Reactions of sulfur(IV) with an octahedral manganese(IV) complex of 1,8-bis(2-hydroxy benzamido)-3,6-diaza octane: the role of phenolate-amide-amine coordination

Suprava Nayak and Anadi C. Dash* Department of Chemistry, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar 751 004, India

Received 29 November 2004; accepted 21 January 2005

Abstract

The Mn^{IV} complex of tetra-deprotonated 1,8-bis(2-hydroxybenzamide)-3,6-diazaoctane (Mn^{IV}L) engrossed in phenolate-amido-amine coordination is reduced by HSO₃⁻ and SO₃²⁻ in the pH range 3.15–7.3 displaying biphasic kinetics, the Mn^{III}L⁻ being the reactive intermediate. The Mn^{III}L⁻ species has been characterized by u.v.-vis. spectra { λ_{max} , (ϵ , dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 285(15 570), 330 sh (7570), 469(6472), 520 sh (5665), pH = 5.42}. SO₄²⁻ was the major oxidation product of S^{IV}; dithionate is also formed (18 ± 2% of [Mn^{IV}]_T) which suggests that dimerisation of SO₃^{-•} is competitive with its fast oxidation by Mn^{IV/III}. The rates and activation parameters for Mn^{IV}L + HSO₃⁻⁻ (SO₃²⁻) \rightarrow Mn^{III}L⁻; Mn^{III}L⁻ + HSO₃⁻⁻ (SO₃²⁻) \rightarrow Mn^{III}L²⁻ are reported at 28.5–45.0 °C (*I* = 0.3 mol dm⁻³, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O). Reduction by SO₃²⁻ is *ca*. eight times faster than by HSO₃⁻⁻ both for Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III}L⁻. There was no evidence of HSO₃^{-/}/SO₃²⁻ coordination to the Mn centre indicating an outer sphere (ET) mechanism which is further supported by an isokinetic relationship. The self exchange rate constant (k_{22}) for the redox couple, Mn^{III}L⁻/Mn^{IV}L (1.5 × 10⁶ dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 25 °C) is reported.

Introduction

This work stems from our research activity on the reactions of sulfur(IV) with transition metal ions and their complexes in solution [1]. Manganese is a trace metal ion with potential biological activity as it is present in several oxido-reductase and hydrolytic enzymes [2].

One of the most important biological reactions is water oxidation which is brought about by a polymeric manganese complex (dimer of dimers) involving the metal ion in higher oxidation states in the photosystem II [2, 3]. The biomimetic chemistry of water oxidation has, therefore, aroused great concern and has led to tremendous advancement of our knowledge on synthetic, structural and kinetic studies of polynuclear manganese complexes involving the metal ion in +2, +3 and +4 oxidation states [1–4]. However, there are scanty reports on the synthesis and structural aspects of mononuclear Mn^{III/IV} complexes [5]. The reports on their kinetic investigations are also very limited [6]. We have chosen an octahedral Mn^{IV} complex of 1,8-bis(2-hydroxybenzamido)-3,6-diazaoctane (LH₄), with potential N_4O_2 donor functions i.e. two amido, two phenolate and two sec-NH in the ligand frame. The complex Mn^{IV}L (I) in which the amide and phenol groups are deprotonated has been fully structurally characterized by Chakravorty *et al.* [5f]. Our intention was to investigate the kinetics and mechanism of the reactions of sulfur(IV) $(SO_2, HSO_3^-, SO_3^{2-})$ with this Mn^{IV} complex in order to examine the role of the *phenolate-amide-amine* coordination on the stability of the +4 oxidation state of Mn in its complex. We also hoped that this study would further our knowledge of the possible reactions of sulfur(IV) on Mn based biologically active molecules.



^{*}Author for correspondence.

Experimental

Materials

 Mn^{III} acetate, $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$, was a Merck-Schuchardt (Germany) product.

MeOH was G.R. grade (Merck, purity 99.8%). Double distilled H_2O was used; the second distillation was made from alkaline KMnO₄ using all-glass distillation apparatus. All other chemicals used in the kinetic study were of analytical grade. Sodium μ -oxo-tetraoxodisulfate, $Na_2S_2O_5$, was the source of S^{IV} which is very stable in the solid state and rapidly hydrolyses in aqueous medium to an equilibrium mixture of SO₂- $HSO_3^{-}-SO_3^{2-}$ · NaClO₄ was prepared by mixing freshly prepared and standardized stock solutions of NaOH and HClO₄ (each 2 mol dm⁻³) and digesting at \sim 70 °C in a water bath. The stock solution of NaClO₄ $(1 \text{ mol } dm^{-3})$ was adjusted to pH 6 and was estimated for Na⁺ by a combined ion-exchange alkalimetric procedure. The resins Dowex $50W \times 8$ (Na⁺) and Amberlite IRA 400 (Cl⁻) used for ion-exchange experiments were pre-treated with alkaline H_2O_2 . The cation and anion exchange resins were then converted into H^{+} and SO_4^{2-} forms, respectively, with HClO₄ and Na₂SO₄.

Physical measurements

Electronic spectra were recorded on a Varian 1E u.v.vis. spectrophotometer and a Systronic (India) spectrophotometer model 119 using 10 mm matched quartz cells. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet FT-IR spectrometer using a KBr disc. The micro analytical data were collected using a Perkin-Elmer 240C elemental analyzer. Manganese was estimated using a Varian AA 1475 atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The pH measurements were made by a Systronics (India) digital pH meter 335 equipped with a combined glass -Ag/AgCl, Cl^- (2 mol dm⁻³ NaCl) electrode. NBS buffers of pH 4.01, 6.86 and 9.20 were used to check the performance of the meter. The pH data were converted into $p[H^+] = (-\log [H^+])$ by a calibration curve using HClO₄ in the range $3.0 \le p[H^+] \le 6.0$ [1a, 7]. The kinetic measurements were made spectrophotometrically using a Systronics spectrophotometer model 119.

Preparation of the ligand and the complex

The ligand, 1,8-bis(2-hydroxybenzamido)-3,6-diazaoctane (LH₄) and its complex, Mn^{IV}L, 4H₂O, were synthesized as described by Chandra and Chakravorty [5g], except that methyl salicylate was used instead of ethyl salicylate. (MnC₂₀H₃₀N₄O₈ calcd.: Mn, 10.8; C, 47.2; H, 5.9; N, 11.0%. Found: Mn, 10.6; C, 47.4; H, 5.9; N, 10.8%). λ_{max} (ϵ , dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 525sh (5110), 465(5881), 335sh(7219), 286 (15270) (10% MeOH + H₂O) in

satisfactory agreement with the data reported by Chandra and Chakravorthy [5g]. IR (v, cm⁻¹):3415, 3094 ($v_{str.}$ for H₂O, N–H of the sec. amine); 1595, 1571, 1515, 1466, 1447, [v_{str} (C=O) of the deprotonated sec. amide, bending mode of H₂O and N–H (sec. amine), and C=C skeletal vibration of aromatic groups], 1359, 1235 [$v_{str.}$ (C–O of the coordinated phenolate), $v_{str.}$ (C–N, sec. amine)], 668 ($v_{str.}$ Mn^{IV}–O), 574 ($v_{str.}$ Mn^{IV}–N); 418 (deformation of C–N sec. amine) [8]. Absence of bands in the range 1700–1630 cm⁻¹ stands in support of the deprotonated amide coordinated to Mn^{IV}.

Kinetics

The kinetics of acid catalyzed decomposition and S^{IV} oxidation of Mn^{IV}L were investigated spectrophotometrically (469 nm) under pseudo-first order conditions; 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O medium was used due to the solubility limitations of the complex in a fully aqueous medium. The stock complex solution was prepared in 40% MeOH + H₂O and was protected from light. The concentration of the complex in the reaction mixture was varied within the limits $\{(6.5-8.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}\}$. The acid catalyzed decomposition of Mn^{IV}L was studied at $0.05 \leq [\text{H}^+]_{\text{T}} \mod \text{dm}^{-3} \leq 0.6$ ($I = 0.6 \mod \text{dm}^{-3}$, 28.50 °C). The S^{IV} oxidation was studied as a function of pH (3.15 \leq pH \leq 7.3) and [S^{IV}]_T (0.005 \leq [S^{IV}]_T mol dm⁻³ \leq 0.10) at I = 0.3 mol dm⁻³ (28.5 $\leq t/$ $^{\circ}C \leq 45.0$). Dilute AcONa/AcOH and MES buffers [9] were used to control pH. Some runs were also made using self-buffered HSO_3^{-}/SO_3^{2-} (pH > 6.5). The absorbance (A)-time (t/s) curves (Figure 1) were biexponentials (Equation 1),

$$A_t = A_{\infty} + C_1 \exp(-k_{obs}^{f} t) + C_2 \exp(-k_{obs}^{s} t)$$
(1)

characteristic of consecutive reactions:

$$\mathbf{Mn}^{\mathbf{IV}}\mathbf{L} \stackrel{k^{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{obs}}}{\to} \mathbf{INT} \stackrel{k^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{obs}}}{\to} \mathbf{F}$$

for which

$$C_1 = A_0 - A'_0 \frac{k_{obs}^{f}}{(k_{obs}^{f} - k_{obs}^{s})}$$
 and $C_2 = A'_0 \frac{k_{obs}^{f}}{(k_{obs}^{f} - k_{obs}^{s})}$

where A_0 and A'_0 denote the absorbances of Mn^{IV}L and the intermediate (INT) respectively for the same total concentration [10]. Mn^{IV}L and the intermediate were the absorbing species at the working wavelength with little contribution of absorbance from the end products. A_{∞} was <0.02 and $A_0 - A_{\infty}$ was ~0.5 absorbance units (for [Mn^{IV}L]_T = 8.5 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³). As a check a few runs were also made in nitrogen saturated solutions. There was practically no measurable effect on the kinetics and the values of k_{obs} agreed with those obtained for solutions under identical conditions which were not rigorously deaerated.



Fig. 1. (a) Absorbance (469 nm) *versus* time plot showing biphasic kinetics for the reduction of $Mn^{IV}L$ by S^{IV} : $[Mn^{IV}L]_T = 8.3 \times 10^{-5}$, $[S^{IV}]_T = 0.03$, I = 0.3 mol dm⁻³, pH = 5.3 (28.5 °C, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O); (b) showing the decay of $[Mn^{IV}]_T$, growth and decay of $[Mn^{III}]_T$ and growth of $[Mn^{II}]_T$ with time (*t*): $F = [Mn^{IV}]_t / [Mn^{IV}]_T$ (\bullet), $[Mn^{III}]_t / [Mn^{IV}]_T$ (\bullet).

Results and discussion

Preliminary observations

Repetitive spectral scans of the complex Mn^{IV}L under ambient conditions at $5.0 \times 10^{-4} \le [\text{H}^+] \pmod{\text{dm}^{-3}} \le$ $5.0\times10^{-3}~(10\%~(v/v)~MeOH~+~H_2O)$ over extended time showed that it does not undergo decomposition at pH > 3. However, the repetitive spectral scans at $[H^+] \ge 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ indicated the slow acid catalyzed decomposition of the complex. In the process the $\lambda_{\rm max}$ of the complex at 286 nm is replaced by a maximum at 298 nm with complete disappearance of its absorption maxima at 335, 465 and 525 nm. There is apparently no indication of the build up of any stable intermediate. The final spectrum over long time intervals virtually agreed with the spectrum of the mixture of Mn^{II} and the ligand at the same acidity. It is also interesting to note that the final spectrum of the reaction mixture at $[H^+] \ge 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ is not essentially different from that of the ligand alone which is diprotonated at the same acidity $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 298 \text{ nm for } \text{LH}_6^{2+})$. Since the spectrum of $\text{Mn}_{\text{VL}}^{\text{IV}}$ is lost, and there is no evidence of residual Mn^{III} from the spectral features of the end product, we conclude that the acid catalyzed decomposition of Mn^{IV}L is essentially a redox process. Since the ligand concentration was not significantly affected, we were led to believe that Mn^{IV}L oxidized MeOH and/or water in moderate acidic conditions, This was further put to test by performing the following experiments. (1) The complex, Mn^{IV}L, was dissolved in fully aqueous medium and in 90% (v/v) MeOH + H_2O in the presence of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄ and its u.v.-vis. spectrum was scanned over an extended period. Strikingly the final spectrum in both cases displayed the same characteristic features as mentioned above $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 298 \text{ nm}).$ (2) A known amount of the complex (Mn^{IV}L) was dissolved in MeOH acidified with dilute H₂SO₄ and the mixture was set aside until the reaction was complete as indicated by total fading of the yellowish brown color of the acidified solution. It was divided into two parts. One part was tested with Tollen's reagent (ammoniacal AgNO₃) which indicated reduction of Ag⁺ (confirmed by dissolving the precipitated black particles after careful washing with distilled H₂O, in dilute HNO₃ and then adding NaCl solution when a curdy white precipitate of AgCl was produced). The second part was treated with an acidified MeOH solution of 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazine which developed orange red colouration characteristic of the 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazone thereby indicating the formation of formaldehyde as an product oxidation of MeOH. Mn¹^VL (3) $(8.9 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3})$ was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride ($^{+}NH_{3}OH$, Cl⁻) (0.01 mol dm⁻³) at pH 5.9 (10% MeOH + H_2O). The reduction of Mn^{IV}L by hydroxylamine at this pH was slow as indicated by the repetitive spectral scans. However, the final spectrum (after 30 h) displayed only one absorption maximum at 298 nm with intensity comparable to that of $(Mn^{II} + LH_4)$ (each 8.9×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³) in $[H^+] = 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ as stated above. We feel that this is compelling evidence in favour of H⁺ promoted oxidation of both MeOH and H₂O by Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III} intermediates. It is also important to note that Mn^{II}, the end product, is not oxidized by dissolved oxygen in the presence of the diprotonated ligand in moderately acidic medium ([H⁺] ≥ 0.05 mol dm⁻³).

Acid catalyzed decomposition of Mn^{IV}L

The rate of H⁺ promoted decomposition of Mn^{IV}L was studied at [H⁺] = 0.05 – 0.6 and $I = 0.6 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ (10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O, 28.5 °C). The biphasic nature of the reaction is interpreted as H⁺ promoted reduction of Mn^{IV}L by H₂O and MeOH as shown in Equation (2) where INT is a protonated Mn^{III} complex, Mn^{III}LH and the product P is the corresponding Mn^{II} complex,

$$Mn^{IV}L + H^{+} \xrightarrow[H_{2O}, MeOH]{k_{obs}^{l}} INT + H^{+} \xrightarrow[H_{2O}, MeOH]{k_{obs}^{s}} P \qquad (2)$$

 k_{obs}^{f} and k_{obs}^{s} denote the observed pseudo-first order rate constants for the fast and slow phases of the overall reactions respectively. The product P is, however, expected to be equilibrated between the free ligand, its protonated forms and intermediate Mn^{II} complexes (Mn^{II}LH⁻, Mn^{II}LH₂ *etc.*) as also Mn(OH₂)₆²⁺. The radicals generated in the oxidation of H₂O/MeOH are rapidly mopped up by Mn^{IV/III}. The H⁺ dependence of $k_{obs}^{f}(k_{obs}^{s})$ (see Table 1) conform to $k_{obs}^{f(s)} = k_{0}^{f(s)} + k_{H}^{f(s)}[H^+]$ (Figure 2), and yielded $10^{3}k_{0}^{f}(10^{4}k_{0}^{s}) = -0.25 \pm 0.20$ (-0.0012 ± 0.064) s⁻¹and $10^{3}k_{H}^{f}(10^{4}k_{H}^{s}) = 9.3 \pm 0.6$ (2.0 ± 0.2) dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. This further confirmed that there is virtually no acid-independent reaction of Mn^{IV}L as well as the intermediate (INT).

$Mn^{IV}L-S^{IV}$ reactions

Repetitive spectral scans of the mixtures of $Mn^{IV}L(1.04 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3})$ and S^{IV} ([S^{IV}]_T = 0.010 mol dm⁻³, 34.0 °C, pH = 4, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O) displayed a decrease of absorbance with time at

Table 1. Observed rate constants for the acid catalyzed decompostion of $Mn^{IV}L^a$

$[HClO_4] (mol dm^{-3})$	$10^3 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm f} ({\rm s}^{-1})$	$10^4 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm s} ({\rm s}^{-1})$
0.05	0.20 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.01
0.10	0.53 ± 0.01	$0.20~\pm~0.01$
0.20	1.96 ± 0.02	$0.38~\pm~0.01$
0.30	$2.60~\pm~0.05$	$0.65~\pm~0.01$
0.40	3.20 ± 0.10	$0.78~\pm~0.02$
0.50	$4.07~\pm~0.06$	$0.88~\pm~0.03$
0.60	$5.63~\pm~0.07$	$1.35~\pm~0.03$

$10^3 k_0^{\rm f \ b,c}$	$-0.25 \pm 0.20;$	$10^4 k_0^{\rm s \ b,d}$	-0.001 ± 0.06
$10^{3} k_{\rm H}^{\rm f \ b,c}$	$9.3 \pm 0.6;$	$10^4 k_{\rm H}^{\rm s \ b, d}$	2.0 ± 0.2

^a28.5 ± 0.1 °C, $[Mn^{IV}L]_T = 8.29 \times 10^{-5}$, $I = 0.6 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O, $\lambda = 469 \text{ nm}$.

^bunits: s^{-1} and $dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} s^{-1}$ for k_0 and k_H , respectively. ^{c,d}Corr. coeff. 0.99(c), 0.98(d).



Fig. 2. The plots showing the dependence of k_{obs} (s⁻¹) on [H⁺] (mol dm⁻³) for the acid catalysed decomposition of Mn^{IV}L { \bullet : Y = 10³ $k_{obs}^{f}(s^{-1})$ } and Mn^{III}L⁻ { \blacksquare : Y = 10⁴ $k_{obs}^{f}(s^{-1})$ } at 28.5 °C. [Mn^{IV}L]_T = 8.3 × 10⁻⁵, I = 0.6 mol dm⁻³, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O.

 $200 \le \lambda$ nm ≤ 700 . The intensity of absorption at $\lambda_{max} ca$. 300 nm steadily decreased to a minimum, while the maxima at $\lambda > 300$ nm completely vanished. Similar observations were also made at higher pH and $[S^{IV}]_{T}$. The lack of isosbestic point(s) showed that the reaction engendered no stable Mn^{III} intermediate in the presence of an excess of S^{IV}. The spectrum of the end product matched with that of the mixture of Mn^{II}, $[S^{IV}]$ and the ligand under similar conditions, thus suggesting that Mn^{IV} complex was reduced by S^{IV}.

Stoichiometry

The reaction mixture $(10 \text{ cm}^3, [\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{L}]_{\text{T}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}, [\text{S}^{\text{IV}}]_{\text{T}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, \text{ pH} = 4.0, 10\% (v/v)$ MeOH + H₂O, 30 °C) was allowed to undergo complete reduction of Mn^{IV} to Mn^{II} after which it was acidified with HClO₄ to pH 1 and subjected to cation exchange with Dowex 50W \times 8 resin (H⁺) to remove Mn^{II} and the diprotonated ligand. The resulting solution was then flushed with N_2 to remove dissolved SO_2 and then BaCl₂ solution was added which resulted in the formation of a white precipitate, insoluble in hot HCl, thus confirming the presence of SO_4^{2-} Simultaneously a blank experiment in the absence of Mn^{IV}L was also performed for the sake of comparison. Quantitative estimation of $S_2O_6^{2-}$ was done as follows. In another experiment the reaction mixture, after completion of reduction and removal of SO2, was subjected to anion exchange with IRA 400 ($SO_4^{\frac{2}{2}}$ form) resin. The resin was then thoroughly washed with distilled H₂O and then eluted with 0.4 mol dm⁻³ Na₂SO₄. The collected effluent (100 cm³) was treated with an excess of Cr^{VI} in 1 mol dm⁻³ H₂SO₄ and heated on a water bath at 70 °C for 4 h, and then made up to a required volume with 1 mol dm⁻³ H₂SO₄. The unreacted Cr^{VI} was estimated spectrophotometrically (348 nm) as reported by Carlyle [11]. A calibration curve using a known amount of sodium dithionate was also made. Replica experiments indicated that dithionate was produced to the extent of $18 \pm 2\%$ of $[Mn^{IV}]_T$. A quantitative estimation of SO_4^{2-} by the BaSO₄ precipitation method always yielded a high value of SO42- even after making blank correction. This is attributed to the dissolved oxygen interference on the overall stoichiometry. However, oxygen interference was insignificant as regards the kinetics of $Mn^{IV}L/Mn^{III}L + S^{IV}$ reaction. Thus the overall stoichiometry of S^{IV} reduction does not conform to a simple relation as shown in Equation (3).

$$Mn^{IV}L + S^{IV} = Mn^{II}L + S^{VI}.$$
(3)

Rather, the oxidation of the $SO_3^{-\bullet}$ intermediate by $Mn^{IV}L/Mn^{III}L$ is competitive with its dimerisation.

