

Adaptive cooperation for free space optical communications

Nadhir Ben Halima¹ · Hatem Boujemaa²

Published online: 21 May 2020 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new Adaptive Cooperation (AC) protocol for Free Space Optical (FSO) communications. Two versions of the protocol are presented. The first protocol uses the Instantaneous Signal to Noise Ratio (ISNR) to activate the relay only when the corresponding instantaneous throughput is better than that of the direct link. The second protocol uses the Average SNR (ASNR) to activate the relay when its average throughput is larger than that of the direct link. The proposed AC protocol has been extended to include Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC). Our results are valid for FSO communications in the presence of Gamma Gamma atmospheric turbulence.

Keywords Adaptive cooperation · FSO · AMC

1 Introduction

Free Space Optical (FSO) communications offer huge bandwidth and data rates which makes this technology attractive for multimedia applications, online gaming and High Definition TV (HDTV) [5,11,18]. Although these services are already offered using optical fiber links, FSO have different features that makes it very attractive : ease of installation, free license, high capacity, no digging work and high protection to interference [4,9,20]. FSO communications are sensitive to atmospheric turbulence due to weather conditions such as rain, fog and snow. In order to improve the throughput of FSO systems, we can use different relaying techniques such as Amplify and Forward (AF) or Decode and Forward (DF) relaying techniques [4,8,9]. In AF relaying, the received signal is amplified using a fixed or an adaptive gain. Different relay selection techniques can be used such as opportunistic, partial and reactive relay selection [3,10,14]. FSO communications allows also data exchange in both directions between two sources using two-way relaying [17,19]. We can also deploy a hybrid Radio Frequency (RF)/FSO where one link uses RF and second one uses FSO [6,13]. In [2], FSO has been

 Nadhir Ben Halima nbenhalima@taibahu.edu.sa
 Hatem Boujemaa boujemaa.hatem@supcom.tn

¹ College of Computer Science and Engineering in Yanbu, Taibah University, Medina, Saudi Arabia

² SUPCOM, COSIM Lab. Tunisia, Tunis, Tunisia

combined with Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) to deliver 5G wireless communications while harvesting large amounts of energy. Two pulse Optical Spatial Modulations (OSM) have been suggested in [1] for multi-aperture FSO communications. Exact symbol error probability of OSM has been derived in [1] for Gamma-Gamma channels.

In conventional FSO systems, we can use either cooperative communications or non cooperative communication. In this paper, we activate the relay only when the corresponding instantaneous or average throughput is better than that of the direct link. The contributions of the paper are :

- We propose an adaptive cooperation protocol with Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC) using ISNR. This protocol activates the relay only when the corresponding instantaneous throughput is better than that of the direct link.
- We propose a second adaptive cooperation protocol with AMC using ASNR. This second protocol activates the relay only when the corresponding average throughput is better than that of the direct link.
- Our results are valid for coded and uncoded Pulse Amplitude Modulations (PAM) or Quadrature Amplitude Modulations (QAM) in the presence of weak, moderate and strong turbulence. We considered a Gamma Gamma atmospheric turbulence.

The paper contains nine sections. Sections 2 describes the system and signal models. Sections 3, 4 and 5 deal respectively with non cooperative, cooperative and adaptive

Fig. 1 System model



cooperation using ISNR. Section 6 deals with non cooperative, cooperative and adaptive cooperation using ASNR. Section 7 describes the obtained results. Finally, sect. 8 concludes the paper.

2 System model

In the system model shown in Fig. 1, there are a source S, a destination D and K relays. We propose an adaptive cooperation protocol that uses either the direct link between S and D or the relayed one through the best relay Rsel. Adaptive Cooperation (AC) activates the chosen relay only when the corresponding instantaneous throughput is larger than that of direct link. AC maximizing the average throughput consists to activate the chosen relay only when the corresponding average throughput is larger than that of direct link.

2.1 Signal model

The received signal at D on the direct link S-D is written as

$$r_{SD}(l) = h_{SD}s(l) + n_{SD}(l) \tag{1}$$

where s(l) is the *l*-th transmitted symbol, h_{SD} is the atmospheric fading coefficient between *S* and *D* and $n_{SD}(l)$ is a Gaussian noise with variance N_0 .

