# Catalytic activity of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> in hydrodesulfurization, hydrodenitrogenation and hydrogenation reactions

Ching Thian Tye and Kevin J. Smith\*

Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of British Columbia, 2360 East Mall, V6T 1Z3 Vancouver, BC, Canada

The activity of exfoliated  $MoS_2$  in the hydrodesulfurization (HDS) of dibenzothiophene, the hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) of carbazole and the hydrogenation of naphthalene has been determined. The catalytic activity was compared to  $MoS_2$  prepared by the decomposition of molybdenum naphthenate (MoNaph). Exfoliated  $MoS_2$  was found to give better overall HDS activity compared to  $MoS_2$  catalyst, whereas MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  was found to give higher hydrogenation and HDN activity. These results are discussed in terms of the morphology of the two catalysts. The relative activity of the two catalysts in the hydrotreating reactions is shown to be different to that obtained during Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking.

KEY WORDS: exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>; catalyst; hydrodesulfurization; hydrodenitrogenation; hydrogenation

# 1. Introduction

MoS<sub>2</sub> supported on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and promoted with Co or Ni is widely used as a catalyst in petroleum hydroprocessing. Model catalyst studies using unsupported MoS<sub>2</sub> to eliminate support or promoter effects [1–4] in hydrodesulfurization [1,2,4–7] have been reported. Unsupported MoS<sub>2</sub> is also an important catalyst in heavy oil hydrocracking [8]. The effects of rapid catalyst deactivation by coke and metals deposition during hydrocracking can be minimized by performing the reaction in a slurry reactor using small particles of MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersed in the heavy oil [8–11]. High levels of catalyst dispersion, and hence activity, can usually be achieved by introducing water- or oil-soluble catalyst precursors to the feed that decompose and form the active metal sulfide *in situ* [12].

The activity of  $MoS_2$  in both hydroprocessing and hydrotreating reactions is dependent upon the morphology of the catalyst and a number of studies have reported on structure-activity relationships for  $MoS_2$ catalysts [13–15]. In previous work, we investigated exfoliated  $MoS_2$  as a catalyst for Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking in the slurry phase [16]. Bulk  $MoS_2$  was exfoliated into single layer platelets held in suspension using a modified version of the method reported by Joensen *et al.* [17]. The study showed that exfoliated  $MoS_2$  had a low coke yield that was comparable to  $MoS_2$  prepared by *in situ* decomposition of molybdenum naphthenate (MoNaph) [16]. Furthermore, the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  had significantly higher activity for nitrogen and metals removal, as well as microcarbon

E-mail: kjs@interchange.ubc.ca

residue (MCR) conversion compared to the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph [16].

Del Valle et al. [18] reported a 3.5-fold increase in thiophene HDS activity of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> compared to crystalline  $MoS_2$ . It was suggested that the increase in catalyst activity was due to the increase in catalytically active edge sites generated by exfoliation. Recently, the exfoliation method was also used to prepare single layered  $MoS_2$  supported on alumina. The exfoliated catalyst was compared with  $MoS_2/Al_2O_3$ , prepared by wet impregnation, for the HDS of thiophene and tetrahydrothiophene [19]. With the same Mo loading, similar activity and selectivity were observed. A similar conclusion was made by Kochubey *et al.* [20]. Bockrath and Parfitt [21,22] tested the hydrogenation (HYD) activity of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> catalysts in coal liquefaction and residue upgrading [21,22]. For Hondo residue upgrading, no significant difference in hydrodesulfurization (HDS) and hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) activity between the exfoliated and original MoS<sub>2</sub> was observed [21].

In the present study we have extended the comparison between exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and dispersed  $MoS_2$  prepared by decomposition of molybdenum naphthenate, and have determined the activity of both catalysts for hydrodesulfurization (HDS), hydrodenitrogenation (HDN) and hydrogenation (HYD) reactions separately, using single model reactants. The results are compared to data obtained previously [16] on the same catalysts during Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking.

## 2. Experimental

The catalysts used herein were characterized by BET specific surface area, X-ray diffraction, energy dispersive

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.

X-ray emission and transmission electron microscopy. Characterization procedures have been reported in detail elsewhere [23,24].

