



Lower bounds for dilation, wirelength, and edge congestion of embedding graphs into hypercubes

R. Sundara Rajan¹ · Thomas Kalinowski² · Sandi Klavžar^{3,4,5} ·
Hamid Mokhtar⁶ · T. M. Rajalaxmi⁷

Published online: 16 September 2020
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Interconnection networks provide an effective mechanism for exchanging data between processors in a parallel computing system. One of the most efficient interconnection networks is the hypercube due to its structural regularity, potential for parallel computation of various algorithms, and the high degree of fault tolerance. Thus it becomes the first choice of topological structure of parallel processing and computing systems. In this paper, lower bounds for the dilation, wirelength, and edge congestion of an embedding of a graph into a hypercube are proved. Two of these bounds are expressed in terms of the bisection width. Applying these results, the dilation and wirelength of embedding of certain complete multipartite graphs, folded hypercubes, wheels, and specific Cartesian products are computed.

Keywords Embedding · Dilation · Wirelength · Edge congestion · Bisection width · Hypercube · Complete multipartite graph · Folded hypercube · Wheel · Cartesian product of graphs

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C10 · 68R10

1 Introduction

A suitable interconnection network is an important part for the design of a multi-computer or multiprocessor system. Such a network is usually modeled by a symmetric graph, where the nodes represent the processing elements and the edges represent the communication channels. Desirable properties of an interconnection network include symmetry, embedding capabilities, relatively small degree, small diameter, scalability, robustness, and efficient routing [42]. One of the most efficient interconnection networks is the hypercube due to its structural regularity,

✉ R. Sundara Rajan
vprsundar@gmail.com

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

potential for parallel computation of various algorithms, and the high degree of fault tolerance [43].

The hypercube has many excellent features and thus becomes the first choice of topological structure of parallel processing and computing systems. The machines based on hypercubes such as the Cosmic Cube from Caltech, the iPSC/2 from Intel, and Connection Machines have been implemented commercially [11]. Hypercubes are very popular models for parallel computation because of their symmetry and relatively small number of interprocessor connections. Among the hypercube-based interconnection networks designed for extremely large-scale supercomputers that were studied in the last decade, we mention metacubes [29], hierarchical cubic networks [6, 31], and k -ary n -cubes [16, 51]. The hypercube embedding problem is the problem of mapping a communication graph into a hypercube multicomputer. Hypercubes are known to simulate other structures such as grids and binary trees [9, 33].

Graph embedding is an important technique that maps a guest graph into a host graph, usually an interconnection network [7]. The quality of an embedding can be measured by certain cost criteria. One of these criteria considered very often is the *dilation*. The dilation of an embedding is defined as the maximum distance between a pair of vertices of the host graph that are images of adjacent vertices of the guest graph. It is a measure for the communication time needed when simulating one network on another [27]. A closely related and important cost criterion is the *wire-length of an embedding* which is the sum of the dilations in the host graph of edges in the guest graph. The wirelength of a graph embedding arises from VLSI design, data structures and data representations, networks for parallel computer systems, biological models that deal with cloning and visual stimuli, parallel architecture, structural engineering, and so on [25, 38, 49].

Another important cost criterion is the *edge congestion*. The edge congestion of an embedding is the maximum number of edges of the guest graph that are embedded on any single edge of the host graph. An embedding with a large edge congestion faces many problems, such as long communication delay, circuit switching, and the existence of different types of uncontrolled noise. In data networking, network edge congestion occurs when a link or node is carrying so much data that its quality of service deteriorates. Typical effects include packet loss or the blocking of new connections. Therefore, a minimum edge congestion is the most desirable feature in network embedding [12, 35].

Graph embeddings have been well studied for a number of networks [2, 3, 9, 12–17, 19, 26, 33, 34, 36, 40, 41, 44, 49]. While in actual supercomputing applications it is usually not sufficient to be able to embed individual graphs optimally, a good understanding of the embedding behavior of single graphs can provide insights to support the development of methodologies and algorithms for solving the more complex problems arising in practice. In this paper, we study the dilation, wirelength, and congestion of embeddings of graphs into hypercubes and proceed as follows. In the next section, concepts needed are formally introduced. In Sect. 3, we consider the dilation of embedding into Q_n and in the main result characterize the graphs G with $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$: they are precisely the graphs which contain a perfect anti-matching. Then, in Sect. 4, we prove a general lower bound on the edge

congestion and a lower bound on the wirelength of embeddings into hypercubes. Both bounds are expressed in terms of the bisection width. In the remainder of the paper, these results are applied to particular graphs which have been studied in the supercomputing literature before [6, 18, 32]. The specific results obtained in Sects. 5 to 8 are summarized in Table 1, where $K_{2^{n-p}, \dots, 2^{n-p}}$, FQ_n and W_{2^n} denote the complete 2^p -partite graph with parts of size 2^{n-p} , the *folded n -hypercubes* and the 2^n -wheel, respectively, and $G \square H$ is the cartesian product of two graphs G and H (following standard notation in the graph theory literature, see for instance [24]). All of these graphs are defined precisely in the sections containing the corresponding results.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give basic definitions and preliminaries related to embedding problems. Let G and H be finite graphs. Then an *embedding of G into H* is a pair (f, P_f) , where $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a one-to-one mapping and P_f is a mapping from $E(G)$ to the set of paths in H such that each edge $e = uv \in E(G)$ is assigned a path $P_f(e)$ in H between $f(u)$ and $f(v)$. By abuse of language, we will also refer to an embedding (f, P_f) simply by f .

