

# **Motion video tracking technology in sports training based on Mean‑Shift algorithm**

**Qiuli Hui1**

Published online: 21 June 2019 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

## **Abstract**

Mean-Shift is known for its real time and robustness in visual racking. This is a very good algorithm. In recent years, the algorithm has  $d_{\text{av}}$  loped rapidly and has great development prospects. This paper studies Mean-S' ift the ry and target tracking theory and analyses its advantages in video tracking. By combining the threeframe difference method, nearest neighbour method, and the direction parameter of the target, the Mean-Shift theory based on kernel probability density estimation is studied in the video application in moving target recognition and tracking in sequence images. First, use the prediction nethod to initially locate the target's position, and then use the Mean-Shift algorithm to perform iterative calculation to determine the true position of the  $t$  get. Experiments show that the Mean-Shift algorithm avoids global search,  $a_n$ , the improved algorithm introduces the number of iterations, reduces the computational complexity of the algorithm, reduces the time consumption, and ensures the real-time tracking of the algorithm. Thished online: 21 June 2019<br>
Springer Science-Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019<br>
Springer Science-Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019<br> **RESPACT SET SET CONDIT SET ART CONSULTS** This is the ar

**Keywords** Mean-Shift algorithm · Motion video · Target tracking · Sports training

## **1** Introducti

The essence of motion video target tracking is to automatically detect motion video or mally select interest targets, extract feature information of the target, and then use a pecific search method to roughly estimate the target area and motion trajectory in subsequent frame images. The true position of the target is obtained according to a certain matching algorithm. Target tracking based on motion multimedia video [[1\]](#page-16-0) is an important research content in the feld of computer vision. It has signifcant practical value in many felds, such as: intelligent transportation, medical diagnosis, video surveillance, virtual reality, human–computer interaction, imaging

 $\boxtimes$  Qiuli Hui wlwl321@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Education, Henan University of Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou 450016, Henan, China

guidance. Wait. The diversity of the characteristics of the target itself and the complexity of the external environment determine that the motion multimedia video target tracking is a long-term, important, and challenging hot topic, such as the shape of the target, the direction and speed of the motion, the background colour, the illumination. Factors such as occlusion will seriously affect the efficiency, accuracy, and robustness of target tracking. The existence of these problems and the wide application signifcance of target tracking have attracted the constant attention and research of scholars at home and abroad.

The so-called target tracking is to fnd the position of the target of interest in each image of the image sequence. Target tracking is a key technology for many computer vision applications. A good algorithm must solve the tracking problem of the target in occlusion. In order to solve the target occlusion problem, there are roughly four types of algorithms: (1) based on the target feature matching algorithm. (2) Based on the dynamic Bayesian network model to accurately model the occlusion process. (3) Particle fltering based on colour distribution. (4) Multi-subsample matching method. A good target tracking algorithm should satisfy the following two conditions [[2\]](#page-16-1): (1) Real-time performance is good. Whether the algorithm can track the target is the premise that the algorithm takes at least faster than the acquisition rate of each frame in the video acquisition system. Otherwise, the target cannot be tracked efectively. Therefore, the real-time nature of the tracking algorithm is very important. (2) Robust. In actual observation, the background of the moving target is usually very complicated. Under the influence of light, image noise, and  $\alpha$  arget occlusion, the tracking of the target is usually very difficult, so the algorithm  $\sim$  very robust. It is also an important factor in measuring the merits of the algorithm.  $\mathbf{h}$  owever, these two conditions are contradictory and it is often difficult to satisfy them at the same time. Therefore, in actual tracking, it is usually necessary to weigh the best integration results. nd the position of the target of interest in<br>get tracking is a key technology for many<br>lgorithm must solve the tracking problem<br>lye the target occlusion problem, there are<br>ed on the target feature matching algorithm.<br>work

In the case of target deformation and rotation, the Mean-Shirt algorithm [3, 4] performs better because it uses the gradient optimization method to achieve fast positioning targets and can track non-rigid targets in real  $\mathbf{t}$  be. However, in a special case where the target is severely occluded, such  $\epsilon$ s. multiple targets are occluded by the same object, the corresponding state of a single target may not be a local extreme point, and the target is gradually lost, failing to meet the requirements of the target tracking. In order to ensure the real-time tracking of the algorithm, this paper proposes a target position prediction method by combining the three-frame difference method and the nearest neighbour method with the direction parameter of the target. In the video frame, the prediction method is used frst to make the target position preliminary. Positioning, and then using the Mean-Shift algorithm to perform iterative calculation to determine the true position of the target, experiments show that the improved algorithm introduced by the prediction method reduces the number of iterations, reduces the computational complexity of the algorithm, reduces the time consumption, and ensures the real-time tracking of the algorithm.

