

# **Extreme Level of CO<sub>2</sub> Accumulation into the Atmosphere Due to the Unequal Global Carbon Emission and Sequestration**

**Md. Faruque Hossai[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2855-2715)**

Received: 16 November 2021 / Accepted: 11 March 2022 / Published online: 19 March 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

**Abstract** Global total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission and sequestration are being analysed from 1960 to 2029 reports interpreted from DEP, DOE, IPCC, CFC, CDIAC, IEA, UNEP, NOAA, and NASA. Consequently, these reports have been transcribed into each 10-yearperiod data set by using MATLAB software to accurately calculate the decadal emission and sequestration rate of total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  within the world. Then, these data were further analysed to determine the fnal annual increasing rate (yr<sup>-1</sup>) of  $CO_2$  accumulation into the atmosphere. The study revealed that total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions throughout the world since the 1960s have been increasing rapidly and in the recent year the net  $CO_2$  increasing rate is 2.11% annually. If the current annual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  growth rate is not copped now, the atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  accumulation shall indeed reach at a toxic level of  $1200$  ppm concentration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ into the atmosphere in 53 years. Consequently, the entire human race will face severe breathing problems due to the toxic level of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  presence in the air which indeed will create a serious environmental vulnerability to live mankind on Earth comfortably.

**Keywords** Environmental vulnerability · Global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions  $\cdot$  Global public health crisis  $\cdot$  Total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration  $\cdot$  Toxic level of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 

#### **1 Introduction**

Since 1960s, massive development of industrialisation and the misuse of the natural resources throughout the world quicken the accumulation of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration heavily which certainly will be dangerous for mankind to take fresh breath in the near future (Bauer et al., [2013;](#page-4-0) Betts et al., [2016;](#page-4-1) Davis & Caldeira, [2010\)](#page-4-2). Several studies revealed that currently accumulation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere is 400 ppm, and it is increasing in such a rapid rate that it will reach soon at the toxic level which will result in human being to have severe respiratory problems and possibly many people throughout the world will die (Cetin & Sevik, [2016;](#page-4-3) Erb et al., [2013;](#page-4-4) Li et al.,  $2016$ ). A recent study by Sert et al.  $(2019)$  $(2019)$ and Sevik et al. ([2020\)](#page-5-2) revealed that air pollution has become a problem on a global scale and poses a signifcant risk in terms of human health and natural ecosystems. Another study by Cetin, [\(2016](#page-4-5)), Cetin et al., [\(2019](#page-4-6)) discovered that air pollution is one of the dreadful problems around the world especially in the cities, and people are getting various health problems and even thousands of people are dying every year afected by this air pollution. Recent studies by Krapivin and Varotsos [\(2016](#page-5-3)), Krapivin et al. [\(2017](#page-5-4)),

M. F. Hossain  $(\boxtimes)$ 

College of Architecture and Construction Management, Kennesaw State University, 1100 South Marietta Parkway, Marietta, GA 30060, USA e-mail: faruque55@aol.com

and Varotsos et al. [\(2020](#page-5-5)) suggested that major natural and man-made climate changes will result tremendous increasing of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by 2150 which will influence on the global cycles of greenhouse gases extensively for the survival of the planet Earth. So, it is time without a doubt to make the global environment green by reducing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions which will confrm the versatility, adaptability, and manageability of our mother Earth, which will not result in maladjustment simultaneously, but will be presentable as a sustainable world for our future generation to take a fresh breath. Thus, in the research, a detail calculation of global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from all sources on Earth and sequestration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by all sinks on this planet have been estimated to evaluate the net increasing rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere to give an advance warning to the mankind for forthcoming environmental vulnerability due to the heavy accumulation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere. Simply, this study will help the global scientifc community, policy makers, and leaders to take this forthcoming danger seriously to mitigate global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  immediately to console the forthcoming deadly respiratory problem for mankind on Earth.