The length of $P_f(e)$ is the *dilation* $\text{dil}_f(e)$ of the edge e (with respect to the embedding (f, P_f)). The maximum dilation over all edges of G is called *the dilation of the embedding*. The *dilation of embedding G into H* is the minimum dilation taken over all embeddings of G into H and denoted by $\text{dil}(G, H)$, cf. [3]. In other words,

$$\text{dil}(G, H) = \min_f \max_{e \in E(G)} \text{dil}_f(e),$$

where the minimum is taken over all injections $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$. It is not necessary to specify P_f when we are interested in the dilation of embedding G into H , because we can take $P_f(uv)$ to be any shortest path between $f(u)$ and $f(v)$. The *diameter* of H , denoted by $\text{diam}(H)$, is the maximum distance between any two vertices of H , and this provides a trivial upper bound for $\text{dil}(G, H)$.

Table 1 Summary of the results in Sects. 5 to 8

Embedding $f : G \rightarrow Q_n$	Dilation	Wirelength
$G = K_{2^{n-p}, \dots, 2^{n-p}}$	n for $p = n$ $n - 1$ for $n - \log_2(n + 1) < p \leq n - 1$	$n2^{2n-p-2}(2^p - 1)$ (Theorem 4)
	(Corollary 1)	
$G = FQ_n$	2 [34]	$n2^n$ (Theorem 5)
$G = W_{2^n}$	n (Theorem 1)	$(n + 2)2^{n-1}$ (Theorem 6)
$G = K_{2^{n/2}} \square K_{2^{n/2}}$ (n even)	$\leq n/2$	$n2^{3n/2-2}$ (Theorem 8)

The wirelength of an embedding $f = (f, P_f)$ of G into H is

$$WL_f(G, H) = \sum_{e_G \in E(G)} \text{dil}_f(e_G), \tag{1}$$

and the *wirelength of embedding G into H* is

$$WL(G, H) = \min_f WL_f(G, H),$$

see [33]. We also refer to [2, 3, 37] for the search of embeddings of G into H that attain the value $WL(G, H)$.

Let $f = (f, P_f)$ be an embedding of G into H . For $e = uv \in E(H)$, let $EC_f(e)$ denote the number of edges $e' \in E(G)$ such that e is in the path $P_f(e')$ between $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ in H . Then the *edge congestion of f* is

$$EC_f(G, H) = \max_{e \in E(H)} EC_f(e)$$

and the *edge congestion of embedding G into H* is

$$EC(G, H) = \min_f EC_f(G, H),$$

where the minimum is taken over all embeddings $f = (f, P_f)$ of G into H . Note that

$$WL_f(G, H) = \sum_{e_H \in E(H)} EC_f(e_H). \tag{2}$$

The above problems can be specialized to several distance problems. For example, if the host graph is a path, then the dilation of embedding graph into a path is the *bandwidth problem*. Further distance problems are listed in Table 2, where P_n , C_n and K_n , are the path, the cycle, and the complete graph of order n , respectively, and $K_{a,b}$ is the complete bipartite graphs on $a + b$ vertices.

Let $n \geq 1$. The *n -cube* (n -dimensional hypercube) has vertex set $\{0, 1\}^n$, and vertices $x, y \in V(Q_n)$ are adjacent if and only if the corresponding binary strings differ exactly in one bit. Equivalently, the vertices of Q_n can be identified with integers $0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$, where vertices i and j are adjacent if and only if $i - j = \pm 2^p$ for some integer $p \geq 0$.

For disjoint subsets $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ we will use the notation $E_G(A, B) = \{uv \in E(G) \mid u \in A, v \in B\}$ and $E_G(A) = \{uv \mid u, v \in A\}$. If x is a vertex

Table 2 Various embedding problems

Embedding $f : G \rightarrow H$	Dilation	Wirelength	Congestion
$H = P_n$	Bandwidth [21]	MinLA [1]	Cutwidth [20]
$H = C_n$	Cyclic bandwidth [28]	Cyclic wirelength [4]	Cyclic cutwidth [8]
$G = K_n$	$\text{diam}(H)$ [48]	Wiener index of H [47]	Forwarding index of H [22]
$G = K_{a,b}$	Makespan [45]	Average load	Maximum load

of a graph G , then $N_i(x)$ is the set of vertices in G at distance i from x . The maximum degree of G is denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and its order by $n(G)$.

