

A new species of *Anchistrotos* Brian, 1906 (Copepoda: Cyclopoida: Taeniacanthidae) from the filamentous shrimpgoby *Myersina filifer* (Valenciennes) (Perciformes: Gobiidae) in Korean waters

Seong Yong Moon · Jeong-Hoon Lee · Doo Nam Kim

Received: 30 March 2015 / Accepted: 9 June 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Abstract A new species of *Anchistrotos* Brian, 1906 (Copepoda: Cyclopoida: Taeniacanthidae), parasitic in the branchial cavities of the filamentous shrimp-goby *Myersina filifer* (Valenciennes) (Perciformes: Gobiidae) from Korea is described. The new species is most closely related to *A. tangi* Venmathi Maran, Moon & Adday, 2014, but differs from it by the following combination of characters in the adult female: the U-shaped rostrum, the distal margin of the anal somite lacks patches of spinules, the proximal segment of the maxilliped is without seta, and the maxilliped claw is armed with long and small naked setae. This is the tenth species of the genus and a key is provided to distinguish all nominal species.

Introduction

Copepods of the family Taeniacanthidae C. B. Wilson, 1911 (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) are typically parasitic on marine teleost fishes or associated with sea urchins (Boxshall & Halsey, 2004). Within the family *c*.100 species of 21 genera have been described (Dojiri & Cressey, 1987; Tang, 2011; Tang et al., 2011; Kim & Moon, 2013; Uyeno et al., 2013; Venmathi Maran et al.,

2014, 2015). The largest four genera, i.e. Anchistrotos Brian, 1906, Irodes C. B. Wilson, 1911, Metataeniacanthus Pillai, 1963, Taeniacanthus Sumpf, 1871, include 69 nominal species (Dojiri & Cressey, 1987; Tang, 2011; Tang et al., 2011; Kim & Moon, 2013; Venmathi Maran et al., 2014). Anchistrotos currently contains nine valid species (Dojiri & Cressey, 1987; Venmathi Maran et al., 2014), which are known to parasite the gills and body surface of marine teleost fishes although one was found associated with sea weed (Leigh-Sharpe, 1935; Kabata, 1979; Dojiri & Cressey, 1987; Boxshall & Halsey, 2004; Venmathi Maran et al., 2014). This genus of copepod parasites has mostly been reported from British waters, the Mediterranean Sea and the Arabian Sea (Dojiri & Cressey, 1987; Venmathi Maran et al., 2014). To date, only a single species of Anchistrotos has been reported in gobiid fishes from Korea and Japan: Anchistrotos kojimensis Do & Ho, 1983 (Do & Ho, 1983; Suh et al., 1992).

This study provides a detailed description of *Anchistrotos tongyeongensis* n. sp., based on adult specimens collected from the branchial cavities of filamentous shrimpgoby *Myersina filifer* (Valenciennes) (Perciformes: Gobiidae), caught off Tongyeong, southern Korea.

Materials and methods

The host *Myersina filifer* (n = 4) was collected by line fishing from off the southern coast of Korea and

S. Y. Moon (⊠) · J.-H. Lee · D. N. Kim Southeast Sea Fisheries Research Institute, National Fisheries Research & Development Institute, Tongyeong 650-943, Korea e-mail: parmcourt@empas.com



Fig. 1 *Anchistrotos tongyeongensis* n. sp., female, paratype. A, Habitus, dorsal view; B, Urosome, dorsal view (seta I indicated by *arrows*); C, Rostral area, ventral view; D, Antennules, ventral view; E, Antenna, dorsal view; F, Postantennal process, dorsal view; G, Labrum, ventral view; H, Mandible, ventral view; I, Maxillule, ventral view. *Scale-bars*: A, 200 μm; B, 100 μm; C, D, F, G, 50 μm; E, H, I, 25 μm

