# **Synthesis, crystal structures and biological activities of transition metal complexes of a salen‑type ligand**

**Ratnamala S. Bendre<sup>1</sup> · Samina K. Tadavi1 · Manohar M. Patil1**

Received: 12 September 2017 / Accepted: 29 November 2017 / Published online: 9 December 2017 © Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2017

### **Abstract**

Manganese(III), cobalt(II), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes of a salen-type ligand, namely  $6,6'$ -((1E,1<sup>'</sup>E)-(ethane-1,2diylbis(azanylylidene))bis(methanylylidene))bis(5-isopropyl-2-methylphenol) ( $H_2L$ ), have been synthesized and characterized by physicochemical and spectroscopic methods. In addition, single-crystal X-ray analysis confrmed the formulae of the manganese and nickel complexes as  $[Mn(OAc)(L)]$  and  $[Ni(L)]$ , respectively. The free Schiff base and its complexes have been screened for in vitro antibacterial activity by colony count methods, and the antioxidant activity was assayed by DPPH radical scavenging. The ability of free  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  and its complexes to mediate DNA cleavage was studied by agarose gel electrophoresis.

# **Introduction**

DNA remains a long-term target for the diagnosis and treatment of human diseases [[1\]](#page-6-0). DNA plays an essential role in the life process since it encodes all the genetic information required for the cellular functions [\[2\]](#page-6-1). Metal complexes of Schif bases derived from salicyaldehyde have been found to be efective in DNA cleavage and can also possess anticancer and antibacterial activities [\[3](#page-6-2)–[6\]](#page-6-3). DNA cleavage may lead to various pathological changes in living organisms and is also relevant to the synthetic restriction enzymes, chemotherapeutic drugs and DNA footprinting agents [\[7](#page-6-4)]. Recently, the ability of transition metal complexes to mediate DNA cleavage in the presence of oxidants such as  $O_2$ ,  $H_2O_2$  or peracids has been extensively studied. Efforts have been made to design transition metal complexes as chemical nucleases suitable for nicking or direct DNA strand scission [\[8](#page-6-5), [9](#page-6-6)]. Cobalt complexes with diferent oxidation states have been found to possess DNA cleavage activity  $[10]$ . In this context, we report here the

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s11243-017-0196-y\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11243-017-0196-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Ratnamala S. Bendre bendrers@gmail.com synthesis of an  $N_2O_2$  donor tetradentate Schiff base ligand  $H<sub>2</sub>L-6,6'$ -((1E,1'E)-(ethane-1,2-diylbis(azanylylidene)) bis(methanylylidene))bis(5-isopropyl-2-methylphenol), prepared by the condensation of ethane-1,2-diamine with 2-hydroxy-6-isopropyl-3-methyl benzaldehyde, together with its manganese(III),  $\text{cobalt(II)}$ , nickel(II) and  $\text{copper(II)}$ complexes. All the compounds were characterized by physicochemical and spectroscopic methods and further screened for antibacterial, antioxidant and DNA cleavage activities.

# <span id="page-0-0"></span>**Experimental**

## **Materials and methods**

Carvacrol, ethane-1,2-diamine, ethidium bromide, trisboric acid-EDTA buffer (10X TBE buffer), loading dye mix, agarose gel, L-histidine, DABCO, D-mannitol and  $H_2O_2$  30% were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium hydroxide, triethylamine, chloroform, ethanol, methanol, hexane, ethyl acetate, acetonitrile, DMF, DMSO, EDTA, manganese acetate tetrahydrate, cobalt acetate tetrahydrate, nickel chloride hexahydrate and copper(II) acetate monohydrate were Loba Chemie products. All the chemicals and solvents were used without further purifcation. The DNA pBR322 and DNA loading dye were purchased from Bangalore Genei. The electronic spectra were recorded as DMSO solutions on a Shimadzu UV 2450 series spectrophotometer in the range 200–800 nm. FTIR



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of Chemical Sciences, North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon, Maharashtra 425 001, India

spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400 spectrometer in the range 4000–400 cm−1. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance III (400 MHz) spectrometer, and proton chemical shifts were recorded in ppm relative to tetramethyl silane as an internal standard using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$  as solvent. LCMS spectra were recorded on a Waters Micromass Q-Tof Micro instrument. The elemental analyses were obtained with a ThermoFinnigan elemental analyzer. The X-band ESR spectrum of the copper complex was recorded on a JES-FA200 ESR spectrometer at liquid nitrogen temperature (77 K) in DMF solution, using DPPH as a standard. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured at room temperature on a Gouy balance using  $Hg[Co(NCS)<sub>4</sub>]$  as reference. Molar conductivities were measured on a Systronic conductivity bridge with a diptype cell using  $10^{-3}$  M solutions in DMF.