3 Graphs with large dilation of embedding into hypercubes

The dilation, the wirelength, and the edge congestion problem are different in the sense that an embedding that gives the minimum dilation need not give the minimum congestion (wirelength) and vice-versa. From $\text{diam}(Q_n) = n$, we obtain immediately the upper bound $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n$ for every graph G . To characterize graphs G of order 2^n with $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) = n$, we need the following concept. A pair $(u, u') \in V(G) \times V(G)$, is said to be an antipodal pair (of vertices) if the distance between u and u' is equal to the diameter of G . If this is the case, we also say that u' is an antipodal vertex of u and u is an antipodal vertex of u' . In the hypercube Q_n , every vertex $u \in V(Q_n)$ has a unique antipodal vertex which we denote by \bar{u} . If G is a graph, then the set of pairs of vertices $X = \{\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \{x_k, y_k\}\}$ forms an *anti-matching*, if $x_1, y_1 \notin E(G), \dots, x_k, y_k \notin E(G)$. That is, X is an anti-matching if $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_k, y_k$ form a matching in the *complement of G* , that is, the graph which has the same vertex set as G , and edge set $\{xy \mid x, y \in V(G), xy \notin E(G)\}$. In addition, we say that X is a *perfect anti-matching* if $2|X| = n(G)$. Now we have the following characterization.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a graph of order 2^n . Then $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$ if and only if G contains a perfect anti-matching.*

Proof Let $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$ and let (f, P_f) be a corresponding embedding of G into Q_n , that is, $\text{dil}_f(G, Q_n) = \text{dil}(G, Q_n)$. Consider the partition $X' = \{\{u, \bar{u}\} \mid u \in V(Q_n)\}$ of $V(Q_n)$ into 2^{n-1} antipodal pairs. If $e = \{f^{-1}(u), f^{-1}(\bar{u})\} \in E(G)$ for some $u \in V(Q_n)$, then

$$\text{dil}_f(G, Q_n) \geq \text{dil}_f(e) \geq \text{dist}_{Q_n}(u, \bar{u}) = n,$$

and this contradicts the assumption. We conclude that $f^{-1}(u)$ is not adjacent to $f^{-1}(\bar{u})$, and it follows that $X = \{\{f^{-1}(u), f^{-1}(\bar{u})\} \mid u \in V(Q_n)\}$ is a perfect anti-matching.

Conversely, let X be a perfect anti-matching of G . We now define an embedding (f, P_f) of G into Q_n by mapping each pair $\{x, y\}$ from X into an antipodal pair of vertices of Q_n , that is, $\{f(x), f(y)\} = \{v, \bar{v}\}$ for some $v \in V(Q_n)$. Since each vertex of Q_n has a unique antipodal vertex, it follows that $\text{dil}_f(e) \leq n - 1$ for each edge e of G and hence $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$. □

To determine a large class of graphs G with $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) = n - 1$ we state the following lemma that could be applied elsewhere.

Lemma 1 *If G is a graph of order 2^n , then*

$$\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \geq \max \left\{ k : \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} < \Delta(G) \right\}.$$

Proof Let k be a positive integer satisfying $\binom{n}{0} + \dots + \binom{n}{k-1} < \Delta(G)$, and let (f, P_f) be an embedding of G into Q_n . Let u be a vertex of G with $\text{deg}(u) = \Delta(G)$ and let $f(u) = v \in V(Q_n)$. Setting $X = N_1(v) \cup \dots \cup N_{k-1}(v)$, the assumption on k implies that

$$|X| = |N_1(v)| + \dots + |N_{k-1}(v)| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{n}{i} < \Delta(G).$$

Thus, there exists at least one vertex w of G adjacent to u such that $f(w) \in V(Q_n) \setminus X$. It follows that the length of $P_f(uw)$ is at least k and consequently $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \geq k$. \square

Combining Lemma 1 with Theorem 1 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1 *If G is a graph of order 2^n with $\Delta(G) \geq 2^n - n - 1$ that contains a perfect anti-matching, then $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) = n - 1$.*

Proof By Theorem 1, $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$ and the reverse inequality follows from Lemma 1 and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} = 2^n - \binom{n}{0} - \binom{n}{n-1} - \binom{n}{n} = 2^n - n - 2 < \Delta(G). \quad \square$$

For illustration, consider $G = K_{4,4,4,4}$. Then $\Delta(G) = 12 \geq 2^4 - 4 - 1$. Since G contains a perfect anti-matching, $\text{dil}(G, Q_4) = 3$. In Fig. 1 a corresponding embedding is shown.




Fig. 1 An embedding of $K_{4,4,4,4}$ into Q_4 with dilation 3. The vertices of $K_{4,4,4,4}$ are labeled such that we can take f to be the identity. The perfect anti-matching in G consists of the pairs $\{i, 15 - i\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$

4 Two lower bounds in terms of the bisection width

In this section, we give a general lower bound on the edge congestion and on the wirelength of embedding into hypercubes. Both bound involve the bisection width that is defined as follows. The *bisection width* $BW(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of edges necessary in an edge cut so that the sizes of the two sides of the cut differ by at most one.

