# The Drought Characteristics Using the First-Order Homogeneous Markov Chain of Monthly Rainfall Data in Peninsular Malaysia

Wahidah Sanusi • Abdul Aziz Jemain • Wan Zawiah Wan Zin • Marina Zahari

Received: 19 June 2013 / Accepted: 26 November 2014 / Published online: 6 December 2014 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Abstract Drought characteristics are important in designing the program and measures for drought mitigation and they have been used extensively by various parties in many countries. In Malaysia, the information of drought conditions and identification of drought prone areas is very limited. This study seeked to determine the drought profiles of Peninsular Malaysia using the first order homogeneous Markov chain based on Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) of one-month time-scale. Monthly readings of rainfall data from 35 monitoring stations in Peninsular Malaysia for the period of 1970-2008 were used in the study. The procedure involved deriving the steady-state probabilities of drought events, the mean residence time for each drought category, the mean recurrence time of drought events and the mean first passage time. Analysis results showed that the longest moderate drought occurred mostly in the northwestern region of Peninsular Malaysia, while severe drought with low duration happened frequently in the middle region. The maximum duration of the severe drought condition is 2 months with majority of the severe drought areas requiring approximately 2 to 3 months to reach a non-drought condition. These results are likely to yield important insight on how to minimise the impacts of severe drought for agriculture and to avoid decadence of the water supply in areas with higher risk of severe drought. Such information would be beneficial to the agriculture planners and water resource management.

W. Sanusi (🖂)

A. A. Jemain · W. Z. W. Zin · M. Zahari School of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor DE, Malaysia

A. A. Jemain e-mail: azizj@ukm.my

W. Z. W. Zin e-mail: w\_zawiah@ukm.my

M. Zahari e-mail: zmarina@ukm.my

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Negeri Makassar, 90224 Parangtambung Makassar, Sulawesi Selatan, Indonesia e-mail: w sanusi@yahoo.com

**Keywords** Mean first passage time  $\cdot$  Mean recurrence time  $\cdot$  Mean residence time  $\cdot$  First-order homogeneous Markov chain  $\cdot$  Steady-state probability

### **1** Introduction

Drought is defined as the lack of expected precipitation received from normal condition that could occur slowly in time, and its impact may span continuously for a period of time (Cancelliere et al. 2007). Drought is a natural disaster that could affect soil moisture, crop growth and other living creatures at any particular region and therefore could influence the demand of water supply for agriculture and other applications (Mishra et al. 2009; Sene 2010; Ahmad and Hashim 2010). In monetary values, causing an average of \$6–\$8 bilion in global anually. In a span of a century from 1900 to 2004, more than ten million people have died and close to two billion people were affected by drought (Wilhite 2000; Below et al. 2007). Several indices have been used for monitoring drought and evaluation of water supply deficit (Cancelliere et al. 2007; Aghrab et al. 2008; Mishra et al. 2009; Daneshvar et al. 2013). One of these indices is the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), which is used in this study.

SPI is an index that may be used to calculate the drought condition. Its application varies from describing to comparing droughts in different periods and regions with different climate conditions (Edossa et al. 2010; Fiorillo and Guadagno 2010; Xie et al. 2013). Many researchers have reported on the superiority of SPI over other (McKee et al. 1995; Turkes and Tath 2009; Durdu 2010; Khalili et al. 2011; and Angelidis et al. 2012). It has the statistical property of consistency, the calculation is simple and it is also able to describe both short-term and long-term drought impacts through various time scales of precipitation anomalies.

The drought severity based on SPI could be analyzed using stochastic method as drought event is a random phenomenon. The method could then be used to identify the drought characteristics. Lohani and Loganathan (1997) and Lohani et al. (1998) analyzed the stochastic behavior of drought in Virginia USA using the non-homogeneous Markov chain method, while Paulo et al. (2005) and Paulo and Pereira (2007; 2008) applied both the homogeneous and non-homogeneous Markov chain models to investigate the stochastic characteristics of SPI drought class transition. They found that stochastic models are useful in monitoring the evolution of droughts and also are able to produce early warning clues regarding drought condition for any particular region. In addition, according to Bonaccorso et al. (2003), the estimation of return periods is necessary in order to improve the planning and management of water resources.

In Malaysia, series of drought condition have repeatedly occurred and becoming more frequent in recent years. The condition may e triggered by environmental event such as El Nino event in 1997–1998 and 2014 time period when longer drought duration is experienced especially in the urban area of the southwestern region. Drought situation in Malaysia often forced the authorities to ration the water supply to residential and business areas for several months, resulting in disruption in daily activities. As the frequency of drought occurrences has increased in recent years, this may imply clues of possible changes in global rainfall pattern (Ahmad and Low 2003; Ahmad and Hashim 2010). In this paper, SPI is used to analyze the drought condition at Peninsular Malaysia. SPI has been used to analyze drought condition in Peninsular Malaysia in several past studies such as by Ahmad and Hashim (2010) using data from Negeri Sembilan. Hanawi et al. (2011) and Zin et al. (2013) utilised SPI for Peninsular Malaysia data and reported that the western region of the peninsula experienced relatively drier condition compared to other parts. In another study, Zin et al. (2013) discovered that the longest duration for drought is expected to occur in the middle part of the peninsula based on 100-year return period and also found that altitude has an influence on the percentage

occurrences of dry condition, whereby the areas located around the Main Range experience lesser dry condition compared to other areas for SPI of time scale of one and three.

