#### **REGULAR ARTICLES**



# Prevalence of rotavirus A infection and the detection of type G3P[11] strain in ruminants in Yobe state, Nigeria

John Garba<sup>1</sup> • Olufemi Oladayor Faleke<sup>2</sup> • Alhaji Abdulahi Magaji<sup>2</sup> • Rabiu Bello Alkali<sup>3</sup> • Innocent Okwundu Nwankwo<sup>4</sup> • Asabe Adamu Dzikwi<sup>5</sup>

Received: 3 September 2019 / Accepted: 13 May 2020 / Published online: 2 June 2020  $\odot$  Springer Nature B.V. 2020

#### Abstract

Rotaviruses have a worldwide distribution and the infection is associated with diarrhea in young of ruminants as well as children. However, limited data exist on its prevalence and types in Yobe state, Nigeria. Detection of rotavirus A and types in ruminant population in Yobe state was the aim of the study. A total of 470 diarrheic fecal samples were collected and tested for rotavirus and types using serology and molecular techniques respectively. A prevalence rate of 2.98% (14/470) was found in the three species with specific rates of 2.9% (6/202), 3.8% (6/158), and 1.8% (2/110) in goat, sheep, and cattle respectively. The prevalence rates of 3.6% (12/331), 1.2% (1/84), and 1.8% (1/55) were for those aged < 1–3, 4–6, and 7–9 months old, respectively, while 4.9% (9/185) and 1.7% (5/285) were in males and females respectively. Rotavirus genes VP7 and VP4 were detected in 2 (14.3%) out of the 14 ELISA-positive samples while deduced amino acid sequences of the major variable regions revealed the genes to belong to types G3P[11] strain. Significant association was found between the infection and sex (P < 0.05) unlike in the species and age groups of the ruminants. The circulation of rotavirus virus in ruminants and type G3P[11] in cattle has been confirmed in the study. Hence, there is a need for continuous surveillance, awareness campaign, and assessment of the economic losses and public health implications of rotavirus infection in Nigeria.

Keywords Prevalence · Rotavirus A · Ruminants · Yobe state · Nigeria

Innocent Okwundu Nwankwo innocent.nwankwo@unn.edu.ng

- <sup>1</sup> Veterinary Council of Nigeria, National Veterinary Research Institute, Vom, Plateau state, Nigeria
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Sokoto, Sokoto state, Nigeria
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Sokoto, Sokoto state, Nigeria
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu state, Nigeria
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Veterinary Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Jos, Jos, Plateau state, Nigeria

# Introduction

Rotaviruses are among the disease-causing agents responsible for great public health challenges and high economic losses in livestock industry all over the world (FAO 2000). Nigeria has been ranked third among the 10 countries with the greatest number of rotavirus disease-associated deaths with up to 33,000 annual estimated deaths in children under 5 years old (Aminu et al. 2010). Recently, an 8-year surveillance study on diarrhea diseases in children less than 5 years of age has revealed that 2043 (47%) of the 4377 cases were positive for rotavirus with a case fatality rate of 1.3% (WHO 2018). They belong to the virus family Reoviridae and genus Rotavirus. They consist of eleven segments structural and non-structural proteins and measure 75 nm in diameter (Estes and Kapikian 2007). They are distinguished into eight serotypes (A–H) and several of them have been identified and reported in humans and animals worldwide (Adah et al. 1997a; Andrej et al. 2008; Dzikwi et al. 2008; Waggie et al. 2010). They cause diarrhea in young animal and children with high morbidity and mortality rates (Aminu et al. 2008; Sarma 2009; Dash et al. 2011).

The infection has been revealed to be transmitted via the fecaloral route and by contact with contaminated fomites (Mwenda et al. 2010; Dash et al. 2011).

Data on the epidemiology of the infection and the associated public health impact have not been fully documented (Sarma 2009; Dash et al. 2011; Ayuba 2011). At the present, more than 27 G and 35 P genotypes have been reported to be either restricted in some animal species or humans while some have shown high levels of interspecies transmission and from animals to humans (Gentsch et al. 2005; Rahman et al. 2005; Khamrin et al. 2006; Khamrin et al. 2007; Miyazaki et al. 2011). The reported increase in the isolation rate of G and P types in different parts of the world, like the predominance of G9[P6] strains in India, G8[P6] strains in Malawi, and G5[P8] strains in Brazil, calls for more attention in our environment (Van der Heidel et al. 2005). In Nigeria, there is still gap in literature on infection in animals compared with many report on the prevalence in children (Nimzing et al. 2000; Junaid et al. 2011; Aminu et al. 2014; Alkali et al. 2016). This study, therefore, was aimed at determining the prevalence of rotavirus A infection and detection of strain types in ruminants in Yobe state, Nigeria.



Fig. 1 Map of Yobe state, Nigeria, showing the local government areas of the state. Source: Geospatial Analysis Mapping and Environmental Research Solutions (GAMERS) 2018

**Table 1** List of primers and<br/>genotype strains used for VP7<br/>genotyping of rotavirus

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Position (nt)	Genotype (strains)
sBeg9	GGC TTT AAA AGA GAG AAT TTC A	1–21	Group A
aFT5	GACGTAACAACGAGTACATG	779–760	G5 (OSU)
aDT6	GATTCTACACAGGGAACTAG	499-481	G6 (UK)
aHT8	GTGTCTAATCCGGAACCG	273-256	G8 (B37)
aET10	GAAGTCGCAACGGCTGAA	714-697	G10 (B223)
aBT1	GCAACTCAGATTGCTGATGAC	336–316	G11 (YM)

Source: West African Regional Rotavirus Reference Laboratory, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, Legon, Ghana, 2010

# Materials and methods

#### Study area

Yobe state is located in the northeastern geopolitical zone of Nigeria between latitudes 10° 30' and 13° 25' N and longitudes 9° 35' and 12° 30' E of the Northern hemisphere. The state shares international boundary with Niger Republic in the extreme north and interstate boundaries with Borno state to the east, Bauchi and Jigawa states to the west, and Gombe state to the south (Oruonye 2009). The state has one of the largest livestock market in West Africa, and animal production in the state is consist of ruminants and local poultry (Oruonye 2009). Pastoralism is practiced by sedentary livestock farmers and nomadic Fulani groups (Oruonye 2009; Ayuba 2011) (Fig. 1).

#### **Study sites**

The study was carried out in the 17 local government areas (LGAs) of the state which has been grouped into five agricultural zones (MAWR 2008) as follows: Gashua zone (Bade, Karsuwa, and Jakusko LGAs), Geidam zone (Geidam, Bursari, and Yunusari LGAs), Gujba zone (Gujba, Gulani, Tarmua, and Damaturu LGAs), Nguru zone (Nguru, Machina, and Yusufari LGAs), and Potiskum zone (Potiskum, Fune, Nangere, and Fika LGAs). In all, five LGAs (one selected at random from each of the five agricultural zones) were used (Thrusfield 1997). **Study population** 

The study participants and subjects were young of ruminants in study sites. Calves, kids, and lambs with diarrhea in the selected LGAs constituted the target population.

