ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Expression of chimeric *Bt* **gene,** *Cry1Aabc* **in transgenic pigeonpea (***cv***. Asha) confers resistance to gram pod borer (***Helicoverpa armigera* **Hubner.)**

Alok Das¹ · Subhojit Datta¹ · G. K. Sujayanand² · Manoj Kumar¹ · Arvind Kumar Singh¹ · Arpan¹ · Alok Shukla¹ · Jamal Ansari¹ · Manoj Kumar¹ · Lubna Faruqui¹ · Shallu Thakur¹ · P. A. Kumar³ · N. P. Singh¹

Received: 15 September 2016 / Accepted: 8 November 2016 / Published online: 17 November 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

Abstract The gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) is the most serious insect pest of pigeonpea. It is highly susceptible to the insecticidal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*). A codon-optimized chimeric *Cry1Aabc* gene of Bt driven by a constitutive promoter was introduced in pigeonpea (*cv*. Asha) to confer resistance against the insect. A total of eight transgenic plants could be established with transformation frequency of 0.06%. Two transgenic events were selected for advancement based on high insect mortality, single locus integration, protein expression and fertility status. Quantitative ELISA indicated high protein expression in diferent plant parts *viz*., leaves (pre and post flowering), flowers, pod walls and immature seeds. Analysis for the stable integration, expression and insect mortality (detached leaf and pod bioassay) led to identification of lines with high efficacy. These events were further advanced for the identification of a viable event by selfing to create homozygosity. The chimeric *Cry1Aabc* expressed in pigeonpea is efective against gram pod borer and can be utilized in transgenic variety development programme.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:[10.1007/s11240-016-1131-1\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1131-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 \boxtimes N. P. Singh hodplantbiotechnology@gmail.com

- 1 Division of Plant Biotechnology, ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 208 024, India
- 2 Division of Crop Protection, ICAR-Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur 208 024, India
- 3 ICAR-National Research Centre on Plant Biotechnology, New Delhi 110 012, India

Keywords Transgenic pigeonpea · *Cajanus cajan* L. · Genetic transformation · *Agrobacterium* · *Helicoverpa armigera* · *Cry1Aabc* gene · *Bacillus thuringiensis*

Introduction

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] or red gram is an important grain legume of the tropical and subtropical regions. It is an important source of dietary protein especially for the large vegetarian population in India. It is used in diverse ways as a source of food, feed and fertilizers. Globally, pigeonpea ranks ifth in production and area of grain legumes after soybean, common bean, peanut and chickpea. In India, it ranks second after chickpea in production and area. Pigeonpea is an annual, often cross pollinated (10–30%), diploid crop (2n = $2x = 22$) with genome size of approx. 858 Mb. In India, pigeonpea is grown in an area of 4.6 m ha producing 3.0 m t (FAOSTAT [2013](#page-8-0)). Despite its significant nutritional value, its production and productivity are constrained by several biotic and abiotic stresses. Insect pests are the most important biotic constraint mainly pod borers (*Helicoverpa armigera* and *Maruca vitrata*) which attack pigeonpea during flower and pod development stages causing yield loss of up to 85%. Farmers rely on chemicals for control of these insect pests which are expensive and often have adverse efect on environment and human health. In the absence of management measures, pod borers can cause major crop losses. Conventional plant breeding has not been successful in generating resistant varieties, due to lack of resistance in cultivated varieties, and incompatibility with wild relatives (Nene and Sheila [1990](#page-9-0)).

Development of pod borer resistant varieties is apparently possible by biotechnological approaches like genetic engineering. For the production of transgenic plant, an in vitro regeneration system is a prerequisite. There are several successful reports of regeneration in pigeonpea using diferent varieties and explants (Das and Parida [2014](#page-8-1); Gatti et al. [2016](#page-8-2)). The most common regeneration pathway reported is direct organogenesis of shoots from leaf explants (Eapen et al. [1998](#page-8-3); Yadav and; Padmaja [2003](#page-10-0); Dayal et al. [2003;](#page-8-4) Singh et al. [2002](#page-9-1); Villiers et al. [2008](#page-9-2)), cotyledonary nodes (Sreenivasu et al. [1998](#page-9-3); Singh et al. [2003](#page-9-4)) and shoot apices (Geetha et al. [1998](#page-8-5); Naidu et al. [1995](#page-9-5)). Somatic embryogenesis in pigeonpea has also been reported (Anbazhagan and Ganapathi [1999;](#page-8-6) Aboshama [2011](#page-8-7)). *Agrobacterium*mediated genetic transformation was demonstrated using explants such as shoot apex, cotyledonary node, embryonic axis, leaf, decapitated embryo, axillary meristem, axillary bud, plumule (Geetha et al. [1999;](#page-8-8) Lawrence and Koundal [2001](#page-9-6); Prasad et al. [2004](#page-9-7); Dayal et al. [2003](#page-8-4); Verma and; Chand [2005](#page-9-8); Sharma et al. [2006;](#page-9-9) Surekha et al. [2007;](#page-9-10) Raghavendra and Sudhakar [2014\)](#page-9-11) and intact seedling (Rao et al. [2008\)](#page-9-12). The success of *Agrobacterium* transformation is usually based on woundinduced response by the meristematic tissue of explants and media regimes, delivery and integration of T-DNA in the plant genome, precision in the selection of the transformed cells and characterization of the transgenic plants (Olhoft et al. [2004\)](#page-9-13). Several other factors also influence the transformation efficiency such as genotype, age, explant, *Agrobacterium* strain, transformation vector, co-cultivation time and culture composition (Paz et al. [2004](#page-9-14); Rohini and Rao [2000\)](#page-9-15).

The crystal (cry) protein provides important source of resistance for large group of insects of Lepidoptera family. The cry proteins have three domains: a seven helix bundle (Domain I) involved in pore formation, a triple anti-parallel beta sheet domain (Domain II) for receptor binding and beta sheet sandwich (Domain III) for protease protection as well as receptor binding (Kumar et al. [1996](#page-9-16)). The synergistic activity between diferent cry proteins against insect pest is well demonstrated (Xue et al. [2014](#page-10-1)). Domain swapping and generation of fusion proteins to improve protection against insect pest is an emerging area of insect management (Saraswathy and Kumar [2004](#page-9-17)). In the present investigation, we report development of transgenic pigeonpea lines for improved plant protection against the gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner). New transgenic lines developed can be used for pyramiding with lines harbouring other insecticidal genes (like *Vip*) and use in integrated pest management programmes (Bhatnagar-Mathur and Sharma [2016](#page-8-9)).