Stochastic analysis has been used by Deni et al. (2009) in fitting the Markov chain models of various orders to the daily rainfall occurrence in Peninsular Malaysia. They found regardless of the monsoon seasons, the first order Markov chain model is optimum for the northwestern and eastern regions of the Peninsula at the level of rainfall threshold of 10 mm.

The objective of this paper is to investigate the drought characteristics of Peninsular Malaysia by using the first order homogeneous Markov chain approach. The drought characteristics considered are (a) the steady-state probability of drought, that is the probabilities of occurrence in various drought classes, (b) the mean residence time in each category of drought event that represents the average time the process stays in a particular drought category before moving to another category, (c) the mean recurrence time of drought event, that is the average time taken by the process to return to the same drought category, and (d) the average time taken by the process to reach for the first time the non-drought category from any drought category.

## 2 Methodology

## 2.1 The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)

In this study, One-month SPI is calculated for rainfall data obtained from 39 rain-gauge stations in Peninsular Malaysia. This time-scale is considered as a short time scale which is able to reflect the seasonality of the data and is appropriate to identify the drought impact on agriculture (Labedzki 2007; Moreira et al. 2008; Sene 2010). Details on SPI computation can be found in Blain (2012) and Du et al. (2013) as well as references therein. Once the SPI values have been computed for every station, these values are classified into four drought categories, as suggested by Moreira et al. (2006), namely, "non-drought" (SPI  $\geq$  0), "near-normal" (-1 < SPI < 0), "moderate" ( $-1.5 < SPI \leq -1$ ), and "severe" (SPI  $\leq -1.5$ ). This study will only focus on the moderate and severe drought conditions with SPI value of -1 or less.

## 2.2 Markov Chains

A Markov chain  $\{X_t, t=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$  process is a stochastic process with property that the process value at time  $t+1, X_{t+1}$ , depends only on its value at time t or  $X_t$  such that for every t and all states  $i_0, \dots, i_{t+1}$ 

$$Prob(X_{t+1} = i_{t+1} | X_t = i_t, \cdots, X_0 = i_0) = Prob(X_{t+1} = i_{t+1} | X_t = i_t)$$
(1)

Let  $Prob(X_{t+1}=j|X_t=i)=p_{ij}$  be the transition probability from state *i* at time *t* to state *j* at time *t*+1, then  $p_{ii}$  can be represented in the transition probability matrix form, *P*, as follows

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_{ij} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \cdots & p_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{s1} & \cdots & p_{ss} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, s,$$

where  $0 \le p_{ij} \le 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{s} p_{ij} = 1, i = 1, \dots, s$  and *s* is the number of states.

Estimation of the transition probability is important in Markov chain modeling. Several methods have been proposed to estimate the transition probability, namely the maximum

likelihood method and the empirical Bayes method (Meshkani and Billard 1992; Lohani et al. 1998; Bickenbach and Bode 2003; Paulo et al. 2005; Paulo and Pereira 2007; 2008; Mishra et al. 2009; Nalbantis and Tsakiris 2009). We employed the maximum likelihood method to estimate the transition probability due to its simplicity. The maximum likelihood estimator for  $p_{ii}$  can be obtained as

$$\widehat{p}_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{F_{i.}}.$$
(2)

with  $f_{ij}$  is a transition count and  $F_{i.} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{ij}, i, j=1, ..., s$  and s is the number of the drought categories.

#### 2.2.1 The First Order and Time-Homogeneous Markov Chain Tests

A Markov chain  $\{X_t\}$  is said to be of the *r*th order Markov chain (r=1,,2...) if for every *t* and for all states  $i_0...,i_{t+1}$ ,

$$Prob(X_{t+1} = i_{t+1} | X_t = i_t, \cdots, X_{t-r+1} = i_{t-r+1}, X_{t-r} = i_{t-r}, \cdots, X_0 = i_0)$$
  
= 
$$Prob(X_{t+1} = i_{t+1} | X_t = i_t, \cdots, X_{t-r+1} = i_{t-r+1})$$
(3)

The Markov chain property can be tested using the Likelihood Ratio (LR) test (Bickenbach and Bode 2003; Tan and Yilmaz 2002). The test is used to verify whether a Markov chain model follows either a first or second order. The test statistic is

$$LR_{order} = 2\sum_{h,i=1}^{s} \sum_{j \in A_{hi}} f_{hij} \Big[ ln \Big( \widehat{p}_{hij} \Big) - ln \Big( \widehat{p}_{ij} \Big) \Big], \tag{4}$$

where  $f_{hij}$  denotes the number of frequencies of drought category which moved to state *j* at time *t*+1, given it was in state *h* at time (*t*-1) and in state *i* at time *t*,  $\hat{p}_{hij} = \frac{f_{hij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{hij}}$ ,  $\hat{p}_{ij}$ 

