



Coronal Heating by MHD Waves

Tom Van Doorselaere¹  · Abhishek K. Srivastava² · Patrick Antolin³ ·
Norbert Magyar⁴ · Soheil Vasheghani Farahani⁵ · Hui Tian^{6,7} · Dmitrii Kolotkov^{4,8} ·
Leon Ofman^{9,10} · Mingzhe Guo^{11,1} · Iñigo Arregui^{12,13} · Ineke De Moortel^{14,15} ·
David Pascoe¹

Received: 14 July 2020 / Accepted: 12 November 2020 / Published online: 2 December 2020
© Springer Nature B.V. 2020

Abstract The heating of the solar chromosphere and corona to the observed high temperatures, imply the presence of ongoing heating that balances the strong radiative and thermal conduction losses expected in the solar atmosphere. It has been theorized for decades that the required heating mechanisms of the chromospheric and coronal parts of the active regions, quiet-Sun, and coronal holes are associated with the solar magnetic fields. However, the exact physical process that transport and dissipate the magnetic energy which ultimately leads to the solar plasma heating are not yet fully understood. The current understanding

Oscillatory Processes in Solar and Stellar Coronae
Edited by Valery M. Nakariakov, Dipankar Banerjee, Bo Li, Tongjiang Wang, Ivan Zimovets and Maurizio Falanga

✉ T. Van Doorselaere
tom.vandoorselaere@kuleuven.be

- ¹ Centre for mathematical Plasma Astrophysics, Department of Mathematics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B bus 2400, 3001 Leuven, Belgium
- ² Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology (BHU), Varanasi 221005, India
- ³ Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 8ST, UK
- ⁴ Centre for Fusion, Space and Astrophysics, Physics Department, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK
- ⁵ Department of Physics, Tafresh University, Tafresh 39518 79611, Iran
- ⁶ School of Earth and Space Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
- ⁷ Key Laboratory of Solar Activity, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China
- ⁸ Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics SB RAS, Irkutsk 664033, Russia
- ⁹ Department of Physics, Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA
- ¹⁰ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA
- ¹¹ Institute of Space Sciences, Shandong University, Weihai 264209, People's Republic of China
- ¹² Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, 38205, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

of coronal heating relies on two main mechanisms: reconnection and MHD waves that may have various degrees of importance in different coronal regions. In this review we focus on recent advances in our understanding of MHD wave heating mechanisms. First, we focus on giving an overview of observational results, where we show that different wave modes have been discovered in the corona in the last decade, many of which are associated with a significant energy flux, either generated in situ or pumped from the lower solar atmosphere. Afterwards, we summarise the recent findings of numerical modelling of waves, motivated by the observational results. Despite the advances, only 3D MHD models with Alfvén wave heating in an unstructured corona can explain the observed coronal temperatures compatible with the quiet Sun, while 3D MHD wave heating models including cross-field density structuring are not yet able to account for the heating of coronal loops in active regions to their observed temperature.

Keywords Sun: corona · Sun: waves

1 Introduction

Coronal heating is a long-standing problem. It is quite clear that the energy for the hot corona comes from the convective motions of the solar photosphere, and that the magnetic field plays a key role in it. However, how the energy is transported and dissipated is still not fully understood. Proposed heating mechanisms are classified based on the comparison of the convective time scales and the Alfvén transit time in the corona. Slow driving of the magnetic field that produces reconnection and energy release in current sheets and null points, is referred to as DC heating mechanisms (Parker 1986). Fast driving of the magnetic field, is known as MHD wave heating (e.g. Alfvén 1947) and AC heating mechanisms.

DC heating mechanisms consider the slow stressing of the coronal magnetic field. It leads to current sheets where Ohmic dissipation is at work, or to Parker’s idea of nanoflares. In the latter idea, the magnetic field is tangled because of the photospheric motions, so that it evolves into a non-potential state. This magnetic energy is then released into the plasma by reconnection, resulting in localised heating and thus nanoflares. DC heating mechanisms have received a lot of attention over the years in numerical modelling and observations (e.g. Gudiksen and Nordlund 2005; Reep et al. 2013; Rempel 2017; Warnecke et al. 2017; Srivastava et al. 2019).

In this review, we focus on AC heating mechanisms. In these heating mechanisms, the convective motions launch disturbances that travel into the corona, usually in the form of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves. In the corona, the wave energy has to be dissipated to heat the plasma. This is non-trivial, because many wave modes damp only on resistive time scales in a homogeneous plasma, and damping times are proportional to the magnetic Reynolds number. In the solar corona, the Reynolds number is very large, on the order of 10^{14} , which would produce unrealistically long heating times, compared to the coronal cooling timescale. Cross-field inhomogeneity enables physical processes that produce a cascade of wave energy to small spatial scales where dissipative processes may act and heat the

¹³ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, 38206, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

¹⁴ School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, KY16 9SS, UK

¹⁵ Rosseland Centre for Solar Physics, University of Oslo, PO Box 1029 Blindern, 0315 Oslo, Norway

plasma more rapidly. In recent years, there has been a drive towards (1) observational characterisation of wave energy content in the corona, and (2) numerical modelling of wave heating, focusing on the energy input, the energy propagation and the energy dissipation. Here, we aim to give an overview of these recent results.

For material beyond the current review, we refer the reader to, for example, Aschwanden (2019).

1.1 Brief Historical Overview

The idea for heating the solar corona by MHD waves has been around for more than half a century. An overview of the early ideas before the 80s can be found in Kuperus et al. (1981). In those years, it was realised that it is necessary to generate small scales in order to damp the wave energy in a timely manner. Heyvaerts and Priest (1983) developed the theory of phase mixing, while resonant absorption (Chen and Hasegawa 1974) was applied to the corona for the first time by Ionson (1978). Subsequently, the MHD wave energy input and transmission into coronal loops was studied in key papers such as Hollweg (1984). Later on, the efficiency of the heating by resonant absorption was calculated in 1D numerical models of loops (Poedts et al. 1990, among others), and in 3D models (Ofman et al. 1994, and follow-up works), even resulting in forward modelled coronal loops (Belien et al. 1996), motivated by early high resolution soft X-ray observations of coronal loops that became available from the Yohkoh satellite.

In hindsight, it is amazing that these early papers worked so well and were so relevant, given that no direct observational evidence was available back then on the presence of MHD waves in the solar corona. Indeed, although substantial, only indirect evidence existed of the potentially important role of MHD waves in the solar atmosphere. Such evidence was based on the strong emission and broad non-thermal line widths in the upper chromosphere, transition region and corona with observations from Skylab (Feldman et al. 1988) and HRTS (High-Resolution Telescope and Spectrograph, Dere and Mason 1993). This changed dramatically with the launch of SOHO (Solar and Heliospheric Observatory) and TRACE (Transition Region And Coronal Explorer). Data from the former were used to show the presence of slow waves in the corona (Chae et al. 1998; Ofman et al. 1999; Berghmans and Clette 1999), while data from the latter revealed the presence of transverse, post-flare loop oscillations (Nakariakov et al. 1999; Schrijver et al. 1999; Aschwanden et al. 1999). An overview of the contextualisation of earlier models with those observational findings is given in Walsh and Ireland (2003), Ofman (2005).

LCR models (named after the usual symbols in electric components for inductance L, capacitance C and resistance R) were also developed extensively for MHD waves in coronal loops (see Stepanov et al. 2012, and reference therein). In such models, the magnetic twist in the loop behaves as a current system with its source in the photosphere and equivalent electric resistivity and inductance in the corona (see e.g., Spicer 1977; Carlqvist 1979). However, it is still unclear how this LCR model relates to MHD models of coronal loop oscillations (based on e.g. Edwin and Roberts 1983). Moreover, since no development of these models was made in the last years, we will omit them from this review.

1.2 Observational Motivation

Starting with the advent of high resolution space-based observations of the solar corona in soft X-ray and EUV in the 90s, there is now an avalanche of new MHD wave modes

detected in the solar corona, as described in detail by De Moortel and Nakariakov (2012). Their consequences for heating the corona are discussed in Arregui (2015).

Another major paradigm change in our perception of MHD waves in the solar atmosphere came from ground-based observations with *CoMP* (Tomczyk et al. 2007), which showed the omnipresence of these waves in the solar corona, and spectroscopic and imaging from space with *Hinode*, which, thanks to its high resolution, allowed to better quantify the amount of energy available for the corona (De Pontieu et al. 2007). Moreover, *SDO/AIA* revealed the existence of many new wave modes and made more detailed observations of previously observed coronal waves (for a review, see Liu and Ofman 2014).

The improvement in spatial, spectral and temporal resolution of instrumentation also meant that MHD waves could be characterised in terms of their slow, fast or Alfvén nature, as well as their wave numbers (see the review by De Moortel and Nakariakov 2012). Indeed, high spatial resolution and high sensitivity allows to distinguish transverse motions of the waveguides as well as the compressibility of the gas from density diagnostics, while high spectral and temporal resolution allows to relate these quantities to the evolution of the Doppler and non-thermal velocities, thereby determining the 3D motion of the plasma produced by the waves (see e.g. Fujimura and Tsuneta 2009; Kitagawa et al. 2010; Wang 2016; *Hinode* Review Team et al. 2019, Chap. 6.1).

Lastly, multi-wavelength observations with instruments such as those of *Hinode* and *IRIS*, or through coordinated observations with space and ground-based observatories allowed to simultaneously scan several layers of the solar atmosphere. Although a complicated task, this provides the propagation history of the wave, which is essential to determine wave processes such as reflection and refraction, and in particular mode conversion and dissipation of MHD waves for coronal heating (Arregui 2015).

2 Observations

Below, we describe recent detections of wave power in the solar atmosphere. We summarise the energy fluxes in Table 1 to give a clear overview.

2.1 Impulsively Excited Standing Kink Waves


Often flares and low coronal eruptions (LCEs) are seen to excite standing kink waves in coronal loops (Zimovets and Nakariakov 2015). Goddard et al. (2016a) and Nechaeva et al. (2019) performed a statistical study of these types of events and found that the loops oscillate with an amplitude between 1-10 Mm and periods between 1-28 min. While the coronal impulsive event that causes the oscillations is apparent, the exact mechanism for their excitation is not well understood. Several possibilities were investigated in the literature: internal and external (gas or magnetic) pressure drivers (Terradas et al. 2007; McLaughlin and Ofman 2008; Pascoe et al. 2009; Selwa and Ofman 2009; Pascoe and De Moortel 2014), loop contraction (Russell et al. 2015; Pascoe et al. 2017), or collision of flows (Antolin et al. 2018a; Pagano et al. 2019, even though these would result in propagating waves). More information about observations of impulsively excited standing waves can be found in the review by Nakariakov et al. (2020).

The energy available in impulsively excited standing waves and the fraction that is dissipated remains to be characterised from observations. An energy analysis based on bulk plane Alfvén waves is too simplistic because kink mode energy is localised in space (Goossens et al. 2013). Magnetic and plasma structures act as frequency filters, trapping part of the

Table 1 Overview of detected wave energy fluxes. The first column shows the structure in the solar atmosphere with the observed wave mode (second column) and the observing instrument (third column). The fourth column shows the estimated energy flux, as found by the reference mentioned in the fifth column. If a cell is left empty, the value from the previous line are meant

Structure	Wave mode	Instrument	Energy flux (W m^{-2})	Reference
coronal arcade	propagating kink	CoMP	100	Tomczyk et al. (2007)
coronal funnels	quasi-periodic fast wave trains	AIA	$(0.1 - 2.6) \times 10^4$	Liu et al. (2011)
		simulations	3.7×10^5	Ofman et al. (2011)
		AIA	1.8×10^2	Ofman and Liu (2018)
magnetic pores	Alfvén waves propagating slow sausage	ROSA	1.5×10^4 (locally) 240 (globally averaged)	Jess et al. (2009)
		DST	3.5×10^4	Grant et al. (2015)
fibrils	propagating kink	ROSA	4.3×10^3	Morton et al. (2012)
	propagating fast sausage		1.17×10^4	
spicules	Alfvén waves	SST	10^5	Srivastava et al. (2017)
	kink		$10^2 - 10^4$	

Fig. 1 Spatial distribution of the Poynting vector in the (r, z) -plane around the resonant position at $r/a = 1$ for a standing kink mode in a loop with a density contrast of 10 and a non-uniform layer of length $l/a = 0.2$. The magnetic Reynolds number is $R_m = 10^6$. Figure modified from Arregui et al. (2011)



available energy and distributing it along and across the coronal field. Wave energy propagation, once filtered by the structure is localised in space. Energy flows into the resonance because of the jump in the radial component of the Poynting vector (Arregui et al. 2011), as shown in Fig. 1. Then, it propagates and dissipates along the field in a way determined by the density profile and dissipative coefficients.

Terradas and Arregui (2018) considered each part of the sequence of physical processes that would enable to heat a typical coronal waveguide from the energy contained in a typical transverse oscillation. Each part of the sequence has its characteristic time and spatial scales. The damping time is determined by the cross-field plasma and field structuring. The energy cascade to small scales is determined by a phase mixing length that also depends

on the cross-field variation of the Alfvén speed. The onset of resistive dissipation and its duration depend on the Reynolds number and the cross-field plasma variation. Considering typical values of these quantities we cannot expect resistive diffusion to operate during the oscillation process. Any observational evidence about wave heating by resistive damping of impulsively excited standing waves will come from the observation of indirect consequences. According to (Terradas and Arregui 2018), for a loop displacement of the order of the radius and typical loop parameters, all the kinetic and magnetic energy of a typically observed single kink mode is of the order of 10^{19} J. Simple energy conservation calculations indicate that, even if we were able to concentrate in a typical resonant layer and transform into internal energy all the kinetic and magnetic energy of a typically observed single kink mode, we could just obtain a temperature increase of about 10^5 K (Terradas and Arregui 2018).

When an ensemble of mini-tubes are considered, filling factors can be employed (Van Doorselaere et al. 2014), which leads to an energy flux reduction directly related to the filling factor. Although resonant damping and mode coupling are robust in coronal loop models with rather arbitrary continuous plasma distributions, the multi-strand structure of loops could be quickly destroyed due to instabilities in individual strands and their interaction (Magyar and Van Doorselaere 2016).

2.2 CoMP Waves

Prevalent propagating waves were reported (Tomczyk et al. 2007; McIntosh et al. 2011) from observations of both the Coronal Multi-channel Polarimeter (CoMP, Tomczyk et al. 2008) and the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA, Lemen et al. 2012) on board the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). Using CoMP observations, Tomczyk et al. (2007) found ubiquitous upward propagating disturbances along off-limb coronal loops in the Doppler shift of the Fe XIII 10747 Å line (Fig. 2). Since the Doppler shift refers to the motion along the line of sight (LOS) and the magnetic field lines in the off-limb corona are largely perpendicular to the LOS, these disturbances are essentially signatures of transverse MHD waves. The propagation speed was found to be from a few hundred km s^{-1} to 2000 km s^{-1} , which is on the order of the coronal Alfvén speed. Based on these characteristics, Tomczyk et al. (2007) and Tomczyk and McIntosh (2009) interpreted these transverse waves as Alfvén waves. They found a power-law spectrum of the Doppler shift with a spectral index of about 1.5, consistent with isotropic MHD turbulence. The power spectrum of the observations shows a peak around 3.2 mHz (period of 5 minutes). The velocity amplitude is only 0.5 km s^{-1} , and the energy flux of these waves appears to be at least three orders of magnitude lower than that required to balance the radiative losses of the quiet corona. The low-amplitude is likely due to the low spatial resolution of CoMP ($\sim 9''$) and the line-of-sight integration resulting in spatial averaging of the waves. Similar results were also found in open-field regions (Morton et al. 2015). By carefully analyzing the displacement of coronal structures observed by the much higher-resolution AIA instrument ($\sim 1.5''$), McIntosh et al. (2011) found much larger velocity amplitudes, i.e., $\sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, for these transverse waves. They estimated the energy flux of the waves as $\sim 100 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ and found that the waves have sufficient energy to power the quiet corona and fast solar wind. However, the observed wave energy flux is still much lower than that required to heat the active region corona ($\sim 2000 \text{ W m}^{-2}$).

The interpretation of these waves as Alfvén waves was controversial. As argued by Van Doorselaere et al. (2008), in cylindrical plasma structures these waves appear to be more appropriately interpreted as fast-mode kink waves rather than Alfvén waves. However, others claimed that these waves could be called Alfvénic waves as the major restoring force





Fig. 2 Images of the Fe XIII 10747 Å line intensity and the wave propagation angles derived from the Doppler shift of Fe XIII 10747 Å in part of the corona observed by CoMP. Adapted from Tomczyk and McIntosh (2009)

for these waves is definitely magnetic tension (Goossens et al. 2009; McIntosh et al. 2011), they carry parallel vorticity (Goossens et al. 2012) and they are inherently coupled to the local azimuthal Alfvén waves (Pascoe et al. 2010). This mode is also called surface Alfvén wave or resonantly damped kink mode. At the end, the heating will come from azimuthal Alfvén wave dissipation. The global eigen-mode just plays the role of trapping/channelling the energy from around/below. A well defined structure is not needed, as shown by Terradas et al. (2008b), Rial et al. (2010), Pascoe et al. (2011).