Dispersed MoS<sub>2</sub> was prepared by *in situ* decomposition of molybdenum naphthenate (ICN Biomedicals Inc.). The molybdenum naphthenate (MoNaph) was mixed with the reactant and *n*-hexadecane solvent, and placed in the reactor described below. The reactor was heated to 350 °C in an H<sub>2</sub>(95%)/H<sub>2</sub>S(5%) atmosphere and during this period MoS<sub>2</sub> was produced *in situ* by the decomposition of the MoNaph and reaction with H<sub>2</sub>S.

Exfoliated catalyst was prepared under argon atmosphere in a glove box using a modified method of Joensen et al. [17]. MoS<sub>2</sub> powder was soaked in hexane containing *n*-butyllithium in excess (Li : Mo ratio > 1). The suspension was sealed in a sample bottle and left to stand for at least 72 h so that all the Li was intercalated into the MoS<sub>2</sub>. The intercalated MoS<sub>2</sub> settled to the bottom and the top layer of solution was decanted. The Li-intercalated  $MoS_2$  was subsequently exposed to water and sonicated for 30 min followed by 30 min of stirring. Excess *n*-butyllithium was removed by a series of washing steps in which the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  was separated by centrifuge and re-dispersed in water. The washing process was repeated until the solution pH reached 7. Finally, the washing process was repeated three more times, replacing water with isopropanol and isopropanol with decalin. The exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersion was adjusted to 2 wt% of MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersed in decalin.

Reactions were carried out in a 300 mL Autoclave Engineers stirred, batch reactor loaded with 100 mL of *n*-hexadecane and 600 ppmw Mo equivalent of the MoS<sub>2</sub> catalyst. An amount of the single model reactant was mixed with the n-hexadecane solvent for each reaction to yield 0.5 wt% dibenzothiophene (DBT) for HDS, 0.26 wt% carbazole for HDN and 10 wt% naphthalene for the hydrogenation reaction. The reactor was pressurized with  $H_2(95\%)/H_2S(5\%)$  to 2.8 MPa at room temperature and stirred at 1200 rpm, and then heated at a rate of 10 °C/min to the desired reaction temperature (325–375 °C). The reaction proceeded at this temperature for 5 h. During the reaction, liquid samples (3–5 mL) were withdrawn at 30 min intervals for the first 2 h then at 1 h intervals for the remaining 3 h. After reaction, the solids present in the reactor were recovered by filtration, washed with pentane, vacuum dried for 3 h at 100 °C and dried further at 160 °C for 2 h before characterization.

Liquid samples were analyzed with a Shimadzu gas chromatograph (model GC-14A) using a flame ionization detector (FID) fitted with a capillary column (AT-5, 30 m×0.32 mm×0.25 µm) operating at an initial temperature of 60 °C, ramping to 170 °C at 5 °C/min, followed by 10 °C/min to 280 °C. The identities of the products were determined by comparison with pure reference samples and by GC/MS analysis of selected liquid samples. The carbon mole balance for all experiments was within  $\pm 5\%$ . The calculations of conversion (100×((initial-residual)/initial concentration) of dibenzothiophene, carbazole, and naphthalene were based on product analysis, assuming a 100% mole balance. Furthermore, the activity for each reaction was calculated using the following definitions:

HDS,  $\% = 100 \times (\text{moles of desulfurized product})/(\text{initial moles of DBT})$ 

- HDN,  $\% = 100 \times (\text{moles of denitrogenated product})/$  (initial moles of carbazole)
- HYD,  $\% = 100 \times (\text{moles of hydrogenated product})/$  (initial moles of naphthalene)

# 3. Results and discussion

The properties of the two  $MoS_2$  catalysts studied in the present work are summarized in table 1. The data show that the morphology of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  was very different to the  $MoS_2$  obtained from decomposition of the molybdenum naphthenate. The exfoliated  $MoS_2$ had an average nine layers of  $MoS_2$  in a large sheet morphology (0.40 µm) compared to the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph that had a slab length of approximately 10 nm with 1 to 2 layers of  $MoS_2$  in the crystallites. Consequently, the fraction of Mo atoms on the edge planes of the  $MoS_2$  crystallites was calculated as 0.3% in the case of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and 9.7% in the case of the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph.

