

## Erratum to: Stability of noisy Metropolis–Hastings

F. J. Medina-Aguayo<sup>1</sup> · A. Lee<sup>1</sup> · G. O. Roberts<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 17 May 2017  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

### Erratum to: Stat Comput (2016) 26:1187–1211 DOI 10.1007/s11222-015-9604-3

The statement of Proposition 4.1 is incorrect. We present the corrected result with its proof.

**Proposition 4.1** *Assume (P1), (P2), (W4), (W5). Alternatively, assume (P1\*), (P2) and (W5). Then, there exists  $D_k > 0$  and  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,*

$$\|\tilde{\pi}_N(\cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \leq D_k \frac{\log(N)}{N^{\frac{\tau}{2+k}}},$$

where  $\tau = k$  if  $k \in (0, 1)$  and  $\tau = \frac{1+k}{2}$  if  $k \geq 1$ . If in addition (W5) holds for all  $k > 0$ , then for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/6)$  there will exist  $D_\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$ ,

$$\|\tilde{\pi}_N(\cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \leq D_\varepsilon \frac{\log(N)}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}.$$

*Proof* The proof is identical to the original version up to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\tilde{P}_N(x, \cdot) - P(x, \cdot)\|_{TV} \\ \leq 3\delta + \frac{2^{3+k}}{\delta^{1+k}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_{x,N} - 1 \right|^{1+k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequality for i.i.d random variables (see, e.g., Gut 2012, Chapter 3, Corollary 8.2), there exists  $B_k < \infty$  such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_{x,N} - 1 \right|^{1+k} \right] \leq B_k \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_x - 1 \right|^{1+k} \right] N^{-\tau},$$

where

$$\tau = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \in (0, 1) \\ \frac{1+k}{2} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\tilde{P}_N(x, \cdot) - P(x, \cdot)\|_{TV} \\ \leq 3\delta + \frac{2^{3+k} B_k}{\delta^{1+k} N^\tau} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_x - 1 \right|^{1+k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first part of the result follows from the original proof by taking

$$C_k = B_k \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| W_x - 1 \right|^{1+k} \right]$$

and considering  $N^\tau$  instead of  $N^k$ .

For the second claim, take  $k_\varepsilon \geq (2\varepsilon)^{-1} - 2 \geq 1$  and apply the first part.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** The authors are deeply grateful to Daniel Rudolf for pointing out the mistake and contributing towards the correction.

## References

Gut, A.: Probability: A Graduate Course. Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer, New York (2012). <https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=9TmRgPg-6vgC>

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s11222-015-9604-3.

✉ F. J. Medina-Aguayo  
f.j.medina-aguayo@warwick.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Statistics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK