ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Thoughts about the electrophilic aromatic substitution mechanism: the Friedel-crafts alkylation and acylation of benzene with acetyl and *t*-butyl cations in the gas phase

Fernanda G. Oliveira¹ • Fabio L. Rodrigues¹ • Alline V. B. de Oliveira¹ • Daniel V. L. M. Marçal¹ • Pierre M. Esteves¹

Received: 11 October 2016 / Accepted: 25 January 2017 / Published online: 4 February 2017 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Abstract The mechanism of electrophilic aromatic substitution (S_EAr) is still matter of debate and interest in the literature. In this work, the Friedel-Crafts alkylation and the acylation in the gas phase were investigated in the context of the unified mechanism for S_EAr. In this unified proposal three kinds of intermediates can potentially be formed: oriented and unoriented π -complexes, intimate single electron transfer (SET) intermediates and σ -complexes. Quantum chemical calculations at M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level were carried out for the investigation of the reaction of benzene with acetyl and tert-butyl ions as model non-oxidant electrophiles for acvlation and alkylation, respectively, in the gas phase. It was found that both the tert-butyl and the acetyl cations prefer to form oriented π -complexes. Both electrophiles do not react through a SET pathway with benzene. The π -complex between tert-butyl cation and benzene can evolve to a σ -complex, while in the case of the acetyl cation and benzene the σ complex was not found as a minimum on the potential energy surface. Instead, it corresponds to a transient species or a very shallow minimum. The outcome of this is that the π -complex would only react with the aromatic ring evolving to the product with nucleophilic assistance by a species of the reaction medium, in either through a concerted mechanism or a specific interaction. This is also observed for aromatics with low ionization energies/nucleophilicities. However, very electron rich aromatic systems afford σ -complexes, and as their

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11224-017-0915-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Pierre M. Esteves pesteves@iq.ufrj.br ionization energies increases (i.e., less nucleophilic), the more the resulting complex resembles a π -complex, more or less continuously. This suggests out that electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions cannot be rationalized within a single mechanistic framework. Instead, a continuum of mechanistic possibilities may be involved.

Keywords Density functional calculations · Electrophilic aromatic substitution · Friedel-crafts · Alkylation · Acylation

Introduction

The Friedel-Crafts alkylation and acylation are important classes of the electrophilic aromatic substitution (S_EAr) reaction. Their mechanism has been initially rationalized within the framework of the S_EAr concerted mechanism [1] (Scheme 1A). Within this model, aromaticity is preserved during the process of addition of the electrophile. Some years later, a stepwise mechanism was proposed to explain the isotope effects found for the nitration, the bromination and for the protonation of aromatics obtained by Melander [2, 3]. Nowadays the generally accepted mechanism (Scheme 1B), passes through two different intermediates. The first one, the socalled π -complex 1 (Scheme 1B), is formed by the electrostatic interaction between the electrophile and the electronic belt of the aromatic ring. The electrophile then attacks the aromatic ring leading to a second intermediate, called a σ -complex, 2 (Scheme 1B), where the aromaticity of the ring is disrupted. Experimental evidence supporting the existence of both intermediates have been found since then (π -complex [4–7], σ complex [8, 9]). On the other hand, previous studies of the mechanism of nitration of aromatics led to an alternative proposition of an unified mechanism for S_EAr (Scheme 1C) involving an unoriented π -complex (1), an intimate single

¹ Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Cx Postal 68545, Rio de Janeiro 21945-970, Brazil



Scheme 1 Mechanistic proposals for S_EAr

electron transfer (SET) intermediate (3) and the σ -complex [10–15]. Regioselectivity and reactivity could be easily explained by this mechanism [16], even in difficult cases such as the *ipso* substitution preferences in a number of cases, which could not be fully previously understood [17]. This unified mechanism involving a SET step is proposed to be the main mechanism for the S_EAr whenever electron rich nucleophiles and oxidizing electrophiles react with each other.

Fig. 1 Geometries of the π complex between benzene and *tert*-butyl ion (4), the transition state connecting π - and σ complexes (5) and the respective σ -complex (6), calculated at M06-2X/6-311++G**. CHelpG charges over the electrophile are also shown. Distances are given in Angstroms and angles in degrees In this scope, we have been investigating the extension of the SET concept for other electrophilic aromatic substitutions. In order to investigate the role of a less oxidizing electrophile in the reaction mechanistic profile, we have carried out calculations for the S_EAr involving acetyl and *tert*-butyl cations as electrophiles, and benzene, in the gas phase. This would correspond to a Friedel-Crafts acylation and a Friedel-Crafts alkylation respectively.