Rate data

The rate data are collected in Table 2(a, b). It can be seen that the plots of k_{obs}^{f} and k_{obs}^{s} versus $[S^{IV}]_{T}$ at a given

Table 2. Rate data for the reactions of Mn^{IV}L with S^{Iva}

$[S^{IV}]_T$ mol dm ⁻³	pH^b	$10^3 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm f} \ (10^4 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm s})^{\rm c} \ {\rm s}^{-1}$
		(a) 28.5 \pm 0.1 °C
0.03	3.15	0.68 (2.40)
0.03	3.41	0.08(2.40) 0.52(2.25)
0.03	3 71	0.52(2.23) 0.65(2.83)
0.03	4 1 5	0.59(2.15)
0.03	4 44	0.44(2.33)
0.03	4.74	0.62 (2.68)
0.03	5.07	0.67 (2.22)
0.03	5.30	0.69 (3.12)
0.03	5.70	0.88 (4.10)
0.05	4.86	1.12 (4.30)
0.06	4.90	1.27 (4.56)
0.08	4.86	1.70 (6.14)
0.10	4.84	1.89 (10.2)
0.005	6.13	0.35 (1.17)
0.01	6.71	0.81 (3.94)
0.015	7.13	2.21 (8.00)
0.02	7.13	3.01 (10.9)
0.03	6.73	3.37 (12.8)
0.03	7.19	3.23 (18.1)
0.05	7.33	6.49 (30.5)
0.06	7.30	7.25 (35.1)
0.07	7.31	8.05 (40.0)
		35.0 ± 0.1 °C
0.03	3.27	4.05 (6.41)
0.03	3.50	3.58 (5.83)
0.03	4.12	3.22 (5.11)
0.03	4.26	3.59 (5.45)
0.03	4.46	3.76 (5.74)
0.03	4.57	4.10 (5.65)
0.03	5.10	5.02 (5.76)
0.03	5.35	4.85 (5.82)
0.03	5.50	5.61 (6.48)
0.03	5.78	5.27(7.52)
0.05	5.99	0.02(8.44) 0.78(1.67)
0.005	5.45	0.78(1.07) 1.04(2.32)
0.01	5.50	1.94(2.32) 2 13 (4 20)
0.02	5.47	6 70 (8 29)
0.01	4 79	1 11 (2 57)
0.02	4.73	1.83(3.16)
0.04	4 53	1.83 (3.16)
0.05	4.27	6.02 (8.30)
0.06	4.17	7.82 (11.2)
0.005	5.60	0.84 (1.64)
0.03	6.39	- (12.6)
0.03	6.61	- (16.4)
0.05	5.57	- (9.77)
0.06	5.37	- (10.4)
0.07	5.45	- (12.1)
0.09	3.80	- (16.5)
0.01	6.54	3.12 (9.38)
0.01	6.84	4.08 (10.8)
0.01	7.04	4.67 (14.5)
		(b) $45.0 \pm 0.1 \ ^{\circ}C$
0.005	3.50	4.50 (1.36)
0.005	4.14	4.30 (2.54)
0.005	4.78	4.57 (3.32)
0.005	5.25	3.40 (3.25)
0.005	6.20	4.70 (3.81)
0.005	6.50	5.00 (5.74)
0.005	6.85	7.90 (7.30)
0.01	3.35	8.75 (5.40)

Table 2. (Continued)

$[S^{IV}]_T$ mol dm ⁻³	pH^b	$10^3 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm f} \ (10^4 k_{\rm obs}^{\rm s})^{\rm c} \ {\rm s}^{-1}$
0.01	3.88	7.81 (4.59)
0.01	4.29	7.50 (4.00)
0.01	4.91	8.50 (3.93)
0.01	5.35	7.95 (4.50)
0.01	6.16	14.1 (8.54)
0.01	6.58	15.6 (10.8)

^a10% (v/v) MeOH + H₂O, $I = 0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, each entry of k_{obs} is from single run, $\sigma(k_{obs})/k_{obs} \le 0.05$. ^bpH = -Log [H⁺], AcONa/AcOH buffer for $3.50 \le pH \le 5.50$

 $([AcO^{-}]_{T} = 0.05 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}), MES \text{ buffer } ([MES]_{T} = 0.05 \text{ mol } dm^{-3})$ for $pH = 5.50 \le pH \le 6.61$.

^cValues in parentheses are for $10^4 k_{obs}^s$.

pH are linear and extrapolate to zero at $[S^{IV}]_T = 0$. This further confirms that there is no detectable $[S^{IV}]$ independent reaction of Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III}L⁻ intermediate at pH \ge 3. The observed pH dependence of k_{obs}^{f} and k_{obs}^{s} at constant [S^{IV}]_T is consistent with HSO₃⁻ and SO₃²⁻ as reducing S^{IV} species in which form [S^{IV}]_T is predominantly speciated at $3.1 \le pH \le 7.3$. The acid dissociation equilibria of SO₂ are represented by Equations (4) and (5) where $S(H)O_3^-$ denote the equilibrium mixture of H-SO3⁻ and H-O-SO2⁻, with the latter predominating in solution [12]. However, no distinction is made regarding the redox reactions of these two forms of bisulfite.

$$\operatorname{SO}_2 \stackrel{(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})K_1}{\rightleftharpoons} \operatorname{S}(\operatorname{H})\operatorname{O}_3^- + \operatorname{H}^+$$
 (4)

$$S(H)O_3^- \stackrel{\kappa_2}{\rightleftharpoons} SO_3^{2-} + H^+$$
(5)

Scheme 1 is proposed for which k_{obs}^{t} and k_{obs}^{s} are given by Equations (6) and (7), respectively.

$$k_{\rm obs}^{\rm f} = \frac{\left(\frac{k_{\rm HSO_3}[{\rm S}^{\rm IV}]_{\rm T} + k_{\rm SO_3}K_2[{\rm S}^{\rm IV}]_{\rm T}}{[{\rm H}^+]}\right)}{\left(\frac{1+K_2}{[{\rm H}^+]}\right)} \tag{6}$$

$$k_{\rm obs}^{\rm s} = \frac{\left(\frac{k_{\rm HSO_3}^{\prime}[{\rm S}^{\rm IV}]_{\rm T} + k_{\rm SO_3}^{\prime}K_2[{\rm S}^{\rm IV}]_{\rm T}}{[{\rm H}^+]}\right)}{\left(\frac{1+K_2}{[{\rm H}^+]}\right)}$$
(7)

