ORIGINAL ARTICLE

FIRST REPORT OF GENUS *Cryptosporidium* **IN CERVIDS SPECIES:** *Mazama americana, Mazama nana* **AND** *Blastocerus dichotomus*

Weslen Fabricio Pires Teixeira¹ · Márcio Leite de Oliveira² · Pedro Henrique de Faria Peres2 · Bruno César Miranda Oliveira³ · Walter Bertequini Nagata³ · Dielson da Silva Vieira⁴ · Anassilton Moreira de Andrade Junior¹ · Elis Domingos Ferrari³ · José Maurício Barbanti Duarte² · **Marcelo Vasconcelos Meireles⁴ · Welber Daniel Zanetti Lopes1 · Katia Denise Saraiva Bresciani3**

Received: 4 March 2021 / Accepted: 5 September 2021 / Published online: 9 September 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

Abstract

We analyzed *Cryptosporidium* spp. in fecal samples of wild cervids (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus, Blastocerus dichotomus, Mazama nana, Mazama americana*, and *Mazama bororo*) from many Brazilian regions, a fact unprecedented in the literature. Snifer dogs were used to collect 936 fecal samples of cervids from 14 Brazilian localities. Cervids species were identifed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) performed from genomic DNA extracted from 563 fecal samples of *Ozotoceros bezoarticus, Blastocerus dichotomus, Mazama nana, Mazama americana,* and *Mazama bororo. Cryptosporidium* spp. oocyst screening was performed using malachite green negative staining. Nested PCR (nPCR) protocols targeting the 18S rRNA and GP60 genes followed by genetic sequencing were performed for *Cryptosporidium* spp. detection and *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtyping, respectively. Nested PCR targeting actin gene and genetic sequencing were performed in samples with non-identifed *Cryptosporidium* species by 18S rRNA amplicon sequencing. The association between the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* and the presence of bovines in the same locality was evaluated using Fisher's exact test. The positivity rates of diagnostic methods were compared by McNemar test and the Kappa correlation coefficient. The prevalence rates of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cervids were 1.42% (8/563) and 0.36% (2/563) by nPCR and malachite green negative staining, respectively. *C. parvum* IIaA16G3R1 isolate was identifed in three fecal samples from *M. americana,* two from *M. nana* and one from *B. dichotomus*. *Cryptosporidium ryanae* were found in one sample from *B. dichotomus*. We identifed a new *Cryptosporidium* genotype, named *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype BR, from one *M. americana* fecal sample.

Keywords Coccid · *Cryptosporidium ryanae* · Deer · Species · Oocysts · Wildlife

 \boxtimes Dielson da Silva Vieira dielsonveterinario@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Goiás (UFG), Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil
- ² School of Agricultural and Veterinarian Sciences, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Jaboticabal, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- ³ Department of Support, Production and Animal Health, School of Veterinary Medicine, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Araçatuba, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- Department of Clinic, Surgery and Animal Reproduction, School of Veterinary Medicine, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), Araçatuba, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Introduction

Parasites of *Cryptosporidium* genus are protozoans with a great capacity for dissemination through the environment. The transmission of these parasites occurs through the ingestion of water or food contaminated with sporulated oocysts, which are eliminated in the feces of infected hosts (Fayer et al. [2000\)](#page-8-0). The genus *Cryptosporidium* is composed of approximately 42 species and more than 40 genotypes (Feng et al. [2018](#page-8-1); Zahedi and Ryan [2020\)](#page-9-0), identifed in a variety of hosts, such as mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and fish (Rieux et al. [2013](#page-8-2)).

Currently, there are numerous reports of the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* species and genotypes in deers from diferent regions of the world as following: *Cryptosporidium bovis* (García-Presedo et al. [2013](#page-8-3)), *Cryptosporidium ryanae* (García-Presedo et al. [2013;](#page-8-3) Koehler et al. [2016](#page-8-4)), *Cryptosporidium andersoni* (Huang et al. [2018\)](#page-8-5), *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum* (Robinson et al. [2011](#page-8-6); Feng et al. [2012](#page-8-7); Koehler et al. [2016;](#page-8-4) Kotková et al. [2016](#page-8-8); Huang et al. [2018](#page-8-5),); *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype (Robinson et al. [2011;](#page-8-6) Santin and Fayer [2015;](#page-9-1) Wells et al. [2015;](#page-9-2) Kato et al. [2016;](#page-8-9) Kotková et al. [2016](#page-8-8); Huang et al. [2018;](#page-8-5) Xie et al. [2019](#page-9-3); Tao et al. [2020\)](#page-9-4); *Cryptosporidium parvum* (Perz and Le Blancq [2001](#page-8-10); Wells et al. [2015](#page-9-2); Huang et al. [2018](#page-8-5)); *Cryptosporidium muris* (Kotková et al. [2016;](#page-8-8) Huang et al. [2018](#page-8-5)), *Cryptosporidium hominis* (Koehler et al. [2016](#page-8-4)), *Cryptosporidium xiaoi* (Zhao et al. [2020\)](#page-9-5), *Cryptosporidium* Muskrat II genotype (Perz and Le Blancq [2001](#page-8-10)), *C. hominis*-like (Jellison et al. [2009\)](#page-8-11) and *Cryptosporidium suis-like* (Koehler et al. [2016](#page-8-4); Huang et al. [2018\)](#page-8-5).