Despite the debate on the nature and terminology for these waves, the discovery of these propagating transverse waves has caught the attention of many researchers in the field. There are at least two reasons for this. First, they allow us to map the global coronal magnetic field based on the technique of coronal seismology (Magyar and Van Doorselaere 2018; Anfinogentov and Nakariakov 2019; Yang et al. 2020a,b). Second, their ubiquity means they could potentially play an important role in coronal heating. The observed waves show a discrepancy between the outward and inward wave power (Tomczyk and McIntosh 2009), a property that resonantly damped wave models seem to capture well (Verth et al. 2010; Pascoe et al. 2010), and that is also observed in-situ in the solar wind (see the review by Bruno and Carbone 2013). A recent study by Montes-Solís and Arregui (2020) considers a distinct power generated at loop foot-points as an additional source of discrepancy. It shows that, if present, it would affect obtaining quantitative evidence for resonant damping.

The origin of the waves detected by CoMP has recently been linked to the Sun's internal acoustic oscillations, and in particular to p-modes. Indeed, Morton et al. (2019) have shown that there is a distinct excess of wave power at the usual p-mode frequency of 3 – 5 mHz, across the solar cycle and different coronal structures (or regions) in the Sun (Morton et al. 2016). The authors further show that the usual Alfvénic fluctuations observed with SDO/AIA (McIntosh et al. 2011) have the same characteristics in their power spectra as the CoMP waves. Evidently, p-modes contain orders of magnitude more power than required for

Fig. 3 Decayless oscillations of coronal loops seen in the loop displacement, peak flux and loop width estimated from the AIA 171 Å images. Adapted from Wang et al. (2012)



coronal heating. The physical mechanism proposed to explain the ubiquity of these waves is the double mode conversion process of p-modes during their propagation across the low atmospheric layers, during which their energy is transferred to Alfvénic modes (Cally and Hansen 2011; Felipe 2012; Cally 2017). A strong consequence of this is that solar and stellar coronae have a non-negligible energy source in their internal acoustic oscillations. Although direct observations of this process are still lacking, these results also suggest that p-modes may play a role in the onset of standing or propagating Alfvénic modes.


2.3 Decayless Oscillations

Decayless oscillations normally refer to standing waves without obvious observed damping in coronal loops. In coronal lines,¹ these oscillations were first reported independently by Wang et al. (2012) and Tian et al. (2012) through imaging and spectroscopic observations, respectively. The transverse oscillations reported by Wang et al. (2012) from SDO/AIA observations appear to be triggered by a coronal mass ejection. They lasted for more than ten cycles and even revealed growing amplitudes (Fig. 3). Tian et al. (2012) performed a survey of decayless (referred to as “persistent” in their paper) Doppler shift oscillations using three-month spectroscopic observations from the EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS, Culhane et al. 2007) on board *Hinode*. They found that such decayless oscillations, with a period of 3–6 minutes and a velocity amplitude of 1–2 km s⁻¹, are very common in quiet coronal loops and can be observed in several coronal emission lines formed in the temperature range of 1.3–2 MK (Fig. 4). These oscillations generally reveal no obvious damping during the whole observed time interval, which often last for a few hours. They were interpreted as standing kink oscillations by these authors. Since 2012, these decayless oscillations have been frequently reported for a variety of different observations (e.g., Nisticò et al. 2013, 2014), and it was found that their periods scale with the loop length (Anfinogentov et al. 2015), strongly supporting the standing wave interpretation.

The decayless behavior of these waves suggests a continuous supply of energy to the system. Several different ideas have been proposed to maintain these decayless or persistent oscillations. For instance, Nakariakov et al. (2016) interpreted these decayless kink oscillations as a self-oscillatory process (a process in which the driver is a consequence of the oscillation itself, see Jenkins 2013), driven by interaction between the loops and quasi-steady flows at the loop footpoints. Antolin et al. (2016) have shown that the decayless pattern

¹Small amplitude decayless oscillations were also observed in a prominence with *Hinode*/SOT (Ning et al. 2009), and of significant and increasing amplitude in a coronal loop with rain (Antolin and Verwichte 2011). Due to the presence of chromospheric material and the associated processes specific to the formation of prominences and coronal rain, the processes responsible for such oscillations may likely be different than those observed in coronal lines.

Fig. 4 Decayless oscillations of quiet coronal loops seen in the Doppler shift of four coronal emission lines. The Y-axis is roughly aligned with the corona loop. Adapted from Tian et al. (2012)



could also be an apparent effect produced by the combination of low instrumental resolution and the periodic brightening generated by TWIKH rolls (Transverse Wave Induced Kelvin-Helmholtz rolls, cf. Sect. 3.3). In this interpretation, the decayless character reflects the low damping character of the azimuthal Alfvén waves resonantly coupled to the kink mode at the boundary layer. Another theory is through continued footpoint driving (Karamelas et al. 2017) providing an upward Poynting flux balancing the resonant and non-linear damping of the kink waves. Recent numerical experiments (Karamelas et al. 2019b; Guo et al. 2019b) reproduce the observations of decayless oscillations very well. More recently, Afanasyev et al. (2020) developed a one-dimensional and time-dependent analytical model by considering kink oscillations of coronal loops driven by random motions of loop footpoints. They have managed to reproduce a number of observational facts about these decayless kink oscillations, such as the quasi-monochromaticity, period-length relationship and excitation of multiple harmonics.

2.4 Available Energy in Quasi-Periodic Fast Mode Wave Trains

The high cadence and spatial resolution of SDO/AIA in EUV enabled the discovery of quasiperiodic fast mode propagating wave trains (QFPs) (see, Liu et al. (2010, 2011) and the review Liu and Ofman (2014)). The waves were observed to be associated with flares and propagate at a high speed of 1000–2000 km s⁻¹ with periods in the range of minutes and intensity variations $\delta I/I \sim 5\%$. The waves were modeled first by Ofman et al. (2011) using a 3D MHD model of a realistic bipolar active region structure and identified as fast-mode magnetoacoustic waves. Since the initial discovery, these waves were observed, studied, and modeled in many events associated with flares (see, e.g. Liu et al. 2012; Shen and Liu 2012; Shen et al. 2013, 2017, 2018; Yuan et al. 2013; Kumar and Manoharan 2013; Nisticò et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2015; Goddard et al. 2016b; Qu et al. 2017; Ofman and Liu 2018). Evidently, the QFPs carry some of the energy flux produced by the flares, and the observed dissipation of the QFP waves should result in coronal heating. The energy flux of the waves was estimated first using the parameters observed by SDO/AIA on 2010/08/01 by Liu et al.

(2011) and was found to be in the range $(0.1 - 2.6) \times 10^4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, which is of the same order as the steady-state heating requirement of active region loops. The QFP wave energy flux estimate was based on the WKB approximation given by $E = \rho \delta v^2 v_{ph} / 2 \geq \rho (\delta I / I)^2 v_{ph}^3 / 8$ (Aschwanden 2004), where the intensity of the line emission, $I \propto \rho^2$, and the phase speed v_{ph} were determined directly from SDO/AIA observations ($v_{ph} = 1600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and the number density is taken to be the typical coronal electron density $\sim 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The QFP wave trains lasted on the order of $\sim 0.5 \text{ hr}$ and were repeatedly produced in the 2010/08/01 event. However, the divergence of the magnetic funnel in the active region and apparent dissipation of the waves reduced the apparent energy flux away from the flaring source.

Ofman et al. (2011) used the 3D MHD model results in an idealized bipolar active region of QFP wave trains combined with the WKB approximation to estimate the energy flux as $E = \rho \delta v^2 V_f / 2 = 3.7 \times 10^5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$, where ρ is the coronal density, δv is the wave velocity amplitude, and V_f is the fast magnetoacoustic speed. The model energy flux was an order of magnitude greater than the direct estimate by Liu et al. (2011). However, the model estimate was based on idealized AR parameters in qualitative agreement with observations. In a recent study by Ofman and Liu (2018) of the double QFP event observed by SDO/AIA on 2013-5-22 the lower limit of the wave energy flux was estimated as $1.8 \times 10^2 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ high in the corona. The authors concluded that, taking into account coronal loop expansion, the wave energy flux could be at least one to two orders of magnitude higher near the source of the QFPs.

Statistical study of QFP waves indicates that these waves are quite common and often observed to be associated with C-class flares, although they can also result from stronger and weaker flares (Liu et al. 2016). Thus, combined with the challenging detection of these waves for lower energy flares, the contribution of QFP wave trains to coronal heating and to the energy flux requirement may be more significant than initially estimated. Thus, the problem of QFP wave train heating parallels the coronal heating problem by flares, where small energy flares (i.e., undetected nanoflares) are required for coronal heating and possibly their associated QFP waves are not directly detected (Aschwanden 2004).

2.5 Observations and Energy Estimates of MHD Waves in the Lower Solar Atmosphere

The lower solar atmosphere (photosphere and chromosphere) plays an important role in the transmission of wave energy from the solar interior to the corona. Therefore, the assessment of wave energy content in this layer is crucial in understanding the coronal heating problem. Moreover, it is well established that the solar chromosphere requires substantially more energy flux than the corona ($10^3 - 10^4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) to compensate for its huge radiative losses (Withbroe and Noyes 1977).

Recent observational findings from high-resolution ground (e.g., 1m-Swedish Solar Telescope, ROSA – Rapid Oscillations in the Solar Atmosphere) and space-based (e.g., IRIS – Interface Region Imaging Spectrometer, SDO – Solar Dynamics Observatory) observations reveal the presence of a high amount of energy flux associated with different MHD modes in a variety of magnetic structures coupling the various layers of the solar atmosphere.

In the photosphere, wave behaviour is found in pores and sunspots. Jess et al. (2009) reported the detection of Alfvén waves with periods of the order of 126-400 s associated with a large bright-point group. The energy flux associated with these wave modes is found to be $1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ in the chromosphere, which partially fulfills the chromospheric energy requirements. Using that 1.6% of the solar surface is observed to be covered by bright-points and assuming that they support such torsional waves with a 42% transmission coefficient

(which may be on the high side), Jess et al. (2009) have estimated the global average energy in the corona as 240 W m^{-2} , which is sufficient to heat it locally.

Grant et al. (2015) have detected upwardly propagating slow sausage waves in magnetic pores, which initially carry an energy flux of $3.5 \times 10^4 \text{ W m}^{-2}$. The sausage wave energetics show a substantial decrease up to the chromosphere. These observations make it evident that magnetic pores transport waves to the higher layers, while also releasing energy in the local chromospheric plasma. However, it is not well quantified how much wave energy undergoes mode conversion, reflection or refraction. Aside from the frequently observed sausage modes, higher order magnetoacoustic oscillations ($m \geq 1$) have also been observed in photospheric waveguides (e.g. Jess et al. 2017; Stangalini et al. 2018, and references cited there), including body and surface modes (Keys et al. 2018). Furthermore, Grant et al. (2018) presented evidence of Alfvén wave heating of the chromospheric plasma in an active region sunspot umbra. They showed the presence of mode conversion and the formation of magnetoacoustic shocks.

In conclusion, the random buffeting motions in the photosphere generate many MHD modes in the photospheric magnetic flux tubes along with a sufficient amount of energy flux. However, one has to bear in mind that these magnetic flux concentrations constitute only a small part of the photosphere, and it is unclear if these wave motions also propagate to the corona in quiet regions, where such photospheric magnetic flux tubes are less prevalent.

In the chromosphere, magnetic structures play a major role in guiding MHD waves. They are thus the prime structures in which waves are detected. In particular, Fig. 5 displays the results of Morton et al. (2012) who have observed the simultaneous presence of fast kink and sausage waves in mottles and fibrils using ROSA H_α observations, and found that they carry an average energy of respectively 4300 W m^{-2} , and 11700 W m^{-2} , once again stressing the large amount of energy present in the lower atmosphere.

Going from the chromosphere to the transition region, spicules and their TR counterparts show ample evidence of wave dynamics. Observations of swaying motions in spicules with high-resolution imaging instruments such as *Hinode*/SOT and IRIS have long been attributed to propagating Alfvénic waves (De Pontieu et al. 2007; Tian et al. 2014). The amplitudes of the swaying motions are usually of the order of $10 - 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, although a factor of 5 larger motions have also been observed (Antolin et al. 2018b). In general, 1 or 2 oscillations of the spicules can be captured before the structure disappears, and a wide range of reported periods of $100 - 500 \text{ s}$ and also high-frequency ($20 - 50 \text{ s}$ Okamoto and Pontieu 2011). Srivastava et al. (2017) showed the ubiquitous presence of high frequency ($\approx 12 - 42 \text{ mHz}$) torsional motions in spicular-type structures in the chromosphere (Fig. 6, left box). Their numerical model showed that these observations resemble torsional Alfvén waves associated with high frequency drivers containing a huge amount of energy ($\approx 10^5 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) in the chromosphere (Fig. 6, right-panel). It is important to note, however, that the observational signatures of TWIKH rolls can also explain these observations (Antolin et al. 2018b). Even after partial reflection from the transition region, Srivastava et al. (2017) found that a significant amount of energy ($\approx 10^3 \text{ W m}^{-2}$) is being transferred into the overlying corona, which is sufficient to compensate the coronal radiative losses (Fig. 6, right panel). The propagation speeds of waves in spicules are often difficult to measure, due to their short lifetimes, the combination of (upward/downward) propagating and standing waves (Okamoto and Pontieu 2011) and also due to the rapidly increasing Alfvén speed at the observing heights. On average, speeds of $200 - 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are reported in these works.

The swaying motions of spicules suggest a transverse displacement of a waveguide and therefore such motions are often interpreted as kink waves. However, caution is required when only imaging information is available, since torsional Alfvén waves may also produce




Fig. 5 Simultaneous wave modes in a chromospheric magnetic flux tube using ROSA H_{α} observations. Time-distance map ('b') exhibits the displacement of the tube's axis (red-dotted line) as a whole (kink waves), while its cross-section variation (sausage wave) is depicted by the yellow bars measuring the variation of tube's width. All measurement is done by Morton et al. (2012) from where this figure is adopted and displayed here. Kink wave respectively have period, upward propagation velocity, amplitude, and energy flux as ~ 232 s, ~ 71 km s^{-1} , ~ 5 km s^{-1} , 4300 W m^{-2} . While, the same for the observed sausage wave are given respectively as ~ 197 s, ~ 67 km s^{-1} , ~ 1 - 2 km s^{-1} , 11700 W m^{-2}

strand-like, swaying structures such as spicules (Antolin et al. 2018b). Moreover, additional to the swaying, torsional and longitudinal motions are observed in spicules (De Pontieu et al. 2012; Sekse et al. 2013), and are likely strongly connected to their generating mechanisms and to the nature of the wave. The nature of the Alfvénic wave (kink or torsional Alfvén wave) is important when trying to estimate the energy flux carried by the wave, since the collective or local nature distributes the wave energy differently across the waveguide (Van Doorselaere et al. 2014). Assuming a filling factor of 1 (a best case scenario), a typical spicule mass density of $8 - 16 \times 10^{-14}$ g cm^{-3} and based on the reported values, one can estimate the energy flux in swaying spicules as $0.1 - 3 \times 10^3$ W m^{-2} , with the strongest cases at $1 - 4 \times 10^4$ W m^{-2} . Usually, 3% of the energy is assumed to enter the corona, leading to average energy flux values of 100 W m^{-2} available for coronal heating, which is sufficient for the quiet Sun and for the acceleration of the solar wind (Tian et al. 2014).




Fig. 6 The spicular-type structure (top panel of the left-box) shows periodic reversal of the velocity (snapshot shown in the bottom-panel of the left-box) indicating the presence of torsional Alfvén wave. The numerical simulation (top-panel of the right-box) exhibits similar torsional motions in the model fluxtube, that carry substantial energy (bottom-panel of the right-box) through the solar chromosphere, TR, and corona (Credit: Srivastava et al. 2017)

2.6 Heating Function Assessment by Slow Waves

The presence of waves in the solar atmosphere may not only provide energy for heating the corona, but could also provide us with a tool to seismologically estimate the coronal heating function and the related dissipation coefficients, such as thermal conduction and viscosity (see the review by Wang et al. 2020, this issue). Here we forego that, more importantly, even the most basic property of the magnetized coronal plasma such as the magnetic field strength needed for any magnetically based heating function estimate is difficult to determine directly, and in some cases can only be estimated from coronal seismology. However, we keep this subject for the review of Nakariakov et al. 2020, this issue.

