

# Rhizosphere bacterial populations of metallophyte plants in heavy metal-contaminated soils from mining areas in semiarid climate

Rhizlane Bennisse<sup>1</sup>, Marc Labat<sup>2</sup>, Abdelghani ElAsli<sup>3</sup>, Fatiha Brhada<sup>4</sup>, Fatiha Chandad<sup>5</sup>, Jean Lorquin<sup>2</sup>, Pierre-Pol Liegbott<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Hibti<sup>6</sup> and Abdel-Illah Qatibi<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Microbiological Engineering Group, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, P.O. Box 549, Cadi Ayyad University, M-40 000 Marrakech Morocco

<sup>2</sup>Post Harvest Microbial Biotechnology, Microbiology Laboratory IRD-UR119, IFR-BAIM, Universités de Provence et de la Méditerranée, ESIL case 925, 163, avenue de Luminy, 13288 Marseille cedex 9, France

<sup>3</sup>School of Science and Engineering. Al Akhawayn University, P.O. Box 1846, Ifrane, Morocco

<sup>4</sup>Microbiology and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences, Mohamed V University, P.O. Box 1014, Rabat, Morocco

<sup>5</sup>Faculté de médecine dentaire GREB, local 1716 Université Laval Québec, Canada G1K 7P4

<sup>6</sup>Département de Géologie Faculté des Sciences & Techniques Marrakech Maroc

\*Correspondence author: Tel.: +212-44-43-34-04/+212 44 43 46 88, Fax: +212-44-43-31-70,

E-mail: qatibi@fstg-marrakech.ac.ma

Received 25 June 2003; accepted 1 April 2004

Keywords: Bacteria, heavy metals, metallophyte plants, rhizosphere, semiarid climate, Zn-tolerance

# Summary

Rhizosphere bacterial populations associated with four metallophyte plants in one of major polymetallic (Pb–Zn–Cu) semiarid Moroccan Hercynian province (Draâ Sfar, Marrakech, Morocco) presenting long-term contamination mainly with Zn and Pb were analysed and compared to selected control soils. In the highly Zn-, Cu-, Pb- and Cd-contaminated soils, the total number of culturable heterotrophic bacteria were found in low proportions ( $<2.6 \times 10^2 - 1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ g}^{-1}$ soil). This bacterial content was slightly similar to that found in moderately polluted and controls soils ( $6.7 \times 10^4 - 5.8 \times 10^6$ ). However, the bacterial diversity and the rhizosphere/soil ratio, which compares the bacterial content (or bacterial charge) around the metallophyte plants with that in non-rhizosphere soil, were the bacteriological parameters mostly affected by heavy metal contamination. The chronic Zinc-stress results in an increase of tolerance to this metal of both the rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere bacterial communities. However, in general, the rhizosphere bacterial populations exhibited less tolerance to Zn toxicity than the bacterial population of non-rhizosphere soils. This result suggests that toxic effects of Zn decrease in the rhizosphere soils of the metallophyte plants.

# Introduction

Phytoextraction of soil heavy metals consists of growing hyperaccumulating plants on contaminated soils and harvesting the above ground plant material (leaves and stalks) which would then be dried and ashed (Baker *et al.* 1994). However, the successful plant-based decontamination of soils would requires crops able to concentrate metals in excess of 1-2% and biomass production. These characteristics severely limit the use of metallophyte plants for environmental decontamination. An alternative soil phytoremediation technology has been proposed using non-accumulator metallophyte plants for phytostabilization. This proposed technology consist of cultivating plants to establish a sustainable and durable vegetation in order to help the stabilization of the soil surface and eventuality to reduce heavy metal mobility (Cunningham 1995; Salt et al. 1995). To be effective, plants need to be able to take up large quantities of the metals or may harbour microbial communities with an efficient capacity to detoxify polluting substances by rendering then insoluble. In fact, soil micro-organisms are known to play a key role in the mobilization and immobilization of heavy metals (Hughes & Poole 1989), thereby changing their availability to plants. However, in spite of the increasing knowledge of metal-micro-organism interactions, few studies have attempted to characterize the rhizosphere soil bacterial communities of metallophyte plants in situ under metal stress. This is due, in part, to the complexity of soil systems. The complexity of soil and its microorganisms is exacerbated by metal mobility, variations

induced by environmental factors and root exudates released by metallophyte plants. Consequently, more information is required to understand the metallophyte plants and their rhizosphere microbial population interactions and to be able to develop methods enhancing root growth in heavy metal-contaminated environments. From the economic and environmental points of view, soils contaminated with heavy metals resulting from industrial and urban activities, are abundant and frequently support a distinct assemblage of metallophyte plants particularly resistant to heavy metals. The existence of these plants is attractive for their use in



*Figure 1.* Simplified map of the massive sulphide deposit Draâ Sfar (Marrakech, Morocco): (a) localization of the site from Marrakech city within the central part of the Jebilet hills; (b) localization of the site from the river (Oued N'Fis); (c) study area (A–C). For geological details, see legends within the (c).