In Brazil, there are few studies related to the infection by *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cervids, mostly based on diagnosis using conventional microscopy techniques performed on feces of animals kept in captivity (Reginatto et al. [2010](#page-8-12); Ludwig and Marques [2011](#page-8-13)). To date, only one study based on molecular diagnosis has been carried out on free-living cervids in Brazil. However, the aforementioned study evaluated the presence of oocysts in feces of only one species of deer (*Mazama gouazoubira*) (Teixeira et al. [2021](#page-9-6)).

Currently, there are eight species of native cervids (*Odocoileus virginianus, Ozotoceros bezoarticus, Blastocerus dichotomus, Mazama nemorivaga, M. gouazoubira, Mazama nana, Mazama americana* and *Mazama bororo*) distributed beyond the Brazilian territory (Duarte [1996](#page-7-0); Duarte and Merino [1997](#page-7-1); Duarte and Jorge [2003](#page-7-2)); most of them (with the exception to *M. gouazoubira*) are declining in number due to illegal hunting and infectious diseases (Machado et al. [2006;](#page-8-14) Szabó et al. [2009;](#page-9-7) Araújo et al. [2010](#page-7-3); Piovezan et al. [2010](#page-8-15)). In this context, we investigated the prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in fecal samples of *Ozotoceros bezoarticus, Blastocerus dichotomus, Mazama nana, Mazama americana*, and *Mazama bororo,* a fact unprecedented in the literature.

Material and methods

Fecal samples collection

A total of 936 fecal samples from wild cervids were collected in 14 Brazilian locations (Table [1](#page-1-0)) with the help of sniffer dogs (Duarte [2005\)](#page-8-16).During sample collections, the presence of cattle (one or more animals) in the physical environments where deer fecal samples were collected was evaluated.

Each fecal sample was divided into two aliquots. One aliquot was stored at -20°C for DNA extraction to perform *Cryptosporidium* research using nested PCR (nPCR), and another one was stored in a tube containing 100% ethanol to perform oocyst screening using centrifugal sedimentation

Region	Mazama nana* N° (%)	Mazama bororo* N° (%)	Mazama americana* N° (%)	<i>Ozotoceros</i> bezoarticus* N° (%)	Blastocerus dichotomus* N° (%)	Total
Serra do Tabuleiro State Park, SC		3(100)				3
Serra do Itajaí National Park, SC	9(81.8)	2(18.2)				11
Araucárias National Park, SC	10(90.9)		1(9.1)			11
Mata Preta Ecological Station, SC	5(3.4)		144 (96.6)			149
Iguaçu National Park, PR	54 (30.2)		125(69.8)			179
São Camilo State Park, PR	10(100)					10
Perobas Biological Reserve, PR			9(100)			9
Vila Rica State Park, PR	24 (100)					24
Cajuru, SP			10(100)			10
Jataí Ecological Station, SP					50 (100)	50
Alegria Farm, MS				44 (100)		44
Nhumirim Farm, MS				45 (100)		45
Emas National Park, GO				9(100)		9
Total	114(20.2)	5(0.9)	296 (52.6)	98 (17.4)	50(8.9)	563

Table 1 Number of samples obtained from each deer species in diferent Brazilian locations

Nº (%): Number of animals (percentage).

Brazilian States—RS: Rio Grande do Sul, SC: Santa Catarina, PR: Paraná, SP: São Paulo, MS: Mato Grosso do Sul, GO: Goiás.

* Cervid species were identifed using PCR/RFLP (González et al. [2009](#page-8-17); De Souza et al. [2013](#page-9-8)).

in water-ether followed by negative malachite green staining technique.

Genomic DNA extraction

Fecal samples stored at -20°C were submitted to genomic DNA extraction using the commercial kit QIAamp® DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to manufacturer's guidelines.

Cervids species identifcation

Identifcation of cervids species was carried out by PCR followed by hydrolysis with the restriction enzymes Sspl, AfIII and BstN (González et al. [2009;](#page-8-17) De Souza et al. [2013](#page-9-8)), in all samples of genomic DNA. After these analyses, as they were not part of the main objective of this study, 373 fecal samples of *M. gouazoubira* were identifed and excluded. We selected 563 samples belonging to the *Ozotoceros bezoarticus, Blastocerus dichotomus, Mazama nana, Mazama americana*, and *Mazama bororo* (Table [1\)](#page-1-0).

Fecal samples purifcation and microscopic examination

All samples stored in ethanol and selected during cervids species identifcation were purifed by centrifugal sedimentation in water-ether (Meloni and Thompson [1996](#page-8-18)) and the resulting sediment was used for *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocyst screening by microscopy using malachite green negative staining technique (Elliot et al. [1999\)](#page-8-19).

PCR amplifcation and molecular characterization

DNA samples of the selected cervids species were subjected to amplifcation of a fragment of the 18S rRNA subunit gene of *Cryptosporidium* spp. by nested PCR (nPCR) using the primers 5´-TTCTAGAGCTAATACATGCG-3 ' and 5 '-CCC ATTTCCTTCGAAACAGGA-3 ' in the primary reaction, and the primers 5 '-GGAAGGGTTGTATTTATTAGATAA AG-3 ' and 5´-AAGGAGTAAGGAACAACCTCCA-3´ in the secondary reaction (Xiao et al. [2000](#page-9-9)). Both reactions were carried out under the following conditions: initial DNA denaturation at 94ºC for 3 min, followed by 34 cycles, each consisting of denaturation at 94ºC for 45 s, 45 s of annealing at 55ºC and 60 s of extension at 72º C, with a fnal extension at 72º C for 7 min.