### **2 Methods and Simulation**

#### 2.1 *CO<sub>2</sub>* Emissions

The decadal increasing rate in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions due to all industrial developments globally was estimated from the diference between consecutive decades from the period 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and 2020s, and then, it was converted into yearly growth rate divided by past year emission to the current year emissions by using the following equation:

$$
FF = \left[\frac{E_{FF(t_{0+1}) - E_{FF(t_0)}}}{E_{FF(t_0)}}\right] \times 100\% \text{year}^{-1}
$$
 (1)

Here, this simple calculation is being analysed to determine per year  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission increasing rate. To precisely estimate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  increasing rate considering each decadal period, a leap-year factor is also being applied to determine net yearly increasing rate of  $CO_2(E_{\text{Ff}})$  by using its logarithm equal to the below equation:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
Ff = \frac{1}{E_{FF}} \frac{d \left( \ln E_{FF} \right)}{dt} \tag{2}
$$

Here, the net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission increasing rates have been calculated accounting multi-decadal time scales by integrating a non-linear function into  $ln(E_{FF})$ in Eq. ([2\)](#page-1-0) to calculate eventually yearly increasing rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere (Achard et al., [2014;](#page-4-7) Canadell et al., [2007](#page-4-8); Houghton, [2007\)](#page-5-6). Thus, the algorithm of  $E_{\text{FF}}$  of this equation is being fitted into MATLAB algorithm  $E_{\text{FF}}$  to confirm the precise increasing rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  yearly.

Similarly, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions calculated here  $(E<sub>LUC</sub>)$  due to the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world were calculated by implementing dynamic global environmental modelling (DGVM) simulations in MATLAB considering the diference between two consecutive decadal periods of 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and 2020s (Ballantyne et al., [2012;](#page-4-9) Morimoto et al.,  $2021$ ; Stephens et al.,  $2007$ ). Then, a time series is being implemented in this simulation by allocating the dynamic emission of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  due to the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world within two consecutive years, and then, it was converted into yearly growth rate divided by past year emission to the current year emissions by using the following equation:

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
LUC = \left[\frac{E_{LUC(t_{0+1}) - E_{LUC(t_0)}}}{E_{LUC(t_0)}}\right] \times 100\% \text{year}^{-1}
$$
 (3)

Here, the equation is being calculated in yearly  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions growth rate (Earles et al., [2012;](#page-4-10) Jain et al., [2013;](#page-5-9) Stephens et al., [2007\)](#page-5-8). However, to precisely determine the increasing rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in multiple decades, a leap-year factor is also being applied to ensure the net yearly increasing rate of carbon dioxide  $(E<sub>LUC</sub>)$  which is expressed by the following equation:

<span id="page-1-2"></span><span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
Luc = \frac{1}{E_{LUC}} \frac{d \left( ln E_{LUC} \right)}{dt}
$$
 (4)

Here, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission increasing rates have been estimated corresponding to all decadal time scales by applying a non-linear function in  $ln(E_{LUC})$  in Eq. ([4\)](#page-1-1) to determine annual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission into the atmosphere (Prietzel et al., [2016;](#page-5-10) Schwietzke et al., [2016](#page-5-11)). Thus, the algorithm of  $E_{\text{FF}}$  is being integrated into

MATLAB to confrm the precise emission rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from misuses of all natural resources.

Finally, the global total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from all industrial developments and misuse of natural resources per year has been calculated by combing all four equations (Eqs. [1,](#page-1-2) [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-1-3) and [4\)](#page-1-1) as follows:

$$
FL = \left[\frac{E_{FF(t_{0+1})-E_{FF(t_0)}}}{E_{FF(t_0)}}\right] \times 100\% year^{-1} + \frac{1}{E_{FF}} \frac{d(hE_{FF})}{dt} + \left[\frac{E_{LUC(t_{0+1})-E_{LUC(t_0)}}}{E_{LUC(t_0)}}\right]
$$
  
× 100% year<sup>-1</sup> +  $\frac{1}{E_{LUC}} \frac{d(hE_{LUC})}{dt}$  (5)

Thereafter, the global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration considering (1) ocean sink and (2) terrestrial sink available throughout the world has been calculated from 1960 to 2029 by conducting a 10-year period of experiment on each data set and then converted it into the time period for an average annual rate.

