

Magnetic Reconnection Rates and Energy Release in a Confined X-class Flare

A.M. Veronig¹ · W. Polanec1

Received: 23 May 2015 / Accepted: 21 September 2015 / Published online: 20 October 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Abstract We study the energy-release process in the confined X1.6 flare that occurred on 22 October 2014 in AR 12192. Magnetic-reconnection rates and reconnection fluxes are derived from three different data sets: space-based data from the *Atmospheric Imaging Assembly* (AIA) 1600 Å filter onboard the *Solar Dynamics Observatory* (SDO) and ground-based H α and Ca II K filtergrams from Kanzelhöhe Observatory. The magneticreconnection rates determined from the three data sets all closely resemble the temporal profile of the hard X-rays measured by the *Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager* (RHESSI), which are a proxy for the flare energy released into high-energy electrons. The total magnetic-reconnection flux derived lies between 4.1×10^{21} Mx (AIA 1600 Å) and 7.9×10^{21} Mx (H α), which corresponds to about 2 to 4 % of the total unsigned flux of the strong source AR. Comparison of the magnetic-reconnection flux dependence on the GOES class for 27 eruptive events collected from previous studies (covering B to *>*X10 class flares) reveals a correlation coefficient of ≈ 0.8 in double-logarithmic space. The confined X1.6 class flare under study lies well within the distribution of the eruptive flares. The event shows a large initial separation of the flare ribbons and no separation motion during the flare. In addition, we note enhanced emission at flare-ribbon structures and hot loops connecting these structures *before* the event starts. These observations are consistent with the emerging-flux model, where newly emerging small flux tubes reconnect with pre-existing large coronal loops.

Keywords Flares: dynamics · Impulsive phase · Relation to magnetic field · Magnetic reconnection: observational signatures

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article

(doi:[10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6)) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 \boxtimes A.M. Veronig astrid.veronig@uni-graz.at W. Polanec wolfgang.polanec@uni-graz.at

¹ Kanzelhöhe Observatory for Solar and Environmental Research & Institute of Physics, University of Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

1. Introduction

Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) and flares are the most energetic phenomena in our solar system and the main causes of severe space-weather disturbances at Earth. CMEs and flares are both thought to be (different) consequences of instabilities and reconnection of coronal magnetic fields (*e.g.* reviews by Priest and Forbes, [2002](#page-18-0); Lin, Soon, and Baliunas, [2003;](#page-17-0) Forbes *et al.*, [2006](#page-17-1); Shibata and Magara, [2011](#page-18-1); Fletcher *et al.*, [2011;](#page-17-2) Wiegelmann, Thalmann, and Solanki, [2014\)](#page-19-0). In general, CMEs may occur without flares, and flares may occur without CMEs. However, the association rate is a steeply increasing function of the GOES flare class, and in the strongest events, both typically occur together. Yashiro *et al.* [\(2006](#page-19-1)) performed a careful statistical study of the CME-flare association, taking into account the varying visibility of the Thomson-scattered white-light emission from the CME structure depending on its direction of propagation with respect to the line of sight of the observing spacecraft. The resulting association rate of CMEs with flares is about 40 % for M1 flares, 75 % for X1 flares and approaches almost 100 % for flares of class X2 and above (*cf.* Figure 1 in Yashiro *et al.* [2006](#page-19-1)).

However, NOAA Active Region (AR) 12192, which rotated onto the visible solar hemisphere on 17 October 2014, revealed a strong contrast to this trend.¹ It developed to be the largest AR on the Sun since NOAA 6368 in November 1990 and is very distinct in its activity. AR 12192 was the most flare-prolific AR in the present Solar Cycle 24, being the source of 6 X-class flares and 29 M-class flares in addition to numerous smaller events. However, all of the major flares that it produced (*i.e.* all X-class flares and all M-class flares except one) were confined events, *i.e.* they were not associated with a CME (for nomenclature we refer to Švestka, [1986\)](#page-18-2). The only eruptions launched from AR 12192 were a narrow CME that occurred in association with the M4.0 flare on 24 October 2014 (Thalmann *et al.*, [2015;](#page-18-3) Chen *et al.*, [2015](#page-17-3)) and a CME that occurred on 14 October 2014 when the AR was still behind the east limb. This event was associated with a system of huge post-flare loop arcades reaching up to heights of 0.5 solar radii (West and Seaton, [2015\)](#page-19-2).