#### **Preparation of the Schiff base (H<sub>2</sub>L)**

The Schiff base  $(H<sub>2</sub>L)$  was prepared according to our previously reported method [\[11](#page-6-8)], in which a solution 2-hydroxy-6-isopropyl-3-methyl benzaldehyde (0.356 g, 2 mmol) plus ethane-1,2-diamine (0.060 g, 1 mmol) in methanol (30 ml) was boiled under reflux for 2 h (Scheme [1](#page-1-0)).

Yellow solid; Yield: 73%; Anal. calcd for  $C_{24}H_{32}N_2O_2$ (%): C 75.7, H 8.4, N 7.3, Found: C 75.5, H 9.1, N 7.5; UV–Vis (DMF) *λ*max (nm): 273, 334; FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ : 3498 (OH), 1609 (C=N), 1462 (C=C), 1259 (C–O); 1 H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) (*δ*, ppm): 14.60 (*brs,* 2H, OH), 8.72 (d, 2H, –HC=N), 7.09 (d, 2H, Ar–CH, *J* = 8 Hz), 6.61 (d, 2H, Ar–CH, *J* = 8 Hz), 3.95 (s, 4H, –CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.28–3.21 (m, 2H, –CH of isopropyl group), 2.18  $(s, 6H, 2-CH_3), 1.11 (d, 12H, J = 4 Hz, 4-CH_3);$ <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) (*δ*, ppm): 164.59, 160.74, 147.26, 133.74, 123.52, 114.30, 114.10, 59.63, 27.78, 23.90, 15.52; LCMS (m/z): calcd 381.52, obs 381.30.



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Scheme 1** Synthesis of the salen-type Schiff base  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  and its metal complexes.  $M = Mn$ , Co, Ni or Cu

#### **Preparation of the manganese(III) complex**

A solution of  $Mn(OAc)_{2}·4H_{2}O$  (0.322 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml) was added to a stirred solution of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$ (0.5 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 6–8 h; then the precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum. Yield  $0.5$  g  $(78\%)$ .

Reddish brown Solid; Anal. calcd for  $C_{26}H_{33}MnN_2O_4$ (%): C 63.4, H 6.7, N 5.6, Found: C 63.6, H 6.7, N 5.7; UV–Vis (DMF) *λ*max (nm): 275, 333, 417; FTIR (KBr, pellet cm<sup>-1</sup>) *v*<sub>max</sub>: 1585 (C=N), 1276 (C−O), 1379 (C=C), 518 (M–O), 452 (M–N); LCMS (m/z): calcd 492.49, obs 433.1 [M-OAc];  $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ : 4.82 B.M.; Conductance ( $\Lambda_{\text{M}}$ , Ω<sup>-1</sup>  $cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>$ ) in DMF: 19.60.

## **Preparation of the cobalt(II) complex**

A solution of  $Co(OAc)_{2}·4H_{2}O$  (0.327 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml) was added to a stirred solution of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$ (0.5 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml). The mixture was refuxed for 6–8 h; then the precipitate was fltered of, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.41 g (71%).

Green solid; Anal. calcd for  $C_{24}H_{30}CoN_2O_2$  (%): C 65.9 H 6.9, N 6.4, Found: C 65.0, H 7.3, N 6.9; UV–Vis (DMF) *λ*max (nm): 269, 410, 632; FTIR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ : 1564 (C=N), 1234 (C–O), 1456 (C=C), 520 (M–O), 412 (M–N); LCMS (m/z): calcd 437.44, obs 437.2 μ<sub>eff</sub>: 4.23 B.M. Conductance  $(A_M, \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$  in DMF: 14.35.