The amplifcations were confrmed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel, followed by visualization of the amplifed fragments (830 bp) in an ultraviolet light transilluminator. All samples positive for *C. parvum* were subjected to subtyping by a nested PCR targeting the GP60 gene using the primers 5′-ATAGTCTCC GCTGTATTC-3′ and 5′-GGA AGGAACGATGTATCT-3′ in the primary reaction and the primers 5′-TCCGCTGTATTCTCAGCC-3′ and 5′-GCAGAG GAACCAGCATC-3′ in the secondary reaction (Alves et al. [2003](#page-7-4)). The reactions consisted of an initial DNA denaturation at 95ºC for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles consisting of denaturation at 94ºC for 45 s, annealing at 50ºC for 45 s and extension at 72º C for 60 s, followed by a fnal extension at 72º C for 10 min.

When it was not possible to identify the species of *Cryptosporidium* by genetic sequencing of the 18S rRNA gene amplicon, a nested PCR protocol targeting the actin gene was performed using the primers 5'-ATGAGGATG AAGAAGATAAGCTATCAAGC-3' and 5'- AGAAGACAC TTTTCTGTGTGACAAT-3', in the primary reaction and the primers 5'-CAAGCATTTGAGTTGTTGATCAA-3' and 5'-TTTCTGTGTGACAATATGCATTGG-3' in the secondary reaction, under the following reaction conditions: in the primary reaction the samples were subjected to initial DNA denaturation at 94ºC for fve minutes, followed by 35 cycles, each consisting of denaturation for 45 s at 94ºC, annealing at 50ºC for 45 s and extension to 72ºC for 60 s, with a fnal extension at 72ºC for 10 min. In the secondary reaction, the same reaction conditions as the primary reaction were used, except for the annealing step that was carried out at 45ºC for 45 s (Sulaiman et al. [2002](#page-9-10)).

All products amplifed by nPCRs were quantifed by spectrophotometry and purified using the QIAquick ™ Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Afterward, the samples that presented a good quality and quantity of DNA (superior to 10 ng per uL) were sequenced using ABI Prism Dye terminator Cycling Sequence kit (Applied Biosystems) on an automatic sequencer ABI 3730XL (Applied Biosystems).

DNA sequences were assembled using the Codoncode Aligner version 7.1.1. software (CodonCode Corporation). The homology of products amplifed by PCR to GenBank sequences was assessed using the BLAST tool [\(https://blast.](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi\)](https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Homologous sequences were aligned with the consensus sequences by Muscle (Edgar [2004](#page-8-20)) and BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor (Hall [1999\)](#page-8-21) software.

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGAX (Kumar et al. [2018](#page-8-22)) using maximum likelihood analysis based on the Tamura 3-parameter model (Tamura 1992) and the general time-reversible model (Nei and Kumar [2000\)](#page-8-23) for 18S rRNA and actin genes, respectively. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying neighbor-join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the maximum composite likelihood (MCL) approach and then selecting the topology with superior log-likelihood value. Substitution models and optional parameter sets were chosen using the model selection option in MEGAX. Trees were rooted with sequences from *Cryptosporidium molnari* HM243548.1 and HM365219.1 for 18S rRNA and actin genes, respectively. The sequences that were chosen for 18S rRNA phylogenetic analysis were those that presented both higher genetic similarity to the sequences from this report and resulted in a phylogenetic tree with the best bootstrap support. This selection was performed by comparing the sequences obtained in this study with the sequences previously published in GenBank, using the BLAST tool of the NCBI. In this way, were selected to compose the phylogenetic trees sequences that showed similarity above 85%, in addition to those representing the main species of the genus *Cryptosporidium*, especially those that have been previously diagnosed in deer in the world.

Nucleotide sequences generated in this study were submitted to the GenBank database under the accession numbers MT327131.1, MT327132.1, MT327133.1, MT327134 .1, MT327135.1, MT327136.1 and MT327137.1.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis was performed by McNemar test to verify the paired proportions and Kappa correlation coefficient (Landis and Koch [1977](#page-8-24)) to evaluate the agreement between nPCR (18S rRNA) and malachite green negative staining techniques. Fisher's exact test was used to analyze the association between bovines and *Cryptosporidium* prevalence in each locality. All statistical results were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results

The prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in fecal samples of cervids by nPCR (18S rRNA) and malachite green negative staining were 1.42% (8/563) and 0.36% (2/563), respectively, with a significant statistical difference $(p=0.0313)$ between the results of these two diagnostic techniques by McNemar test and the Kappa correlation coefficient $p=0.3966$ (Table [2](#page-3-0)). We detected *Cryptosporidium* spp. in three cervids species from 35.7% (5/14) of the localities by nPCR (18S rRNA), according to the following indexes positivity by species: 4% (2/50) in *B. dichotomus*, 1.7% (2/114) in *M. nana* and 1.3% (4/296) in *M. americana* (Table [2](#page-3-0) and Fig. [1](#page-4-0)). The presence of bovines was observed in 28.6% (4/14) of the sampled locations (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). There was no association between the presence of bovines and infection by *Cryptosporidium* spp. in cervids by Fisher's exact test ($p=0.6426$).

