	0.12	6.68	4.98	16.0	808.8	1.68	2.25	

^a Yield is expressed as mole H₂ per mole glucose.

Initial glucose, 20 g/l.

was equivalent to adding between 0 and 401 mg Fe/l to the medium. H₂ production was observed with and without iron supplementation (Table 5). However, iron supplementation significantly (P < 0.05) promoted both the H₂ production rate and the yield over that observed in unsupplemented cultures. Increasing the iron concentration also had different effects on the production rate and yield. A sharp increase was observed in the production rate from 0.67 mmol/h $(0 \text{ mg FeSO}_4 \cdot 7H_2O/l)$ to 1.42 mmol/h on supplementing with 25 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l. This was attributed to the almost threefold increase in the cell density as a result of iron supplementation (Table 5). Doubling the iron concentration from 25 to 50 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l resulted in a 0.44 mmol/h (31%) increase in the production rate. This was followed by a 0.03 mmol/h (1.3%) increase in the production rate between 50 and 500 mg FeS-O₄·7H₂O/l. Beyond 500 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l, iron supplementation further increased the production rate. The highest production rate of 2.25 mmol/h was observed at 2000 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l. From this observation, it was apparent that increasing the iron concentration in a culture medium increased the H₂ production rate within the concentration range investigated. Iron supplementation up to 25 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l also significantly enhanced the H₂ yield by 14% over that produced by the unsupplemented culture (Table 5). Between 25 and 50 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l, the H₂ yield decreased slightly from 1.74 to 1.65 mol/mol, which then increased slightly to 1.68 mol/mol at 2000 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O/l, but the difference was not statistical (P < 0.05). The highest yield of 1.74 mol/mol was observed at 25 mg FeS- $O_4 \cdot 7H_2O/l$. These results showed that even a small amount of iron greatly affected production and that the major effect of additional iron was to enhance the rate of production. Lee et al. (2001) used FeCl₂ as the source of iron and reported similar profiles between the yield (or the production rate) and the iron concentration. The maximum H₂ yield reported in that study was at an optimum of 800 mg FeCl₂/l (352 mg Fe/l) and that was much higher than 25 mg $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O/l$ (5 mg Fe/l) observed in the present study. Both studies, however,

underscored the importance of adding iron in greater than limiting levels to maximise H₂ yield from H₂ generating cultures (Hawkes et al. 2002). Iron supplementation in hydrogen fermentation media is important because hydrogen-producing Clostridia possess the metalloenzymes, hydrogenase and NADH-ferredoxin reductase, which mediate hydrogen formation. Evidence from hydrogenase purified and characterized from two clostridial species show that these enzymes contain iron clusters and a unique type of Fe-S centre termed the H₂ cluster (Adams 1990; Santangelo et al. 1995). The H₂ cluster has been proposed as the site of H2 oxidation and H₂ evolution (Adams 1987). The iron clusters serve to transfer electrons between the hydrogen cluster and the external electron carrier (Adams 1990). Therefore, it was expected that supplementing with iron would facilitate hydrogenase biosynthesis and hydrogen evolution, whereas iron deprivation could severely affect the enzyme's biosynthesis and function which would then shift the metabolic pathway to alcohol production (Bahl et al. 1986; Peguin & Soucaille 1995).

Effect of agitation speed

Table 6 shows the effect of agitation speed (0–400 rev/ min) on H_2 production. Agitation was provided by a

Table 6. Effect of agitation speed on hydrogen production by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* ATCC 27021.