 $= \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{ij}} \text{ and } A_{hi} = \left\{ j : \hat{p}_{hij} > 0 \right\} \text{ . The statistic follows a chi-square distribution with}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} (a_i - 1)(b_i - 1) \text{ degrees of freedom } (df) \text{ in which } a_i \in A_i, A_i = \{j : \hat{p}ij > 0\} \text{ and } b_i \in B_i, B_i = \{h: f_{hi} > 0\}, f_{hi} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{hij}.$ 

The Markov chain  $\{X_t\}$  is said to be of time-homogeneous or stationary if all states *i* and *j* satisfy

$$Prob(X_{t+\nu+1} = j | X_{t+\nu} = i) = Prob(X_{t+1} = j | X_t = i), \nu = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(5)

The LR test can also be used to test the homogeneity property of a Markov chain (Bickenbach and Bode 2003; Tan and Yilmaz 2002). The time-homogeneity test is employed to verify whether the transition probabilities of the first-order Markov chain could be assumed constant over time. In order to test this assumption, the full sample period is divided into M sub periods (m=1,...,12) and then the transition probabilities estimated from each of M subsamples are compared to the transition probabilities estimated from the full period. The test statistic is

$$LR_{homogeneity} = 2\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j \in c_{i;m}} f_{ij;m} \Big[ ln \Big( \widehat{p}_{ij;m} \Big) - ln \Big( \widehat{p}_{ij} \Big) \Big]$$
(6)

where  $f_{ij;m}$  is the number of times the drought class changes from state *i* at time *t* to state *j* at time (*t*+1) within the *m*th sub period,  $\hat{p}_{ij;m} = \frac{f_{ijm}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{ij;m}}$ ,  $\hat{p}_{ij} = \frac{f_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{ij}}$  and  $C_{i;m} = \left\{j: \hat{p}_{ij;m} > 0\right\}$ . This statistic also follows a chi-square distribution with  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} (c_i-1)(d_i-1)$  degrees of freedom in which  $c_i \in C_i$ ,  $C_i = \{j: \hat{p}ij > 0\}$  and  $d \in D_i$ ,  $D_i = \{m: f_{i;m} > 0\}$ ,  $f_{i;m} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{ij;m}$ .

# 2.2.2 The Steady-State Probability

Let  $\{X_t\}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain at state S and P is the transition probability matrix which is independent of time. A vector  $\pi = [\pi_1 \cdots \pi_s]^t$  is defined as the steady-state probability of drought category, if elements of  $\pi$  are non-negative and also satisfy these two requirements:

a. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j$$
  $j = 1, ..., s$   
b.  $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \pi_j = 1$  (7)

In matrix form, the linear equations system of Eq. (7) can be solved as follows

$$\pi = \left[ \left( P - I \right)^t + E \right]^{-1} e, \tag{8}$$

where I is an identity matrix, E is a unit matrix, e is a unit vector and  $[(P-I)^t+E]$  is nonsingular matrix.

#### 2.2.3 The Mean Residence Time

Let  $p_{ij}$  denote the transition probability of a Markov chain  $\{X_t\}$  with drought category *j* and *Rj* is the residence time for any category *j* and

$$Prob(R_{j} = n) = Prob(X_{t+1} = j | X_{t} = j) \dots Prob(X_{t+n} \neq j | X_{t+n-1} = j)$$
  
=  $(p_{jj})^{(n-1)} (1-p_{jj})$  (9)

with *n* is a number of months.  $R_j$  follows a geometric distribution with parameter  $(1-p_{jj})$ . Thus, the mean residence time for any drought category *j* is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(R_j|X_t) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - p_{jj}\right)} \tag{10}$$

#### 2.2.4 The Mean First Passage Time

Let  $T_{ij}$  denote the time taken for a process to move for the first time in drought category *i* to category *j*. The random variable  $T_{ij}$  is known as the first passage time from *i* to *j*. The mean first passage time from state *i* to state *j*,  $M_{ij}$ , is defined as

$$M_{ij} = \mathbb{E} \left[ T_{ij} = n \right] M_{ij} = 1 + \sum_{\substack{s \\ k \neq 1}}^{s} k = 1 \, p_{ik} M_{kj}, \text{ for every } i, j = 1, ..., s,$$
(11)

In the matrix form, Eq. (11) becomes

$$M = E + P(M - M_d) \tag{12}$$

where *M* is a matrix with elements  $M_{ij}$ , *E* is a unit matrix,  $P=[p_{ij}]$ , and  $M_d$  is the diagonal matrix whose elements,  $(M_d)_{ij}=M_{jj}$  The mean first passage time,  $M_{jj}$ , is called the mean recurrence time for any drought category *j*.