The first lower bound is established by the following averaging argument.

Theorem 2 *If G and H are graphs of the same order, then*

$$EC(G, H) \geq \frac{BW(G)}{BW(H)}.$$

Proof Set $n = n(G) (= n(H))$. Let $A \cup B$ be a partition of $V(H)$ such that $|A| = \lceil n/2 \rceil$, $|B| = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, and $|E_H(A, B)| = BW(H)$, and let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be an embedding with $EC_f(G, H) = EC(G, H)$. Then

$$BW(G) \leq |E_G(f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B))| \leq \sum_{e \in E_H(A, B)} EC_f(e). \tag{3}$$

where the first inequality follows from the definition of the bisection width, and the second one from the fact that for every edge $uv \in E_G(f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B))$ the path $P_f(uv)$ has to use at least one edge from $E_H(A, B)$. Finally, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{BW(G)}{BW(H)} &= \frac{BW(G)}{|E_H(A, B)|} \leq \frac{1}{|E_H(A, B)|} \sum_{e \in E_H(A, B)} EC_f(e) \leq \max_{e \in E_H(A, B)} EC_f(e) \\ &\leq \max_{e \in E(H)} EC_f(e) = EC_f(G, H) = EC(G, H). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 2 *If G is a graph of order 2^n , then*

$$EC(G, Q_n) \geq \frac{BW(G)}{2^{n-1}}.$$

Proof The result follows from Theorem 2 and the fact that $BW(Q_n) = 2^{n-1}$, see [46]. □

The lower bound for the wirelength is the following.

Theorem 3 *If G is a graph of order 2^n , then $WL(G, Q_n) \geq n \cdot BW(G)$.*

Proof Let $E(Q_n) = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ be the partition of $E(Q_n)$ where S_i consists of the edges whose incident vertices differ in the i th coordinate. Then $Q_n \setminus S_i$ consists of two vertex-disjoint $(n - 1)$ -cubes. Moreover, $|S_i| = 2^{n-1} = BW(Q_n)$, and we can now estimate as follows, where the minimum is taken over all embeddings of G into Q_n :

$$\begin{aligned}
 WL(G, Q_n) &= \min_f \sum_{e \in E(Q_n)} EC_f(e) = \min_f \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{e \in S_i} EC_f(e) \stackrel{3}{\geq} \min_f \sum_{i=1}^n BW(G) \\
 &= n \cdot BW(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first equality above holds by (1) and (2), and the second equality follows because the sets S_i form a partition of $E(Q_n)$. □

5 Embeddings of complete multipartite graphs

In this section, we consider the complete p -partite graph $G = K_{n_1, \dots, n_p}$ of order 2^n . Recall that G contains p independent sets containing $n_i, i \in [p]$, vertices, and all possible edges between vertices from different parts.

From Theorem 1 it follows that $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) = n$ if at least one of the p_i is odd and $\text{dil}(G, Q_n) \leq n - 1$ otherwise (that is, if all the p_i are even). We will next determine the wirelengths of embedding certain complete p -partite graphs into n -cubes, and start by determining the bisection widths of balanced complete multipartite graphs.

Lemma 2 *If G is the complete t -partite graph $K_{2r, \dots, 2r}$, with $2tr$ vertices, $t \geq 2$, $r \geq 1$, then*

$$BW(G) = r^2t(t - 1).$$

Proof Let $V(G) = A \cup B$ be a partition with $|A| = |B| = tr$ such that A and B each contains half of the vertices in every maximal independent set. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 BW(G) &\leq |E_G(A, B)| = |E(G)| - |E_G(A)| - |E_G(B)| \\
 &= 4r^2 \binom{t}{2} - 2r^2 \binom{t}{2} = r^2t(t - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the reverse inequality, let $V(G) = A \cup B$ be a partition with $|A| = |B| = tr$ and $|E_G(A, B)| = BW(G)$. For $i = 1, \dots, t$, let n_i be the number of vertices of A in the i -th independent set. Then we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
 |E_G(A)| &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} n_i n_j = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^t n_i \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left((tr)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 \right) \leq \frac{r^2t(t - 1)}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the same reason, $|E_G(B)| \leq r^2 t(t - 1)/2$, and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} BW(G) &= |E_G(A, B)| \\ &= |E(G)| - (|E_G(A)| + |E_G(B)|) \geq 4r^2 \binom{t}{2} - r^2 t(t - 1) \quad \square \\ &= r^2 t(t - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now want to determine the wirelength for embedding the complete 2^p -partite graph $G = K_{2^{n-p}, \dots, 2^{n-p}}$, $1 \leq p < n$ into Q_n . In the proof of the following theorem, it will be convenient to have an explicit notation for the function which maps a non-negative integer to the bitstring corresponding to its binary representation. More specifically, we will use the function $\phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, defined by

$$\phi(2^{k-1}x_1 + 2^{k-2}x_2 + 2^{k-3}x_3 + \dots + 2^1x_{k-1} + 2^0x_k) = x_1x_2 \dots x_k.$$

