

Preparation, characterization and evaluation of a series of heterogeneous platinum catalysts immobilized on magnetic silica with diferent acid ligands

Laiming Li1 · Youxin Li1,2 · Aschenaki Assefa1 · James J. Bao1

Received: 8 May 2019 / Accepted: 31 July 2019 / Published online: 8 August 2019 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

Abstract

Platinum was immobilized on magnetic silica gel by means of boronic, nitric, carboxylic or sulfuric acid ligands to give four heterogeneous Pt nano-catalysts, designated as $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-BA@Pt$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-NA@Pt$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-CA@Pt$ and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt, respectively. Particles of these mono-dispersible Pt catalysts were 10–20 nm in size and could be separated for recycling by means of a magnet. Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt (0.174 mmol/g Pt) showed the best catalytic activity and selectivity, which were better than Speier's catalyst. Its turnover numbers were up to 1.7×10^6 and 1.1×10^6 for hydrosilylation of 1-hexene or styrene, respectively. This material could also catalyze the hydrosilylation of a broad range of alkenes and alkynes as substrates and methyldichlorosilane or triethoxysilane as silanes. Similar yields of 1-hexyl-methyldichlorosilane at the frst and eighth runs (96.5% and 95.2%, respectively), together with a fnal Pt content of 0.171 mmol/g indicated the outstanding stability of $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-BA@Pt$ under the catalytic reaction conditions.

Introduction

Heterogeneous catalysts have several well-known advantages over homogeneous catalysis, including good stability, easy recovery and recycling, and low manufacturing costs [\[1,](#page-8-0) [2](#page-8-1)]. However, their applications in industry remain limited by issues of catalytic efficiency, recycling times and commercial supply $[3]$. Hence, much effort in catalysis research is directed toward obtaining practical and efficient heterogeneous catalysts [[4\]](#page-8-3). In this context, magnetic nanoparticles have attracted much attention for the immobilization of metal catalysts due to their high stability and useful magnetic properties [\[5](#page-8-4)[–7](#page-8-5)]. Magnetic heterogeneous catalysts can often be easily recovered from solution using a magnet, avoiding time- and solvent-consuming recovery procedures.

Thus, magnetic catalysts have much potential in industrial homogeneous catalysis [[8](#page-8-6)]. Magnetic nanoparticles, especially Fe₃O₄ encapsulated with silica (Fe₃O₄@SiO₂), are emerging as interesting supports for the immobilization of metal catalysts [[9](#page-8-7), [10](#page-8-8)]. In contrast to many traditional supports, $Fe₃O₄ @ SiO₂ nanoparticles allow for the distribution$ of active sites throughout the outer surface, which is benefcial for high efficiency in catalytic reactions $[11]$.

Metallic platinum has been widely used as a catalyst in the industrial and laboratory-scale oxidation of toluene, hydrosilylation of alkenes and alkynes, hydrogenolysis of glycerol, decomposition of formaldehyde, sulfuric acid, etc. [[12–](#page-8-10)[17\]](#page-8-11). For example, Karstedt's catalyst and Speier's catalyst can both catalyze hydrosilylation [[18\]](#page-8-12). However, in many cases, the amounts of Pt are low and losses of Pt from the nanoparticles are apparent during recycling, which adversely affect catalytic activity [[19,](#page-8-13) [20](#page-8-14)]. Thus, there is a requirement for novel and efficient heterogeneous catalysts which can obtain comparable activities and selectivities to homogeneous Pt catalysts [[21\]](#page-8-15). Several approaches for the preparation of Pt catalysts on a magnetically retrievable phase have been reported, including a Pt-based magnetically separable catalyst $(Pt/SiO₂/Fe₃O₄)$ modified with cinchonidine [[22](#page-8-16)], $Fe₃O₄/SiO₂$ -Pt/Au/Pd magnetic nanocatalysts with multifunctional hyperbranched polyglycerol amplifers [\[23](#page-8-17)] and platinum impregnated on magnetite [[13](#page-8-18)].