Deringer

immediately fixed and preserved in 95% ethanol. The parasitic copepods were carefully removed from the branchial cavities of the host using fine forceps and observed under a dissecting microscope. Copepod specimens were preserved in 70% ethanol and subsequently cleared in a drop of 80% lactic acid prior to examination using an Olympus BX51 differential phase contrast microscope. Examination was carried out using the wooden slide method (Humes & Gooding, 1964). Drawings were made with the aid of a drawing tube mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. After microscopical examination, the dissected appendages were mounted on a slide in lactophenol mounting medium and were sealed with transparent nail varnish. In the descriptions, body length was measured using a micrometer from the anterior margin of the cephalothorax to the posterior margin of the caudal rami excluding setae on caudal rami. All measurements are in micrometres unless otherwise indicated. The morphological terminology follows Dojiri & Cressey (1987) and fish names conform to FishBase (Froese & Pauly, 2015). Typespecimens were deposited in the National Institute of Biological Resources (NIBR), Incheon, Korea.

Family *Taeniacanthidae* C. B. Wilson, 1911 Genus *Anchistrotos* Brian, 1906

Anchistrotos tongyeongensis n. sp.

Type-host: Myersina filifer (Valenciennes) (Perciformes: Gobiidae).

Type-locality: Off Tongyeong (34°76'39"N, 128°42'09"E), southern Korea.

Attachment site: Branchial cavity.

Type-material: Holotype adult female (NIBRIV0000 293099) and allotype adult male undissected in 80% ethanol (NIBRIV0000293100); two paratype adult females dissected and mounted on 2 glass slides (NIBRIV0000293106, NIBRIV0000293112).

Etymology: The specific name *tongyeongensis* refers to the type-locality Tongyeong-si, Korea.

Description (Figs. 1–3)

Adult female [Based on three specimens.] Body (Fig. 1A) 1.57–1.65 (1.62) mm long (excluding caudal

setae), 0.69–0.75 (0.72) mm wide. Prosome composed of broad cephalothorax (first pedigerous somite fused with cephalosome) and progressively narrower second to fourth pedigerous somites. Posterodorsal surface of cephalothorax with dorsal frame, sclerotised structure (Fig. 1A). Urosome (Fig. 1B) comprising genital somite and 4 free abdominal somites. Second to fourth pedigerous somites 596 \times 241, 382 \times 173 and 235 \times 106, respectively. Genital somite (Fig. 1B) 1.52 times wider than long, 184×121 ; genital apertures positioned dorsolaterally near middle of somite. Proportional length (%) of abdominal somites 26.2 : 26.7: 19.3: 27.8% = 100; abdominal somites lacking ornamentation. Caudal ramus 2.28 times longer than wide, 93×41 , bearing 7 setae (seta I smallest located anteroventrally); setae II and III with row of minute spinules at base; setae IV and V ornamented with medial row of bristles and lateral row of spinules. Rostral area (Fig. 1C) with U-shaped rostrum, bearing 3 sclerotised plates. Longest egg-sac (Fig. 1A) 1.12 mm long; eggs arranged in 4 rows.

Antennule (Fig. 1D) 7-segmened, armature formula: 5, 15, 5, 3, 4, 2+1 aesthetasc, and 7 + 1aesthetasc. Antenna (Fig. 1E) composed of coxobasis and 2 endopodal segments; coxobasis with distal seta; first endopodal segment with inner seta; second endopodal segment bears 2 unequal pectinate processes, 3 claw-like spines and 4 unequal setae; large pecinate process with multiple rows of spinules (usual seta not observed, possibly broken off); small pectinate process with row of spinules and minute seta. Postantennal process (Fig. 1F) elongate, evenly curved distally. Labrum (Fig. 1G) with row of tiny spinules along posterior margin. Mandible (Fig. 1H) armed with two apical blades; both blades spinulate along inner margin. Paragnath (Fig. 2A) strongly curved with medial patch of hairs. Maxillule (Fig. 1I) lobate, small knob-like process anteriorly (arrowed in Fig. 11), bearing 3 long and 3 short naked setae. Maxilla (Fig. 2B) 2-segmented; syncoxa unarmed; basis armed with 2 long spinulate spines and 1 short spinulate spine. Maxilliped (Fig. 2C) 3-segmented; first segment with 1 distal unarmed seta; second segment (corpus) with 2 proximal naked setae and distomedial protrusion; distal segment elongate, curved distally, bearing long (76) and small (10) naked setae, with approximately 10 minute spinules



Fig. 2 Anchistrotos tongyeongensis n. sp., female, paratype. A, Paragnath, ventral view; B, Maxilla, ventral view; C, Maxilliped, ventral view; D, Leg 1, ventral view; E, Tip of exopodal segments of leg 1; F, Leg 2, ventral view; G, Leg 3, ventral view; H, Leg 4, ventral view; I, Leg 5, ventral view. *Scale-bars*: A, B, 25 µm; E, I, 50 µm; C, D, F-H, 100 µm

subapically on convex margin and well-developed inner basal protrusion ornamented with hyaline membrane.