When a relayed link is used, the received signal at relay node *R* is expressed as

$$r_{SR}(l) = h_{SR}s(l) + n_{SR}(l),$$
(2)

where h_{SR} is the atmospheric fading coefficient between *S* and *R* and $n_{SR}(l)$ is a Gaussian noise with variance N_0 . Relay node *R* amplifies the received signal $r_{SR}(l)$ using an amplification factor *G* given by [12]

$$G = \sqrt{\frac{E_R}{E_S |h_{SR}|^2 + N_0}} \tag{3}$$

where E_S (respectively E_R) is the transmitted energy per symbol of the source *S* (respectively relay *R*). The received signal at *D* through *S*-*R*-*D* relayed link is written as

$$r_{SRD}(l) = Gh_{RD}r_{SR}(l) + n_{RD}(l)$$

= $Gh_{RD}h_{SR}s(l) + Gh_{RD}n_{SR}(l) + n_{RD}(l).$ (4)

where $n_{RD}(l)$ is a Gaussian noise with variance N_0 .

For both direct and relayed links, the received signal at D is equal to

$$r_D(l) = fs(l) + b(l),$$
 (5)

For the direct link, we have

$$f = h_{SD} \tag{6}$$

$$b(l) = n_{SD}(l) \tag{7}$$

For the relayed link, we have

$$f = h_{SR} h_{RD} G. \tag{8}$$

$$b(l) = Gh_{RD}n_{SR}(l) + n_{RD}(l)$$
(9)

There is no ambiguity at the receiver since it does not need to know if it is a direct or relayed signal. In fact, it has to adjust the thresholds for PAM or QAM detection using an estimated value of f:

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{l \in I_p} \frac{r_D(l)s(l)^*}{N_p |s(l)|^2} = f + \sum_{l \in I_p} \frac{b(l)s(l)^*}{N_p |s(l)|^2}$$
(10)

where I_p is the index of known pilot symbols and N_p is the number of pilot symbols used for channel and SNR estimation.

An estimation of SNR can be performed similarly

$$SNR = \frac{\widehat{f}^2 \widehat{E_S}}{B_0} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\widehat{E}_S = \sum_{l \in I_p} \frac{|s(l)|^2}{N_p}.$$
(12)

$$B_0 = \sum_{l \in I_p} \frac{|r_D(l) - \hat{fs}(l)|}{N_p}^2$$
(13)

For the direct link

$$B_0 \simeq N_0 \tag{14}$$

Using (6), (11) and (14), we obtain

$$SNR \simeq \Gamma_{SD} = \frac{h_{SD}^2 E_S}{N_0} \tag{15}$$

For relayed link, we have

$$B_0 \simeq N_0 G^2 h_{RD}^2 + N_0. \tag{16}$$

Using (3), (8), (11), (16), we obtain

$$SNR \simeq \Gamma_{SRD} = \frac{G^2 h_{RD}^2 h_{SR}^2 E_S}{N_0 + N_0 h_{RD}^2 G^2} = \frac{\Gamma_{SR} \Gamma_{RD}}{\Gamma_{SR} + \Gamma_{RD} + 1},$$
(17)

where $\Gamma_{SR} = \frac{E_S h_{SR}^2}{N_0}$ is the SNR of *S*-*R* link and $\Gamma_{RD} = \frac{E_R h_{RD}^2}{N_0}$ is the SNR of *R*-*D* link.

^{A0} estimation of SNRs of direct and relayed links sent on the feedback channel are the only required inputs of the proposed adaptive cooperation protocol. Adaptive cooperation using instantaneous SNR don't use a matrix to record many values of ISNRs and only actual values of ISNRs of direct and relayed links are sufficient. If the adaptive cooperation protocol uses the average SNR, an average of ISNR values over many frames can be computed to estimate the average SNR. These values don't have to be stored, only the average SNR is required.

3 Non cooperative communications with AMC using ISNR

When Non Cooperative Communications (NCC) is used, the instantaneous throughput of uncoded Q-PAM modulation is expressed as

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma) = \log_2(Q)[1 - Pes_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)]^N$$
(18)

where *N* is packet length in symbols, $Pes_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)$ is the Symbol Error Probability (SEP) of PAM modulation of size *Q* and SNR equal to γ ,

$$Pes_{Q-PAM}(\gamma) = \frac{Q-1}{Q} erfc \\ \left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma 3\log_2(Q)}{2(Q-1)(2Q-1)}}\right)$$
(19)

When convolutional channel encoding is used, the throughput of coded Q-PAM is written as