Agitation	Residual	H ₂ production					
speed (rev/min)	glucose (g/l)	Duration (h)	Volume (ml)	Yield ^a (mol/mol)	Rate (mmol/h)		
0	0.14	20.5	729.9	1.51	1.59		
50	0.19	19.1	795.8	1.66	1.86		
100	0.15	19.2	795.8	1.65	1.85		
150	0.13	20.2	739.0	1.53	1.63		
200	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00		
400	19.5	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00		

^a Yield is expressed as mole H₂ per mole glucose. Initial glucose, 20 g/l. magnetic stirring bar $(5 \text{ cm} \times 0.8 \text{ cm})$ placed at the bottom of the flask. The agitation speed affected both the production rate and the yield. Agitation at 50 rev/ min resulted in a 10% increase in the yield from 1.51 mol/mol in the unstirred culture to 1.66 mol/mol. The yield remained unchanged at 100 rev/min and dropped thereafter. The highest yield, 1.66 mol/mol, was therefore obtained at 50 and 100 rev/min. There was a wide deviation in the H₂ yield at 150 rev/min (data not shown) indicating that at this speed agitation did not have a uniform effect on the cultures, but that did not affect sugar consumption which was as high (99.4%) as in cultures agitated at lower speeds. At 200 and 400 rev/min, the cultures neither grew nor produced H_2 by the end of the experiment. A similar profile was observed between the production rate and the agitation speed. The maximum production rate of 1.86 mmol/h was also observed at 50 and 100 rev/min. It has been reported that a high dissolved H₂ concentration in the culture medium inhibits H₂ production and favours alcohol production by Clostridium (Gapes et al. 1982); hence immediate removal of H₂ from the culture medium is recommended to facilitate maximum H₂ yields. In this study, agitation served to remove dissolved gases such as CO₂ and H₂ from the fermentation medium, thereby lowering their concentrations, which enhanced their rates of production. Nonetheless, agitating cultures at high speed could also cause fragmentation and shear damage to the microbial cells (Yerushalmi & Volesky 1985) and therefore there is the need to achieve a balance that ensures maximum productivity without injury to the cells. Based on the results of this experiment, an agitation speed of 100 rev/ min was considered as the maximum necessary to attain the highest H_2 production rate and yield by this microorganism. Other investigators, Doremus et al. (1985), Yerushalmi & Volesky (1985), Lamed et al. (1988) and Lay (2001) have also shown that higher agitation favours H₂ production by clostridial species or a mixed culture containing Clostridia, although the maximum agitation speed reported varied from microorganism to microorganism. In a recent study by Lay (2001), the H_2 yield produced by a mixed hydrogenproducing culture doubled by increasing the agitation speed from 100 to 700 rev/min.

Conclusion

As the world moves toward a H_2 economy, fermentative H_2 production is bound to contribute to our future energy supply. Needless to say, the competitiveness of such fermentative processes would largely depend on the efficiency of hydrogen-producing strains and the ready availability of cheap and locally abundant source of substrate. As a number of preceding studies have demonstrated, identification of clostridial species, in pure cultures or in mixed consortia, holds a key to the success of these H_2 fermentative processes.

In this study, a number of factors were examined for their influence on the rate and yield of H₂ production by C. saccharoperbutylacetonicum. The results were useful in predicting the types of sugar and the concentration that would maximize H₂ yields; they showed that impure sugar feedstocks such as food and dairy processing waste could potentially serve as substrates for H₂ fermentation. It also revealed that the microorganism produces H₂ more efficiently from glucose than most of the microorganisms or mixed cultures already reported. Among the medium components, the source of nitrogen was a very important factor, given that organic nitrogen sources were preferred to an inorganic one. The study also pointed out the advantages of employing a small inoculum size and iron concentration to maximize H₂ production and the importance of an initially neutral to slightly alkaline pH coupled with adequate agitation to the success of the fermentation.