Materials and methods

Plant Material and culture conditions

The breeder seeds of pigeonpea variety ICPL 87119 (*Asha*) were used for all the experiments in the present study. All media contained modified MS medium comprising of MS salts (Murashige and Skoog [1962\)](#page-9-18), B_5 Vitamins (Gamborg et al. [1968\)](#page-8-10), 3% sucrose and 0.8% Agar (pH 5.8). The growth regulators, cytokinins [6-benzyl amino purine (BAP), 6-furfurylaminopurine (kinetin)], auxin [1-napthalene acetic acid (NAA)] and gibberellic acid (GA₃) (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem, the Netherlands) were added to the medium after filter sterilization. The cytokinins (BAP and kinetin) and auxin (NAA) were used for dediferentiation and shoot induction and GA3 for shoot elongation. The cultures were incubated at 25 ± 2 °C under a light regime of 16 h light (100 µE/s/ m²) provided by cool white fluorescent lamps and 8 h dark.

Binary vector, Agrobacterium strain and genetic transformation

The binary vector, pBinAR (Hofgen and Wilmitzer [1990\)](#page-8-11) harbouring a chimeric *Bt* δ-endotoxin gene, *Cry1Aabc* and *nptII* as the selectable marker gene was used for genetic transformation (Fig S1). The Bt-*cry1Aabc* δ-endotoxin gene was constructed by taking nucleotide sequences coding for different domains from lepidopteran-specific *Bt*-toxins *viz*., Domain I of Cry1Aa, Domain II of Cry1Ab and domain III of Cry1Ac. The codon usage of higher plants was followed while designing the synthetic gene (Murray et al. [1989](#page-9-19)). The mRNA destabilizing features that exist in the native gene sequences have been identified (Hebsgaard et al. [1996](#page-8-12); Liu et al. [2005](#page-9-20); Chang et al. [2013](#page-8-13); Dassi et al. [2014\)](#page-8-14) and deleted while designing the codon modified version. The binary vector (14,350 bp) was mobilized into hypervirulent *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 (Hood et al. [1986\)](#page-8-15) and used for genetic transformation studies.

Genetic transformation was attempted in a popular pigeonpea cultivar, Asha (ICPL 87119). *Agrobacterium* culture harbouring the binary vector was grown overnight to OD 0.6–0.8 at 600 nm and used to inoculate axillary meristem explants for 10 min and then co-cultivated for 72 h in co-cultivation media. During co-cultivation, the explants were exposed to difused light and temperature 25 ± 2 °C. Transformed shoots were selected on 100 mg/L kanamycin monosulphate for four to five sub-cultures, each of 10–14 days duration. Healthy green shoots surviving selection were grafted onto non-transgenic root-stocks and established in the Transgenic Containment Facility.

Conirmation of Cry1Aabc gene in putative transgenic plants

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the young leaves of putative transgenic pigeonpea plants using DNeasy Plant Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The presence of the *cry1Aabc* gene in transgenic plants were confirmed by PCR using the primer set A4 F-5'ccttgtacagaagacccttcaatatc-3′(forward) and A4R-5′ tctattctgaatgttatttccactgc-3'(reverse). The amplification was carried out in 20 μ l volume using $1 \times$ Taq buffer, 200 µM dNTP mix, 10 pM each primer, 200 ng DNA template and 1 u *Taq* DNA polymerase (Merck Genie, Bangalore). PCR reaction was performed using DNA thermal cycler (BioRad, USA) and the PCR programme was set at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 60 s, 60 °C for 60 s and 72 °C for 45 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. PCR products were resolved on 1.5% EtBr stained agarose gel in $1 \times$ TAE buffer. Gel images were documented using Gel Documentation system (BioRad Gel Doc XR, USA).

Total genomic DNAs were isolated from the young leaves of transgenic and wild-type (WT) plants (Dellaporta et al. [1983](#page-8-16)). Genomic DNAs were digested with *Hin*dIII (which restricts the T-DNA at one site near the termination of *Cry1Aabc* gene, the minimum expected size of band using Cry1Aabc probe is approximately 2.62 Kb) and double digested with *Eco*RI and *Hin*dIII (which release the entire *Cry1Aabc* cassette) separately. The digestion products of each line were electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel and then blotted onto Hybond N+ membrane. The DIG labelled probe, specific to *Cry1Aabc* gene was synthesized using DIG DNA labelling kit. Labelling, hybridization and detection were performed using DIG labelling Kit with the chemiluminiscent substrate CDP-*Star*, according to manufacturer's instructions (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Hybridization was carried out at 65 °C overnight. Stringent washes were performed using a primary wash buffer at 65° C for 20 min and a secondary wash buffer at 25 °C for 10 min. The signal was detected on an X-ray film after an exposure time of 10 min.

For reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR), total cellular RNA was extracted from the young leaves of putative transgenic pigeonpea lines according to kit instructions (Plant RNA Isolation Kit, Sigma Aldrich, USA). cDNA synthesis was done using 1.0 µg of total RNA (Verso cDNA synthesis Kit, Thermo Fischer, USA). PCR was performed with house-keeping gene (Actin 2/7) specific primer (Act 2/7F: aattcacgagaccacctacaac & Act 2/7R: tgagccaccactaagaacaatg) and gene specific primers (A4F/A4R) based on PCR ampliication protocol described earlier. RT-PCR product was electrophoresed in 2% EtBr stained agarose gel and documented.