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma) = R_c \log_2(Q) [1 - PEV_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)]^{NR_c}$$
(20)

where R_c is the rate of channel encoder, $PEV_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)$ is the probability of an error event of Viterbi decoder expressed as [15]

$$PEV_{Q-PAM}(\gamma) \le min(1, \sum_{d=d_f}^{+\infty} a_d Pd_{Q-PAM}(\gamma))$$
(21)

33

 d_f is the free distance of the code and a_d is the number of trellis paths with Hamming weight d [15],

$$Pd_{Q-PAM}(\gamma) = \frac{Q-1}{Q} erfc \left(\sqrt{\frac{dR_c\gamma 3\log_2(Q)}{2(Q-1)(2Q-1)}}\right).$$
(22)

When AMC is used, the instantaneous throughput is written as

$$Ithr_{AMC}^{NCC}(\gamma) = max[Ithr_{A-PAM,R_{c}}^{NCC}(\gamma),$$

$$Ithr_{4-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma), Ithr_{8-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma),$$

$$Ithr_{16-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma), Ithr_{32-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma)] \quad (23)$$

In this paper, we use the following 5 MCS : 4-PAM with half rate channel coding, 4-PAM, 8-PAM, 16-PAM and 32-PAM. Extension to other MCS is straightforward.

The average throughput of non cooperative communications with AMC is expressed as

$$Athr_{AMC}^{NCC}(\overline{\Gamma}_{SD}) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} Ithr_{AMC}^{NCC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma) d\gamma \quad (24)$$

 Γ_{SD} is the SNR of direct link between the source S and destination D, $f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma)$ is the Probability Density Function (PDF) of SNR, Γ_{SD} that follows a Gamma Gamma distribution [7]

$$f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma) = \frac{2(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}}{2\overline{\Gamma}_{SD}\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} (\frac{x}{\overline{\Gamma}_{SD}})^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}-1} K_{\alpha-\beta}(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta x}{\overline{\Gamma}_{SD}}}), x \ge 0$$
(25)

where $\overline{\Gamma}_{SD} = E(\Gamma_{SD})$ is the average SNR of direct link between S and D, E(X) is expectation of X, $K_n(x)$ is the nth order modified Bessel function of second kind, $\alpha = 2.902$, $\beta = 2.51$ for weak turbulence, $\alpha = 2.296$, $\beta = 1.822$ for moderate turbulence and $\alpha = 2.064$, $\beta = 1.342$ for strong turbulence [7].

4 Cooperative communications with AMC using ISNR

The instantaneous throughput of uncoded Q-PAM with Cooperative Communications (CC) is written as

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma) = \frac{\log_2(Q)}{2} [1 - Pes_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)]^N \quad (26)$$

where coefficient $\frac{1}{2}$ is due to the fact that the source and relay transmit during only half the frame.

When convolutional channel coding is employed, the instantaneous throughput is written as

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{CC}(\gamma) = \frac{R_c \log_2(Q)}{2} \times [1 - PEV_{Q-PAM}(\gamma)]^{R_c N} \quad (27)$$

When AMC is employed, the instantaneous throughput of CC is equal to

$$Ithr_{AMC}^{CC}(\gamma) = max[Ithr_{4-PAM,R_{c}}^{CC}(\gamma),$$

$$Ithr_{4-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma), Ithr_{8-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma),$$

$$Ithr_{16-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma), Ithr_{32-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma)] \quad (28)$$

The average throughput of cooperative communication with AMC is equal to

$$Athr_{AMC}^{CC}(\overline{\Gamma}_{SRD}) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} Ithr_{AMC}^{CC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SRD}}(\gamma) d\gamma$$
(29)

 $f_{\Gamma_{SRD}}(\gamma)$ is the PDF of Γ_{SRD} . Γ_{SRD} is expressed as

$$\Gamma_{SRD} = \frac{\Gamma_{SR}\Gamma_{RD}}{1 + \Gamma_{SR} + \Gamma_{RD}} \tag{30}$$

 Γ_{SR} is ISNR between *S* and *R* and Γ_{RD} is the ISNR between *R* and *D*.

The PDF of an upper bound of SNR of AF relaying is given in Appendix A. When there are many relays, we have simply to replace in (29) the PDF of SNR Γ_{SRD} by that of Γ_{SRselD} where *Rsel* is the chosen relay. The PDF of SNR Γ_{SRselD} is provided in appendix B.