## **Preparation of the nickel(II) complex**

A solution of NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.312 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml) was added to a stirred solution of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  (0.5 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml). The mixture was refuxed for 3 h; then the precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.45 g (79%).

Orange solid; Anal. calcd for  $C_{24}H_{30}N_2NiO_2$  (%): C 65.9, H 6.9, N 6.4 Found: C 65.6, H 6.7, N 6.5; UV–Vis (DMF) *λ*max (nm): 268, 349, 352, 442, 552; FTIR (KBr pellet, cm−1) *ν*max: 1560 (C=N), 1234 (C–O), 1452 (C=C), 474 (M–O), 432 (M–N); LCMS (m/z): calcd 437.20, obs 437; Conductance  $(\Lambda_M, \Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) in DMF: 15.64.

## **Preparation of the copper(II) complex**

A solution of Cu(OAc) $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O (0.312 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml) was added to a stirred solution of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  (0.5 g, 1.31 mmol) in methanol (25 ml). The mixture was stirred <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** ORTEP diagrams of [Mn(OAc)L] and [Ni(L)] complexes, respectively





<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 1** Crystal data and structure refnement for  $[Mn(OAC)(L)]$  and  $[Ni(L)]$ complexes



for 3–4 h; then the precipitate was filtered off, washed with methanol and dried under vacuum. Yield 0.42 g (72%).

Dark brown solid; Anal. calcd for  $C_{25}H_{32}CuN_2O_2(\%)$ : C 65.2, H 6.8, N 6.3, Found: C 65.6, H 6.9, N 6.6; UV–Vis: (DMF) *λ*max (nm): 284, 379, 560, 572; FTIR (KBr, cm−1) *ν*max: 1560 (C=N), 1240 (C–O), 1408 (C=C), 520 (M–O), 410 (M–N); LCMS (m/z): calcd 442.05, obs 442.05.  $μ_{\text{eff}}$ : 1.69; Conductance ( $Λ_M$  Ω<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>): 12.67.

## **Single‑crystal X‑ray difraction**

Crystals of the manganese and nickel complexes were each mounted on an APEX 2 (Bruker 2004) diffractometer, respectively. The cell refnement and data reduction were performed using APEX2/SAINT (Bruker 2004) and SAINT/ XPREP (Bruker 2004), respectively [\[12\]](#page-6-9). The structures

<span id="page-2-2"></span>**Table 2** Selected bond lengths and angles for [Mn(OAc)(L)]

$C(25)-O(4)$	$1.226(5)$ Å	$O(3)$ -Mn(1)	$1.854(2)$ Å
$C(25)-O(1)$	$1.271(5)$ Å	$O(3)$ -Mn(1)-O(2)	93.53(2)°
$N(1)$ - $Mn(1)$	$1.955(3)$ Å	$O(3)$ -Mn(1)-N(1)	$90.23(11)$ °
$N(2)$ - $Mn(1)$	$1.969(3)$ Å	$O(2)$ -Mn(1)-N(1)	162.74(14)°
$O(1)$ -Mn(1)	$2.042(3)$ Å	$O(3)$ -Mn(1)-N(2)	$161.29(12)$ °
$O(2)$ -Mn(1)	$1.869(3)$ Å	$O(2)$ -Mn(1)-N(2)	$88.36(12)$ °

were solved by using SIR92 [[13](#page-6-10)], and the structures were refned by SHELXL-2014/7 [\[14](#page-6-11)]. The computing molecular graphics were prepared with ORTEP 3.0 [\[15](#page-6-12)] and Mercury [[16\]](#page-6-13) software. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows ORTEP representations of  $[Mn(OAc)(L)]$  and  $[Ni(L)]$ , with the common atomic numbering scheme. The crystallographic data and structure

refnement for both complexes are summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-1) Selected bond lengths and angles for [Mn(OAc)(L)] and  $[Ni(L)]$  are given in Tables [2](#page-2-2) and [3](#page-3-0), respectively.