 \boxtimes Youxin Li lyx@tju.edu.cn

¹ Tianjin Key Laboratory for Modern Drug Delivery and High-Efficiency, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering, School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300037, China

School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Tianjin University, Room 412-8, Building No. 24, 92 Weijin Road, Nankai District, Tianjin 300072, China

Nevertheless, a number of drawbacks remain, including high manufacturing costs, poor stability and aggregation to platinum clusters resulting in undesired side reactions, such as isomerization and hydrogenation [[24–](#page-8-19)[26\]](#page-8-20).

In this paper, we report on our efforts to prepare four magnetic heterogeneous Pt catalysts based on magnetic silica nanoparticles with boronic, nitric, sulfuric or carboxylic acid groups, designated as $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - BA@Pt$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-NA@Pt$, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-SA@Pt$ and $Fe_3O_4@$ $SiO₂-CA@Pt$, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous reports of magnetic heterogeneous Pt catalysts immobilized through these acid groups, except for carboxylic acids as reported by our group [[27](#page-8-21), [28](#page-8-22)]. After characterizing the four Pt catalysts, their catalytic stabilities and activities were investigated. The Pt catalyst immobilized through boronic acid proved to be most promising and was further used to catalyze hydrosilylation of a range of alkenes and alkynes as substrates, and methyldichlorosilane or triethoxysilane as silanes.

Experimental section

Reagents and chemicals

Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals were of analytical grade or better. FeSO₄.7H₂O (>99%) was purchased from Tianjin Jiezheng Chemical Trade Co. (Tianjin, China). $Fe₂(SO₄)₃ (> 99%)$ was obtained from Tianjin Yuanli Chemical Co. (Tianjin, China). Ammonium hydroxide (28%, w/w), sodium silicate, hydrochloric acid and methanol were purchased from Tianjin Kemiou Chemical Reagent Co. (Tianjin, China). 3-Glycidyloxypropyltrimethoxysilane (GPTMS) was obtained from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical. Co. (Shanghai, China). 3-Nitroaniline, 3-aminophenylboronic acid monohydrate, 3-aminophenylsufonic acid and 4-aminbenzoic acid were purchased from Shanghai Bid pharmatech Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Chloroplatinic acid $(H_2PtCl_6.6H_2O)$ was purchased from Tianjin Fengchuan Chemical Reagent Technologies Co. (Tianjin, China). Triethoxysilane and methyldichlorosilane were obtained from Zhejiang Kaihua Synthetic Co. (Zhejiang, China). 1-Hexene was obtained from Shanghai Aladdin Biological Technology Co. (Shanghai, China). 1-Hexyl-methyldichlorosilane was purchased from CNW Technologies Co. (Germany). Allyl glycidyl ether, styrene and 5-hexen-2-one were obtained from Ninedinn chemistry Co. (Shanghai, China). Diphenylacetylene, trans-β-methylstyrene, α-methylstyrene, 1-phenyl-1-butyne, allylbenzene, 4-phenyl-1-butene, phenylacetylene and (E)- 4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one were purchased from Beijing Ouhe Technology Co. (Beijing, China). Platinum standard solution was purchased from Beijing Beina Chuanglian Biotechnology Institute (Beijing, China). Distilled water was supplied by Yongyuan Distilled Water Manufacturing Centre (Tianjin, China). All other reagents were obtained from Tianjin Jiangtian Chemical Technology Co. (Tianjin, China).

Instrumentation

Pt contents of these materials were assayed with a HITACHI 180-80 polarized Zeeman atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) (HITACHI, Ltd., Japan). Particle morphology was investigated using a Hitachi S2800 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a JEM-2100HR (JEOL, Japan) transmission electron microscope (TEM) operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. X-ray difraction analysis (XRD) results were obtained on a D/MAX-2500 X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Corporation, Japan), using Cu Kα radiation, operated at 40 kV, 30 mA and room temperature. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were obtained under ultrahigh vacuum (1×10^{-8} Pa) on an UIVAC-PHI 1600 ESCA spectrometer with an Al anode (Al $K\alpha$ = 1486.6 eV). Ultraviolet–visible (UV–Vis) absorption spectra were obtained with a U-3900 ultraviolet–visible spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan). Gas chromatography was carried out with a GC2020 chromatograph equipped with a 30 m capillary column with a stationary phase of 5% phenyl–95% methyl polysiloxane, under the following conditions: initial temperature 60 °C for 3 min; ramp 10 °C min−1; fnal temperature 260 °C for 5 min; injector and detector temperature 260 °C; a sample of 0.8 μ L was injected and the split ratio was 1/30. The magnetic behaviors of these materials were assayed with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) LDJ-9600 (USA).