#### 3 Data

This study is focused on Peninsular Malaysia (1°–7° North and 100°–104° East), which is located in the sub-tropical humid region and covers an area of about 131,794 km<sup>2</sup>. Peninsular Malaysia's climate is influenced by two rainy seasons, namely the northeast monsoon from November to February and the southwest monsoon from May to August. The dry season in Malaysia usually occurs in the month of April–July during the southwest monsoon.

Monthly rainfall amount data (in mm) obtained from 39 rainfall stations in Peninsular Malaysia for the period of 1970–2008. The data were obtained from the Drainage and Irrigation Department, Malaysia. These stations were selected for analysis have less than 10 % missing data and longest period of data variability for all stations considered (Table 1). The missing data were imputed using the normal ratio and modified normal ratio estimation methods (Paulhus and Kohler 1952; Jamaluddin et al. 2008). In this analysis, spatial mapping using ordinary Kriging method is applied over the study area. Figure 1 displays the location of rainfall stations used in this study.

Table 1 also contains the mean annual maximum rainfall values for all stations considered. The spatial distribution of this statistic is plotted in Fig. 2. Based on the information in Table 1, the value vary from 266.6 mm to 8591.97 mm which is distributed over study area. The highest value occurs at Endau (code 9) and the lowest value occurs at Sitiawan (code 39). When considering the maximum and minimum values, there exists large differences between locations, suggesting different pattern of rainfall behavior amongst all stations considered.

In identifying the appropriate Markov chain order, from Table 2, based on 5 % significance level, it can be seen that the first order assumption is satisfied for all stations apart from Bertam (*p*-value = 0.016) and Sg. Kepasing (*p*-value = 0.025). On the test of homogeneity, two stations, namely Kluang Mersing (*p*-value = 0.045) and Pekan Tanjung Malim (*p*-value = 0.024) did not satisfy at significance level of 0.05. Thus, based on the analysis on the Markov chain's order and homogeneity tests, these four stations will not be included for further analysis.

## 4 Results and Discussion

The steady state probability values of drought classes for each station which represent the probabilities of occurrence of the moderate (M) and severe (S) drought classes are available in Table 3. It can be seen that the probabilities of the two drought classes are almost similar with its average value of 0.076 and 0.074 for moderate (M) and severe (S) class, respectively. About

Code	Name of rainfall stations	Latitude (N)	Longitude (E)	MAM (mm)	Missing (%)
1	Alor Setar	06 06 20	100 23 30	376.35	1.32
2	Baling	05 35 00	100 44 10	393.46	3.76
3	Bkt. Bendera	05 25 25	100 16 15	528.31	0.25
4	Jeniang Klinik	05 48 50	100 37 55	433.28	0.44
5	Sg. Pinang	05 23 30	100 12 45	503.08	0
6	Bertam	05 08 45	102 02 55	377.00	5.70
7	Dabong	05 22 40	102 00 55	517.22	5.63
8	Dungun	04 45 45	103 25 10	692.40	0.22
9	Endau	02 39 00	103 37 15	8591.97	2.85
10	Gua Musang	04 52 45	101 58 10	393.84	1.62
11	Kemaman	04 13 55	103 25 20	7630.79	3.29
12	Sg. Kepasing	03 01 15	102 49 55	3488.56	3.49
13	Paya Kangsar	03 54 15	102 26 00	308.54	3.29
14	Genting Klang	03 14 10	101 45 10	3877.14	1.16
15	Pekan	03 33 40	103 21 25	645.62	2.85
16	Kluang Mersing	02 15 25	103 44 10	5740.46	7.24
17	Kg. Dura	05 04 00	102 56 30	998.73	6.31
18	Kg. Aring	04 56 15	102 21 10	529.77	5.39
19	Chin-Chin	02 17 20	102 29 30	282.48	0.22
20	Johor Bahru	01 28 15	103 45 10	3876.05	0.88
21	Kota Tinggi	01 45 50	103 43 10	3564.03	1.23
22	Ldg. Sg. Labis	02 23 05	103 01 00	3423.68	3.07
23	Ampang	03 09 20	101 45 00	411.82	0
24	Gombak	03 16 05	101 43 45	3883.28	0.93
25	Ldg. Edinburgh	03 11 00	101 38 00	4020.24	2.42
26	Ldg. Johol	02 36 10	102 19 10	3026.76	0
27	Ldg. New Rompin	02 43 10	102 30 45	2977.14	7.02
28	Sikamat Seremban	02 44 15	101 57 20	361.40	1.54
29	Sg. Bernam	03 41 53	101 20 60	459.61	2.41
30	Petaling Kuala Klawang	02 56 40	102 03 55	2509.92	3.07
31	Kg. Sawah Lebar	02 45 20	102 15 50	2667.89	2.85
32	Kg. Sg. Tua	03 16 20	101 41 10	3853.94	0.47
33	Ldg. Bikam	04 02 55	101 18 00	421.18	6.53
34	Kampar	04 18 20	101 09 20	513.93	1.52
35	Semenyih	02 53 55	101 52 13	386.03	5.26
36	Sg. Manggis	02 49 35	101 32 30	2809.79	2.85
37	Pekan Tanjung Malim	03 41 00	101 31 25	415.33	3.01
38	Telok Intan	04 01 00	101 02 10	378.29	2.48
39	Sitiawan	04 13 05	100 42 00	266.60	3.60