5 Adaptive cooperation with AMC using ISNR

5.1 PAM modulation

The instantaneous throughput of Adaptive Cooperation (AC) is the maximum of throughput of cooperative and non cooperative communications:

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma_{SD}),$$
$$Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SRD})]$$
(31)

where γ_{SD} (respectively γ_{SRD}) is the ISNR of direct link (respectively relayed link)

When channel coding is used, the throughput of AC is expressed as

$$Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_{c}}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD},\gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_{c}}^{CC}(\gamma_{SD}),$$
$$Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_{c}}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SRD})]$$
(32)

When AMC is employed, the instantaneous throughput is equal to

$$Ithr_{AMC}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{4-PAM,R_{c}}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}),$$
$$Ithr_{4-PAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}),$$
$$Ithr_{8-PAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}), Ithr_{16-PAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}),$$
$$Ithr_{32-PAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD})]$$
(33)

The average throughput of adaptive cooperation with AMC is expressed as

$$Athr_{AMC}^{AC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} Ithr_{AMC}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}) \times f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma_{SD}) f_{\Gamma_{SRD}}(\gamma_{SRD}) d\gamma_{SD} d\gamma_{SRD}$$
(34)

where $\frac{E_b}{N_0}$ is the average SNR per bit and E_b is the transmitted energy per bit.

5.2 QAM modulation

When QAM is used in FSO systems, the instantaneous throughput of AC is equal to

$$Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{CC}(\gamma_{SD}), Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SRD})]$$
(35)

where

$$Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SD}) = \log_2(Q)[1 - Pes_{Q-QAM}(\gamma_{SD})]^N$$
(36)

 $Pes_{Q-QAM}(\gamma)$ is the SEP of QAM modulation expressed as [15]

$$Pes_{Q-QAM}(\gamma_{SD}) = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}\right)erfc\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{SD}3\log_2(Q)}{(Q-1)}}\right)$$
(37)

and

$$Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{CC}(\gamma_{SRD}) = 0.5 \times \log_2(Q) [1 - Pes_{Q-QAM}(\gamma_{SRD})]^N$$
(38)

Equation (37) is valid when Q is a perfect square i.e. $Q = P^2$. The SEP of 8-QAM is computed as $Pes_{8-QAM}(\gamma) = \frac{5}{4}erfc(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{6}})$ and the SEP of 32-QAM is $Pes_{32-QAM}(\gamma) = \frac{13}{8}erfc(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{13}})$ [15].

When convolutional channel encoding is used, the throughput of NCC with coded Q-QAM is equal to

$$Ithr_{Q-QAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SD}) = R_c \log_2(Q) [1 - PEV_{Q-QAM}(\gamma_{SD})]^{NR_c}$$
(39)

and [15]

$$PEV_{Q-QAM}(\gamma) \le \min\left[1, 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}}\right)\sum_{d=d_f}^{+\infty} a_d erfc \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{dR_c\gamma 3\log_2(Q)}{(Q-1)}}\right)\right]$$
(40)

When convolutional channel encoding is used, the throughput of CC with coded Q-QAM is equal to

$$Ithr_{Q-QAM,R_c}^{CC}(\gamma_{SRD}) = 0.5R_c \log_2(Q)[1 - PEV_{Q-QAM}(\gamma_{SRD})]^{NR_c}$$
(41)

When channel coding is used, the throughput of AC is written as

$$Ithr_{QPSK,R_c}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD},\gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{QPSK,R_c}^{CC}(\gamma_{SD}),Ithr_{QPSK,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma_{SRD})]$$
(42)

When AMC is employed, the instantaneous throughput of AC is equal to

$$Ithr_{AMC}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}) = max[Ithr_{QPSK,R_{c}}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}), Ithr_{QPSK}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}), Ithr_{8-QAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}), Ithr_{16-QAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD}), Ithr_{32-QAM}^{AC}(\gamma_{SD}, \gamma_{SRD})]$$

$$(43)$$

The average throughput of AC with AMC is computed similarly to (34).

6 Adaptive cooperation with AMC using ASNR

The average throughput of uncoded Q-PAM without cooperation is expressed as

$$Athr_{Q-PAM}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = \int_0^{+\infty} Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma) d\gamma$$
(44)

Equation (44) can be used to evaluate the average throughput of NCC when QAM modulation is used and we have simply to replace $Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{NCC}(\gamma)$ by $Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{NCC}(\gamma)$ given in (36).