## **Biological studies**

#### **Antibacterial activity**

The antibacterial activities of the compounds were assayed by the colony count method, using the selected test organisms *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* In this procedure, cells of the test organisms were grown in nutrient broth until mid-log phase and used as inoculums for performing antibacterial tests. Approximately  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml of the test organisms were each inoculated with 0–500 μg/ml concentrations of the test compounds and incubated for 16–18 h at 37 °C. After incubation, the number of viable cells was assayed by spreading an aliquot from the broth on Muller–Hilton agar and counting the number of colony forming units per milliliter (CFU/ ml). DMSO was used as negative control. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined. Ciprofloxacin, ampicillin and streptomycin were used as positive controls for the comparison of antibacterial activity [\[17](#page-6-14)].

#### **DPPH radical scavenging activity**

Radical scavenging activity was assayed by the bleaching of a purple-colored methanol solution of the stable radical 1,1-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) by the test compound [[18\]](#page-6-15). Aliquots (1 ml) of the required test compound concentrations  $(5, 10, 25, 50, \text{ and } 100 \mu\text{g/ml})$  in methanol were added to 4 ml of a 0.004% (w/v) methanol solution of DPPH. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance was measured against blank at 517 nm. The percent inhibition (*I* %) of free radical production from DPPH was calculated by the following equation:

% scavenging =  $[(A \text{ control} - A \text{ sample})/A \text{ blank}] \times 100$ 

where 'A control' is the absorbance of the control reaction (containing all reagents except the test compound) and 'A sample' is the absorbance of the test compound. Tests were carried out in triplicate.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 3** Selected bond lengths and angles for [Ni(L)]

$N(1) - Ni(1)$	$1.829(4)$ Å	$N(2) - Ni(1) - O(1)$	$178.99(18)$ °
$N(2) - Ni(1)$	$1.825(4)$ Å	$N(1) - Ni(1) - O(1)$	$93.64(16)$ °
Ni(1)–O(1)	$1.831(3)$ Å	$N(2) - Ni(1) - O(2)$	$93.28(16)$ °
Ni(1)–O(2)	$1.832(3)$ Å	$N(1) - Ni(1) - O(2)$	$178.78(18)$ °
$N(2) - Ni(1) - N(1)$	$87.08(18)$ °	$O(1)$ -Ni $(1)$ -O $(2)$	$86.02(15)$ °

#### **DNA cleavage experiments**

The DNA cleavage potential of the free Schiff base and its complexes was studied by gel electrophoresis on a total sample volume of 10 μl in 0.5 ml transparent Eppendorf microcentrifuge tubes containing pBR322 DNA (200 ng). For the gel electrophoresis experiments, supercoiled pBR322 DNA was treated with the test compounds concentrations (10, 20, 50, 100, 150 and 200 μM) and the mixtures were incubated in the dark for 30 min at 37 °C. The reaction was quenched by adding 2 μl DNA loading dye (two dye mixture containing bromophenol blue and xylene cyanol), and the samples were loaded in wells prepared for 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (tris-boric acid-EDTA (TBE) bufer, pH 8.2) for 3 h at 40 V. The pBR322 DNA bands were stained using ethidium bromide, and the level of cleavage of pBR 322 DNA was determined by measuring the intensities of the bands using a UVITECH gel documentation system. For mechanistic investigations, initial experiments were carried out in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  at different sample concentrations [[19](#page-6-16)].

## **Results and discussion**

The manganese(III), cobalt(II), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes were synthesized by reactions of the corresponding metal salts with 6,6′-((1E,1′E)-(ethane-1,2 diylbis(azanylylidene))bis(methanylylidene))bis(5-isopropyl-2-methylphenol) Schiff base  $(H<sub>2</sub>L)$  (Scheme [1](#page-1-0)). Coordination of the ligand to each metal through its oxygen and nitrogen donor sites was confrmed by elemental analysis, electronic spectra, FTIR spectra, ESR and LCMS spectroscopy, magnetic susceptibility and molar conductance measurements. Furthermore, the structures of the manganese(III) and nickel(II) complexes were confrmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography.