#### Rhizosphere bacterial population

phytostabilization proceses. In this study, we selected contaminated soils located in the vicinity of outcrops of metalliferous minerals (Draâ Sfar, Marrakech, Morocco) presenting long-term contamination, mainly with Zn and Pb resulting from mining operations. We investigated the density, diversity and Zn tolerance of the rhizosphere bacterial populations in four dominant nonaccumulator metallophyte plant species collected on contaminated soils.

#### Materials and methods

#### Field site description and study area

The massive sulphide deposit Draâ Sfar, located 16-km Northwest of Marrakech city is one of the major polymetallic (Pb-Zn-Cu) occurrences in the Moroccan Hercynian province (Figure 1). It was discovered in 1962 in the central part of the Jebilet hills and emerged from exploratory research in this area after the discovery of the Kettara deposit. Site descriptive details have been reported in Hibti et al. (1999). The study area extended from the mine to a very large river called Oued N'Fis and located 550-m downstream from the mine. In order to study rhizosphere bacterial populations in metallophyte plants, the polluted area was divided into three sampling zones (A-C). Zone A is located between the mine installations and the first tailing dam 200-m downstream. Climatic variations and rainfall leaching of rocks and trailing are responsible for environmental damage caused by acid production in this zone causing a rarity of flora in this area. Plants were tentatively identified as Rhus pentaphylla, Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum, Salsola vermiculata and Peganum harmala. Zone B is located immediately downstream of zone A. It is bounded by the tailing dam of A. Zone B is formed mainly of soils broken down in some places leading to drainage water. It extends for 300 m to the north and is bounded downstream by a second tailing dam. It is characterized by the same plant species as zone A. Zone C is located immediately downstream of B and is bounded in the north by the Oued N'Fis river. Unless otherwise indicated, the plant species are similar to those observed in zones A and B, with exception of Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum, which was not found in zone C.

# Soil and plant sampling

Three samples from each soil and plant were collected at three separate zones within the mining area and uncontaminated soils. Uncontaminated soils (control soils) were collected form a site located approximately 150 km north of Draâ Sfar. This control zone presents approximately the same soil type and plant species (*R. pentaphylla* and *P. harmala*) as the heavy metal-contaminated soils. Samples of soils and plants were taken according to a simple randomized schematic strategy (Van Elsas & Smalla 1997). Samples of rhizosphere soils were taken using the method described by Pochon & Tardieux (1965). Plant roots were, therefore, enclosed in a plastic bag to maintain a modest degree of moisture during shipment. In the laboratory, the soil was obtained by shaking the plant. Samples of non-rhizosphere soil samples were collected 2 m from each plant at a depth of 10 cm. Soils were sieved through a 2 mm-mesh size screen. An aliquot of each soil was air-dried before physico-chemical analyses. The remaining soils were stored in sterile glass vials at cold 4 °C for microbiological analyses.

#### Physico-chemical analyses

Heavy metals in soils and plant tissues were analysed on a Varian 475-Atomic Adsorption spectrophotometer. Total metal concentrations in soils and in plants dried at 105 °C were determined according to the analytical procedures recommended by the Association Française de Normalisation (AFNOR 1997); detection limit of the atomic absorption spectrometry was 0.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Available metals in the soils were measured by extraction with 0.1 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> (McGrath & Cegarra 1992). Soil pH was measured in soil: water mixtures (1:5 w/v). Total soil organic matter was measured by using an incineration method and soil granulometry was performed following the international method of Robinson (Aubert 1978).