Theorem 4 *If G is the complete 2^p -partite graph $K_{2^{n-p}, \dots, 2^{n-p}}$, where $1 \leq p < n$, then*

$$WL(G, Q_n) = n \cdot 2^{2n-p-2} (2^p - 1).$$

Proof Combining Lemma 2 for $t = 2^p$ and $r = 2^{n-p-1}$ with Theorem 3, we obtain

$$WL(G, Q_n) \geq n \cdot BW(G) = n \cdot 2^{2n-p-2} (2^p - 1).$$

In order to prove the reverse inequality we construct an embedding $f : G \rightarrow Q_n$ in the following way. Let $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_{2^p}$ be the partition of $V(G)$ into 2^p independent sets of size 2^{n-p} . Label the vertices of $V(G)$ with the numbers $0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1$, in such a way that

$$V_i = \{j2^{p+1} + i - 1 : j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-p-1} - 1\} \cup \{j2^{p+1} - i : j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2^{n-p-1}\}. \tag{4}$$

Recall that $V(Q_n) = \{0, 1\}^n$ and define $f : V(G) \rightarrow V(Q_n)$ by mapping $x \in V(G)$ to its binary representation. In other words, f is the restriction of ϕ to the set $\{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$, that is, $x = 2^{n-1}x_1 + 2^{n-2}x_2 + \dots + 2^0x_n$ is mapped to $f(x) = \phi(x) = x_1x_2 \dots x_n$. We will now show that for this embedding, $WL_f(G, Q_n) = n \cdot 2^{2n-p-2} (2^p - 1)$, which concludes the proof. For $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, let $d(x, y)$ denote their Hamming distance, that is, $d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + \dots + |x_n - y_n|$, which is equal to the distance between x and y in Q_n . It follows from (4) that, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, 2^p\}$,

$$f(V_i) = \{0, 1\}^{n-p-1} \times \{\phi(i-1), \phi(2^{p+1}-i)\}.$$

For instance, for $p = 3$ and $n = p + 3 = 6$,

$$f(V_1) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 000000, \\ 010000, \\ 100000, \\ 110000, \\ 001111, \\ 011111, \\ 101111, \\ 111111 \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad f(V_2) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 000001, \\ 010001, \\ 100001, \\ 110001, \\ 001110, \\ 011110, \\ 101110, \\ 111110 \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad f(V_3) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} 000001, \\ 010010, \\ 100010, \\ 110010, \\ 001101, \\ 011101, \\ 101101, \\ 111101 \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

If x is an arbitrary vertex in V_i , and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ is any of the positions, then exactly half of the elements of $f(V_i)$ differ from $f(x)$ in position k . For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-p-1\}$ this is because the first $n-p-1$ positions run twice through the vertices of Q_{n-p-1} , and for $k \in \{n-p, \dots, n\}$ it follows from the fact that $\phi(i-1)$ and $\phi(2^{p+1}-i)$ are antipodal vertices in Q_{p+1} . As a consequence, for any fixed $x \in V_i$,

$$\sum_{y \in V_i \setminus x} d(f(x), f(y)) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} |V_i| = n2^{n-p-1},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \binom{V_i}{2}} d(f(x), f(y)) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V_i} \sum_{y \in V_i \setminus x} d(f(x), f(y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V_i} n2^{n-p-1} = n2^{2(n-1-p)}. \end{aligned}$$

As this is true for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^p\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} WL_f(G, Q_n) &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \binom{V(Q_n)}{2}} d(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^{2^p} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in \binom{V_i}{2}} d(f(x), f(y)) \\ &= n2^{2n-2} - 2^p n2^{2(n-1-p)} = n2^{2n-2-p} (2^p - 1). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

6 Embeddings of folded hypercubes

The n -dimensional *folded hypercube* FQ_n is the graph obtained from Q_n by adding, for every vertex $x = x_1 \dots x_n$, the edge between x and its antipodal vertex $\bar{x} = \bar{x}_1 \dots \bar{x}_n$, where $\bar{x}_i = 1 - x_i$, cf. [49]. Folded hypercubes are of wide current interest in reliability and fault tolerance of interconnection networks, see [10, 25, 30, 50]. In this section, we add to the known results on folded hypercubes their wirelength.

Theorem 5 For all $n \geq 2$, $WL(FQ_n, Q_n) = n2^n$.