The electronic spectrum of the free Schiff base shows two intense bands at 273 and 332 nm which can be assigned to the  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  transitions of the aromatic rings and the imines group, respectively [\[20](#page-6-17)]. These bands were also observed at similar positions in the UV–Vis spectra of the complexes. A new band at 417 nm and weak absorbance at higher wavelengths in the spectrum of the manganese complex are assigned to metal-to-ligand charge transfer and *d*–*d* transitions, respectively, in accordance with previously reported observations closely for related manganese(III) complexes [[21](#page-6-18)]. A band at 632 nm in the spectrum of the cobalt(II) complex is indicative of tetrahedral geometry. The electronic spectrum of the nickel(II) complex shows an absorption band below 600 nm, and the lack of any transition at longer wavelength indicates a large crystal-feld splitting, consistent with a square-planar geometry for nickel(II). The spectrum of the copper(II) complex shows a shoulder at 560 nm which is assigned to *d*–*d* transitions in a square-planar geometry [\[22\]](#page-6-19).

The FTIR spectrum of the free Schif base shows a *υ*(OH) vibration at 3438  $cm^{-1}$  which is attributed to the phenolic OH groups. This band is absent from the spectra of the metal complexes. An absorption in the region of  $1609-1560$  cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectrum of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  is assigned to the azomethine group  $(-C=N)$ , and the position of this band is found to be shifted upon complexation. The characteristic absorption bands for the C=C and C–O groups of  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  were observed at 1462 and  $1259 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. There was a significant change in the positions of both of these bands upon complexation. An absorption in the ranges of 410–452 and 510–525 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the spectra of the metal complexes can be assigned to the (M–N) and (M–O) stretching vibrations [\[23](#page-6-20), [24](#page-6-21)].

In the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectrum of H<sub>2</sub>L, peaks in the region 6.61–7.09  $\delta$  are attributable to the aromatic ring protons. A sharp singlet at 8.72 ppm assigned to the azomethine proton (–CH=N–) confrms formation of the Schif base linkage. Another singlet at 2.18 ppm is observed for the methyl group protons, while a broad peak at 14.60 ppm is assigned to the phenolic OH group. A doublet and multiplet at 1.13 and 3.2 ppm are assigned to isopropyl group  $CH<sub>3</sub>$  and CH protons, respectively. A singlet at 3.95  $\delta$  is assigned to the ethylene diamine protons.

The LCMS spectrum of the free Schiff base showed the molecular ion at  $m/z = 381.3$ , i.e., M<sup>+</sup> [Calcd. 380.52] confrming the empirical formula. The LCMS spectra of the manganese(III), cobalt(II), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes each showed the molecular ion peaks exactly equivalent with the empirical formulas (see ["Experimen](#page-0-0)[tal](#page-0-0)" section).

The molar conductivities of  $10^{-3}$  M solutions of the complexes in DMF were measured at room temperature. The observed values were in the range of  $12.67-19.60$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm−2, showing that all of these complexes are nonelectrolytic [[25\]](#page-6-22).

The magnetic moments of the manganese(III), cobalt(II) and copper(II) complexes were 4.82, 4.23 and 1.69 B.M, respectively. These values are consistent with square pyramidal, tetrahedral and square-planar geometries, respectively  $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$  $[26, 27]$ . The nickel(II) complex was found to be diamagnetic, as expected for the square-planar geometry confrmed through the single-crystal structure.

The EPR spectrum of the copper complex at 77 k was recorded in DMF solvent. The g-tensor values of copper complexes can be used to derive the ground state [[28\]](#page-6-25); hence, from the ESR spectrum of this complex the values of *g*|| = 2.43, *g*⊥ = 2.077, *G* = 5.76, *g*avg = 2.195, *f* = 136.01 were derived. These values show that  $g|| > g \perp > ge$  with *f* in the range of  $105-135$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; this pattern has been reported for the square-planar complexes. The data indicate that the unpaired electron lies in the  $d_{x2-y2}$  orbital, giving <sup>2</sup>B1g as the ground state [[29\]](#page-6-26). Furthermore, *g*|| at 2.34 is within the range of 2.3–2.4 indicating the presence of mixed copper–nitrogen and copper–oxygen bonds in this complex [[30\]](#page-6-27). The geometric parameter,  $G = (g|| - 2/g\bot - 2)$ , for axial spectra measures the exchange interaction between copper(II) centers in the polycrystalline state; if  $G > 4.0$ , the exchange interaction is negligible, whereas if it is less than 4.0 considerable exchange interaction is indicated. In the present complex, *G* is 5.76 indicating negligible exchange [\[31\]](#page-6-28).