 Table 1
 Name of rainfall stations in Peninsular Malaysia, geographic coordinates, the mean annual maximum (MAM) rainfall, and missing data

54 % of the stations has higher probability of being in M category as opposed to S category. Figure 3a depicts the spatial distribution of drought probability where it can be seen that



Fig. 1 Location of rainfall stations used in this study

although the middle area has relatively lower moderate drought probability, the same area has higher severe drought probability as compared to the other areas in the peninsula (Fig. 3b). Nevertheless, for both categories, these values are considered very small.

The mean residence time (MRST) values, also known as the drought duration, are also presented in Table 3. It can be seen that all stations have MRST for moderate category approximately 1–1.3 months, while for the severe category; the duration is longer (up until



Fig. 2 The spatial distribution of mean annual maximum rainfall (in mm)

1.89 months). About 77 % of all stations considered experience longer duration for severe drought as opposed to moderate drought. This implies that, on the average, severe drought condition is expected to occur longer than the moderate drought condition in the peninsula. The spatial distribution for MRST of moderate drought (Fig. 4a) showed that, the longest moderate duration occurred in the north-western region, which lasted about 1.15 to 1.30 months. Based on Fig. 3a, this region also has higher moderate drought probability. In the meantime, Fig. 4b displays that the same area also experienced the relatively higher duration for the severe drought condition. Nevertheless, this area has smaller probability for severe drought compared to other areas. On the other hand, the southwestern part of the peninsula is expected to experience longer severe drought with relatively high probability.

Table 4 shows the results of the mean recurrence times (MRCT) and mean first passage time to reach the non-drought category (MFPT) for M and S categories. The MRCT values for M class varies from 9.43 to 17.86 months, while for S class, it ranges from 11.36 to 17.86 months. Location with a smaller MRCT value implies that similar drought condition occurs more frequently. The MFPT values for M class ranges from 1.73 to 2.73 months, while for S class, the range is from 1.17 to 3.24 months. These values implies that recovery time to non-drought condition is about 2 to 3 months regardless of any drought condition.

Table 4 also shows that about 43 % of the stations experiences lower MRCT for severe category which implies that these stations will experience return to severe drought condition from the same condition quicker that the duration taken from moderate drought to return to the same category of moderate drought. Nevertheless, only 29 % of stations in the severe category with lower MFPT than those in the moderate category which implies that it will take longer time for most areas in the peninsula experiencing severe drought condition to return to non-drought category

Code	Rainfall stations	Markov property test			Homogeneity test		
		df	LR	<i>p</i> -values	df	LR	p-values
1	Alor Setar	22	28.556	0.158	113	101.127	0.781
2	Baling	18	19.066	0.388	132	143.083	0.241
3	Bkt. Bendera	36	31.306	0.691	132	145.572	0.198
4	Jeniang Klinik	36	45.019	0.144	126	150.741	0.066
5	Sg. Pinang	36	43.563	0.181	126	117.390	0.696
6	Bertam	36	56.495	0.016*	126	130.035	0.385
7	Dabong	27	27.172	0.455	129	145.422	0.153
8	Dungun	36	32.123	0.654	132	133.076	0.457
9	Endau	31	26.067	0.718	116	114.817	0.514
10	Gua Musang	36	40.776	0.268	129	134.715	0.348
11	Kemaman	36	50.976	0.050	132	133.987	0.435
12	Sg. Kepasing	36	54.506	0.025*	132	119.901	0.766
13	Paya Kangsar	36	45.133	0.141	132	112.481	0.890
14	Genting Klang	36	26.741	0.869	129	136.498	0.309
15	Pekan	36	43.259	0.189	132	130.431	0.522
16	Kluang Mersing	36	31.275	0.693	132	160.654	0.045*
17	Kg. Dura	36	50.939	0.051	123	139.955	0.141
18	Kg. Aring	36	36.865	0.429	132	126.074	0.629
19	Chin-Chin	36	34.056	0.561	132	121.480	0.734
20	Johor Bahru	36	38.077	0.375	132	107.716	0.940
21	Kota Tinggi	36	30.763	0.716	132	121.971	0.723
22	Ldg. Sg. Labis	18	20.388	0.311	126	127.237	0.452
23	Ampang	36	27.674	0.839	132	125.594	0.640
24	Gombak	36	33.675	0.580	132	132.360	0.475
25	Ldg. Edinburgh	27	38.893	0.065	126	149.418	0.076
26	Ldg. Johol	36	50.436	0.056	132	150.398	0.130
27	Ldg. New Rompin	36	47.995	0.087	132	142.924	0.243
28	Sikamat Seremban	27	34.214	0.160	132	143.238	0.238
29	Sg. Bernam	36	29.669	0.763	129	130.641	0.443
30	Petaling Kuala Klawang	36	40.302	0.268	132	119.859	0.767
31	Kg. Sawah Lebar	27	32.438	0.216	126	147.240	0.095
32	Kg. Sg. Tua	36	41.571	0.241	129	112.097	0.855
33	Ldg. Bikam	27	34.681	0.147	126	138.201	0.216
34	Kampar	36	32.718	0.625	129	114.101	0.822
35	Semenyih	31	38.329	0.171	115	138.590	0.066
36	Sg. Manggis	36	35.425	0.496	132	150.670	0.127
37	Pekan Tanjung Malim	36	29.617	0.765	129	162.790	0.024*
38	Telok Intan	36	46.294	0.117	132	128.476	0.571
39	Sitiawan	36	43.306	0.188	129	116.895	0.769