Proof In [39] it was proved that $BW(FQ_n) = 2^n$, and with Theorem 3 this implies $WL(FQ_n, Q_n) \geq n2^n$. To prove the reverse inequality, let $id : FQ_n \rightarrow Q_n$ be the identity embedding, that is, $id(v) = v$, for every $v \in V(FQ_n)$ (and where the second v is considered as a vertex of Q_n). For this embedding, the $n2^{n-1}$ edges of FQ_n that are also the edges of Q_n contribute $n2^{n-1}$ to the wirelength. and each of the 2^{n-1} antipodal edges contributes n to the wirelength. We conclude

$$WL_{id}(FQ_n, Q_n) = n2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1}n = n \cdot 2^n. \quad \square$$

7 Embeddings of wheels

Let $n \geq 4$. The *wheel* W_n of order n is the graph obtained from a cycle C_{n-1} by adding a new vertex x and joining x to all the vertices of the cycle. Vertex x is called the *hub* of the wheel and the edges incident with x are *spokes* of the wheel. Since the hub vertex is adjacent to all other vertices, the wheel does not contain an anti-matching, and by Theorem 1, $dil(W_{2^n}, Q_n) = n$. We next determine the wirelength of embedding W_{2^n} into Q_n .

Theorem 6 If $n \geq 2$, then $WL(W_{2^n}, Q_n) = (n + 2)2^{n-1}$.

Proof For every embedding the spokes contribute

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k \binom{n}{k} = n2^{n-1}$$

to the wirelength. Since Q_n is bipartite, the $2^n - 1$ cycle edges contribute at least 2^n . This gives a lower bound of $n2^{n-1} + 2^n = (n + 2)2^{n-1}$, and using a Gray code this bound can be achieved. □

8 Embeddings of some Cartesian products

Recall that the *Cartesian product* $G \square H$ of graphs G and H is the graph with the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, vertices (g, h) and (g', h') being adjacent if either $g = g'$ and $hh' \in E(H)$, or $h = h'$ and $gg' \in E(G)$. For more information on this product see the book [24]. We will use the following result on the edge-isoperimetric problem for Cartesian products of complete graphs (see [5] for a survey of related results).

Theorem 7 ([23]) *Let $G = K_{p_1} \square K_{p_2} \square \dots \square K_{p_t}$ with $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_t$, and let m be an integer with $1 \leq m \leq p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$. If H is a subgraph of G with $|V(H)| = m$, then $|E(H)| \leq |E(H^*)|$, where H^* is the subgraph induced by the first m vertices in lexicographic order, where we take*

$$V(G) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) : 1 \leq a_i \leq p_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, t\},$$

and the lexicographic order is defined by $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t) < (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t)$ if there exists an index i with $a_i < b_i$ and $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < i$. □

As a corollary, we obtain the bisection widths for products of complete graphs.

Corollary 3 *If $G = K_{2p_1} \square K_{p_2} \square K_{p_3} \square \dots \square K_{p_t}$ with $2p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \leq \dots \leq p_t$, then $BW(G) = p_1^2 p_2 \dots p_t$.*

Proof We have $|E(G)| = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t (2p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_t - t)$, and for any $A \subseteq V(G)$ with $|A| = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$, Theorem 7 implies

$$|E_G(A)| \leq \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \dots p_t (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_t - t)$$

with equality if A is the set of vertices (a_1, \dots, a_t) with $a_1 \leq p_1$ or the set of vertices (a_1, \dots, a_t) with $a_1 > p_1$. This implies that for every partition $V(G) = A \cup B$ with $|A| = |B| = p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} |E_G(A, B)| &= |E(G)| - |E_G(A)| - |E_G(B)| \\ &\geq p_1 p_2 \dots p_t (2p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots + p_t - t) - p_1 p_2 \dots p_t (p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_t - t) \\ &= p_1^2 p_2 \dots p_t \end{aligned}$$

with equality if A is the set of vertices (a_1, \dots, a_t) with $a_1 \leq p_1$. □

Theorem 8 *Let n be a positive even integer, and let $G = K_{2^{n/2}} \square K_{2^{n/2}}$. Then*

$$WL(G, Q_n) = n 2^{3n/2-2}.$$

Proof From Corollary 3 with $t = 2$, $p_1 = 2^{n/2-1}$ and $p_2 = 2^{n/2}$, we obtain $BW(G) = 2^{3n/2-2}$, and together with Theorem 3 this implies the lower

bound. To prove the upper bound we embed G into Q_n , by mapping a vertex $(a, b) \in V(G) = \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{n/2} - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{n/2} - 1\}$ with

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} a_i 2^{n/2-i}, \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} b_i 2^{n/2-i}$$

to the binary string $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n/2} b_1 b_2 \dots b_{n/2} \in \{0, 1\}^n = V(Q_n)$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $V(Q_n) = A_i \cup B_i$ be the partition with $A_i = \{x \in V(Q_n) : x_i = 0\}$ and $B_i = \{x \in V(Q_n) : x_i = 1\}$. Then

$$E(Q_n) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_{Q_n}(A_i, B_i)$$

and since each of the sets $f^{-1}(A_i)$ and $f^{-1}(B_i)$ induces a subgraph of G isomorphic to $K_{2^{n/2-1}} \square K_{2^{n/2}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} WL_f(G, Q_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{e \in E_{Q_n}(A_i, B_i)} EC_f(e) = \sum_{i=1}^n |E_G(f^{-1}(A_i), f^{-1}(B_i))| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n BW(G) = n \cdot BW(G) = n2^{3n/2-2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

9 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have obtained lower bounds for dilation, wirelength, and edge congestion of an embedding of graphs into n -cubes. In particular, we found lower bounds for congestion and wirelength in terms the bisection width of the guest graph. This technique allows the computation of the exact dilation and wirelength for a range of networks. An open problem remains to determine the edge congestion of these networks. It also opens up the study of embedding parameters which remains unsolved for several good candidates of guest architectures. Another direction for extending our work to make it more directly applicable is the study of weighted versions of the embedding problem.