#### Sampling frame

Five selected LGAs in all were visited for sample collection. Pastoralists' camps, institutional farms, and household farms in the selected LGAs were identified and sampled.

#### Sampling methods

A multi-stage and cluster sampling methods were adopted to select sampling units (grazing fields and farms) (Mitchell 2003; USDA 2016; Casburn 2016). All individual target units (calves, kids, and lambs) in selected units (pastoralist's camps, institutional farms, and household farms) were sampled as described by Putt et al. (1987). Calves, kids, and lambs were grouped according to age (< 1–3, 4–6, and 7–9) months old. Age categories of the ruminant where no records existed were determined by approximation by owner of the animal (Banerjee 1998).

#### Sample size estimation

The minimum sample size for each species was calculated using the formula  $n = z^2 \times p$   $(1-p) \div d^2$  (Thrusfield 1997)

**Table 2**List of primers andgenotype strains used for VP4genotyping of Rotaviruses

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Position (nt)	Вр	Genotype (strains)
PGoTT	GCTTCAACGTCCTTTAACATCAG	465–487	423	P[7]Gottfried
Posu	CTTTATCGGTGGAGAATACG TCAC	389–412	502	P[7] OSU
PuK	GCCAGGTGTCGCATCAGAG	336–354	555	P[5] UK
PNCDV	GCAACGCGGGGGGGGGGGAGTAG	269–289		P[1] NCDV
PB223	GGAACGTATTCTAATCCGGTG	574–594	314	P[11] B223

Source: West African Regional Rotavirus Reference Laboratory, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, Legon, Ghana, 2010

Table 3Prevalence of rotavirusgroup A infection in threeruminants species in Yobe state,Nigeria

Species	Number tested	No. of positive (%)	No. of negative (%)	p value	OR	95% CI
Cattle	110	2 (1.8)	108 (98.2)			
Goat	202	6 (2.9)	196 (97.1)	0.636	0.932	0.398-1.907
Sheep	158	6 (3.8)	152 (96.2)			
Total	470	14(2.9)	456 (97.1)			

where n = sample size, z = score for confidence interval (95% confidence coefficient was taken at 1.96 score), p = expected prevalence, and d = 5% desired precision.

For cattle, p = 3.2% (Adah et al. 2002)  $n = 1.96^2 \times 0.032(1 - 0.032) \div 0.05^2 = 48$ . For sheep, p = 11% (Alkali et al. 2017)  $n = 1.96^2 \times 0.11(1 - 0.11) \div 0.05^2 = 150$ . For goat, p = 4% (Alkali et al. 2017)  $n = 1.96^2 \times 0.04(1 - 0.04) \div 0.05^2 = 59$ . A minimum of 257 samples was required. However, in order to maximize the ELISA reagents available, 470 samples were used in the study.

#### **Inclusion criteria**

Only ruminant owners who consented to request for sample collection were included in the sample collection. Nondiarrheic and older than 12 months calves, kids, and lambs and adult cattle, goat, and sheep were excluded.

#### Descriptive criteria for diarrhea

Diarrhea is loose, watery stools which is said to have occurred if an animal has loose stools three or more times in 1 day. Acute diarrhea is diarrhea that lasts a short time about 1 or 2 days which is the most common sign of rotavirus infection in young animals (WHO 2009a, b).

#### Sample collection and storage

About 1–2 g fecal samples was collected per-rectum into a labeled, capped, sterilized, wide mouth, universal plastic container from each of the animals using aseptic disposable wood spatula and hand gloves. The samples were transported cooled using ice to the laboratory and stored at 2-4 °C for less than 48 h and further processed as described by Dash et al. (2011) and Junaid et al. (2011).

#### Sample preparation

About 0.1 g or 300  $\mu$ L fecal suspension was added to 1 mL distilled water for each sample. The mixture was vortex for a minute and 1 mL of supernatant was transferred into a fresh labeled tube and used for ELISA test.

# Detection of rotavirus group A (RVA) virus

Enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) test kit for antigenic detection of rotavirus was used (Bio K 343/2™, Bio-X Diagnostics, Belgium) on 470 samples while the ELISApositive samples were subjected to RT-PCR and second round PCR to determine their genotypes (WHO 2009a, b). In addition, deduced amino acids sequencing of the major variable regions of the genes was equally conducted. For the ELISA test, a commercially available ELISA kit was used and the optical density readings were measured using microplate spectrophotometer (Optical Ivymen System®) with a 450nm filter and calculated according to the manufacturers' instruction (Yilmaz et al. 2017). RNA extraction, RT-PCR of VP7 and VP4 to complementary DNA (cDNA), and gel electrophoresis (PAGE) were done as described by Herring et al. (1982) and Dash et al. (2011), to confirm the rotavirus group A types using specific primers (Dzikwi et al. 2008; Esona et al. 2010) (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 4	Prevalence of rotavirus
group A	infection in relation to
age grou	p and sex in Yobe state,
Nigeria	

Parameter	Number tested	No. of positive	No. of negative	p value	OR	95% CI
Age (in mo	nths)					
< 1–3	331	12(3.6)	319(6.4)			
4–6	84	1(1.2)	83(98.8)	0.435	1.943	0.675-5.764
7–9	55	1(1.8)	54(98.2			
Gender						
Male	185	9(4.9)	176(95.1)			
Female	285	5(1.8)	280(98.2)	0.050	3.648	0.965-11.475

Fig. 2 Prevalence of rotavirus infection in ruminants' species in the five agricultural zones of Yobe state. DTR, Damaturu; PKM, Potiskum; GSH, Gashua; NGR, Nguru; GDM, Geidam



# **RNA extraction for RT-PCR**

RNA extraction was performed by TRIzol method. Briefly, 500  $\mu$ L of fecal suspension was transferred into an Eppendorf tube; 1 mL TRIzol reagent was added, vortexed, and incubated for 5 min at room temperature; and 200  $\mu$ L chloroform was added, vortexed, and kept at room temperature for 3 min. The mixture was centrifuged for 10 min at 20817.16 gravity. The upper aqueous phase containing the dsRNA 500  $\mu$ L was carefully harvested and placed in clean labeled Eppendorf tubes. To the freshly harvested RNA, 500  $\mu$ L isopropanol was added and incubated at room temperature for 10 min which allowed the reaction to precipitate. The solution was centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 10 min then the fluid was decanted without disturbing the pellet. The pellets were washed using 500  $\mu$ L of 75% ethanol and the fluid decanted without disturbing the

pellet. The pellet was air-dried and suspended in 50  $\mu$ L nuclease-free water and incubated at 55 °C for 5 min. The extracted dsRNA was used in the one-step RT-PCR.