Table 2 The likelihood ratio (LR) test results in testing for Markov property and homogeneity

\*: significant at  $\alpha$ =0.05

Rainfall stations	Probability		MRST (Months)	
	Moderate (M)	Severe (S)	Moderate (M)	Severe (S)
Alor Setar	0.090	0.062	1.00	1.17
Baling	0.106	0.062	1.27	1.44
Bkt. Bendera	0.094	0.076	1.09	1.03
Jeniang Klinik	0.077	0.074	1.30	1.60
Sg. Pinang	0.081	0.071	1.16	1.52
Dabong	0.079	0.068	1.10	1.15
Dungun	0.071	0.074	1.15	1.17
Endau	0.064	0.068	1.04	1.00
Gua Musang	0.066	0.082	1.04	1.25
Kemaman	0.077	0.079	1.03	1.13
Paya Kangsar	0.061	0.089	1.08	1.25
Genting Klang	0.058	0.086	1.25	1.09
Pekan	0.083	0.062	1.15	1.17
Kg. Dura	0.073	0.056	1.19	1.19
Kg. Aring	0.059	0.084	1.04	1.36
Chin-Chin	0.064	0.086	1.04	1.18
Johor Bahru	0.081	0.075	1.03	1.10
Kota Tinggi	0.066	0.086	1.08	1.21
Ldg. Sg. Labis	0.090	0.059	1.08	1.08
Ampang	0.090	0.078	1.08	1.35
Gombak	0.070	0.077	1.15	1.27
Ldg. Edinburgh	0.090	0.087	1.28	1.23
Ldg. Johol	0.078	0.069	1.06	1.35
Ldg. New Rompin	0.077	0.069	1.09	1.30
Sikamat Seremban	0.077	0.070	1.25	1.19
Sg. Bernam	0.086	0.064	1.08	1.16
Petaling Kuala Klawang	0.079	0.088	1.13	1.82
Kg. Sawah Lebar	0.063	0.088	1.04	1.33
Kg. Sg. Tua	0.083	0.072	1.09	1.03
Ldg. Bikam	0.083	0.073	1.16	1.41
Kampar	0.056	0.079	1.05	1.25
Semenyih	0.059	0.072	1.00	1.32
Sg. Manggis	0.061	0.079	1.17	1.89
Telok Intan	0.077	0.063	1.17	1.27
Sitiawan	0.079	0.080	1.03	1.06

Table 3 The steady state probability and the mean residence time of droughts (MRST)

compared to the moderate drought condition. Spatial maps in Fig. 5a–b display pattern of the MRCT for the two drought categories. From Fig. 5a, it could be observed that the north-western region has the mean recurrence time of moderate drought of 12–18 months, whereas some of the stations in middle region experienced severe drought event with lower mean recurrence time of about 11.2–14.2 months (Fig. 5b).



Fig. 3 a Spatial distribution of moderate drought probability. b Spatial distribution of severe drought probability



Fig. 4 a Spatial distribution of the mean residence time of moderate drought (months). b Spatial distribution of the mean residence time of severe drought (months)