#### 3.1. HDS of dibenzothiophene

Table 2 compares the HDS activity of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  catalyst with the  $MoS_2$  prepared by the decomposition of molybdenum naphthenate, and figure 1

Table 1							
Characterization	of exfoliated	$MoS_2$ and	MoNaph	derived	$MoS_2$		

Catalyst	BET specific surface area, $m^2/g$	Slab length <sup>+</sup> , nm	Stack height <sup>++</sup> , nm	Number of layers
Exfoliated MoS <sub>2</sub>	7.8	400	5.5	9
MoNaph derived MoS <sub>2</sub>	253	10	1.8 (0.8*)	2.8 (1.3*)

<sup>+</sup> Slab length: lateral dimension of MoS<sub>2</sub> particle, determined from XRD.

<sup>++</sup>Stack height: MoS<sub>2</sub> particle size perpendicular to (002) plane, determined from XRD.

\*Determined from TEM [23, 24].

Table 2 Comparison of the catalytic activity of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub> prepared from MoNaph, at 350 °C and 2.8 MPa after 5 h reaction

	Exfoliated MoS <sub>2</sub>	MoS <sub>2</sub> prepared from MoNaph
Dibenzothiophene		
Conversion, %	35.3	15.9
HDS, %	25.8	3.3
k, mL/(g Mo s)	$7.24 \pm 1.32$	$2.94\pm0.64$
$E_{\rm app},  \rm kJ/mol$	186	149
Carbazole		
Conversion, %	32.6	37.7
HDN, %	20.4	30.4
k, mL/(g Mo s) $\times 10^2$	$7.57\pm0.42$	$8.92\pm0.37$
$E_{\rm app},{\rm kJ/mol}$	83	51
Naphthalene		
Conversion, %	27.0	35.8
k, mL/(g Mo s)×10 <sup>2</sup>	$5.74\pm0.96$	$7.68 \pm 1.20$
$E_{\rm app},{\rm kJ/mol}$	99	86

 $E_{\text{app}}$ : Apparent activation energy with error  $\pm 10\%$ .

k: rate constant with 95% confidence limit.

compares the HDS activity as a function of dibenzothiophene (DBT) conversion obtained over the two catalysts. After 5 h reaction at 350 °C, the DBT conversions were 35.3% and 15.9% on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$ and the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$ , respectively. The HDS activity was higher on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  compared to the  $MoS_2$  prepared from MoNaph over a range of DBT conversions (figure 1). Furthermore, the first order rate constant k, mL/(g Mo s) for DBT conversion and the estimated apparent activation energy of the rate constant, were higher on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  catalyst than on the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph.

The products of DBT reaction were tetrahydrodibenzothiophene (THDBT), biphenyl (BP), cyclohexyl-



Figure 1. HDS versus conversion of DBT for exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  at 350 °C and 2.8 MPa. (HDS, %:  $100 \times (moles of desulfurized product)/(initial moles of DBT); — trend line.$ 

benzene (CHB), and bicyclohexyl (BCH) as shown in figure 2. Biphenyl (BP) is a product of direct desulfurization (DDS) of DBT whereas THDBT, CHB and BCH are products of hydrogenation. The product distributions for the two catalysts are compared as a function of DBT conversion in figure 3.

The products with exfoliated  $MoS_2$  were BP, THDBT, CHB and BCH, with BP being greater than THDBT over the range of conversions. The major product with  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph, was THDBT, with BP and CHB being produced in much lower quantities. These data show that  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph favors the HDS of DBT through the hydrogenation of one of the DBT rings to yield THDBT, followed by hydrogenolysis, whereas on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$ , HDS of DBT proceeded through both the direct desulfurization and the hydrogenation routes.

# 3.2. HDN of carbazole

The activities of exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and the  $MoS_2$ derived from MoNaph for the HDN of carbazole are compared in table 2 and figures 4 and 5. After 5 h reaction at 350 °C, the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  gave a higher carbazole conversion (37.7%) and HDN (mole % defined as the total mole of denitrogenated products divided by total mole of carbazole fed to the reactor) (30.4%) compared to the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  (32.6% and 20.4%, respectively). The estimated apparent activation energy for the carbazole conversion first order rate constant was also significantly lower on the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  (51 kJ/mol) compared to that obtained on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  (83 kJ/mol).

