

# *N***-Propylphthalimide-substituted bis-(NHC)PdX**, complexes: **synthesis, characterization and catalytic activity in direct arylation reactions**

**Hülya Erdoğan<sup>1</sup> · Aydın Aktaş1 · Yetkin Gök1 · Yakup Sarı1**

Received: 29 July 2017 / Accepted: 23 October 2017 / Published online: 7 November 2017 © Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2017

**Abstract** Palladium-catalyzed direct arylation of heteroaromatics has become a popular method for producing carbon– carbon bonds via C–H bond activation. A wide diversity of heteroaromatics such as furan, thiophenes and thiazoles can be used for this reaction. This paper reports the synthesis of  $N$ -propylphthalimide-substituted bis-(NHC)PdX<sub>2</sub> complexes (NHC = *N*-heterocyclic carbene), and their catalytic activity in direct arylation reactions. The complexes have been prepared from Ag(I)NHC precursors by transmetallation and characterized by spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes show excellent activity as catalysts in the direct arylation reactions of 2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-*n*-butylthiophene and 2-isopropylthiazole.

## **Introduction**

Direct arylation of aromatic and heteroaromatic C–H bonds has become an important method of aryl C–C bond formation in organic chemistry. Some catalytic systems developed for C–H bond transformations can allow eco-friendly synthesis methods  $[1-6]$  $[1-6]$  $[1-6]$ . One of the most common methods used for the formation of aryl–aryl bonds is by transition metal complex-mediated reactions. Suzuki–Miyaura, Stille and Negishi couplings are among the most important examples of these methods [[7,](#page-6-12) [8](#page-6-13)].

In recent years, palladium catalytic systems have attracted much attention in metal-catalyzed direct arylation reactions due to their selectivity, efficiency and versatility  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$ .

 $\boxtimes$  Aydın Aktaş aydinaktash@hotmail.com Many chemists have devoted time to the development of new Pd-catalyzed direct arylation reactions. To date, a wide range of electronically rich and poor (hetero)aromatic compounds have been successfully used in palladium-catalyzed direct arylation reactions  $[12-19]$  $[12-19]$ , and this approach is one of the most effective methods to access aryl-heteroaryl derivatives [[20–](#page-6-2)[22\]](#page-6-3).

Numerous studies have been carried out on the catalytic activities of Pd(II)-based complexes containing diferent ligands [\[23,](#page-6-4) [24](#page-6-5)]. In recent years, Pd–NHC complexes containing *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands have attracted great interest [[25](#page-6-6)[–27\]](#page-6-7). Complexes of NHC ligands have distinctive properties such as being strong  $\sigma$ -donors, weak π-acceptors and good resistance to heat, air and moisture [[28–](#page-6-8)[30\]](#page-6-9).

In our work, we have investigated the synthesis and characterization of bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes. Also, we examined the catalytic activities of these complexes in direct arylation reactions. The complexes proved to have fairly good activity, even with low catalyst loadings, in direct arylation reactions with 2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-*n*-butylthiophene and 2-isopropylthiazole.

# **Experimental**

All synthesis involving bis- $(NHC)PdX_2$  complexes  $1a-i$ were carried out under an inert atmosphere in flamedried glassware using standard Schlenk techniques. The solvents used were purifed by distillation over the drying agents indicated and were transferred under Ar:  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ (Na/K alloy),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub>), hexane, toluene (Na). All other reagents were obtained commercially from Merck and Aldrich, and used without further purifcation. Melting points were measured in glass capillaries under air with an

 $1$  Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, İnönü University, 44280 Malatya, Turkey

Electrothermal-9200 melting point apparatus. FTIR spectra were obtained in the range of 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> on a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum 100 FTIR spectrometer. Proton (<sup>1</sup>H) and carbon  $(^{13}C)$  NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian AS 300 Merkur spectrometer operating at 300 MHz  $(^1H)$ or 75.47 MHz  $(^{13}C)$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Reaction products were assayed on an Agilent 6890 N GC system by GC-FID with an HP-5 column of 30 m length, 0.32 mm diameter and 0.25 μm flm thickness. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh). Elemental analyses were obtained by the İnönü University Scientifc and Technological Research Center (Malatya, TURKEY). Crystallographic and physical data of all the complexes are summarized in Table [1](#page-1-0).