#### Microbiological analyses

Bacteria were extracted from soil by shaking 2 g of soil at 150 rev/min<sup>-1</sup> in 50-ml of sterile NaCl solution  $(8.5 \text{ g l}^{-1})$  for 30 min. Viable heterotrophic bacteria were counted by plating appropriate dilutions on Luriabroth (LB) consisting of tryptone (10 g  $l^{-1}$ ), yeast extract (5 g l<sup>-1</sup>), NaCl (5 g l<sup>-1</sup>) and agar (15 g l<sup>-1</sup>) at pH 7.5 supplemented with cycloheximide (50  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) to prevent fungal growth. Colonies were counted after 5 days of incubation at 30 °C. Zn-tolerant heterotrophs were counted by supplementing the LB agar, with appropriate amounts of filtration-sterilized Zinc (as ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7 H<sub>2</sub>O) stock solution to give final concentrations of 0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, and 10 mM Zn. Bacteria were isolated from the LB agar plates used for the bacterial counts. Morphological dissimilarity was used as a criterion for isolate selection (Duxbury & Bicknel 1983). Isolated bacteria were identified using the directives given in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (1994). Preliminary biochemical tests including motility, oxidase reaction, respiration type, nitrate respiration, nitrate reduction and the Hugh-Leifson O/F test were conducted to complement the microscopic observations (fresh state and Gram staining). Gramnegative, non-fermenting bacteria were differentiated using indole production and gelatine hydrolysis tests. Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic, negative oxidase and fermentative bacteria were identified as Enterobacteriaceae. Generics of this family were identified using the following physiological tests:  $\beta$ -galactosidase and urease reactions, growth on citrate as sole carbon

#### 762

source, production of acid from mannitol, gelatine hydrolysis, and the glucose fermentation metabolic pathway. Gram-positive bacteria were characterized by the following tests: catalase reaction, sporulation tests, and acid-alcohol tolerance. All the assays were performed according to methods described by Smibert & Krieg (1981) and/or using, in the case of Gram-negative bacteria, API20NE and API20E strips for non-fermentative and fermentative bacteria respectively.

# Calculation of diversity indices

Diversity analysis was carried out by using the Shannon species diversity indices,  $H' = -\Sigma pi \log pi$ , where pi corresponds to the number of individuals in each cluster divided by the total number of isolates in the sample (Barkey et al. 1985; Dean-Ross 1990).

# Statistical analysis

One way analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed on the results using the SPSS statistical program. Least significant differences were obtained using Duncan's test. Results were considered to be significantly different at P < 0.05.

#### **Results and discussion**

# Characteristics and metal concentrations of the soils sampled

The characteristics of the tested soil samples are presented in Table 1. Soils in zones A–C and the control

zone are sandy (data not shown). Organic matter content was found to be variable in all soils, ranging from 3.5% to 16%. The pH of all soils was generally neutral to alkaline with the exception of the nonrhizosphere and R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soils in zone A, which were very acid (3.6 and 3.3 respectively). When considering the non-rhizosphere soils, the most severely contaminated was zone A with Zn, and Cu metal concentrations 10 times higher (1059 and 424 vs. 74 and 29 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Pb 1000 times higher (1040 vs  $1 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) respectively than the control soil. Zones B and C show lower Zn and Pb concentrations than A, and total Cu and Cd concentrations that are within the background levels (Table 1). It is important to note that in the highly polluted zone (A), a significant decrease in heavy metals was observed in the rhizosphere soils as compared to non-rhizosphere. This observation could be attributed to the metal detoxification by adsorption on the plant cell walls and intracellular sequestration (Kabata-Pendias & Pendias 1992). Table 2 shows high concentrations of Zn, Cu, Pb and Cd in both shoots and roots in the highest polluted soils. It is also interesting to note that in all polluted soils (A-C), the largest Cu, Pb and Cd concentrations were retained essentially in the roots (Table 2). The plants thereby appeared capable of restricting the translocation of these metals towards their aerial parts within the adequate range below the phytotoxic level as seen in soils B and C. In contrast Zn is retained in the roots only in the highest polluted soils (A), and concentrated essentially in the shoots in the moderate polluted soils (B and C) (Table 2).

On the other hand, since determination of total content of metals has only limited value, as they occur

Table 1. Total and metal concentrations and soils physicochemical characteristics.

