ORIGINAL RESEARCH



# A theoretical study on the hydrogen storage properties of planar $(AIN)_n$ clusters (n = 3-5)

Chen Guo<sup>1</sup> · Chong Wang<sup>2</sup>

Received: 13 January 2017 / Accepted: 17 March 2017 / Published online: 3 April 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Abstract The structures and hydrogen storage capacities of  $(AIN)_n$  (*n* = 3-5) clusters have been systematically investigated by using density functional theoretical calculations. At  $\omega$ B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p) level, the planar structures of  $(AIN)_n$  (*n* = 3-5) can adsorb 6-10 H<sub>2</sub> molecules with average adsorption energies in the range 0.16 to 0.11 eV/H<sub>2</sub>, which meet the adsorption energy criteria of reversible hydrogen storage. The gravimetric density of H<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on (AlN)<sub>n</sub> clusters can reach 8.96 wt%, which exceed the target set by Department of Energy. The hydrogen adsorption energies with Gibbs free energy correction indicate that the adsorption of 6 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>3</sub>, 8 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>4</sub> and 10 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>5</sub> is energetically favorable below 96.48, 61.43, and 34.21 K, respectively. These results are expected to motivate further the applications of clusters to be efficient hydrogen storage materials.

**Keywords** Hydrogen storage · Planar clusters · Theoretical study · Quantum chemistry · DFT

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11224-017-0943-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Chen Guo guocmail@163.com

<sup>1</sup> College of Science, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, Heilongjiang, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin 150040, People's Republic of China

## Introduction

Hydrogen energy has gained much attention and emerged as a promising energy source to replace currently used fossil fuels [1–4] because of its abundance and outstanding performance in terms of environmental protection [5]. However, the hydrogen application is limited due to difficulties in developing safe, dependable and cost-effective hydrogen storage techniques [6–9]. Therefore, much effort has been devoted to seek various hydrogen storage materials. For instance, storage in compressed gas hydrogen tanks [10], liquid hydrogen tanks [11] and solid substrates [12]. Among the various options, solid-state storage in some medium is considered to be the most cost-effective and safest method [13–18].

The solid-state hydrogen storage materials can be classified into two categories: physisorption and chemisorption materials. For instance, Carbon-based nanostructures [19-23], Metal-organic frameworks (MOF) [2, 24-26], covalent organic frameworks (COFs) [27-29] and other microporous solids are good candidates for H<sub>2</sub> storage via physisorption; Metal hydrides [30-34], complex hydrides [35-37], and metal alloys have been proposed as potential H<sub>2</sub> storage materials via chemisorption. Physisorption is difficult to achieve high hydrogen capacity, but hydrogen can be desorbed under ambient conditions. Chemisorption can achieve high hydrogen storage capacity easily, but it is hardly reversible. Therefore, an efficient and reversible hydrogen storage material should meet two requirements: 1. The material can adsorb hydrogen in molecule form with adsorption energy in the range  $0.1-0.4 \text{ eV/H}_2$ [38, 39]; 2. The gravimetric density of  $H_2$  should exceed 7.5 wt%, which is proposed by the US Department of Energy (DOS) [40].

In recent years, carbon-based nanostructures decorated with transition metal atoms attract much attention [38, 39, 41–43], because the pure carbon-based materials do not have



Fig. 1 The structures and electronic structure of  $(AIN)_n$  (n = 3-5) at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level

high hydrogen storage capacity as predicted previously [19–21]. However, the transition metal atoms tend to form clusters rather than distribute individually because of their large cohesive energies. In order to bypass the problem of clustering, the best method is that hydrogen molecules are

adsorbed on the substrate material [44, 45]. In the present work, we choose the  $(AIN)_n$  clusters as the researched substrate materials. We systematically study the hydrogen storage materials  $(AIN)_n$  (n = 3-5) at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level. The gravimetric density and adsorption energies are carried



**Table 1** The calculated binding Energy ( $E_b$ ) of (AlN)<sub>n</sub> (n = 3-5)Clusters, successive difference in binding energy  $\Delta E_b$ , adsorptionenergies per H<sub>2</sub>, number of hydrogen molecules adsorbed ( $N_{H2}$ ) at the $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level

Number	E <sub>b</sub> (eV)	E <sub>a</sub> (eV)	N <sub>H2</sub>
3	4.99	0.16	6
4	5.66	0.13	8
5	5.90	0.11	10

out to obtain a comprehensive analysis from a theoretical point of view. All the analysis results reveal that  $(AIN)_n$  clusters should be considered as a kind of potential hydrogen storage materials.