Detection of Cry1Aabc protein in transgenic pigeonpea plants

For the detection of Cry1Aabc protein in transgenic pigeonpea progenies of diferent generations, total leaf protein was isolated using 50 mM bicarbonate extraction buffer (15 mM Sodium carbonate, 35 mM Sodium bicarbonate, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.05% Tween 20, 0.5% Sodium azide, 1% PVP and 1 mM PMSF) and added to the wells of poly ^L-Lysine coated ELISA plates (Greiner Bio One, Germany) and kept overnight at 4° C along with positive control, negative control and blank. The following day, the bound antigen was blocked by 1% BSA (Sigma Aldrich, USA) for 90 min followed by washing (thrice) with PBST (Incubated at 37 °C). Primary antibody (produced in Rabbits against Cry1Ac protein) diluted 1:10,000 in $1 \times$ PBST and 0.25% BSA then added to each well followed by washing (thrice) with PBST for 90 min (Incubated at 37 °C). Secondary antibody (Anti-Rabbit IgG whole molecule- alkaline phosphatase, antibody produced in goat) was diluted 1:20,000 in $1 \times$ PBST and 0.25% BSA then added to each well followed by thrice washing with PBST for 90 min (Incubated at 37 °C). After 90 min of incubation in 37 °C the unbound secondary antibody was washed twice with PBST and once with PBS for removing the traces of Tween 20. Specific substrate pNPP (Sigma Aldrich, USA) was dissolved in 200 mM Tris pH 9.5, 0.5 mM $MgCl₂$ and incubate the plate at 37 °C for 30 min. After 30 min of incubation of substrate, a yellow coloured reaction was obtained due to interaction between enzyme conjugated secondary antibody and substrate in positive samples and positive control. The absorbance was measured at 405 nm in ELISA reader (Spectramax, Molecular Devices, USA).

Pigeonpea progenies identified based on qualitative assay were further subjected to the quantitative ELISA (Envirologix, USA). Expression of the protein was quantified at different stages from different target tissues (leaves, flowers, pod walls and immature seeds). Tissue specific and stage specific expression of Cry1Aabc protein was also assessed using quantitative ELISA in both the selected pigeonpea events at T5 generation at pre-lowering: 92 days after sowing (DAS) and post-flowering (160 DAS). Only high expressing progenies of both events were selected for analysis of the tissue specific expression of protein. Total protein was extracted from young leaves, flowers, pod walls and immature seeds (188 DAS), and subjected to quantitative ELISA (Envirologix, USA).

For western blot hybridization, total crude protein was extracted from young leaves (300 DAS), using 50 mM bicarbonate bufer (15 mM Sodium carbonate, 35 mM Sodium bi carbonate, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.05% Tween 20, 0.5% Sodium azide, 1% PVP, 1 mM PMSF, 1% PPIC). The quantification of total soluble protein was performed with Bradford reagent (Sigma Aldrich, USA) (Bradford [1976](#page-8-17)). 30 µg protein was fractionated on 15% acrylamide gel with 10% SDS and blotted on to nitrocellulose membrane (BIO-RAD, USA) by wet transfer. Primary antibody used was raised in rabbits against Cry1Ac (Envirologix, USA) and horse radish peroxidase conjugated secondary antibody raised in goats against rabbit IgG (Sigma Aldrich, USA) were used for detection. The blot was developed in X-ray films using luminol (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) chemiluminescence substrate in developer and ixer (Kodak, Germany).

Insect bioassay

After confirmation of *cry1Aabc* gene expression in selected events, insect bioassay with gram pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) was performed using leaves and pods under nochoice condition. The larvae were reared on artificial diet (Armes et al. [1992\)](#page-8-18) and 5 days old larvae were used for detached leaf bioassay. The third fully expanded leaf from the top of the pigeonpea plant was selected for the bioassay. Bioassays were performed in 50 ml sample containers with 10 ml of a 2% agar and 0.1% (w/v) sorbic acid solution (as a preservative) per container. One trifoliate leaf was placed in each container with one larva. The containers were closed with the lid having 5 min pinholes for air movement and then placed inside a BOD incubator maintained at 25 °C and 44–55% relative humidity. Similarly, young tender pods (20 days old) of selected lines were used for detached pod assay using 7 days old larvae. All the treatments were replicated ten times. Observations on larval mortality were recorded up to 7 days post larval release. The weight of diet consumed by the larvae was measured by taking the initial and final weight of the pod/leaf. The percent reduction in defoliation was calculated, based on the formula given below:

Table 1 Features of *cry1Aabc* gene *vis a vis cry1Ac gene*

^aTruncated cry1Ac (Adang et al. [1985](#page-8-19))

encode three diferent domains I, II and III from three diferent δ-endotoxins *viz*., Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac, respectively (Fig. S2). The chimeric protein difers from Cry1Ac protein (truncated) with respect to five amino acids in the first two domains (I and II) (Adang et al. 1985) (Table [1](#page-3-0)). The codons were optimized for plant expression, $A + T$ content in nucleotide base composition and elimination of sequences like destabilization, inappropriate polyadenylation, degradation and presence of RNA splice sites etc (Table S1).

A total of 11,839 axillary meristem explants were co-cultivated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* harbouring chimeric *cry1Aabc* gene and 11 putative transgenics were established in the Containment Facility. All the established transformants were screened through PCR using gene specific primers, and only 8 plants were found to be positive, with the transformation frequency of 0.06% (data not shown). Seeds from all eight plants were harvested and planted in the Containment facility for generation advancement. The T1 seeds harvested from all eight PCR positive lines were sown and screened for the presence and transmission of gene based on PCR analysis using gene specific primers. Molecular characterization (protein expression data) and insect bioassay

Percent reduction in defoliation

The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and data analyzed by PROC-ANOVA and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to test the significance using SAS 9.2 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Construction of chimeric Bt gene and production of transgenic plants

A plant codon-optimized chimeric *Bt* δ-endotoxin gene *Cry1Aabc* was constructed with nucleotide sequences that

(100% larval mortality) of the transgenic pigeonpea lines (T2 generation onwards) confirmed two promising events *viz.*, IPCc1 and IPCc2, amongst the generated transgenic pigeonpea lines. These two events were further advanced for the identification of a viable event. Molecular characterization (PCR, ELISA, Western blot and Southern blot hybridization) of the events were performed in T3 and T4 generations.

Molecular characterization of transgenic lines

PCR and Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) chemistry based direct antigen coating (DAC) ELISA (qualitative ELISA) was performed in all progenies of pigeonpea derived from two T0 events. In T4 generation, 203 progenies

derived from both the events were analysed of which 31 progenies have been identiied as positive. These progenies were further advanced to T5 generation. In T5 generation, 2013 progenies derived from two T0 events were analysed and 84 progenies were identified as positive from both the events.PCR analyses of all pigeonpea progenies show the presence of gene, conirming to expression data (Fig. S3).

Horse Radish Peroxidase (HRP) chemistry based Double Antibody Sandwich ELISA (quantitative ELISA) were performed in all qualitative ELISA and PCR positive pigeonpea progenies for the quantification of δ -endotoxin protein. An Envirologix kit was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. In T4 generation, a total 31 pigeonpea progenies (identified positive in PCR and qualitative ELISA) derived from two T0 events were analysed. This assay was performed at 120 DAS using the leaf tissue (40 mg). All the thirty-one progenies were identified as positive and the δ-endotoxin expression range was 15.82– 44.89 ng/mg of total soluble protein (TSP). These higher expressing progenies with high protein expression were further analysed in T5 generation.