## **2 Sports video tracking technology**

## **2.1 Research status**

Video target tracking technology has been widely used [[5](#page-16-4)]. The main applications are as follows: intelligent video surveillance, human–computer interaction, robot vision, and autopilot. The academic value and economic value behind it has been favoured by a lot of people. Many academic institutions, large companies, and some researchers are investing a lot of manpower and financial resources to carry out various development and scientifc research.

Some experts have also explored the selection of target models. (1) Through the study of particle filtering, the colour is used as the target model and he particle filter is tracked. The results show that the method can increase the robustness of the occlusion. However, this method is somewhat deficient, hat is, if the target and background have certain similarity, the tracking accuracy will decrease easily. (2) Extract the targets' edge feature, and the result shows that this method directly enhances the robustness of tracking. Because the colour describes the target colour information and the edge represents the contour of the target, combining these two complementary information to represent the target can improve the tracking efect. (3) Use local linear embedding manifold (LLE) to reduce the problem to 2-D space and then solve it.  $(4)$  Us certain criteria to separate targets and background effectively and set  $\nu \rho$  a  $\alpha$ , that target template. (5) Some weak classifiers are practised through the AdaBoost method, and then the strong classifier is formed by system integration. Then they are used to distinguish the target and the background, so as to obtain the state of the target with a specific method and track the trajectory of the target motion, Fig. 1 depicts the algorithmic principle of the combination of the Mean-Shift algorithm and particle filtering. ome researchers are investing a lot of manpower and financial resources to *Carry* us ut various development and scientific research.<br> **Some experts have also explored the selection of target models.** Through the study of

The difficulties of video object tracking include the following aspects  $[6]$ : (1) the appearance  $c<sup>k</sup>$  an ges  $\zeta$  f targets. The change of shape in the course of the target movement, with  $t_1$  visual angle and size change relative to the camera, causes the complex  $a<sub>n</sub>$  pearance change of the target on the image plane, which increases the difficulty of the target modelling. (2) Complex background. Changing light, background, and cluttered environment makes it difficult to separate targets from the  $\mathbf b$  ckground. (3) The occlusion problem. Occlusion includes occlusion of back, ound and occlusion between targets. Partial occlusion cannot detect the appearance of the target, and the interference of the occlusion is introduced. The complete occlusion needs the mechanism of the recovery of the tracking algorithm and can be relocated. (4) The complex movement of the target. Nonlinear target motion makes it difcult for the tracking algorithm to predict the motion and increase the search computation of the tracking algorithm.



## <span id="page-3-0"></span>**2.2 An overview of video motion tracking**

Target detection is the feature extraction of the target, which is a technique for segmenting the target [\[7\]](#page-16-6). It can unify the identifcation, recognition, and segmentation of targets. Its determinism and robustness can be used to assess the performance of the system. Especially in the case of some background confusion, it is necessary to identify many of the targets collected in time. The rapid detection of this goal has special practical value [[8\]](#page-16-7). Target tracking is the identifcation, analysis, and processing of video communication signals by various techniques (such as digital image processing and sensors) to determine the behavioural posture of the target to achieve more advanced behavioural activities.

Video-based target tracking is a hot research topic in the feld of computer vision [\[9](#page-16-8)]. It is the basis of target analysis and has a wide range of applications in the felds of intelligent transportation systems, intelligent video surveillance, and military guidance systems. Target tracking is to detect the captured video data, lock the interest target, and then use the specifc tracking algorithm to continuously track the locked target. After decades of research, improvement, and innovation by domestic and foreign scholars, target tracking mainly forms two kinds of ideas: frst, automatically select interest targets. This method needs to add moving target detection methods to automatically lock interest targets, and then enter subsequent sustained goals. Tracking; the second is to manually calibrate the interest target, this method skips the detection step, manually selects the interest target in the video through the logo box, and then performs subsequent target tracking.

Video target tracking, the main function—real-time positioning of the target in continuous video; Basic Principle: Firstly, the moving target is  $\ddot{i}$  enough automatically or selected manually. At the same time, the region of  $t_{\perp}$  target is locked. Then, the feature information of the region of the target is extracted, the target model is established, and the trajectory of the target is estimated quickly. Finally, the target algorithm is matched with the target model to determine the true position of the target. Simply put, it is actually an iterative process of continuous search positioning. The main process of a complete target tracking system is shown in Fig. 2. ds to automatically lock interest targets, and then enter subsequent sustained goals<br>racking; the second is to manually subtrate the interest target, this method. Skift<br>ne detection step, manually subsequent target trackin

Domestic and foreign scholars have proposed various tracking methods for different target tracking environments and problems to be solved. These methods have been continuously improved and improved, forming a big discussion of "Hundred Flowers Blossoming, Hundred  $\mathcal{L}$  hools of Contention" and promoting the target tracking field. The rapid development and maturity of common target tracking types include the following four c tegories.