Thalmann *et al.* [\(2015](#page-18-3)), Sun *et al.* ([2015\)](#page-18-4), and Chen *et al.* ([2015\)](#page-17-3) studied AR 12192 to understand why it produced only confined flares. They all concluded that the main reason for the confinement is the strong (external) field overlying the AR core, where the flares took place, and its small decay index denoting that the horizontal magnetic field is only slowly decreasing with height. This finding is in line with previous studies of confined Mand X-class flares (Wang and Zhang, [2007;](#page-19-3) Cheng *et al.*, [2011](#page-17-4)). Török and Kliem [\(2005](#page-19-4)) showed that the decay of the background coronal magnetic field as a function of height is a decisive factor for the torus instability because it determines whether or not the instability of a flux rope can result in an eruption (leading to a CME). In addition, Sun *et al.* [\(2015](#page-18-4)) reported that while the core field of AR 12192 was very strong, it showed weaker nonpotentiality and smaller flare-related magnetic-field changes than other ARs that produced CME-associated X-class flares (for comparison, they studied ARs 11429 and 11158).

Thalmann *et al.* [\(2015](#page-18-3)) studied the X3.1 (24 October 2014), the X1.6 (22 October), and two M-class flares (including the eruptive M4.0 event on 24 October) produced by AR 12192. They pointed out that the confined M- and X-class flares took place in the core of the AR, whereas the single eruptive M-class flare was located on the southern border of the AR, close to neighboring open field regions. All confined flares under study showed a large initial separation of the two conjugate ribbons, indicating that the energy release

¹Note that AR 12192 already existed in the preceding Carrington rotation as NOAA AR 12172.

took place high in the corona, but they showed no separation motion during the flare evolution. The lack of flare-ribbon separation seems to be a general feature of confined flares (Kurokawa, [1989;](#page-17-5) Su, Golub, and Van Ballegooijen, [2007\)](#page-18-5). The detailed study of the X1.6 flare of 22 October 2014 revealed further interesting features: the hard X-ray (HXR) spectrum is very steep (δ > 5), and the total kinetic energy in flare-accelerated electrons derived $(E \approx 10^{25} \text{ J})$ is statistically an order of magnitude higher than in eruptive flares of GOES class X1 (*cf.* Emslie *et al.*, [2012\)](#page-17-6). In addition, Thalmann *et al.* ([2015](#page-18-3)) also identified repeated brightenings at certain locations of the flare ribbons during the impulsive phase, which was interpreted as evidence that the same magnetic-field structures were multiply involved in the

In the present article, we extend the study of the energy-release process in the $X1.6$ flare of 22 October 2014, which was well observed by various space-based and ground-based observatories. To this aim, we determine magnetic-reconnection fluxes from ground-based flare-ribbon observations (in H α and Ca II K filtergrams recorded at the Kanzelhöhe Observatory) in comparison to seeing-free UV imagery from space by the *Atmospheric Imaging Assembly* (AIA: Lemen *et al.*, [2012](#page-17-7)) onboard the *Solar Dynamics Observatory* (SDO). We also study the temporal evolution of the reconnection rates derived for the confined X-class flare in relation to the energy release manifested in the HXR radiation from flareaccelerated electrons. To our knowledge, this is the first study of magnetic-reconnection rates for a confined flare. The results are compared with previous studies that derived magnetic-reconnection fluxes for eruptive flares.

2. Magnetic Reconnection Rates

energy-release process.

Magnetic reconnection is a fundamental physical process in plasmas by which magnetic energy is efficiently converted into plasma heating, flows, and kinetic energy of accelerated particles. Reconnection is thought to play a decisive role in the dynamics and the vast energy release in solar flares and CMEs. While there is at present no means to obtain *in-situ* measurements of the reconnection process on the Sun (such as in laboratory plasmas and magnetospheric physics; *e.g.* the review by Zweibel and Yamada, [2009](#page-19-5)), high-cadence imaging of the solar plasma over a wide range of temperatures provides important insight into the dynamics of the magnetic-reconnection process. In particular, key observations include the long-known flare-ribbon separation and rising (post-)flare loop system. These are interpreted as a consequence of magnetic reconnection in a large-scale current sheet that forms behind an erupting CME, *cf.* the CSHKP standard model for eruptive flares (Carmichael, [1964;](#page-17-8) Sturrock, [1966;](#page-18-6) Hirayama, [1974;](#page-17-9) Kopp and Pneuman, [1976\)](#page-17-10). Recent observations provide more direct pieces of evidence such as the observations of hot cusp structures, reconnection inflows, outflows, signatures of currents sheets, above-the-loop-top HXR sources, and plasmoids, as well as direct EUV imaging of flare-related magnetic reconfigurations and changes inferred from coronal field extrapolations (*e.g.* Masuda *et al.*, [1994;](#page-18-7) Shibata *et al.*, [1995;](#page-18-8) Tsuneta, [1996;](#page-19-6) Yokoyama *et al.*, [2001;](#page-19-7) Lin *et al.*, [2005](#page-18-9); Sun *et al.*, [2012;](#page-18-10) Su *et al.*, [2013\)](#page-18-11).