#### PCR amplification of the cDNA

The transcribed dsRNA (cDNA) was subjected to amplification in the following order. To the incubated reaction solution, 39.7  $\mu$ L (OneTaq and One-Step Enzyme Mix) and 0.3  $\mu$ L Taq polymerase were added and the reaction was thereafter subjected to the following PCR program as follows: Initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 42 °C for 2 min, extension at 72 °C for 5 min, final extension at 72 °C for 7 min, and held at 4 °C. The PCR products were loaded into a prepared agarose gel which contained 4  $\mu$ L mL<sup>-1</sup> ethidium bromide and was



**Fig. 3** Gel picture of rotavirus VP7 gene with RT-PCR products from cattle in Yobe state. Lane 1 indicates 1200 bp DNA marker while lanes 2–16 were samples. Lanes 4 and 5 indicate expected band size for VP7 of

1062 bp. Lane 4 showed faint band while lane 5 showed bold band on the gel as pointed by the thick arrow

**Fig. 4** Gel picture of rotavirus VP4 gene with RT-PCR products from cattle in Yobe state. Lane 1 indicates 1200 bp DNA marker while lanes 2–16 are samples. Lane 5 indicates expected band size of 876 bp for VP4 genotype whole lane 4 did not show band on the gel



electrophoresed in Tris-acetic acid-EDTA (TAE) buffer at 100 V for 45 min and the migration size of each sample was captured and visualized to determine the rotavirus full-length VP7 and VP4.

# RT-PCR products and genotyping of the gene VP4 and VP7 for rotaviruses

The following PCR protocol was used: Initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 2 min, elongation at 72 °C for 1 min and final elongation at 72 °C for 7 min, and held at 4 °C. The PCR product was loaded to 2% agarose gel and the genotypes in kilo base pair (bp) was determined from the size of the amplicons.

# Interpretation of RT-PCR products and genotyping results

The RT-PCR genotyping results were interpreted by comparing the band (produced migration of sample as captured from the gel electrophoreses) with the expected band of positive control of respective genotypes (G types and P types).

#### Data analyses and presentation

Data were analyzed for percentages/proportion frequency tables. Logistic regression was used to obtain *p* values, odds ratios (OR), and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). BioEdit BioStatistical software was used for sequences analysis while GenBank/Blast and MEGA 7 were used for the reference strains.

# Results

A total of 470 fecal samples from diarrheic young of three ruminant species (bovine, 110; ovine, 202; caprine, 158) at different farms in the five agricultural zones of Yobe state was collected and analyzed. The overall prevalence rate of rotavirus infection in ruminants in the five agricultural zones of Yobe state as detected with ELISA was 2.98% with species-specific prevalence rates of 3.8% (6/158), 2.9% (6/202), and 1.8% (2/110) for kids, lambs, and calves respectively (Table 3). The odds of the infection for ovine species are almost equal to the odds of the infection for both bovine and caprine species with true population effect between 96.0 and 80.9%. The result was not statistically significant (p = 0.636; OR = 0.932; 95% CI = 0.398–1.907).

The infection was detected in all the age groups studied. Out of the 14 ELISA-positive cases recorded, the age group <1–3 months showed the highest prevalence of 3.6% (12/331) followed by age groups 7–9 months 1.8% (1/84) and age group 4–6 months 1.2% (1/55). The result was not statistically significant (p = 0.435; OR = 1.943; 95% CI = 0.675–5.764) (Table 4).

The prevalence of rotavirus infection in the males was 4.6% (9/185) which is higher than 1.8% (5/285) observed in

 Table 5
 Summary of deduced amino acid sequences of VP7 and VP4 antigenic sites of animal rotavirus A detected in Yobe compared with reference G genotypes and P genotypes strains

S/no.	GenBank reference genotypes	Isolate identification	Major variation	% similarity		
			A (aa 87–101)	B (aa 142–152)	C (aa 208–221)	
1	Gi25166605dbj(G3)	BB4_22 consensus	A95R	L144I, K149R, D150N, A151S	L213Q,D216N, N218G	97.3
2	KC895849.1(P[11])	Consensus BC4_2	R88K, A100S	A149V	_	98.6

the females. The result was statistically significant but the odds of the infection for male was 3.6 times more likely than the odds of the infection for female with true population effect between 90.4 and 14.8% (p = 0.050; OR = 3.648; 95% CI = 0.965–11.475) (Table 4).

Rotavirus infection was detected in all the five agricultural zones of Yobe state and the distribution of the 14 Rotavirus-

positive infection among ruminant species showed that Damaturu zone had the highest prevalence rate 57.1% (8/14) followed by Gashua and Nguru zones 14.3% (2/14) each, while Geidam and Potiskum zones had the least rates of prevalence 7.14% (1/14) (Fig. 2). Amplicons corresponding to full-length VP7 and partial length VP4 genes were detected in 2 (14.3%) of the 14 ELISA-positive samples from