Sequence analysis of the 18S rRNA gene amplicons allowed the identification of *Cryptosporidium* species in seven of eight positive samples by nPCR.

Table 2 Results obtained by the techniques of microscopy, nested PCR and sequencing (18S rRNA, Gp60 and Actin genes) performed on deer fecal samples of Brazilian cervids

(1) Comparison between microscopy (malachite green negative staining) and nPCR (18S rRNA) techniques by McNemar test.

* malachite green negative staining.

-: negative result.

+: positive result.

b: analysis not performed.

c: species not identifed by 18S rRNA gene sequencing.

Fig. 1 Locations where faecal samples of deer were collected according to positivity for *Cryptosporidium* spp. and the presence of bovines. 1- Serra do Tabuleiro State Park, SC; 2- Serra do Itajaí National Park, SC; 3- Araucarias National Park, SC; 4- Turvo State Park, RS; 5- Mata Preta Ecological Station, SC; 6- Iguaçu National

Park, PR; 7- São Camilo State Park, PR; 8- Perobas Biological Reserve, PR; 9- Vila Rica State Park, PR; 10- Cajuru, SP; 11- Jataí Ecological Station, SP; 12- Alegria Farm, MS; 13- Nhumirim Farm, MS; 14- Emas National Park, GO

Cryptosporidium ryanae (100% of genetic similarity to sequence EU410344.1) was detected in a fecal sample of *B. dichotomus* and *C. parvum* (100% of similarity to sequence AF093490.1) in three fecal samples of *M. americana,* two of *M. nana,* and one of *B. dichotomus*. All *C. parvum* positive samples (6/6) were identified by sequencing of GP60 gene as subtype IIaA16G3R1 isolate (100% of similarity to sequence MH511485.1) (Table [2\)](#page-3-0).

In a fecal sample of *M. americana*, a new *Cryptosporidium* genotype named deer genotype BR was identifed by sequencing the 18S rRNA gene amplicon, with 99.2% similarity to *C. ryanae* (EU410344), 98.8% to *Cryptosporidium bovis* (AY741305.1) and 98.6% to *Cryptosporidium xiaoi* (FJ896053). Sequencing of actin gene amplicon of deer genotype BR showed 91.1% similarity to *C. ryanae* (EU410345.1) and 88.2% to *Cryptosporidium* sp. deer genotype (LC18998.1), with no signifcant similarity to *C. bovis* (AY741307.1). The results regarding the phylogenetic analyzes of the 18S rRNA and actin genes are illustrated in Figs. [2](#page-5-0) and [3](#page-6-0), respectively.

Discussion

Among the results obtained in the present study, we highlight the unprecedented report of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in feces of *M. americana*, *M. nana,* and *B. dichotomus*. As well as the occurrence of *C. ryanae* in feces of *B. dichotomus*, in addition to the evidence of a new *Cryptosporidium* genotype. It is relevant to inform that a variety of species and genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* have already been identifed in fecal samples of cervids in many world regions (Robinson et al. [2011;](#page-8-6) García-Presedo et al. [2013](#page-8-3); Santin and Fayer [2015;](#page-9-1) Wells et al. [2015;](#page-9-2) Kato et al. [2016](#page-8-9); Kotková et al. [2016;](#page-8-8) Tao et al. [2020\)](#page-9-4) however, there are few reports in Brazil (Reginatto et al. [2010](#page-8-12); Ludwig and Marques [2011;](#page-8-13) Teixeira et al. [2021\)](#page-9-6).

C. parvum was the most prevalent species in fecal samples of *M. americana, M. nana* and *B. dichotomus.* The occurrence of *C. parvum* in wild cervids has already been reported in diferent cervids species worldwide (Deng and **Fig. 2** Phylogenetic trees of the 18SrRNA gene sequences (736 base positions in the fnal dataset) from *Cryptosporidium* sp. deer genotype BR from this manuscript (green triangle) and selected *Cryptosporidium* species according to the maximum likelihood analysis based on the Tamura 3- parameter model. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate diferences among sites (5 categories (+G, parameter=0.1062)). Numbers on the left of the supported nodes indicate the bootstrap values (1000 replicates). The branch length scale bar, indicating the number of substitutions per site, is given in the tree. The tree was rooted with the sequence of *C. molnari*

Cliver [1999](#page-7-5); Hajdušek et al. [2004](#page-8-25); Wells et al. [2015](#page-9-2)), however, to date, it has not yet been reported in *M. americana, M. nana* and *B. dichotomus*. Prior to this study, there is only one report of subtype IIaA16G3R1 in deers (Teixeira et al. [2021\)](#page-9-6). Subtype IIaA16G3R1 have been previously described in fecal samples from humans (Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad et al. [2011;](#page-8-26) Stensvold et al. [2015](#page-9-11)), bovines (Xiao et al. [2007;](#page-9-12) Brook et al. [2009;](#page-7-6) Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad et al. [2011](#page-8-26); Rieux et al. [2013](#page-8-2); Lee et al. [2016\)](#page-8-27) sheeps, goats (Díaz et al. [2015\)](#page-7-7), and yaks (Mi et al. [2013](#page-8-28)).