#### **Crystal structure studies**

X-ray studies of the manganese and nickel complexes reveal that each central metal is coordinated by a single ligand through one fve-membered and two six-membered chelate rings. The manganese(III) complex has an irregular square pyramidal fve-coordinate environment, with the basal plane formed by  $N_2O_2$  atoms of the Schiff base ligand from its two deprotonated phenol oxygens and two imino nitrogens. An acetate ligand occupies the apical position. The observed Mn–N, Mn–O and Mn–OAc bond lengths are in the ranges of previously reported manganese complexes [[32\]](#page-6-29). Similarly, in the nickel complex the Ni–O and Ni–N distances are within the ranges of 1.83–1.87 and 1.83–1.89 A, respectively, as described for  $N_2O_2$  square-planar  $[Ni(L)]$ complexes [\[33](#page-6-30)]. In [Ni(L)], the Ni–O and Ni–N bond lengths are in the ranges of 1.831–1.832 and 1.829–1.825 Å, respectively. The bond angles around the metal center N2–Ni–O2, O1–Ni–O2, N2–Ni–N1 and O1–Ni–N1 take values of 93.95(15), 87.47(12), 85.7(3) and 92.8(3)°, respectively. The  $N(2)$ – $Ni(1)$ – $O(1)$  and  $N(1)$ – $Ni(1)$ – $O(2)$  bond angles are 178.99(18) and  $178.78(18)^\circ$ , respectively. These values indicate that the complex has a distorted square-planar geometry. Both structures are monoclinic with a beta angle close to 90°. They have been refned as pseudomerohedral twins.

#### **Antibacterial activity**

Both the free Schiff base ligand and its complexes were tested for antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa* as a Gram-negative bacteria and *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* as Gram-positive bacteria, with the results presented as MIC values (Table [4\)](#page-5-0).

The results indicated that the Schiff base possesses activity against *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* which is comparable to the standard ampicillin, while the manganese(III) complex shows excellent activity with MIC values of 85, 87 and 70 µg/ml against *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*, respectively. However, all of these complexes displayed poor activities as compared to ciprofoxacin and streptomycin [\[34](#page-6-31)].

complexes  $Compounds name$  MIC ( $\mu$ g/ml) *B. subtilis S. aureus P. aeruginosa*  $H_2L$  240 143 225 Manganese(III) 85 87.5 70

Cobalt(II) 250 140.5 159.5 Nickel(II) 276 175 250 Copper(II) 152 114 172 Ciprofloxacin 50 50 50 25 Ampicillin 250 250 100 Streptomycin 25 25 12.5

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 4** Representation of antibacterial activity of  $H_2L$  and its metal

<span id="page-5-1"></span>



## **Antioxidant activities**

The antioxidant activities of the complexes were assayed by quenching of the DPPH radical, which absorbs at 517 nm. Results are reported as the  $IC_{50}$  values (Table [5](#page-5-1)).

The antioxidant activities of free  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  and its complexes were compared with those of butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT), ascorbic acid and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol as standards. Free  $H<sub>2</sub>L$  was found to have poor antioxidant activity; however, several of its complexes showed much better activities. In particular, the nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes showed the activities better than BHT, and comparable to ascorbic acid and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol. In contrast, the manganese(III) complex exhibited poor activity.

#### **DNA cleavage activity**

We have utilized pBR322 DNA in order to study agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA cleavage is controlled by relaxation of supercoiled circular form DNA into nicked circular and linear forms. When circular DNA is analyzed by electrophoresis, the fastest migration will be observed for the supercoiled form (Form I). If one strand is cleaved, the supercoils will convert to a slower-moving open-circular



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 2** Changes in the agarose gel electrophoretic pattern of pBR 322 DNA induced by  $H_2O_2$  and the cobalt(II) complex. Lane 1, DNA +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 2, DNA + 10  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 3, DNA + 20  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 4, DNA + 50  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 5, DNA + 100  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 6, DNA + 150  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ ; lane 7, DNA + 200  $\mu$ M sample +  $H_2O_2$ 