References

- Adams, M.W. 1987 The mechanisms of H₂ activation and CO binding by hydrogenase I and the hydrogenase II of *Clostridium pasteurianum. Journal of Biological Chemistry* 262, 15054–15064.
- Adams, M.W. 1990 The structure and mechanism of iron-hydrogenases. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1020, 115–145.
- Bahl, H.M., Gottwald, S., Kuhn, V., Andersch, W. & Gottschalk G. 1986 Nutritional factors affecting the ratio of solvents produced by *Clostridium acetobutylicum. Applied and Environmental Microbiol*ogy 52, 169–172.
- Bujang, K.B., Ishizaki, A. & Michiwaki S. 1997 Effects of corn steep liquor and natural rubber serum powder on ABE fermentation of Meisellase-hydrolysed sago effluent amended with raw sago starch. *Annual Report of International Center for Biotechnology, Osaka* University 20, 325–331.
- Chen, C-C., Lin, C-Y. & Lin, M-C. 2002 Acid-base enrichment enhances anaerobic hydrogen process. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 58, 224–228.
- Crabbe, E., Nolasco-Hipolito, C., Kobayashi, G., Sonomoto, K., Yoshino, S., Ishizaki, A. & Bujang, K. 1998 Hydrolyzed sago starch as feedstock for acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564) *Annual Report of International Center for Biotechnology, Osaka University* 21, 325–332.
- Crabbe, E., Nolasco-Hipolito, C., Kobayashi, G., Sonomoto, K. & Ishizaki, A. 2001 Biodiesel production from crude palm oil: optimization of process variables, and evaluation of solvent extraction and fuel properties. *Process Biochemistry* 37, 65–71.
- Dabrock, B., Bahl, H. & Gottschalk, G. 1992 Parameters affecting solvent production by *Clostridium pasteurianum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 58, 1233–1239.
- Da Cruz, S.H., Cilli, E.M., & Ernandes, J.R. 2002 Structural complexities of the nitrogen source and its influence on yeast growth and fermentation. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing* 108, 54–61.
- Das, D. & Nejat Veziroglu, T. 2001 Hydrogen production by biological processes: a survey of literature. *International Journal* of Hydrogen Energy 26, 13–28.
- Dinopoulou, G., Rudd, T. & Lester, J.N. 1988 Anaerobic acidogenesis of complex wastewater: 1. The influence of operational parameters on reactor performance. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* **31**, 958– 968.
- Doremus, M.G., Linden, J.C. & Moreira, A.R. 1985 Agitation and pressure effects on acetone-butanol fermentation. *Biotechnology* and Bioengineering 27, 852–860.

- Fang, H.P. & Liu, H. 2002 Effect of pH on hydrogen production from glucose by a mixed culture. *Bioresource Technology* 83, 87–93.
- Gorman, J. 2002 Hydrogen: The next generation science. Science 162, 235.
- Gapes, J.R., Larsen, V.F. & Maddox, I.S. 1982 Microbial production of *n*-butanol: some problems associated with the fermentation, In: *Energy from Biomass. Proceedings of the 14th Biotechnology Conference.* pp. 90–101. Palmerston North, New Zealand.
- Hawkes, F.R., Dinsdale, R., Hawkes, D.L. & Hussy I. 2002 Sustainable hydrogen production: challenges for process optimization. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 27, 1339–1347.
- Ishizaki, A., Crabbe, E., Lee, T.M, Todd, J.I. & Yoshino, S. 1998 Fermentative ABE production by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564) using palm oil mill effluent. *Recent Research Development in Agricultural and Biological Chemistry* 2, 57–61.
- Ishizaki, A., Michiwaki, S., Crabbe, E., Kobayashi, G., Sonomoto, K. & Yoshino, S. 1999 Extractive acetone–butanol-ethanol (ABE) fermentation by *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4 (ATCC 13564) using crude palm oil methyl esters as extractant. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 87, 352–356.
- Lamed, R.J., Lobos, J.H. & Su, T.M. 1988 Effects of stirring and hydrogen on fermentation products of *Clostridium thermocellum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 54, 1216–1221.
- Lay, J.J. 2001 Biohydrogen generation by mesophilic anaerobic fermentation of microcrystalline cellulose. *Bioresource Technology* 74, 280–287.
- Large, P.J. 1986 Degradation of organic nitrogen compounds by yeasts. Yeast 2, 1–34.
- Lee, Y.J., Miyahara, T. & Noike, T. 2001 Effect of iron concentration on hydrogen fermentation. *Bioresource Technology* 82, 87–93.
- Lee, Y.J., Miyahara, T. & Noike, T. 2002 Effect of pH on microbial hydrogen production. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Bio*technology 77, 694–698.
- Lin, Y-C. & Chang, R-C. 2004a Fermentative hydrogen production at ambient temperature. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29, 715–720.
- Lin, C.Y. & Lay, C.H. 2004b Carbon/nitrogen ratio effect on fermentative hydrogen production by mixed microflora. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29, 41–45.
- Logan, B.E., Oh, S-E., Kim, S. & Van Ginkel, S. 2002 Biological hydrogen production measured in batch anaerobic respirometers. *Environmental Science and Technology* 36, 2530–2535.
- Miller, G.L. 1959 Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. *Analytical Chemistry* **31**, 426–428.
- Nandi, R. & Sengupta, S. 1998 Microbial hydrogen production. CRC Critical Reviews in Microbiology 24, 61–84.