The impact on the dynamics of MHD waves of thermodynamic activity of the corona, i.e. processes of its continuous cooling via the optically thin radiation and thermal conduction, and resupply of energy by some yet unknown heating mechanism, was recently investigated by Nakariakov et al. (2017), Claes and Keppens (2019), Kolotkov et al. (2019, 2020). This allows for developing a new approach for a remote diagnostics of thermodynamic properties of the corona, including processes of its cooling and heating, by coronal seismology. For example, additional restrictions on the coronal heating mechanism can be obtained via accounting for perturbations of the thermal equilibrium of the corona by compressive, e.g. slow magnetoacoustic, waves. Indeed, assuming the plasma heating and cooling processes are some different functions of the plasma thermodynamic parameters, i.e. density and temperature, and potentially of the magnetic field too, both of them can be perturbed by the




Fig. 7 Dependence of the oscillation quality factor q on the density and temperature power indices used for parametrising the heating function as $H(\rho, T) \propto \rho^a T^b$, for the following values of the equilibrium parameters of the plasma: $T_0 = 6.3 \times 10^6$ K, $\rho_0 = 10^{-11}$ kg m $^{-3}$, loop length $L = 180 \times 10^6$ m, Spitzer conductivity $\kappa = 10^{-11} T_0^{5/2}$ W m $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$, mean particle mass $m = 0.6 \times 1.67 \times 10^{-27}$ kg, $k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ m 2 kg s $^{-1}$ K $^{-1}$, and $\gamma = 5/3$. The black and red contours show the regimes of damping (positive q) and amplification (negative q), respectively. The blue-shaded region shows values of a and b where the time scales of the heating/cooling misbalance τ_1 and τ_2 become negative, for which other thermal instabilities may occur (see Field 1965). The hatched region shows values of $q < 2$ for slow-mode oscillations detected in observations (Nakariakov et al. 2019). The yellow-shaded region outlines heating models which are stable to thermal instability and for which $q < 2$. Figure modified from Kolotkov et al. (2019)

waves. Such a wave-caused destabilisation of the initial thermal equilibrium leads to the onset of a *heating/cooling misbalance* acting as an additional natural mechanism for the energy exchange between the plasma and the wave.

Kolotkov et al. (2019) showed that it is convenient to use specific thermal misbalance time scales τ_1 and τ_2 connected to the rates of change of the net energy gain $H(\rho, T)$, and loss $L(\rho, T)$ including radiative cooling and field-aligned thermal conduction, through the function $Q(\rho, T) = L - H$ with the plasma density ρ and temperature T , $Q_T \equiv (\partial Q / \partial T)_\rho$ and $Q_\rho \equiv (\partial Q / \partial \rho)_T$, as $\tau_1 = \gamma C_V / [Q_T - (\rho_0 / T_0) Q_\rho]$ and $\tau_2 = C_V / Q_T$ with C_V being the specific heat capacity. With this, the dispersion relation for linear slow waves describes the evolution of two acoustic and one thermal (entropy-related) modes. The 3rd order dispersion relation can be solved numerically for the real, ω_R , and imaginary, ω_I , parts of a complex frequency ω , while allowing the value of ω_I to be comparable to ω_R . The q -factor (also known as quality factor) is computed as $q = \tau_D / P$, using the oscillation period $P = 2\pi \omega_R^{-1}$ and damping time $\tau_D = \omega_I^{-1}$.

Using the radiative cooling $L(\rho, T)$ from the CHIANTI atomic database (Dere et al. 1997, 2019), and a parametrised heating function $H(\rho, T) \propto \rho^a T^b$, Kolotkov et al. (2019) compute numerically the oscillation quality factor q as a function of the heating indices a and b . The results are displayed in Fig. 7 for different values of the heating power indices a and b and for the equilibrium parameters corresponding to hot and dense post-flare coronal loops. Direct comparison of the values of q shown in Fig. 7 with those usually seen in observations of slow magnetoacoustic oscillations of coronal loops (see e.g. Nakariakov et al. 2019, for the most recent statistical survey) by e.g. SOHO/SUMER and Yohkoh/BCS,

shows that the heating models with a and b approximately delineated by a triangle with vertices $(-3.5, -4)$, $(0, -0.5)$, and $(5, -4)$ in Fig. 7, excluding the regions of the thermal mode instability, could be responsible for the observed rapid damping of slow waves in the corona (Wang 2011). Thus, the proof-of-concept of Kolotkov et al. (2019) show that slow waves can indeed serve to put limits on (power indices of) the coronal heating function.

The discussed misbalance between heating and cooling processes in plasma can cause additional phase shifts between density and temperature perturbations in slow waves, thus affecting, for example, estimates of the effective polytropic index. In particular, Zavershinskii et al. (2019) demonstrated analytically that the polytropic index, a coefficient linking the slow wave phase speed with the plasma temperature, can vary non-monotonically with temperature due to the effect of the thermal misbalance, so that it deviates from the adiabatic value $5/3$ to 1.4 – 3.2 . This can be a natural cause for higher values of the polytropic index at hotter plasma temperatures observed by Krishna Prasad et al. (2018), that cannot be explained by the classical Spitzer thermal conduction. Implication of these theoretical results for probing the corona is a promising future research avenue.


3 Models

3.1 Phase Mixing Models

As already pointed out by Cowling (1953) and Piddington (1956), classical resistive or viscous dissipation of the Alfvén wave energy is not efficient in a homogeneous magnetized plasma and this slow dissipation rate remains a key obstacle in more advanced present day wave-based heating models (see e.g. Arregui 2015; Cargill et al. 2016). The main problem is to rapidly transfer the wave energy from large to small length scales, where (classical) dissipation is effective. The concept of phase mixing as described by Heyvaerts and Priest (1983) aims to enhance this dissipation rate through the creation of small length scales in an inhomogeneous medium. Phase mixing occurs when a local gradient in the Alfvén speed is present; as waves propagate along field lines with different Alfvén speeds, the wave front turns and the waves become increasingly out of phase. This is shown graphically in Fig. 8, displaying results of McLaughlin et al. (2011). This process generates increasingly smaller length scales (across the background magnetic field) and hence, more efficient dissipation. Although resonant absorption and phase mixing are often discussed as individual mechanisms, there is a natural link between these mechanisms, as both rely on the presence of a variation in the local Alfvén speed profile (Soler and Terradas 2015). Hence, the small-scale oscillations in the resonant layer will naturally undergo phase mixing due to local variation in the Alfvén speed (see e.g. Ruderman et al. 1997a,b). However, the damping caused by phase mixing depends on the actual value of the resistivity, while the damping of resonant absorption does not (as resonant absorption itself is an ideal process). An extensive body of literature on both resonant absorption and phase mixing exist, for which we refer the interested reader to reviews by e.g. Aschwanden (2004), Goedbloed and Poedts (2004), Goossens et al. (2011).

Most theoretical (including computational) studies of phase mixing start from an equilibrium setup where a pre-existing profile in the Alfvén speed is present. Most often, this setup consists of a uniform background magnetic field, where a gradient in the density is balanced by a temperature variation to maintain pressure balance. Waves are then considered as perturbations of this equilibrium or injected through boundary driving. The process of phase

Fig. 8 Snapshot of Alfvén wave velocity component out of the plane, for a periodic driver on the bottom boundary. The figure displays the turning of the wave fronts due to phase mixing in the middle region with the largest variation of the Alfvén speed, and the associated phase mixing damping in the region where the turning is the strongest, is indicated with the vertical white dashed line. Figure taken from McLaughlin et al. (2011)



mixing will lead to the most efficient dissipation of the Alfvén waves (and hence strongest heating) where the gradient in the density (Alfvén speed) profile is steepest.

The self-consistency of heating by phase mixing of Alfvén waves was investigated in more detail by Cargill et al. (2016) by analysing the evolution of the density profile. These authors showed that although phase mixing increases the efficiency of the wave energy dissipation where gradients in the local Alfvén speed occur, the resulting heating in this basic model cannot self-consistently sustain the required density profile in closed loops. As the local density in closed loops is related to the magnitude of the heating (see e.g. Klimchuk 2006; Reale 2014), the phase mixing heating profile is not consistent with the heating profile to sustain the density profile. Cargill et al. (2016) also investigated whether feedback of the heating on the density profile through evaporation would be able to modify the local density (see also Ofman et al. 1998). Although some local structuring of the density profile occurred, it only happened on timescales longer than the cooling and draining timescales and hence, does not help address the efficiency problem of wave-heating. In addition, the authors point out that transport coefficients need to be substantially enhanced to obtain effective heating in the first place. Using MHD simulations including thermal conduction and optically thin radiation, Van Damme et al. (2020) for the first time modelled the feedback process through evaporation entirely self-consistently (i.e. without the use of scaling laws) and also found that Alfvén wave phase mixing only leads to modest heating in the shell regions of the loop, where the mass increase through evaporation is not sufficient to modify the phase mixing process.

If the imposed density structure is not compatible with the heating profile resulting from phase mixing, how then is this assumed equilibrium structure supported? Is an alternative heating mechanism present that is compatible with the density structuring? And if so, does that immediately imply that the wave-based heating (through phase mixing) is comparatively small?

In addition to requiring the presence of a variation in the local Alfvén speed profile, the phase mixing model as it was originally introduced by Heyvaerts and Priest (1983) implies the presence of an ignorable coordinate, a setup which might not be representative of the highly inhomogeneous solar atmosphere (Parker 1991; Ofman and Davila 1995), although approximately similar conditions may occur in coronal holes.

Pagano and De Moortel (2017) investigated the heating by phase mixing in a 3D numerical model of a boundary-driven flux tube. The flux tube is modelled as a cylindrical density enhancement in a uniform magnetic field and transverse displacements of the footpoint of the cylinder generate kink modes which, as they propagate along the flux tube, couple to

azimuthally polarised Alfvén modes in the boundary shell of the cylindrical flux tube. Due to the density gradient in the inhomogeneous boundary layer, the Alfvén waves phase mix but, even using (excessively) large values of magnetic resistivity and large-amplitude foot-point driving, the heating due to phase mixing was found to be insufficient to be relevant for coronal heating (i.e. to balance the expected losses through radiation and conduction), a conclusion similar to the remark made by Cargill et al. (2016) about the need to substantially enhance transport coefficients. By varying parameters such as the length of the non-uniform layer, the density structure, and the persistence of the driver, Pagano and De Moortel (2017) find that phase mixing of these propagating waves leads to temperature increases of the order of 10^5 K or less, a figure that is in agreement with the analytical estimate by Terradas and Arregui (2018), even though these were for standing waves.

When simulations are performed using 3 observed coronal loop oscillation harmonics as input, Pagano et al. (2018) found that the presence of these multiple harmonics causes drifting of the location of the heating. Still, the mechanism did not seem to provide enough energy to maintain the full thermal structure constrained by the observed coronal properties, and the multiple harmonics inhibited the formation of small scales. Pagano and De Moortel (2019) included an observed spectrum of transverse waves, using a boundary driver which consists of a series of 1000 superimposed random pulses was used drawn from a reconstructed spectrum. The results again indicate that it is unlikely that phase mixing of Alfvén waves generated by the observed power spectrum heats coronal loops, although, the waves could be important in the generation of small scales.

3.2 Alfvén Wave Heating Models

3.2.1 Alfvén Wave Induced Shock Heating

Alfvén waves have long been particularly attractive coronal heating candidates due to their ability to carry large amounts of energy throughout the solar atmosphere (Alfvén 1947; Uchida and Kaburaki 1974) and can potentially also lead to solar wind acceleration (Hollweg 1990; Ofman 2010). The Poynting flux upward from convective motions in magnetic concentrations at photospheric level is expected to be on the order of 10^6 W m⁻² (Parnell and Moortel 2012), although the strong magnetic expansion in the upper layers leads to an effective Poynting flux of 10^4 W m⁻² into the chromosphere. The ion-neutral friction due to the partial ionisation of the plasma in the chromosphere strongly damps the Alfvén waves, in particular the high-frequency spectrum. Combined with reflection, these effects lead to only a 1 – 3 % effective transmission rate into the corona (Soler et al. 2017, 2019), similar to estimated footpoint leakage of coronal waves (De Pontieu et al. 2001). Overall, the energy budget from torsional Alfvén waves generated in the photosphere is estimated to be on the order of 10^2 W m⁻² in the corona, which is just enough for the quiet Sun or coronal hole. The double mode conversion process from p-mode waves into Alfvénic waves in the chromosphere and transition region is expected to contribute similar energy rates (Morton et al. 2019).

Various models for Alfvén wave propagation in the solar atmosphere have a different nature depending on the region and wave guide under consideration. The geometry of the waves has been selected to be either planar (a.k.a. linear, e.g., Suzuki and Inutsuka 2005; Murawski and Musielak 2010) or azimuthal (a.k.a. circular, e.g., Zhugzhda 1996; Vasheghani Farahani et al. 2010; Wójcik et al. 2017). For instance Alfvén wave propagation of waves with linear polarisation may be more appropriate in the relatively diffuse coronal holes, while the circular polarisation may be more applicable for the propagation in

structures showing strong density contrast, such as solar jets, spicules, tornadoes, and loops (Vasheghani Farahani et al. 2011).

Alfvén waves need an efficient dissipation mechanism in order to play a dominant role in coronal heating. A first successful dissipative model was based on nonlinear mode conversion of these waves into compressive modes (evolving into shocks) due to the flux tube expansion (and associated centrifugal force) and the ponderomotive force (Hollweg et al. 1982; Lau and Siregar 1996; Ofman and Davila 1998; Antolin and Shibata 2010). This idea has persisted through the decades thanks to the accompanying ability to generate spicule-like excursions of material into the corona (Kudoh and Shibata 1999; Matsumoto and Shibata 2010; Arber et al. 2016; Brady and Arber 2016) and accelerate the solar wind (Matsumoto and Suzuki 2014; Suzuki and Inutsuka 2006). Furthermore, this model has demonstrated that waves can lead to small nanoflare-like intensity bursts during dissipation (Moriyasu et al. 2004; Antolin et al. 2008), thus placing caution when interpreting observations of these events or associating the nanoflare term solely to reconnection-based models. Although highly self-consistent in its ability to explain various features of the solar atmosphere, this model relies on the presence of density fluctuations, and sufficiently large transverse wave fluctuations (compared to the local Alfvén speed) for the relevant nonlinear effects to become important. A natural question is whether this matches observations and the answer yet unknown. Parker Solar Probe does indicate, however, that the density fluctuations in the open corona is far greater than previously thought (Bale et al. 2019).

A well established model is that of Suzuki and Inutsuka (2005) who describe the propagation of a low frequency Alfvén wave in coronal holes from the photosphere to an altitude of 0.3AU. The granular motion of the photosphere results in steady transverse motions perturbing the magnetic field lines and exciting Alfvén waves (Cranmer and van Ballegoijen 2005). The 1D model provided by Suzuki and Inutsuka (2005) resembles a superradial open magnetic flux tube conserving magnetic flux, where the expansion is a two step superradial function with the use of two expansion factors. Their choice for a two step superradial function was due to the height dependence of the magnetic field strength reconstructed by Tu et al. (2005). They included the effect of field line curvature, radiative cooling and thermal conduction in the MHD equations. The solutions to these MHD equations enabled Suzuki and Inutsuka (2005) to compute the variations of the radial and tangential speeds together with the temperature and density as a function of altitude (see Fig. 9 for a sketch of the configuration). The results proved adequate for atmospheric heating due to two effects; the first stage of heating is due to the dissipation of low frequency Alfvén waves mainly heating the inner solar atmosphere, while the second stage of heating is due to the induction of compressive perturbations (especially slow waves) due to the nonlinear effects connected with the Alfvén wave propagation that causes wave steepening ending up in shock formation that mainly contributes towards coronal heating. The nonlinear effects connected with outward propagating Alfvén waves that experience shocks contributes in two ways; the first aspect is the heating due to the increase of wave amplitudes that is confirmed by the non-thermal broadening of the emission lines, the second aspect is the creation of shocks which rapidly dissipates the waves. The contribution of the second aspect towards heating is greater than the first aspect (Nakariakov et al. 2000). As a matter of fact, the efficiency of damping and hence heating due to low frequency Alfvén waves also depends on the activity of the region. In particular, the damping of surface Alfvén waves occurs on a shorter scale in active regions compared to quiet solar regions. This means that in quiet regions, the surface Alfvén wave is able to contribute towards heating the corona at higher altitudes (Evans et al. 2009). In addition, the period of the Alfvén wave itself is key in determining its contribution to coronal heating. This statement is backed by the simulations of Matsumoto and Shibata (2010)




Fig. 9 Left: Sketch on the configuration of Alfvén wave heating models. Right: Alfvén wave energy flux as a function of period. The figures are taken from Matsumoto and Shibata (2010)

where nonlinear Alfvén waves were driven by photospheric convection towards the transition region in the presence of gravity and empirical chromospheric cooling. Their model showed that the region bounded between the photosphere and the transition region acts as a resonant cavity, a fact that has been recently observed above sunspots for the first time (Jess et al. 2019). It can be readily noticed from the right panel of Fig. 9 that waves with periods between 100 s to 500 s are able to transport flux to the corona, and that the maximum energy flux is carried when the period of the Alfvén wave is around 400s coinciding with the resonant frequencies in the cavity (Matsumoto and Shibata 2010).