Rainfall stations	MRCT (months)		MFPT (months)		
	Moderate (M)	Severe (S)	Moderate (M)	Severe (S)	
Alor Setar	11.11	16.13	1.99	1.83	
Baling	9.43	16.13	2.73	3.24	
Bkt. Bendera	10.64	13.16	2.03	2.14	
Jeniang Klinik	12.99	13.51	2.43	2.77	
Sg. Pinang	12.35	14.09	1.97	2.63	
Dabong	12.66	14.71	2.22	1.80	
Dungun	14.29	13.51	1.98	1.98	
Endau	15.63	14.71	1.73	1.93	
Gua Musang	14.93	12.20	1.89	2.16	
Kemaman	12.99	12.66	1.87	2.39	
Paya Kangsar	16.39	11.36	2.00	2.00	
Genting Klang	17.24	11.63	2.27	1.77	
Pekan	12.05	16.39	2.08	2.35	
Kg. Dura	13.89	17.86	2.18	2.19	
Kg. Aring	16.95	11.91	2.58	2.45	
Chin-Chin	15.63	11.63	2.02	1.85	
Johor Bahru	12.35	13.33	1.96	2.05	
Kota Tinggi	15.15	11.63	1.90	2.14	
Ldg. Sg. Labis	11.11	16.95	1.77	2.13	
Ampang	11.11	12.99	2.40	2.48	
Gombak	14.29	12.99	1.78	2.09	
Ldg. Edinburgh	11.11	11.49	1.98	2.12	
Ldg. Johol	12.66	14.49	2.10	2.65	
Ldg. New Rompin	12.99	14.49	2.49	2.25	
Sikamat Seremban	12.99	14.29	2.41	2.32	
Sg. Bernam	11.63	15.63	2.04	1.93	
Petaling Kuala Klawang	12.66	11.39	2.25	2.85	
Kg. Sawah Lebar	15.63	11.38	2.42	2.43	
Kg. Sg. Tua	12.05	13.89	1.97	1.95	
Ldg. Bikam	12.05	13.70	1.98	2.51	
Kampar	17.86	12.66	2.33	2.12	
Semenyih	16.95	13.89	1.73	2.36	
Sg. Manggis	16.39	12.66	2.21	2.66	
Telok Intan	12.99	15.87	2.27	2.39	
Sitiawan	12.66	12.66	1.84	2.11	

Table 4 The mean recurrence times of drought categories (MRCT) and the mean first passage time to reach the non-drought category from any drought category (MFPT)

# **5** Conclusions

In this paper, the first order homogeneous Markov chain model is used to identify the stochastic characteristics of droughts in Peninsular Malaysia through the analysis of probability for both moderate and severe conditions, the residence and recurrence times in each а 7

6.5 6

5.5

5

4.5

4

3.5

3 2.5

> 2 1.5

> > 1 99.5

100.5

101.5





 $\bigcirc$ 

Fig. 5 a Spatial distribution of the mean recurrence time of moderate drought (months). b Spatial distribution of the mean recurrence time of severe drought (months)

drought condition, as well as times for any drought conditions to recover to the non-drought condition. We have shown that the probability and mean recurrence time of drought condition generally increase according to the degree of drought severity. The average probabilities between moderate and severe drought events are almost similar, severe drought condition is found to be more persistent than moderate drought condition. During the study period, it was also found that any study areas that experienced moderate or severe drought condition would generally recover to non-drought condition in about 2 to 3 months time.

Spatial distribution showed that the northwestern region is more susceptible to experience moderate drought, which also occurred most frequently with a longer duration. On the other hand, the middle region experienced the most frequent severe drought condition. These results suggest that several areas in Peninsular Malaysia, particularly the northwestern and middle regions are facing dry condition.

In this study, several important drought characteristics including areas which are prone to drought in Peninsular Malaysia have been identified using the first-order homogeneous Markov chain for rainfall data. As at the moment, there are limited studies on the drought situation in Peninsular Malaysia, these results could provide useful information for the agriculture experts and irrigation engineers to plan possible measure on lessening the negative impacts of drought.

Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia for providing the valuable data. We are grateful to the government of South Sulawesi province for the financial support for the first author. This research was funded by grants by Ministry of Higher Education and Ministry of Science and Technology, Malaysia under grant codes FRGS/1/2013/ST06/UKM/02/2 and 06-01-02-SF0953.

#### References

- Aghrab A, Bouabid R, Elalaoui AC (2008) Drought characterization using drought indices in two areas of the Mediterranean Basin: Meknes, Marocco, and Cordoba, Spain. Options Mediter Ser A 80:193–198
- Ahmad S, Hashim NM (2010) Perubahan Iklim Mikro di Malaysia. Penerbit Fakulti Sains Sosial dan Kemanusiaan Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi
- Ahmad JS, Low KS (2003) Droughts in Malaysia: A look at its characteristics, impacts, related policies and management strategies. Paper presented at Water and Drainage Conference. 28–29 April 2003. Kuala Lumpur. Jabatan Pengairan dan Saliran Kuala Lumpur
- Angelidis P, Maris F, Kotsovinos N, Hrissanthou V (2012) Computation of drought index SPI with alternative distribution functions. Water Resour Manag 26:2453–2473
- Below R, Grover-Kovec E, Dilley M (2007) Documenting drought-related disasters: A global reassessment. The Journal of Environment & Development 16(3):328–344. In: Sene K (2001) Drought. Hydrometeorology, Springer Science+Business Media B.V
- Bickenbach F, Bode E (2003) Evaluating the Markov property in studies of economic convergence. Int Reg Sci Rev 26(3):363–392
- Blain GC (2012) Monthly values of the standardized precipitation index in the State of São Paulo, Brazil: trends and spectral features under the normality assumption. Bragantia, Campinas 71(1):122–131
- Bonaccorso B, Cancelliere A, Rossi G (2003) An analytical formulation of return period of drought severity. Stoch Env Res Risk A 17:157–174
- Cancelliere A, Mauro GD, Bonaccorso B, Rossi G (2007) Drought forecasting using the standardized precipitation index. J Water Resour Manag 21:801–819
- Daneshvar MRM, Bagherzadeh A, Khosravi M (2013) Assessment of drought hazard impact on wheat cultivation using standardized precipitation index in Iran. Arab J Geosci 6(11):4463–4473
- Deni SM, Jemain AA, Ibrahim K (2009) Fitting optimum order of Markov chain models for daily rainfall occurrences in Peninsular Malaysia. Theor Appl Climatol 97:109–121