For the frst time, *C. ryanae* was detected in *B. dichotomus*. Commonly diagnosed in cattle (Fayer et al. [2008](#page-8-29)), *C. ryanae* was described in deers (*Capreolus capreolus*) for the frst time in Spain (García-Presedo et al. [2013\)](#page-8-3). Previous reports of the occurrence of *C. ryanae* and *C. parvum* in deers, as well as the fact that cattle are the primary host of these parasites, motivated us to evaluate the correlation between the presence of cattle and the occurrence of *C. ryanae* in deers. It is important to note that the occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* in deers in the present study did not show a statistically significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) with the presence of cattle in the diferent locations where the samples were obtained. Such evidence was also observed at the Jataí Ecological Station, where the presence of fecal samples of *B. dichotomous* positive for *C. parvum* and *C. ryanae* was evidenced, although the presence of bovines was not observed in the locality.

Despite the negative relationship with the presence of cattle observed in the present study, Teixeira et al. ([2021\)](#page-9-6) observed a greater positivity for *Cryptosporidium* sp. in samples of *M. gouazoubira* obtained from localities where there was a large fow of cattle. Given such inferences, it is clear the need to assess the role of deer in the epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in a given region, as well as the real infuence that the concomitant presence of other hosts in the same habitat can infuence *Cryptosporidium* infection in deers.

The sequencing, alignment, and phylogenetic analysis 18S rRNA and actin genes allowed the identifcation of a new *Cryptosporidium* genotype in a fecal sample of *M. americana*, named deer genotype BR. In phylogenetic tree, deer genotype BR grouped with intestinal *Cryptosporidium* species, with high bootstrap support, in the monophyletic group of *C. ryanae* (EU410344.1), *C. bovis* (AY741305.1), and *C. xiaoi* (FJ896053.1). In the actin gene phylogenetic tree, deer genotype BR grouped into the monophyletic group of *C. ryanae* (EU410345.1), with high bootstrap support. Phylogenetic analysis of *Cryptosporidium* spp. at the 18S rRNA and actin genes, showed that gastric and intestinal species of this genus tend to separate forming monophyletic groups among themselves (Xiao et al. [2004\)](#page-9-13). This result may help future studies on the parasitic dynamics of the *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype BR.

Fig. 3 Phylogenetic tree of the actin gene sequences (737 base positions in the fnal dataset) from *Cryptosporidium* sp. deer genotype BR from this manuscript (green triangle) and selected *Cryptosporidium* species according to the maximum likelihood analysis based on the general time-reversible model. A discrete gamma distribution was

Cryptosporidium deer genotype BR presented 99.2% of genetic similarity (GS) with a sample identifed in sika deer from China as *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype (Tao et al. [2020\)](#page-9-4) at the 18S rRNA gene (MN056199). High genetic similarity (88%) was also observed at the actin gene (LC018998) between deer genotype BR and a *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype identifed in *Cervus nippon yesoensis* from Japan (Kato et al. [2016\)](#page-8-9). According to Kváč et al., [\(2016](#page-8-30)), in the actin locus, *Cryptosporidium proliferans* is 99.4% similar to *Cryptosporidium muris*. In comparison, *C. parvum* and *Cryptosporidium erinacei* share 99.5% similarity at this locus. Regarding the 18S RNA locus, *C. proliferans* is 99.4% and 98.3% similar *to C. muris* and *C. andersoni*, respectively; this diference is comparable to the similarities between *C. hominis* and *Cryptosporidium cuniculus* (98.9%), *C. parvum* and *C. erinacei* (99.5%), and *C. bovis* and *C. xiaoi* (99.5%), showing that diferent species of *Cryptosporidium* can present high rates of genetic similarity at the 18S rRNA gene.

Similarity indices obtained in the present study between deer genotype BR and *C. ryanae* (FJ463206, MT507487, and EU410345) did not exceed 91.1%, being even lower (88.2%) when compared to *Cryptosporidium* sp. deer

used to model evolutionary rate diferences among sites (5 categories $(+G, parameter=0.4195)$. Numbers on the left of the supported nodes indicate the bootstrap values (1000 replicates). The branch length scale bar, indicating the number of substitutions per site, is given in the tree. The tree was rooted with the sequence of *C. molnari*

genotype (LC018998). Such inferences, together with the genetic distances observed between the deer genotype BR and the diferent species and genotypes included in phylogenetic analyses of the actin and 18S RNA genes, allowed us to suggest that the *Cryptosporidium* isolate identifed in this study in *B. dichotomus* is a new genotype.

Positivity for *Cryptosporidium* spp. in fecal samples of cervids by nPCR (1,42%; 8/563 samples) was statistically superior to that obtained using the microscopy technique (0,36%; 2/563 samples). Although microscopy techniques are more used in laboratory routines, generally they have lower levels of sensitivity and specificity than molecular techniques (Meireles et al. [2011](#page-8-31); Homem et al. [2012\)](#page-8-32). Low sensitivity rates of microscopy techniques in the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis are widely reported (Elliot et al. [1999](#page-8-19); Meireles et al. [2011;](#page-8-31) Homem et al. [2012\)](#page-8-32), usually leading to false-negative results when compared to more sensitive techniques, as observed in this study. In addition to being more sensitive, the use of molecular techniques in the present study enabled the detection and diferentiation of *Cryptosporidium* species.

Although the highest number of positive samples was diagnosed in *M. americana* (four cases), the analyzes of

the number of positive samples among the total number of samples collected from each deer species, showed a highest infection rate in *B. dichotomus* with 4% positivity in 50 samples analyzed, followed by *M. nana* with 1.7% in 114 samples, and *M. americana* with 1.3% in 296 samples. The highest occurrence in *B. dichotomus* is probably due to the feeding habits of these species. Unlike *M. nana* and *M. americana*, *B. dichotomus* have a "pasture-pruner" eating habit, ingesting tender and soft grasses together with shoots and legumes (Tomas and Salis [2000;](#page-9-14) Duarte [2001\)](#page-8-33), which may have facilitated the ingestion of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in the environment.