| Zones   | Soils                                  | OM % | рН  | Total me<br>concentr | Total metal concentrations(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |        |        | Extractable metal concentrations(mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |           |             |
|---------|--|------|-----|----------------------|--|--------|--------|--|---------|-----------|-------------|
|         |  |      |     | Zn                   | Cu   | Pb     | Cd     | Zn   | Cu      | Pb        | Cd          |
| A       | Non-rhizosphere soil                   | 3.5  | 3.6 | 1059 a               | 424 a  | 1040 a | 3.5 a  | 43.00 b  | 47.00 b | 73.20 b   | 1.90 a      |
|         | <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | 11.0 | 3.3 | 984 b                | 312 b  | 676 b  | 2.9 b  | 66.00 a  | 76.00 a | 128.00 a  | 0.30 b      |
|         | M. nodiflorum rhizosphere soil         | 5.5  | 7.8 | 429 f                | 105 c  | 163 cd | 2.9 b  | 2.50 c   | 0.25 d  | 0.32 c    | 0.05 d      |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil        | 6.5  | 7.7 | 856 c                | 77 e   | 53 e   | 2.3 c  | 0.50 f   | 0.50 d  | 0.02 d    | 0.04 d      |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil            | 7.5  | 7.6 | 861 c                | 65 f   | 42 ef  | 0.7 gh | 1.50 d   | 0.70 d  | 0.02 d    | 0.10 c      |
| В       | Non-rhizosphere soil                   | 6.5  | 7.9 | 667 e                | 35 gh  | 73 d   | 0.4 gh | 1.14 e   | 0.60 d  | 0.04 d    | <0.02 d     |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil        | 5.0  | 8.3 | 761 d                | 48 g   | 29 g   | 1.5 e  | 0.58 f   | 0.32 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.03 d      |
|         | M. nodiflorum rhizosphere soil         | 6.0  | 8.3 | 192 g                | 33 gh  | 34 f   | 0.5 gh | 0.11 g   | 0.07 e  | < 0.02  d | < 0.02  d   |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil        | 3.6  | 7.7 | 847 c                | 85 d   | 115 cd | 0.2 gh | 1.07 e   | 0.38 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.03 d      |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil            | 3.5  | 7.2 | 506 f                | 63 f   | 236 c  | 1.0 g  | 0.41 f   | 0.13 e  | 0.02 d    | $<\!0.02~d$ |
| С       | Non-rhizosphere soil                   | 7.0  | 7.8 | 674 e                | 36 gh  | 74 d   | 1.9 d  | 0.93 e   | 0.42 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.02 d      |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil        | 7.0  | 8.5 | 744 de               | 28 h   | 34 f   | 3.8 a  | 0.95 e   | 0.31 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.02 d      |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil        | 12.5 | 7.6 | 745 de               | 26 h   | 30 g   | 3.2 a  | 1.02 e   | 2.33 c  | 0.04 d    | 0.02 d      |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil            | 8.0  | 7.9 | 49 h                 | 8 i  | 6 h    | 0.1 h  | 0.31 f   | 0.65 d  | 0.08 d    | 0.02 d      |
| Control | Non-rhizosphere soil                   | 5.0  | 7.7 | 74 h                 | 29 h   | 1 h    | 1.3 f  | 0.70 f   | 0.60 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.02 d      |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil        | 6.0  | 8.1 | 73 h                 | 35 gh  | 5 h    | 0.8 gh | 0.56 f   | 0.70 d  | 0.02 d    | 0.04 d      |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil            | 16.0 | 7.5 | 67 h                 | 25 h   | 12 g   | 0.9 gh | 0.20 g   | 0.40 d  | 0.03 d    | 0.02 d      |

OM, organic matter. *M. nodiflorum* was not found in C and control zones, *S. vermiculata* was not found in control zone. Means in each column followed by the same letter did not differ significantly (P < 0.05) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's test.

# Rhizosphere bacterial population

Table 2. Shoot and root metal concentrations (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry matter).