Results and discussion

The reaction of *tert*-butyl cation with benzene was used as a model to study the mechanism of Friedel-Crafts alkylation. DFT calculations at M06-2X/6–311++G(d,p) level were carried out (see Computational Details section for details). In this case, two minima were found, namely an oriented π - (4) and a σ - (6) complexes (Fig. 1). The analysis of the π -complex geometry shows that the electrophilic carbon in the *tert*-butyl cation is about 3 Å to the closest carbon atom of the ring. This is within the typical range found for electrophiles interacting with aromatic systems determined by X-ray diffraction [18–20]. The transition state for the interconversion of such intermediates (5) is also shown in the same figure. Figure 2 shows the pictorial representation of the potential energy surface for the reaction, together with some other possible



Fig. 2 Pictorial representation of the calculated potential energy surface of the reaction of benzene with the *tert*-butyl cation (represented by E^+) and its comparison with experimental results. M06-2X/6–311++G(d,p) enthalpies are shown in black and the experimental results from Cacace et al. [21] are shown in red dashed line



isomeric intermediates. The π -complex 4 was found to be 0.9 kcal/mol more stable in relation to the σ -complex 5. This is different from what is found in the case of the nitration of benzene, where the σ -complex is the more stable of the key intermediates. This result agrees well with earlier calculations [22, 23] and gas phase ion-molecule reactions, carried out by Cacace et al. [21] The theoretical and experimental for the reaction on the gas phase results are in good agreement. The theoretical activation enthalpy for the interconversion of the π -complex to the σ -complex is 2.0 kcal/mol.

Analysis of the CHelpG charges shows that most of the positive charge (+0.787e) resides over the *tert*-butyl cation. This indicates that the SET process is **not** occurring at this system, what could be anticipated evaluating the ionization potentials of the *tert*-butyl radical (IP = 6.70 ± 0.03 eV [24]) and benzene (9.24372 ± 0.00005 eV [25]), which predicts that single electron transfer from the benzene to the *tert*-butyl cation would be endothermic by 58.7 kcal/mol (2.54 eV). The proton shift into the aromatic ring from the σ -complex can occur (Scheme 2), despite of the high barriers, these being the rate determining step in the gas phase [21]. The results correlate well with the experimental ones, as shown in Fig. 2.

Thus, these results indicate that the electrophilic aromatic substitution may have different mechanisms depending on the electrophile, since nitration, which involves an oxidizing electrophile (NO₂⁺) seems to prefer the SET mechanism for benzene [10, 11], further affording a σ -complex in a very exothermic step, while the *tert*-Bu⁺ seems to prefer to form a π -complex, eventually forming the σ -complex in an endothermic step. Thus, this suggests that non-oxydizing electrophiles tend to afford σ -complexes that are less stable than the parent π -complex, in the case of the reaction with benzene.

Another kind of electrophile that may be non-oxidazing is the acyl cation. These carbocationic intermediates are the key intermediates involved on the Friedel-Crafts acylation [13, 14]. In order to investigate this aspect the reaction of the acetyl cation to benzene ring was used as a model reaction. Figure 3 shows the geometries of the complexes found. It is noteworthy that only the π -complexes 7 (oriented) and 8 (unoriented) are found from the interaction of the acetyl cation with the benzene ring. The most stable species is 7a, with the methyl group pointing toward the aromatic ring, with the π -complex 7b being only 1.2 kcal/mol less stable than 7a. On the other hand, unoriented the π -complex 8 lies 9.4 kcal/mol above 7a. All the attempts of locating a σ -complex itself resulted only into the π -complexes. Neither intrinsec reaction coordinate (IRC) or