	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
	.		•••			••• ••			• • • • • • • • • • • • •			
gi 25166605 dbj	LTCLISVILLNY	VLKSLTRIMDF	IIYRFLLII	VIL <mark>SPFLNA</mark> QI	NYGINLPITG	SMDTPYMNSTF	EEVFLTSTL	CLYYPTEAAT	EINDNSWKDI	LSQLFLIKG	WPTGSVYFKDY	TDIASFSV
KX632281.1 Rota	F	•••••	KS	L	D	T	L	•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	T	• • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Concensus HBB15	F		KS	L	D		L	•••••		T		•••••
KY616899.1 Rota	.IFI	IYY	y	.A.FALTR	L	Ç	.GI	s.	QGES	SMT	E.	SS.VD
MF469224.1 Rota	.IFI	IYY	У	.A.FAL <mark>TR</mark>	L	V.AÇ	.GI	s.	QGES	SMT	Е.	SS.VD
MG571803.1 Rota	.IFI	IYY	У	.A.FALTR	L	V.AÇ	.GI	s.	QGES	SMT	Е.	SS.VD
LC031496.1 Huma	.IFI	IYY	¥	.A.FALTR	L	V.AÇ	.GI	s.	QGES	SMT	Е.	SS.VD
KC443023.1 Rota	.IFI	IYY	y	.A.FALTR	L		.GI	s.	QGES	MT	Е.	SS.VD
KX655478.1 Rota	F		KS	L	D	т	L			T		
KJ560444.1 Rota	F		KS	ь	D	т	ь			т		
KJ752100.1 Rota	F		KS	L	D	т	L			м		
JF460828.1 Rota	F		s	L	D	т	L			т		
KJ560447.1 Rota	F		<b>KS</b>	L	D	т	L			т		
KP188813.1 Rota	F		<b>KS</b>	L	D	т	L			т		
JF460839.1 Rota	F		<mark>s</mark>	L	D	т	L			т		
KP882593.1 Rota	F		KS	L		т	L			т		
KP882582.1 Rota	F		<b>KS</b>	L		т	L			т		
KP882461.1 Rota	F		KS	L		т	L			т		
BB4 22 Concensu	.IYI	IY	ĸv.	<b>T.T.IVI</b>		MS.V.A.K	D.P	R.	E.TT.	т	Е.	DT
HB16 Concensus	.IF?.	IY	y	.A.FALTR.?	L	v.ac	.GI	s.	QGES	мт.	Е.	SS.VD
HB18 Concensus	.IF?.	IYY	Y	A.FALTR.?	L	V.A	.GI	s.	~ 0GES	мт.	Е.	SS.VD
KP882340.1 Rota	F		KS	L		т	L		~	т		
KP882329.1 Rota	F		KS	L		т	L			т.		
KM660408.1 Huma	F		KS	L	D	т	L			т.		
KX632336.1 Rota	. F		KS	L		Т	D.L.			т.		
KP752487.1 Rota	F		KS	T		к. т.				т.		
KJ752133.1 Rota	F		KS	Т	D	т	T.			т		
KJ751894.1 Rota	F		KS	T.		т	T.			т		
KP882571.1 Rota	F		KS	Т	т	т	T.			т		
KP882450 1 Rota	F		KS	т.		T	т.			т		
KI COL ISULI KOCU												
	140	100	1.00	100	100	100		010		000	0.4.0	050
	140	150	160	170	180	190 	200	210	220	230	240	250
gi 25166605 dbj	140 	150    MKYDATLQLDM	160   . SELADLLLN	170   . EWLCNPMDIT	180   . LYYYQQ <b>TDE</b> A	190    NKWISMGSSCI	200   . 'IKVCPLNTQ	210   . TLGIGCLTTD	220   . TNTFEEVATA	230   . EKLVITDVV	240   . DGVNHKLNVTT	250   NTCTIRNC
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota	140   . DPQLYCDYNLVL	150    MKYDATLQLDM V	160 	170   . EWLCNPMDIT	180   . LYYYQQTDEA	190    NKWISMGSSCI	200   . IKVCPLNTQ	210   . TLGIGCLTTD	220   . TNTFEEVATA	230    XEKLVITDVVI	240   . DGVNHKLNVTI	250   NTCTIRNC
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15	140    . DPQLYCDYNLVL	150   . MKYDATLQLDM V V	160   . SELADLLLN	170   . EWLCNPMDIT	180   . LYYYQQTDEA	190   . NKWISMGSSCI	200   . IKVCPLNTQ	210   . TLGIGCLTTD	220   . TNTFEEVATA I.I I.I	230    EKLVITDVV	240   . DGVNHKLNVTI	250   NTCTIRNC
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota	140 	150   . MKYDATLQLDM V. V. V.	160 	170 	180 	190    NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210   . TLGIGCLTTD MQN	220 	230    XEKLVITDVVI	240   . DGVNHKLNVTT	250   NTCTIRNC T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota	140   . DPQLYCDYNLVL	150    MKYDATLQLDM V QN.E QN.E	160 	170 	180 	190    NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210   . TLGIGCLTTD MQN MQN	220   . TNTFEEVATA II VDSMEN VDSMEN	230    EKLVITDVVI 	240   . DGVNHKLNVTT II.L .II.L	250   NTCTIRNC  T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota	140   . DPQLYCDYNLVL	150    MKYDATLQLDM V V QN.E QN.E QN.E	160 	170   . BWLCNPMDIT	180 	190   . NKWI SMGSSCT	200 	210  . TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220 	230 	240 	250   NTCTIRNC  T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma	140   . DPQLYCDYNLVL	150    MKYDATLQLDM V QN.E QN.E QN.E	160 	170   . BWLCNPMDIT	180 	190    NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210  . TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220 	230 	240 	250   TTCTIRNC  T T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HEB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota	140 DPQLYCDYNLVL	150 	160 	170   . EWLCNPMDIT	180 	190   NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210 	220 	230 	240 	250  . NTCTIRNC  T T T T T
gi  25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180   EXYYQQTDEA 	190    NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210   TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220    TNTFEEVATA II VDSMEN VDSMEN VDSMEN	230     . EKLVITDVVI  .	240 	250   NTCTIRNC T T T T T T
gi  25166605   dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190 	200 	210 	220 	230    EKLVITDVVI )	240 	250   NTCTIRNC T T T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190 	200 	210    TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220 	230    EKLVITDVVI ). 	240 DGVNHKLNVTT	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota		150 	160 .  SELADLLLNI SELADLLLNI I. I. I. I.	170 	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210    TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220     TNTFEEVAT2 I.I I.I I.I I.I VDS.M.EN VDS.M.EN I.I I.I I.I	230    EKIVUTDVVI JA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V JA.V	240 DGVNHKLNVTI	250 
gi  25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190	200 	210 TLGIGCTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 .EKLVITDVVI 0	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 NEWISMGSSCI	200 	210 TLGIGCLTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 	240 	250   NTCTIRNC T T T T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ550444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota		150 	160 .  . SELADLLLNI I. I. I. I.	170 	180 	190 	200 	210    TLGIGCLTTD MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N MQ.N	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota LC031496.1 Rota LC031496.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota		150 	160 .  . SELADLLLNI I. I. I.	170	180 LYYYQQTDEA SG.S SG.S SG.S SG.S SG.S	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210 TLGIGCLTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 EKLVITDVI 	240 	250  T.CTIRNC T T T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HEB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ60839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190	200 .  .   IKVCPLNTQ V V V V V V	210 	220 	230 .EKLVITDVVI 0	240 	250 NTCTI RNC T T T T T
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ682593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 NEWISMGSSCT	200 .  .  . IKVCPLNTQ V V V V V V V	210 	220 	230    . EKLVITDVVI D. J	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ550444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ580447.1 Rota KP188813.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP8822461.1 Rota		150 	160 .  . SELADLLLNI I. I. I. I. I. 	170	180 	190 	200 	210 	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210 TLGIGCLTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 .EKLVITDVI 	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota LC031496.1 Rota LC031496.1 Rota KX655478.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota BB4 22 Concensu HB16 Concensus		150 	160 	170 	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 .  .   IKVCPLNTQ V V V V V V V V.	210 TLGIGCTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ68253.1 Rota KP188813.1 Rota KP882253.1 Rota KP882253.1 Rota KP882251.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensus HB16 Concensus HB16 Concensus KP882340.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 	200 .  .   IKVCPLNTQ V V V V V V V V.	210 	220 	230    . IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V D D D D IA.V IA.V D	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ550444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ760828.1 Rota KJ882593.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882261.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensus HB16 Concensus HB18 Concensus KP882340.1 Rota KP882340.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210 	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605   dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota JF460839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882461.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensus HB16 Concensus HB18 Concensus KP882340.1 Rota KP882340.1 Rota KP882329.1 Rota KP882329.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 EWLCNPMDIT	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 .	210 TLGIGCLTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 .EKLVITDVVI 0	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ760828.1 Rota KJ860839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882461.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensu HB16 Concensus HB18 Concensus KP88239.1 Rota KP88239.1 Rota KP88239.1 Rota KP88239.1 Rota KM660408.1 Huma KK632336.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 . . . IKVCPLNTQ V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V.	210 	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605 dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ550444.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KJ560447.1 Rota KP188813.1 Rota KP188813.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP88259.1 Rota KP882540.1 Rota KP82340.1 Rota KP882340.1 Rota KP882329.1 Rota KP882329.1 Rota KM660408.1 Huma KX632336.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 	200 .  .  . IKVCPLNTQ V V V V V V V V	210 	220 	230     IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V	240 	250 
gi 25166605   dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ55100.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882582.1 Rota KP882261.1 Rota KP882340.1 Rota KP882320.1 Rota KP882320.1 Rota KP882330.1 Rota KP882330.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP82236.1 Rota KP52487.1 Rota		150 	160 	170	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 . . . IKVCPLNTQ V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V. V.	210 TLGIGCLTTD M Q. N M Q. N	220 	230 	240 	250  T.T.T.RNC T.T.T. T.T.T.T. A.T. T.T. T.T. T.T. T.
gi 25166605   dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ760828.1 Rota JF460828.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensus HB16 Concensus HB18 Concensus HB18 Concensus HB18 Concensus HB18 Concensus KP882340.1 Rota KP88239.1 Rota KM660408.1 Huma KX632336.1 Rota KJ751243.1 Rota KJ751213.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190	200 .	210 TLGIGCLTTD M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N M. Q. N	220 	230 	240 	250 
gi 25166605   dbj KX632281.1 Rota Concensus HBB15 KY616899.1 Rota MF469224.1 Rota MG571803.1 Rota LC031496.1 Huma KC443023.1 Rota KJ560444.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ752100.1 Rota KJ760828.1 Rota KJ860839.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882593.1 Rota KP882261.1 Rota BB4_22 Concensus HB16 Concensus KP88239.1 Rota KM660408.1 Huma KX632336.1 Rota KM650408.1 Rota KM650408.1 Huma KX632336.1 Rota KJ752133.1 Rota KJ752133.1 Rota KJ75213.1 Rota		150 	160 	170 	180 	190 NKWISMGSSCT	200 	210 	220 	230     IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V IA.V D D D D D D	240 	250 