| Zones   | Plants                                 | Zn    | Zn    |        | Cu     |        | Рb     |        | Cd     |  |
|---------|--|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
|         |  | Shoot | Root  | Shoot  | Root   | Shoot  | Root   | Shoot  | Root   |  |
| A       |  |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|         | <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | 178 d | 295 c | 45.0 a | 56.0 a | 17.0 d | 44.0 b | 0.8 cd | 2.9 a  |  |
|         | <i>M. nodiflorum</i> rhizosphere soil  | 433 a | 381 b | 21.0 b | 46.0 a | 13.0 d | 25.0 c | 1.5 b  | 1.0 c  |  |
|         | S. vermiculata<br>rhizosphere soil     | 49 fg | 72 f  | 14.0 d | 13.0 d | 6.0 e  | 51.0 a | 0.7 d  | 0.5 d  |  |
|         | <i>P. harmala</i> rhizosphere soil     | 32 g  | 60 fg | 4.4 de | 29.0 b | 0.7 f  | 6.3 e  | 0.3 e  | 0.3 e  |  |
| В       |  |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|         | <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | 74 f  | 43 fg | 8.6 de | 18.0 c | 3.4 ef | 21.0 c | 0.4 de | 0.3 e  |  |
|         | <i>M. nodiflorum</i> rhizosphere soil  | 37 fg | 19 h  | 4.2 de | 12.0 d | 1.8 ef | 11.0 d | 0.3 e  | 0.6 d  |  |
|         | S. vermiculata<br>rhizosphere soil     | 75 f  | 38 g  | 3.4 e  | 14.0 d | 1.7 ef | 52.0 a | 0.4 de | 0.8 cd |  |
|         | <i>P. harmala</i> rhizosphere soil     | 66 fg | 58 fg | 7.2 de | 16.0 c | 1.4 ef | 7.1 e  | 0.1 e  | 0.4 de |  |
| С       |  |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|         | <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | 31 g  | 22 gh | 6.3 de | 13.0 d | 1.1 ef | 2.5 ef | 0.1 e  | 0.1 e  |  |
|         | S. vermiculata<br>rhizosphere soil     | 105 e | 48 fg | 3.1 e  | 7.4 de | 1.4 ef | 4.3 ef | 0.2 e  | 0.6 d  |  |
|         | <i>P. harmala</i><br>rhizosphere soil  | 45 fg | 42 fg | 3.2 e  | 9.1 de | 0.6 f  | 10.0 d | 0.1 e  | 0.3 e  |  |
| Control |  |       |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|         | <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | 22 gh | 14 h  | 6.2 de | 8.9 de | 1.3 ef | 2.1 ef | 0.1 e  | 0.2 e  |  |
|         | <i>P. harmala</i> rhizosphere soil     | 35 g  | 27 g  | 3.1 e  | 4.9 de | 1.0 ef | 1.3 ef | 0.1 e  | 0.7 d  |  |

nd, not determined. *M. nodifforum* was not found in C and control zones, *S. vermiculata* was not found in control zone. Means in each metal followed by the same letter did not differ significantly (P < 0.05) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's test.

in various forms with different bioavailability and biotoxicity, the available metal fraction in the soils was also evaluated by a chemical extraction with CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution to estimate concentrations of metals in the soil solution and really-exchangeable fraction. The amounts of extractable heavy metals were very low in the neutral soils (Table 1). Therefore, its accurate measurement was restricted only to the acidic soils including *R. pentaphylla* rhizosphere and the non-rhizosphere soils in the zone A. From this result, the pH greatly influenced the solubility of heavy metals. Consequently, bacterial populations in the acidic soils could be exposed to higher and more toxic *in situ* concentration of heavy metals than from neutral soils.

# Counting and diversity of culturable heterotrophic bacteria

Culturable heterotrophic bacteria in the non-rhizosphere soils were 3–10 times less abundant in the contaminated soils A–C than in the control soils  $(1.6 \times 10^4, 6.7 \times 10^4, 1.3 \times 10^5 \text{ vs. } 4.0 \times 10^5 \text{ c.f.u. g}^{-1},$ respectively) (Table 3). Despite the apparently much lower density of bacteria in the presence of metalstressed soils compared to those without metal-stressed soils, Table 3 shows that there were no significant differences in the mean culturable heterotrophic bacteria count between polluted and control non-rhizosphere soils, with the exception of the acidic soils which present the higher bioavailable heavy metal concentrations (Table 1). Thus, determination of bioavailable metal concentrations is imperative to better understand bacterial exposure to metal ions (Roane & Kellog 1996).

One numerical measure of the rhizosphere effects is the rhizosphere/soil ratio, which compares the number of bacteria in the rhizosphere with the number in the non-rhizosphere soil, i.e. the soil unaffected by root exudates. The rhizosphere/soil ratio was higher in the contaminated soils than in the control soils. This was expected, since it is well known that root exudates serve as a source of carbon and energy to micro-organisms. These therefore occur in far higher numbers in rhizosphere soils than in non-rhizosphere soils, where the lack of organic matter input may reduce the bacterial populations (Kuperman & Carreiro 1997).

Data describing the generic groups identified among the rhizosphere and the non-rhizosphere soils, and the diversity index are presented in Table 3. Based on the