Legs 2–4 (Fig. 2F–H) with trimerous rami; leg 1 (Fig. 1D, E) with trimerous exopod and bimerous endopod. Armature on rami of legs 1–4 as follows (Roman numerals, spines; Arabic numerals, setae).

	Coxa	Basis	Exopod	Endopod
Leg 1	0-1	1-1	1-0; I-1; 7 (5, II)	0-1; 6
Leg 2	0-1	1-0	I-0; I-1; III, I, 5	0-1; 0-2; II, I, 3
Leg 3	0-1	1-0	I-0; I-1; II, I, 5	0-1; 0-2; II, I, 2
Leg 4	0-0	1-0	I-0; I-1; II, I, 5	0-1; 0-1; IV

Leg 1 (Fig. 2D, E) lamelliform, coxa, basis and rami flattened. Intercoxal sclerite subtriangular, ornamented with fine spinules along posterior margin. Coxa with patch of long setules on outer margin; coxa and basis ornamented with rows of minute spinules. Outer margin of second endopodal segment with patch of setules. Outer margin of first exopodal segment with long spine (arrowed in Fig. 2E). Leg 2 (Fig. 2F) intercoxal sclerite broad triangular, ornamented with rows of spinules along posterior margin. Coxa and basis without spinules on anterior surface. Leg 2 exopodal spine spinulate along outer margin, each spinule with accessory distal flagellum. Leg 2 endopodal segment with row of spinules along lateral margin;



Fig. 3 Anchistrotos tongyeongensis n. sp., male, paratype. A, Habitus, dorsalv; B, Urosome, ventral view; C, Maxilliped, ventral view; D, Maxilliped, dorsal view. Scale-bars: A, 100 μm; B, 50 μm; C, D, 25 μm

Table 1 Morphological	differences amon	ig the nominal sp	becies of Anchist.	rotos					
Species/ Character	Rostral area	Row of spinules on the ventral surface of anal somite	Antennule segments	Two pairs of spiniform processes on the rostral area	Seta on the proximal segment of maxilliped	Tip of terminal segment of maxilliped	Spiniform process on claw of maxilliped	Setal formula on the distal exopodal segment of leg 2	Reference
Anchistrotos caligiformis (Gurney, 1927)	protuberant	ه ا	I	present	absent	serrated	absent	III, I, 5	Kabata (1979)
Anchistrotos gobii Brian, 1906	ventromedian sclerotised part	I	L	absent	absent	naked	present	III, I, 5	Dojiri & Cressey (1987)
Anchistrotos kojimensis Do & Ho, 1983	pair of horizontally directed blunt processes	present	Q	absent	absent	serrated	absent	П, І, 5	Do & Ho (1983)
Anchistrotos laqueus Leigh-Sharpe, 1935	ventromedian sclerotised part	I	L	absent	absent	serrated	absent	II, I, 5	Dojiri & Cressey (1987)
Anchistrotos lucipetus Holmes, 1985	I	absent	9	absent	absent	naked	absent	II, I, 5	Dojiri & Cressey (1987)
Anchistrotos onosi (T. Scott, 1902)	I	absent	٢	absent	present	naked	absent	III, I, 5	Kabata (1979)
Anchistrotos tangi Venmathi Maran, Moon & Adday, 2014	distinct, with sclerotised part	present	L	absent	present	serrated	absent	III, I, 5	Venmathi Maran et al. (2014)
Anchistrotos tongyeongensis n. sp.	U-shaped rostrum and 3 sclerotised plates	absent	L	absent	absent	serrated	absent	III, I, 5	This study
Anchistrotos wilsoni (A. Scott, 1929)	I	I	L	absent	absent	naked	absent	III, I, 5	Kabata (1979)
Anchistrotos zeugopteri (T. Scott, 1902)	I	I	6	absent	Absent	pectinate row of spinules	absent	П, І, 5	Kabata (1979)
^a No data available									