Epidemiological studies on the occurrence and distribution of *Cryptosporidum* spp. in fecal samples of different deer species from Brazil are especially important for programs for the preservation of cervids species in the country. Cryptosporidiosis can cause severe clinical signs, mainly in immunosuppressed animals infected with *C. parvum*, the most prevalent *Cryptosporidium* species in the present study. In addition, two deer species (*B. dichotomus* and *M. nana*), in which we have detected the presence of *Cryptosporidium*, are currently threatened with extinction (IUCN [2020](#page-8-34)). This fact is pointing to the need to evaluate the host-parasite relationship between *Cryptosporidium* and Brazilian wild cervids, which is still unknown in the worldwide literature.

Conclusion

In this study, we describe the frst report of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in *M. americana, M. nana* and *B. dichotomus* species. In an unprecedented way, *C. ryanae* was isolated in a fecal sample of *B. dichotomus*. Sequences from actin and 18S rRNA genes from *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype BR identifed in this study allowed us to infer that these sequences are related to a new *Cryptosporidium* genotype.

Acknowledgements We thank to the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis – IBAMA), Secretariat of Infrastructure and Environment (Secretaria de Infraestrutura e Meio Ambiente—SMA/SP), and the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária— EMBRAPA/Pantanal) for sampling authorization. Also we want to thank you the Ph.D. student Timothy Hackett from UNL (University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Department of Biochemistry) for reviewing the english.

Authors contribution Conceptualization: WFPT, JMBD; Methodology: WFPT, MLO, PHFP, BCMO; Formal analysis and investigation: WFPT, WBN, AMAJ; Writing—original draft preparation: WFPT, DSV; Writing—review and editing: WFPT, DSV, ELD, MVM, WDZL, KDSB; Funding acquisition: WFPT, JMBD, KDSB; Resources: JMBD, MVM, KDSB; Supervision: JMBD, MVM, KDSB.

Funding We thank to the São Paulo Research Foundation for fnancial assistance for feld activities and laboratory activities [grants #15/10086–5, #15/25742–5, #12/50206–1, #10/50748–3—Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP)], and to the Brazilian National Council for Scientifc and Technological Development (grants #304456/2016–0 and #407777/2016—Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científco e Tecnológico—CNPq).

Data availability The datasets in this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethical committee All the samples from animals used in this research followed ethical principles in animal research and welfare. This is study was performed and approved according to Ethical Principles of Animal Experimentation of the São Paulo State University, College of Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences, Jaboticabal (FCAV/UNESP), protocol number 15134/16.

Conflict of interest Authors declare that there is no confict of interest.

Consent to participate All authors participated and helped voluntarily in the research.