| 7 | 6 | 4 |
|---|---|---|
|   | v |   |

| Zones   | Soils                           | c.f.u. g <sup>-1</sup>        | RS/NRS | Diversity index | Gram-negative<br>bacteria (%) | Gram-positive<br>bacteria (%) |
|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| A       | Non-rhizosphere soil            | $1.6 \times 10^4 \text{ e}$   |        | 0.60            | 6                             | 94                            |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil | $< 2.6 \times 10^2 \text{ f}$ | < 0.01 | nd              | nd                            | nd                            |
|         | M. nodiflorum rhizosphere soil  | $2.5 \times 10^5$ cd          | 15.6   | 1.17            | 64                            | 36                            |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil | $5.8 \times 10^{6}$ a         | 362.5  | 1.08            | 89                            | 11                            |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil     | $6.1 \times 10^5 \text{ c}$   | 38     | 0.37            | 96                            | 4                             |
| В       | Non-rhizosphere soil            | $6.7 \times 10^4 \text{ d}$   |        | 0.81            | 0                             | 100                           |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil | $3.4 \times 10^5$ cd          | 5      | 1.48            | 67                            | 33                            |
|         | M. nodiflorum rhizosphere soil  | $8.3 \times 10^4 \text{ d}$   | 1.2    | 1.1             | 94                            | 6                             |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil | $1.1 \times 10^6 \text{ c}$   | 16.4   | 1.65            | 55                            | 45                            |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil     | $4.4 \times 10^5 \text{ cd}$  | 6.5    | 1.07            | 38                            | 62                            |
| C       | Non-rhizosphere soil            | $1.3 \times 10^{5} d$         |        | 0.92            | 40                            | 60                            |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil | $3.6 \times 10^{6} \text{ b}$ | 27.3   | 1.64            | 75                            | 25                            |
|         | S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil | $1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ c}$   | 10.7   | 0.69            | 86                            | 14                            |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil     | $2.4 \times 10^5 \text{ d}$   | 1.8    | 1.29            | 16                            | 84                            |
| Control | Non-rhizosphere soil            | $4.0 \times 10^5 \text{ cd}$  |        | 1.44            | 49                            | 51                            |
|         | R. pentaphylla rhizosphere soil | $6.3 \times 10^5$ cd          | 1.5    | 1.72            | 76                            | 24                            |
|         | P. harmala rhizosphere soil     | $3.4 \times 10^6 \text{ b}$   | 8.7    | 2.1             | 75                            | 25                            |

Table 3. Enumeration of the aerobic heterotrophic bacteria and diversity indices in the soils.

nd, not determined; C.F.U. colonies forming units; RS/NRS, number of bacteria in the rhizosphere soil/ number of bacteria in the nonrhizosphere soil ratio. *M. nodiflorum* was not found in C and control zones, *S. vermiculata* was not found in control zone(see materials and methods). Means in c.f.u. column followed by the same letter did not differ significantly (P < 0.05) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's test.

diversity indices, non-rhizosphere soils A had the lowest diversity index (0.60). In contrast the diversity indices of the non-rhizosphere soils in zones B and C, which had lower heavy metal concentrations, were 0.81 and 0.92 respectively. These values are very low compared to the control non-rhizosphere soil that exhibited a high diversity index (1.44). In each polluted zone, the rhizosphere soils, with the exception of P. harmala in zone A and S. vermiculata in zone C, exhibited much higher diversity indices (>1) than the corresponding non-rhizosphere communities (<1). It was noted that the rhizosphere soils had indices of 0.37 to 1.17 in zone A, 1.07 to 1.65 in zone B, and 0.69 to 1.64 in zone C. Rhizosphere control soils showed much higher diversity indices that ranged from 1.72 to 2.08. Our results are in agreement in reporting extremely low bacterial diversity as an immediate consequence of bacterial adaptation to heavy metal pollution (Barkay et al. 1985; Roane & Kellog 1996). Therefore, heavy metals induce stress that shifts bacterial community composition to lower diversity. However, we also noted differences in bacterial community structure between rhizosphere and nonrhizosphere soils. Hence, the rhizosphere may be considered as a rich medium (heterotrophic) and naked soil as a poor medium (oligotrophic). Therefore, it is difficult to compare our data with those reported in the literature because of the lack of information concerning rhizosphere metallophyte plant flora. The fact that the rhizosphere bacterial community was different from that in the non-rhizosphere soils is of considerable interest. Another significant interest is the fact that Gram-negative bacteria was mostly represented in bacterial population of rhizosphere soils (Table 3). The dominant Gram-negative generic groups isolated were *Pseudomonas*, *Alcaligenes*, *Flavobacterium*, *Xanthomonas* and *Acinetobacter*, whereas Gram-positive bacteria were less abundant and were represented mainly *Bacillus* genera (Bennisse et al. 2003). The predominance of Gram-negative over Gram-positive bacteria in the rhizosphere has already been reported for rhizosphere soils (Gilbert et al.1994) and for forest soils where root biomass is high (Pennanem et al. 1996).