where K_2 is the acid dissociation constant of S(H)O₃⁻

and $[S^{IV}]_T = [S(H)O_3^{-1}] + SO_3^{2-\bullet}].$ The values of pK_1 and pK_2 of S^{IV} are 1.8 and 6.8 $(I = 0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, 35 \text{ °C}, 10\% \text{ MeOH} + H_2\text{O})$ [1d]. The k_{obs}^{f} (and k_{obs}^{s}) data in Table 2(a, b) were fitted to Equations (6) and (7) by varying (k_{HSO_3}) , (k_{SO_3}, K_2) , K_2 [and the corresponding parameters of Equation (7)]. The values of K_2 turned out to be essentially constant $\{10^7 K_2 \pmod{\text{dm}^{-3}}:4.7 \pm 1.7, 2.5 \pm 1.2 (28.5 \circ \text{C});$ $1 \pm 3 (35 \text{ °C}); 4.0 \pm 3.5, 1.8 \pm 1.3 (45 \text{ °C})$. Hence a constant value of $K_2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ was chosen for data fitting. The calculated values of the parameters are collected in Table 3. The rate constants and

$$Mn^{IV}L + HSO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{HSO3}} Mn^{III}L^{-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+}$$

$$Mn^{IV}L + SO_{3}^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_{SO3}} Mn^{III}L^{-} + SO_{3}^{-}$$

$$Mn^{IV}L + SO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{fast} Mn^{III}L^{+} + SO_{3}^{-}$$

$$Mn^{III}L^{+} + HSO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{HSO3}} Mn^{III}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+}$$

$$Mn^{III}L^{+} + SO_{3}^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_{SO3}} Mn^{II}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+}$$

$$Mn^{III}L^{+} + SO_{3}^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_{SO3}} Mn^{II}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-}$$

$$S_{2}O_{6}^{2-} \xrightarrow{fast} 2SO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{fast} SO_{3} + SO_{3}^{2-}$$

$$Mn^{II}L^{2-} + H^{+}$$

$$LH_{4} \xrightarrow{2H^{+}} LH_{2}^{2-} + Mn^{II} \xrightarrow{H^{+}} H_{2}O = SO_{3}$$

Scheme 1.

associated activation parameters, ΔH^{\ddagger} , $\Delta S^{\ddagger}\{k = (k_B T/h) \exp(-\Delta H^{\ddagger}/RT + \Delta S^{\ddagger}/R)$ for S^{IV} reduction of some Mn^{III/IV} complexes are compared in Table 4.

columbic concept as $Mn^{IV}L$ is uncharged and its activity coefficient is not sensitive to *I* at low values [13]. The rate constants could be fitted satisfactorily to Equation (9)

Effect of ionic strength

The effect of ionic strength on $k_{obs}{}^{f}(k_{obs}{}^{s})$ was studied at constant pH = (4.75 ± 0.02) and $[S^{IV}]_{T}$ = (0.01 mol dm⁻³) (28.5 °C, I = 0.02 - 0.6 mol dm⁻³). Under these conditions, contributions from the reaction of SO₃²⁻ was negligible. Essentially the reactions can be represented as shown in Equation (8).

$$Mn^{IV}L(Mn^{III}L^{-}) + HSO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{HSO_{3}}(k'_{HSO_{3}})} Mn^{II}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+}$$
(8)

There was virtually no effect of ionic strength on the $Mn^{IV}L-S^{IV}$ reaction at $I \le 0.1$ mol dm⁻³, unlike the $Mn^{III}L^{-}-S^{IV}$ reaction for which there was significant rate acceleration, even at low ionic strength. However, the rate acceleration due to ionic strength was observed for both $Mn^{IV}L-$ and $Mn^{III}L^{-}-S^{IV}$ reactions at I > 0.1 mol dm⁻³. This is to be expected from the

Table 3. Calculated values of rate and activation parameters^a

Temp (°C)	$10^2 k_{\rm HSO_3}^{\rm f}$	$10^2 k_{\mathrm{SO}_3}^{\mathrm{f}}$	$10^3 k_{\mathrm{HSO}_3}^{\mathrm{s}}$	$10^3 k_{\mathrm{SO}_3}^{\mathrm{s}}$
28.5	1.93 ± 0.19	15.5 ± 0.4	8.13 ± 0.41	$74.8~\pm~0.8$
34.5	$12.9~\pm~0.71$	$66.2~\pm~9.4$	$16.2~\pm~0.5$	$107.7~\pm~5.6$
45.0	$81.7~\pm~4.8$	260.7 ± 24.3	$44.7~\pm~2.8$	228.0 ± 14.0
ΔH^{\dagger}	162 ± 27	136 ± 12	$79.7~\pm~3.9$	50.3 ± 2.5
$(kJ mol^{-1})$				
ΔS^{\ddagger}	$262~\pm~88$	192 ± 40	$-21~\pm~12$	$-100~\pm~8$
$(J \ K^{-1} \ mol^{-1})$	1			

^aunits of $k:dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $I = 0.3 \text{ mol} dm^{-3}$, 10% MeOH + H₂O; values of *ca.k*'s refer to $[(10^3/10^4)(k_{cal} - k_{obs})]^2 = 2.3$ (10) [28.5 °C], 19.6 (15.4) [34.5 °C], 16 (5.6) [45.0 °C] for k_{obs}^f/k_{obs}^s a constant value of $K_2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ mol dm⁻³ was used.

$$\log k_{\rm obs}^{\rm f(s)} = \frac{\log k_{\rm 0obs}^{\rm f(s)} + a I^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1+I^{\frac{1}{2}}) + b I}$$
(9)

 $(k_{0\,\text{obs}}^{\text{f(s)}} = k_{\text{obs}}^{\text{f(s)}}$ at I = 0) yielding $a = 0.058 \pm 0.56$ (0.64 \pm 0.22) and $b = 1.2 \pm 0.5(0.88 \pm 0.20)$ for $\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}\text{L/HSO}_3^-$ ($\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}\text{L}^-/\text{HSO}_3^-$) reactions. The values of *a* are in tune with the charge characteristics of the complexes.

The doubly deprotonated amide complex, Mn^{IV}L (I) is thermally stable at pH > 3. It, however, decomposes slowly in moderately acidic solution engendering Mn^{II} species. This is most likely preceded by protonation of the complex, preferably at any one of the equivalent deprotonated amide N-(-N-C=O) as this the most basic site. It must be, however, noted that the pK of the amide (~ 15) is lowered by a factor >14 on coordination to Mn^{IV}, a tremendous perturbing influence of Mn^{IV} comparable to that for the aqua ligand in the ferryl (IV) ion, $Fe_{aq}O^{2+}$ [14]. Somewhat lower pK perturbation has been observed for the amide chelates of divalent and trivalent metal ions [15, 16] and this result fits in with the sequence $M^{II} < M^{III} < M^{IV}$ for the pK of metal ion bound amide. In acidic medium (pH < 2) Mn^{IV}L undergoes slow reduction to Mn^{III}L⁻ by solvent (H₂O and MeOH) which is ultimately reduced to Mn^{II} species by the same reductant displaying biphasic kinetics. This observation, although important from the stand point of oxygen evolving complex (OEC) of photo system II [3, 17] was not further pursued in the present context of the study of S^{IV} reactions.