1. Feature-based target tracking

The feature is  $\mu$ , unique property of an object, which is independent, reliable, and discriminative. In short, the feature-based target tracking  $[10]$  method is to find similar features in each frame of image to achieve the positioning process based on the extracted arget features. The method does not consider the overall characteristics of the target, but considers one or a set of features that are representative of



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Video target tracking

the target's representative saliency. These features are characterized by the ability to accurately describe the target object and then achieve target search and location tracking. Due to the complexity of the tracking environment, a feature description target is generally not used, and it is difficult for a single feature to eliminate the interference of a complex background, which afects the accuracy and robustness of the tracking. A robust and stable tracking method has certain adaptability to the environment. Therefore, such methods generally use multiple feature information for fusion to describe the target, and often combined with other algorithms.

The advantage is that only the appropriate features need to be selected according to the actual situation and the target can be described. This kind of algorithm is often used as a basic algorithm combined with other algorithms to achieve better tracking efect; the most critical point of this algorithm is to utilize the extraction algorithm extracts the target features in the image, and the efect depends entirely on the quality of the image. When the video noise is relatively large or fuzzy, the target feature pixels are severely mutated, which makes the feature extraction difcult, continuous video frames. The feature correspondence between the graphs is also diffcult to determine, especially in the case where the number of features between the frame images is deviated due to missed detection or feature increase or decrease.

#### 2. Region-based target tracking

The basic idea of the region-based target tracking method  $[11]$  is to first determine the target area, manually select the target area, or automatically detect. get area, and establish a target template for the entire area of the calibration containing the target information. The higher the target pixel ratio is,  $\Delta$  e sm. Yer the tracking error is, and the higher the accuracy is. For the current frame image, the matching and searching are performed by using a certain matching strategy, the target region is determined, and the real position of the target is  $f_{\text{max}}$  determined by using a specific calculation method to achieve the target tracking purpose. Among many algorithms, Mean-Shift-based target tracking and particle filtering (PF)-based target tracking are the most representative algorithms for this type of target tracking. The discussion and analysis of average migration target tracking will be introduced in detail in Chapter 1. priate features need to be selected accord-<br>t can be described. This kind of algorithm<br>med with other algorithms to achieve better<br>f this algorithm is to utilize the extraction<br>the image, and the effect depends entirely on

The advantage of region-based tracking is that the tracking accuracy is high and the robustness is strong. However, when the search area is large or even global search, it takes a lot of time, which afects the real-time performance of the algorithm; the algorithm is sensitive to occlusion and target deformation. More attention to this type of method is how to achieve dynamic update of the target template, how to achieve accurate prediction of the target trajectory and state, and narrow the search area.

#### 3. Target tracking based on motion detection

Target tracking based on motion detection [\[12\]](#page-16-11) is to directly extract the target of motion based on features. The main principle of the method is: according to the

diference between the target and the background pixel, the target of the motion in the image is detected frst, and then the tracking of the target is achieved according to the similarity of the adjacent two frames of images, and the advantage is that multiple targets can be detected, and achieve automatic selection of the target lock.

#### 4. Target tracking based on deep learning

In recent years, with the rapid development of deep learning, major breakthroughs have been made in image classification, target tracking, target cognition, and detection, which have become a hot research direction at home and abroad. For the target occlusion, similar target, or background interference of traditional target tracking, the deep learning is introduced into the target tracking algorithm to form a new solution. Since 2013, this kind  $\alpha$ , young method of deep learning goal tracking  $[13]$  has gradually emerged. A large mumber of target tracking based on deep learning also presents a trend of blosse ming and has made a huge breakthrough, becoming another discussion  $\epsilon$  in  $\alpha$  in academia.