#### 2.2 *CO*<sub>2</sub> Sink

Consequently, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestered by the ocean is being calculated for the past years and the next years from the decadal set of 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and 2020s by implementing oceans' carbon sink cycle models (Hossain, [2017;](#page-5-12) Liu et al., [2015\)](#page-5-13). This approach is being implemented to accurately analyse the physio-biological processes of global oceans directly involved in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration by the ocean surfaces and its fauna (Chevallier, [2015;](#page-4-11) Hossain, [2016\)](#page-5-14). Thus, the oceans'  $CO_2$  sink is being determined accurately by dividing the individual yearly values with the previous year's value; therefore, the oceanic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration per year (*t*) in GtC  $yr^{-1}$  is being calculated as follows:

$$
S_{\text{OCEAN}}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{m=n} \frac{S_{\text{OCEAN}}^m(t)}{S_{\text{OCEAN}}^{m(t)-t}} \tag{6}
$$

Here *n* is the number of oceans; *m* is the factor involving  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration; and *t* represents the period.

Then, the absorption of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  per year by terrestrial vegetation and the Earth is also being determined to determine the total  $CO_2$  sequestration by land  $(S_{LAND})$ similarly from the decadal set of 1960s, 1970s, 1980s, 1990s, 2000s, 2010s, and 2020s and convert it into annual rate. Here, the net  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sink by land is being calculated as follows:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
S_{\text{LAND}} = E_{\text{FF}} + E_{\text{LUC}} - (G_{\text{ATM}} + S_{\text{OCEAN}}) \tag{7}
$$

Here, S<sub>LAND</sub> is calculated from the remainder of the estimates where  $G_{ATM}$  is the present  $CO_2$  into the atmosphere,  $(E_{\text{FF}})$  is the carbon from industrial development, and  $E_{\text{LUC}}$  is the  $CO_2$  from the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world (Ballantyne et al., [2012](#page-4-9); Stephens et al., [2007](#page-5-8)).

Then, the computation of  $S_{LAND}$  in Eq. ([7\)](#page-2-0) is being utilised to determine  $E_{\text{LUC}}$  by subtracting  $(G<sub>ATM</sub> + S<sub>OCEAN</sub>) CO<sub>2</sub>.$ 

Subsequently, the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration in a year period has been calculated by combing these two equations (Eqs. [6](#page-2-1) and [7](#page-2-0)) as follows:

$$
S_{OCEAN}(t) + S_{LAND} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{m=n} \frac{S_{OCEAN}^m(t)}{S_{OCEAN^{(10-t)}}^m} + (E_{FF} + E_{LUC} - (G_{ATM} + S_{OCEAN})
$$
\n(8)

Atmospheric  $CO_2$  Concentration ( $G<sub>ATM</sub>$ ) Increasing Rate.

Finally, the net yearly increasing rate of the atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is being determined yearly from the variation of the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission and total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration each year.

#### **3 Results and Discussion**

#### 3.1 *CO<sub>2</sub> Emission*

<span id="page-2-1"></span>The average global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from 1960 to 2029 during this time scale showed that total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from combined industrial development and the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world are at an annual average of 1.7 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 1.7 $\pm$ 0.7 GtC  $yr^{-1}$  per decade in the 1960s (1960–1969); annual average of 2.2 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of  $1.7 \pm 0.8$  GtC  $yr^{-1}$  per decade in the 1970s (1970–1979); annual average of 1.5 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 1.6±0.8 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 1980s (1980–1989); annual average of 2.45 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 2.6 $\pm$ 0.8 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 1990s (1990–1999); annual average of 2.45 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 2.6 $\pm$ 0.8 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 2000s (2000–2009); and annual average of 3.26 GtC  $yr^{-1}$  of 3.26 $\pm$ 0.5 GtC  $yr^{-1}$  per decade in the 2010s (2010–2019) and expected to be increased to annual average of 3.26 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 3.26 $\pm$ 0.5 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 2020s (2020–2029) (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