The u.v.-vis. spectrum of the intermediate, $Mn^{III}L^-$, was generated from the absorbance (A^{λ}) data of the reaction mixture at the time (t_{max}) of its maximum

5	6	6
J	υ	υ

Table 4. A comparison of rate and activation parameters for Mn^{III}L/Mn^{IV}L reduction by sulfur(IV)

Reaction	Conditions t [°C]/ <i>I</i> /medium	$k(\mathrm{dm}^3 \mathrm{\ mol}^{-1} \mathrm{\ s}^{-1})$	$\Delta H^{\dagger} \; (kJ \; mol^{-1})$	$\Delta S^{\dagger}~(J~K^{-1}~mol^{-1})$	Ref.
$HOMn^{III} - OMn^{II} + HSO_3^- \rightarrow 2Mn^{II} + SO_3^-$	25/1.0/aq	1.5×10^{6}			a
$\begin{array}{l} Mn^{III}(cdta)OH_2^- + SO_3^{2-} \rightarrow \\ Mn^{II}(cdta)OH_2^{2-} + SO_3^- \end{array}$	25/0.16/aq	2.27×10^{5}	$48~\pm~1$	17 ± 4	b
$Mn^{III}(cdta)OH_2^- + HSO_3^- \rightarrow Mn^{II}(cdta)OH^{2-} + SO^- + H^+$	30/0.2/aq	22	9 ± 4	-188 ± 42	c
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{acac})_2(\text{OH}_2)_2^+ + \text{HSO}_3^- \to \\ \text{Mn}^{\text{II}}(\text{acac})_2(\text{OH}_2)_2 + \text{HSO}_3^- \to \\ \end{array}$	30/1.0/aq	2.1×10^{-2}			d
$Mn^{III}(acac)_2(OH_2)_2^+ + SO_3^{} \rightarrow Mn^{II}(acac)_2(OH_2)_2 + SO_2^{}$	30/1.0/aq	3.5×10^{-2}			d
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{acac})_2(\text{OH}_2)_2^{-1} + \text{SO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \\ \text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{acac})_2(\text{OH}_2)\text{OH}^{-1} + \text{SO}_3^{-1} \end{array}$	30/1.0/aq	0.41			d
$Mn^{III}(salen)(OH_2)SO_3^- + HSO_3^- →$ $Mn^{II}(salen)(OH_2)SO_3^{2-} + SO_3^-$	30/0.3/aq	3.9×10^{-3}	$49~\pm~5$	-130 ± 20	e
$\begin{array}{l} Mn^{III}(salen)(OH_2)SO_3^- + SO_3^{2-} \rightarrow \\ Mn^{II}(salen)(OH_2)SO_3^{2-} + SO_3^- \end{array}$	30/0.3/aq	2.4×10^{-3}	$42~\pm~7$	-160 ± 20	e
$\begin{array}{l} Mn^{III}L_{1}^{+} + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow Mn^{II}L_{1} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+} \\ Mn^{III}L_{1}^{+} + SO_{2} \cdot H_{2}O \rightarrow Mn^{II}L_{1} + SO_{3} + 2H^{+} \\ Mn^{IV}L + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow Mn^{II}L^{-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+} \end{array}$	30/0.5/aq 30/0.5/aq 30/0.3/ 10% MeOH +	$\begin{array}{c} 0.13 \\ 34.2 \\ 4.0 \times 10^{-2} \end{array}$	162 ± 27	$262~\pm~88$	f f g
$\begin{array}{l} Mn^{IV}L + SO_{3}^{2-} \rightarrow Mn^{II}L^{-} + SO_{3}^{-} \\ Mn^{III}L^{-} + HSO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow Mn^{II}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-} + H^{+} \\ Mn^{III}L^{-} + SO_{3}^{2-} \rightarrow Mn^{II}L^{2-} + SO_{3}^{-} \end{array}$	H ₂ O	0.20 1.0×10^{-2} 8.2×10^{-2}	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 192 \ \pm \ 40 \\ -21 \ \pm \ 12 \\ -100 \ \pm \ 8 \end{array}$	
$\begin{split} [MN^{IV}Mo_{9}^{VI}O_{32}]^{\circ} &+ SO_{2} \cdot H_{2}O \rightarrow \\ [MN^{II}Mo_{6}^{VI}O_{24}]^{-} &+ HMo^{VI}O_{4}^{-} + H^{+}SO_{4}^{2-} \end{split}$	25/variable/aq	3.6×10^{-2}	39.2	-141	h

^a[4e]; ^b[6f]; ^c[6e]; ^d[6b]; ^e[6a]; ^f[6d].

 L_1 -(1,8) bis(5-Br-Salicylidineiminato) 3,6-diazaoctane.

^gThis work; ^h[6g].

formation. The spectrum of Mn^{III}L is strongly pH dependent { λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , dm³ mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 285(15 570),330 sh(7570),469 (6472), 520 sh(5665) at pH 5.42; 295 (8882), 415 sh (2313) in 0.5 mol dm⁻³ HClO₄}. The pH and ionic strength dependence of k_{obs}^{s} and the [H⁺] dependence of the absorption spectrum of the intermediate Mn^{III} complex lead us to suggest that it is most likely monoprotonated and still bears a negative charge conforming to the composition Mn^{III}LH(OH)⁻. This could be possible if one of the coordinated amide functions is opened up during the electron transfer to Mn^{IV}L followed by fast entry of water at the resulting Mn^{III} centre.

It is worth noting that both Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III}L⁻ species are substantially inert to reduction by solvent (H₂O + MeOH) at pH > 3. This further reflects the substantial stabilizing effect offered by the coordination environment of the phenolate–deprotonated amide– amine function of the hexadentate ligand (L) and protection offered by its bulky and hydrophobic skeleton. However, at moderate acidic conditions ([H⁺] $\geq 0.05 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$) Mn^{IV}L is *ca*. 50 times more sensitive to H⁺ - promoted reduction by solvent than Mn^{III}L⁻ ($k_{\text{M}}^{\text{Mn}^{\text{IV}}L}/k_{\text{M}}^{\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}L^-} = 46 \pm 5$) despite the fact that the latter is expected to be relatively more prone to protonation than the former.