Deep learning is an artificial neural network that simulates the human brain's analysis and learning of things. It simulates the human brain to acquire data and analyse it. The main idea of deep learning target racking is: Firstly, it is necessary to carry out effective learning training  $\alpha$  a large number of standard data sets through the built deep learning model, to obtain more effective and accurate target feature information, and then we it for target matching. With positioning, achieve efficient target tracking. Vang  $\epsilon$  al. in the paper of NIPS 2013 "Learning a Deep Compact Image Represent tion for Visual Tracking" (DLT) first introduced the concept of deep learning into the feld of target tracking and created a new method of targe<sup>t</sup> tracking, which adopted The deep learning model of the automatic encoder is not as smooth as the depth recognition-based target recognition and detection field. The deep learning-based target tracking is relatively difficult. The main problem is the lack of a large number of standard data sets and large amounts of data. Set validity learning is a criterion for judging whether a deep *learning* model is effective. Target tracking only provides one or several frames of images as a data set for training, which imposes severe limitations on the application of deep learning in the target tracking field. In recent years, with the rapid development of deep learning, major or<br>each tractor magnet have been made in image classification, target ractiving, target  $\langle \hat{c}_0 \rangle$ <br>tition, and detection, which have become a hot resea

### **3 Mean‑Shift theory**

At present, the Mean-Shift-based algorithm has great practical value. In short, the basic idea of the algorithm is to use the probability density gradient to climb to fnd the optimal value, that is, the process of the highest density region. First, determine the range of the image. Then select the region of interest, and iteratively calculate through the algorithm until the requirements of the setting are met, and fnally get more accurate results.

### **3.1 Mean‑Shift vector**

Mean-Shift is the average vector of the ofset, which is the process of successive iterations under given conditions. Given a *d*-dimensional space recorded as  $R_{d}$ , there are *n* sample points  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$  in the space. For any point *x*, the Mean-Shift vector basic form is Eq. ([1\)](#page-7-0):

<span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
M_h(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_i \in S_h} (x_i - x)
$$
 (1)

where *k* indicates that *k* sample points in the overall sample are captured in the  $S_h$  region, and  $S_h$  is a high-dimensional sphere region with radius *h*, which can be expressed using Eq. [\(2](#page-7-1)):

$$
S_h(x) = \{ y : (y - x)^T (y - x) \le h^2 \}
$$
 (2)

where  $(x_i - x)$  represents the offset of any point  $x_i$  relative to  $x$ , and the Mean-Shift vector  $M_h$  represented in Eq. ([2\)](#page-7-1) is the sum of the vector offsets of  $k$  sample points in the  $S_h$  region relative to point *x*. After averaging, this average offset  $M_h$  points to the direction of the probability density gradient.

Figures [3](#page-7-2) and [4](#page-8-0) are an image depiction of the algorithm vector and the iterative process. All the solid points in Fig. [3](#page-7-2) represent pixel points; the area indicated by the outer large circle is  $S_h$ , the inner solid point represents the sample point  $x_i \in S_h$  captured by the area; the small circle inside the large circle represents the centre of the  $S_h$  area, that is, the calculation of Mean-Shift The reference point *x* of the vector; the solid line with the direction indicates the relative offset of the sampl $\phi$ poin $(x_i - x)$ ; the bold solid line with the direction is the average offset  $M<sub>h</sub>$ , calculated by the formula  $(2)$  $(2)$ , which pointing to the direction in which the density of the sample points increases, this direction is the gradient direction of the probability ensity function. Example 2.1 The overall sample are captured in the sphere region with radius *h*, which can be  $-x)^T(y - x) \leq h^2$  (2) y point  $x_i$  relative to  $x$ , and the Mean-Shift m of the vector offsets of  $k$  sample points in the seria



<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Fig. 3** Mean-Shift vector diagram

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

The function of the whole algorithm is to iterative y calculate along this direction until the region with the highest density of  $s$ , uple points is found is the optimal value. The optimal result obtained after the lgo ithm is iterated.

Using the clustering effect of the Mean-Shift algorithm, after a finite number of iterations, the particle samples  $c \nvert \hat{c}$  converge to the maximum value of the density gradient, so that each particle is effectively described for the target state. By increasing the number of samples, particle filtering can improve robustness, but it increases the amount of computation  $a \cdot d$  reduces real-time performance, which limits its use in systems with high  $r_{01}$  and  $r_{02}$  requirements. By embedding the Mean-Shift algorithm into the particle filter, the clustering property can realize the ability to describe effectively with  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  particles, and also reduce the amount of computation, so that the particle  $f_{\lambda}$  or algorithm greatly improves the real-time processing.