# 3.2 *CO2 Sink*

Subsequently, the results of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sequestration by ocean and the terrestrial vegetation and land suggested that the average global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sink from 1960 to 2029 during this time scale showed that total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from combined industrial development and the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world are at an annual average of 1.5 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 1960s (1960–1969); annual average of 1.3 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of  $1.3 \pm 0.5$  GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 1970s (1970–1979); annual average of 1.4 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 1.4 $\pm$ 0.6 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 1980s (1980–1989); annual average of 1.4 GtC  $yr^{-1}$  of 1.6 ± 0.4 GtC  $yr^{-1}$  per decade in the 1990s (1990–1999); annual average of 1.15 GtC yr−1 of  $1.15 \pm 0.5$  GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 2000s  $(2000–2009)$ ; and annual average of 1.15 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of  $1.15 \pm 0.5$  GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 2010s (2010–2019) and expected to increase to annual average of 1.15 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> of 1.15  $\pm$  0.5 GtC yr<sup>-1</sup> per decade in the 2020s (2020–2029) (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

# 3.3 Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration ( $G<sub>ATM</sub>$ ) Increasing Rate

Then, the rate of growth of the atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration is being calculated by comparing the decadal and individual annual values for 10 years periodical set which suggested that the average global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  annual growth from 1960 to 2029 is 0.2% at the decade 1960s; 0.9% at the decade 1970s; 0.1% at the decade 1980s; 1.15% at the decade 1990s; 1.3% at the decade 2000s; 2.11% at the decade 2010s; and expected to be 2.11% at the decade 2020s. The projected growth rate of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration presumably suggested that the increased rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  will remain the same as 2.11% per year for next several decades if we do not curb this acceleration of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions (Table [1\)](#page-3-0).

The current  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration into the atmosphere is 400 ppm and is growing at a rate of 2.11% per year; thus, the following equations confrmed that it will attain at a toxic level of 1200 ppm in 53 years.

$$
1200 = 400(1 + .0211)^{Year}
$$
 (9)

$$
3 = (1 + .0211)^{Year}
$$
 (10)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** The results from DGVM simulation in MAT-LAB, implemented from the data of DEP, DOE, IPCC, CFC, CDIAC, IEA, UNEP, NOAA, and NASA to confrm the yearly increasing rate of atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (%). The results described the variation of the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from industrial development and misuse of all natural resources throughout the world and the total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sink (ocean and land) from the years 1960–1969, 1970–1979, 1980–1989, 1990–1999, and 2000– 2009, 2010–2019, and 2020–2029 shown in GtC yr<sup>-1</sup>



 $Log3 = YearLog(1.0211)$  (11)

$$
Year = 52.61 = 53(roundfigure)
$$
 (12)

Consequently, all human beings on earth will be in serious breathing problem due to the toxic level of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere. Simply, it is an urgent demand to reduce the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission globally to mitigate the forthcoming deadly breading problem for mankind as well as secure a better planet for our next generation.

## **4 Conclusion**

The total global  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions due to the industrial development and the misuse of all natural resources throughout the world estimated for the past several decades as well as total  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  sink by ocean and land were calculated to determine the increasing rate in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the atmosphere each year. The yearly increasing rate of the atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  accumulation over the last several years was confrmed by simulated estimate which revealed that it is increasing at a rate of 2.11% yearly. If the current annual  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  growth rate is not copped now, the atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  accumulation shall indeed reach at a toxic level of 1200 ppm in 53 years which will result in the entire human race to face severe respiratory problem throughout the world.

**Acknowledgements** This research was supported by Green Globe Technology under the grant RD-02021-03. Any fndings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this paper are solely those of the author and do not necessarily refect those of Green Globe Technology.

**Author Contribution** Md. Faruque Hossain is the sole author for the paper. He has contributed 100% for conducting research, collecting data, and writing papers.