Scheme 2 Proton migration after the attack of the *tert*-butyl cation to benzene



Fig. 3 The optimized geometries of the complexes between CH_3CO^+ and benzene obtained at M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level. The oriented π complexes (7a and 7b), an unoriented π -complex (8), and the transition

state leading to an elusive σ -complex (9) have their relative enthalpies shown below the respective structures. Distances are given in Angstroms and angles in degrees

calculations involving solvent (IEFPCM) resulted in success. We could, though, locate a transition state that would lead to the σ -complex, which is 8.6 kcal/mol above π -complex 7. Animation of the imaginary frequency showed the correct reaction coordinate. Other structures for proton migration within the aromatic ring were computed. Their relative energies are shown in Fig. 4. Detailed geometries of such structures are given in he supporting information. One can see from these results that the proton migration is more energetic in the acetylation of benzene than the relative conterparts in the tertbutyl alkylation, with barriers about 16-17 kal/mol, relatively close to to the energy of the separated reagents (17 kcal/mol). Noteworthy, previous experimental attempts of our group of reacting the acetyl cation with of benzene in the gas phase in a pentaguadrupole mass spectrometer only resulted in proton transfer, leading to the protonated benzene as only product observed [26]. No other adducts were observed in these experiments. This can now be rationalized, once the σ complexes are either elusive or kinetically unachieaveble in the gas phase. The IRC calculations of the transition states of the electrophilic addition and the proton transfer between ipso and ortho position, which in theory are connected through the σ -complex, allowed us to estimate that this elusive intermediate would be about 8.8 kcal/mol higher in energy in relation to the π -complex 7a.

These results have puzzled us, since that would mean that the electrophile could only insert into the benzene ring with assistance of an additional species from the reaction media acting as a base (Scheme 3), which would result in kinetic models different of what one would expect. The strongest Lewis base (B) present at the beginning of the reaction is probably the precursor of the acyl cation, typically the parent acyl chloride. It is noteworthy that this mechanism rescue some aspects of Ingold's original proposition [1] involving a concerted mechanism for S_EAr, in order to preserve the aromaticity of the benzenoid ring (Scheme 1A).

In order to investigate this, we have carried out DFT calculations including the presence of a molecule that could potentially act as a base. In the Friedel-Crafts reaction conditions, the aromatic (ArH), AlCl₃ and the acetyl chloride (RCOCl), are usually mixed, and the solvent often is the aromatic compound itself or a weakly nucleophilic solvent, such as CH₂Cl₂ or CS₂. Under these conditions the most basic species present in the reaction media would be a carbonyl compound, either RCOCl or the reaction product. ArC(=O)R. Since in the beginning of the reaction there is no product or it is in low concentration, we have decided to consider the acetyl chloride, as a potential Lewis base. Initial interaction of the acetyl chloride with the acetyl cation and benzene afforded several π -complexes, shown in Fig. 5. Several initial orientations



Fig. 4 Pictorial representation of the potential energy surface of the reaction of benzene with the acetyl cation (represented by Ac^+) calculated at M06-2X/6-311++(d,p) level

were considered, affording structures **10** to **14** after geometry optimization. All structures correspond to minima on the potential energy surface.

Excepting structure 13, all structures of the π -complexes are very similar energetically. Upon the assistance of a nucleophilic species, i.e. the acetyl chloride, one could finally obtain the corresponding hydrogen bonded σ -complex (16) as a true minimum at the potential energy surface. The σ -complex (16), is 10.6 kcal/mol higher in energy in relation to the π complex 11, and 9.2 kcal/mol less table than 12, this being an endothermic process. This is once again the opposite of what is observed for nitration, where this step is quite exothermic. The σ -complex (16) is eventually converted to protonated final product 18, through the transition state 17. The final product corresponds to the protonated acetophenone hydrogen bonded to the acetyl chloride, and this step is exothermic by 10.6 kcal/mol in relation to the π -complex and 37.5 kcal in relation to the σ -complex (Fig. 6).

These results for this particular system suggest shifts in the general picture of the mechanism for electrophilic aromatic



Scheme 3 Concerted mechanism for electrophilic aromatic acylation

Fig. 5 Optimized geometries of π -complexes interacting with acetyl chloride. Relative energies are given in kcal/mol, and refer to ΔH^{298} (ΔG^{298} in brackets)



substitution. According to these results, second order on the acyl chloride and primary isotope effects or general base catalysis should be observed, conversely to what has been widely proposed in the textbooks [27]. Actually, experimental primary isotope effects for the reaction of a $CH_3CH_2CO^+$. $Sb_2F_{11}^-$ salt with benzene and related systems have been reported [28–31], as well as complex kinetics, where second order on the acyl chloride-AlCl₃ complex is observed [32]. This fully agrees with the predictions from our calculations. We would like to stress that **SET does not take place** in this type of S_EAr involving the carbon atom as electrophile, as could also be anticipated by the differences between ionization potentials of acetyl radical (7.0 eV [33]) and benzene (9.24372 ± 0.00005 eV [25]), which predicts that this process

would be endothermic by 51.7 kcal/mol (experimental). This agrees very well with the value of 51.3 kcal/mol computed at M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level.