The relatively faster reduction of Mn^{IV}L by HSO₃⁻ and SO_3^{2-} in comparison to that for $Mn^{III}L^-$, may be contrasted with the water exchange rates of Mn^{IV} and Mn^{III} ($k_{ex}^{w} = 10^{-2}$ and 10^{5} s^{-1} for Mn^{IV} and Mn^{III} , respectively) [18]. However, in either case HSO_3^{-}/SO_3^{2-} in large excess at pH > 3 did not disturb the coordination environment of Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III}L⁻ as we were unsuccessful in detecting mixed ligand complexes, $Mn^{IV}L(SO_3)^{2-}$ or $Mn^{III}L(SO_3)^{3-}$ (or their protonated forms) in which entry of SO_3^{2-} could have occurred by partial opening of Mn-L bond. A comparison of the rate and activation parameters for the redox reactions of S^{IV} with Mn^{IV}/Mn^{III} complexes are presented in Table 4. A linear plot of $\Delta H^{\#}$ versus $\Delta S^{\#}$ { $\Delta H^{\#}$ $(kj mol^{-1}) = 83.8(\pm 1.6) + 0.289(\pm 0.010) \Delta^{\#}$ $(J K^{-1} mol^{-1})$ excluding the data for Mn^{III}(cdta)OH₂⁻} (corr. coeff. 0.994) irrespective of the oxidation states of Mn and the reducing species, SO₂/HSO₃⁻/SO₃²⁻, is consistent with a common mechanism. It is to be noted that $Mn^{III}(cdta)OH_2^{-}/SO_3^{-2-}$ and $Mn^{III}(cdta)OH_2^{-}/$ HSO₃⁻ deviate remarkably from such a plot. While Mentasti and coworkers [6e] proposed an inner sphere mechanism for the Mn^{III}(cdta)OH₂^{-/}HSO₃⁻, Mehrotra and coworkers [6f] suggested an outer sphere mechanism for Mn^{III}(cdta)OH₂^{-/}SO₃²⁻, assigning the observed deviation in the Marcus plot to the specifics of the

complex. However, the validity of the compensation/ isokinetic plot for most of the complexes (see Table 4), for which evidence of direct coordination of S^{IV} species to the $Mn^{III/IV}$ centre is lacking, is indicative of an outer sphere mechanism. Accordingly the wide variation of the rate and activation parameters reflect substantially a large reorganization energy in attaining the transition state for electron transfer. This energy requirement is very well compensated by the entropy gain due to metalligand bond readjustments and consequent restructuring of the solvation shell.

Based on an outer sphere mechanism with little adiabaticity (transmission coefficient 1) it is possible to calculate the self exchange rate constant (k_{22}) for the $Mn^{IV}L/Mn^{III}L^-$ couple in the present case, using the available data the same as for $SO_3^{-\bullet}/SO_3^{2-}$ $(k_{11} = 4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, 25 \text{ °C})$ [19] and *ca*. K_{12} , the equilibrium constant of the reaction: $Mn^{IV}L + SO_3^{2-} = Mn^{III}L^- + SO_3^{-\bullet}(K_{12})$, from the relevant potential data ${SO_3}^{-\bullet} + e = SO_3^{2-}, 0.72 V$ *versus* NHE [19]; $Mn^{IV}L + e = Mn^{III}L^-$, 0.251 V *versus* NHE); the value of $Mn^{III}L^-/Mn^{IV}L$ was calculated from $E_{1/2}$ data (= 0.01 V versus SCE) reported by Chandra and Chakravorty [5 g)] using 0.241 V as the potential of SCE. Setting $k^{SO_3} = (k_{11} \ k_{22} \ K_{12} \ f_{12})^{1/2}$ where log $f_{12} = (\log \ K_{12})^2 / [4 \ \log(k_{11}k_{22}/Z^2)]$ [20], $Z = 10^{11} \ s^{-1}$ ($K_{12} = 1.2 \times 10^{-8}$, $k^{SO_3} = 8.1 \times 10^{-2} \ dm^3 \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}$ at 25 °C), the values of k_{22} and f_{12} were iteratively calculated as $1.5 \times 10^6 \ dm^3 \ mol^{-1} \ s^{-1}$ and 9.3×10^{-2} , respectively (25 °C, $I = 0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, 10% (v/v) MeOH + H_2O). This appears to be a reasonable estimate considering the literature values of the self exchange rate constants (k_{22} , dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) of Mn in different oxidation states for the reactions: MnO_4^- + $Mo(CN)_6^{4-}$ (or $Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$) (3 × 10³), $Mn^{III} + Fe(phen)_3^{2+}$ (3 × 10⁻⁴) and $Mn^{III}(cdta) + Fe(edta)^{2-}$ (1.2) [21].

Conclusion

The present work highlights the stabilization of the +4 and +3 oxidation states of Mn by a tetradeprotonated ligand (L⁴⁻) offering an octahedral coordination environment by the diphenolate-diamido-diamine donor set. It is observed that Mn^{IV}L and Mn^{III}L⁻ are only stable to reduction by solvent (H₂O + MeOH) at pH > 3 while at [H⁺] > 0.05 mol dm⁻³ Mn^{IV}L is reduced by solvent *via* one electron transfer engendering Mn^{III} intermediate which in turn is further reduced to Mn^{II}. These slow reactions exhibit first-order dependence in [H⁺] in the range [H⁺] = 0.05–0.6 mol dm⁻³. The reactivity trend, $k_{\rm H}^{\rm Mn^{IV}L}/k_{\rm H}^{\rm Mn^{III}L-}$ = 40 reflects the relative kinetic instability of the two Mn complexes in contrast with the reported faster water exchange rate for Mn^{III} as compared to that for Mn^{IV} ($k_{\rm ex}^{\rm w} = 10^5$ and 10^{-2} s⁻¹ for Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺, respectively) [18]. The reduction of Mn^{IV}L by HSO₃⁻/SO₃²⁻ occurred *via*

consecutive steps involving $Mn^{III}L^-$ as intermediate. Despite the high concentration of $[S^{IV}]_T$ used $(50 < [S^{IV}]_T/[Mn^{IV}L]_T < 1000)$ at $3.2 \le pH \le 7.3$ (28.5-45 °C) there was no evidence of S^{IV} incorporation into the coordination sphere of Mn in $Mn^{IV}L$ and the intermediate $Mn^{III}L^-$. This is in favour of the outer sphere mechanism of electron transfer between $Mn^{IV}L$ $/Mn^{III}L^-$ and S^{IV} (HSO₃⁻,SO₃²⁻) which is further supported by comparison with previous studies. By applying the Marcus relation we calculate the self exchange rate constant of the $Mn^{III}L^-/Mn^{IV}L$ redox couple as 1.5×10^6 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 25 °C.

Acknowledgement

Support of this work by a grant from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi under the Emeritus Scientist programme (to A.C.D.) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Professor Gautam K. Lahiri, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, for assistance and helpful discussions.