The product yields as a function of carbazole conversion are reported in figure 5 for both catalysts and the data show that both catalysts had a similar trend in product yield versus carbazole conversion. The overall reaction pathway for HDN of carbazole proposed by Nagai et al. [25,26] is shown in the simplified reaction scheme of figure 6. The hydrogenated nitrogen product tetrahydrocarbazole (THCBZ) was detected in significant quantities. Successive hydrogenated carbazole compounds such as hexahydrocarbazole, octahydrocarbazole and perhydrocarbazole were not detected under the current operating conditions due to their high reactivity, in agreement with the work of Nagai et al. [25]. The denitrogenation products are cyclohexylbenzene (CHB), cyclohexyl-cyclohexene (CHCHe) and bicyclohexyl (BCH). In addition, there were some side reactions such as isomerization of BCH to hexylcyclohexane (HCH) and cyclopentylmethyl-cyclohexane (CPMCH). Hydrocracking of one of the saturated rings in BCH resulted in the formation of HCH. These isomerization products were also reported in HDN of carbazole over bulk molybdenum carbide in the presence of 50 ppm sulfur in the feed at 360 °C and 6 MPa [27].



Figure 2. Reaction network of dibenzothiophene.



Figure 3. Product yield versus conversion of DBT at 350 °C and 2.8 MPa for (a) exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and (b) MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> (product yield, %: 100×(the mole of product divided by initial moles of DBT)); — trend line.

After a 5 h batch reaction at 350 °C over MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$ , the major products obtained were HCH (11 mol%) and CPMCH (10 mol%), followed by THCBZ (9 mol%), BCH (6 mol%), CHB (1 mol%) and CHCHe (1 mol%). For HDN of carbazole over the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, the products obtained after 5 h reaction were in order THCBZ (13 mol%), CPMCH (7 mol%), HCH (6 mol%), BCH (5 mol%), CHB (2 mol%) and CHCHe (1 mol%). Note that biphenyl (BP) was not detected in the reaction products and a similar observation has been made over Mo<sub>2</sub>C catalyst [27], and nitrided and sulfided Mo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [28,29]. The mechanism in figure 6 shows that the hydrogenation of carbazole is required before C-N bond hydrogenolysis can occur regardless of the type of catalyst. Direct denitrogenation through hydrogenolysis was not observed with carbazole over the two  $MoS_2$  catalysts.

## 3.3. Hydrogenation of naphthalene

Naphthalene is hydrogenated to tetralin and decalin, sequentially. Table 2 summarizes the results of

naphthalene hydrogenation using exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub> derived from MoNaph. The MoS<sub>2</sub> derived from MoNaph gave a higher conversion (35.8%) after 5 h reaction at 350 °C compared to the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> (27.0%). Similarly the first order rate constant for naphthalene conversion was greater on the MoS<sub>2</sub> derived from MoNaph  $(7.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mL/g Mo s})$  compared to the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> ( $5.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mL/g Mo s})$ . Both catalysts produced tetralin with little decalin (<1 mol%) (figure 7).

 $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph had been previously reported for the hydrogenation of naphthalene in the presence of sulfur, with high concentration of catalyst (3000 ppm Mo) and reaction conditions relevant to coal liquefaction (380 °C, 18.6 MPa) in a batch micro-reactor [30]. It was reported that MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$ promoted partial saturation of the multiring aromatic to hydroaromatic species but did not promote further saturation. The catalytic reaction using MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  produced nearly complete naphthalene conversion, yielding tetralin as the primary product (92%) and a small amount of decalin (5%) compared to



Figure 4. HDN as a function of carbazole conversion at 350 °C and 2.8 MPa. (HDN, % : 100×(moles of denitrogenated product)/(initial moles of carbazole)); — trend line.

 $Ni-MoS_2/Al_2O_3$  that produced 9.5% tetralin and 86.6% of decalin [30]. The results with  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph are in agreement with the results from the present study.