## **3.2 Extended Mean-Shift vector**

Introducing the kernel function to the Mean-Shift vector is an improvement and extension of its basic form. The form of the improved extended vector  $M_h(x)$ becomes  $(3)$  $(3)$ :

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
M_h(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) w(x_i)(x_i - x)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) w(x_i)}
$$
(3)

Among them:

1.  $G_H(x_i - x) = |H|^{-1/2} G(H^{1/2}(x_i - x));$ 

- 2. *G*(*x*) is a unit kernel function;
- 3. *w*( $x_i$ ) ≥ 0 is the weight, that is, the weight of the sample point  $x_i$ , representing the importance of the sample point;
- 4. *H* is a positively symmetric symmetry  $d \times d$  matrix, commonly referred to as the bandwidth matrix.

Suppose there is a d-dimensional European space. In the space, there are sample point sets  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , n and a probability density function  $f(x)$ , then all sample points in space can be utilized. To construct the probability density estimate of the function  $f(x)$ , the kernel function of  $f(x)$  at the position of the reference point *x* is estimated as the following Eq. ([4\)](#page-9-0): onstruct the probability density estimate of<br>  $K(x)$  at the position of the reference point x is<br>  $\frac{K\left(\frac{x_i-x_i}{h}\right)w(x_i)}{2^n_{i=1}w(x_i)}$  (4)<br>  $w(x_i)$  is the weight coefficient of the sam-<br>
ion of  $f(x)$ , the Mean-Shift vector f

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) w(x_i)}{h^d \sum_{i=1}^{n} w(x_i)}
$$
(4)

where  $K(\cdot)$  is the kernel function and  $w(x_i)$  is the weight coefficient of the sample point  $x_i$ . After the gradient estimation of  $f(x)$ , the Mean-Shift vector form is obtained:

$$
M_h(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) w(x_i) x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(\frac{x_i - x}{h}\right) w(x_i)}
$$

For the Mean-Shift algorithm, the starting point is *x*, the kernel function is  $G(x)$ , and the allowed error is  $\varepsilon$ . The execution of the algorithm will be teratively calculated according to the following three steps until the error is no<sup>t</sup> greater than *ε*:

- 1. Calculate the Mean-Shift vector  $m_h(x)$ ;
- 2. The assignment operation is  $m_h(x) = x$ ;
- 3. When  $\|m_h(x) x\| < \varepsilon$  is satisfied, the algorithm stops executing, otherwise (1), the above steps are executed cyclically.

Mean-Shift-based target tracking algorithm is one of the most used algorithms. The algorithm first needs to select a region ontaining the target in the first frame video image, which is generally a rectangular region. This region is called the target region and is also the active region of the kernel function. As the location of the target area, the regional centre extracts the colour feature information of the area, constructs a colour feature probability distribution histogram for constructing the target model, and calculates the candidate region feature probability histogram in each subsequent frame video to construct a candidate model. Using the similarity function, Bhattacharyya coefficient as the similarity measure between the target model and the candidate model, selecting the candidate model with the largest Bhattacharyya coefficient will get the Mean-Shift vector about the target model, which points to the direction of increasing target pixel density. The direction in which the target's true position is located, the search area will move along this direction, because the algorithm has fast convergence, which will quickly converge to the true position of the target and achieve target tracking and positioning.

## **4 Implementation of Mean‑Shift target tracking algorithm**

In this paper, the SURF algorithm, target position prediction method, and Mean-Shift tracking algorithm are combined. At the same time, the integrated similarity measure function and colour interference recognition method are introduced,  $\mathbf{d}$  and improved algorithm is proposed. According to the scale and main direction parameters of the SURF feature points, the scale and direction of the tracking frame are adaptively and accurately adjusted to avoid the tracking being trapped in local optimum. The prediction method is used to initially locate the target, which reduces the detection and extraction range of the feature points and reduces the range. The iteration number and time consumption of the algorithm are sed. A cording to the proposed interference identification method, it is judged whether there is colour interference. The comprehensive similarity function is used to measure the similarity of the model as the basis for the algorithm tracking processing. **hift tracking algorithm are combined.** At the same time, the integrated similarity that that the same is complision method are introduced,  $\lambda$  d and more intersect recognition method are introduced,  $\lambda$  d and more inte

### **4.1 Similarity fusion and interference ide. tification**

### 1. Constructing comprehensive similarities

The traditional Mean-Shi<sup>ft</sup> algorithm uses the Bhattacharyya coefficient to measure the colour feature similarity between the target model and the candidate model. This paper proposes a comprehensive similarity measure based on the SURF feature point matching degree. The method is as follows:

# Standard 1. Bhatt, charyya coefficient

The Bhatta haryya coefficient of the target colour feature histogram is obtained according to formula  $(6)$ :