Computations at the same level of theory, i.e., M06-2X/6– 311++G(d,p) level of key intermediates (π - and σ -complexes), considering the acetyl cation interacting with other substituted aromatic rings in the gas phase were carried out. There is an apparent correlation between the experimental ionization energy (IE) of the aromatic compound and the computed distance between the electrophilic carbon of the acetyl cation (Table 1), as well as the acetyl bond angle (Fig. 7). The charge-transfer capacity of the aromatic ring plays a role in the capacity of forming the σ -complex. Actually, a continuous between the σ - and π -complexes seems to exist, depending



Fig. 6 Optimized geometries of the TS for electrophilic addition, σ -complex, transition state for deprotonation and reaction product. Relative energies are given in kcal/mol, and refer to ΔH^{298} and ΔG^{298} in brackets

Table 1: ExperimentalIonization energies (IE) of severalaromatic compounds and theircorrelation with the distances andangles in complexes between theacetyl cation and substituted



| Aromatic Nucleophile | Ionization Energy, IE (eV) [34] | IE(ArH) - IE(Ac [•]) (kcal/mol) | $H_{3}C-C \equiv O^{+}$ Angle, θ (°) | C C distance, R (Angstroms) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| Acetyl | 7.0 | 0,00 | | |
| benzene | 9.24372 | 51.74 | 167.4 | 2.797 |
| toluene | 8.828 | 42.15 | 145.0 | 2.206 |
| p-xylene | 8.44 | 33.21 | 141.2 | 2.108 |
| mesitylene | 8.4 | 32.28 | 128.4 | 1.695 |
| durene | 8.06 | 24.44 | 130.9 | 1.794 |
| 1,2,3,4-trimethylbenzene | 8.14 | 26.29 | 129.8 | 1.750 |
| pentamethylbenzene | 7.92 | 21.22 | 128.5 | 1.715 |
| hexamethylbenzene | 7.85 | 19.60 | 123.7 | 1.597 |
| pentafluorbenzene | 9.63 | 60.65 | 174.9 | 2.942 |
| hexafluorbenzene | 9.9 | 66.88 | 176.9 | 3.088 |

Fig. 7 Correlation between experimental ionization energies of several substituted aromatics with selected geometrical parameters



on the nucleophilicity of the aromatic ring. Thus, this may indicate that the mechanism of the Friedel-Crafts reaction can be both the base assisted one, for low nucleophilicity aromatics, such as benzene, affording substantial isotope effects and complex kinetics, indicating that the deprotonation is rate determining, whereas highly nucleophilic aromatics can follow the traditional stepwise mechanism. In the case the aromatic ring is electron rich, which is reflected in its relatively low potential ionization energy, there is much chargetransfer to the acetyl cation, which then gets closer to the aromatic ring, eventually forming a σ -complex as intermediate, without aid from an external base. This also leads to larger degree of bending of the acetyl cation. This would afford the classical picture, in which the electrophile addition to the ring, without assistance of a base, would evolve to a σ -complex, which is quickly deprotonated in a subsequent step.

Conclusions

Thus, the S_EAr may be rationalized as a continuum mechanistic, where stronger electrophiles, such as H⁺, primary and methyl cation, react with the aromatic ring without going through π -complex [35–38], while weaker electrophiles would not be strong enough to disrupt the benzene aromaticity. In this case, the substitution on the ring requires the assistance of a base in either a concerted or base-assisted mechanism, as originally proposed by Ingold [1] (Scheme 1A). Additionally to these two extremes, there are the cases where oxidizing electrophiles are involved, which would react through a SET mechanism (Scheme 1C). Thus a very rich mechanistic universe is possible. Further studies are necessary to establish its boundaries aiming to organize this whole universe as a single mechanistic model for this amazing class of reactions.

Computational details

Geometry optimizations were performed by the Gaussian 09 package [39] using M06-2X hybrid functional [40] with the $6-311++G^{**}$ basis set. The optimized geometries were characterized as minima on the potential energy surface by the absence of imaginary vibrational frequencies, whereas the transition states were characterized by the presence of one imaginary frequency. In order to evaluate whether the transition states found correspond to the reaction studied, intrinsic reaction coordinates calculations (IRC) were carried out. Energies correspond to enthalpies at 298 K and 1 atm.