**Funding** Green Globe Technology is the funding body for this research. The grant GGT RD 02–2021 is provided to conduct research in relation to global sustainability.

**Data Availability** The data sets used in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request except for data that is subject to third party restrictions.

#### **Declarations**

**Competing Interests** The author declares no competing interests.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-4-7"></span>Achard, F., Beuchle, R., Mayaux, P., Stibig, H.-J., Bodart, C., Brink, A., Carboni, S., Desclée, B., Donnay, F., Eva, H. D., Lupi, A., Raši, R., Seliger, R., & Simonetti, D. (2014). Determination of tropical deforestation rates and related carbon losses from 1990 to 2010. *Global Change Biology, 20*(8), 2540–2554.<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12605>
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>Ballantyne, A. P., Alden, C. B., Miller, J. B., Tans, P. P., & White, J. W. C. (2012). Increase in observed net carbon dioxide uptake by land and oceans during the past 50 years. *Nature, 488*(7409), 70–72. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11299) [nature11299](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11299)
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>Bauer, J. E., Cai, W.-J., Raymond, P. A., Bianchi, T. S., Hopkinson, C. S., & Regnier, P. A. G. (2013). The changing carbon cycle of the coastal ocean. *Nature, 504*(7478), 61–70.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12857>
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Knight, J. R., Keeling, R. F., & Kennedy, J. J. (2016). El Niño and a record CO<sub>2</sub> rise. *Nature Climate Change, 6*(9), 806–810. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3063) [nclimate3063](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3063)
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>Canadell, J. G., Le Quéré, C., Raupach, M. R., Field, C. B., Buitenhuis, E. T., Ciais, P., Conway, T. J., Gillett, N. P., Houghton, R. A., & Marland, G. (2007). Contributions to accelerating atmospheric  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  growth from economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104*(47), 18866–18870. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702737104) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702737104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0702737104)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Cetin, M. (2016). A change in the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at the center of the examination halls: Case study of Turkey. *Studies on Ethno-Medicine, 10*(2), 146–155. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/09735070.2016.11905483) [09735070.2016.11905483](https://doi.org/10.1080/09735070.2016.11905483)
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>Cetin, M., Onac, A. K., Sevik, H., & Sen, B. (2019). Temporal and regional change of some air pollution parameters in Bursa. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health, 12*(3), 311– 316. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-018-00657-6>
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>Cetin, M., & Sevik, H. (2016). Measuring the impact of selected plants on indoor CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, 25*(3):973–979. [https://](https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/61744) [doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/61744](https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/61744)
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>Chevallier, F. (2015). On the statistical optimality of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ atmospheric inversions assimilating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  column retrievals. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15*(19), 11133– 11145.<https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11133-2015>
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Davis, S. J., & Caldeira, K. (2010). Consumption-based accounting of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107*(12), 5687–5692. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.09069](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906974107) [74107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906974107)
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>Earles, J. M., Yeh, S., & Skog, K. E. (2012). Timing of carbon emissions from global forest clearance. *Nature Climate Change, 2*(9), 682–685. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nclim](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1535) [ate1535](https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1535)
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>Erb, K.-H., Kastner, T., Luyssaert, S., Houghton, R. A., Kuemmerle, T., Olofsson, P., & Haberl, H. (2013). Bias in the attribution of forest carbon sinks. *Nature Climate Change, 3*(10), 854–856. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2004>
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>Hossain, M. F. (2016). Theory of global cooling. *Energy, Sustainability and Society, 6*(1), 24. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-016-0091-y) [s13705-016-0091-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-016-0091-y)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>Hossain, M. F. (2017). Green science: Independent building technology to mitigate energy, environment, and climate change. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 73*, 695–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.01.136>