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\hat{\rho}(\hat{q}_u, \hat{p}_u) = \sum_{u=1}^m \sqrt{\hat{q}_u, \hat{p}_u}
$$
\n(6)

Standard 2: SURF feature point matching

Suppose that after the last frame tracking is completed, the extracted target feature point set is  $M = \{m_1, m_2, m_3, ..., m_i\}$ , and the feature point set extracted by the current frame candidate area is  $N = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, ..., n_j\}$ , use the SURF matching algorithm to match the feature points of the set *M* and *N*, and then perform noise reduction processing to obtain a more accurate set of matching points, denoted as  $T=M \cap N = \{t_1, t_2, t_3, ..., t_k\}$ , then SURF feature point matching  $\sigma$  formula ([7\)](#page-11-0):

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\sigma = \frac{T_{\text{len}}}{M_{\text{len}}} \tag{7}
$$

Among them,  $T_{\text{len}}$  and  $M_{\text{len}}$  are the lengths of the feature point sets *T* and *M*, that is, the number of feature points.

Standard 3: Similarity Standards Fusion—Comprehensive Similarity

Fusion Standard 1 and Standard 2 get the comprehensive similarity measure *H*, as in Eq. ([8\)](#page-11-1):

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
H = H(\hat{\rho}|\sigma) = \hat{\rho} \times \sigma \tag{8}
$$

Among them, the proposed comprehensive similarity of the target is to ensure that the matching of the feature points does not decrease, the algorithm iteratively calculates, so that the colour Bhattacharyya coefficient also reaches the optimal value. The meaning of the maximum value of  $H$  is: in the iterative process of the algorithm, under the premise that all the matching uncorrected feature points are included in the tracking frame and the matching degree is the maximum value, the Pap's coefficient also reaches the maximum value, and the target comprehensive similarity is maximized. Value the corresponding position at this time is the real position of the target.

#### 2. Colour interference recognition

This paper improves the traditional mean shift tracking algorithm by introducing surface feature points. In addition to adjusting the size and direction of the tracking frame, the algorithm also has the function of eliminating colour interference, so as to achieve the elimination of interference, and finally achieve a comprehensive effect. The method for identifying colour interference is as follows: suppose the starting point of the current frame iteration is  $y_0$ , the SURF feature point matching degree is  $\sigma(y_0)$ , the candidate target position is  $y_1$ , and the SURF feature point matching degree is  $\sigma(y_1)$ , and the pair appears according to the change of these parameters. The interference is judged and processed: *H* = *H*( $\hat{\rho}$ ) $\sigma$ ) =  $\hat{\rho} \times \sigma$  (8)<br> *H* = *H*( $\hat{\rho}$ ) $\sigma$ ) =  $\hat{\rho} \times \sigma$  (8)<br>
comprehensive similarity of the target is to ensure<br> *P* points does not decrease, the algorithm iteratively<br> *Bhattacharya* coefficien

- 1.  $\sigma(y_1) < \sigma(y_0)$ , indicating that a similar colour target appears in the tracking scene, is disturbed and a tracking drift occurs, then  $y_1 \leftarrow (y_1 + y_0)/2$ ;
- 2. 2 If  $\sigma(y_1) \ge \sigma(y_0)$ , indicating that there is no colour interference, and the feature point matching degree is the maximum value (denoted as  $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ ) or not decreased, the algorithm continues to iterate, so that the Bhattacharyya coefficient reaches the maximum value (recorded as max), then *H* will reach the maximum value (denoted as  $H_{\text{max}}$ ).

#### **4.2 Proposed target position prediction method**

1. Three-frame diference method to extract the target

The basic principle is: frstly use the continuous three-frame image, that is, the  $k - 1$ th,  $k, k+1$  frames, respectively, to calculate the adjacent two frames, that is,  $k - 1$  frame and *k* frame, *k* frame and  $k + 1$  frame image. The difference image is then binaries to obtain two binary images. After etching, expanding the noise points, flling the internal holes, etc., a more optimized binary image is obtained, and the two binary images are logically ANDed. Finally, the median filtering process  $\cdot$  performed to make the target contour smoother, and a small area demon<sup>2</sup>ing is  $\rho$ erformed at the same time, so that a relatively accurate and smooth target.  $\frac{mg}{g}$  can be obtained. Therefore, the target detected by the three-frame difference method is more accurate than the conventional frame diference method.