**Fig. 5** Deduced amino acid (15–255) sequences of VP7 antigenic sites of animal rotavirus A detected (BB4\_22 consensus) in Yobe compared with G-type reference strains. GenBank/Blast were used for data analysis of the reference strains while MEGA 7 was used for analysis of samples.

Reference strains and sequences obtained from GenBank/NCBI are indicated by their accession numbers. Sequences begin from the 15th amino acids (aa). Major variable antigenic sites A (aa 87–101), B (aa 142–152), and C (aa 208–221) were used to deduced genotype ruminants (Figs. 3 and 4). Furthermore, the deduced amino acid sequences of the major variable regions revealed one of the genes to belong to types G3[P11] when compared with sequences from the gene bank (Table 5, Figs. 5 and 6).

# Discussion

Rotavirus infection is of both economic and public health importance. Its prevalence in ruminants and the detection of G3 [P11] strain in cattle is a confirmation of the circulation of the virus strain in the study area. The detected strain was different from the G8 genotype previously reported in bovine species in the north-east Nigeria (Adah et al. 2002). Previous studies in Nigeria had also identified strains of G1P[8], G3P[6], G1P[6], and G2P[6] as the common prevalent ones and G3P[8] which could not be typed due to mutation (Adah et al. 1997b; Adah et al. 2001). The discovery of G3 [P11] strain in this study gives an additional insight to the genetic variability and the emergence of rotavirus reassortants in the environment. This unusual diversity among the strains in Nigeria has been associated with mixed infections with rotavirus including those of animal origin (Gouvea and Brantly 1995; Adah et al. 2001). Assessment of evolution and epidemiological pathways of Rotaviruses in human, mammals, and birds has been conducted by genotyping techniques (Matthijnssens and Van Ranst 2012). These include varied mechanisms of evolution by mutation types of one or several combinations of the following: point mutations, genome reassortment, genome rearrangements, and true genome recombination (Kojima et al. 2000; Jere et al. 2011; Matthijnssens and Van Ranst 2012; Luchs and Timenetsky 2014; De Grazia et al. 2014).

Changes in virus virulence resulting from mutation in viral gene products have been reported (Tyler and Fields 1990; Andrej et al. 2008; Midgley et al. 2012). The G3 genotype detected in the study is one of the three rotavirus genotypes

which have been reported in humans and animals (Desselberger and Huppertz 2011). This may suggest the expanding landscape of rotavirus genotypes in Yobe state, with a possible reduction in productivity and loss of revenue which can be associated with treatment and death of animals in state which supports production and trade in livestock in Nigeria geographically (Oruonye 2009). The infection in ruminant' species may not only affect international trade on meat or other livestock products, but there is the risk of acquiring of the infection among other animal species and humans (Santos et al. 1999). Furthermore, it implies that any Rotavirus-control program in cattle alone would not be successful, if not coupled with surveillance and control policies in small ruminants (Gentsch et al. 2005). Therefore, data on circulating rotavirus strains in animals is pertinent in vaccine production for use in developing countries (Aminu et al. 2010).

The overall prevalence (2.98%) recorded in this study can be associated with extremely low viral antigen concentration in the fecal samples as it has been revealed that not less than 10<sup>9</sup> virus particles per gram are required for detection of Rotaviruses in fecal samples (Grassi et al. 2009). It may also be a reflection of the environmental contamination in the state. Earlier researchers have also observed that rotavirus infection tend to be higher in intensively reared farm animals unlike the extensive system being practiced in the study area (Fenner et al. 1993). The prevalence rate in calves was higher than the zero prevalence reported by Alkali et al. (2017) in the same species in Sokoto, north-western, Nigeria, but was lower than 3.2% and 23.16% prevalence rates in calves as reported by Adah et al. (2002) and Aminu et al. (2014) in Nigeria. Furthermore, the prevalence rates of 3.8% and 2.9% in kids and lambs, respectively, in this study were lower than that of 11.0% in kids and 4.0% in lambs in Sokoto state, Nigeria (Alkali et al. 2017). Discrepancy in prevalence rates of Rotaviruses has been ascribed to many factors including sample size, storage, and screening method used. The detection of