Coronal resonances of Alfvén wave propagating in solar loops have also been reported in the model proposed by Antolin and Shibata (2010). The loop is a gravitationally stratified semitorus, with magnetic field expansion, similar to the left panel of Fig. 9. The advantage of this model is that it sheds light on the efficiency of heating taking into account the loop geometry in which Alfvén waves propagate. This enabled Antolin and Shibata (2010) to state that Alfvén waves provide efficient and uniform heating when propagating in thick loops where the area expansion between the photosphere and corona is greater than 2.5 orders of magnitude with lengths at least as long as 80 Mm. Since in the solar quiet regions the loops are both longer and wider compared to loops in active regions, the efficiency of Alfvén wave heating in quiet solar regions is more pronounced compared to active regions. Regarding the period of the waves, Antolin and Shibata (2010) concluded that the shocks connected with long-period waves increase the average temperature of the corona, while shocks connected with short-period waves are unable to further heat the corona despite being more numerous (Suzuki and Inutsuka 2006). The short-period waves are only just strong enough to maintain the coronal temperature. Heating by the resonance cavity with a monochromatic driver matching the eigenfrequency of the loop was found to lead to temperatures close to 5 MK, but the loops were not in a state of thermal equilibrium.

Regarding the contribution of the compressive shocks towards coronal heating, Matsumoto (2016) stated that shock compressive heating is very efficient below the altitude of 4 Mm, while above this height the incompressive heating due to direct dissipation of magnetic and velocity shear in Alfvén waves is dominant. Moreover, Matsumoto and Suzuki (2014) showed that these chromospheric compressive shocks generate curved wedge shaped Alfvén waves, which could play a role in heating the higher layers. Thus, the back reaction of the

induced compressive perturbations on the Alfvén wave results in Alfvén wave shocks that also contribute towards coronal heating. The induced compressive perturbations are due to the nonlinear forces connected with Alfvén waves, namely the magnetic tension, centrifugal, and ponderomotive forces. It is worth noting that the ponderomotive coupling of Alfvén waves to slow modes creates shocks that dominates the heating due to resistive dissipation (Arber et al. 2016; Brady and Arber 2016). The non-linear behaviour of Alfvén waves was described by e.g. Suzuki (2008), Vasheghani Farahani et al. (2011), Suzuki (2012), Vasheghani Farahani and Hejazi (2017). In particular, Vasheghani Farahani et al. (2012) implemented the second order thin flux tube approximation (Zhugzhda 1996) and studied the parallel nonlinear cascade of torsional and shear Alfvén waves in open magnetic fields. They showed that the shock formation for shear Alfvén waves comes into play earlier than torsional waves in the lower solar atmosphere.

Another mechanism playing a role in chromospheric heating is Ohmic diffusion and ion-neutral collisions which strongly affects torsional Alfvén waves during their propagation in the chromosphere (Soler et al. 2019; Wójcik et al. 2020) and leads to heating. In any case, the chromosphere plays a crucial role for coronal heating, because of the resulting evaporation of material due to coronal heating and thus providing the mass source. The localised heating could result in thread structuring in the corona (Copil et al. 2008), which is in contradiction with the recent results of Cargill et al. (2016), Van Damme et al. (2020).

3.2.2 Alfvén Wave Turbulence Heating

Turbulent heating models have gained popularity recently in the context of coronal heating (see the review by Bruno and Carbone 2013, of this mechanism in the solar wind context). However, there is still no direct evidence that the corona is turbulent. This might change in the near future thanks to the Parker Solar Probe, which could confirm turbulence at heights around or below the Alfvén critical point. Still, the non-thermal broadening of the coronal spectral lines could already be indicative of turbulent fluctuations (Banerjee et al. 1998; Singh et al. 2006; Hahn and Savin 2013, 2014). More indirect evidence is present in the CoMP observations through the measurement of a $1/f$ spectrum in closed loops (Morton et al. 2016, 2019). Turbulent heating models are best categorized as wave or alternative-current (AC) mechanisms in the limit of weak turbulence, as the turbulent energy cascade is thought to be generated by nonlinear (self) interactions of waves. The underlying idea of energy conversion is similar to other wave-based heating mechanisms: increasingly smaller length scales are created, until dissipation becomes important and converts kinetic and magnetic energy into heat. The main difference compared to other mechanisms is that in turbulence small scales are created nonlinearly (unlike in phase mixing, resonant absorption, etc.), producing an energy cascade to smaller scales (although, in some scenarios inverse cascade can also take place). We differentiate three main scales or ranges in turbulence, as depicted schematically in Fig. 10. The largest scale is the energy containing scale, at which the forcing of the plasma takes place, e.g. the size of convective cells in the photosphere (depicted in blue in Fig. 10). The inertial range is an intermediate scale where the actual energy cascade is initiated. The dynamics are self-similar and independent of both the nature of forcing and dissipation (shown in green in Fig. 10), expected to correspond to Kolmogorov power law indices of $-5/3$. Lastly, at scales where dissipative terms are on the order of advective terms (Reynolds and/or magnetic Reynolds numbers ≈ 1), turbulence enters the dissipation range, where heat is generated (assuming collisional dissipation), displayed with the red zone in Fig. 10. In this last range, the power law index depends on the particular dissipation mechanism (e.g. electron or proton dissipation) that is considered, growing ever steeper.

Fig. 10 A schematic graph of the power law behaviour of turbulent fluctuations in the solar atmosphere and wind. The left, blue range shows the energy input range with a power law index of -1 . The middle, green range shows the inertial range with a power law index of $-5/3$, where the energy cascade rate is independent of the scale. The right, red range shows the dissipation range, where the ever steeper power law slope depends on the specific dissipation process





Fig. 11 Illustrating the mutual deformation of two counter-propagating Alfvénic wave-packets along some background magnetic field B . The undisturbed wave-packets must collectively vary along both perpendicular directions for nonlinear interaction to occur (Howes and Nielson 2013), depicted by the different orientation of the ellipsoid making up the packets. These ellipsoids can be considered isosurfaces of velocity perturbations. After the collision (on the upper left), the wave-packets are mutually deformed, leading to ellipsoids scattered to higher wavenumbers, i.e. appearing thinner

The most researched turbulence-generating wave interaction is that of counter-propagating pure Alfvén waves in the incompressible limit. In this scenario, the Alfvén wave wavefronts are deformed in successive collisions, leading to a cascade towards higher wavenumbers (see Fig. 11). In the corona, counter-propagating transverse or Alfvénic waves can exist in both closed structures, such as coronal loops, and open structures, due to wave reflection. Wave reflection occurs when the Alfvén speed varies along the propagation direction, i.e. along the background magnetic field, linearly coupling the outward and inward-propagating Alfvén waves (Leroy 1980; Hollweg and Isenberg 2007). Alfvén speed variations along the field exist in the corona because of gravitational stratification of the plasma, and the spherical/dipolar expansion of the magnetic field with height. In the turbulent heating models, the source of input energy is usually the convective flows in the photosphere, which generate waves and eventually turbulence by shuffling the magnetic field lines. There




Fig. 12 Same as in Fig. 11, but having only the wave-packet propagating parallel to the magnetic field. The background plasma is inhomogeneous across the field. This is causing the initial Alfvénic wave-package to self-deform nonlinearly as it propagates, leading to elongated ellipsoids, i.e. cascading to higher wavenumbers

are numerous models of coronal heating based on turbulence for both coronal loops (van Ballegooyen et al. 2011; Downs et al. 2016; van Ballegooyen et al. 2017), and open structures (Perez and Chandran 2013; Woolsey and Cranmer 2015; Chandran and Perez 2019), and also global models (van der Holst et al. 2014; Oran et al. 2017). The models for Alfvén wave heating of active regions (van Ballegooyen and Asgari-Targhi 2018) show that temperatures reach maximally 2.5 MK, and that other heating mechanisms are needed to go beyond those temperatures.

The ‘local’ models mostly use a reduced MHD treatment, which neglects density perturbations (i.e., are incompressible) and transverse inhomogeneities in the plasma, among other simplifications. For the global models, Alfvén wave equations are employed in van der Holst et al. (2014), containing heavily approximated terms for reflection and turbulent dissipation, and Reynolds-averaged mean flow equations with turbulent transport in Usmanov et al. (2018). All these models conclude that a multi-million K corona can be maintained by turbulent heating, while balancing radiative cooling and conductive losses. A common discrepancy between turbulent heating models and observed properties of the corona is the excessive non-thermal line broadening that results from the models (Brooks and Warren 2016).

Recently, it was realized that the existence of counterpropagating waves is not the only way to generate turbulence (Magyar et al. 2017, 2019). In the presence of transverse inhomogeneities, propagating Alfvénic waves can nonlinearly self-deform, generating a cascade to smaller scales, called uniturbulence (see Fig. 12). This can constitute an additional channel towards a turbulent cascade and can potentially enhance the dissipation rate, leading to more heating. However, there are no models of coronal heating incorporating the effects of uniturbulence, as of yet. Still, forward modelling of simulations with uniturbulence (Pant et al. 2019) can elegantly and self-consistently explain the observed correlation between

wave Doppler shift and spectral line broadening (McIntosh and De Pontieu 2012, as also explained in review of Nakariakov et al. (2020)).

Full 2.5D and 3D MHD models are now available (e.g. Dahlburg et al. 2012; Shoda et al. 2019) that take into account both the nonlinear Alfvén wave heating via compressive effects, and the Alfvén wave turbulence, and initial results seem to point to the dominance of Alfvén wave turbulence over the compressive heating in the corona, while the opposite is true at the lower heights of the magnetic canopy (Matsumoto 2016, 2018). Since these models target quiet Sun conditions for the loops it is not yet clear whether the same holds for the denser and more dynamic active region loop conditions.


To date, all Alfvén wave heating models have been mostly successful at generating and sustaining a lower energy budget corona as in the quiet Sun or coronal holes, while they all seem to fall short of the 10^4 W m^{-2} average heating rate needed for active region coronal loops (see Chap. 6.1 Hinode Review Team et al. 2019, for a more thorough discussion). In particular, the hot loops at the core of active regions with temperatures of 5 MK or more seem to be unexplained by wave models in general (van Ballegoijen and Asgari-Targhi 2018). Furthermore, these models seem to generate preferentially uniformly heated coronae in line with the RTV scaling law (Rosner et al. 1978), rather than footpoint heating. In turn, Alfvén wave heating models do not seem able to explain thermal non-equilibrium and the associated coronal rain (Antolin et al. 2010). Comparison of results from the Alfvén wave turbulence model with *Hinode*/EIS observations of active region loops indicates that longitudinal flows are an important ingredient, suggesting that either compressive effects may become important or that a different mechanism is present (Asgari-Targhi et al. 2014). It is important to note, however, that the very demanding computational requirements needed in fully consistent wave models strongly limit the current predictions (see Sect. 4)

3.3 Kink-Mode Driven Turbulent Heating Models

Standing transverse waves can be considered as superposition of counterpropagating waves, thus these can generate a turbulent cascade as well. In closed loops, initial perturbations such as flares, low coronal eruptions or shock waves can easily excite standing kink oscillations. Due to the shear motions between the loops and external corona, the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability can thus be induced near the loop boundary (Terradas et al. 2008a; Antolin et al. 2014; Antolin et al. 2017; Magyar et al. 2015). Moreover, the generation of azimuthally polarised Alfvén waves due to resonant absorption increases the velocity shear with the external plasma, which can also enhance the instability (Antolin and Van Doorselaere 2019). The effect of the instability is to generate transverse wave induced Kelvin-Helmholtz rolls (TWIKH rolls), extended along the magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 13. The wave energy can dissipate efficiently if the turbulent structures are sufficiently developed. Direct comparison of such a model with observations of a prominence (Okamoto et al. 2015; Antolin et al. 2015), gives an indication that the emission is indeed shifting from a temperature of 10^4 K to 10^5 K after the passing of the transverse wave, in accordance with the numerical model (see Fig. 14). Recent studies by Antolin and Van Doorselaere (2019) revealed that resonant absorption plays a key role in energizing and spreading the TWIKH rolls throughout a coronal loop.

The most basic setup that was used was a cylindrical loop with an initial velocity pulse, setting up a damped standing wave. This setup was used to show that the non-linearly developing KHI introduces an amplitude dependent damping (Magyar and Van Doorselaere 2016), which matches reasonably well with observations (Goddard et al. 2016a). As intuitively understood, the magnetic twist provides a stabilising effect (Howson et al. 2017a;

Fig. 13 A tomography of TWIKH rolls. Cross-sections of density (in yellow) are shown at different locations along half the length of a prominence oscillating with a standing kink mode after roughly 2 periods. The coloured lines correspond to selected magnetic field lines traced from the bottom. The TWIKH rolls drag the field lines, generating twisting and strong changes in magnetic field pressure in a wide layer around the boundary. The simulation corresponds to the high resolution run discussed in Antolin et al. (2015)



Terradas et al. 2018), which delays the development of the instability without completely suppressing it. This corresponds well with the predictions from analytical models (Hillier et al. 2019; Barbulescu et al. 2019). Moreover, the stabilising effect of viscosity and resistivity was investigated numerically (Howson et al. 2017b; Karampelas et al. 2019a). However, as explained in Sect. 2.1, simple calculations using basic estimates show that the directly observed standing waves in the solar corona do not seem to contain sufficient energy for heating it.

It was soon realised that the TWIKH rolls could play an important role if waves are driven at a loop footpoint. In that configuration, the TWIKH rolls provide an elegant mechanism to move the energy from the input scales, to the smaller length scales of the inertial range, and on to the dissipation scales at which the plasma is heated, just as Alfvén wave turbulence would do. This damping mechanism in the inertial range is independent of transport coefficients. In doing so, the energy cascade balances the input energy, creating a (statistically) steady state, indirectly feeding the driving energy into plasma heating. The steady state that is obtained in the numerical models could be a potential model for the observed decayless observations.

In the setup with the footpoint driver in a closed loop, Karampelas and Van Doorselaere (2018) obtained a fully deformed loop cross-section. Although the turbulence significantly changes the initial equilibrium, the loop structure can still be observed in forward models, meaning that turbulent loops probability exist in the real solar corona. Further studies (Karampelas et al. 2019a) considering gravity in a loop, stressed the importance of longitudinal stratification, which improves the efficiency of wave heating. The TWIKH roll





Fig. 14 Evidence for resonant absorption and heating in a prominence. The left column provides a set of observables obtained with coordinated observations (top panel) between Hinode/SOT and IRIS of an active region prominence off-limb. (Left-middle) Prominence threads were seen oscillating transversely and fading in the Ca II H line of SOT (10^4 K) and subsequently appearing in the hotter SJI 1400 channel of IRIS (dominated by Si IV emission at $10^{4.8}$ K approximately). (Left-bottom) The prominence threads oscillate in the POS (yellow with green curve) out-of-phase with the Doppler velocity in Si IV captured by the IRIS slit (purple). The right column shows the forward modelling of a numerical simulation of a prominence thread with the observed characteristics. The thread oscillates transversely with a kink mode and triggers the KHI at the boundary (top-right). Resonant absorption is initially highly localised in the boundary, which fuels the KHI. TWIKH rolls are excited, whose dynamics reflect the resonant absorption and phase mixing dynamics but at a larger, observable scale. The TWIKH rolls mix the cold prominence plasma with the hot coronal surroundings and widen the boundary of the loop. A turbulent cascade is established which leads to wave dissipation and heating. As the prominence plasma is heated, its emission in the synthesised Ca II H line fades out and becomes stronger in the hotter Si IV line (right-middle). The resonant absorption and phase mixing dynamics become observable due to the KHI, leading to an out-of-phase relation between the POS motion of the thread and the Doppler velocity of the heated prominence plasma. A very good match is thus obtained with the observations. A sketch of the observed physical processes is given on the right. Adapted from Okamoto et al. (2015) and Antolin et al. (2015)

formation dependence on the driver frequency was investigated by Afanasyev et al. (2019), who subsequently also considered the driving with a turbulent spectrum (Afanasyev et al. 2020).