References

- (a) A. Das and A.C. Dash, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1949 (2000); (b) A.C. Dash, K.C. Jena, A. Roy, D. Mukherjee and S. Aditya, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2451 (1997); (c) A.C. Dash, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 77, 583 (2000); (d) S. Nayak and A.C. Dash, Ind. J. Chem. Sec. A, 42, 2427 (2003); (e) S. Nayak and A.C. Dash, J. Chem. Sci., 116, 133 (2004).
- (a) A. Sigel and H. Sigel (Eds.) Metal Ions in Biological Systems, vol. 37, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, p. 209 and 305; (b) K. Wieghardt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 28, 1153 (1989); (c) Y. Kono and I. Fridovich, J. Biol. Chem., 258, 6015 (1983); (d) R.M. Franko, J.E. Penner-Hahn and C.J. Bender, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 7554 (1988); (e) V.K. Yachandra, V.J. DeRose, M.J. Latimer, I. Mukherjee, K. Sauer and M.P. Klein, Science, 260, 675 (1993); (f) V.K. Yachandra, K. Sauer and M.P. Klein, Chem. Rev., 96, 2927 (1996).
- (a) J. Limburg, V.A. Szalai and G.W. Brudvig, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1353 (1999); (b) A. Zouni, H.T. Witt, J. Kern, P. Fromme, N. Krauss, W. Saenger and P. Orth, Nature, 409, 739 (2001); (c) N. Kamiya and J.R. Shen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 100, 98 (2003); (d) H. Chen, J.W. Faller, R.H. Crabtree and G.W. Brudvig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 126, 7345 (2004).
- 4. (a) A.K. Bhattacharya, A.B. Mondal, A.C. Dash, G.S. Bramha and R. Banerjee, Can. J. Chem., 77, 1 (1999); (b) G. Goswami, N. Shaikh, A. Panja and P. Banerjee, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 36, 129 (2004); (c) B. Mondal and R. Banerjee, Transit. Met. Chem., 26, 395 (2001); (d) U.R. Choudhury, S. Banerjee and R. Banerjee, Transit. Met. Chem., 27, 42 (2002); (e) S. Froneaus, J. Berglund and L.I. Elding, Inorg. Chem., 37, 4939 (1998); (f) C. Palopoli, M.G. Sierra, G. Rables, F. Dahan, J.P. Tuchagues and S. Signorella, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 3813 (2002); (g) G. Rajaraman, A. Henison, M. Helliwell, J.J.W.McDouall, J. Raftery and R.E.P. Winpenny, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2550 (2004); (h) C.N. Verani, E. Bothe, D. Burdinski, T. Weyhermuller, U. Floreke and P. Choudhuri, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2001, 2161 (2001); (i) M. Hoogenraad, K. Ramkisoensing, S. Gorter, W.L. Driessen, E. Bouwman, J.G. Haasnoot, J. Reedijk, T. Mahabiersing and F. Harl, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.,

2002, 377 (2002); (j) S.G. Sreerama and S. Pal, *Inorg. Chem.*, **41**, 4843 (2002); (k) F.K. Brechin, M. Soler, J. Davidson, D.M. Hendrikson, S. Parsons and G. Chritou, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, 2252 (2002).

- 5. (a) N. Reddig, D. Pursche and A. Rompel, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1474 (2004); (b) C. Baffert, H. Chen, R.H. Crabtree, G.W. Brudvig and M.N. Collomb, J. Electroanal. Chem., 306, 99 (2001); (c) D.P. Kessissoglou, W.M. Butler and V.L. Pecoraro, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1253 (1986); (d) S.M. Sadeh, M.S. Lah, and V.L. Pecoraro, Inorg. Chem., 30, 8 (1990); (e) D.P. Kessissoglou, M.L. Kirk, M.S. Lah, X. Li, C. Raptopoulo, W.E. Hartfield and V.L. Pecoraro, Inorg. Chem., 31, 5424 (1992); (f) S.K. Chandra, P. Basu, D. Ray, S. Pal and A. Chakravorty, Inorg. Chem., 29, 2423 (1990); (g) S.K. Chandra and A. Chakravorty, Inorg. Chem., 31, 760 (1992); (h) M. Dey, C.P. Rao, P. Sarrenketo, K. Rissanen, E. Kolehmainen and P. Guionneau, Polyhedron 22, 3515 (2003); (i) N. Shaikh, A. Panja, S. Goswami, P. Banerjee, P. Vojtisek, Y.Z. Zhang, G. Su and S. Gao, Inorg. Chem., 43, 849 (2004); (j) D.J. Price, S.R. Batten, B. Moubaraki and K.S. Murray, Indian J. Chem., Sec. A, 42, 2256 (2003)
- (a) A. Das and A.C. Dash, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 31, 627 (1999);
 (b) S. Mukhopadhyay and R. Banerjee, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 933 (1993);
 (c) K.K. Sengupta, N. Bhattacharya and B. Pal, Transit. Met. Chem., 24, 268 (1999);
 (d) A. Panja, N. Shaikh, R.J. Butcher and P. Banerjee, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 351, 27 (2003);
 (e) V.M. Bobba, G. Giraudi and E. Mentasti, Transit. Met. Chem., 13, 256 (1988);
 (f) U. Chandrawat, A. Prakash and R.N. Mehrotra, Can. J. Chem., 73, 1531 (1992);
 (g) G. Huo, J. Zhou and B. Liu, Transit. Met. Chem., 29, 259 (2004);
 (h) S. Gangopadhyay, M. Ali and P. Banerjee, Coordin Chem. Rev., 135/136, 399 (1994).
- H.M. Irving, M.G. Miles and L.D. Pettit, *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 38, 475 (1967).
- (a) K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Wiley, New York, 1963. p. 220 and 227; (b) K. Uneo and A.E. Martell, J. Phys. Chem., 59, 998 (1955); ibid, 60,1270 (1956); (c) T.R. Harkins, J.J. Walter, O.E. Harris and H. Freiser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 260 (1956); (d) J.

Dyer, Applications of Absorption Spectroscopy of Organic Compounds, Prentice-Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1991. pp. 33-52.

- 9. D.D. Perrin and B. Dempsey, *Buffers for* pH and Metal ion control, Chapman & Hall, London, 1974, p. 77.
- J.I. Steinfeld, J.S. Francisco and W.L. Hase, *Chemical Kinetics and Dynamics*, 2nd edit., Prentice Hall, New Jersey, USA, 1999, p. 26.
- (a) D.W. Carlyle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4525 (1972); (b) G. Lente and I. Fabian, Inorg. Chem., 43, 4019 (2004).
- (a) A. Stromberg, O. Gropen, V. Wahlgren and O. Lindqvist, *Inorg. Chem.*, **22**, 1129 (1983); (b) B. Meyer, I. Peter and C. Rosenlund, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, **35**, 345 (1979); (c) R.E. Connick, T.M. Tam and E.V. Deuster, *Inorg. Chem.*, **21**, 103 (1982).
- 13. A.A. Frost and R.G. Pearson, *Kinetics and Mechanism*, 2nd Edit., Wiley, New York, 1961, p 152.
- F. Jacobsen, J. Holeman and K. Sebested, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 29, 17 (1997) as quoted by A Bakac in *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, vol. 55, R van Eldik (Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 2004, p. 10.
- 15. H. Sigel and R.B. Martin, Chem. Rev., 82, 385 (1982).
- M. Sahu, G.C. Pradhan, P. Mohanty and A.C. Dash, *Indian J. Chem., Sec. A*, 43, 1228 (2004).
- C.W. Hoganson and G.T. Babcock, in *Metal Ions in Biological Systems*, vol. 37, A Sigel and H. Sigel (Eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, p. 613.
- J. J. Morgan, in *Metal Ions in Biological Systems*, vol. 37, A. Sigel and H. Sigel (Eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000, p. 7, Table 2.
- R. Sarala, M.A. Islam, S.B. Rabin and D.M. Stanbury, *Inorg. Chem.*, 29, 1133. (1990).
- 20. R.A. Marcus, J. Phys. Chem., 67, 853 (1963).
- D.E. Pennigton in *Coordination Chemistry*, vol. 2, ACS Monograph 174, A.E. Martell (Ed.), 1978, 482.

TMCH 6156