- Du J, Fang J, Xu W, Shi P (2013) Analysis of dry/wet conditions using the standardized precipitation index and its potential usefulness for drought/flood monitoring in Hunan Province, China. Stoch Env Res Risk A 27(2):377–387
- Durdu FD (2010) Application of linear stochastic models for drought forecasting in the Buyuk Menderes river basin, western Turkesy. J Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess 24:1145–1162
- Edossa DC, Babel MS, Gupta AD (2010) Drought analysis in the Awash River Basin, Ethiopia. Water Resour Manag 24:1441–1460
- Fiorillo F, Guadagno FM (2010) Karst spring discharges analysis in relation to drought periods, using the SPI. Water Resour Manag 24(9):1867–1884
- Hanawi SA, Zin WZW, Jemain AA, Ahmad RR (2011) Fenomena Kehujanan di Semenanjung Malaysia berdasarkan Indeks Kerpasan Piawai. Sains Malaysiana 40(11):1277–1284
- Jamaluddin S, Deni SM, Jemain AA (2008) Revised spatial weighting methods for estimation of missing rainfall data. Asia-Pac J Atmos Sci 44(2):93–104
- Khalili D, Jamshidi TFH, Haghighi AAK, Zand-Parsa S (2011) Comparability analyses of the SPI and RDI meteorological drought indices in different climatic zones. Water Resour Manag 25:1737–1757
- Labedzki (2007) Estimation of local drought frequency in central Poland using the standardized precipitation index SPI. Irrig Drain 56:67–77
- Lohani VK, Loganathan GV (1997) An early warning system for drought management using the Palmer drought index. J Am Water Res Assoc 33(6):1375–1386
- Lohani VK, Loganathan GV, Mostaghimi S (1998) Long-term analysis and short-term forecasting of dry spells by the Palmer drought severity index. Nord Hidrol 29(1):21–40
- McKee TB, Doeskin NJ, Kleist J (1995) Drought monitoring with multiple time scales. Proceedings of the ninth conference on applied climatology. American Meteorological Society, Boston
- Meshkani MR, Billard L (1992) Empirical Bayes estimators for a finite Markov chain. Biometrika 79(1):185– 193
- Mishra AK, Singh VP, Desai VR (2009) Drought characteristics: a probabilistic approach. Stoch Environ Res Risk Assess 23:41–55
- Moreira EE, Paulo AA, Pereira LS, Mexia JT (2006) Analysis of SPI drought class transitions using loglinear models. J Hydrol 331:349–359
- Moreira EE, Coelho CA, Paulo AA, Pereira LS, Mexia JT (2008) SPI-based drought category prediction using loglinear models. J Hydrol 354:116–130
- Nalbantis I, Tsakiris G (2009) Assessment of hydrological drought revisited. Water Resour Manag 23:881-897
- Paulhus JLH, Kohler MA (1952) Interpolation of missing precipitation records. Mon Weather Rev 80:129–133
- Paulo AA, Pereira LS (2007) Prediction of SPI drought class transition using Markov chains. J Water Resour Manag 21:1813–1827
- Paulo AA, Pereira LS (2008) Stochastic prediction of drought class transition. J Water Resour Manag 22:1277– 1296
- Paulo AA, Ferreira E, Coelho C, Pereira LS (2005) Drought class transition analysis through Markov and loglinear models, an approach to early warning. J Agric Water Manag 77:59–81
- Sene K (2010) Drought. Hydrometeorology, Springer Science+Bisnis Media B.V. doi: 10.1007/978–90–481– 3403-8 8
- Tan B, Yilmaz K (2002) Markov chain test for time dependence and homogeneity: an analytical and empirical evaluation. Eur J Oper Res 137:524–543
- Turkes M, Tath H (2009) Use of the standardized precipitation index (SPI) and a modified SPI for shaping the drought probabilities over Turkey. Int J Climatol 29:2270–2282
- Wilhite DA (2000) Drought as a natural hazard: Concepts and definition. In: Keyantash J, Dracup JA (2002) The quantification of drought: an evaluation of drought indices. American Meteorological Society 1167–1180
- Xie H, Ringler C, Zhu T, Waqas A (2013) Droughts in Pakistan: a spatiotemporal variability analysis using the Standardized Precipitation Index. Water Int 38(5):620–631
- Zin WZW, Jemain AA, Ibrahim K (2013) Analysis of drought condition and risk in Peninsular Malaysia using standardised precipitation index. Theor Appl Climatol 111:559–568