When channel coding is used, the average throughput of coded Q-PAM without cooperation is written as

$$Athr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = \int_0^{+\infty} Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SD}}(\gamma) d\gamma$$

$$\tag{45}$$

Equation (45) can be used to evaluate the average throughput of NCC when coded QAM modulation is used and we have simply to replace $Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma)$ by $Ithr_{Q-QAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\gamma)$ given in (39).

When AMC is employed using the ASNR, the average throughput is expressed as

$$Athr_{AMC}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = max[Athr_{4-PAM,R_c}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}),$$

$$Athr_{4-PAM}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}), Athr_{8-PAM}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}),$$

$$Athr_{16-PAM}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}), Athr_{32-PAM}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0})]$$
(46)

The average throughput of uncoded Q-PAM with cooperation is

$$Athr_{Q-PAM}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = \int_0^{+\infty} Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SRD}}(\gamma) d\gamma$$
(47)

Equation (47) can be used to evaluate the average throughput of NCC when uncoded QAM modulation is used and we have simply to replace $Ithr_{Q-PAM}^{CC}(\gamma)$ by $Ithr_{Q-QAM}^{CC}(\gamma)$ given in (38).

When channel coding is used, the average throughput of coded Q-PAM with cooperation is written as

$$Athr_{Q-PAM,R_{c}}^{CC}(\frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_{c}}^{CC}(\gamma) f_{\Gamma_{SRD}}(\gamma) d\gamma$$
(48)

Equation (48) can be used to evaluate the average throughput of NCC when coded QAM modulation is used and we have simply to replace $Ithr_{Q-PAM,R_c}^{CC}(\gamma)$ by $Ithr_{Q-QAM,R_c}^{CC}(\gamma)$ given in (41).

When AMC is employed using the average SNR, the average throughput of CC is expressed as

$$Athr_{AMC}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = max[Athr_{4-PAM,R_c}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}),$$

$$Athr_{4-PAM}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}), Athr_{8-PAM}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}),$$

$$Athr_{16-PAM}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}), Athr_{32-PAM}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0})]$$

$$(49)$$

The throughput of adaptive cooperation using ASNR and AMC is the maximum of average throughput of CC and NCC:

$$Athr_{AMC}^{AC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}) = max[Athr_{AMC}^{CC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0}),$$
$$Athr_{AMC}^{NCC}(\frac{E_b}{N_0})]$$
(50)

7 Theoretical and simulation results

We have used MATLAB to perform some simulation and plot theoretical throughput for FSO communications. Packets contain 200 symbols. Except Fig. 7 where QAM modulation has been used, we have compared our adaptive cooperation protocol with AMC for the following MCS :

- MCS1 : 4-PAM with half rate convolutional coding. The memory of the code is m=6 and generator polynomials are $g_1 = 133$ and $g_2 = 171$.
- MCS2 : uncoded 4-PAM.
- MCS3 : uncoded 8-PAM.
- MCS4 : uncoded 16-PAM.
- MCS5 : uncoded 32-PAM.

In all figures, perfect Channel State Information (CSI) is assumed and the performance of AC protocol with estimated CSI has been evaluated only in Fig. 9.

Fig. 2 shows the instantaneous throughput of non cooperative communications for the studied 5 MCS. We observe that:

If ISNR < T_1 , we have to use coded 4-PAM with $R_c = 0.5$.

If $T_1 \leq ISNR < T_2$, we have to use uncoded 4-PAM.

If $T_2 \leq ISNR < T_3$, we have to use uncoded 8-PAM.

If $T_3 \leq ISNR < T_4$, we have to use uncoded 16-PAM.

If ISNR $\geq T_4$, we have to use uncoded 32-PAM.

In Fig. 3-6, we compared the proposed AC protocol to CC [3,10,14] and NCC [11,18] when the distance between source S and destination D is one, the distance



Fig. 2 MCS selection using ISNR



Fig. 3 Throughput comparison of the proposed adaptive cooperation versus cooperative and non cooperative communications

between S and relay R is 0.2 and the distance between R and D is 0.8. The path loss exponent was set to 3.

Fig. 3 shows the average throughput for weak turbulence in the presence of a single relay. We observe that AC with AMC using ISNR offers a higher throughput than NCC and CC with AMC. AC with 32-PAM offers a lower throughput than AC with AMC. Therefore, the proposed AC protocol should be always combined with AMC to reach high throughput.