Acknowledgements The work of R. Sundara Rajan is partially supported by Project No. 2/48(4)/ 2016/ NBHM-R&D-II/11580, National Board of Higher Mathematics (NBHM), Department of Atomic Energy (DAE), Government of India. Sandi Klavžar acknowledges the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding No. P1-0297). Further, the authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions. These comments and suggestions were very helpful for improving the quality of this paper.

References

1. Adolphson D, Hu TC (1973) Optimal linear ordering. *SIAM J Appl Math* 25(3):403–423
2. Bezrukov SL, Chavez JD, Harper LH, Röttger M, Schroeder U-P (2000) The congestion of n -cube layout on a rectangular grid. *Discrete Math* 213(1–3):13–19
3. Bezrukov SL, Chavez JD, Harper LH, Röttger M, Schroeder UP (1998) Embedding of hypercubes into grids. In: Brim L, Gruska J, Zlatuška J (eds) *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 1450. Springer, Berlin, pp 693–701
4. Bezrukov Sergei L, Schroeder U-P (1998) The cyclic wirelength of trees. *Discrete Appl Math* 87(1–3):275–277
5. Bezrukov SS (1999) Edge isoperimetric problems on graphs. In: Lovász L (ed) *Graph theory and combinatorial biology. Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies. János Bolyai Mathematical Society, Budapest*, pp 157–197
6. Bossard A (2014) The decycling problem in hierarchical cubic networks. *J Supercomput* 69(1):293–305
7. Chaudhary V, Aggarwal JK (1993) A generalized scheme for mapping parallel algorithms. *IEEE Trans Parallel Distrib Syst* 4(3):328–346
8. Chavez JD, Trapp R (1998) The cyclic cutwidth of trees. *Discrete Appl Math* 87(1–3):25–32
9. Chen W-K, Stallmann MF (1995) On embedding binary trees into hypercubes. *J Parallel Distrib Comput* 24(2):132–138
10. Cheng Q, Li P, Xu M (2018) Conditional (edge-)fault-tolerant strong Menger (edge) connectivity of folded hypercubes. *Theor Comput Sci* 728:1–8
11. Choudum SA, Sumitha V (2002) Augmented cubes. *Networks* 40(2):71–84
12. Dvořák T (2007) Dense sets and embedding binary trees into hypercubes. *Discrete Appl Math* 155(4):506–514
13. Fan J, Jia X (2007) Embedding meshes into crossed cubes. *Inf Sci* 177(15):3151–3160
14. Fan J, Jia X, Lin X (2006) Complete path embeddings in crossed cubes. *Inf Sci* 176(22):3332–3346
15. Fan W, Fan J, Lin C-K, Wang G, Cheng B, Wang R (2019) An efficient algorithm for embedding exchanged hypercubes into grids. *J Supercomput* 75(2):783–807
16. Fan W, Fan J, Lin C-K, Wang Y, Han Y-J, Wang R (2019) Optimally embedding 3-ary n -cubes into grids. *J Comput Sci Technol* 34(2):372–387
17. Fang J-F, Lai K-C (2005) Embedding the incomplete hypercube in books. *Inf Process Lett* 96(1):1–6
18. Guo L, Guo X (2013) Fault tolerance of hypercubes and folded hypercubes. *J Supercomput* 68(3):1235–1240
19. Han Y, Fan J, Zhang S, Yang J, Qian P (2010) Embedding meshes into locally twisted cubes. *Inf Sci* 180(19):3794–3805
20. Harper LH (1964) Optimal assignments of numbers to vertices. *J Soc Ind Appl Math* 12(1):131–135
21. Harper LH (1966) Optimal numberings and isoperimetric problems on graphs. *J Comb Theory* 1(3):385–393
22. Heydemann M-C, Meyer JC, Sotteau D (1989) On forwarding indices of networks. *Discrete Appl Math* 23(2):103–123
23. John HL II (1964) Assignment of numbers to vertices. *Am Math Mon* 71(5):508
24. Imrich W, Klavžar S, Rall DF (2008) *Topics in graph theory: graphs and their cartesian product*. CRC Press, Boca Raton
25. Kuo C-N, Cheng Y-H (2017) Cycles embedding in folded hypercubes with conditionally faulty vertices. *Discrete Appl Math* 220:55–59
26. Lai Y-L, Williams K (1999) A survey of solved problems and applications on bandwidth, edgesum, and profile of graphs. *J Graph Theory* 31(2):75–94
27. Thompson LF (1992) *Introduction to parallel algorithms and architectures: arrays, trees, hypercubes*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Burlington
28. Leung JY-T, Vornberger O, Witthoff JD (1984) On some variants of the bandwidth minimization problem. *SIAM J Comput* 13(3):650–667
29. Li Y, Peng S, Chu W (2010) Metacube—a versatile family of interconnection networks for extremely large-scale supercomputers. *J Supercomput* 53(2):329–351
30. Liu A, Wang S, Yuan J, Li J (2017) On g -extra conditional diagnosability of hypercubes and folded hypercubes. *Theor Comput Sci* 704:62–73