second endopodal segment protruded slightly distolaterally and with row of setules along outer corner; spines on distal segment spinulate along outer margin and bearing short spines at tip. Intercoxal sclerite of legs 3 (Fig. 2G) and 4 (Fig. 2H) wider than long, spinulate along posterior margin. Coxa and basis of legs 3 (Fig. 2G) and 4 (Fig. 2H) similar to those of leg 2. Second endopodal segments of legs 3 and 4 each with spinules along outer margin. Distal endopodal segment of leg 4 3.14 times longer than wide, 91×29 .

Leg 5 (Fig. 2I) well developed, 2-segmented. Protopodal segment armed with dorsolateral pinnate seta. Free exopodal segment 2.04 times longer than wide, 127×62 , ornamented with patch of spinules on posterior margin and row of spinules at base of each spine and armed with 3 spinulate spines and seta. Leg 6 (Fig. 1B) vestigial, represented by opercular plate armed with 3 naked setae at genital opening.

Adult male [Based on one specimen.] Body (Fig. 3A) similar to that of female but more slender. Body length 0.71 mm (excluding caudal setae). Cephalothorax 225 \times 319. Urosome (Fig. 3B) comprised of fifth pedigerous somite, genital somite and 3 free abdominal somites. Fifth pedigerous somite 45 \times 103. Genital double-somite (Fig. 3B) wider than long, 96 \times 89. Abdominal somites 3, 38 \times 63, 32 \times 56 and 48 \times 41, respectively. Anal somite unornamented (Fig. 3B). Caudal ramus 2.03 times longer than wide, 43 \times 21, setation as in female.

Maxilliped (Fig. 3C, D) 4-segmented; syncoxa with short, distomedial seta; basis elongate, armed with 2 proximal setae and 2 inner longitudinal rows of spinules (spinules on anterior surface shorter than on medial surface); first endopodal segment short, unarmed; second endopodal segment forming long curved claw, bearing seta on posterior surface, 2 setae on anterior surface and rows of denticles along concave margin. Setal formula and ornamentation of legs 1–5 same as in female. Leg 6 (not figured) vestigial, represented by unarmed opercular plate on posteroventral surface of genital double-somite.

Remarks

The disgnostic features of *Anchistrotos tongyeongensis* n. sp. are: the rostral area, anal somite and maxilliped, and setal formula on the distal exopodal segment of leg 2. The new species is morphologically

similar to *A. laqueus* Leigh-Sharpe, 1935, but can be easily recognised by its four diagnostic features in the adult female: the rostrum is U-shaped (*vs* not U-shaped in *A. laqueus*); the distal segment of the maxilliped bears 1 long and 1 whip-like seta (*vs* two equal, long setae in *A. laqueus*); the setal formula of the distal exopodal segment of leg 2 is III, I, 5 (*vs* II, I, 5 in *A. laqueus*); and the distal endopodal segment of leg 4 is 3 times longer than wide (*vs* less than 3 times in *A. laqueus*) (Leigh-Sharpe, 1935).

Anchistrotos tongyeongensis n. sp. closely resembles A. tangi, but differs in the following features in the adult female: the absence of a row of spinules on the anal somite (vs spinule row present in A. tangi); the distal segment of maxilliped bears 2 unequal (long and short) naked setae (vs two long naked setae in A. tangi); and the distal seta on the distal endopodal segment is approximately 2 times longer than the inner seta (vs 4 times longer in A. tangi) (Venmathi Maran et al., 2014).