<b>Fig. 6</b> Deduced amino acid (1– 214) sequences of VP4 antigenic sites of animal (bovine) rotavirus A (consensus BC4_2) detected in Yobe compared with P[11] refer- ence strains. BioEdit BioStatistical software was used for sequence analysis. Reference	KC895849.1 _Rot LC133572.1 Rota Concensus BC4_2 KC895856.1 Rota D13392.1 Bovine KJ751925.1 Rota KC895856.1 Rota	40 	50 	60     NLPSNYWYLVNP C	70 	80 DNSTFWMFTY	90 LVLPNTA
strains and sequences obtained from GenBank/NCBI are indicat- ed by their accession number. Sequences begin from the 31st amino acids (aa). Major variable antigenic sites A (aa 87–101), B (aa 142–152), and C (aa 208–221) were used to deduced genotype	KC895849.1 _Rot LC133572.1 Rota Concensus BC4_2 KC895856.1 Rota D13392.1 Bovine KJ751925.1 Rota KC895856.1 Rota	160   . GDGNISNYWGADT( M.	170 .       QGDLRVGTYSNP 	180   . VPNAVINLNADF V.	190 	200 	210 

the infection in all the age groups studied was in agreement with the findings in earlier studies by Adah et al. (2002) and Aminu et al. (2014) who reported higher prevalence in calves of age group 0-3 months in northern Nigeria. It is believed that severity and susceptibility to rotavirus infection in newborn calves, lambs, kids, or piglets decreases as the animal becomes older (Adah et al. 2002). The high prevalence found in ruminants less than 3 months of age in this study could be due to insufficient antibodies against rotavirus infection in the animals as a result of milk deprivation for economic reasons, feeding on contaminated feed, or contacts with Rotaviruscontaminated environment.

The higher prevalence of rotavirus in male 4.6% (9/185) than in female 1.8% (5/285) in this study was in agreement with other report findings that reported male calves as highly susceptible to rotavirus infection than the females (Adah et al. 2002; Sarma et al. 2009; Aminu et al. 2014). However, more studies are needed to prove that the sex susceptibility is not by chance (Junaid et al. 2011). Rotavirus infection as detected in ruminant species in all the five agricultural zones of Yobe state is a revelation of widespread distribution of rotaviruses in various communities of the state. Kapikian and Chanock (1996) observed that distribution of rotaviruses in a community is shown by acquisition of antibodies to pathogens in infected animals. The observed difference in rates of infection across zones may be due to difference in spread of animal Rotaviruses or the level of herd immunity of ruminants or environmental contamination of the agricultural zones in the state. It has been reported that sample sources from healthy or non-diarrheic animals usually have low concentrations of rotavirus (Tate et al. 2013); however, the detection of the virus at low level from diarrheic fecal samples with the use of both ELISA and RT-PCR further confirms the low level of environmental contamination in the area. On the other hand, the reason for the low rate of detection using molecular technique in this study could be that the nucleic acid in most of the ELISA-positive samples had been degraded during long storage (Halstead et al. 2013) or were insufficient to permit genotyping (Adah et al. 1997a). Factor like point mutation in the genotyping regions of the VP7 and VP4 isolates has equally been implicated (Manuja et al. 2008; Sarma 2009). Furthermore, RT-PCR procedure with the two most commonly used primer pair sets are among other factors to be considered (Cunliffe et al. 1999; Esona et al. 2010; Gouvea et al. 1990; Fischer et al. 2003).

Sequence analysis has become the standard for both confirmation and identification of "non typable" strains. Confirmatory sequencing is usually performed either on the genotype-specific products or on a fragment of the VP7 or VP4 gene after amplification (WHO 2009a, b) and by compares of the sequences using the genotype-specific variable regions (Kobayashi et al. 2007). The results described herein indicate a 2.98% prevalence of rotavirus infection in ruminant species and a new virus strain G3[P11] in cattle, revealing the diversity of rotavirus strains in the animal population in the dispersed agricultural zones of Yobe state, Nigeria. This could be a pointer to potentials for genetic reassortment among rotavirus strains circulating in the state and other public health and economic implications, thus highlighting the urgent need for continuous surveillance, awareness campaign, and measures to control the infection spread.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the management and staff of the Department of Veterinary Public Health and Microbiology Laboratories, Usmanu Danfodiyo University Sokoto, Nigeria, for their kind assistance during the sample analysis.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

The manuscript does not contain clinical studies or patient data.

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# References

- Adah, M. I., Rohwedder, A. Olaleye, O. D. and Werchau, H., 1997b. Nigerian rotavirus serotype G8 could not be typed by PCR due to nucleotide mutation at the 3\_ end of the primer binding site, Archives of Virology, 142,1881–1887.
- Adah, M.I., Rohwedder, A., Olaleye, O.D., Durojaiye, O.A. and Werchau, H., 1997a. Serotypes of Nigerian rotavirus Strains, Tropical Medicine and International Health, 2(3), 363-370.
- Adah, M.I., Wade, A. and Taniguchi K., 2001. Molecular Epidemiology of Rotaviruses in Nigeria, Detection of Unusual Strains with G2P[6] and G8P[1] Specificities, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 3969– 3975.
- Adah, M.I., Jaji, Z., Agwazim, B.F., El-yuguda, A.D. and Mani, A.U., 2002. Detection of human rotavirus in faeces from diarrhoeic calves in north-east Nigeria, Tropical Animal Health and Production, 34, 1-6.
- Alkali, B.R., Daneji, A.I., Magaji, Magaji, A.A., Bilbis, L.S. and Bande, F., 2016. Molecular characterization of human rotavirus from children with diarrheal disease in Sokoto state, Nigeria, Molecular Biology International Volume 2016, article I. D 1876065, 9 pages. Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
- Alkali, B.R., Daneji A.I., Magaji AA, Ibis, L.S. and Yabo Y.A., 2017. Molecular detection of animal rotaviruses in Sokoto, Nigeria. Scholarly Journal of Biological Science, 6 (1): 1-5.
- Aminu, M., Ahmad, A.A., Umoh, J.U., DeWar, J., Esona, M.D. and Steele, A.D., 2008. Epidemiology of rotavirus infection in Northwestern Nigeria, Journal of Tropical Pediatrics, 54-(5), 340-342.
- Aminu, M., Page, N. A., Ahmad, A. A., Umoh, J. U., Dewar, J. and Steele, A. D., 2010. Diversity of Rotavirus VP7 and VP4 Genotypes in Northwestern Nigeria, Journal of Infectious Diseases, 202(S1), S198–S204
- Aminu, M., Amupitan, E., Umoh, J.U., Dzikwi, A. and Esona, M.D., 2014. Detection of rotavirus antigens from calves in Zaria, Nigeria,' Eleventh international rotavirus symposium, held on 3-5 September, New Delhi, India.
- Andrej, S., Mateja, P., Darja, B.M. and Jozica, M., 2008. Human, porcine and bovine rotaviruses in Slovenia: evidence of interspecies transmission and genome reassortment, Journal of General Virology, 89, 1690-1698.