## **Computational methodology**

In the present work, all the calculations are carried out using the range-separated hybrid density functional theory ( $\omega$ B97xD) with the valence diffuse and polarization function 6-311 + G(d, p) basis sets. For the lowest energy structures of (AlN)<sub>n</sub> clusters, we further study their behavior of hydrogen storage. The procedure of adsorption, i.e., H<sub>2</sub> molecules are successively added on the most stable structures of each (AlN)<sub>n</sub> clusters, which is repeated until the H<sub>2</sub> cannot be adsorbed. The adsorption energies are calculated by  $\Delta E = \{E_{[mH2 - complex]} - E_{[complex]} - m \times E_{[H2]}\}/m$ . The  $E_{[mH2-complex]}$ ,  $E_{[complex]}$ , and  $E_{[H2]}$  are the calculated energies of mH<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on the complex, bare complex, and H<sub>2</sub> molecule, respectively. The bare and hydrogen adsorbed (AlN)<sub>n</sub> clusters have no imaginary frequency. All computations are performed using the Gaussian 09 [46] program packages.

### **Results and discussion**

### Geometrical structure and Electronic structure

In the previously literatures, BelBruno [47] and Blanco [48] et al. reported the structures of  $(AlN)_n$  (n = 3-5). These results showed that the planar geometries are the most stable structures. Therefore, in this paper, we optimize these planar structures of  $(AlN)_n$  (n = 3-5) at  $\omega$ B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p) level. All of the bond lengths and angles are shown in Fig. 1a.

To clarify the electronic structures of  $(AIN)_n$ , the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis are performed to reflect AlN clusters' electronic structures. The natural charge of each atom is denoted in Fig. 1b. For instance, Al atom has charge of 0.691 e and N atom has -0.691 e charge in  $(AIN)_4$ , respectively. It means that the charge transfer from Al atom to N atom, which makes the Al-N bond becomes polar and generates a local electric field.



Fig. 3 The planar structures of  $(AlN)_n$  (n = 3-5) adsorb hydrogen molecules at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level (The hydrogen molecules are adsorbed on the Al atoms)

Fig. 4 Temperature dependence of Gibbs free energy corrected adsorption energies ( $\Delta E_G$ ) for (AlN)<sub>n</sub>-1H2 at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level



Therefore, the hydrogen storage behavior of  $(AIN)_4$  is attributed to its polarize ability for hydrogen molecules. Moreover, the frontier molecular orbitals (FMO) are analyzed at the same level. The highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMOs) of stable ground state geometries of  $(AIN)_n$  (n = 3-5) clusters are shown in Fig. 2. We can see that HOMOs are mostly contributed from N atoms, and the LUMOs are mostly contributed from Al atoms. These results indicate that the hydrogen molecules should be preferentially adsorbed on Al atoms.

## Binding energies of $(AIN)_n$ (*n* = 3-5)

Since binding energy is an important analysis method for researching the stability of clusters, we list the binding energies of (AlN)<sub>n</sub> (n = 3-5) at  $\omega$ B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p) level in







Fig. 6 The planer structures of  $(AIN)_n$  (n = 3-5) adsorb hydrogen molecules at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level. The hydrogen molecules are adsorbed on the Al and N atoms

Table 1. The binding energy is calculated by the formula of  $E_b = -\frac{E_{(AIN)n} - n \times E_{(AIN)}}{n}$ . The  $E_{(AIN)n}$  is the total energy of the (AIN)<sub>n</sub> clusters, and  $E_{(AIN)}$  is the energy of single AIN molecule. When the value of  $E_b$  is positive, it indicates that the formation of cluster is exothermic and the cluster is stable. As listed in Table 1, the binding energy values of clusters are 4.99-5.90 eV. We can find that the binding energies of the clusters increase monotonically, which indicate the stability of clusters is increasing. These results indicate that large clusters are relatively more stable.

### Hydrogen Storage Behavior of (AlN)<sub>n</sub> Clusters

The hydrogen adsorption properties of  $(AIN)_n$  are investigated at  $\omega B97xD/6-311 + G(d, p)$  level. The hydrogen average adsorption energy can be derived from the following formula as follows:  $\Delta E = \{E_{[mH2-complex]} - E_{[complex]} - m \times E_{[H2]}\}/m$ . The optimized structures of  $(AIN)_n$  clusters with adsorbed H<sub>2</sub> are depicted in Fig. 3. The numbers of hydrogen adsorbed and hydrogen adsorption energies of all clusters studied here are listed in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 3, we can find that  $(AIN)_n$ (n = 3-5) adsorb 6-10 H<sub>2</sub> molecules on Al sites with the average adsorption energy of 0.16-0.11 eV/H<sub>2</sub>(the detailed structural information is displayed in Fig. S1 of the Supporting Information). They are all in the range of reversible H<sub>2</sub> adsorption, and their gravimetric density reach 8.96 wt%. These results have met the criteria for hydrogen storage requirements of average adsorption energy and gravimetric density.