## **Preparation of complex 1a**

A mixture of bis(benzonitrile)palladium(II) chloride  $[PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PhCN)<sub>2</sub>]$  (0.1g, 0.26 mmol) and bromo[1-methyl-3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (0.264 g, 0.52 mmol) in dichloromethane (20 mL) was stirred for 24 h at room temperature in the dark. The mixture was fltered through celite, and the solvents were evaporated under vacuum to afford the product as a white or light yellow solid. The crude product was recrystallized from dichloromethane/diethyl ether (1:3) at room temperature. Yield: 0.21 g (89%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{38}H_{34}N_6O_4PdBr_2$ : C: 50.43, H: 3.79, N: 9.29. Found: C:50.47, H:3.83, N:9.26. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ (ppm) = 2.59 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-); 4.35$  (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH-$ 2CH2C*H*2N– *J*: 7.5 Hz); 4.44 (s, 6H, –C*H*3); 5.01 (m, 4H, –(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NC**H**<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 7.29–7.90 (m, 16H, Ar–**H**). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 29.2 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)  $NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ); 34.7 (– $CH_3$ ); 36.1 (– $(C_6H_4)NCH _{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}N$ –); 55.6 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 110.2, 110.3, 123.1, 123.2, 123.4, 132.1, 132.2, 133.8, 134.1 and 135.1 (Ar–*C*). 181.5 (2–*C*–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1b**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1b** was prepared from bromo[1-ethyl-3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (271 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.15 g (60%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{40}H_{38}N_6O_4PdBr_2$ : C: 51.49, H: 4.11, N: 9.01. Found: C: 51.46, H: 4.09, N: 9.03. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 1.65 (m, 6H,  $-CH_2CH_3$ ); 2.51 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ); 3.89 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ); 4.72 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_3$ ; 4.92 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ ) NC*H*<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 7.31–7.90 (m, 16H, Ar–*H*). 13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ (ppm) = 15.2 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 28.8 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 36.2 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 46.2 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 55.4 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 111.3, 123.5, 132.2, 132.3, 133.7, 133.8, 134.0, 134.1, 134.7 and 134.8 (Ar–*C*); 181.0 (2–*C*–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1c**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1c** was prepared from bromo[1-butyl-3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (285 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 86% (221 mg). 0.22 g (86%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{44}H_{46}N_6O_4PdBr_2$ : C: 53.43, H: 4.69, N: 8.50. Found: C: 53.39, H: 4.74, N: 8.47. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d<sub>6</sub>$ ) δ (ppm) = 1.09 (t, 3H, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, *J*: 6.9 Hz); 1.55 (m, 4H, –CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.26 (m, 4H, –CH<sub>2</sub>CH- $_{2}CH_{2}CH_{3}$ ); 2.73 (m, 4H,  $-(C_{6}H_{4})NCH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}N-$ ); 3.96 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$  NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, *J*: 6.9 Hz); 4.81 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$ ); 4.93 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH-$ 2CH2CH2N–); 7.27–7.89 (m, 16H, Ar–*H*). 13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 13.7 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 20.4 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 29.4 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 35.1 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 41.0 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 56.1 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 56.6 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 110.9, 111.2, 123.0, 123.3, 123.4, 132.1, 133.1 and 134.1 (Ar–*C*); 181.2 (2–*C*–Pd).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



#### **Preparation of complex 1d**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1d** was prepared from bromo[1-(2-methoxyethyl)- 3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (287 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.19 g (75%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{42}H_{42}N_6O_6PdBr_2$ : C: 50.80, H: 4.26, N: 8.46. Found: C: 50.73, H: 5.22, N: 8.42. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d<sub>6</sub>$ ) δ (ppm) = 3.26 (s, 6H, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.90 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2OCH_3$ ; 4.97 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2OCH_3$ ); 2.51 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N$ ); 4.26 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ ) NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N); 4.99 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N$ ); 7.37–7.91 (m, 16H, Ar–*H*).13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ (ppm) = 29.4 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N); 35.2  $(- (C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N); 56.6 (- (C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N);$ 29.1 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>); 36.2 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>); 58.9 (-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub>); 123.4, 123.5, 132.2, 132.3, 134.7 and 134.8 (Ar–*C*); 181.9 (2–*C*–Pd).