- Ayuba, H.K., 2011. Baseline study on livestock-wildlife environment interface in the Nigerian sector of the Lake Chad basin, Being an IUCN consultancy Project undertaken, 9-17.
- Banerjee, G.C., 1998. A textbook of animal husbandry, (Oxford and IBH publishing company Pvt limited, 113B Shahpur Jat, Asian games village side, New Delhi 11004, India)
- Casburn, G., 2016. How to tell the age of sheep, Primefact 1481, second edition, (Department of Primary Industries, Wagga, Wagga, New South Wales, UK), 1-2.
- Cunliffe, N.A., Kilgore, P.E. and Bresee, J.S., 1999. Epidemiology of rotavirus diarrhea in Africa: a review to assess the need for rotavirus immunization, Bulletin of World Health Organization, 76, 525-537.
- Dash, S.K., Tewari, A., Kumar, K., Goel, A. and Bhatia, A.K., 2011. Detection of rotavirus from diarrhoeic cow calves in Mathura, India, Veterinary World, 4 (12), 554-556.
- De Grazia, S.,Bonura, F., Colomba, C., Cascio, A., Di Bernardo, F., Collura, A.,Terranova, D.M., Martella, V.,GiammancoG.M., 2014.Data mining from a 27-years rotavirus surveillance in Palermo, Italy. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, S1567-1348(14)00083-5
- Desselberger, U. and Huppertz, H.I. (2011). Immune response to rotavirus infection and vaccination and associated correlates of protection, Journal of Infectious Diseases, 203(2), 188-195
- Dzikwi, A.A., Umoh, J.U., Kwaga, J.K.P., Ahmad, A.A., deBeer, M. and Steele, A. D. 2008. Electropherotypes and subgroups of group a rotaviruses circulating among diarrhoeic children in Kano, Nigeria, Annals of African Medicine 7 (4), 163 – 167.
- Esona, M.D., Mijatopic-Rustempasic, S., Confardy C., Tong, S., Kuzmin, I.V., Agwanda., Breiman, R.F., Banyai K., Niezgoda M., Rupprecht C.E., Gentsch J.R. and Bowen M.D., 2010. Reassortant group A rotavirus from straw-colored fruit bat (Eidolon helvum), Emerging Infectious Disease, 16, 1844-1852.
- Estes, M. and Kapikian A., 2007. Rotaviruses,' In knipe, D., Howley, P., Griffin, D., Lamo, R., Martin, M., Roizman, B., Straus, S., Eds: Fields' Virology. Wolters kluwer Health, Lippincort Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, 1917 – 1974.
- FAO, 2000. FAO animal health manual 10' manual on participatory epidemiology method for the collection of action-oriented epidemiological intelligence, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Accessed on 26/07/2016 at hhpp://www.fao. org/ .../livestock\_statistics\_concept
- Fenner, J.F., Paul, E., Gibbs, J., Murphy, F.A., Rott, R., Studart, M.J. and White D.O., 1993. Veterinary virology, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press Inc., U.S.A. 538, 550-552.
- Fischer, T.K., Page, N.A, Griffin, D.D., Eugen-Olsen, J., Pedersen, A.G., Valentiner-Branth, P., Molbak K., Sommerfelt, H. and Neilsen N.M., 2003. Characterization of incompletely typed rotavirus strains from Guinea-Bissau: identification of G8 and G9 types and a high frequency of mixed infections, Journal of Virology, 311,125–133.
- Gentsch, J.R., Laird, A.R., Bielfeld, B., Griffin, D.D., Banyai, K., Ramachandran, M., Virek J., Nigel A.C., Nakagomi C., Kirkwood C.P., Fisher T.K., Parashar U.D., Bresse J.S., Jiang, B. and Glass R.I., 2005. Serotype diversity and reassortment between human and animal rotavirus strains: implications for rotavirus vaccine programs, Journal of Infectious Diseases, 192, 146–159.
- Gouvea, V., and Brantly, M., 1995. Is rotavirus a population of reassortants? Trends in Microbiology, 3, 150–162.
- Gouvea, V., Glass, R.I., Woods, P., Taniguchi, K., Clark, H.F., Forrester, B. and Fang, Z.Y., 1990. Polymerase chain reaction amplification and typing of rotavirus nucleic acids from stool specimens, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 28, 278-282.
- Grassi, T., Bagordo, F., Idolo, A., Lugoli, F.,Gabutti, G. and De Donno, A., 2009. Rotavirus detection in environmental water samples by tangential flow ultrafiltration and RT-nested PCR, Environ. Monit. Assess, 1661-1669.

- Halstead, F., Lee, A.V., Couto-padara, X., Polley, S.D., Ling, C., Jenkins, C., Rachel M Chalmers, Kristin Elwin, Gray J. J., Iturriza-gómara M., Wain J., Clark D.A., Bolton F.J., Manuel R.J. and Olympics G.I. group 2013. Universal extraction method for gastrointestinal pathogens, Journal of Medical Microbiology, 62, 1535-1539.
- Herring, A.J., Inglis, N.T., Ojeh, C.K., Snodgrass, D.R. and Menzies, J. D., 1982. Rapid diagnosis of rotavirus infection by direct detection of viral nucleic acid in silver stained polyacrylamide gels, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 16, 473-477.
- Jere, K.C., Mlera, L., Page, N.A., van Dijk, A.A., O'Neill, H.G., 2011. Whole genome analysis of multiple rotavirus strains from a single stool specimen using sequence-independent amplification and 454® pyrosequencing reveals evidence of intergenotype genome segment recombination. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 11 (8), 2072-2082
- Junaid, S.A., Umeh, C., Olabode, A.O. and Banda, J.M., 2011. Incidence of rotavirus infection in children with gastroenteritis attending Jos University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria, Journal of Virology, 8, 233: 1-12.
- Kapikian, A.Z. and Chanock, R.M., 1996. Rotaviruses, In: Fields virology. Fields BN, Knipe DM, Howley PM, Chanock RM, Melnick JL, Monath TP, Roizman B, Stanus (eds). Vol. II 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Philadelpia: Lippincostt-Raven; 1657-1708.
- Khamrin, P., Maneekarn, N., Peerakome, S., Chan-it, W., Yagyu, F., Okitsu, S. Ushijima, H., 2006, 'Molecular characterization of a rare G3P[3] human rotavirus reassortant strain reveals evidence for multiple human-animal interspecies transmissions, Journal of Medical Virology 78, 986–994.
- Khamrin, P., Maneekarn, N., Peerakome, S., Chan-it, W., Yagyu, F., Okitsu, S. and Ushijima H., 2007. Novel porcine rotavirus of genotype P[27] shares new phylogenetic lineage with G2 porcine rotavirus strain, Journal of Virology, 361, 243–252.
- Kobayashi, N., Ishino, M., Wang, Y-H., Chawla-Sarkar, M., Krishnan, T., and Naik, T.N. 2007. Diversity of G-type and P-type of human and animal rotaviruses and its genetic background, Communicating Current Research and Educational Topics and Trends in Applied Microbiology, 847-858.
- Kojima, K., Taniguchi, K., Kawagishi-Kobayashi, M., Matsuno, S. Urasawa, S., 2000. Rearrangement generated in double genes, NSP1 and NSP3, of viable progenies from a human rotavirus strain. Virus Research, 67 (2), 163-171
- Luchs, A., and Timenetsky, M.DOC., 2014. G8P[6] rotaviruses isolated from Amerindian children in Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, during 2009, close relationship of the G and P genes with those of bovine and bat strains. Journal of General Virology, 95 (Pt 3), 627-641
- Manuja, B., Minakshi, K., Manuja, A., Gulat, B.R. and Prasad, G., 2008. A novel genomic constellation (G10P[3]) of group A rotavirus detected from buffalo calves in Northern India, Virus Research, 138, 36-42
- Matthijnssens, J., and Van Ranst, M., 2012. Genotype constellation and evolution of group A rotaviruses infecting humans, Current Opinion in Virology, 2 (4), 426-433
- MAWR, 2008. Report of the ministry of agriculture and water resources. In Anonymous, (2008). Proceedings of the Yobe state economic summit; edited by Ngama Y. L, Bunu Z and Saidu S.A. (Published by spectrum books limited, spectrum house, ring road, Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria in association with safari books (export) limited, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, 17 bond street, st Helier Jersey JE2 3NP, Channel Islands United kingdom), 37-64.
- Midgley, S.E., Banyai, K., Buesa, J., Halaihel, N., Hjulsager, C.K., Jakab, F.J., Kaplon, J., Larsen Le, Monini M., Poljšak-Prijatelj, M., Pothier, P., Ruggeri, F.M., Steyer, A., Koopmans, M. and Bottiger, B., 2012. Diversity and zoonotie potential of rotaviruses in swine and cattle across Europe, Veterinary Microbiology, 156(3-4), 238-245.