Preparation of the catalysts

Scheme [1](#page-2-0) illustrates the preparation of these immobilized Pt nano-catalysts.

Magnetite ($Fe₃O₄$) nanoparticles were prepared by chemical coprecipitation of Fe^{3+} and Fe^{2+} in ammonium hydroxide solution, based on the reported methods [[29,](#page-8-23) [30\]](#page-8-24). The surface of the $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles was then covered with SiO₂ (SiO₂ encapsulated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, Fe₃O₄ @SiO₂) according to the published method [\[31\]](#page-8-25).

To prepare epoxy propyl modified $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ (Fe₃O₄@$ SiO₂-EP), a dispersion of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ powder (500 mg) in toluene (50 mL) was ultrasonically irradiated for 20 min. After adding γ-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (4 mmol), the mixture was boiled at refux under nitrogen for 24 h. The product was separated with a magnet and washed sequentially with dichloromethane (50 mL), acetone (50 mL), dichloromethane (50 mL) and diethyl ether (50 mL). Finally, the solid product was dried under vacuum at 80 °C overnight.

Scheme 1 Synthesis of four immobilized Pt nano-catalysts on magnetic silica nanoparticles through boronic acid, nitric acid, sulfuric acid or carboxylic acid groups

Next, 3-aminophenylboronic acid monohydrate $(APBA·H₂O, 1.012 g)$, 3-nitroaniline $(1.1064 g)$, 3-aminophenylsufonic acid (1.3079 g) or 4-aminbenzoic acid (1.2456 g) were each dissolved in deionized water (100 mL). A portion of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-EP$ (1.0 g) was added to each solution and dispersed by ultrasonic irradiation. After adjusting the pH to 8.5 using NaOH solution, the mixture was mechanically stirred for 24 h at room temperature. Each product was then separated, washed and dried as described above.

Finally, a solution of H_2PtCl_6 ^o H_2O (0.2 g) in ethanol (10 mL) was mixed with a portion of the acid-derivatized nanoparticles (1.0 g) and the mixture was vigorously stirred for 9 h at 60 °C under nitrogen. The resulting catalysts $(Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@$ SiO_2 -SA@Pt and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt) were magnetically separated, washed with deionized water (3 times) and ethanol (3 times) and dried under vacuum at 80 °C overnight.

Catalytic hydrosilylation reactions

All catalytic reactions were performed without nitrogen protection. A portion of the catalyst and 10 mmol of the alkene/alkyne were placed in a 10 mL fat-bottomed tube equipped with a refux condenser, and the mixture was stirred 30 min at 60 °C. After adding 15 mmol of the

required silane, the mixture was continually heated and stirred for a certain time, then cooled to room temperature. The catalyst was separated with an external magnet, and the solution was assayed by GC using n-decane as an internal standard.

Results and discussion

Preparation of the heterogeneous catalysts

It is well known that anions such as boronate, nitrate, sulfate and carboxylate can interact with Pt cations by ionic attraction. Such interactions are highly dependent on the pH and ionic strength of solution, often making it difficult to immobilize platinum ions. Moreover, these anions will not attract other negatively charged species such as $PtCl₆²⁻$. Hence, research to date has focused on heterocyclic supports or materials such as $TiO₂$ and $Al₂O₃$ [[32](#page-8-26)[–34\]](#page-8-27). Following our discovery that carboxylic acids can immobilize platinum catalysts through coordination interactions [[27,](#page-8-21) [28](#page-8-22)], we have investigated the feasibility of immobilizing Pt salts through coordination interactions with boronic, nitric or sulfuric acids. For comparison, carboxylic acid-modifed magnetic silica gel was also used to immobilize Pt.