<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Fig. 3** Changes in the agarose gel electrophoretic pattern of pBR 322 DNA. Lane 1, DNA + 200 µM sample; lane 2, DNA + 200 µM sample +  $D$ -mannitol; lane 3, DNA + 220  $\mu$ M sample + DMSO; lane 4, DNA + 200  $\mu$ M sample +DABCO; lane 5, DNA + 200  $\mu$ M sample + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; lane 6, DNA + 200  $\mu$ M sample + L-histidine

form (Form II), and if both the strands are cleaved, a linear form (Form III) will be generated; this migrates in between Forms I and II. We found that the free Schiff base ligand and its manganese(III), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes do not have any efect on DNA in the presence or absence of hydrogen peroxide, suggesting that these compounds do not mediate the oxidative cleavage of DNA. However, the cobalt(II) complex possesses DNA cleavage activity at higher concentration, 200 µM in the presence of oxidant  $H_2O_2$  $H_2O_2$  $H_2O_2$ , as evident (Lane 7) from Fig. 2, where the conversion of supercoiled DNA to nicked DNA occurs (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-3).

Thereafter, we carried out experiments with the cobalt(II) complex at a constant concentration (200  $\mu$ M) in the presence of diferent radical scavengers, namely  $D$ -mannitol, DMSO DABCO,  $H_2O_2$  and *L*-histidine. In the presence of  $H_2O_2$  the Form I DNA was to Form II, while partial conversion occurred in the presence of DABCO and there was no effect of D-Mannitol, DMSO and L-histidine on Form I DNA. This suggests that oxidative DNA cleavage is mediated by hydroxyl radicals in the presence of the complex. The mechanism of DNA cleavage in similar systems has been described in the literature, and mechanistic studies are in progress [[35\]](#page-6-32).

## **Conclusion**

Manganese(III), cobalt(II), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes of a salen-type Schif base ligand were synthesized and characterized. Square pyramidal and distorted squareplanar geometries were confrmed through single-crystal X-ray crystallographic techniques. The manganese(III) and copper(II) complexes were found to possess antibacterial activities against the *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* as compared to standard ampicillin. The nickel(II), copper(II) and cobalt(II) complexes exhibited good antioxidant activities. The cobalt(II) complex was found to cleave  $pBR322$  DNA in the presence of  $H_2O_2$ .

## **Supplementary materials**

'CCDC numbers 1554257 and 1544860 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. The data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures)'

**Acknowledgements** Authors gratefully thank UGC, New Delhi for sanctioning Major Research Project File No. 42 374/2013(SR) and for Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship for ST Candidates (Grant No. F1 17.1/2013-14/RGNF-2013-14-ST-MAH-45781/(SA-III/WEBSITE)). We are also grateful to IIT Madras for extending help in solving crystal structure of manganese(III) and nickel(II) complexes.