## 3.4. Catalyst comparison

The performance of the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  and that of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  in HDS, HDN and hydrogenation reactions using single model reactants, shows that the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph had at 350 °C a slightly higher activity for hydrogenation reactions compared to the exfoliated  $MoS_2$ . The naphthalene conversion was higher on the MoNaph derived catalyst than the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and the same trend was observed in the HDN of carbazole. In the latter case, C–N hydrogenolysis only occurred after hydrogenation of an aromatic ring and since the hydrogenation reaction was favored on the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$ , HDN conversion was higher on this catalyst compared to the exfoliated  $MoS_2$ .

The exfoliated  $MoS_2$ , however, had a significantly higher HDS of DBT activity than the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph. DBT conversion occurs through two parallel reaction paths: direct desulfurization or hydrogenation of the aromatic ring followed by C–S hydrogenolysis. Since the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  had a high activity for the direct desulfurization of DBT to yield BP, compared to the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  that yielded THDBT, the former catalyst had overall higher HDS activity. Although carbazole has the same skeletal structure as DBT, nitrogen removal only occurs after at least one of the adjacent rings has been hydrogenated.

The comparison of the same catalysts for Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking at 415 °C and 600 ppmw Mo has been reported previously [16]. In [16], exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, dispersed in water rather than decalin, had a higher removal of S, N, MCR (microcarbon in the residue) and asphaltenes, than the MoS<sub>2</sub> derived from MoNaph. The effect of dispersing solvent on the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> in Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking was also examined previously, at a Mo concentration of 900 ppmw [16]. A higher S, N and asphaltene removal was obtained when the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  was dispersed in decalin rather than water. Consequently, we can conclude that exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> dispersed in decalin would give better performance in Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking at 415 °C and 600 ppmw Mo, than MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub>. In hydrocracking, catalyst performance is associated with the ability of the catalyst to transfer hydrogen to prevent condensation among carbon radicals.

The performance of the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  is associated with its morphology. In the case of single model compound reactants, the difference in catalyst performance follows previous suggestions that the hydrogenation occurs preferentially on rim-edge sites [1]. Knowing the crystallite dimensions (table 1) the fraction of rim or edge sites can be calculated using the geometric



Figure 5. Products yield versus conversion of carbazole at 350  $^{\circ}$ C and 2.8 MPa for (a) exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, and (b) MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> (product yield %: 100×(the mole of product divided by initial moles of carbazole)); — trend line.



Figure 6. Simplified reaction network for carbazole.



Figure 7. Tetralin yield versus conversion of naphthalene at 350 °C and 2.8 MPa for exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, and MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> (tetralin yield, %:  $100 \times$  (the mole of tetralin divided by initial moles of naphthalene)); — trend line.

arguments of Kasztelan [31]. Since the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  morphology yields a greater fraction of rim-edge sites (93%) than the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  (22%), the former catalyst has higher HDN and hydrogenation activity [23,24]. Hydrogenolysis of C–S occurs preferentially on edge sites and since the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  has a greater fraction of edge sites, this catalyst had higher HDS activity than the MoNaph derived  $MoS_2$  [23,24]. However, the differences in the catalyst performance during hydrocracking are more complex. In this case, thermal reactions dominate and hydrogen transfer to cap free radicals becomes important. The results from [16] and the present study show that the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  is better

suited for this Cold Lake hydrocracking probably because of a combination of hydrogenolysis and hydrogen transfer capability. Although the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  had lower HDN activity than the  $MoS_2$  derived from MoNaph in the case of carbazole as reactant, at higher temperature with Cold Lake bitumen, N removal occurred to a higher degree on the exfoliated  $MoS_2$  [16].

#### 4. Conclusion

The catalyst activities of exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and that of MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> were compared for the HDS of dibenzothiophene, the HDN of carbazole and the hydrogenation of naphthalene. The results provide a quantitative comparison between exfoliated  $MoS_2$  and MoS<sub>2</sub> prepared from MoNaph. Exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> was found to give better overall HDS compared to MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> catalyst, whereas MoNaph derived MoS<sub>2</sub> was found to give higher hydrogenation and HDN activity. These results are a consequence of the morphology of the two catalysts and the fraction of edge sites present in the crystallites. In the case of Cold Lake bitumen hydrocracking, however, the relative activity of the two catalysts is shown to be different, and the higher S, N and MCR removal of the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> compared to MoS<sub>2</sub> prepared from MoNaph, is likely a consequence of a combination of high hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation activity on this catalyst.