Fig. 4 Adaptive cooperation and non cooperative communications in the presence of many relays

Fig. 4 compares the throughput of AC and CC with AMC using the ISNR when there are one to four relays. The relay with largest end-to-end SNR between S, R_k and D is selected. We notice that the the throughput of AC protocol is larger than that of CC even in the presence of many relays.

Fig. 5 shows that AC maximizing the instantaneous throughput offers a larger throughput than AC maximizing the average throughput. These theoretical results are also confirmed with computer simulations obtained with MATLAB in the presence of weak turbulence and a single relay.

Fig. 6 shows that the proposed AC maximizing the instantaneous throughput offers a higher throughput than NCC. These results were obtained when there is a single relay for weak, moderate and strong turbulence.

The proposed AC protocol can be implemented with any modulation. Fig. 7 shows the throughput of AC maximizing the instantaneous throughput with QAM or PAM modulations in the presence of weak turbulence and a single relay. When QAM is used, five MCS were studied : 4-QAM with half rate channel coding, uncoded 4-QAM, uncoded 8 QAM, uncoded 16 QAM and uncoded 32 QAM. We notice that AC with QAM modulations offers a higher throughput than the same protocol with PAM modulations. Besides, the proposed AC protocol with QAM modulations offers a larger throughput than CC and NCC using QAM modulations.

Fig. 8 compares the throughput of AC maximizing the instantaneous throughput to Incremental Relaying (IR) [16].



Fig. 5 Adaptive cooperation maximizing average or instantaneous throughput



Fig. 6 Adaptive cooperation and non cooperative communications for weak, moderate and strong turbulence



Fig. 7 Adaptive cooperation using ISNR for weak turbulence with QAM or PAM modulations



Fig.8 Adaptive cooperation using ISNR versus Incremental Relaying (IR) for weak turbulence: PAM modulation

IR consists to compare the ISNR of direct link Γ_{SD} to threshold Γ_{th} . If Γ_{SD} is larger than Γ_{th} , the direct link is reliable and can be used. In this case, the appropriate MCS is selected for the direct link to maximize the instantaneous throughput. If Γ_{SD} is less than Γ_{th} , the direct link is unreliable and not used. In that case, the relay is activated with the appropriate MCS. We observe that AC offers a higher throughput than

IR. These results correspond to weak turbulence and a single relay.

Fig. 9 shows the throughput of adaptive cooperation using ISNR with perfect CSI and estimated CSI. $N_p = 4$ or 10 pilot symbols were used to estimate the atmospheric fading coefficient (10) and ISNR (11). There is a single relay and PAM modulations were used in the presence of weak turbulence.



Fig. 9 Adaptive cooperation using ISNR for weak turbulence with perfect and estimated CSI

We observe that the throughput is close to perfect CSI when the number of pilot symols N_p is increased.

7.1 Delay analysis

The delay of AC is the sum of:

- The delay to estimate the ISNRs of direct and relayed links and send them on the feedback channel.
- The delay to adjust the MCS and select the relay if it is activated.

The delay of NCC is the sum of:

- The delay to estimate the ISNR of direct link and send it on the feedback channel.
- The delay to adjust the MCS.

The delay of CC is the sum of:

- The delay to estimate the ISNRs of relayed links and send them on the feedback channel.
- The delay to adjust the MCS and select the relay.

Therefore, the delay of AC is slightly higher than that of NCC since it requires the ISNRs of direct and relayed links.

8 Conclusions and perspectives

In this paper, we proposed a new Adaptive Cooperation (AC) protocol that chooses between Cooperative Communications (CC) and Non CC (NCC) in order to maximize the instantaneous or average throughput. The proposed AC protocol has been extended to include AMC. The suggested adaptive cooperation protocol offers a larger throughput than **incremental relaying**, CC [8-10] and NCC [1-2]. As a perspective, we can extend the proposed AC protocol to hybrid Radio Frequency (RF)/FSO.