- Oh, S.-E., Van Ginkel, S. & Logan, B.E. 2003 The relative effectiveness of pH control and heat treatment for enhancing biohydrogen gas production. *Environmental Science and Technology* 37, 5186–5190.
- Peguin, S. & Soucaille, P. 1995 Modulation of carbon and electron flow in *Clostridium acetobutylicum* by iron limitation and methyl viologen addition. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 61, 403–405.
- Roychowdhury, S., Cox, D. & Levandowsky, M. 1988 Production of hydrogen by microbial fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 13, 407–410.
- Santangelo, J.D., Durre, P. & Woods, D.R. 1995 Characterization and expression of the hydrogenase-encoding gene from *Clostridium* acetobutylicum P262. Microbiology 141, 171–180.
- Sirisansaneeyakul, S., Crabbe, E., Kobayashi, G., Sonomoto, K., Lertsiri, S., Luangpituksa, P., Varavinit, S. & Ishizaki, A. 1998 Kinetic modeling and analysis of acetone-butanol-ethanol fermentation using *Clostridium saccharoperbutylacetonicum* N1-4. *Annual Report of International Center for Biotechnology, Osaka University* 21, 525–548.
- Sung, S., Raskin, L., Duangmanee, T., Padmasiri, S. & Simmons, J.J. 2002 Hydrogen production by anaerobic microbial communities exposed to repeated heat treatments. *Proceedings of the 2002 U.S.* DOE Hydrogen Program Review NREL/CP-610–32405.
- Ueno, Y., Haruta, S., Ishii, M. & Igarashi, Y. 2001 Microbial community in anaerobic hydrogen-producing microflora enriched from sludge compost. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 57, 555–562.
- Van Ginkel, S., Sung, S. & Lay, J. J. 2001 Biohydrogen production as a function of pH and substrate concentration. *Environmental Science and Technology* 35, 4726–4730.
- Van Groenestijn, J.W., Hazewinkel, J.H.O., Nienoord, M. & Bussman, P.J.T. 2002 Energy aspects of biological hydrogen production in high rate bioreactors operated in the thermophilic temperature range. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 27, 1141–1147.
- Wu, J-H. & Lin, C-Y. 2004. Biohydrogen production by mesophilic fermentation of food wastewater. *Water Science and Technology* 49, 223–228.
- Wunschiers, R. & Lindblad, P. 2002 Hydrogen in education a biological approach. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 27, 1131–1140.
- Yerushalmi, L. & Volesky, B.1985 Importance of agitation in acetonebutanol fermentation. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* 27, 1297– 1305.
- Zhang, T., Hong, L. & Fang, H.H.P. 2003 Biohydrogen from starch in wastewater under thermophilic condition. *Journal of Environmen*tal Management 69, 149–156.