Acknowledgements This work is dedicated to the Prof. George A. Olah in the occasion of his 90th Anniversary. Work was financially supported by CNPq, FAPERJ, CAPES.

References

- 1. Hughes ED, Ingold CK, Reed RI (1946) Nature 158:448
- 2. Melander L (1949) Acta Chem Scand 3:95
- 3. Melander L (1949) Nature 163:599
- 4. Benesi HA, Hildebrand JH (1949) J Am Chem Soc 71:2703
- Christen M, Koch W, Simon W, Zollinger H (1962) Helv Chim Acta 45:2077
- 6. Hassel O, Stromme KO (1958) Acta Chem Scand 12:1146
- 7. Holman RW, Gross ML (1989) J Am Chem Soc 111:3560
- V. A. Koptyug (1984) Contemporary problems in carbonium ion chemistry III, Vol. 122, Springer Berlin / Heildelberg
- 9. Shteingarts VD (1981) Russ Chem Rev 50:735

- Esteves PM, Carneiro JWDM, Cardoso SP, Barbosa AGH, Laali KK, Rasul G, Prakash GKS, Olah GA (2003) J Am Chem Soc 125: 4836
- 11. Chen Z, Mo Y (2013) J Chem Theor Comp 9:4428
- T. Brink, M. Liljenberg (2016) in Arene Chemistry: Reaction Mechanisms and Methods for Aromatic Compounds, J. Mortier (Ed.), Wiley, Chapter 4, pg 83
- 13. Kim EK, Bockman TM, Kochi JK (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115: 3091
- Davlieva MG, Lindeman SV, Neretin IS, Kochi JK (2005) J Org Chem 70:4013–4021
- 15. Kochi JK (1992) Acc Chem Res 25:39
- Queiroz JFD, Carneiro JWDM, Sabino AA, Sparrapan R, Eberlin MN, Esteves PM (2006) J. Org. Chem. 71:6192
- 17. Moodie RB, Schofield K (1976) Acc Chem Res 9:287
- 18. Rosokha SV, Kochi JK (2002) J Org Chem 67:1727
- 19. Vasilyev AV, Lindeman SV, Kochi JK (2002) New J Chem 26:582
- A. V. Vasilyev, S. V. Lindeman, J. K. Kochi (2001) Chem. Commun 909
- Cacace F, Crestoni ME, Fornarin S (1992) J Am Chem Soc 114: 6776
- 22. Heidrich D (2002) Angew Chem 114:3343
- 23. Heidrich D (2002) Angew Chem Int Ed 41:3208
- 24. Houle FA, Beauchamp JL (1979) J Am Chem Soc 101:4067
- Chewter LA, Sander M, Muller-Dethlets K, Schlag EW (1987) J Chem Phys 86:4737
- 26. P. M. Esteves, M. N. Eberlin, unpublished results
- Smith MB, March J (2007) March's advanced organic chemistry: reactions, mechanism and structure, sixth edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey
- 28. Olah GA, Lukas J, Lukas E (1969) J Am Chem Soc 91:5319

- 29. Grovenstein E, Aprahamian NS (1962) J Am Chem Soc 84:212
- 30. Miller LL, Watkins BF (1976) J Am Chem Soc 98:1515
- 31. Effenberger F, Maier AH (2001) J Am Chem Soc 123:3429
- Hoomaert G, Slootmaekers PJ (1968) Bull Soc Chim Belges 77: 295
- Lias SG, Bartmess JE, Liebman JF (1988) J Phys Chem Ref Data 17(Suppl. 1):1
- 34. Webbook chemistry database (webbook.nist.gov) and references therein.
- 35. Kuck D (1990) Mass Spectrom Rev 9:583
- Miklis PC, Ditchiffeld R, Spencer TA (1998) J Am Chem Soc 120: 10482
- Olah GA, Schlosberg RH, Porter RD, Mo YK, Kelley DP, Gheorghe D, Mateescu J (1972) Am Chem Soc 94:2034
- 38. Sieber S, Schleyer PVR, Gauss J (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115:6987
- 39. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, 2009.
- 40. Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2008) Theor Chem Accounts 120:215