Considering the turbulent motions at the footpoint of a coronal loop anchored in the photosphere, Guo et al. (2019b) employed a mixed transverse and torsional driver at the loop footpoint, going beyond the implicitly excited Alfvén waves in the simulations of Pascoe et al. (2011). Comparing with a pure kink driven loop and a pure torsional driven loop, they found that the KHI eddies are fully developed in the whole loop cross-section and that internal energy and temperature in the mixed driven loop are higher. This means that the

Fig. 15 Forward-modelling results for the numerical models in the Fe IX 171 Å line at the apex with an LOS angle of 45°. The upper row is obtained with the full numerical resolution and the lower row with a degraded resolution comparable to SDO/AIA. Figure taken from Guo et al. (2019b)



mixed modes lead to a more efficient dissipation in the turbulent state of plasma and that the KHI acts as an agent to dissipate energy in both wave modes. In addition, forward models showed very similar images to the observations of decayless oscillations. This means that the ubiquitous decayless oscillations could play an important role in coronal heating. However, as is shown in Fig. 15, due to the limited resolution of instruments, neither Alfvén modes nor the fine structures are observable. This means that although difficult to capture, Alfvén modes probably can coexist with kink modes, leading to enhanced heating.

A further study by Guo et al. (2019a) examined how such a mixed mode driver affects a tightly packed multi-stranded loop. By depositing almost identical input energy flux at the footpoint of a multi-stranded loop and a density equivalent monolithic loop, Guo et al. (2019a) found that the multi-stranded loop is more efficient in starting the heating process due to the quickly established turbulent state in this model.

Again using a model with footpoint driven loops, Karampelas et al. (2019b) computed the dependence of the wave amplitude as they would be observed from forward modelling, on the driver amplitude. They found that there is only a weak dependence between both. Thus, they showed that the amplitude of the decayless waves is actually an unreliable measure of the wave energy that is being dissipated in the solar corona, as studied in more detail by Hillier et al. (2020). Karampelas et al. (2019b) concluded that the spectral line broadening is a much more accurate quantity to give a measure of the wave energy that is being dissipated. This may influence assessments of energy content and flux of standing or propagating kink waves (as e.g. in Sect. 2.1).

Even though these models seem promising, at the time of submission, there is no published paper in which the non-linearly evolving, footpoint-driven transverse waves can compensate the radiative or thermal conduction cooling of the coronal plasma in a self-consistent model, contrary to DC heating models of the solar corona (e.g. Hansteen et al. 2015). But, it should be noted that the 3D MHD DC models usually employ unrealistically high resistivity and viscosity, which are the sources for the heating in them.

4 Critical Assessment & Conclusions

This review provides an overview of the progress made in (roughly) the last decade in observations and models of wave heating in the solar corona. A lot of progress has been made in

wave-based heating mechanisms using a combination of advanced high-resolution satellite and ground-based solar observations, and advances in computational MHD modeling.

From an observational point of view, we now have detailed observations of several wave modes that could play a role in heating the corona. Recent multi-wavelength studies of coronal loops have not found evidence of any significant heating following large-amplitude kink oscillations (Goddard and Nisticò 2020; Pascoe et al. 2020). However, decayless kink oscillations and quasi-periodic propagating fast waves could potentially account for coronal losses in certain regions. We now have several observational characterisations of the wave energy content in the solar corona, based on imaging, spectroscopy or spectropolarimetry. In the chromosphere, a very large energy flux is found in waves, and if even only a fraction of that is dissipated in the corona, it is heated efficiently. In the corona itself, wave observations find energy fluxes comparable to the required heating rate, but also one or two orders of magnitude below it, particularly in the case of active regions. This wide disparity in observational estimates of coronal wave energy points to a variety of wave activity manifestations, and probably indicates that the issue of coronal wave energy requires further study. The latter point is also underlined by the discrepancy between the energy flux in numerical models and their forward modelling (De Moortel and Pascoe 2012; Van Doorselaere et al. 2014; Karamelas et al. 2019b).

From the modelling point of view, the computational models have been lifted to a new level in recent years thanks to advancement in computational power. Now, 3D MHD simulations are the norm. In addition to previously considered AC heating mechanisms by non-linear Alfvén waves, these 3D MHD simulations show that turbulence and development of small scales is important. Simulations of Alfvén wave turbulence in (perpendicularly) uniform plasmas are able to maintain coronal temperatures, even in 3D configurations. In order to take into account the perpendicular density structuring, studies have focused on phase mixing and the transverse wave induced Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. Despite the strong effort in these last two subjects, still no self-consistent simulation exists in which a density enhanced coronal loop is maintained against cooling by radiation or conduction, with resolved wave energy flux from the photosphere.

Self-consistent wave modelling studies are extremely demanding in computational power. The photospheric source (or sub-photospheric), the chromosphere (rich in wave processes due to its high- to low- β variable conditions), the transition region (in which the Alfvén speed steepens leading to reflection, refraction and mode conversion) and the corona (in which small density inhomogeneities and wave-to-wave interaction are important) need to be included in the model. In addition, the resolution needs to be high enough to allow wave-associated instabilities and the turbulent spectrum to set in with the inertial range established for at least a decade in wave number. Through the decades, these limitations have favoured the investigation of wave dissipation in waveguides that are already well-defined (such as coronal loops) without the connection to other atmospheric layers (the latter is only recently changing, e.g. Van Damme et al. 2020). Although limiting, this approach has led to an essential categorisation of wave processes and wave dissipation locally, and to phase relations between observables for a direct comparison with high resolution observations (Hinode Review Team et al. 2019, Chap. 6.1). It remains to be seen how these models connect to more advanced models of wave propagation in the lower atmosphere (e.g. Felipe et al. 2011).

This approach has also allowed to investigate the relative importance of specific wave mechanisms and the available energy at the coronal base (e.g. Soler et al. 2019). Improvements in numerical techniques and increased computation power are coming close to allowing self-consistent modelling which incorporates all the relevant wave processes in a large

scale model (such as a whole active region). This would allow to fully account for nonlinear processes and, in particular, global observables of wave heating models for an easier comparison with observations.

These recent developments have made it clear that the omnipresent coronal waves also strongly influence DC heating models.

Firstly, due to the observed continuous influx of Alfvénic waves into the corona, it is expected that the resulting heating is more continuous and less impulsive than that obtained from magnetic reconnection alone. This long-standing assumption is only true if waves and reconnection act in a sufficiently independent way from each other. However, we can think of scenarios in which one facilitates the other. For instance, reconnection-based nanoflares in the corona are based on the braiding scenario, through which the magnetic field is stressed to a certain degree before releasing these stresses through component (small-angle) reconnection, thus leading to impulsive, middle to low-frequency events (see Chap. 6.2 in Hinode Review Team et al. 2019). Moreover, transverse wave induced Kelvin-Helmholtz rolls (see Sect. 3.3) create local twists and small-angle misalignments between the strands and, as in the magnetopause (Nykyri and Otto 2004), magnetic reconnection is expected. In this scenario, the heating from reconnection is expected to be impulsive and act only when the KHI is triggered. Although twists delay the formation of the KHI, they do not inhibit the instability (see Sect. 3.3). Further investigation of this scenario is therefore needed.

Secondly, DC heating models are often based on stranded loop models, in which each magnetic field line is modelled independently from each other (Reale 2014). The turbulence appearing in Alfvén wave and kink wave models of loops are very efficient at mixing plasma perpendicular to the magnetic field (Magyar and Van Doorselaere 2016). This mixing induces adiabatic expansion and contraction of plasma elements, leading to (apparent) cooling and heating on particular field lines, which is not taken into account if they are completely decoupled. Moreover, additional magnetic pressure enhancements are introduced, leading to a restructuring of the plasma in the single fieldline models.

Thirdly, the nonlinearly induced perturbations due to Alfvén waves are not restricted to funnels and flux tubes with transverse or parallel structuring, but also feature in magnetic reconnection sites when Alfvén waves interact with a magnetic null point. As the Alfvén wave nears the magnetic null-point it induces magnetoacoustic waves which in turn contribute towards heating at the null point (Galsgaard et al. 2003; Thurgood and McLaughlin 2013; Sabri et al. 2018). The fast and slow magnetoacoustic waves experience shocks in the vicinity of the null-point leading to enhanced dissipation there (McLaughlin et al. 2009; Sabri et al. 2018). Thus, despite the Alfvén wave being unable to reach the null point while travelling along the separatrixes, their coupling to the magnetoacoustic waves provides an agent to their gradual dissipation and contribution towards heating near X-points (McLaughlin and Hood 2004, 2006).

Overall, we conclude this review with the following one-liner: Motivated by plentiful recent observations of coronal waves, AC heating models have taken a leap forward in recent years, but have not yet managed to self-consistently maintain loops at the observed million degree temperatures. These models make it worthwhile to have a renewed look at DC heating models while including the cross-field effects induced by wave dynamics.

Acknowledgements This paper originated in discussions at ISSI-BJ. T.V.D. was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 724326) and the C1 grant TRACESpace of Internal Funds KU Leuven (number C14/19/089). H.T. is supported by NSFC Grants No. 11825301 and No. 11790304(11790300). P.A. acknowledges funding from his STFC Ernest Rutherford Fellowship (No. ST/R004285/2). Numerical computations were carried out on Cray XC50 at the Center for Computational Astrophysics, NAOJ. D.J.P.

was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 724326). L.O. acknowledges support by NASA grants NNX16AF78G, 80NSSC18K1131 and NASA Cooperative Agreement NNG11PL10A to CUA. I.A. was supported by project PGC2018-102108-B-I00 from Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades and FEDER funds. I.D.M. acknowledges support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (Consolidated Grant ST/K000950/1), the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 647214) and the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence scheme, project number 262622. D.Y.K. acknowledges support from the STFC consolidated grant ST/T000252/1 and the budgetary funding of Basic Research program No. II.16.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- A. Afanasyev, K. Karamelas, T. Van Doorselaere, Coronal loop transverse oscillations excited by different driver frequencies. *Astrophys. J.* **876**(2), 100 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1848>. 1905.05716
- A.N. Afanasyev, T. Van Doorselaere, V.M. Nakariakov, Excitation of decay-less transverse oscillations of coronal loops by random motions. *Astron. Astrophys.* **633**, L8 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937187>. 1912.07980
- (Hinode Review Team) K. Al-Janabi, P. Antolin, D. Baker, R.L.R.B. Rubio, L. Bradley, D.H. Brooks, R. Centeno, J.L. Culhane, G. Del Zanna, G.A. Doschek, L. Fletcher, H. Hara, L.K. Harra, A.S. Hillier, S. Imada, J.A. Klimchuk, J.T. Mariska, T.M.D. Pereira, K.K. Reeves, T. Sakao, T. Sakurai, T. Shimizu, M. Shimojo, D. Shiota, S.K. Solanki, A.C. Sterling, Y. Su, Y. Suematsu, T.D. Tarbell, S.K. Tiwari, S. Toriumi, I. Ugarte-Urra, H.P. Warren, T. Watanabe, P.R. Young, Achievements of Hinode in the first eleven years. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **71**(5), R1 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/psz084>. <http://oup.prod.sis.lan/pasj/article-pdf/71/5/R1/30161340/psz084.pdf>
- H. Alfvén, Magneto hydrodynamic waves, and the heating of the solar corona. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **107**, 211 (1947). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/107.2.211>
- S.A. Anfinogentov, V.M. Nakariakov, Magneto hydrodynamic seismology of quiet solar active regions. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **884**(2), L40 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab4792>. 1910.03809
- S.A. Anfinogentov, V.M. Nakariakov, G. Nisticò, Decayless low-amplitude kink oscillations: a common phenomenon in the solar corona? *Astron. Astrophys.* **583**, A136 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526195>. 1509.05519
- P. Antolin, K. Shibata, The role of torsional Alfvén waves in coronal heating. *Astrophys. J.* **712**(1), 494–510 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/712/1/494>. 0910.0962
- P. Antolin, T. Van Doorselaere, Influence of resonant absorption on the generation of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. *Front. Phys.* **7**, 85 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2019.00085>
- P. Antolin, E. Verwichte, Transverse oscillations of loops with coronal rain observed by Hinode/solar optical telescope. *Astrophys. J.* **736**, 121 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/736/2/121>. 1105.2175
- P. Antolin, K. Shibata, T. Kudoh, D. Shiota, D. Brooks, Predicting observational signatures of coronal heating by Alfvén waves and nanoflares. *Astrophys. J.* **688**, 669–682 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1086/591998>
- P. Antolin, K. Shibata, G. Visser, Coronal rain as a marker for coronal heating mechanisms. *Astrophys. J.* **716**, 154–166 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/716/1/154>. 0910.2383
- P. Antolin, T. Yokoyama, T. Van Doorselaere, Fine strand-like structure in the solar corona from magneto-hydrodynamic transverse oscillations. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **787**, L22 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/787/2/L22>. 1405.0076
- P. Antolin, T.J. Okamoto, B. De Pontieu, H. Uitenbroek, T. Van Doorselaere, T. Yokoyama, Resonant absorption of transverse oscillations and associated heating in a solar prominence. II. Numerical aspects. *Astrophys. J.* **809**, 72 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/72>. 1506.09108
- P. Antolin, I. De Moortel, T. Van Doorselaere, T. Yokoyama, Modeling observed decay-less oscillations as resonantly enhanced Kelvin-Helmholtz vortices from transverse MHD waves and their seismological application. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **830**, L22 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/830/2/L22>. 1609.09716
- P. Antolin, I. De Moortel, T. Van Doorselaere, T. Yokoyama, Observational signatures of transverse magneto-hydrodynamic waves and associated dynamic instabilities in coronal flux tubes. *Astrophys. J.* **836**, 219 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa5eb2>

- P. Antolin, P. Pagano, I. De Moortel, V.M. Nakariakov, I. Situ, Generation of transverse magnetohydrodynamic waves from colliding flows in the solar corona. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **861**, L15 (2018a). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aacf98>. 1807.00395
- P. Antolin, D. Schmit, T.M.D. Pereira, B. De Pontieu, I. De Moortel, Transverse wave induced Kelvin-Helmholtz rolls in spicules. *Astrophys. J.* **856**(1), 44 (2018b). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab34f>. 1803.00821
- T.D. Arber, C.S. Brady, S. Shelyag, Alfvén wave heating of the solar chromosphere: 1.5D models. *Astrophys. J.* **817**(2), 94 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/94>. 1512.05816
- I. Arregui, Wave heating of the solar atmosphere. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **373**(2042), 20140261 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2014.0261>. 1501.06708
- I. Arregui, R. Soler, J.L. Ballester, A.N. Wright, Magnetohydrodynamic kink waves in two-dimensional non-uniform prominence threads. *Astron. Astrophys.* **533**, A60 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201117477>. 1011.5175
- M.J. Aschwanden, *Physics of the Solar Corona. An Introduction* (Praxis Publishing Ltd., Chichester, UK, and Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2004)
- M.J. Aschwanden, *New Millennium Solar Physics*, vol. 458 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13956-8>
- M.J. Aschwanden, L. Fletcher, C.J. Schrijver, D. Alexander, Coronal loop oscillations observed with the transition region and coronal explorer. *Astrophys. J.* **520**, 880–894 (1999)
- M. Asgari-Targhi, A.A. van Ballegooijen, S. Imada, Comparison of extreme ultraviolet imaging spectrometer observations of solar coronal loops with Alfvén wave turbulence models. *Astrophys. J.* **786**(1), 28 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/786/1/28>
- S.D. Bale, S.T. Badman, J.W. Bonnell, T.A. Bowen, D. Burgess, A.W. Case, C.A. Cattell, B.D.G. Chandran, C.C. Chaston, C.H.K. Chen, T.D. de Wit, J.F. Eastwood, J.P. Eastwood, R.E. Ergun, W.M. Farrell, C. Fong, K. Goetz, M. Goldstein, K.A. Goodrich, P.R. Harvey, T.S. Horbury, G.G. Howes, J.C. Kasper, P.J. Kellogg, J.A. Klimchuk, K.E. Korreck, V.V. Krasnoselskikh, S. Krucker, R. Laker, D.E. Larson, R.J. MacDowall, M. Maksimovic, D.M. Malaspina, J. Martinez-Oliveros, D.J. McComas, N. Meyer-Vernet, M. Moncuquet, F.S. Mozer, T.D. Phan, M. Pulupa, Highly structured slow solar wind emerging from an equatorial coronal hole. *Nature* **576**(7786), 237–242 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1818-7>
- D. Banerjee, L. Teriaca, J.G. Doyle, K. Wilhelm, Broadening of SI VIII lines observed in the solar polar coronal holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **339**, 208–214 (1998)
- M. Barbulescu, M.S. Ruderman, T. Van Doorselaere, R. Erdélyi, An analytical model of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of transverse coronal loop oscillations. *Astrophys. J.* **870**(2), 108 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf506>. 1901.06132
- A.J.C. Belien, S. Poedts, H.J.W. Spoelder, R. Leenders, J.P. Goedbloed, Visualization of resonant absorption in solar coronal loops by simulation of soft X-ray images. *Comput. Phys.* **10**(6), 573–583 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.168586>
- D. Berghmans, F. Clette, Active region euv transient brightenings – first results by eit of soho jop80. *Sol. Phys.* **186**, 207–229 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005189508371>
- C.S. Brady, T.D. Arber, Simulations of Alfvén and kink wave driving of the solar chromosphere: efficient heating and spicule launching. *Astrophys. J.* **829**(2), 80 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/829/2/80>. 1601.07835
- D.H. Brooks, H.P. Warren, Measurements of non-thermal line widths in solar active regions. *Astrophys. J.* **820**(1), 63 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/820/1/63>. 1511.02313
- R. Bruno, V. Carbone, The solar wind as a turbulence laboratory. *Living Rev. Sol. Phys.* **10**(1), 2 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrsp-2013-2>
- P.S. Cally, Alfvén waves in the structured solar corona. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**(1), 413–424 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3215>. 1612.02064
- P.S. Cally, S.C. Hansen, Benchmarking fast-to-Alfvén mode conversion in a cold magnetohydrodynamic plasma. *Astrophys. J.* **738**, 119 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/738/2/119>. 1105.5754
- P.J. Cargill, I. De Moortel, G. Kiddie, Coronal density structure and its role in wave damping in loops. *Astrophys. J.* **823**(1), 31 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/1/31>
- P. Carlqvist, A flare-associated mechanism for solar surges. *Sol. Phys.* **63**(2), 353–367 (1979). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00174540>
- J. Chae, U. Schühle, P. Lemaire, SUMER measurements of nonthermal motions: constraints on coronal heating mechanisms. *Astrophys. J.* **505**, 957–973 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1086/306179>
- B.D.G. Chandran, J.C. Perez, Reflection-driven magnetohydrodynamic turbulence in the solar atmosphere and solar wind. *J. Plasma Phys.* **85**(4), 905850409 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022377819000540>. 1908.00880