# **References**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>1. Zhang HZ, He SC, Peng YJ, Zhang HJ, Gopala L, Tangadanchu VK, Gan LL, Zhou CH (2017) Eur J Med Chem 136:165–183
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>2. Rizzotto M (2012) Complexes as antimicrobial agents. In: A search for antibacterial agents. InTech. [http://www.intechopen.](http://www.intechopen.com/books/a-search-for-antibacterialagents) [com/books/a-search-for-antibacterialagents](http://www.intechopen.com/books/a-search-for-antibacterialagents)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>3. Peng YL, Liu XL, Wang XH, Zhao ZG (2014) Chem Pap 68:401–408
- 4. Alekshun MN, Levy SB (2007) Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. Cell 128:1037–1050
- 5. Lakshmi SS, Geetha K, Gayathri M, Ganesh S (2016) J Chem Sci 128:1095–1102
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>6. Shrivastava HY, Devaraj SN, Nair BU (2004) J Inorg Biochem 98:387–392
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>7. Peng Y, Zhong H, Chen ZF, Liu YC, Zhang GH, Qin QP, Liang H (2014) Chem Pharm Bull 62:221–228
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>8. Chakravarty AR, Anreddy PA, Santra BK, Thomas AM (2002) Copper complexes as chemical nucleases. J Chem Sci 114:391–401
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>9. Pogozelski WK, Tullius TD (1998) Oxidative strand scission of nucleic acids: routes initiated by hydrogen abstraction from the sugar moiety. Chem Rev 98:1089–1108
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>10. Vaidyanathan VG, Nair BU (2003) J Inorg Biochem 94:121–126
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>11. Tadavi SK, Rajput JD, Bagul SD, Hosamani AA, Sangshetti JN, Bendre RS (2017) Res Chem Intermed 43:4863–4879
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>12. Version I. SAINT (version 7.03), and SADABS (version 2.11). Bruker advanced X-ray solutions
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>13. Altomare A, Cascarano G, Giacovazzo C, Guagliardi A (1993) J Appl Crystallogr 26:343–350
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>14. Sheldrick GM (2014) SHELXL-2014/7: program for the solution of crystal structures. University of Göttingen, Göttingen
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>15. Farrugia LJ (2002) ORTEP-3 for windows-a version of ORTEP-III with a Graphical User Interface (GUI). J Appl Crystallogr 30:565
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>16. Bruno IJ, Cole JC, Edgington PR, Kessler M, Macrae CF, McCabe P, Pearson J, Taylor R (2002) Acta Crystallogr Sect B Struct Sci 58:389–397
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>17. Samina KT, Jamatsingh DR, Suresh DR, Jaiprakash NS, Amar AH (2017) Mod Chem Appl. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6798.1000211) [org/10.4172/2329-6798.1000211](https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-6798.1000211)
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>18. Burits M, Bucar F (2000) Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. Phytother Res 14:323–328
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>19. Bhat SS, Kumbhar AA, Heptullah H, Khan AA, Gobre VV, Gejji SP, Puranik VG (2010) Inorg Chem 50:545–558
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>20. Ghafari A, Behzad M, Dutkiewicz G, Kubicki M, Salehi M (2012) J Coord Chem 65:840–855
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>21. Damercheli M, Dayyani D, Behzad M, Mehravi B, Shafee Ardestani M (2015) J Coord Chem 68:1500–1513
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>22. Chattopadhyay S, Ray MS, Chaudhuri S, Mukhopadhyay G, Bocelli G, Cantoni A, Ghosh A (2006) Inorg Chim Acta 359:1367–1375
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>23. Ikiz M, Ispir E, Aytar E, Ulusoy M, Karabuğa Ş, Aslantaş M, Çelik O (2015) New J Chem 39:7786–7796
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>24. Alan I, Kriza A, Badea M, Stanica N, Olar R (2013) J Therm Anal Calorim 111:483–490
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>25. Abdallah SM, Mohamed GG, Zayed MA, El-Ela MS (2009) Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 73:833–840
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>26. Temel H, Şekerci M (2001) Synth React Inorg Met Org Chem 31:849–857
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>27. Satpathy KC, Mishra HP, Mishra R (1981) J Inorg Nucl Chem 43:2765–2769
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>28. Srinivasan S, Athappan P, Rajagopal G (2001) Transit Met Chem 26:588–593
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>29. Raj BB, Kurup MP, Suresh E (2008) Spectrochim Acta Part A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 71:1253–1260
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>30. Raman N, Raja YP, Kulandaisamy A (2001) Proc Indian Acad Sci Chem Sci 113:183–190
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>31. Hathaway B, Billing DE (1970) Coord Chem Rev 5:143–207
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>32. Hulme C, Pritchard R, McAulife C, Bermejo M (1997) J Chem Soc Dalton Trans 11:1805–1814
- <span id="page-6-30"></span>33. Ghosh M, Fleck M, Mahanti B, Ghosh A, Pilet G, Bandyopadhyay D (2012) J Coord Chem 65:3884–3894
- <span id="page-6-31"></span>34. Wissner A, Berger DM, Boschelli DH, Floyd MB, Greenberger LM, Gruber BC, Johnson BD, Mamuya N, Nilakantan R, Reich MF, Shen R (2000) J Med Chem 43:3244–3256
- <span id="page-6-32"></span>35. Tadavi SK, Yadav AA, Bendre RS (2018) J Mol Struct 1152:223–231