Consent for publication All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

References

- Alves M, Xiao L, Sulaiman I et al (2003) Subgenotype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from humans, cattle, and zoo ruminants in Portugal. J Clin Microbiol 41:2744–2747. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.6.2744-2747.2003) [1128/JCM.41.6.2744-2747.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.6.2744-2747.2003)
- Araújo JP, Nogueira MF, Duarte JMB (2010) Survey for foot-andmouth disease in the endangered marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) from marshlands of the Paraná River Basin, Brazil. J Wildl Dis 46:939–943.<https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-46.3.939>
- Brook EJ, Anthony Hart C, French NP, Christley RM (2009) Molecular epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* subtypes in cattle in England. Vet J 179:378–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2007.10.023>
- Deng MQ, Cliver DO (1999) Improved immunofuorescence assay for detection of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* from asymptomatic adult cervine animals. Parasitol Res 85:733–736. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004360050623) [10.1007/s004360050623](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004360050623)
- Díaz P, Quílez J, Prieto A et al (2015) *Cryptosporidium* species and subtype analysis in diarrhoeic pre-weaned lambs and goat kids from north-western Spain. Parasitol Res 114:4099-4105. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4639-0) doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4639-0
- Duarte JMB, Merino ML (1997) Taxonomia e Evolução. In: Duarte JMB. Biologia e conservação de cervídeos sul-americanos: *Blastocerus*, *Ozotoceros* e *Mazama*. FUNEP, Jaboticabal, p. 1–21
- Duarte JMB, Jorge W (2003) Morphologic and Cytogenetic Description of the Small Red Brocket (*Mazama bororo* Duarte, 1996) in Brazil. Mammalia 67:403–410. [https://doi.org/10.1515/mamm.](https://doi.org/10.1515/mamm.2003.67.3.403) [2003.67.3.403](https://doi.org/10.1515/mamm.2003.67.3.403)
- Duarte JMB (1996) Guia de identifcação de cervídeos brasileiros. 1.ed. Jaboticabal: FUNEP, p.14
- Duarte JMB (2001) O Cervo-do-Pantanal (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) de Porto Primavera: Resultado de dois anos de pesquisa. Relatório técnico, FUNEP/CESP
- Duarte JMB (2005) Ecologia e distribuição de Mazama bororo (Mammalia: Cervidae). Relatório Final de Pesquisa. FUNEP, Jaboticabal. p.104
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-5-113>
- Elliot A, Morgan UM, Thompson RC (1999) Improved staining method for detecting *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in stools using malachite green. J Gen Appl Microbiol 45:139–142. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2323/Jgam.45.139) [org/10.2323/Jgam.45.139](https://doi.org/10.2323/Jgam.45.139)
- Fayer R, Morgan U, Upton SJ (2000) Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* : Transmission, detection and identifcation. Int J Parasitol 30:1305–1322. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519\(00\)00135-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(00)00135-1)
- Fayer R, Santín M, Trout JM (2008) *Cryptosporidium* ryanae n. sp. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae) in cattle (*Bos taurus*). Vet Parasitol 156:191–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.05.024) [05.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.05.024)
- Feng Y, Karna SR, Dearen TK, Singh DK, Adhikari LN, Shrestha A et al (2012) Common occurrence of a unique Cryptosporidium ryanae variant in zebu cattle and water buffaloes in the buffer zone of the Chitwan National Park. Nepal Vet Parasitol 185:309–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.09.025>
- Feng Y, Ryan UM, Xiao L (2018) Genetic diversity and population structure of *Cryptosporidium*. Trends Parasitol 34:997–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.07.009>
- García-Presedo I, Pedraza-Díaz S, González-Warleta M et al (2013) The frst report of *Cryptosporidium* bovis, *C. ryanae* and *Giardia* duodenalis sub-assemblage A-II in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in Spain. Vet Parasitol 197:658–664. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vet](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.07.002)[par.2013.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.07.002)
- González S, Maldonado JE, Ortega J et al (2009) Identifcation of the endangered small red brocket deer (*Mazama bororo*) using noninvasive genetic techniques (Mammalia; Cervidae). Mol Ecol Resour 9:754–758. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02390.x) [02390.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2008.02390.x)
- Hajdušek O, Ditrich O, Šlapeta J (2004) Molecular identifcation of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in animal and human hosts from the Czech Republic. Vet Parasitol 122:183–192. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.04.005) [vetpar.2004.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.04.005)
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41:95–98
- Homem CG, Nakamura AA, Silva DC et al (2012) Real-time PCR assay targeting the actin gene for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* parvum in calf fecal samples. Parasitol Res 110:1741–1745. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2694-8>
- Huang J, Zhang Z, Zhang Y et al (2018) Prevalência e caracterização molecular de *Cryptosporidium* spp. e Giardia duodenalis em veados em Henan e Jilin China. Parasit Vectors 11:239. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2813-9) [org/10.1186/s13071-018-2813-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2813-9)
- IUCN 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020– 1. <[http://www.iucnredlist.org>](http://www.iucnredlist.org). Downloaded on 17 September 2020.
- Jellison KL, Lynch AE, Ziemann JM (2009) Source tracking identifes deer and geese as vectors of human-infectious *Cryptosporidium* genotypes in an urban/suburban watershed. Environ Sci Technol 43:4267–4272. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es900081m>
- Kato S, Yanagawa Y, Matsuyama R et al (2016) Molecular identifcation of the *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype in the Hokkaido sika deer (*Cervus nippon yesoensis*) in Hokkaido, Japan. Parasitol Res 115:1463–1471.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4880-6>
- Koehler AV, Haydon SR, Jex AR et al (2016) *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* taxa in faecal samples from animals in catchments

supplying the city of Melbourne with drinking water (2011 to 2015). Parasites Vect 9:315. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1607-1) [s13071-016-1607-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1607-1)