- L. Chen, A. Hasegawa, A theory of long-period magnetic pulsations: 1. Steady state excitation of field line resonance. *J. Geophys. Res.* **79**(7), 1024–1032 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA079i007p01024>.
- N. Claes, R. Keppens, Thermal stability of magnetohydrodynamic modes in homogeneous plasmas. *Astron. Astrophys.* **624**, A96 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834699>
- P. Copil, Y. Voitenko, M. Goossens, Torsional Alfvén waves in small scale density threads of the solar corona. *Astron. Astrophys.* **478**(3), 921–927 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20078481>
- T.G. Cowling, *Solar Electrodynamics* (1953), p. 532
- S.R. Cranmer, A.A. van Ballegoijen, On the generation, propagation, and reflection of Alfvén waves from the solar photosphere to the distant heliosphere. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **156**(2), 265–293 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1086/426507>. astro-ph/0410639
- J.L. Culhane, L.K. Harra, A.M. James, K. Al-Janabi, L.J. Bradley, R.A. Chaudry, K. Rees, J.A. Tandy, P. Thomas, M.C.R. Whillock, B. Winter, G.A. Doschek, C.M. Korendyke, C.M. Brown, S. Myers, J. Mariska, J. Seely, J. Lang, B.J. Kent, B.M. Shaughnessy, P.R. Young, G.M. Simnett, C.M. Castelli, S. Mahmoud, H. Mapson-Menard, B.J. Probyn, R.J. Thomas, J. Davila, K. Dere, D. Windt, J. Shea, R. Hagood, R. Moye, H. Hara, T. Watanabe, K. Matsuzaki, T. Kosugi, V. Hansteen, Ø. Wikstøl, The EUV imaging spectrometer for Hinode. *Sol. Phys.* **243**, 19–61 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10007-007-0293-1>
- R.B. Dahlburg, G. Einaudi, A.F. Rappazzo, M. Velli, Turbulent coronal heating mechanisms: coupling of dynamics and thermodynamics. *Astron. Astrophys.* **544**, L20 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219752>. 1208.2459
- I. De Moortel, V.M. Nakariakov, Magnetohydrodynamic waves and coronal seismology: an overview of recent results. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **370**, 3193–3216 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2011.0640>. 1202.1944
- I. De Moortel, D.J. Pascoe, The effects of line-of-sight integration on multistrand coronal loop oscillations. *Astrophys. J.* **746**, 31 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/746/1/31>
- B. De Pontieu, P.C.H. Martens, H.S. Hudson, Chromospheric damping of Alfvén waves. *Astrophys. J.* **558**, 859–871 (2001)
- B. De Pontieu, S.W. McIntosh, M. Carlsson, V.H. Hansteen, T.D. Tarbell, C.J. Schrijver, A.M. Title, R.A. Shine, S. Tsuneta, Y. Katsukawa, K. Ichimoto, Y. Suematsu, T. Shimizu, S. Nagata, Chromospheric Alfvén waves strong enough to power the solar wind. *Science* **318**, 1574 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151747>
- B. De Pontieu, M. Carlsson, L.H.M. Rouppe van der Voort, R.J. Rutten, V.H. Hansteen, H. Watanabe, Ubiquitous torsional motions in type II spicules. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **752**, L12 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/752/1/L12>. 1205.5006
- K.P. Dere, H.E. Mason, Nonthermal velocities in the solar transition zone observed with the high-resolution telescope and spectrograph. *Sol. Phys.* **144**, 217–241 (1993). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00627590>
- K.P. Dere, E. Landi, B.C. Monsignor Fossi, P.R. Young, CHIANTI - an atomic database for emission lines. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **125**, 149–173 (1997). <https://doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997368>
- K.P. Dere, G. Del Zanna, P.R. Young, E. Landi, R.S. Sutherland, CHIANTI—an atomic database for emission lines. XV. Version 9, improvements for the X-ray satellite lines. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **241**(2), 22 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab05cf>. 1902.05019
- C. Downs, R. Lionello, Z. Mikić, J.A. Linker, M. Velli, Closed-field coronal heating driven by wave turbulence. *Astrophys. J.* **832**(2), 180 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/180>. 1610.02113
- P.M. Edwin, B. Roberts, Wave propagation in a magnetic cylinder. *Sol. Phys.* **88**, 179–191 (1983)
- R.M. Evans, M. Opher, V. Jatenco-Pereira, T.I. Gombosi, Surface Alfvén wave damping in a three-dimensional simulation of the solar wind. *Astrophys. J.* **703**(1), 179–186 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/703/1/179>. 0908.3146
- U. Feldman, G.A. Doschek, J.F. Seely, Solar spectroscopy in the far-ultraviolet-X-ray wavelength regions - status and prospects. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, Opt. Phys.* **5**, 2237–2251 (1988). <https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.5.002237>
- T. Felipe, Three-dimensional numerical simulations of fast-to-Alfvén conversion in sunspots. *Astrophys. J.* **758**(2), 96 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/758/2/96>. 1208.5726
- T. Felipe, E. Khomeenko, M. Collados, Magnetoacoustic wave energy from numerical simulations of an observed sunspot umbra. *Astrophys. J.* **735**(1), 65 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/735/1/65>. 1104.4138
- G.B. Field, Thermal instability. *Astrophys. J.* **142**, 531 (1965). <https://doi.org/10.1086/148317>
- D. Fujimura, S. Tsuneta, Properties of magnetohydrodynamic waves in the solar photosphere obtained with Hinode. *Astron. Astrophys. J.* **702**, 1443–1457 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/702/2/1443>. 0907.3025

- K. Galsgaard, E.R. Priest, V.S. Titov, Numerical experiments on wave propagation towards a 3D null point due to rotational motions. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* **108**(A1), 1042 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JA009393>
- C.R. Goddard, G. Nisticò, Temporal evolution of oscillating coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **638**, A89 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202037467>. 2004.14725
- C.R. Goddard, G. Nisticò, V.M. Nakariakov, I.V. Zimovets, A statistical study of decaying kink oscillations detected using SDO/AIA. *Astron. Astrophys.* **585**, A137 (2016a). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201527341>. 1511.03558
- C.R. Goddard, G. Nisticò, V.M. Nakariakov, I.V. Zimovets, S.M. White, Observation of quasi-periodic solar radio bursts associated with propagating fast-mode waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **594**, A96 (2016b). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628478>. 1608.04232
- J.P. Goedbloed, S. Poedts, *Principles of Magnetohydrodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004)
- M. Goossens, J. Terradas, J. Andries, I. Arregui, J.L. Ballester, On the nature of kink MHD waves in magnetic flux tubes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **503**, 213–223 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912399>. 0905.0425
- M. Goossens, R. Erdélyi, M.S. Ruderman, Resonant MHD waves in the solar atmosphere. *Space Sci. Rev.* **158**, 289–338 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9702-7>
- M. Goossens, J. Andries, R. Soler, T. Van Doorselaere, I. Arregui, J. Terradas, Surface Alfvén waves in solar flux tubes. *Astrophys. J.* **753**, 111 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/2/111>. 1205.0935
- M. Goossens, T. Van Doorselaere, R. Soler, G. Verth, Energy content and propagation in transverse solar atmospheric waves. *Astrophys. J.* **768**, 191 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/768/2/191>
- S.D.T. Grant, D.B. Jess, M.G. Moreels, R.J. Morton, D.J. Christian, I. Giagkiozis, G. Verth, V. Fedun, P.H. Keys, T. Van Doorselaere, R. Erdélyi, Wave damping observed in upwardly propagating sausage-mode oscillations contained within a magnetic pore. *Astrophys. J.* **806**(1), 132 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/132>. 1505.01484
- S.D.T. Grant, D.B. Jess, T.V. Zaqarashvili, C. Beck, H. Socas-Navarro, M.J. Aschwanden, P.H. Keys, D.J. Christian, S.J. Houston, R.L. Hewitt, Alfvén wave dissipation in the solar chromosphere. *Nat. Phys.* **14**(5), 480–483 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-018-0058-3>. 1810.07712
- B.V. Gudiksen, Å. Nordlund, An ab initio approach to the solar coronal heating problem. *Astrophys. J.* **618**, 1020–1030 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1086/426063>. astro-ph/0407266
- M. Guo, T. Van Doorselaere, K. Karamelas, B. Li, Wave heating in simulated multistranded coronal loops. *Astrophys. J.* **883**(1), 20 (2019a). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab338e>. 1907.08013
- M. Guo, T. Van Doorselaere, K. Karamelas, B. Li, P. Antolin, I. De Moortel, Heating effects from driven transverse and Alfvén waves in coronal loops. *Astrophys. J.* **870**(2), 55 (2019b). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf1d0>. 1811.07608
- M. Hahn, D.W. Savin, Observational quantification of the energy dissipated by Alfvén waves in a polar coronal hole: evidence that waves drive the fast solar wind. *Astrophys. J.* **776**(2), 78 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/776/2/78>. 1302.5403
- M. Hahn, D.W. Savin, Evidence for wave heating of the quiet-sun corona. *Astrophys. J.* **795**(2), 111 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/2/111>. 1407.3250
- V. Hansteen, N. Guerreiro, B. De Pontieu, M. Carlsson, Numerical simulations of coronal heating through footpoint braiding. *Astrophys. J.* **811**(2), 106 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/106>. 1508.07234
- J. Heyvaerts, E.R. Priest, Coronal heating by phase-mixed shear Alfvén waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **117**, 220–234 (1983)
- A. Hillier, A. Barker, I. Arregui, H. Latter, On Kelvin-Helmholtz and parametric instabilities driven by coronal waves. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **482**(1), 1143–1153 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2742>. 1810.02773
- A. Hillier, T. Van Doorselaere, K. Karamelas, Estimating the energy dissipation from Kelvin-Helmholtz instability induced turbulence in oscillating coronal loops. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **897**(1), L13 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab9ca3>. 2007.09068
- J.V. Hollweg, Resonances of coronal loops. *Astrophys. J.* **277**, 392–403 (1984)
- J.V. Hollweg, On WKB expansions for Alfvén waves in the solar wind. *J. Geophys. Res.* **95**, 14873–14879 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1029/JA095iA09p14873>
- J.V. Hollweg, P.A. Isenberg, Reflection of Alfvén waves in the corona and solar wind: an impulse function approach. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* **112**, A08102 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JA012253>
- J.V. Hollweg, S. Jackson, D. Galloway, Alfvén waves in the solar atmosphere. III - Nonlinear waves on open flux tubes. *Sol. Phys.* **75**, 35–61 (1982). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00153458>

- G.G. Howes, K.D. Nielson, Alfvén wave collisions, the fundamental building block of plasma turbulence. I. Asymptotic solution. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**(7), 072302 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4812805.1306.1455>
- T.A. Howson, I. De Moortel, P. Antolin, Energetics of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability induced by transverse waves in twisted coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **607**, A77 (2017a). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731178.1708.04124>
- T.A. Howson, I. De Moortel, P. Antolin, The effects of resistivity and viscosity on the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in oscillating coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **602**, A74 (2017b). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630259.1703.02423>
- J.A. Isonson, *Astrophys. J.* **226**, 650–673 (1978)
- A. Jenkins, Self-oscillation. *Phys. Rep.* **525**(2), 167–222 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.10.007.1109.6640>
- D.B. Jess, M. Mathioudakis, R. Erdélyi, P.J. Crockett, F.P. Keenan, D.J. Christian, Alfvén waves in the lower solar atmosphere. *Science* **323**(5921), 1582 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1168680.0903.3546>
- D.B. Jess, T. Van Doorselaere, G. Verth, V. Fedun, S. Krishna Prasad, R. Erdélyi, P.H. Keys, S.D.T. Grant, H. Uitenbroek, D.J. Christian, An inside look at sunspot oscillations with higher azimuthal wavenumbers. *Astrophys. J.* **842**(1), 59 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa73d6.1705.06282>
- D.B. Jess, B. Snow, S.J. Houston, G.J.J. Botha, B. Fleck, S. Krishna Prasad, A. Asensio Ramos, R.J. Morton, P.H. Keys, S. Jafarzadeh, M. Stangalini, S.D.T. Grant, D.J. Christian, A chromospheric resonance cavity in a sunspot mapped with seismology. *Nat. Astron.* **4**, 220–227 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0945-2>
- K. Karamelas, T. Van Doorselaere, Simulations of fully deformed oscillating flux tubes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **610**, L9 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731646.1801.07657>
- K. Karamelas, T. Van Doorselaere, P. Antolin, Heating by transverse waves in simulated coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **604**, A130 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730598.1706.02640>
- K. Karamelas, T. Van Doorselaere, M. Guo, Wave heating in gravitationally stratified coronal loops in the presence of resistivity and viscosity. *Astron. Astrophys.* **623**, A53 (2019a). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834309.1901.02676>
- K. Karamelas, T. Van Doorselaere, D.J. Pascoe, M. Guo, P. Antolin, Amplitudes and energy fluxes of simulated decayless kink oscillations. *Front. Astron. Space Sci.* **6**, 38 (2019b). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2019.00038.1906.02001>
- P.H. Keys, R.J. Morton, D.B. Jess, G. Verth, S.D.T. Grant, M. Mathioudakis, D.H. Mackay, J.G. Doyle, D.J. Christian, F.P. Keenan, R. Erdélyi, Photospheric observations of surface and body modes in solar magnetic pores. *Astrophys. J.* **857**(1), 28 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab432.1803.01859>
- N. Kitagawa, T. Yokoyama, S. Imada, H. Hara, Mode identification of MHD waves in an active region observed with Hinode/EIS. *Astrophys. J.* **721**, 744–749 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/721/1/744.1008.1823>
- J.A. Klimchuk, On solving the coronal heating problem. *Sol. Phys.* **234**(1), 41–77 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-006-0055-z.astro-ph/0511841>
- D.Y. Kolotkov, V.M. Nakariakov, D.I. Zavershinskii, Damping of slow magnetoacoustic oscillations by the misbalance between heating and cooling processes in the solar corona. *Astron. Astrophys.* **628**, A133 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936072.1907.07051>
- D.Y. Kolotkov, T.J. Duckenfield, V.M. Nakariakov, Seismological constraints on the solar coronal heating function. *ArXiv:e-prints* (2020). [arXiv:2010.03364](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.03364)
- S. Krishna Prasad, J.O. Raes, T. Van Doorselaere, N. Magyar, D.B. Jess, The polytropic index of solar coronal plasma in sunspot fan loops and its temperature dependence. *Astrophys. J.* **868**(2), 149 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae9f5.1810.08449>
- T. Kudoh, K. Shibata, Alfvén wave model of spicules and coronal heating. *Astrophys. J.* **514**, 493–505 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1086/306930>
- P. Kumar, P.K. Manoharan, Eruption of a plasma blob, associated M-class flare, and large-scale extreme-ultraviolet wave observed by SDO. *Astron. Astrophys.* **553**, A109 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220283.1304.0165>
- M. Kuperus, J.A. Isonson, D.S. Spicer, On the theory of coronal heating mechanisms. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **19**, 7–40 (1981). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.19.090181.000255>
- Y.T. Lau, E. Siregar, Nonlinear Alfvén wave propagation in the solar wind. *Astrophys. J.* **465**, 451 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1086/177432>
- J.R. Lemen, A.M. Title, D.J. Akin, P.F. Boerner, C. Chou, J.F. Drake, D.W. Duncan, C.G. Edwards, F.M. Friedlaender, G.F. Heyman, N.E. Hurlburt, N.L. Katz, G.D. Kushner, M. Levay, R.W. Lindgren, D.P. Mathur, E.L. McFeaters, S. Mitchell, R.A. Rehse, C.J. Schrijver, L.A. Springer, R.A. Stern, T.D. Tarbell, J.P. Wuelser, C.J. Wolfson, C. Yanari, J.A. Bookbinder, P.N. Cheimets, D. Caldwell, E.E. Deluca,