The Gibbs corrected adsorption energy is positive for the complex indicate that the formation of the complex is energetically favorable. In Figs. 4 and 5, we calculate the Gibbs free energy corrected adsorption energies at different temperatures and 1 atm.

From these two pictures, we can find that the clusters  $(AlN)_n$  adsorb one hydrogen molecule in a wider temperature range than that of multiple hydrogen molecules. For instance,

 $(AlN)_3(1H_2)$  with positive Gibbs corrected adsorption energy below 200 K, however,  $(AlN)_3(6H_2)$  with positive Gibbs corrected adsorption energy below 96.48 K.

Therefore, the hydrogen adsorption energies with Gibbs free energy correction indicate that the adsorption of 6 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>3</sub>, 8 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>4</sub> and 10 H<sub>2</sub> in (AlN)<sub>5</sub> is energetically favorable below 96.48, 61.43, and 34.21 K, respectively.

Further, when the Al and N atoms are all considered as the reaction sites, more hydrogen molecules can be adsorbed (Fig. 6) (the detailed structural information and energies are displayed in Fig. S2 and Table S1 of the Supporting Information). (AlN)<sub>3</sub> can adsorb 12 H2 with 0.13 eV/H2, which corresponds to 16.44 wt% of hydrogen adsorption. For (AlN)<sub>4</sub>, this cluster can adsorbs 16 H2 with 0.10 eV/H2, and its gravimetric density is 16.44 wt%. For (AlN)<sub>5</sub>, when it adsorbs 14 H2, its average adsorption energy has dropped to 0.10 eV/H2. Its gravimetric density is 12.10 wt%. The average adsorption energy indicates that the H<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on the clusters are actual significance [49]. However, it can be readily inferred from the analysis of Figs. 4 and 5 that this adsorption processes are energetically favorable at much lower temperatures and higher pressures.

## Conclusion

Theoretical calculations are performed using the density functional theory to research planar clusters of  $(AIN)_n$  (n = 3-5). The hydrogen storage capacities of the complexes are investigated. According to our calculations, the hydrogen storage capacity can reach 8.96 wt% for  $(AIN)_n$ , which meet the target specified by U.S. Department of Energy. Moreover,  $(AIN)_n$ clusters can avoid the problem in metal clustering of metaldecorated materials, because the metal atom Al is the part of the substrate itself. Most importantly, the adsorption energies of H<sub>2</sub> are within 0.16–0.11 eV/H<sub>2</sub>, which is a recommended range for reversible hydrogen physisorption under standard test conditions. Therefore,  $(AIN)_n$  clusters are considered to be a promising materials for hydrogen storage. These results may be useful for extending the species of efficiency hydrogen storage materials, and appeal much more research on the experiment.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

Funding The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Ethical Statement The paper entitled "A theoretical study on the hydrogen storage properties of planar (AlN)<sub>n</sub> clusters (n = 3-5)" by Chen Guo\*, and Chong Wang to the famous journal *Structural Chemistry*. We declare that all the co-authors are aware of and approve of the submission.

## References

- 1. Jena P (2011) J Phys Chem Lett 2:206
- 2. Li Y, Yang RT (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:8136
- 3. Kaye SS, Long JR (2005) J Am Chem Soc 127:6506
- 4. Hanada N, Ichikawa T, Fujii H (2005) J Phys Chem B 109:7188
- 5. Moriarty P, Honnery D (2009) Int J Hydrog Energy 34:31
- 6. Coontz R, Hanson B (2004) Science 305:957
- 7. Schlapbach L, Zuttel A (2001) Nature 414:353
- 8. Chen P, Zhu M (2008) Mater Today 11:36
- 9. Principi G, Agresti F, Maddalena A, Russo SL (2009) Energy 34: 2087
- 10. Hu YH (2013) Int J Energy Res 37:683
- 11. Luo W, Campbell PG, Zakharow KN, Liu SY (2011) J Am Chem Soc 133:19326
- 12. Zhu HY, Chen YZ, Li S, Yang XD, Liu YN (2011) Int J Hydrog Energy 36:11810
- 13. Billur S, Farida LD, Micheal H (2007) Int J Hydrog Energy 32: 1121
- 14. Zhu HY, Liu YN, Chen YZ, Wen ZY (2010) Appl Phys Lett 96: 054101
- Dibandjo P, Zlotea C, Gadiou R, Camelia MG, Fermin C, Michel L (2013) Int J Hydrog Energy 38:952
- Weng QH, Wang XB, Zhi CY, Bando YS, Golberg D (2013) ACS Nan 7:1558
- 17. Tozzini V, Pellegrini V (2013) Phys Chem Chem Phys 15:80
- 18. Suh MP, Park HJ, Prasad TK, Lim DW (2009) Chem Soc Rev 38: 1294
- 19. Dodziuk H, Dolgonos G (2002) Chem Phys Lett 356:79
- 20. Shiraishi M, Takenobu T, Ata M (2003) Chem Phys Lett 367:633
- Kajiura H, Tsutsui S, Kadono K, Kakuta M, Ata M, Murakami Y (2003) Appl Phys Lett 82:1105
- 22. Wu HY, Fan X, Kuo JL, Deng WQ (2011) J Phys Chem C 115:9241
- 23. Oku T, Kuno M, Narita I (2004) J Phys Chem Solids 65:549
- 24. Rowsell JLC, Yaghi OM (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:1304