Catalyst characterization

The surface morphology and size of the Pt-containing nanoparticles were characterized using TEM (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)a–d). The images showed that the average particle size was 10–20 nm, within the range for nanoparticles. Furthermore, the micrographs showed a spherical shape for these materials, while dry powder images showed aggregation of the nanoparticles. To avoid damage to the TEM instrument, high-resolution TEM images of these materials were not obtained. In aqueous suspension, all four samples appeared uniform and mono-dispersed. Figure [1](#page-3-0)e shows a typical image.

The quantities of Pt in these materials were assayed by AAS, and the results are shown in Table [1](#page-3-1). The

782 Transition Metal Chemistry (2019) 44:779–787

 $Fe₃O₄ @ SiO₂-BA could immobilize 0.174 mmol/g Pt$ (33.95 mg/g), about three times as much as $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ -NA (0.055 mmol/g Pt) and five times as much as $Fe₃O₄@$ $SiO₂-CA$ (0.032 mmol/g). Contrary to our expectations, we found no detectable Pt on the sulfate-based $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ -SA support. Previous reports have shown that the $-B(OH)_2$ group can form a stable ring with cis-diols [[35](#page-8-28)]. Thus, we guess that the $-B(OH)$, group can form a chelate ring with Pt to anchor so much Pt catalyst.

The wide-angle XRD patterns of these catalysts are shown in Fig. [2a](#page-4-0). Almost identical XRD patterns were obtained for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt$ and $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt$ compared with $Fe₃O₄$. Characteristic diffraction peaks were observed

Fig. 1 TEM micrograph of \mathbf{a} Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt, \mathbf{b} Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt, \mathbf{c} Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt, \mathbf{d} Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt and \mathbf{e} microscopic observation of the synthetic magnetic material dispersed in water

Fig. 2 **a** XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@ SiO_2 -NA@Pt, Fe_3O_4 @SiO₂-CA@Pt and Fe_3O_4 @SiO₂-SA@Pt. **b** Room temperature magnetization curves of Fe_3O_4 , $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$,
Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-EP, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ -BA@Pt, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ -NA@Pt, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt,$ Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt. **c** The UV absorption curves of the chloroplatinic acid solution before and after immobilization

at 2*θ* of 30.2°, 35.5°, 43.3°, 53.8°, 57.3° and 62.8°, corresponding to the (220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (440) diffraction planes of $Fe₃O₄$, respectively. These peaks match with the magnetic cubic structure of $Fe₃O₄$ (JCPDS 65-3107) [[36](#page-8-29)]. No obvious $SiO₂$ peaks were observed in the XRD patterns, indicating the amorphous nature of the $SiO₂$ coating. No obvious peaks of metallic Pt were detected, indicating that the amount of Pt was too low and/or the Pt particles were highly dispersed on these supports.

The Scherrer formula was used to calculate the crystallite size of these materials [[37,](#page-8-30) [38\]](#page-8-31):

$$
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta}
$$

where *D* is the crystallite size (nm), λ is the X-ray wavelength ($k = 1.542$ Å) (Cu_{ka}), β is the full width at half-maximum height (FWHM) of the line, *K* is the Scherrer constant $(K=0.94)$ [[39\]](#page-8-32), and θ is the Bragg diffraction angle (°). As can be seen, the four immobilized catalysts ($Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ -BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt and $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt)$ exhibited higher crystallite size than that of $Fe₃O₄$. This phenomenon is most likely due to introduction of the $SiO₂$ shell and functional groups. It also could be the result of aggregation of the materials after drying under vacuum at 80 °C overnight followed by fne grinding in a mortar by hand [[40\]](#page-8-33).

The magnetic properties of the catalysts were investigated on a VSM in an applied magnetic feld from − 10,000 to 10,000 Oe. The hysteresis loops of $Fe₃O₄$, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - EP$, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - BA@Pt$, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ -$ NA@Pt, $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-SA@Pt$ and $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-CA@Pt$ are shown in Fig. [2b](#page-4-0). The saturated magnetization decreases systematically due to the surface coating of the $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles with silica [\[41\]](#page-8-34), consistent with the TEM results (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). The magnetization saturations of the catalysts were about 22.0 emu g^{-1} such that they could easily be separated from solution with a magnet.