- R. Gates, L. Golub, S. Park, W.A. Podgorski, R.I. Bush, P.H. Scherrer, M.A. Gummin, P. Smith, G. Aufer, P. Jerram, P. Pool, R. Souffii, D.L. Windt, S. Beardsley, M. Clapp, J. Lang, N. Waltham, The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Sol. Phys.* **275**(1–2), 17–40 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8>
- B. Leroy, Propagation of waves in an atmosphere in the presence of a magnetic field. II - The reflection of Alfvén waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **91**(1–2), 136–146 (1980)
- W. Liu, L. Ofman, Advances in observing various coronal EUV waves in the SDO era and their seismological applications (Invited Review). *Sol. Phys.* **289**, 3233–3277 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-014-0528-4>. 1404.0670
- W. Liu, N.V. Nitta, C.J. Schrijver, A.M. Title, T.D. Tarbell, First SDO AIA observations of a global coronal EUV “wave”: multiple components and “ripples”. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **723**, L53–L59 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/723/1/L53>. 1201.0815
- W. Liu, A.M. Title, J. Zhao, L. Ofman, C.J. Schrijver, M.J. Aschwanden, B. De Pontieu, T.D. Tarbell, Direct imaging of quasi-periodic fast propagating waves of $\sim 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the low solar corona by the solar dynamics observatory atmospheric imaging assembly. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **736**, L13 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/736/1/L13>. 1106.3150
- W. Liu, L. Ofman, N.V. Nitta, M.J. Aschwanden, C.J. Schrijver, A.M. Title, T.D. Tarbell, Quasi-periodic fast-mode wave trains within a global EUV wave and sequential transverse oscillations detected by SDO/AIA. *Astrophys. J.* **753**, 52 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/52>. 1204.5470
- W. Liu, L. Ofman, B. Broder, M. Karlický, C. Downs, Quasi-periodic fast-mode magnetosonic wave trains within coronal waveguides associated with flares and CMEs. *Am. Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1720**, 040010 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943821>. 1512.07930
- N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, The instability and non-existence of multi-stranded loops when driven by transverse waves. *Astrophys. J.* **823**, 82 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/2/82>. 1604.04078
- N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, Assessing the capabilities of dynamic coronal seismology of Alfvénic waves through forward modeling. *Astrophys. J.* **856**, 144 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab42c>. 1804.02175
- N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, A. Marcu, Numerical simulations of transverse oscillations in radiatively cooling coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **582**, A117 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201526287>. 1510.08760
- N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, M. Goossens, Generalized phase mixing: turbulence-like behaviour from unidirectionally propagating MHD waves. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 14820 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13660-1>
- N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, M. Goossens, Understanding uniturbulence: self-cascade of MHD waves in the presence of inhomogeneities. *Astrophys. J.* **882**(1), 50 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab357c>. 1907.10408
- T. Matsumoto, Competition between shock and turbulent heating in coronal loop system. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **463**(1), 502–511 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2032>. 1606.06019
- T. Matsumoto, Thermal responses in a coronal loop maintained by wave heating mechanisms. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **476**(3), 3328–3335 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty490>. 1712.07377
- T. Matsumoto, K. Shibata, Nonlinear propagation of Alfvén waves driven by observed photospheric motions: application to the coronal heating and spicule formation. *Astrophys. J.* **710**(2), 1857–1867 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/2/1857>. 1001.4307
- T. Matsumoto, T.K. Suzuki, Connecting the Sun and the solar wind: the self-consistent transition of heating mechanisms. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **440**(2), 971–986 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu310>. 1402.0316
- S.W. McIntosh, B. De Pontieu, Estimating the “dark” energy content of the solar corona. *Astrophys. J.* **761**, 138 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/761/2/138>. 1211.4178
- S.W. McIntosh, B. De Pontieu, M. Carlsson, V. Hansteen, P. Boerner, M. Goossens, Alfvénic waves with sufficient energy to power the quiet solar corona and fast solar wind. *Nature* **475**, 477–480 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10235>
- J.A. McLaughlin, A.W. Hood, MHD wave propagation in the neighbourhood of a two-dimensional null point. *Astron. Astrophys.* **420**, 1129–1140 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20035900>. 0712.1792
- J.A. McLaughlin, A.W. Hood, Magnetohydrodynamics wave propagation in the neighbourhood of two dipoles. *Astron. Astrophys.* **452**(2), 603–613 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20054575>. 0712.1784
- J.A. McLaughlin, L. Ofman, Three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic wave behavior in active regions: individual loop density structure. *Astrophys. J.* **682**(2), 1338–1350 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1086/588799>

- J.A. McLaughlin, I. De Moortel, A.W. Hood, C.S. Brady, Nonlinear fast magnetoacoustic wave propagation in the neighbourhood of a 2D magnetic X-point: oscillatory reconnection. *Astron. Astrophys.* **493**(1), 227–240 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200810465>. 0901.1781
- J.A. McLaughlin, I. de Moortel, A.W. Hood, Phase mixing of nonlinear visco-resistive Alfvén waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **527**, A149 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015552>. 1101.5945
- M. Montes-Solis, I. Arregui, Quantifying the evidence for resonant damping of coronal waves with foot-point wave power asymmetry. *Astron. Astrophys.* **640**, L17 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937237>. 2008.03004
- S. Moriyasu, T. Kudoh, T. Yokoyama, K. Shibata, The nonlinear Alfvén wave model for solar coronal heating and nanoflares. *Astrophys. J.* **601**, L107–L110 (2004)
- R.J. Morton, G. Verth, D.B. Jess, D. Kuridze, M.S. Ruderman, M. Mathioudakis, R. Erdélyi, Observations of ubiquitous compressive waves in the Sun’s chromosphere. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 1315 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2324>. 1306.4124
- R.J. Morton, S. Tomczyk, R. Pinto, Investigating Alfvénic wave propagation in coronal open-field regions. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7813 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8813>
- R.J. Morton, S. Tomczyk, R.F. Pinto, A global view of velocity fluctuations in the corona below $1.3 R_{\odot}$ with CoMP. *Astrophys. J.* **828**, 89 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/828/2/89>. 1608.01831
- R.J. Morton, M.J. Weberg, J.A. McLaughlin, A basal contribution from p-modes to the Alfvénic wave flux in the Sun’s corona. *Nat. Astron.* (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-018-0668-9>. 1902.03811
- K. Murawski, Z.E. Musielak, Linear Alfvén waves in the solar atmosphere. *Astron. Astrophys.* **518**, A37 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014394>
- V.M. Nakariakov, L. Ofman, E.E. DeLuca, B. Roberts, J.M. Davila, TRACE observations of damped coronal loop oscillations: implications for coronal heating. *Science* **285**, 862–864 (1999)
- V.M. Nakariakov, L. Ofman, T.D. Arber, Nonlinear dissipative spherical Alfvén waves in solar coronal holes. *Astron. Astrophys.* **353**, 741–748 (2000)
- V.M. Nakariakov, V. Pilipenko, B. Heilig, P. Jelínek, M. Karlický, D.Y. Klimushkin, D.Y. Kolotkov, D.H. Lee, G. Nisticò, T. Van Doorselaere, G. Verth, I.V. Zimovets, Magnetohydrodynamic oscillations in the solar corona and Earth’s magnetosphere: towards consolidated understanding. *Space Sci. Rev.* **200**, 75–203 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-015-0233-0>
- V.M. Nakariakov, A.N. Afanasyev, S. Kumar, Y.J. Moon, Effect of local thermal equilibrium misbalance on long-wavelength slow magnetoacoustic waves. *Astrophys. J.* **849**(1), 62 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa8ea3>
- V.M. Nakariakov, M.K. Kosak, D.Y. Kolotkov, S.A. Anfinogentov, P. Kumar, Y.J. Moon, Properties of slow magnetoacoustic oscillations of solar coronal loops by multi-instrumental observations. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **874**(1), L1 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab0c9f>
- V.M. Nakariakov, S.A. Anfinogentov, P. Antolin, R. Jain, D.Y. Kolotkov, E.G. Kupriyanova, D. Li, N. Magyar, G. Nisticò, D.J. Pascoe, A.K. Srivastava, J. Terradas, S. Vashegani Farahani, G. Verth, D. Yuan, Kink oscillations of coronal loops. *Space Sci. Rev.* (2020). this issue
- A. Nechaeva, I.V. Zimovets, V.M. Nakariakov, C.R. Goddard, Catalog of decaying kink oscillations of coronal loops in the 24th solar cycle. *Astrophys. J.* **t241**, 31 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab0e86>
- Z. Ning, W. Cao, T.J. Okamoto, K. Ichimoto, Z.Q. Qu, Small-scale oscillations in a quiescent prominence observed by HINODE/SOT. Prominence oscillations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **499**, 595–600 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200810853>
- G. Nisticò, V.M. Nakariakov, E. Verwichte, Decaying and decayless transverse oscillations of a coronal loop. *Astron. Astrophys.* **552**, A57 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220676>
- G. Nisticò, D.J. Pascoe, V.M. Nakariakov, Observation of a high-quality quasi-periodic rapidly propagating wave train using SDO/AIA. *Astron. Astrophys.* **569**, A12 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201423763>
- K. Nykyri, A. Otto, Influence of the Hall term on KH instability and reconnection inside KH vortices. *Ann. Geophys.* **22**, 935–949 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-22-935-2004>
- L. Ofman, MHD waves and heating in coronal holes. *Space Sci. Rev.* **120**(1–2), 67–94 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-005-5098-1>
- L. Ofman, Wave modeling of the solar wind. *Living Rev. Sol. Phys.* **7**(1), 4 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrsp-2010-4>
- L. Ofman, J.M. Davila, Alfvén wave heating of coronal holes and the relation to the high-speed solar wind. *J. Geophys. Res.* **100**(A12), 23413–23426 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JA02222>
- L. Ofman, J.M. Davila, Solar wind acceleration by large-amplitude nonlinear waves: parametric study. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**(A10), 23677–23690 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1029/98JA01996>
- L. Ofman, W. Liu, Quasi-periodic counter-propagating fast magnetosonic wave trains from neighboring flares: SDO/AIA observations and 3D MHD modeling. *Astrophys. J.* **860**(1), 54 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aac2e8>. 1805.00365

- L. Ofman, J.M. Davila, R.S. Steinolfson, Nonlinear studies of coronal heating by the resonant absorption of Alfvén waves. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **21**, 2259 (1994)
- L. Ofman, J.A. Klimchuk, J.M. Davila, A self-consistent model for the resonant heating of coronal loops: the effects of coupling with the chromosphere. *Astrophys. J.* **493**, 474 (1998). <https://doi.org/10.1086/305109>
- L. Ofman, V.M. Nakariakov, C.E. DeForest, Slow magnetosonic waves in coronal plumes. *Astrophys. J.* **514**(1), 441–447 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1086/306944>
- L. Ofman, W. Liu, A. Title, M. Aschwanden, Modeling super-fast magnetosonic waves observed by SDO in active region funnels. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **740**, L33 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/740/2/L33>
- T.J. Okamoto, B. De Pontieu, Propagating waves along spicules. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **736**(2), L24 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/736/2/L24>. 1106.4270
- T.J. Okamoto, P. Antolin, B. De Pontieu, H. Uitenbroek, T. Van Doorselaere, T. Yokoyama, Resonant absorption of transverse oscillations and associated heating in a solar prominence. I. Observational aspects. *Astrophys. J.* **809**, 71 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/809/1/71>. 1506.08965
- R. Oran, E. Landi, B. van der Holst, I.V. Sokolov, T.I. Gombosi, Alfvén wave turbulence as a coronal heating mechanism: simultaneously predicting the heating rate and the wave-induced emission line broadening. *Astrophys. J.* **845**(2), 98 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7fec>
- P. Pagano, I. De Moortel, Contribution of mode-coupling and phase-mixing of Alfvén waves to coronal heating. *Astron. Astrophys.* **601**, A107 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630059>
- P. Pagano, I. De Moortel, Contribution of observed multi frequency spectrum of Alfvén waves to coronal heating. *Astron. Astrophys.* **623**, A37 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834158>. 1901.02310
- P. Pagano, D.J. Pascoe, I. De Moortel, Contribution of phase-mixing of Alfvén waves to coronal heating in multi-harmonic loop oscillations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **616**, A125 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201732251>. 1804.10562
- P. Pagano, H.J.P. Van Damme, P. Antolin, I. De Moortel, MHD simulations of the in situ generation of kink and sausage waves in the solar corona by collision of dense plasma clumps. *Astron. Astrophys.* **626**, A53 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935539>. 1905.03749
- V. Pant, N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, R.J. Morton, Investigating “dark” energy in the solar corona using forward modeling of MHD waves. *Astrophys. J.* **881**(2), 95 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab2da3>. 1906.10941
- E.N. Parker, Equilibrium of magnetic fields with arbitrary interweaving of the lines of force. II. Discontinuities in the field. *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.* **35**(4), 277–301 (1986). <https://doi.org/10.1080/03091928608245896>
- E.N. Parker, The phase mixing of Alfvén waves, coordinated modes, and coronal heating. *Astrophys. J.* **376**, 355–363 (1991). <https://doi.org/10.1086/170285>
- C.E. Parnell, I. De Moortel A contemporary view of coronal heating. *R. Soc. Lond. Philos. J Trans. Ser A* **370**, 3217–3240 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2012.0113>. 1206.6097
- D.J. Pascoe, I. De Moortel Standing kink modes in three-dimensional coronal loops. *Astrophys. J.* **784**, 101 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/784/2/101>
- D.J. Pascoe, A.N. Wright, I. De Moortel, Coupled Alfvén and kink oscillations in coronal loops. *Astrophys. J.* **711**, 990–996 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/711/2/990>
- D.J. Pascoe, A.N. Wright, I. De Moortel, Propagating coupled Alfvén and kink oscillations in an arbitrary inhomogeneous corona. *Astrophys. J.* **731**, 73 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/73>
- D.J. Pascoe, A.J.B. Russell, S.A. Anfinogentov, P.J.A. Simões, C.R. Goddard, V.M. Nakariakov, L. Fletcher, Seismology of contracting and expanding coronal loops using damping of kink oscillations by mode coupling. *Astron. Astrophys.* **607**, A8 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730915>
- D.J. Pascoe, A. Smyrli, T. Van Doorselaere, Tracking and seismological analysis of multiple coronal loops in an active region. *Astrophys. J.* **898**(2), 126 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aba0a6>
- D.J. Pascoe, I. De Moortel J.A. McLaughlin, Impulsively generated oscillations in a 3D coronal loop. *Astron. Astrophys.* **505**, 319–327 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912270>
- J.C. Perez, B.D.G. Chandran, Direct numerical simulations of reflection-driven, reduced magnetohydrodynamic turbulence from the sun to the Alfvén critical point. *Astrophys. J.* **776**, 124 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/776/2/124>
- J.H. Piddington, Solar atmospheric heating by hydromagnetic waves. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **116**, 314 (1956). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/116.3.314>
- S. Poedts, M. Goossens, W. Kerner, On the efficiency of coronal loop heating by resonant absorption. *Astrophys. J.* **360**, 279 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1086/169118>
- Z.N. Qu, L.Q. Jiang, S.L. Chen, Observations of a fast-mode magnetosonic wave propagating along a curving coronal loop on 2011 November 11. *Astrophys. J.* **851**, 41 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9beb>