- 25. Li Y, Yang RT (2006) J Am Chem Soc 128:726
- Han SS, Mendoza-Cortes JL, Goddard III WA (2009) Chem Soc Rev 38:1460
- 27. Kuhn P, Antonietti M, Thomas A (2008) Angew Chem Int Ed 47: 3450
- Klontzas E, Tylianakis E, Froudakis GE (2008) J Phys Chem C 112:9095
- 29. Sang SH, Furukawa H, Yaghi OM, Goddard III WA (2008) J Am Chem Soc 130:11580
- 30. Züttel A (2003) Mater Today 6:24
- 31. Alapati SV, Johnson JK, Sholl DS (2006) J Phys Chem B 110:8769
- 32. Song Y (2013) Phys Chem Chem Phys 15:14524
- Zuttel A, Remhof A, Borgschulte A, Friedrichs O (2010) Phil Trans R Soc A 368:3329
- Zheng J, Xianxin L, Ping X, Pengfei L, Yongzhi Z, Jian Y (2012) Int J Hydrog Energy 37:1048
- Ley MB, Jepsen LH, Lee Y, Cho YW, Colbe JMBV, Domheim M, Rokni M, Jensen JO, Sloth M, Filinchuk Y, Jorgensen JE, Besenbacher F, Jensen TR (2014) Mater Today 17:122
- 36. Orimo SI, Nakamori Y, Eliseo J, Zuttel A, Jensen C (2007) Chem Rev 107:4111
- Kang S, Karthikeyan S, Lee JY (2013) Phys Chem Chem Phys 15: 1216
- Sun Q, Wang Q, Jena P, Kawazoe Y (2005) J Am Chem Soc 127: 14582
- Hussain T, Pathak B, Maark TA, Araujo CM, Scheicher RH, Ahuja R (2011) Europhys. Lett 96:27013
- (2009) http://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/storage/ pdfs/targets\_onboar\_hydro\_storage.pdf
- 41. Zhou J, Wang Q, Sun Q, Jena P (2011) J Phys Chem C 115:6136
- 42. Li C, Li J, Wu F, Li SS, Xia JB, Wang LW (2011) J Phys Chem C 115:23221
- 43. Li J, Hu Z, Yang G (2012) Chem Phys 392:16
- 44. Wang Y, Li X, Wang F, Xu B, Zhang J, Sun Q, Jia Y (2013) Chem Phys 415:26
- 45. Shinde R, Tayade M (2014) J Phys Chem C 118:17200
- Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, 46. Cheeseman JR, Scalmani G, Barone V, Mennucci B, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Caricato M, Li X, Hratchian HP, Izmaylov AF, Bloino J, Zheng G, Sonnenberg JL, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishida M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Vreven T, Montgomery Jr JA, Peralta JE, Ogliaro F, Bearpark M, Heyd JJ, Brothers E, Kudin KN, Staroverov VN, Kobayashi R, Normand J, Raghavachari K, Rendell A, Burant JC, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Cossi M, Rega N, Millam JM, Klene M, Knox JE, Cross JB, Bakken V, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin AJ, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Martin RL, Morokuma K, Zakrzewski VG, Voth GA, Salvador P, Dannenberg JJ, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Farkas O, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cioslowski J, Fox DJ (2009) Gaussian 09. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford
- 47. BelBruno JJ (1999) Chem Phys Lett 313:795
- Kandalam AK, Blanco MA, Pandey R (2002) J Phys Chem B 106: 1945
- Tavhare P, Kalamse V, Krishna R, Titus E, Chaudhari A (2016) Int J Hydrog Energy 41:11730