UV spectrometry was used to characterize the solution before and after immobilizing Pt on these acid-modifed nanoparticles. The UV absorption curves (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)c) showed a maximum at 264 nm for the original chloroplatinic acid solution, corresponding to Pt^{4+} [[42\]](#page-8-35). After preparation of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt$ and $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt$, this peak was signifcantly decreased, and a new peak was observed at 235 nm, corresponding to Pt^{2+} [[43\]](#page-8-36). Similarly, after preparation of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt$, the peak at 264 nm was dramatically decreased and a new peak at 235 nm and a minimum at 225 nm indicated that most of the Pt^{4+} was changed to Pt²⁺ or Pt⁰. For Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt, the UV spectrum of the residual solution of Pt was similar to the original, indicating very little uptake of Pt.

The XPS spectrum of the $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt$ nanoparticles is shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0)a. Peaks corresponding to O, Si, C,

Fig. 3 a XPS spectra of the elemental survey scans of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt and Fe₃O₄@ $SiO_2-SA@Pt$. **b** XPS spectra of the Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt, Fe₃O₄@ $SiO_2-NA@Pt$, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-SA@Pt showing Pt 4*f*5/2 and Pt 4*f*7/2 binding energies

B, Pt and Fe were observed. The peaks from Si 2*s* (157.9 eV) and Si 2*p* (108.2 eV) indicated that the silica layer had been successfully coated onto the $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles [[30\]](#page-8-24). The B1*s* peak (202.0 eV) verifed that boronic acid groups were successfully bonded onto the surface of $Fe₃O₄ @ SiO₂$. The XPS spectrum of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt$ showed the same elements as for $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt$, except for B. Similarly, the XPS spectrum of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt$ showed extra N rather than B. No Pt signals were observed for $Fe₃O₄ @SiO₂-SA @Pt$, which further verified the failure in immobilization of Pt through the sulfate groups. The XPS data also indicated the oxidation state of Pt in these materials. In Fig. [3b](#page-5-0), the binding energies of Pt at 75.8 and 71.4 eV

were assigned to Pt 4*f*5/2 and Pt 4*f*7/2, respectively [\[44\]](#page-8-37). The valence states of platinum in these materials are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-1) It was found that about 30% exists in the form of Pt^{4+} and 70% as Pt^{2+} and Pt^{0} in both $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-BA@Pt$ and $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ -CA@Pt. In $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2$ -NA@Pt, more than 90% is in the form of Pt^{2+} and Pt^{0} , which might be formed through passivation processes during preparation of the catalyst [\[45\]](#page-8-38). Overall, these observations confrm that Pt nanoparticles were successfully immobilized on the surfaces of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - BA@Pt$, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - NA@Pt$, and $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt.$

Catalytic performance

The catalytic activities of the nanoparticles were tested for the hydrosilylation of 1-hexene with methyldichlorosilane, and the results were compared with those obtained for Speier's catalyst. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table [2.](#page-6-0) Only a little 1-hexene was observed for $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt (0.5%)$ and Speier's catalyst (1.6%). Meanwhile, the proportion of the β-adduct, 1-hexyl-methyldichlorosilane, was 98.4% for $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-BA@Pt$ which was significantly higher than for Speier's catalyst (78.5%). $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-NA@Pt$ and $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-CA@Pt$ also showed good selectivity (98.2 and 99.0%, respectively), together with good conversion (80.4 and 75.4%, respectively). For Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@Pt (immobilizing Pt on Fe₃O₄@ $SiO₂$) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-EP@Pt, some 1-hexene was converted, but the conversion ratios were low and the selectivities were poor. Compared with Speier's catalyst (6.2×10^3) , the turnover frequencies (TOF) for 1-hexene were good for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt (9.3×10³), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@ Pt (8.9×10^3) and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt (7.3×10^3) . In addition, we increased the amount of reactants in order to obtain the turnover number (TON). The reaction of 1-hexene (120 mmol) with methyldichlorosilane (192 mmol) was catalyzed using 0.3 mg of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - BA@Pt (0.052 \mu mol)$ Pt), $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-NA@Pt$ (0.016 µmol Pt) or $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-$ CA@Pt (0.010 μmol Pt) at 12 h. Under these conditions, the regioselectivity was still around 99%, while TON was up to 1.67×10^6 for Fe₃O₄[@]SiO₂-BA@Pt, 1.23×10^6 for Fe₃O₄[@] SiO_2 -NA@Pt and 7.29×10^5 for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt.