- F. Reale, Coronal loops: observations and modeling of confined plasma. *Living Rev. Sol. Phys.* **11**(1), 4 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.12942/lrsp-2014-4>
- J.W. Reep, S.J. Bradshaw, J.A. Klimchuk, Diagnosing the time dependence of active region core heating from the emission measure. II. Nanoflare trains. *Astrophys. J.* **764**(2), 193 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/764/2/193>. 1303.4466
- M. Rempel, Extension of the MURaM radiative MHD code for coronal simulations. *Astrophys. J.* **834**(1), 10 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/834/1/10>. 1609.09818
- S. Rial, I. Arregui, J. Terradas, R. Oliver, J.L. Ballester, Three-dimensional propagation of magnetohydrodynamic waves in solar coronal arcades. *Astrophys. J.* **713**(1), 651–661 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/713/1/651>. 1002.0469
- R. Rosner, W.H. Tucker, G.S. Vaiana, Dynamics of the quiescent solar corona. *Astrophys. J.* **220**, 643–645 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1086/155949>
- M.S. Ruderman, D. Berghmans, M. Goossens, S. Poedts, Direct excitation of resonant torsional Alfvén waves by footpoint motions. *Astron. Astrophys.* **320**, 305–318 (1997a)
- M.S. Ruderman, M. Goossens, J.L. Ballester, R. Oliver, Resonant Alfvén waves in coronal arcades driven by footpoint motions. *Astron. Astrophys.* **328**, 361–370 (1997b)
- A.J.B. Russell, P.J.A. Simões, L. Fletcher, A unified view of coronal loop contraction and oscillation in flares. *Astron. Astrophys.* **581**, A8 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525746>. 1506.07716
- S. Sabri, S. Vasheghani Farahani, H. Ebadi, M. Hosseinpour, Z. Fazel, Alfvén wave dynamics at the neighbourhood of a 2.5D magnetic null-point. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **479**(4), 4991–4997 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1407>
- C.J. Schrijver, A.M. Title, T.E. Berger, L. Fletcher, N.E. Hurlburt, R.W. Nightingale, R.A. Shine, T.D. Tarbell, J. Wolfson, L. Golub, J.A. Bookbinder, E.E. Deluca, R.A. McMullen, H.P. Warren, C.C. Kankelborg, B.N. Handy, B. de Pontieu, A new view of the solar outer atmosphere by the transition region and coronal explorer. *Sol. Phys.* **187**, 261–302 (1999)
- D.H. Sekse, L. Rouppe van der Voort, B. De Pontieu, E. Scullion, Interplay of three kinds of motion in the disk counterpart of type II spicules: upflow, transversal, and torsional motions. *Astrophys. J.* **769**, 44 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/769/1/44>. 1304.2304
- M. Selwa, L. Ofman, 3-D numerical simulations of coronal loops oscillations. *Ann. Geophys.* **27**(10), 3899–3908 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.5194/angeo-27-3899-2009>
- Y.D. Shen, Y. Liu, Observational study of the quasi-periodic fast-propagating magnetosonic waves and the associated flare on 2011 May 30. *Astrophys. J.* **753**, 53 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/53>. 1204.6649
- Y.D. Shen, Y. Liu, J.T. Su, H. Li, X.F. Zhang, Z.J. Tian, R.J. Zhao, A. Elmhamdi, Observations of a quasi-periodic, fast-propagating magnetosonic wave in multiple wavelengths and its interaction with other magnetic structures. *Sol. Phys.* **288**, 585–602 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-013-0395-4>. 1307.6099
- Y.D. Shen, Y. Liu, T. Song, Z. Tian, A quasi-periodic fast-propagating magnetosonic wave associated with the eruption of a magnetic flux rope. *ArXiv e-prints* (2017). 1712.09045
- Y. Shen, Y. Liu, T. Song, Z. Tian, A quasi-periodic fast-propagating magnetosonic wave associated with the eruption of a magnetic flux rope. *Astrophys. J.* **853**, 1 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaa3ff>. 1712.09045
- M. Shoda, T.K. Suzuki, M. Asgari-Targhi, T. Yokoyama, Three-dimensional simulation of the fast solar wind driven by compressible magnetohydrodynamic turbulence. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **880**(1), L2 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab2b45>. 1905.11685
- J. Singh, T. Sakurai, K. Ichimoto, S. Muneer, A.V. Raveendran, Spectroscopic studies of solar corona VIII. Temperature and non-thermal variations in steady coronal structures. *Sol. Phys.* **236**, 245–262 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-006-0104-7>
- R. Soler, J. Terradas, Magnetohydrodynamic kink waves in nonuniform solar flux tubes: phase mixing and energy cascade to small scales. *Astrophys. J.* **803**(1), 43 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/43>. 1502.03949
- R. Soler, J. Terradas, R. Oliver, J.L. Ballester, Propagation of torsional Alfvén waves from the photosphere to the corona: reflection, transmission, and heating in expanding flux tubes. *Astrophys. J.* **840**(1), 20 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa6d7f>
- R. Soler, J. Terradas, R. Oliver, J.L. Ballester, Energy transport and heating by torsional Alfvén waves propagating from the photosphere to the corona in the quiet Sun. *Astrophys. J.* **871**(1), 3 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaf64c>. 1812.01323
- D.S. Spicer, An unstable arch model of a solar flare. *Sol. Phys.* **53**(2), 305–345 (1977). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00160276>

- A.K. Srivastava, J. Shetye, K. Murawski, J.G. Doyle, M. Stangalini, E. Scullion, T. Ray, D.P. Wójcik, B.N. Dwivedi, High-frequency torsional Alfvén waves as an energy source for coronal heating. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 43147 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep43147>
- A.K. Srivastava, S.K. Mishra, P. Jelínek, T. Samanta, H. Tian, V. Pant, P. Kayshap, D. Banerjee, J.G. Doyle, B.N. Dwivedi, On the observations of rapid forced reconnection in the solar corona. *Astrophys. J.* **887**(2), 137 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab4a0c>. 1901.07971
- M. Stangalini, S. Jafarzadeh, I. Ermolli, R. Erdélyi, D.B. Jess, P.H. Keys, F. Giorgi, M. Murabito, F. Berrilli, D. Del Moro, Propagating spectropolarimetric disturbances in a large sunspot. *Astrophys. J.* **869**(2), 110 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaec7b>. 1810.12595
- A.V. Stepanov, V.V. Zaitsev, V.M. Nakariakov, *Coronal Seismology: Waves and Oscillations in Stellar Coronae Flare Plasma* (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527645985>
- T.K. Suzuki, Coronal heating and wind acceleration by nonlinear Alfvén waves? Global simulations with gravity, radiation, and conduction. *Nonlinear Process. Geophys.* **15**(2), 295–304 (2008). <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00302984>
- T.K. Suzuki, Solar wind and its evolution. *Earth Planets Space* **64**(2), 201–206 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2011.04.012>. 1104.3660
- T.K. Suzuki, S. Inutsuka, Making the corona and the fast solar wind: a self-consistent simulation for the low-frequency Alfvén waves from the photosphere to 0.3 AU. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **632**, L49–L52 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1086/497536>. arXiv:astro-ph/0506639
- T.K. Suzuki, S.I. Inutsuka, Solar winds driven by nonlinear low-frequency Alfvén waves from the photosphere: parametric study for fast/slow winds and disappearance of solar winds. *J. Geophys. Res. Space Phys.* **111**(A6), A06101 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JA011502>. astro-ph/0511006
- J. Terradas, I. Arregui, Temporal and spatial scales for coronal heating by Alfvén wave dissipation in transverse loop oscillations. *Res. Notes Am. Astron. Soc.* **2**(4), 196 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/2515-5172/aaeb26>
- J. Terradas, J. Andries, M. Goossens, Coronal loop oscillations: energy considerations and initial value problem. *Astron. Astrophys.* **469**, 1135–1143 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/20077404>
- J. Terradas, J. Andries, M. Goossens, I. Arregui, R. Oliver, J.L. Ballester, Nonlinear instability of kink oscillations due to shear motions. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **687**, L115–L118 (2008a). <https://doi.org/10.1086/593203>. 0809.3664
- J. Terradas, I. Arregui, R. Oliver, J.L. Ballester, J. Andries, M. Goossens, Resonant absorption in complicated plasma configurations: applications to multistranded coronal loop oscillations. *Astrophys. J.* **679**, 1611–1620 (2008b). <https://doi.org/10.1086/586733>. arXiv:0802.0591
- J. Terradas, N. Magyar, T. Van Doorselaere, Effect of magnetic twist on nonlinear transverse kink oscillations of line-tied magnetic flux tubes. *Astrophys. J.* **853**, 35 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9d0f>
- J.O. Thurgood, J.A. McLaughlin, Nonlinear Alfvén wave dynamics at a 2D magnetic null point: ponderomotive force. *Astron. Astrophys.* **555**, A86 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321338>. 1305.7073
- H. Tian, S.W. McIntosh, T. Wang, L. Ofman, B. De Pontieu, D.E. Innes, H. Peter, Persistent Doppler shift oscillations observed with hinode/EIS in the solar corona: spectroscopic signatures of Alfvénic waves and recurring upflows. *Astrophys. J.* **759**, 144 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/759/2/144>. 1209.5286
- H. Tian, E.E. DeLuca, S.R. Cranmer, B. De Pontieu, H. Peter, J. Martínez-Sykora, L. Golub, S. McKillop, K.K. Reeves, M.P. Miralles, P. McCauley, S. Saar, P. Testa, M. Weber, N. Murphy, J. Lemen, A. Title, P. Boerner, N. Hurlburt, T.D. Tarbell, J.P. Wuelser, L. Kleint, C. Kankelborg, S. Jaeggli, M. Carlsson, V. Hansteen, S.W. McIntosh, Prevalence of small-scale jets from the networks of the solar transition region and chromosphere. *Science* **346**(6207), 1255711 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1255711>. 1410.6143
- S. Tomczyk, S.W. McIntosh, Time-distance seismology of the solar corona with CoMP. *Astrophys. J.* **697**, 1384–1391 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/697/2/1384>. 0903.2002
- S. Tomczyk, S.W. McIntosh, S.L. Keil, P.G. Judge, T. Schad, D.H. Seeley, J. Edmondson, Alfvén waves in the solar corona. *Science* **317**(5842), 1192–1196 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1143304>. <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/317/5842/1192>. <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/reprint/317/5842/1192.pdf>
- S. Tomczyk, G.L. Card, T. Darnell, D.F. Elmore, R. Lull, P.G. Nelson, K.V. Streander, J. Burkepile, R. Casini, P.G. Judge, An instrument to measure coronal emission line polarization. *Sol. Phys.* **247**(2), 411–428 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-007-9103-6>
- C.Y. Tu, C. Zhou, E. Marsch, L.D. Xia, L. Zhao, J.X. Wang, K. Wilhelm, Solar wind origin in coronal funnels. *Science* **308**(5721), 519–523 (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109447>

- Y. Uchida, O. Kaburaki, Excess heating of corona and chromosphere above magnetic regions by non-linear Alfvén waves. *Sol. Phys.* **35**, 451–466 (1974). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00151968>
- A.V. Usmanov, W.H. Matthaeus, M.L. Goldstein, R. Chhiber, The steady global corona and solar wind: a three-dimensional MHD simulation with turbulence transport and heating. *Astrophys. J.* **865**(1), 25 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aad687>
- A.A. van Ballegooijen, M. Asgari-Targhi, The heating of coronal loops in solar active regions, in *Journal of Physics Conference Series*. Journal of Physics Conference Series, vol. 1100 (2018), p. p 012027. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1100/1/012027>
- A.A. van Ballegooijen, M. Asgari-Targhi, S.R. Cranmer SR, E.E. DeLuca, Heating of the solar chromosphere and corona by Alfvén wave turbulence. *Astrophys. J.* **736**, 3 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/736/1/3.1105.0402>
- A.A. van Ballegooijen, M. Asgari-Targhi, A. Voss, The heating of solar coronal loops by Alfvén wave turbulence. *Astrophys. J.* **849**, 46 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa9118>. 1710.05074
- H.J. Van Damme, I. De Moortel, P. Pagano, C.D. Johnston, Chromospheric evaporation and phase mixing of Alfvén waves in coronal loops. *Astron. Astrophys.* **635**, A174 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937266>
- B. van der Holst, I.V. Sokolov, X. Meng, M. Jin, W.B. Manchester IV, G. Tóth, T.I. Gombosi, Alfvén Wave Solar Model (AWSOM): coronal heating. *Astrophys. J.* **782**, 81 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/782/2/81.1311.4093>
- T. Van Doorselaere, V.M. Nakariakov, E. Verwichte, Detection of waves in the solar corona: kink or Alfvén? *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **676**, L73–L75 (2008)
- T. Van Doorselaere, S.E. Gijzen, J. Andries, G. Verth, Energy propagation by transverse waves in multiple flux tube systems using filling factors. *Astrophys. J.* **795**, 18 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/1/18>
- S. Vasheghani Farahani, S.M. Hejazi, Coronal jet collimation by nonlinear induced flows. *Astrophys. J.* **844**(2), 148 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7da5>
- S. Vasheghani Farahani, V.M. Nakariakov, T. Van Doorselaere, Long-wavelength torsional modes of solar coronal plasma structures. *Astron. Astrophys.* **517**, A29 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014502>
- S. Vasheghani Farahani, V.M. Nakariakov, T. Van Doorselaere, E. Verwichte, Nonlinear long-wavelength torsional Alfvén waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **526**, A80 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201016063>
- S. Vasheghani Farahani, V.M. Nakariakov, E. Verwichte, T. Van Doorselaere, Nonlinear evolution of torsional Alfvén waves. *Astron. Astrophys.* **544**, A127 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219569>
- G. Verth, J. Terradas, M. Goossens, Observational evidence of resonantly damped propagating kink waves in the solar corona. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **718**(2), L102–L105 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L102.1007.1080>
- R.W. Walsh, J. Ireland, The heating of the solar corona. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **12**(1), 1–41 (2003). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-003-0021-9>
- T. Wang, Standing slow-mode waves in hot coronal loops: observations, modeling, and coronal seismology. *Space Sci. Rev.* **158**, 397–419 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9716-1>. 1011.2483
- T.J. Wang, in *Waves in Solar Coronal Loops*. Washington DC American Geophysical Union Geophysical Monograph Series, vol. 216 (2016), pp. 395–418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119055006.ch23.1803.11329>
- T. Wang, L. Ofman, J.M. Davila, Y. Su, Growing transverse oscillations of a multistranded loop observed by SDO/AIA. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **751**(2), L27 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/751/2/L27.1204.1376>
- T.J. Wang, L. Ofman, D. Yuan, F. Reale, D.Y. Kolotkov, A.K. Srivastava, Slow-mode magnetoacoustic waves in coronal loops. *Space Sci. Rev.* (2020). this issue
- J. Warnecke, F. Chen, S. Bingert, H. Peter, Current systems of coronal loops in 3D MHD simulations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **607**, A53 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201630095.1611.06170>
- G.L. Withbroe, R.W. Noyes, Mass and energy flow in the solar chromosphere and corona. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **15**, 363–387 (1977). <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.15.090177.002051>
- D. Wójcik, K. Murawski, Z.E. Musielak, P. Konkol, A. Mignone, Numerical simulations of torsional Alfvén waves in axisymmetric solar magnetic flux tubes. *Sol. Phys.* **292**(2), 31 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11207-017-1058-7>. 1701.04594
- D. Wójcik, B. Kuzma, K. Murawski, Z.E. Musielak, Wave heating of the solar atmosphere without shocks. *Astron. Astrophys.* **635**, A28 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936938>

- L.N. Woolsey, S.R. Cranmer, Time-dependent turbulent heating of open flux tubes in the chromosphere, corona, and solar wind. *Astrophys. J.* **811**(2), 136 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/811/2/136.1509.00377>
- Z. Yang, C. Bethge, H. Tian, S. Tomczyk, R. Morton, G. Del Zanna, S.W. McIntosh, B.B. Karak, S. Gibson, T. Samanta, J. He, Y. Chen, L. Wang, Global maps of the magnetic field in the solar corona. *Science* **369**(6504), 694–697 (2020a). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb4462>. 2008.03136
- Z. Yang, H. Tian, S. Tomczyk, R. Morton, X. Bai, T. Samanta, Y. Chen, Mapping the magnetic field in the solar corona through magnetoseismology. *Sci. China, Technol. Sci.* **63**(11), 2357–2368 (2020b). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11431-020-1706-9>. 2008.03146
- D. Yuan, Y.D. Shen, Y. Liu, V.M. Nakariakov, B. Tan, J. Huang, Distinct propagating fast wave trains associated with flaring energy releases. *Astron. Astrophys.* **554**, A144 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201321435>
- D.I. Zavershinskii, D.Y. Kolotkov, V.M. Nakariakov, N.E. Molevich, D.S. Ryashchikov, Formation of quasi-periodic slow magnetoacoustic wave trains by the heating/cooling misbalance. *Phys. Plasmas* **26**(8), 082113 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5115224>. 1907.08168
- Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Wang, V.M. Nakariakov, Coexisting fast and slow propagating waves of the extreme-UV intensity in solar coronal plasma structures. *Astron. Astrophys.* **581**, A78 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525621>
- Y.D. Zhugzhda, Force-free thin flux tubes: basic equations and stability. *Phys. Plasmas* **3**, 10–21 (1996). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.871836>
- I.V. Zimovets, V.M. Nakariakov, Excitation of kink oscillations of coronal loops: statistical study. *Astron. Astrophys.* **577**, A4 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201424960>