2. Recent neighbours determine target priority

Since the target displacement between adjacent frames is not too large, the smaller the distance between the target of the two consecutive frames and the target centroid, the more likely it is the target of interest to be tracked. Using the threeframe difference method described in  $(1)$  of detect all moving targets in the current frame, extract the centroid coordinates of the moving target, calculate the Euclidean distance between the target centroid of the previous frame and the centroid of all moving targets in the current frame, and then all detected targets are sorted in ascending order according to the Euclidean distance, as the matching priority order, and the smaller the distance, the higher the priority. Hing the internal holes, etc., a more optimized binary image is obtained, and the<br>
wo binary images are logically ANDed. Finally, the median if<br>
therm of the moment of make the target contour smoother, and a small area d

3. Preliminary prediction of candidate target position

Since the direction change of the target in two consecutive frames is not too large, the candidate target corresponding to the theoretical value of  $\Delta\theta$  is the tracking target, and the centroid of the candidate target is used as the initial iteration centre of the Mean-Shift algorithm. The location prediction method initially locates the target, which not only reduces the number of iterations of the algorithm, but also initially determines the SURF feature point detection and matching region, avoids wasting time due to detection and matching of large-scale feature points, thereby improving the efficiency of the algorithm.

#### **4.3 Mean‑Shift algorithm tracking efect**

In order to achieve the tracking of the moving target, verify the actual efect of the algorithm, and design the experiment to verify.

The use of a camera to track a person's is shown in Fig. [5](#page-13-0).

The pixel histogram of the selected area is shown in Fig. [6.](#page-13-1)

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Camera tracking





<span id="page-13-1"></span>From the above renderings, we can see that the Mean-Shift algorithm deals with the hue components in the HSV. In other words, the function of tracking objects is achieved by tracking the same colour. The programme first calculates the effective pixel points in the click box and obtains a histogram of the pixel distribution through statistics; then the back projection image of the video is calculated, which is a probability distribution map of the pixels. As shown in Fig. [6](#page-13-1) above, the brighter point is the point that matches the original object. A large number of bright spots are likely to be tracked. Finally, use a rectangular box to frame the tracked object in the current frame, as shown in Fig. [5](#page-13-0).

Obviously, tracking fails when the background is indistinguishable from the colour of the tracked object. The reason is simple. The principle of Mean-Shift algorithm tracking is to track the effect by calculating the probability distribution of hue. Therefore, the object to be tracked must be diferentiated from the background in hue.



**Fig. 7** TMS algorithm

<span id="page-14-1"></span><span id="page-14-0"></span>

<span id="page-14-2"></span>The video sequence of Figs. 7, 8, and 9 is from the PETS2001 standard video database, which is used to verify the algorithm-scale adaptive tracking and colour antiinterference by tracking small and large pedestrians. Figures 7, 8, and 9 show the tracking results of three frames in the video. It can be seen from Fig. 7 that the TMS algorithm is a *f* lected by similar targets in the first frame, and the tracking drift occurs. The second and third frames have error tracking. Loss phenomenon, but also does not consider the target scale change problem; in Fig.  $8$ , the CMS algorithm can track the target, but does not overcome the interference of similar targets, so similar targets with similar distances in the frst and second frames are also included in the tracking range. Although the size of the tracking frame is adjusted in the third frame, the adjustment is inaccurate, resulting in the target feature information contained in the tracking frame is not comprehensive; as shown in Fig. [9](#page-14-2), when the target becomes larger and interferes with similar targets. The proposed algorithm is anti-interference and can achieve adaptive and accurate adjustment of the tracking frame scale.

Table [1](#page-15-0) is the comparison of the average deviation, the average number of iterations, and the average time per frame for 40 consecutive frames. As can be seen from Table [1](#page-15-0), the target position deviation of the proposed algorithm is lower

Algorithm	Maximum deviation/ pixel	Minimum deviation/ pixel	per frame/pixel	Average deviation Average number of iterations per frame/ time	Average time per frame/ms
TMS	4.12	0.50	2.86	3.65	19.80
<b>CMS</b>	7.43	1.80	4.97	1.37	35.63
This paper	3.00	0.12	1.61	1.85	25.05

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table 1** Three-centre deviation, iteration number, and time-consuming comparison of video sequences

than the TMS and CMS algorithms. Compared with the TMS algorithm, the proposed algorithm reduces the number of iterations per frame. The average time per frame is only increased by about 27%, and the tracking accuracy is improved by about 44%. Compared with the CMS algorithm, the average time per frame is reduced 30% and tracking accuracy increased by 68%. In summary, from the three aspects of positional deviation, iteration number, and consumption time, it can be concluded that the tracking performance of the proposed algorithm is higher than the other two algorithms. mpared with the TMS algorithm, the pro-<br>of iterations per frame. The average time<br>W<sub>6</sub>, and the tracking accuracy is improved<br>IS algorithm, the average time per frame<br>iteration number, and consumption time,<br>iteration numbe

Mean-Shift tracking has following advantages and disadvantages (Fig. 10). The advantage of the Mean-Shift algorithm in tracking:

The algorithm has a small amount of calculation and can be tracked in real time. For slow moving objects, tracking is very good when the hue and background are well diferentiated.