#### Zn tolerance of the bacterial community

The impact of heavy metals on the number, biomass and activity of micro-organisms, or on the species diversity of microbial populations, has been extensively studied (Huysman et al. 1984; Barkay et al. 1985; Frostegard et al. 1993; Roane & Kellogg 1996). It is well known that all the microbiological variables cited above are nonspecific as they could be affected by different environmental factors (temperature, pH), and not only by heavy metals. Information obtained from metal impact studies is therefore difficult to evaluate because of the problem inherent to separating the effect of metal toxicity from that of other environmental factors. A more direct method of studying the effect of heavy metal pollution on micro-organisms may be to estimate the number of tolerant micro-organisms. In our study, the degree of Zn tolerance of the culturable bacterial community was assessed by comparing viable counts on unsupplemented medium to those obtained on media supplemented with several Zn concentrations. After log transformation of total colonies-forming units (CFU) counts, data had a nearly linear pattern. This assessment allowed us to use

| Soils                                  | Zones   |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|  | A       | В       | С       | Control |  |  |  |  |
| <i>R. pentaphylla</i> rhizosphere soil | nd      | -0.51 d | -0.34 h | -0.54 c |  |  |  |  |
| M. nodiflorum rhizosphere soil         | -0.27 i | -0.46 e | nd      | nd      |  |  |  |  |
| S. vermiculata rhizosphere soil        | -0.43 f | -0.36 h | -0.54 c | nd      |  |  |  |  |
| P. harmala rhizosphere soil            | -0.20 j | -0.35 h | -0.55 b | -0.62 a |  |  |  |  |
| Non-rhizosphere soil                   | -0.14 k | -0.39 g | -0.47 d | -0.54 c |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Relative toxicity of Zn to soil bacterial populations as expressed in regression coefficient a values from a resistance pattern<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup> see the text; nd, not determined. Number followed by the same letter did not differ significantly (P < 0.05) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Ducan's test.

the linear regression  $\ln(y) = ax + b$  (Tichy *et al.* 1993) where a and b are regression coefficients, x the concentration of Zn in testing plates and  $\ln(v)$  is the natural logarithm of frequency of surviving c.f.u. Regression coefficient a well describes general decrement of a number of surviving c.f.u. with increasing concentration of Zn in testing medium. Changes in spectrum of Zntolerance soil c.f.u. via using of the coefficient a are expressed in Table 4. On the three non-rhizosphere polluted soils A–C, a values are lower than in the control soils (0.14, 0.40, 0.47 vs. 0.54 respectively). These results suggest that the bacterial population under Zn stress became more resistant to Zn. This agrees well with other studies using the same plate count method and showing that the fraction of metal-tolerant bacteria can be used as a sensitive measure of the metal impact (Doelman et al. 1994; Huysman et al. 1984). The most tolerant bacterial population in the present work were found in the most toxic soils (non-rhizosphere soil in the zone A) which exhibit the highest bioavailable Zn concentration.

Comparison between a values of rhizosphere and bulk soils in the same zone showed different degrees of tolerance to Zn, in spite of the similar Zn concentration observed in these soils by the chemical analyses (Table 1). In most situations the rhizospheric bacterial populations displayed less tolerance to Zn toxicity than the corresponding bulk soils. Since the degree of tolerance to heavy metal indicates the level of toxicity in soils as showing by previous findings (Huysman et al. 1984) we could conclude that toxic effects of Zn decrease in the rhizosphere soils. This contrasts with the chemical analyses, which show similar level of Zn bioavailable. However, in some cases, rhizospheric bacteria showed higher tolerance than the non-rhizosphere soils, this suggests that Zn tolerance in the rhizosphere soils is not a result of a stress by heavy metal contamination only, but is probably due to the structure of the bacterial communities. There, Gram-negative bacteria in the rhizosphere soil were dominant compared to the nonrhizosphere soils. Many reports have shown that Gramnegative bacteria are more tolerant of heavy metal stress than Gram-positive bacteria (Huysman et al. 1984; Frostegard et al. 1993; Roane & Kellogg 1996; Pennanen et al. 1996). This metal-tolerance may be attributed to the interactions between microbial cell wall components and metal ions resulting in their detoxification.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to Professor M. Hibti of Geological Department, Marrakech, Morocco for his information on mining sector and mapping the study area and Professor F. Chandad of Laval University, Quebec, Canada for valuable criticism and improving the manuscript.