A total 84 T5 pigeonpea progenies (derived from 2 T0 events) were analysed pre-flowering and post flowering stage using quantitative ELISA. For both the events, the expression at pre-lowering (*av*. 28.73 ng/mg TSP) is higher than post flowering stage (av. 17.04 ng/mg TSP). Tissue specific expression in flowers, pod walls and immature seeds 188 DAS, indicated higher expression in pod walls (av. 13.01 ng/mg TSP), as compared to the flowers (av. 11.71 ng/mg TSP) and immature seeds (av. 9.84 ng/mg TSP). Expression range of the two events in both the generations is described (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

To detect the stable integration of *Cry1Aabc* gene in two lines, Southern blot hybridization was done in three subsequent generations (T3 generation onwards). For this purpose, DNA from pooled progenies of both the events showed the presence and integration of single copy of *cry1Aabc* gene. Single site restricted samples exhibited single band corresponding to a unique position in the genome of pigeonpea (*ca*. 4.91 kb for IPCc2 and 3.63 kb for IPCc1). Double site restriction for gene cassette release showed that the 2.62 kb of recombinant gene integrated in the genome (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)).

RT-PCR with gene specific primers detected the presence of 440 bp amplification product of both the events indicating the transcription of *Cry1Aabc* in leaf tissues, with actin as internal control gene (Fig. [1b](#page-5-0)). The Cry1Aabc protein was detected in all the high expressing progenies of two events using Western hybridization. In all, a clear ~66 kDa band was observed in leaf samples harvested after 200 days of sowing, without any degradation (Fig. [1c](#page-5-0)).

Insect bioassay

Two pigeonpea lines IPCc1 and IPCc2 were subjected to insect (*Helicoverpa armigera*) bioassay using 5 days and 7 days old larvae and young trifoliate leaves and pods, respectively. WT (non-transformed) Asha was used as control (Table [3](#page-5-1)). Larval mortality between the controls did not differ significantly. In leaf bioassay, there was significantly greater defoliation in control lines than in transgenic pigeonpea lines (Fig. [2a](#page-6-0)). Similarly in detached pod assay, mortality was observed up to 90 and 100%, respectively in T4 and T5 generation (Fig. [2b](#page-6-0), c). The mean larval mortality values are significantly different in transgenic lines as compared to that of control. Significantly higher amount of leaf was consumed in non-transformed lines (0.048 g) compared to transgenic lines (0.012–0.015 g) in T4 stage. Considerable reduction in defoliation was observed in the transgenic lines at T4 (78.4%) and T5 stages (83.4%). Similarly, there was significant reduction in pod consumption also in IPCc1 (0.18 g), compared to WT (0.99 g). Further, larvae found surviving on the transgenic lines exhibited retarded growth, compared to non-transgenic control. Correlation was observed between expression of Bt-protein in transgenic lines and larval mortality based on detached leaf bioassay (Table [4](#page-7-0)).

Quantification data based on EnviroLogix Quantiplate Kit (EnviroLogix, USA)

^aPre-flowering stage

bPost-flowering stage

Fig. 1 Molecular analysis of two transgenic pigeonpea lines. **a** Southern blot analysis of two events with *crylAabc* specific probe [L: DIG labelled mol wt marker; IPCc2_{CN}: Event IPCc2; IPCc1_{CN}: Event IPCc1; IPCc2_{CR}: Event IPCc2; IPCc1_{CR}: Event IPCc1 WT: Non-transgenic (wild-type) Asha; *P* positive control (binary plasmid digested with *Hin*dIII); *PCR* Positive control (binary plasmid)] *CN* copy number estimation, *CR* casettee release. **b** RT-PCR of pigeonpea lines derived from two pigeonpea events [*L1* 1 kb DNA Lad-

der, *P* positive control (binary plasmid); *lanes 3–5* Progenies from transgenic event (IPCc1); *lanes 6–9* Progenies from transgenic event (IPCc2); *WT* Wild-type (Asha), *NS* null segregant, *RT* RNA template, *NTC* no template control, *L2* 100 bp DNA ladder]. **c** Western blot analysis of transgenic pigeonpea lines [*lanes 1–3* Progenies from transgenic event IPCc1, *lanes 4–7* Progenies from transgenic event IPCc2, WT wild type (Asha), P positive control (purified cry protein)]

Table 3 Insect bioassay using detached leaf and pod assay across two generations (T4 and T5)

| Lines | % Larval mortality (detached leaf) assay) | | | Average leaf consumed $(g/$ | Percent reduc- tion in defolia- | % Larval mortality (detached pod assay) | | | Average pod tis- sue consumed (g) |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|-----------|--|--|
| | No of plants tested | Range | $Mean \pm SE$ | larva) | tion | No of plants tested | | Range $Mean \pm SE$ | larva) |
| Gen T4 | | | | | | | | | |
| IPCc1 | 15 | $8.33 - 100$ | 80.0 ± 6.44 (67.48^a) | 0.012^a | 78.44 ± 6.70 (63.17 ^a) | 9 | $30 - 90$ | 42.22 ± 17.74 (0.71^a) | 0.31 ^a |
| IPCc2 | 30 | $8.33 - 100$ | 82.22 ± 4.16 (71.80^a) | $0.015^{\rm a}$ | $57.86 + 2.86$ (49.55^b) | 4 | $60 - 80$ | 70.0 ± 5.77 (1.00^a) | 0.29 ^a |
| Wild type | 12 | $0 - 5$ | 0.99 ± 1.11 (2.04^b) | 0.048 ^b | | 7 | $0 - 3$ | (0.27^b) | $0.50^{\rm a}$ |
| Gen T ₅ | | | | | | | | | |
| IPCc1 | 9 | 60-100 | 88.89 ± 4.84 (76.25^a) | $0.032^{\rm a}$ | 83.45 ± 2.33 (66.33) ^a | 2 | $0 - 100$ | 87.5 ± 12.5 (1.31^a) | 0.18^{a} |
| IPC _{c2} | 61 | 70–100 | 96.56 ± 0.90 (84.13^a) | 0.020 ^a | 83.65 ± 0.67 (66.37 ^a) | 3 | $0 - 100$ | 51.33 ± 8.74 (0.79 ^b) | 0.19 ^a |
| Wild type | 10 | | $0-5$ $(0.87b)$ | 0.151^{b} | | 3 | | $0-3$ (0.15^c) | 0.99 ^b |