Compliance with ethical standards

Statement On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Appendix A : PDF of SNR of AF relaying when atmospheric turbulence follows a Gamma Gamma Distribution

The SNR of AF relaying between the source S, relay R and destination D is upper bounded by

$$\Gamma_{SRD} < \Gamma_{SRD}^{up} = min(\Gamma_{SR}, \Gamma_{RD})$$
(51)

If Γ_{SR} and Γ_{RD} are independent, the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of Γ_{SRD}^{up} is given by

$$F_{\Gamma_{SRD}^{up}}(x) = 1 - [1 - F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)][1 - F_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x)]$$

= $F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x) + F_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x) - F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)F_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x)$
(52)

We deduce the PDF of Γ^{up}_{SRD} :

$$f_{\Gamma_{SRD}^{up}}(x) = f_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x) + f_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x) -f_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)F_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x) - F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)f_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x)$$
(53)

 $f_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)$ and $f_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x)$ are the PDF of SNR of first and second hop expressed similarly to (25), $F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x)$ is the CDF of SNR expressed as

$$F_{\Gamma_{SR}}(x) = \frac{\overline{\Gamma}_{SR}^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}(\alpha\beta)^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}}{2^{\alpha+\beta-2}\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}$$
$$D_{\alpha+\beta-1,\alpha-\beta}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta x}{\overline{\Gamma}_{SR}}}\right)$$
(54)

where

$$D_{a,b} = \int_0^{+\infty} u^a K_b(u) du.$$
(55)

 $F_{\Gamma_{RD}}(x)$ is the CDF of Γ_{RD} expressed similarly to (54) where we have to replace $\overline{\Gamma}_{SR} = E(\Gamma_{RD})$ by $\overline{\Gamma}_{RD} = E(\Gamma_{RD})$.

Appendix B

When there are *K* relays, we choose the relay node R_{sel} that has the best SNR:

$$\Gamma_{SR_{sel}D} = \max_{1 \le k \le K} (\Gamma_{SR_kD})$$
(56)

Assuming that Γ_{SR_kD} are independent, the CDF of $\Gamma_{SR_{sel}D}$ is given by

$$F_{\Gamma_{SR_{sel}D}}(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} F_{\Gamma_{SR_kD}}(x).$$
(57)

We deduce the PDF of $\Gamma_{SR_{sel}D}$

$$f_{\Gamma_{SR_{sel}D}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{K} f_{\Gamma_{SR_nD}}(x)$$
$$\prod_{k=1,k\neq n}^{K} F_{\Gamma_{SR_kD}}(x).$$
(58)

References

- Abou-Rjeily, Chadi, & Kaddoum, Georges. (2019). Optical Spatial Modulation for FSO IM/DD Communications With photoncounting Receivers: Performance Analysis, Transmit Diversity Order and Aperture Selection. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas* in Communications, 37(9), 2053–2068.
- Abou-Rjeily, Chadi, Kaddoum, Georges, & Karagiannidis, George K. (2019). Ground-to-air FSO communications: when high data rate communication meets efficient energy harvesting with simple designs. *Optics Express*, 27, 34079–34092.
- Abou-Rjeily, Chadi, & Noun, Zeina. (2016). Impact of Inter-Relay Co-Operation on the Performance of FSO Systems With Any Number of Relays. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications.*, 15(6), 3796–3809.
- Al-Ebraheemy, Omer Mahmoud S, Salhab, Anas M, Chaaban, Anas, Zummo, Salam A, & Alouini, Mohamed-Slim. (2017). Precise outage analysis of mixed RF/unified-FSO DF relaying with HD and 2 IM-DD channel models. 2017 13th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC), 1184–1189.
- Alheadary, Wael G, Park, Ki-Hong, & Alouini, Mohamad-Slim. (2017). BER analysis of multi-hop heterodyne FSO systems with fixed gain relays over general Malaga turbulence channels. 13th International Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing Conference (IWCMC); 1172–1177.