## **Preparation of complex 1e**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1e** was prepared from bromo[1-(2-ethoxyethyl)- 3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (294 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.17 g (65%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{44}H_{46}N_6O_6PdBr_2$ : C: 51.76, H: 4.54, N: 8.23. Found: C: 51.87, H: 4.64, N: 8.27. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ (ppm) = 1.24 (t, 6H, -CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, *J*: 7.2 Hz); 2.64 (m 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N$ –); 3.50 (m 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_3$ ); 4.12 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ )  $NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ; 4.14 (t, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_3$ , *J*: 7.2 Hz); 4.71 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_3$ ); 5.15 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ); 7.28–7.91 (m, 16H, Ar–*H*). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ  $(ppm) = 15.2$  (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 28.9 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH- $_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}N$ –); 36.3 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 46.2 (-CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O*C*H<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 66.8 (-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N-); 69.8 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 70.2 (–CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>); 109.9, 110.2, 111.6, 112.1, 123.2, 123.3, 132.1, 132.2, 133.8 and 134.1 (Ar–*C*); 181.6 (2–*C*–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1f**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1f** was prepared from chloro[1-(3-methylbenzyl)- 3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (288 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.21 g (81%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{52}H_{46}N_6O_4PdCl_2$ : C: 62.69, H: 4.65, N: 8.44. Found: C: 62.65, H: 4.61, N: 8.38. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d<sub>6</sub>$ ) δ (ppm) = 2.32 (s, 6H, -CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)); 2.81 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)NCH_2CH_2CH_2N-$ ; 4.19 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ ) NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N, *J*: 7.2 Hz); 5.14 (t, 4H, –(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH- $2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$ , *J*: 7.2 Hz); 5.97 (s, 4H,  $-CH_2C_6H_4(CH_3)$ ); 6.99–7.83 (m, 24H, Ar–*H*). 13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ (ppm) = 21.3 (–CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)); 29.0 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)NCH-<sup>2</sup>*C*H2CH2N); 36.3 (–(C6H4)NCH2CH2*C*H2N); 46.3 (–(C6H4) NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N); 52.7 (–CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)); 110.2, 111.4, 123.1, 124.7, 124.9, 128.4, 128.7, 132.1, 133.9, 134.2, 134.3, 134.5, 135.4, 135.6, 138.3 and 138.6 (Ar–*C*); 168.2 (**C**=O); 182.1(2–*C*–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1g**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1g** was prepared from chloro[1-(4-methylbenzyl)- 3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (288 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.20 g (78%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{52}H_{46}N_6O_4PdCl_2$ : C: 62.69, H: 4.65, N: 8.44. Found: C: 62.75, H: 4.69, N: 8.48. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ  $(ppm) = 2.27$  (s, 6H,  $-CH_2C_6H_4(CH_3)$ ); 2.59 (m, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ )  $CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ –); 3.71 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ , *J*: 7.2 Hz); 4.91 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ , *J*: 7.2 Hz); 5.96 (s, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2C_6H_4(CH_3)$ ); 7.02–7.86 (m, 24H, Ar–*H*). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) = 21.1 (–CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)); 28.9 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 36.3 ( $-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$ )CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 46.1 ( $-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$ )CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 52.3 (–CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)); 110.2, 110.5, 111.5, 123.0, 123.3, 127.6, 127.8, 129.3, 132.1, 132.5, 132.7, 133.9, 134.2, 134.3, 134.5, 137.3 ve 137.5 (Ar–*C*); 167.9 and 168.2 (*C*=O); 181.9 (2–*C*–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1h**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1h** was prepared from chloro[1-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzyl)- 3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene]silver(I) (302 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.23 g (87%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{56}H_{54}N_6O_4PdCl_2$ : C: 63.91, H: 5.17, N: 7.99. Found: C: 64.01, H: 5.20, N: 8.04. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ (ppm) = 2.77 (m, 4H, –(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 4.16 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ –, *J*: 7.5 Hz); 5.23 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ –, *J*: 7.5 Hz); 2.27 and 2.35 (s,  $18H, CH_2C_6H_2(CH_3)$ 3); 6.18 (s, 4H,  $-CH_2C_6H_2(CH_3)$ 3), 6.38–7.82 (m, 20H, Ar–*H*). 13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO $d<sub>6</sub>$ ) δ (ppm) = 20.9 and 21.1 (-CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); 29.0 ( $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ ); 36.3 ( $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N$ ); 46.3 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N); 49.6 (–CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>); 110.3, 111.8, 122.8, 123.2, 128.2, 129.6, 132.0, 132.2, 133.8, 134.3, 134.5, 138.2, 138.5 ve 138.9 (Ar–**C**); 168.1 (**C**=O); 182.0 (**C**–Pd).