Values with different superscripts were significantly different (DMRT)

Values within parenthesis were arc-sine transformed

Discussion

Grain legumes are important component of sustainable agriculture. Genetic engineering is a potential approach to

address problems where plant breeding interventions have limited success. Two successful examples of genetically engineered grain legume are herbicide (glyphosate) tolerant soybean and golden mosaic virus common bean (Jacob

Fig. 2 Insect bioassay of transgenic pigeonpea lines. **a** Detached leaf assay (no choice condition) of transgenic pigeonpea line (IPCc1) and non-transgenic Asha (WT). **b** Detached pod bioassay of transgenic pigeonpea lines (IPCc1 and IPCc2) and non-transgenic Asha (WT) and **c**. Opened up pods of transgenic pigeonpea lines (IPCc1 and IPCc2) and non-transgenic Asha (WT)

et al. [2016](#page-8-20)). Pigeonpea is an important grain legume grown across 22 countries worldwide. The gram pod borer, *Helicoverpa armigera*, a lepidopteran pest is the most serious and widespread pest of pigeonpea, particularly short duration and medium duration varieties. Heavy consumption of pesticides along with the development of resistance by the pest has led to the need for alternate approaches for the management of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Sharma et al. [2006](#page-9-9)). Sources of resistance to the insect pest have not been identified in pigeonpea germplasm, which limited the approach of conventional breeding to develop resistant varieties (Nene and Sheila [1990](#page-9-0)). Genetic engineering of crops by utilizing the genes encoding insecticidal crystal proteins or δ-endotoxins of *Bt* (*Bacillus thuringiensis*) was shown to impart resistance to this class of insect pests in soybean, cotton, maize and other crops (Kumar et al. [1996](#page-9-16); James [2014\)](#page-8-21).

Reports of pigeonpea transformation are abundant since last decade. Transgenic pigeonpea plants expressing oat *arginine decarboxylase* gene (Sivamani et al. [2001](#page-9-21)), hemagglutinin (H) gene (Satyavathi et al. [2003](#page-9-22)), rice chitinase (Kumar et al. [2004\)](#page-9-23), HN gene of PPRV (Prasad et al. [2004](#page-9-7)), feedback inhibition insensitive *dhdps-r1* (Thu et al. [2007](#page-9-24)), P5CSF129A (Surekha et al. [2014](#page-9-25)), insecticidal *Bt*genes *viz. cryI E-C* (Surekha et al. [2005](#page-9-26)), *cryIA (b)* (Verma and Chand [2005](#page-9-8)), *cryIAb* (Sharma et al. [2006](#page-9-9)), *cryIAcF* (Ramu et al. [2011\)](#page-9-27) has been reported earlier. Our report is first on using chimeric *Bt* gene, *Cry1Aabc* derived from three diferent *Bt* genes (*Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab* and *Cry1Ac*) for improving the level of resistance. Among the lepidopteran specific δ-endotoxins of *Bt*, Cry1A class is the most effective toxin against *Helicoverpa armigera* (Chakrabarti et al. [1998](#page-8-22)). Attempts to improve the level of toxicity of Cry1Ac by domain shuffling have led to the finding that a chimera of Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab and Cry1Ac was more efective than Cry1Ac (Kumar, unpublished data). Similar, attempts to use chimeric *cry1AcF* gene (possessing *cry1Ac* and *cry1F* domain) with anticipated increase in the tolerance was reported earlier (Ramu et al. [2011\)](#page-9-27).The chimeric protein differs from Cry1Ac protein (truncated) with respect to five amino acids in Domains I and II. A plant codon-optimized chimeric gene *cry1Aabc* was constructed and sub-cloned in an *Agrobacterium* tumefaciencs based binary vector. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mediated genetic transformation of pigeonpea *cv*. Asha was carried out to develop transgenic lines expressing the chimeric gene. The protocol entails organogenetic (shoot) regeneration system and selection based on antibiotic Kanamycin monosulphate (100 mg/L) from axillary meristem explants. Healthy shoots surviving four-five cycles of selection (10–14 days each) were grafted onto WT root stock to establish mature fertile plant. Transformation frequency of 0.06% was obtained in the present study based on our data of advancing positive lines across generations. In pigeonpea, variable transformation frequency (less than 1.0%–more than 50%) has been reported based on transgene presence upto T2 generation. Variable transformation frequency is dependent on genotype, type of explants, osmotic treatment of explants, *Agrobacterium* cell density, co-cultivation time, antibiotics, temperature, media composition etc. (Opabode [2006\)](#page-9-28).

Based on detailed molecular characterization and insect bioassay, two promising events were selected for further study (T2 generation onwards). These events show single locus integration in the genome, high expression (15.82–44.89 ng/mg of TSP) and high insect mortality

Table 4 Correlation between efficacy and the amount of Insecticidal *Cry* protein in leaf tissues

| Plant code | Expression (ng/mg of $TSP)^a$ | Percent mortality ^b |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| IPCc 2.15.107.20.103 | 12.40 | 90 |
| IPCc 2.15.107.20.187 | 13.64 | 90 |
| IPCc 2.15.107.20.189 | 25.20 | 100 |
| IPCc 2.10.9.8.1 | 16.42 | 90 |
| IPCc 2.10.9.8.4 | 8.32 | 80 |
| IPCc 1.12.104.73.9 | 16.81 | 80 |
| IPCc 1.12.104.73.36 | 17.45 | 80 |
| IPCc 1.12.104.73.92 | 31.24 | 100 |
| IPCc 1.12.104.78.31 | 34.83 | 100 |
| IPCc 1.12.104.78.135 | 22.38 | 90 |
| | | |

a Expression based on quantitative ELISA kit (Envirologix, USA)

b Percent mortality based on detached leaf bioassay under *no-choice* condition

(95–100%) based on detached leaf assay across three generations. Results of PCR and Southern hybridization confirmed stable integration of *cry1Aabc* gene in the progenies of the two events. Presence of single copy of T-DNA across both the generations indicates its stable integration in the pigeonpea genome (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0), lanes 2, 3). Release of the entire *cry1Aabc* gene from cassette indicates integration of the gene of interest in the genome of both the events (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0) lanes 4, 5).