31. Liu H, Zhang S, Li D (2019) On g -extra conditional diagnosability of hierarchical cubic networks. *Theor Comput Sci* 790(1):66–79
32. Lu Y, Jun-Ming X (2009) Panconnectivity of Cartesian product graphs. *J Supercomput* 56(2):182–189
33. Manuel P, Rajasingh I, Rajan B, Mercy H (2009) Exact wirelength of hypercubes on a grid. *Discrete Appl Math* 157(7):1486–1495
34. Manuel P, Rajasingh I, Rajan RS (2012) Embedding variants of hypercubes with dilation 2. *J Interconnect Netw* 13:1250004
35. Matsubayashi A (2015) Separator-based graph embedding into multidimensional grids with small edge-congestion. *Discrete Appl Math* 185:119–137
36. Miller M, Rajan RS, Parthiban N, Rajasingh I (2014) Minimum linear arrangement of incomplete hypercubes. *Comput J* 58(2):331–337
37. Opatrný J, Sotteau D (2000) Embeddings of complete binary trees into grids and extended grids with total vertex-congestion 1. *Discrete Appl Math* 98(3):237–254
38. Rajan RS, Rajalaxmi TM, Liu J-B, Sethuraman G (2020) Wirelength of embedding complete multipartite graphs into certain graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 280:221–236
39. Rajasingh I, Arockiaraj M (2011) Linear wirelength of folded hypercubes. *Math Comput Sci* 5(1):101–111
40. Rajasingh I, Rajan B, Sundara RR (2012) Embedding of hypercubes into necklace, windmill and snake graphs. *Inf Process Lett* 112(12):509–515
41. Rajasingh I, Rajan B, Sundara RR (2012) Embedding of special classes of circulant networks, hypercubes and generalized Petersen graphs. *Int J Comput Math* 89(15):1970–1978
42. Ramanathan P, Shin KG (1988) Reliable broadcast in hypercube multicomputers. *IEEE Trans Comput* 37(12):1654–1657
43. Saad Y, Schultz MH (1988) Topological properties of hypercubes. *IEEE Trans Comput* 37(7):867–872
44. Shalini AJ, Abraham J, Arockiaraj M (2018) A linear time algorithm for embedding locally twisted cube into grid network to optimize the layout. *Discrete Appl Math*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2018.06.039> (in press)
45. Skorin-Kapov J, Vakharia AJ (1993) Scheduling a flow-line manufacturing cell: a tabu search approach. *Int J Prod Res* 31(7):1721–1734
46. Stacho L, Vrt' o I (1998) Bisection width of transposition graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 84(1–3):221–235
47. Wiener H (1947) Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. *J Am Chem Soc* 69(1):17–20
48. Wu AY (1985) Embedding of tree networks into hypercubes. *J Parallel Distrib Comput* 2(3):238–249
49. Xu J (2013) Topological structure and analysis of interconnection networks Network theory and applications, vol 7. Springer, Berlin
50. Yang W, Zhao S, Zhang S (2017) Strong Menger connectivity with conditional faults of folded hypercubes. *Inf Process Lett* 125:30–34
51. Yuan J, Liu A, Qin X, Zhang J, Li J (2016) g -good-neighbor conditional diagnosability measures for 3-ary n -cube networks. *Theor Comput Sci* 626(1):144–162

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Affiliations

R. Sundara Rajan¹  · Thomas Kalinowski² · Sandi Klavžar^{3,4,5} ·
Hamid Mokhtar⁶ · T. M. Rajalaxmi⁷

Thomas Kalinowski
tkalinow@une.edu.au

Sandi Klavžar
sandi.klavzar@fmf.uni-lj.si

Hamid Mokhtar
h.mokhtar@uq.edu.au

T. M. Rajalaxmi
laxmi.raji18@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science, Padur, Chennai 603103, India
- ² University of New England, School of Science and Technology, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia
- ³ Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁴ Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia
- ⁵ Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- ⁶ School of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Architecture and Information Technology, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia
- ⁷ Department of Mathematics, Sri Sivasubramaniya Nadar College of Engineering, Chennai 603110, India