The Mean-Shift also has following disadvantages:

- 1. Lack of necessary template updates;
- 2. Because the window width remains unchanged during the tracking process, tracking will fail when the target scale changes.
- 3. The histogram features are slightly lacking in the description of target colour features, and lack of spatial information;
- 4. Cannot track objects which are similar to the background colour.

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Fig. 10** Advantages and disadvantages and disadvantages and disadvantages and disadvantages  $\frac{1}{2}$  disadvantages





target scale changes

lack of spatial information

Cannot track objects which are similar to the background color

# **5 Conclusions**

Sports video analysis is a young and dynamic research feld. At present, all aspects of sports video processing, analysis, and editing have been carried out. Sports video has the problems and difculties of traditional video semantic analysis, and it has a special demand and treatment as a special media with a large audience and a huge market prospect. Although many researchers at home and abroad have done a lot of work in diferent directions, some prototype systems have appeared. However, they are far from practical application. On the one hand, we need to study more advanced and practical technologies, and on the other hand, we need to develop practical systems in  $\alpha$  oin tion with actual application scenarios and requirements so that these technologies can truly serve human beings.

Video target tracking technology has been widely used. The main applications are as follows: intelligent video surveillance, human–computer interaction, robot vision, and autopilot. The academic value and economic value behind  $i$ , has been favoured by a lot of people. Many academic institutions, large companies, and some researchers are investing a lot of manpower and financial resources to carry out various development and scientifc research. ractical application. On the one hand, we need to study more advanced and practical<br>chronologies, and on the other hand, we need to develop practical systems in Co.<br>
on with actual application scenarios and requirements so

## **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Saha A, Lee YW, Hwang YS et al (2018) Context-award block-based motion estimation algorithm for multimedia internet of things (IoT) platform. Pers Ubiquit Comput  $22(7)$ :1–10
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>2. Jia Danping, Zhang Lifeng, Li Chunhua (2015) The improvement of mean-shift algorithm in target tracking. Int J Secur Appl 9(2):21–28
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>3. Ghassabeh YA (2015) A sufficient condition for the convergence of the Mean-Shift algorithm with Gaussian kernel. J Multivar Anal 13<sup>1</sup>:1–10
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>4. Fang H, Chen Y, Li L et al  $(20)$  Implementation of the parallel Mean-Shift-based image segmentation algorithm on a GPU clus we Int J Digit Earth 5:1–26
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>5. Qin Zhen, Shelton Christian R ( $2016$ ) Social grouping for multi-target tracking and head pose estimation in video. IEEE T ans Pattern Anal Mach Intell 38(10):2082-2095
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>6. Dixit Astha, Verman Manoj, Patidar Kailash (2016) Survey on video object detection and tracking. Int J Curr Trends Eng Technol  $2(2):264-268$
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>7. Borisova IV, Lewkiy VN, Kravets SA (2017) Application of the gradient orientation for systems of automatic tary et detection. Novosibirsk State Tech Univ 41(6):931–937
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>8. He  $J$ ,  $\odot$  H, Mu H et al (2017) Rapid detection of quality parameters change in hickory oxidation process by electronic nose. Trans Chin Soc Agric Eng 33(14):284–291
- <span id="page-16-8"></span> $T_{\text{tav}}$   $\hat{X}$ , Su Q, Liu H et al (2014) Application of flexible edge matching algorithm in the field of moving object detection. Intell Autom Soft Comput 20(4):515–523
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>10. Li G, Wang ZY, Jian L et al (2018) Spatio-context-based target tracking with adaptive multi-feature fusion for real-world hazy scenes. Cognit Comput 7:1–13
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>11. Li F, Peng H, Sun X et al (2012) Wave propagation analysis in composite laminates containing a delamination using a three-dimensional spectral element method. Math Probl Eng 2012(2):243–253
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>12. Liu K, Wei S, Chen Z et al (2017) A real-time high performance computation architecture for multiple moving target tracking based on wide-area motion imagery via cloud and graphic processing units. Sensors 17(2):356
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>13. Xue H, Yao L, Deng C et al (2016) Tracking people in RGBD videos using deep learning and motion clues. Neurocomputing 204:70–76

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.