Quantitative ELISA performed in the progenies of two pigeonpea events using diferent plant tissues at diferent developmental stages indicated expression variation as reported earlier in other crops (Acharjee et al. [2010](#page-8-23); Dong and Li [2007\)](#page-8-24). Expression in leaf tissues at pre-lowering stage was found to be higher in all the progenies tested, as compared to the post flowering stage. The expression of Cry1Aabc protein in different plant tissues *viz*. flower, pod wall and immature seeds were also assessed since pod borer larvae feed on these organs, during various developmental stages. Lower expression was observed as compared to the leaves both pre- and post-lowering stages. Higher expression was observed in pod wall in comparison to immature seeds and flowers. Similar results of decreasing Cry1Ac levels consistently throughout the growing season were also reported, attributing part of the decline in expression to reduction in the levels of mRNA production (Finnegan et al. [1998\)](#page-8-25). The content of foreign protein in the same tissue decreased along with the growth of transgenic plants because of the decrease in full length *Bt* toxin gene transcripts. The higher expression of Cry gene at earlier stages led to the gene regulation at the post-transcriptional level and contributed to the consequent gene silencing that was developmentally regulated (Dong and Li [2007](#page-8-24)). Lower expression level of the *Bt* insecticidal gene at late developmental stages was also correlated with changes in the methylation state of the 35S promoter region (Xia et al. [2005](#page-9-29)). Lower expression in floral bud on maturity was also attributed to protein degradation (Szwacka et al. [2009\)](#page-9-30).

Detailed characterization of the progenies of two pigeonpea events include quantitative ELISA coupled with insect bioassay to establish efficacy of the transgenic lines. The variable mortality levels among the plants corroborated mostly with the expression levels in the progenies. However, alterations were also obtained in few progenies having higher expression but lower larval mortality. This may be due to change in the level of secondary compounds such as phenolics and terpenoids as the plant matures (Zummo et al. [1984\)](#page-10-2). Further, secondary compounds alter the toxicity of Bt-proteins against lepidopteran larvae either negatively (Olsen and Daly [2000](#page-9-31); Zhang and Guo [2000\)](#page-10-3) or positively (Zhang et al. 2002). Further, elevated $CO₂$ concentration has also been implicated to affect efficacy studies in cotton tissues (Chen et al. [2005](#page-8-26)).

Typically, transgene with single copy usually segregates in 3:1 ratio among the progenies. Based on the segregation analyses of the progenies of both the events, it appears the lines are hemizygous, with distorted segregation ratio (<75% positive progenies) (Table S2). Non-Mendelian segregation of the transgene has been reported in transgenic rice (Datta et al. [1990;](#page-8-27) Peng et al. [1995\)](#page-9-32) soybean (Christou et al. [1989](#page-8-28)), wheat (Srivastava et al. [1996\)](#page-9-33) and cotton (Sachs et al. [1998\)](#page-9-34). This may be ascribed to the lower viability of transgenic pollen resulting in lower fertilization ability (Zhang et al. [1996](#page-10-5)), transgene inactivation (Spencer et al. [1992](#page-9-35); Walters et al. [1992\)](#page-9-36), or the recessive lethal (Scott et al. [1998\)](#page-9-37) gene action or other intra genomic conflicts. Failure to pass the transgene to the next generation through pollen (pollen lethality) is the reason for abnormal segregation (Christou et al. [1989\)](#page-8-28). For the ixing of transgene locus, forced selfing might help attaining homozygosity in often cross-pollinated pigeonpea, or backcrossing with recipient genome. However, further research is required to understand the segregation distortion pattern of pigeonpea progenies.

Insect bioassay was conducted exhaustively using two target tissues *viz*., leaf and pod of the selected progenies with higher expression, utilizing the first instar and third instar larvae from homogeneous brood. Adult insects were collected from pigeonpea field and bred artificially to raise homogenous population for the bioassay, obviating external factors. Larval mortality was recorded in the progenies after 7 days of incubation. Larvae fed on transgenic plants stopped feeding and most of the tissues remained unaffected, whereas the larvae on untransformed plants fed voraciously. The larvae surviving on the transgenic lines were deformed with significantly reduced body weight and hardly advancing beyond moulting stage. However, for more effective control of the pod borer, tissue specific promoter may be utilized in future.

In the present investigation, we demonstrated that the chimeric Cry1Aabc protein is efective against *Helicoverpa armigera* larvae in insect bioassays carried across generations. Molecular characterization and insect bioassays confirmed two superior events in pigeonpea. These events will be further tested to identify a tangible elite event that can be incorporated in breeding programmes for the development of insect resistant pigeonpea.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to Network Project on Transgenics in Crops (NPTC) and National Agricultural Science Fund (NASF) for inancial support. Thanks are due to Dr Deepak Singh, for helping us with statistical analyses.