The catalytic activities of these nanoparticles were also tested for the hydrosilylation of styrene with methyldichlorosilane. The results are summarized in Table [2](#page-6-0) and are similar to those for hydrosilylation of 1-hexene. When $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ – BA@Pt$ was used as catalyst, the substrates were completely converted with highest TOF. Lower yields were obtained with $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt$ (84.5%) and $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt (65.5%), which was similar to Spei$ er's catalyst (68.1%). We also increased the amount of styrene (130 mmol) and methyldichlorosilane (192 mmol) to allow for calculation of TON, giving values of 1.09×10^6 for

Table 2 Hydrosilylation of 1-hexene/styrene and methyldichlorosilane catalyzed by various catalysts

a: Hydrosilylation of 1-hexene and methyldichlorosilane. *b*: hydrosilylation of styrene and methyldichlorosilane. Reaction conditions: 10.0 mmol 1-hexene/styrene, 15 mmol methyldichlorosilane, temperature: 60 °C, reaction time: 4 h, the mol ratio of Pt to 1-hexene/styrene: 1:10,000. TOF was calculated by moles of product per molar Pt per hour

0.3 mg Fe₃O₄ @ SiO₂-BA @Pt (0.052 μmol Pt), 8.21 × 10⁵ for 0.3 mg Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-NA@Pt (0.016 µmol Pt) and 7.43×10^5 for 0.3 mg Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-CA@Pt (0.010 µmol Pt) after 12 h. Hence, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ – BA@Pt$ shows the best performance, with signifcant catalytic ability and selectivity.

As the most effective catalyst, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt$ was investigated further. To examine the substrate scope of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ – BA@Pt$, we employed eight aromatic alkenes and alkynes and their hydrosilylation with methyldichlorosilane was investigated. The results of these experiments are listed in Table [3.](#page-7-0) In most cases, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ – BA@Pt exhibit$ ited good catalytic activity. For phenylacetylene (entry 7), allylbenzene (entry 1) and α -methylstyrene (entry 3), excellent conversion rates of 82–98% were obtained. Comparing with allylbenzene (entry 1) and 4-phenyl-1-butene (entry 4), the results suggest that the conversion rates of aromatic alkenes decreased with increasing alkyl chain length. For trans-β-methylstyrene (entry 2), (*E*)-4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (entry 5), 1-phenyl-1-butyne (entry 6) and diphenylacetylene (entry 8), the conversion rates of internal aromatic alkenes/ alkynes were lower than for terminal aromatic alkenes/ alkynes.

We also employed other alkenes to evaluate the catalytic activity and regioselectivity of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ – BA@Pt$, giving the results listed in Table [4](#page-7-1). The catalyst showed excellent performance in these reactions. The selectivity for the β-adduct was above 99.0% in the reaction of 1-hexene with both methyldichlorosilane and triethoxysilane (entries 1 and 2). The yield of the β-adduct was up to 85.3% in hydrosilylation of 5-hexen-2-one and methyldichlorosilane, with a conversion rate of 89.4%. When methyldichlorosilane was replaced by triethoxysilane, the selectivity for 5-hexen-2-one increased to 91.4% (entries 3 and 4). Similar selectivity (above 95.0%) for the β-adduct was observed in hydrosilylation of allyl glycidyl ether and methyldichlorosilane or triethoxysilane (entries 5 and 6). Compared with the hydrosilylation of styrene and methyldichlorosilane (yield 100%, selectivity 60%), the β-adduct yield for hydrosilylation of styrene and triethoxysilane was down to 56.6%, while selectivity was slightly improved to 77.8% (entry 7). These results indicate that catalysis with $Fe₃O₄ @SiO₂-BA @Pt$ gave good activity and selectivity, even when methyldichlorosilane was substituted with triethoxysilane.