The new species differs from *A. kojimensis* in the following features of the adult female: the absence of row of spinules on the proximal and distal margins of anal somite (*vs* spinules present in *A. kojimensis*); the distal exopodal segment of leg 2 has an armature formula III, I, 5 (*vs* II, I. 5 in *A. kojimensis*); and the distal endopodal segment of leg 4 can be more than 3 times longer than wide (*vs* less than 3 times in *A. kojimensis*) (Do & Ho, 1983). The adult male of *A. tongyeongensis* n. sp. can be easily differentiated from *A. lucipetus* Holmes, 1985, *A. onosi* (T. Scott, 1902) and *A. zeugopteri* (T. Scott, 1902) by having large spinules on the basis of maxilliped and small denticles along the concave margin of maxillipedal claw.

Discussion

The genus Anchistrotos now consists of ten nominal species, including the new species described herein: A. caligiformis (Gurney, 1927), A. gobii Brian, 1906, A. kojimensis, A. laqueus, A. lucipetus, A. onosi, A. tongyeongensis n. sp., A. tangi, A. wilsoni (A. Scott, 1929) and A. zeugopteri (T. Scott, 1902). It is evident that Anchistrotos may not exhibit a high degree of host-specificity as it has been reported from six host families (Clupeidae, Gobiidae, Lotidae, Rajidae, Scophthalmidae and Serranidae) representing five

orders (Clupeiformes, Gadiformes, Perciformes, Rajiformes and Pleuronectiformes) (see Table 1, Venmathi Maran et al., 2014; this study). Two species of *Anchistrotos* are now reported from Asian waters: *A. kojimensis* from off Japan and Korea (Do & Ho, 1983; Suh et al., 1992) and *A. tongyeongensis* n. sp. from off Korea (present study).

Anchistrotos shares many of the characteristics of Taeniacanthus Sumpf, 1981, but differs in features of the maxilliped claw, which has two long whip-like setae extending to or beyond distal limit of the claw and in the segmentation of leg 1 (Dojiri & Cressey, 1987). According to Venmathi Maran et al. (2014) the structure of the rostral area, maxilliped, leg 2 and leg 5 of the adult female are of great significance in the taxonomy of Anchistrotos spp. These characters were utilised in the keys to species of Anchistrotos by Dojiri & Cressey (1987) and Venmathi Maran et al. (2014), and in a phylogenetic analysis by Tang (2006). In addition, we suggest that the antennule segmentation, the shape of the maxilliped claw, the relative length of two setae on the maxilliped claw, the setal formula of the distal exopodal segment of leg 2 and the length/ width ratio of the distal exopodal segment of leg 4 should also be carefully considered as diagnostic features for the identification of Anchistrotos spp. The principal differences between A. tongyeongensis n. sp. and its congeners are summarised in Table 1. To facilitate the identification of all nominal species, a simple key is provided based on the morphology of adult females.

Key to the females of the genus Anchistrotos

Ta	Maxilliped claw reduced to short spiniform
	process bearing 2 setae near its base (1 modified
	seta extremely long and whip-like)
1b	Maxilliped claw not reduced 2
2a	Distal half of maxilliped claw setiform (flexible)
	A. laqueus Leigh-Sharpe, 1935
2b	Entire maxilliped claw rigid 3
3a	Setal formula of the distal exopodal segment of
	leg 2: II, I, 5 4
3b	Setal formula of the distal exopodal segment of
	leg 2: III, I, 5

4a	Inner margin (convex) of maxilliped claw
	serrated A. kojimensis Do & Ho, 1983
4b	Inner margin (convex) of maxilliped claw not
	serrated
5a	Maxilliped longer seta approximately twice
	length of claw A. lucipetus Holmes, 1985
5b	Maxilliped tapering and almost straight
	A. zeugopteri (T. Scott, 1902)
6a	Two pairs of spiniform processes present on
	posteroventral surface of the rostral area
	A. caligiformis (Gurney, 1927)
6b	Posteroventral surface of rostral area lacking
00	nairs of spiniform processes 7
79	Provinal segment of maxillined with seta
7 u	8 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
7h	Proximal segment of maxillined without seta
10	o a contract of the contract o
80	Row of enjuges present anteroventrally on anal
oa	somite: longest outer sets approximately equal
	in length to maxillined claw
	A tanci Vonmathi Maran Moon & Adday 2014
01	A rel comite locking entergyentral rows of
80	Anal somile lacking anteroventral rows of
	spinules; longest outer seta approximately 1.5
	times length of maxilliped claw
9a	Outer seta longer than inner seta on distal
	segment of maxilliped
	A. wilsoni (A. Scott, 1929)
9b	Outer seta shorter than inner seta on distal
	segment of maxilliped
	A. tongyeongensis n. sp.