Author contributions AD, SD, SGK and NPS: planned the experiments; AD, SD, SGK, MK, AKS, ARP, AS, JA, ST, MK, MP and LF: conducted the experiments; AD, SGK, AS, PAK and NPS: analyzed the data and compiled the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aboshama HMS (2011) Somatic embryogenesis, proliferation, maturation and germination in *Cajanus cajan*. World J Agric Sci 7(1):86–95
- Acharjee S, Sarmah BK, Kumar PA, Olsen K, Mahon R, Moar WJ, Moore A, Higgings TJV (2010) Transgenic chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) expressing a sequence-modified *cry2Aa* gene. Plant Sci 178:333–339
- Adang MA, Staver MJ, Rocheleau TA, Leighton J, Barker RF, Thompson DV (1985) Characterized full-length and truncated plasmid clones of crystal protein of *Bacillus thuringiensis subsp Kurstaki* HD-73 and their toxicity to *Manduca sexta*. Gene 36: 289–300
- Anbazhagan VR, Ganapathi A (1999) Somatic embryogenesis in cell suspension cultures of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 56(3):179–184
- Armes NJ, Bond GS, Cooters RJ (1992) The laboratory culture and development of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Natural Resources Institute Bulletin No. 57. Chatam. Natural Resource Institute, UK
- Bhatnagar-Mathur P, Sharma KK (2016) Genetic transformation of pigeonpea: An overview. Leg Perspect 11:35–36
- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal Biochem 112:195–203
- Chakrabarti SK, Mandaokar A, Kumar PA, Sharma RP (1998) Toxicity of lepidopteran specific delta endotoxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis* towards neonate larvae of *Helicoverpa armigera*. J Inverteb Pathol 72:336–337
- Chang TH, Huang HY, Hsu JB, Weng SL, Horng JT, Huang HD (2013) An enhanced computational platform for investigating the roles of regulatory RNA and for identifying functional RNA motifs. BMC Bioinformatics 14(2):S4
- Chen FJ, Wu G, Ge F, Parajulee MN, Shreshtha RB (2005) Efects of elevated CO_2 and transgenic *Bt* cotton on plant chemistry, performance and feeding of an insect herbivore, the cotton bollworm. Entomol Exp Appl 115:341–346
- Christou P, Swain WF, Yang NS, McCabe DE (1989) Inheritance and expression of foreign gene in transgenic soybean. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 86:7500–7504
- Das A, Parida SK (2014) Advances in biotechnological applications in three important food legumes. Plant Biotechnol Rep 8:83–99
- Dassi E, Re A, Leo S, Tebaldi T, Pasini L, Peroni D, Quattrone A (2014) AURA 2: Empowering discovery of post-transcriptional networks. Translation 2(1):e27738
- Datta SK, Peterhans A, Datta K, Potrykus I (1990) Genetically engineered fertile *indica*-rice plants recovered from protoplasts. Biotechnol 8:736–740
- Dayal S, Lavanya M, Devi P, Sharma KK (2003) An efficient protocol for shoot regeneration and genetic transformation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp) by using leaf explants. Plant Cell Rep 21:1072–1079
- Dellaporta S, Wood J, Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA mini preparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1:19–21
- Dong HJ, Li WJ (2007) Variability of endotoxin expression in *Bt* transgenic cotton. J Agron Crop Sci 193(1):21–29
- Eapen S, George L (1993) Plant regeneration from leaf discs of peanut and pigeonpea: inluence of benzyl-adenine, indole acetic acid and indole acetic acid-amino acid conjugates. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 35(3):223–227
- Eapen S, Livareker S, George L (1998) Thiadizuron-induced shoot regeneration in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L). Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 53(3):217–220
- FAOSTAT (2013) Food and agricultural organization [\(http://faostat3.](http://faostat3.fao.org/) [fao.org/](http://faostat3.fao.org/))
- Finnegan EJ, Genger RK, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES (1998) DNA methylation in plants. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 49:223–247
- Gamborg OL, Miller RA, Ojima O (1968) Nutrient requirements of suspension culture of soybean root cell. Exp Cell Res 50:151–158
- Gatti I, Guindon F, Bermeja C, Cspasito A, Cointry E (2016) In vitro tissue culture in breeding programs of leguminous pulses: use and current status. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult. doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1082-6) [s11240-016-1082-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1082-6)
- Geetha N, Venkatachalam P, Prakash V, Lakshmi Sita G (1998) High frequency induction of multiple shoots and plants regeneration from seedling explants of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). Curr Sci 17:1036–1041
- Geetha N, Venkatachalam P, Lakshmi Sita G (1999) *Agrobacterium*mediated genetic transformation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) and development of transgenic plants via direct organogenesis. Plant Biotech 16:213–218
- Hebsgaard SM, Korning PG, Tolstrup N, Engelbrecht J, Rouze P, Brunak S (1996) Splice site prediction in *Arabidopsis thaliana* DNA by combining local and global sequence information. Nucl Acid Res 24:3439–3452
- Hofgen R, Willitzer L (1990) Biochemical and genetic analysis of diferent patatin isoforms expressed in various organs of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). Plant Sci 66:221–230
- Hood EE, Helmer GL, Fraley RT, Chilton M-D (1986) The hypervirulence of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* A 281 is encoded in a region of pTiBo542 outside of T DNA. J Bacteriol 168:1291–1301
- Jacob C, Carrasco B, Schwember AR (2016) Advances in breeding and biotechnology of legume crops. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult. doi[:10.1007/s11240-016-1106-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11240-016-1106-2)
- James C (2014) Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2014. ISAAA Brief No. 49. ISAAA, Ithaca, NY
- Kumar PA, Malik VS, Sharma RP (1996) Insecticidal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Adv Appl Microbiol 42:1–43
- Kumar SM, Kumar BK, Sharma KK, Devi P (2004) Genetic transformation of pigeonpea with rice *chitinase* gene. Plant Breed 123(5):485–489
- Lawrence PK, Koundal KR (2001) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp) and molecular analysis of regenerated plants. Curr Sci 80:1428–1432
- Liu H, Han H, Li J, Wong L (2005) DNAFSMiner: a web-based software toolbox to recognize two types of functional sites in DNA sequences. Bioinformatics 21:671–673
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Phys Plant 15:473–497
- Murray EE, Lotzer J, Eberle M (1989) Codon usage in plant genes. Nucl Acid Res 17:477–498
- Naidu RB, Kulkarni DD, Krishnamurhty KV (1995) Genotype dependent morphogenetic potentiality of various explants of a food legume, the pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp). In vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 31:26–30
- Nene YL, Sheila VK (1990) Pigeonpea: geography and importance. In: Nene YL, Hall SD, Sheila VK (eds) The pigeonpea. CAB International, UK, pp 1–14
- Olhoft PM, Flagel LE, Somers DA (2004) T-DNA locus structure in a large population of soybean plants transformed using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated cotyledonary-node method. J Plant Biotechnol 2:289–300
- Olsen KM, Daly JC (2000) Plant-toxin interactions in transgenic Bt cotton and their efects on mortality of *Helicoverpa armigera*. Entomol Society America 93:1293–1299
- Opabode JT (2006) *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation of plants: emerging factors that influence efficiency. Biotech Mol Bio Rev 1:12–20
- Paz MM, Shou HX, Guo ZB, Zhang ZY, Banerjee AK, Wang K (2004) Assessment of conditions afecting *Agrobacterium*mediated soybean transformation using the cotyledonary node explant. Euphytica 136:167–179
- Peng JY, Wen SJ, Lister RL, Hodges TK (1995) Inheritence of *gusA* and *neo* genes in transgenic rice. Plant Mol Biol 27:91–104
- Prasad V, Satyavathi VV, Sanjaya, Valli KM, Khandelwal A, Shaila MS, Lakshmi Sita G (2004). Expression of biologically active hemagglutinin-neuraminidase protein 4 of *Peste despetits* ruminants virus in transgenic pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp). Plant Sci 166:199–205
- Raghavendra T, Sudhakar P (2014) *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) *var*. LRG 41 from axillay bud. Int JAppl Biol Pharm Tec 5(1):274–282
- Ramu SV, Rohini S, Keshavareddy G, Neelima MG, Shanmugam NB, Kumar ARV, Sarangi SK, Kumar PA, Udaykumar M (2011) Expression of a synthetic *cryIAcF* gene in transgenic pigeonpea confers resistance to *Helicoverpa armigera*. J Appl Entomol. doi[:10.1111/j.1439-0418.2011.01703.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2011.01703.x)
- Rao KS, Rohini S, Sharma PD, Keshamma E, Udaykumar M (2008) In planta transformation of pigeonpea: a method to overcome recalcitrancy of the crop to regeneration in vitro. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 14(4):321–328
- Rohini VK, Rao KS (2000) Embryo transformation, a practical approach for realizing transgenic plants of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) Ann Bot 86:1043–1049
- Sachs ES, Benedict JH, Stelly DM, Taylor JF, Altman DW, Berberich SA, Davis SK (1998) Expression and segregation of genes encoding Cry1A insecticidal proteins in cotton. Crop Sci 38:1–11
- Saraswathy N, Kumar PA (2004) Protein engineering of δ-endotoxins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Electron J Biotechnol 7(2):178–188
- Satyavathi VV, Prasad V, Khandelwal A, Shaila MS, Lakshmi Sita G (2003) Expression of hemagglutinin protein of Rinderpest