A crucial beneft regarding this magnetic catalyst is its straightforward separation; we therefore investigated the recyclability of $Fe_3O_4@SiO_2-BA@Pt$, using the reaction of 1-hexene with methyldichlorosilane. On completion of the frst reaction, the catalyst was separated with a magnet and the decantate was analyzed. Fresh substrates were then added to the recycled catalyst, and a further run was performed. In this way, $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ - BA@Pt$ was reused eight times under the following conditions: reaction temperature 60 °C, reaction time 4 h, mole ratio between 1-hexene and saline 1:1.5, and mole ratio of Pt to 1-hexene 1:10,000. The results are shown in Table [5.](#page-8-39) The yields of 1-hexyl-methyldichlorosilane were 96.5% for the frst run and 95.2% for the eighth. No Pt was detected in the product solutions. The amount of Pt after the eight experiments (0.171 mmol/g) was close to the original amount (0.174 mmol/g) for $Fe_{3}O_{4} @ SiO_{2} - BA @ Pt$, indicating excellent stability for this catalyst.

Table 3 Catalytic activity of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-BA@Pt for the hydrosilylation of methyldichlorosilane and aromatic alkene or alkyne

Reaction conditions: 10 mmol alkene or alkyne, 15 mmol methyldichlorosilane, temperature: 60 °C, reaction time: 4 h, the mol ratio of Pt to alkene or alkyne was 1:8000

Reaction conditions were same as Table [3](#page-7-0)

Table 4 Hydrosilylations of alkene and methyldichlorosilane or triethoxysilane

8 95.2

Conclusion

Four diferent acid ligand-modifed magnetic nanoparticles were prepared under mild conditions to produce heterogeneous Pt catalysts. The preparation method was simple and used readily available reagents. Characterization showed these Pt catalysts are nanoparticles with an average size of 10–20 nm. In catalyzing hydrosilylation of alkenes and alkynes, three of these catalysts, especially $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂$ -BA@Pt, showed excellent activity and selectivity. This catalyst could be reused for eight consecutive runs, with similar activities and Pt contains. This nanocatalyst may be suitable for industrial applications.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful for fnancial support from the National Natural Science foundation of China (No. 21605112).