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>Houghton, R. A. (2007). Balancing the global carbon budget. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 35*(1), [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.35.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.35.031306.140057) [031306.140057](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.35.031306.140057)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>Jain, A. K., Meiyappan, P., Song, Y., & House, J. I. (2013).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions from land-use change affected more by nitrogen cycle, than by the choice of land-cover data. *Global Change Biology, 19*(9), 2893–2906. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12207) [org/10.1111/gcb.12207](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12207)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>Krapivin, V. F., & Varotsos, C. A. (2016). Modelling the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ atmosphere-ocean fux in the upwelling zones using radiative transfer tools. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 150–151*, 47–54. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.015) [1016/j.jastp.2016.10.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.015)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>Krapivin, V. F., Varotsos, C. A., & Soldatov, V. Y. (2017). Simulation results from a coupled model of carbon dioxide and methane global cycles. *Ecological Modelling, 359*, 69–79.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.05.023>
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>Li, W., Ciais, P., Wang, Y., Peng, S., Broquet, G., Ballantyne, A. P., Canadell, J. G., Cooper, L., Friedlingstein, P., Le Quéré, C., Myneni, R. B., Peters, G. P., Piao, S., & Pongratz, J. (2016). Reducing uncertainties in decadal variability of the global carbon budget with multiple datasets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113*(46), 13104–13108. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603956113) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603956113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603956113)
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>Liu, Z., Guan, D., Wei, W., Davis, S. J., Ciais, P., Bai, J., Peng, S., Zhang, Q., Hubacek, K., Marland, G., Andres, R. J., Crawford-Brown, D., Lin, J., Zhao, H., Hong, C., Boden, T. A., Feng, K., Peters, G. P., Xi, F., & He, K. (2015). Reduced carbon emission estimates from fossil fuel combustion and cement production in China. *Nature, 524*(7565), 335–338.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14677>
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>Morimoto, S., Goto, D., Murayama, S., Fujita, R., Tohjima, Y., Ishidoya, S., Machida, T., Inai, Y., Patra, P. K., Maksyutov, S., Ito, A., & Aoki, S. (2021). Spatio-temporal variations of the atmospheric greenhouse gases and their sources and sinks in the Arctic region. *Polar Science, 27*, 100553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2020.100553>
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>Prietzel, J., Zimmermann, L., Schubert, A., & Christophel, D. (2016). Organic matter losses in German Alps forest soils since the 1970s most likely caused by warming. *Nature Geoscience, 9*(7), 543–548. [https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2732) [732](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2732)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>Schwietzke, S., Sherwood, O. A., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., Miller, J. B., Etiope, G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Michel, S. E., Arling, V. A., Vaughn, B. H., White, J. W. C., & Tans, P. P. (2016). Upward revision of global fossil fuel methane emissions based on isotope database. *Nature, 538*(7623), 88–91.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19797>
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>Sert, E. B., Turkmen, M., & Cetin, M. (2019). Heavy metal accumulation in rosemary leaves and stems exposed to traffic-related pollution near Adana-İskenderun Highway (Hatay, Turkey). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 191*(9), 553. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7714-7) [s10661-019-7714-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-7714-7)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>Sevik, H., Cetin, M., Ozel, H. B., Akarsu, H., & Zeren Cetin, I. (2020). Analyzing of usability of tree-rings as biomonitors for monitoring heavy metal accumulation in the atmosphere in urban area: A case study of cedar tree (Cedrus sp.). *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 192*(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-019-8010-2>
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>Stephens, B. B., Gurney, K. R., Tans, P. P., Sweeney, C., Peters, W., Bruhwiler, L., Ciais, P., Ramonet, M., Bousquet, P., Nakazawa, T., Aoki, S., Machida, T., Inoue, G., Vinnichenko, N., Lloyd, J., Jordan, A., Heimann, M., Shibistova, O., Langenfelds, R. L., & Denning, A. S. (2007). Weak northern and strong tropical land carbon uptake from vertical profiles of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science*, *316*(5832), 1732–1735. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137004) [1137004](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1137004)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>Varotsos, C., Mazei, Y., & Efstathiou, M. (2020). Paleoecological and recent data show a steady temporal evolution of carbon dioxide and temperature. *Atmospheric Pollution Research, 11*(4), 714–722. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.12.022) [2019.12.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apr.2019.12.022)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.