- Kotková M, Němejc K, Sak B, et al (2016) *Cryptosporidium ubiquitum, C. muris* and *Cryptosporidium* deer genotype in wild cervids and caprines in the Czech Republic. Folia Parasitol (Praha) 63:. <https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2016.003>
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M et al (2018) MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol 35:1547–1549.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>
- Kváč M, Havrdová N, Hlásková L, et al (2016) *Cryptosporidium proliferans* n. sp. (Apicomplexa: Cryptosporidiidae): Molecular and Biological Evidence of Cryptic Species within Gastric *Cryptosporidium* of Mammals. PLoS ONE 11:e0147090. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147090) [10.1371/journal.pone.0147090](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147090)
- Landis JR, Koch GG (1977) The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data. Biometrics 33:159. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310) [2307/2529310](https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310)
- Lee SH, VanBik D, Kim HY et al (2016) Multilocus typing of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in young calves with diarrhea in Korea. Vet Parasitol 229:81–89.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.09.019>
- Ludwig R, Marques S (2011) Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium* spp. oocysts in mammals at a zoo in southern Brazil. Rev Ibero-Latinoamericana Parasitol 70:122–128
- Machado RZ, Duarte JMB, Dagnone AS, Szabó MPJ (2006) Detection of *Ehrlichia chafeensis* in Brazilian marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*). Vet Parasitol 139:262–266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.02.038) [vetpar.2006.02.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2006.02.038)
- Meireles MV, De Oliveira FP, Teixeira WFP et al (2011) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in dairy calves from the state of São Paulo. Brazil Parasitol Res 109:949–951. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2336-1) [org/10.1007/s00436-011-2336-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2336-1)
- Meloni BP, Thompson RCA (1996) Simplifed Methods for Obtaining Purifed Oocysts from Mice and for Growing *Cryptosporidium parvum* In vitro. J Parasitol 82:757–762. [https://doi.org/10.2307/](https://doi.org/10.2307/3283888) [3283888](https://doi.org/10.2307/3283888)
- Mi R, Wang X, Li C et al (2013) Prevalence and Genetic Characterization of *Cryptosporidium* in Yaks in Qinghai Province of China. PLoS ONE 8:1–6.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074985>
- Nazemalhosseini-Mojarad E, Haghighi A, Taghipour N et al (2011) Subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Cryptosporidium* hominis isolates from humans and cattle in Iran. Vet Parasitol 179:250–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.01.051>
- Nei M, Kumar S (2000) Molecular evolution and Phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York
- Perz JF, Le Blancq SM (2001) *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection involving novel genotypes in wildlife from lower New York State. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:1154–1162. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.3.1154-1162.200119) [AEM.67.3.1154-1162.200119](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.3.1154-1162.200119)
- Piovezan U, Tiepolo LM, Tomas WM, Duarte JMB, Varela D, Marinho Filho JS. 2010. Marsh deer *Blastocerus dichotomus* (Illiger, 1815), p. 66–76. In: Duarte JMB, Gonzales S. (Eds). Neotropical Cervidology: biology and medicine of Latin American deer. Jaboticabal, FUNEP/IUCN
- Reginatto AR, Farret MH, Fanfa VR et al (2010) Report of cryptosporidiosis in gray brocket deer (*Mazama gouazoupira*) in southern Brazil. Comp Clin Pathol 19:523–525. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00580-010-1044-z) [1007/s00580-010-1044-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00580-010-1044-z)
- Rieux A, Chartier C, Pors I et al (2013) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* isolates from high-excreting young dairy calves in dairy cattle herds in Western France. Parasitol Res 112:3423– 3431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3520-2>
- Robinson G, Chalmers RM, Stapleton C et al (2011) A whole water catchment approach to investigating the origin and distribution of *Cryptosporidium* species. J Appl Microbiol 111:717–730. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05068.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05068.x
- Santin M, Fayer R (2015) *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*, *Giardia*, and *Cryptosporidium* infecting white-tailed deer. J Eukaryot Microbiol 62:34–43.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12155>
- Souza JN, de Oliveira ML, Duarte JMB (2013) A PCR/RFLP methodology to identify non-Amazonian Brazilian deer species. Conserv Genet Resour 5:639–641. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-013-9870-3) [s12686-013-9870-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12686-013-9870-3)
- Stensvold CR, Ethelberg S, Hansen L et al (2015) *Cryptosporidium* infections in Denmark, 2010–2014. Dan Med J 62:3–6
- Sulaiman IM, Lal AA, Xiao L (2002) Molecular Phylogeny and Evolutionary Relationships of *Cryptosporidium* Parasites at the Actin Locus. J Parasitol 88:388. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3285594>
- Szabó MPJ, Labruna MB, Pereira MC, Duarte JMB (2009) Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) on Wild Marsh-Deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) from Southeast Brazil: Infestations Before and After Habitat Loss. J Med Entomol 40:268–274. [https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-40.3.268) [40.3.268](https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-40.3.268)
- Tao WF, Ni HB, Du HF et al (2020) Molecular detection of *Cryptosporidium* and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy calves and sika deer in four provinces in Northern China. Parasitol Res 119:105– 114.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-019-06498-1>
- Teixeira WFP, De Oliveira ML, Peres PHF, et al (2021) *Cryptosporidium parvum* in brown brocket (*Mazama gouazoubira*) from Brazil: First report of the subtype IIaA16G3R1 in cervids. Parasitol International 80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2020.102216>
- Tomas WM, Salis SM (2000) Diet of the marsh deer (*Blastocerus dichotomus*) in the Pantanal wetland, Brazil. Stud Neotrop Fauna Environ 35:165–172.<https://doi.org/10.1076/snfe.35.3.165.8861>
- Wells B, Shaw H, Hotchkiss E et al (2015) Prevalence, species identifcation and genotyping *Cryptosporidium* from livestock and deer in a catchment in the Cairngorms with a history of a contaminated

public water supply. Parasit Vectors 8:1–13. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0684-x) [1186/s13071-015-0684-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0684-x)

- Xiao L, Limor J, Morgan UM et al (2000) Sequence diferences in the diagnostic target region of the oocyst wall protein gene of *Cryptosporidium* parasites. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:5499–5502. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.12.5499-5502.2000>
- Xiao L, Fayer R, Ryan U, Upton SJ (2004) *Cryptosporidium* Taxonomy: Recent Advances and Implications for Public Health. Clin Microbiol Rev 17:72–97. [https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.1.72-](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.1.72-97.2004) [97.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.1.72-97.2004)
- Xiao L, Zhou L, Santin M et al (2007) Distribution of *Cryptosporidium parvum* subtypes in calves in eastern United States. Parasitol Res 100:701–706. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-006-0337-2>
- Xie F, Zhang Z, Zhao A et al (2019) Molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*) from Shishou. China Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 10:184–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.09.001) [2019.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.09.001)
- Zahedi R, Ryan U (2020) *Cryptosporidium* – an update with an emphasis on foodborne and waterborne transmission. Res. Vet. Sci. 132:500–512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.08.002>
- Zhao W, Xu J, Xiao M et al (2020) Prevalence and Characterization of *Cryptosporidium* Species and Genotypes in Four Farmed Deer Species in the Northeast of China. Front Vet Sci 7:430. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00430) [org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00430](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.00430)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.