References

- 1. James AA (2005) Supported metals in catalysis. Imperial College Press, London
- 2. Kiyotomi K, Kohki E, Tomoo M, Kohsuke M (2006) Bull Chem Soc Jpn 79:981–1016
- 3. Abu-Reziq R, Alper H, Wang D, Post ML (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:5279–5282
- 4. He Y, Cai C (2011) Catal Commun 12:678–683
- 5. Polshettiwar V, Luque R, Fihri A, Zhu H, Bouhrara M, Basset JM (2011) Chem Rev 111:3036–3075
- 6. Nasrollahzadeh M, Sajadi SM, Rostami-Vartooni A, Khalaj M (2015) J Mol Catal A Chem 396:297–303
- 7. Baig RBN, Varma RS (2013) Chem Commun 49:752–770
- 8. Shylesh S, Schunemann V, Thiel WR (2010) Angew Chem Int Ed 49:3428–3459
- 9. Fang Y, Chen Y, Li X, Zhou X, Li J, Tang W, Huang J, Jin J, Ma J (2014) J Mol Catal A Chem 392:16–21
- 10. Seo M, Kim S, Lee DW, Jeong HE, Lee KY (2016) Appl Catal A 511:87–94
- 11. Kong Y, Tan R, Zhao L, Li C, Yin D (2013) Green Chem 15:2422–2433
- 12. Zhu M, Diao G (2011) J Phys Chem C 115:24743–24749
- 13. Cano R, Yus M, Ramon DJ (2012) ACS Catal 2:1070–1078
- 14. Peng R, Li S, Sun X, Ren Q, Chen L, Fu M, Wu J, Ye D (2018) Appl Catal B 220:462–470
- 15. Zhu S, Gao X, Zhu Y, Cui J, Zheng H, Li Y (2014) Appl Catal B 158–159:391–399
- 16. Cui W, Xue D, Tan N, Zheng B, Jia M, Zhang W (2018) Chin J Catal 39:1534–1542
- 17. Khan HA, Iqbal MI, Jaleel A, Abbas I, Abbas SA, Deog-jung K (2019) Int J Hydrogen Energy 22:2312–2322
- 18. Roy AK (2007) Adv Organomet Chem 55:1–59
- 19. Buisine O, Berthon-Gelloz G, Briere JF, Sterin S, Mignani G, Branlard P, Tinant B, Declercq JP, Marko IE (2005) Chem Commun 30:3856–3858
- 20. Marko IE, Sterin S, Buisine O, Berthon G, Michaud G, Tinant B, Declercq JP (2004) Adv Synth Catal 346:1429–1434
- 21. Ghorbani-Vaghei R, Hemmati S, Veisi H (2014) J Mol Catal A Chem 393:240–247
- 22. Panella B, Vaegas A, Baiker A (2009) J Catal 261:88–93
- 23. Zhou L, Gao C, Xu W (2010) Langmuir 26:11217–11225
- 24. Lewis LN (1990) J Am Chem Soc 112:5998–6004
- 25. Stein J, Lewis LN, Gao Y, Scott RA (1999) J Am Chem Soc 121:3693–3703
- 26. Tsipis CA, Kefalidis CE (2006) Organometallics 25:1696–1706
- 27. Li F, Li Y (2016) J Mol Catal A Chem 420:254–263
- 28. Shao D, Li Y (2018) RSC Adv 8:20379–20393
- 29. Ghorbani-Choghamarani A, Norouzi M (2016) Appl Organometal Chem 30:140–147
- 30. Sun J, Dong Z, Sun X, Li P, Zhang F, Hu W, Yang H, Wang H, Li R (2013) J Mol Catal A Chem 367:46–51
- 31. Heidari F, Hekmati M, Veisi H (2017) J Colloid Interface Sci 501:175–184
- 32. Fuyuan R, Shengjun D, Chao C, Zhang N (2014) Catal Commun 46:1–5
- 33. Spanu D, Recchia S, Mohajernia S, Schmuki P, Altomare M (2018) Appl Catal B 237:198–205
- 34. Yan T, Huo Y, Liu Y, Rui Z, Ji H (2017) Appl Catal B 200:543–551
- 35. Gomes AG, Azevedo AM, Aires-Barros MR, Prazeres DMF (2011) J Chromatogr A 1218:8629–8637
- 36. Liu J, Zhou Y, Liu F, Liu C, Wang J, Pan Y, Xue D (2012) RSC Adv 2:2262–2265
- 37. Dastan D (2017) Appl Phys A 123:699
- 38. Dastan D, Londhe PU, Chaure NB (2014) J Mater Sci Mater Electron 25:3473–3479
- 39. Nakhae M, Bahari A (2016) J Mater Sci Mater Electron 27:5899–5908
- 40. Dastan D, Chaure N, Kartha M (2017) J Mater Sci Mater Electron 28:7784–7796
- 41. Jacinto MJ, Santos OHCF, Jardim RF, Landers R, Rossi LM (2009) Appl Catal A 360:177–182
- 42. Bai Y, Zhang S, Deng Y, Peng J, Li J, Hu Y, Li X, Lai G (2013) J Colloid Interface Sci 394:428–433
- 43. Luty-Błocho M, Wojnicki M, Pacławski K, Fitzner K (2013) Chem Eng J 226:46–51
- 44. Roth C, Goetz M, Fuess H (2001) J Appl Electrochem 31:793–798
- 45. Nie R, Liang D, Shen L, Gao J, Chen P, Hou Z (2008) Appl Catal B 127:212–220

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.