Acknowledgements We thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive criticism.

Funding This research was supported by a grant from the National Fisheries Research and Development Institute (NFRDI) of the Republic of Korea (RP-2015-FR-014).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Compliance with ethical standards All applicable institutional, national and international guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed.

References

Boxshall, G. A., & Halsey, S. H. (2004). An introduction to copepod diversity. London: The Ray Society, 966 pp.

- Do, T. T., & Ho, J.-S. (1983). Anchistrotos kojimensis sp. nov. (Copepoda: Taeniacanthidae) parasitic on Acanthogobius flavimanus (Pisces: Teleostei) in Kojima Bay, Japan. Fish Pathology, 18, 1–5.
- Dojiri, M., & Cressey, R. F. (1987). Revision of the Taeniacanthidae (Copepoda: Poecilostomatoida) parasitic on fishes and sea urchins. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*, 447, 1–250.
- Froese, R., & Pauly, D. (Eds) (2015). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available from http://www. fishbase.org/ (Accessed 16 March 2015).
- Humes, A. G., & Gooding, R. U. (1964). A method for studying the external anatomy of copepods. *Crustaceana*, 6, 238–240.
- Kabata, Z. (1979). Parasitic Copepoda of British fishes. London: The Ray Society, 468 pp.
- Kim, I.-H., & Moon, S. Y. (2013). Ten new species of parasitic cyclopoid copepods (Crustacea) belonging to the families Bomolochidae, Philichthyidae, and Taeniacanthidae from marine fishes in Korea. *Ocean Science Journal*, 48, 361–398.
- Leigh-Sharpe, W. H. (1935). Anchistrotos laqueus n. sp. a parasitic copepod of Serranus cabrilla. Parasitology, 27, 266–269.
- Suh, H. L., Shim, J. D., & Choi, S. D. (1992). Four species of Copepoda (Poecilostomatoida) parasitic on marine fishes of Korea. *Bulletin of the Korean Fisheries Society*, 25, 291–300.
- Tang, D. (2006). Phylogeny of the copepod family Taeniacanthidae. Proceedings of the 11th International Congress of

Parasitology, Glasgow, UK, 6–11 August 2006, Medimond, Bologna, pp. 621–625.

- Tang, D. (2011). A new species of *Taeniacanthus* (Copepoda: Taeniacanthidae) parasitic on two pufferfish species, *Marilyna meraukensis* and *M. darwinii* (Teleostei: Tetraodontidae), from Australia. *Folia Parasitologica*, 58, 233–239.
- Tang, D., Uyeno, D., & Nagasawa, K. (2011). Species of *Tae-niacanthus* Sumpf, 1871 (Crustacea: Copepoda: Taenia-canthidae) parasitic on boxfishes (Tetradontiformes: Aracanidae and Ostraciidae) from the Indo-West Pacific region, with descriptions of two new species. *Systematic Parasitology*, 80, 141–157.
- Uyeno, D., Tang, D., & Nagasawa, K. (2013). Saging cebuana, a new genus and species of taeniacanthid copepod (Cyclopoida) parasitic on a filefish (Actinopterygii: Monacanthidae) collected from Cebu Island, the Philippines. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 61, 515–523.
- Venmathi Maran, B. A., Moon, S. Y., & Adday, T. K. (2014). A new species of Anchistrotos (Copepoda: Taeniacanthidae) from hilsa shad, *Tenualosa ilisha* (Actinopterygii: Clupeidae), off Iraq. Folia Parasitologica, 61, 479–484.
- Venmathi Maran, B. A., Moon, S. Y., T. K. Adday., & Tang, D. (2015). *Cepolacanthus kimi*, a new genus and species of copepod (Cyclopoida: Taeniacanthidae) parasitic on Bandfish Acanthocepola abbreviata (Valenciennes, 1835) (Actinopterygii: Cepolidae) caught off the Iraqi coast. Zootaxa (in press).