# Acknowledgment

Funding from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and Lightyear Inc., is gratefully acknowledged. Tye C.T. acknowledges a fellowship support from Universiti Sains Malaysia.

#### References

- [1] M. Daage and R.R. Chianelli, J. Catal. 149 (1994) 414.
- [2] Y. Iwata, K. Sato, T. Yoneda, Y. Miki, Y. Sugimoto, A. Nishijima and H. Shimada, Catal. Today 45 (1998) 353.
- [3] Y. Iwata, Y. Araki, K. Honna, Y. Miki, K. Sato and H. Shimada, Catal. Today 65 (2001) 335.
- [4] H. Farag, K. Sakanishi, M. Kouzu, A. Matsumura, Y. Sugimoto and I. Salto, J. Mol. Catal. A 206 (2003) 399.
- [5] H. Farag, K. Sakanishi, M. Kouzu, A. Matsumura, Y. Sugimoto and I. Saito, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42 (2003) 306.
- [6] M. Del Valle, J. Cruz-Reyes, M. Avalos-Borja and S. Fuentes, Catal. Lett. 54 (1998) 59.
- [7] E. Devers, P. Afanasiev, B. Jouguet and M. Vrinat, Catal. Lett. 82 (2002) 13.
- [8] A. Del Bianco, N. Panariti and M. Marchionna, Chemtech 25 (1995) 35.
- [9] A. Del Bianco, N. Panariti, S. Dicarlo, J. Elmouchnino, B. Fixari and P. Leperchec, Appl. Catal. A 94 (1993) 1.
- [10] R. Lott, L.K. Lee, Proceedings of AIChE Spring National Meeting (AIChE, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 2003) 577.
- [11] L. Kane and S. Romanow, Hydrocarb Process 82 (2003) 32.
- [12] N. Panariti, A. Del Bianco, G. Del Piero and M. Marchionna, Appl. Catal. A 204 (2000) 203.

- [13] E.J.M. Hensen, P.J. Kooyman, Y. van der Meer, A.M. van der Kraan, V.H.J. de Beer, J.A.R. van Veen and R.A. van Santen, J. Catal. 199 (2001) 224.
- [14] H. Schweiger, P. Raybaud, G. Kresse and H. Toulhoat, J. Catal. 207 (2002) 76.
- [15] H. Topsoe and B.S. Clausen, Catal. Rev. -Sci. Eng. 26 (1984) 395.
- [16] C.T. Tye and K.J. Smith, Catal. Lett. 95 (2004) 203.
- [17] P. Joensen, R.F. Frindt and S.R. Morrison, Mater. Res. Bull. 21 (1986) 457.
- [18] M. Del Valle, M. Avalos-Borja, J. Cruz and S. Fuentes, Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 351 (1994) 287.
- [19] W.P. Boone and J.G. Ekerdt, J. Catal. 193 (2000) 96.
- [20] D.I. Kochubei, V.A. Rogov, V.P. Babenko, S.V. Bogdanov and V.I. Zaikovskii, Kinetics Catal. 44 (2003) 135.
- [21] B.C. Bockrath and D.S. Parfitt, Coal Sci. Tech. 24 (1995) 1343.
- [22] B.C. Bockrath and D.S. Parfitt, Catal. Lett. 33 (1995) 201.
- [23] C.T. Tye, PhD thesis, University of British Columbia, (2006).
- [24] C.T. Tye and K.J. Smith, Catal. Today (Accepted).
- [25] M. Nagai, T. Masunaga and N. Hanaoka, Energy Fuels 2 (1988) 645.
- [26] E. Furimsky, Catal. Rev. -Sci. Eng. 47 (2005) 297.
- [27] A. Szymanska, M. Lewandowski, C. Sayag and G. Djega-Mariadassou, J. Catal. 218 (2003) 24.
- [28] F.E. Massoth and S.C. Kim, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42 (2003) 1011.
- [29] M. Nagai, Y. Goto, A. Irisawa and S. Omi, J. Catal. 191 (2000) 128.
- [30] H.G. Kim and C.W. Curtis, Energy Fuels 4 (1990) 206.
- [31] S. Kasztelan, H. Toulhoat, J. Grimblot and J.P. Bonnelle, Appl. Catal. 13 (1984) 127.