#### **Preparation of complex 1i**

According to the same procedure as for complex **1a,** complex **1i** was prepared from chloro[1-naftalenomethyl-3-(*N*-propylphthalimide)benzimidazol-2-ylidene] silver(I) (306 mg. 0.52 mmol). Yield: 0.23 g (84%). Anal. Calc. for  $C_{58}H_{46}N_6O_4PdCl_2$ : C: 65.21, H: 4.34, N: 7.87. Found: C: 65.14, H: 4.32, N: 7.85. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ  $(ppm) = 2.83$  (m, 4H,  $(-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N-);$  4.21 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)CH_2CH_2CH_2N-, J: 7.2 Hz$ ; 5.18 (t, 4H,  $-(C_6H_4)$ )  $CH_2CH_2CH_2N-, J: 7.2 Hz$ ; 6.19 (s, 4H,  $-CH_2C_{10}H_7$ ); 6.72–7.88 (m, 30H, Ar–*H*). 13C NMR (75.47 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ ) δ (ppm) = 29.1 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N–); 36.3 (–(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N-); 46.3 (-(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N-); 49.5 ( $CH_2C_{10}H_7$ ); 110.4, 111.3, 122.3, 123.2, 125.2, 125.9, 126.2, 126.8, 128.3, 128.9, 130.3, 130.9, 132.3, 133.1, 133.9, 134.7 ve 134.8 (Ar–*C*); 168.3 (*C*=O); 182.6 (2–*C*–Pd).

## **Procedure for arylation of furan, thiophene and thiazole**

The heteroaryl derivatives (2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-*n*-butylthiophene and 2-isopropylthiazole) (2 mmol), the aryl bromide derivatives (4-bromo acetophenone, 4-bromoanisole, 4-bromotoluene and 4-bromobenzene) (1 mmol), KOAc (1 mmol) and bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes  $1a-i$ (0.003 mmol) were dissolved in *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) (2 mL) in a small Schlenk tube under argon as described in the literature [[31](#page-6-16)]. The mixture was stirred in an oil bath at 130 °C for 1 h, then cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was purifed by column chromatography (silica gel 60–120 mesh) using diethyl ether/*n*-hexane (1:5) as eluent to afford the pure product. The purities of the compounds were checked by GC and GC–MS. Conversions were calculated based on the aryl bromide.

## **Results and discussion**

#### **Synthesis of bis-(NHC)PdX<sub>2</sub> parent complexes (1a–i)**

The synthetic route for the *N*-propylphthalimide substituted bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes is illustrated in Scheme [1.](#page-3-0) The bis- $(NHC)PdX_2$  complexes 1a-i were prepared from the corresponding *N*-propylphthalimide substituted Ag(I) NHC complexes via transmetallation, as reported in the lit-erature [[32\]](#page-6-17). The bis-(NHC)PdX<sub>2</sub> complexes were obtained as light yellow solids in 60–89% yields. Resistant to air and moisture, the complexes are soluble in solvents such as DMF and DMSO, but less soluble in halogenated solvents such as chloroform and dichloromethane. Formation of the *N*-propylphthalimide substituted complexes was confirmed by FTIR,  ${}^{1}H$  NMR and  ${}^{13}C$  NMR spectroscopy and by elemental analysis. The 13C NMR spectra of the complexes reveal the Pd–C(carbene) signal as a singlet between at 181.0 and 182.6 ppm [[33](#page-6-18)], instead of the Ag–C(carbene) singlet observed between 188 and 190 ppm for the Ag(I)NHC complexes [[34\]](#page-6-19), confrming the successful



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Scheme 1** Synthesis of *N*-propylphthalimide substituted bis-(NHC)PdX<sub>2</sub> complexes  $1a$ –**i**. X = Cl or Br

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2** Catalysis of the direct arylation of 2-*n*-butylfuran with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**i** (see Scheme [2](#page-4-0))

<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Table 3** Catalysis of the direct arylation of 2-*n*-butylthiophene with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**i** (see Scheme [3\)](#page-5-0)

	1



*Reaction conditions* 2-*n*-butylfuran (2 mmol), aryl bromide (1 mmol), complexes **1a**–**i** (0.003 mmol), KOAc (1 mmol), DMAc (2 mL), 130 °C, 1 h. Product purity was checked by GC and NMR, conversions were calculated according to the aryl bromide

*Reaction conditions* 2-*n*-butylthiophene (2 mmol), aryl bromide (1 mmol), complex **1a**–**i** (0.003 mmol), KOAc (1 mmol), DMAc (2 mL), 130 °C, 1 h. Product purity was checked by GC and NMR, conversions were calculated according to the aryl bromide