- Mitchell, T., 2003. How to tell the age of goats,' (AGFACTS publication of division of animal production, Dubbo, New South Wales, UK), 1-2.
- Miyazaki, A. Kuga, K. Suzuki, T. Kohmoto, M. Katsuda, K. and Tsunemitsu, H., 2011 Genetic diversity of group A rotaviruses associated with repeated outbreaks of diarrhea in a farrow-to-finish farm: identification of a porcine rotavirus strain bearing a novel VP7 genotype, G26. Veterinary Research 42 (1):112
- Mwenda, J.M., Ntoto, K.M., Abebe, A., Enweronu-Laryea, C., Amina, I., Mchomvu, J., Kisakye, A., Mpabalwani, E.M., Pazvakavambwa, I., Armah, G.E., Seheri, L.M., Kiulia, N.M., Page N., Widdowson, M.A. and Steele A.D., 2010. Burden and epidemiology of rotavirus diarrhea in selected African countries; preliminary results from the African rotavirus surveillance network, Journal of Infectious Diseases, 202 Supplemary (1), 5-11.
- Nimzing, L., Geyer, A., Sebata, T. and Steele A.D. 2000. Epidemiology of adenoviruses and rotaviruses identified in young children in Jos, Nigeria, South Africa Journal of Epidemiology and Infections, 15, 40-42.
- Oruonye, E.D., 2009. Geographical aspects of Yobe state of Nigeria, (Published by Afab educational books, Shukura house, katako junction, Jos, Plateau state, Nigeria), 45-69.
- Putt, S.N.H., Shaw, A.P.M., Woods, A.J., Tyler L. and James, A.D. 1987. Veterinary epidemiology and economics in Africa': A manual for use in the design and appraisal of livestock health policy (Department of Agriculture, University of Reading, ILCA Manual No.3, Berkshire, Reading, England), 27-45.
- Rahman, M., Matthijnssens J. and Nahar S., 2005. Characterization of a novel P [25], G11 human group a rotavirus, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43, 3208- 3212.
- Santos, N., Lima, R.C., Nozawa, C.M., Linhares, R.E. and Gouvea, V., 1999. Detection of porcine rotavirus type G9 and of a mixture of types G1 and G5 associated with Wa-like VP4 specificity: evidence for natural human – porcine genetic reassortment, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 37, 2734 -2736.
- Sarma D.K., 2009. 'In: A Rotavirus infections in bovine and swine,' Virology and viral diseases, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition; 295-298, (Kalyan; publishers, B-15, Sector 8, Noida (U.P), New Delhi-110002, India)
- Tate, J.E., Mijatovic-Rustempasic, S., Tam, K.I., Lyde, F.C., Payne, D.C., Zilagyi, P. S. Edwards, K., Staat, M.A., Weinberg, G.A.,

Hall, C.B., Chappell, J., Mcneal, M., Gentsch, J.R., Bowen, M.D. and Parashar, U.D., 2013. Comparison of 2 Assays for Diagnosing Rotavirus And Evaluating Vaccine Effectiveness in Children With Gastroenteritis, Emerging Infectious Diseases, 19, 1245–1252.

- Thrusfield M., 1997. 'Veterinary Epidemiology, 2<sup>nd</sup>edition, Blackwell, 18CowleyRoad, Oxford, 183-187.
- Tyler, K.L., and Fields B.N.,1990. Pathogenesis of viral infection. In: Fields, BN. Knipe DM, editors. Fields Virology 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (Raven Press, New York) 191-239
- USDA, 2016, 'Using dentition to age cattle. Accessible at http://www.fsis. usda.gov/about\_FSIS/Technical\_Service\_Centre/idex. Last modified on 23/03/2015. Accessed 9/03/2016
- Van der Heidel, R., Koopmans, M.P.G., Shekary, N., Houwers, D.J., Van Duynhoven, Y. T. H. P. and Van der Poel, W.H.M. 2005. Molecular Characterizations of Human and Animal Group A Rotaviruses in The Netherlands, Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 43, 2669-2675.
- Waggie, Z., Hawkridge, A. and Hussey, G.D., 2010. Review of rotavirus studies in Africa: 1976- 2006, Journal of Infectious Diseases, 202 (Supplementary), 23 -33.
- WHO, 2009a. Diarrhoea: why children are still dying and what can be done. United Nations Children Fund (UNICEF)/World Health Organization (WHO), WHO press, World Health Organization,20 Avenue Appia,1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. 9.
- WHO, 2009b. Manual of rotavirus detection and characterization methods, Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals, WHO/IVB/ 08.17.
- WHO, 2018. Nigeria to avert over 160,000 deaths in children yearly, with introduction of rotavirus vaccine into immunization schedule https: www.afro.who.int/news/nigeria\_avert, Accessed on 17<sup>th</sup> February, 2020.
- Yilmaz, V., Timurkan, M.O., Coskun, N. and Yildirim, Y., 2017. Investigation of Rotavirus infection in sheep using serological and molecular techniques, Indian Journal of Animal Research, 51(3), 525-530

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.