#### References

- AFNOR. 1997 *Qualité de l'eau, recueil des normes françaises*, tomel à 4. 2nd edn. ISBN 2-12-179061-6, 2-12-179062-4, 2-12-179063-2.
- Aubert, G. 1978 Méthodes d'analyses des sols. GRPD, Marseille-France, 191 pp.
- Baker, A.J.M., McGrath, S.P., Sidoli, C.M.D. & Reeves, R.D. 1994 The possibility of *in situ* heavy metal decontamination of polluted soils using crops of metal-accumulating plants. *Resorces Conservatiion and Recycling* 11, 41–49.
- Barkay, T., Tripp, S.C. & Olson, B.H. 1985 Effect of metal-rich sewage sludge application on the bacterial communities of grasslands. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. **49**, 333–337.
- Bennisse, R., Chamkh, F., Joulian, C., Labat, M. & Qatibi AI 2004 16S rDNA analysis for characterisation of heavy-metals-resistant rhizosphere bacteria in mining area under semiarid climate. In Proceedings of European Symposium on Environmental Biotechnology, Oostende, Belgium, April 25–28, 2004, 357–361
- Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology 1994 9th edn. Williams and Wilkins Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ISBN 0-68300603-7.
- Cunningham, S.D. 1995 Phytoremediation of contaminated soils. *Trends in Biotechnology* **13**, 393–397.
- Dean-Ross, D. 1990 Response of attached bacteria to zinc in artificial streams. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 36, 561–566.
- Doelman, P., Jansen, E., Michels, M. & VanTil, M. 1994 Effects of heavy metals in soil on microbial diversity and activity as shown by the sensitivity-resistance index, an ecologically relevant parameter. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 17, 177–184.
- Duxbury, T. & Bicknell, B. 1983 Metal-tolerant bacterial populations from natural and metal-polluted soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 15, 243–250.
- Frostegard, A., Tunlid, A. & Baath, E. 1993 Phospholipid fatty acid composition, biomass, activity of microbial communities from two soil types experimentally exposed to different heavy metals. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **59**, 3605–3617.
- Gilbert, G.S., Handelsman, J. & Parke, J.L. 1994 Root camouflage and disease control. *Phytopathology* 84, 222–225.
- Hibti, M., Bouabdelli, M., Mouttaqi, A. & Sagon, J.P. 1999 The effect of metamorphism on sulphide mineralization of the Hercynian province (southwestern Meseta, Morocco). Example of the Hajjar and Kettara sulphide deposits. *Chron. Rech. Min.* 536–537, 23–37.

- Hughes, M.N. & Poole, R.K. 1989 Metals and Micro-organisms. Chapman & Hall, London. ISBN 0-41224400-4.
- Huysman, F., Verstraete, W. & Brookes, P.C. 1984 Effect of manuring practices and increased copper concentrations on soil microbial populations. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 26, 103–110.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. & Pendias, H. 1992 Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida. ISBN 0-84936643-7
- Kuperman, R.G. & Carreiro, M.M. 1997 Soil heavy metal concentrations, microbial biomass and enzyme activities in a contaminated grassland ecosystem. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 29, 179–190.
- McGrath, S.P. & Cegarra, J. 1992 Chemical extractability of heavy metals during and after long-term applications of sewage sludge to soil. *Journal of Soil Science* 43, 313–321.
- Pennanen, T., Frostegard, A. & Fritz, H. 1996 Phospholipid fatty acid composition and heavy metal tolerance of soil microbial communities along two heavy metal-polluted gradients in coniferous forests. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 62, 420–428.

- Pochon, J. & Tardieux, P. 1965 *Techniques d'analyse en microbiologie du sol.* Collection "techniques de base". Editions de la tourelle, Seine France.
- Roane, T.M. & Kellogg, S.T. 1996 Characterisation of bacterial communities in heavy metal contaminated soils. *Canadian Journal* of Microbiology 42, 593–603.
- Salt, D.E., Blaylock, M., Kumar, N., Dushenkov, V., Ensley, B.D., Chet, I. & Raskin, I. 1995 Phytoremediation: a novel strategy for the removal of toxic metals from the environment using plants. *Biotechnology* 13, 468–475.
- Smibert, R.M. & Krieg, N.R. 1981 General characterization. In Manual of *Methods for Microbiology*, pp. 409–443. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology. ISBN 0-91482629-8.
- Tichy, R., Kusel, S., Richa, V. & Kolar, L. 1993 A possible use of soil micro-organisms for bioindication of cadmium toxicity to plants. *Environmental Technology* 14, 379–384.
- Van Elsas, J.D. & Smalla, K. 1997 Methods for sampling soil microbes In Manual of Environmental Microbiology, eds., Hurst, C.J. and Knudsen, G.R. pp. 383–390, Washington USA: American Society Microbiology Press. ISBN 1-55581087-X.