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Scheme 2** The direct arylation reaction of 2-*n*-butylfuran with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**i**



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Scheme 3** The direct arylation reaction of 2-*n*-butylthiophene with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**i**

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 4** Catalysis of the direct arylation of 2-isopropylthiazole with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**e** (see Scheme [4](#page-5-2))

Entry	R	Pd(II)NHC	$%$ Conv.
$\mathbf{1}$	$-COCH3$	1a	94
2	$-COCH3$	1 <sub>b</sub>	99
3	$-COCH3$	1c	96
$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	$-COCH3$	1 <sub>d</sub>	99
5	$-COCH3$	1e	98
6	$-OCH3$	1a	71
7	$-OCH3$	1 <sub>b</sub>	89
8	$-OCH3$	1c	92
9	$-OCH3$	1 <sub>d</sub>	91
10	$-OCH3$	1e	80
11	$-CH3$	1a	99
12	$-CH3$	1 <sub>b</sub>	99
13	$-CH3$	1c	89
14	$-CH3$	1 <sub>d</sub>	87
15	$-CH3$	1e	84
16	$-H$	1a	96
17	$-H$	1 <sub>b</sub>	73
18	$-H$	1c	78
19	-H	1 <sub>d</sub>	77
20	$-H$	1e	81

*Reaction conditions* 2-isopropylthiazole (2 mmol), aryl bromide (1 mmol), complex **1a**–**e** (0.003 mmol), KOAc (1 mmol), DMAc (2 mL), 130 °C, 1 h. Product purity was checked by GC, conversions were calculated according to the aryl bromide

transmetallation reaction. The results of the elemental analysis were in good agreement with the theoretical values. The FTIR spectra of all of the complexes **1a–i** show a strong band at [1](#page-1-0)426–1465 cm<sup>-1</sup> for  $\nu(CN)$  (Table 1). Unfortunately, despite all our efforts, we could not obtain a single crystal from these new complexes for X-ray difraction studies.

# **Direct arylation of 2‑***n***‑butylfuran, 2‑***n***‑butylthiophene and 2‑isopropylthiazole**

We have investigated the direct arylation of para-substituted aryl bromides with 2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-*n*-butylthiophene and 2-isopropylthiazole in the presence of **1a–i** as catalyst. Product conversions for 2-*n*-butylfuran were between 60 and 99%, for 2-*n*-butylthiophene between 51 and 99%, and for 2-isopropylthiazole between 71 and 99% (Tables [2](#page-4-1), [3](#page-4-2) and [4](#page-5-1)).

Initially, we investigated the reactions of 2-*n*-butylfuran with 4-bromoacetophenone, 4-bromoanisole, 4-bromotoluene and 4-bromobenzene using complexes **1a**–**i** as catalysts. Conversions of 77–97%, 60–96%, 61–99% and 70–85% were observed for  $R = -COCH_3$ ,  $-OCH_3$ ,  $-CH_3$  and  $-H$ , respectively (Table [2\)](#page-4-1). Generally, the conversions for substrates containing electron-withdrawing groups were higher than those for substituents containing electron-donating groups.

Next, we investigated the reactions of 2-*n*-butylthiophene with 4-bromoacetophenone, 4-bromoanisole, 4-bromotoluene and 4-bromobenzene using complexes **1a–i** as catalysts. Conversions of 92–99%, 51–81%, 61–94% and 91–99% were observed  $R = -COCH_3$ ,  $-OCH_3$ ,  $-CH_3$  and  $-H$ , respectively (Table [3\)](#page-4-2).

Finally, we investigated the reactions of 2-isopropylthiazole with 4-bromoacetophenone, 4-bromoanisole, 4-bromotoluene and 4-bromobenzene using complexes **1a**–**e** as catalysts. Conversions of 96–99%, 71–92%, 84–99% and 73–96% were observed  $R = -COCH_3$ ,  $-OCH_3$ ,  $-CH_3$  and –H, respectively (Table [4](#page-5-1)). When compared to similar stud-ies [\[32](#page-6-17), [35](#page-6-20)] published recently, the bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes that we have synthesized in this work appear to be highly active catalysts.