- Al-Qahtani, Fawaz S, Abd El-Malek, Ahmed H, Ansari, Imran S, Radaydeh, Redha M, & Zummo, Salam A. (2017). Outage Analysis of Mixed Underlay Cognitive RF MIMO and FSO Relaying With Interference Reduction. IEEE Photonics Journal 9(2).
- Anguita, J. A., Djordjevic, I. B., Neifeld, M. A., & Vasic, B. V. (2005). Shannon capacities and error-correction codes for optical atmospheric turbulent channels. *Journal of Optical Networking*, 4, 586–601.
- Balti, Elyes, Guizani, Mohsen, Hamdaoui, Bechir, & Maalej, Yassine. (2016). Partial Relay Selection for Hybrid RF/FSO Systems with Hardware Impairments. *IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, 2016, 1–6.
- Boluda-Ruiz, Ruben, Garcia-Zambrana, Antonio, Castillo-Vazquez, Beatriz, & Castillo-Vazquez, Carmen. (2016). Ergodic Capacity Analysis of Decode-and-Forward Relay-Assisted FSO Systems Over Alpha-Mu Fading Channels Considering Pointing Errors. IEEE Photonics Journal, 8(1).
- Boluda-Ruiz, Ruben, Garcia-Zambrana, Antonio, Castillo-Vazquez, Beatriz, & Castillo-Vazquez, Carmen. (2016). MISO Relay-Assisted FSO Systems Over Gamma-Gamma Fading Channels With Pointing Errors. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters Year*, 28(3), 229–232.
- Dabiri, Mohammad Taghi, & Sajad Sadough, Seyed Mohammad. (2018). Performance analysis of all-optical amplify and forward relaying over log-normal FSO channels. *IEEE/OSA Journal of Optical Communications and Networking Year*, 10(2), 79–89.
- Hasna, M. O., & Alouini, M. S. (2003). Outage probability of multihop transmission over Nakagami fading channels. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 7(5), 216–218.
- Kong, Lei, Xu, Wei, Zhang, Hua, & Zhao, Chunming. (2016). Mixed RF/FSO two-way relaying system under generalized FSO channel with pointing error. *Eighth International Conference on Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN)*, 264–269.
- Sharma, Kumar, Prabhat, Bansal, Ankur, Garg, Parul, Tsiftsis, & Theodoros, & Barrios, Ricardo., (2017). Relayed FSO communication with aperture averaging receivers and misalignment errors. *IET Communications*, 11(1), 45–52.
- Proakis, J. (2007). *Digital communications* (5th ed.). New York: Mac Graw-Hill.
- 16. Saleem, M, Ibraheem, M, Zafrullah, M, Awan, A. Outage analysis of RF/FSO using incremental and selective relay technique. 2016 International Conference On Computing, Electronic And Electrical Engineering (ICE Cube).
- Trinh, Phuc V, Thang, Truong C, Pham, Anh T. (2016). Two-way all-optical AF relaying FSO systems over Malaga (M) channels with pointing errors. Ieee International Conference On Communications (ICC); 1 - 7.
- Vu, Minh Q, Nguyen, Nga T T, Vu, Minh B, Phan, Hang TT, Pham, Hien TT, & Dang, Ngoc T. (2017). HAP-based FSO system using all-optical detect-amplify-and-forward relaying and coherent detection receiver. 4th NAFOSTED Conference On Information And Computer Science; 120 - 125.
- Yang, Liang, Alouini, Mohamed-Slim, Shafique Ansari, Imran. (2018). Asymptotic performance analysis of two-way relaying FSO networks with nonzero boresight pointing errors over doublegeneralized gamma fading channels. IEEE Transactions On Vehicular Technology.
- Zedini, Emna, Soury, Hamza, & Alouini, Mohamed-Slim. (2016). Outage probability of dual-hop FSO fixed gain relay transmission systems. 2016 IEEE 27th annual international symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC); 1-6.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Nadhir Ben Halima received his B.Sc. degree in computer engineering from the National School of Computer Sciences (ENSI), Manouba, Tunisia, in 2005, the M.Sc. degree in communication networks engineering from Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Pisa, Italy, in 2006, and the Ph.D. degree in information and communication technologies in 2009 from the University of Trento, Trento, Italy. In 2009 he was a Visiting Researcher at the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at North

Carolina State University, Raleigh, USA. In September 2011, he joined the College of Computer Science and Engineering in Yanbu, Taibah University, Madinah, Saudi Arabia where he is currently an Associate Professor.



Hatem Boujemaa received the Engineer's Diploma from "Ecole Polytechnique de Tunis", in 1997, the MSC in digital communications from "Telecom Paris Tech", in 1998 and the Ph.D. degree in electronics and communications from the same university in 2001. From October 1998 to September 2001, he prepared his Ph.D. degree at Orange Lab, France. During this period, he participated in the RNRT project AUBE. From October 2001 to January 2002, he joined "Ecole Supérieure

d'Electricité", Gif-sur-Yvette, France, and worked on mobile localization for RNRT project LUTECE. In February 2002, he joined SUP-COM where he is a Professor.