virus in transgenic pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* L. (Millsp.)] plants. Plant Cell Rep 21:651–658

- Scott A, Woodfield D, White DWR (1998) Allelic composition and genetic background efects on transgene expression and inheritance in white clover. Mol Breed 4:479–490
- Sharma KK, Lavanya M, Anjaiah V (2006) *Agrobacterium*-mediated production of transgenic pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp.) expressing the synthetic BT *cry1Ab* gene. In vitro Cell Dev Biol Plant 42(2):165–173
- Singh ND, Sahoo L, Neera BS, Jaiwal PK (2002) In vitro shoot organogenesis and plant regeneration from cotyledonary node and leaf explants of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp). Physiol Mol Biol Plant 8:133–140
- Singh ND, Sahoo L, Neera BS, Jaiwal PK (2003) The effect of TDZ on organogenesis and somatic embryogenesis in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp). Plant Sci 164:341–347
- Sivamani E, Bassie L, Christou P, Capell T (2001) Development of a novel gene transfer system for Cajanus cajan and expression of a monocot *arginine decarboxylase* cDNA in transformed cell lines. Plant Physiol Biochem 39: 575–582
- Spencer TM, O'Brien JV, Start WG, Adams TR, Gordon-Kamm WJ, Lemaux PG (1992) Segregation of transgenes in maize. Plant Mol Biol 18:201–210
- Sreenivasu K, Kumar PA, Sharma RP (1998) Plant regeneration *via* somatic embryogenesis in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millsp). Plant Cell Rep 17:294–297
- Srivastava B, Vasil V, Vasil IK (1996) Molecular characterization of the fate of transgene in transformed wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Theor Appl Genet 92:1031–1037
- Surekha Ch, Beena MR, Arundhati A, Singh PK, Tuli R, Dutta-Gupta A, Kirti PB (2005) *Agrobacterium*-mediated genetic transformation of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) using embryonal segments and development of transgenic plants for resistance against *Spodoptera*. Plant Sci 169:1074–1080
- Surekha Ch, Arundhati A, Rao GS (2007) Diferential response of *Cajanus cajan* varieties to transformation with diferent strains of *Agrobacterium*. J Biol Sci 7:176–181
- Surekha Ch, Kumari KN, Aruna LV, Suneetha G, Arundhati A, Kishore PBK (2014) Expression of the *Vigna aconitifolia P5CSF129A* gene in transgenic pigeonpea enhances proline accumulation and salt tolerance. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 116(1):27–36
- Szwacka M, Siedlecka E, Zawirska-Wojtasiak R, Wisniewski L, Malepszy S (2009) Expression pattern of the pre-prothaumatin II gene under the control of the CaMV35S promoter in transgenic cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) flower buds and fruits. J Appl Genet 50:9–16
- Thu TT, Dewade E, Trung LQ, Clays H, Jacobs H, Angenon G (2007) Increasing lysine levels in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L Millsp) seeds through genetic engineering. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 91(2):135–143
- Verma AK, Chand L (2005) *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) with *uidA* and *cry1Ab* genes. Physiol Mol Biol Plant 11:99–109
- Villiers SD, Emongor Q, Njeri R, Gwata E, Hoisington D, Njagi I, Silim S, Sharma K (2008) Evaluation of shoot regeneration response in tissue culture of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L. Millisp) varieties adapted to eastern and southern Africa. Afr J Biotechnol 7:587–590
- Walters DA, Vetsch CS, Potts DE, Lundquist RC (1992) Transformation and inheritance of a hygromycin phosphotransferase gene in maize plants. Plant Mol Biol 18:189–200
- Xia L, Xu Q, Guo S (2005) *Bt* insecticidal gene and its temporal expression in transgenic cotton plants. Acta Agronomica Sin 31:197–202
- Xue C, Wang BC, Yu Z, Sun M (2014) Structural insights into *Bacillus thuringiensis* cry, cyt and parasporin toxins. Toxins (Basel) 6(9):2732–2770
- Yadav PBS, Padmaja V (2003) Shoot organogenesis and plantlet regeneration from leaf segments of pigeonpea. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 73(2):197–200
- Zhang Y, Guo Y (2000) Interactions between condensed tannin and *Bt* crystal protein in cotton. Acta Gossypii Sin 12:294–297
- Zhang S, Warkentin D, Sun B, Zhong H, Sticklen N (1996) Variation in the inheritance of expression among subclones for unselected

(*uidA*) and selected (*bar*) transgenes in maize (*Zea mays* L.) Theor Appl Genet 92:752–761

- Zhang Y, Yang J, Guo Y, Wu K (2002) Study on the interactions between exogenous Bt-ICP and cotton terpenoids chemicals. Scientia Agric Sin 35:514–519
- Zummo GR, Segers JC, Benedict JH (1984) Seasonal phenology of allelochemicals in cotton and resistance to bollworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Environ Entomol 13:1287–1290