## **Conclusions**

We have reported the synthesis of *N*-propylphthalimide substituted bis-(NHC) $PdX_2$  complexes from the corresponding Ag(I)NHC complexes via transmetallation. The catalytic activities of these *N*-propylphthalimide substituted bis-  $(NHC)PdX<sub>2</sub>$  complexes show that they are efficient and stable catalysts for the direct arylation reactions of 2-*n*-butylfuran, 2-*n*-butylthiophene and 2-isopropylthiazole with aryl bromides.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Scheme 4** The direct arylation reaction of 2-isopropylthiazole with aryl bromides by complexes **1a**–**e**



**Acknowledgements** This work was fnancially supported by İnönü University Research Fund (IUBAP 2012/02) and the authors acknowledge İnönü University Scientifc and Technology Center for the elemental analyses of the compounds.

## **References**

- <span id="page-6-10"></span>1. Kakiuchi F, Murai S (2002) Acc Chem Res 35:826
- 2. Miura M, Nomura M (2002) Top Curr Chem 219:211
- 3. Kakiuchi F, Chatani N (2003) Adv Synth Catal 345:1077
- 4. Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M (2007) Chem Rev 107:174
- 5. Sun L, Li H, Yu D-G, Yu M, Zhou X, Lu X-Y, Huang K, Zheng S-F, Li B-J, Shi Z-J (2010) Nat Chem 2:1044
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>6. Liu W, Cao H, Lei A (2010) Angew Chem Int Ed 49:2004
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>7. Stanforth SP (1998) Tetrahedron 54:263
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>8. Hassan M, Se'vignon M, Gozzi C, Shulz E, Lemaire M (2002) Chem Rev 102:1359
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>9. Miura M, Nomura M (2002) Top Curr Chem 219:211
- 10. Kakiuchi F, Chatani N (2003) Adv Synth Catal 345:1077
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>11. Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M (2007) Chem Rev 107:174
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>12. Yokooji A, Satoh T, Miura M, Nomura M (2004) Tetrahedron 60:6757
- 13. Campeau L-C, Rousseaux S, Fagnou K (2005) J Am Chem Soc 127:18020
- 14. Do H-Q, Khan RMK, Daugulis O (2008) J Am Chem Soc 130:15185
- 15. Liégault B, Lapointe D, Caron L, Vlassova A, Fagnou KJ (2009) Org Chem 74:1826
- 16. Ueda K, Yanagisawa S, Yamaguchi J, Itami K (2010) Angew Chem Int Ed 49:8946
- 17. Tamba S, Fuji R, Mori A, Hara K, Koumura N (2011) Chem Lett  $40.922$
- 18. Fu HY, Chen L, Doucet H (2012) J Org Chem 77:4473
- <span id="page-6-2"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span>19. Reddy VP, Qiu R, Iwasaki T, Kambe N (2013) Org Lett 15:1290 20. Bheeter CB, Chen L, Soulé J-F, Doucet H (2016) Catal Sci Tech
	- nol 6:2005
- 21. Yuan K, Doucet H (2013) Chem Cat Chem 5:3495
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>22. Tsurugi H, Yamamoto K, Nagae H, Kaneko H, Mashima K (2014) Dalton Trans 43:2331
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>23. Khake SM, Jagtap RA, Dangat YB, Gonnade RG, Vanka K, Punji B (2016) Organometallics 35:875
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>24. Abdellaoui F, Youssef C, Ammar HB, Roisnel T, Soulé J-F, Doucet H (2016) ACS Catal 6:4248
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>25. Akkoç S, Gök Y (2015) Inorg Chim Acta 429:34
- 26. Yiğit M, Yiğit B, Gök Y (2016) Inorg Chim Acta 453:23
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>27. Lin N-C, Syu HJH, Naziruddin AR, Liu F-C, Lin IJB (2017) RSC Adv 7:11652
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>28. Herrmann WA (2002) Angew Chem Int Ed 41:1290
- 29. Peris E, Crabtree RH (2004) Coord Chem Rev 248:2239
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>30. Burling S, Whittlesey MK, Williams JMJ (2005) Adv Synth Catal 347:591
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>31. Zhang CM, Trudell ML (2000) Tetrahedron Lett 41:595
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>32. Akkoç S, Gök Y (2014) Appl Organometal Chem 28:854
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>33. Sarı Y, Aktaş A, Barut Celepci D, Gök Y, Aygün M (2017) Catal Lett 147:2340
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>34. Gök Y, Akkoç S, Erdoğan H, Albayrak S (2016) J Enzym Inhib Med Chem 31:1322
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>35. Yiğit M, Yiğit B, Gök Y (2016) Inorg Chim Acta 453:23