The most ubiquitous observation signatures of the magnetic-reconnection process in solar flare/CME events are the evolution and separation of the flare ribbons. As a result of the magnetic connectivity between the chromospheric flare ribbons and the coronal reconnection region, it is possible to estimate the magnetic-reconnection rates from the observations. In 2.5D models, this connection provides a means to estimate the reconnection electric field in the corona $[E_c]$ from the local ribbon separation speed $[v_r]$ away from the polarity inversion line and the normal component $[B_n]$ of the photospheric magnetic-field strength at the flare-ribbon location as (*cf.* Forbes and Priest, [1984\)](#page-17-11)

$$
E_{\rm c} = v_{\rm r} B_{\rm n}.\tag{1}
$$

This relation has been applied to a number of flare studies (Poletto and Kopp, [1986;](#page-18-12) Qiu *et al.*, [2002](#page-18-13); Isobe *et al.*, [2002](#page-17-12); Qiu and Yurchyshyn, [2005;](#page-18-14) Asai *et al.*, [2004;](#page-17-13) Krucker, Fivian, and Lin, [2005](#page-17-14); Miklenic *et al.*, [2007](#page-18-15); Temmer *et al.*, [2007](#page-18-16)). As derived in these studies, *E*^c can reach several kV m[−]¹ in strong events, in particular at flare-ribbon locations that are cospatial with HXR footpoints, *i.e.* regions of strong energy deposition by precipitating electrons that are accelerated in the corona (*e.g.* Krucker, Fivian, and Lin, [2005;](#page-17-14) Miklenic *et al.*, [2007](#page-18-15); Temmer *et al.*, [2007\)](#page-18-16).

Forbes and Lin [\(2000](#page-17-15)) generalized this relation to three dimensions and showed that the surface magnetic flux swept by the flare ribbons relates to a global reconnection rate, describing the total voltage drop along a magnetic separator line. Because of initial line tying, the change in the photospheric field during the flare evolution is small $[\partial B/\partial t \approx 0]$, and the magnetic-flux change rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ can thus be derived from the observations as

$$
\dot{\varphi}(t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varphi}{\mathrm{d}t} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int B_{\mathrm{n}}(a) \,\mathrm{d}a,\tag{2}
$$

where d*a* is the newly brightened flare area at each instant and B_n the normal component of the photospheric magnetic-field strength encompassed by d*a*. This relation reflects the conservation of magnetic flux from the coronal reconnection site to the lower atmosphere where the bulk of the flare energy is deposited, causing the characteristic flare-ribbon brightening. The magnetic-flux change rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ defined in Equation ([2](#page-3-0)) is a global reconnection rate (in contrast to the local reconnection rate in Equation ([1\)](#page-3-1)), and gives the rate at which magnetic flux from formerly separated magnetic domains is unified through reconnection. In eruptive flares, it specifically describes the rate at which net open magnetic flux is converted into closed flux. Equation [\(2\)](#page-3-0) is valid in three dimensions. However, strictly speaking, it only holds for specific magnetic topologies, where well-defined separatrix surfaces and separator lines do exist and are sites of the reconnection process itself (Forbes and Lin, [2000\)](#page-17-15).

Hesse, Forbes, and Birn ([2005\)](#page-17-16) combined analytical and kinematic modeling of magnetic reconnection in the solar corona to study the relation between the reconnection electric field, the reconnection rate, and the change in magnetic connectivity as inferred from the photospheric field. Their aim was to develop a means by which reconnection rates can be established even if distinct topological features of the magnetic field, such as separators and separatrices, do not exist except in an approximate realization as quasi-separators and quasiseparatrices (*cf.* Demoulin *et al.*, [1996,](#page-17-17) [1997\)](#page-17-18). Hesse, Forbes, and Birn [\(2005](#page-17-16)) showed that the maximum of the field-line integrated parallel electric field is always directly related to the temporal change of the reconnected magnetic flux, *i.e.* independent of the magnetic topology. These findings support the applicability of Equation [\(2](#page-3-0)) to general magnetic topologies in solar flares, including also the conversion between two closed magnetic-flux systems (see, *e.g.*, the loop–loop reconnection observed in a confined C-class flare by Su *et al.*, [2013\)](#page-18-11). In the present article, we apply Equation [\(2\)](#page-3-0) to study the energy-release process in a *confined* X-class flare.

3.1. Data

We derived magnetic-reconnection rates and fluxes from the flare-ribbon evolution of the GOES class X1.6 flare (Hα importance 3B) that occurred on 22 October 2014 at heliographic position (S14°, E13°) in AR 12192 using three different data sets: H α and Ca II K filtergrams from the Kanzelhöhe Observatory (Pötzi *et al.*, [2015](#page-18-17)) and 1600 Å filtergrams from AIA. The start time of the event under study is 14:02 UT and the GOES peak occurred at 14:28 UT.

The Kanzelhöhe Observatory for Solar and Environmental Research (KSO: kso.ac.at) regularly performs high-cadence full-disk observations of the Sun in the Hα spectral line, the Ca II K spectral line, and in white light. The KSO $H\alpha$ telescope is a refractor with an aperture ratio of $d/f = 100/2000$ and a Lyot band-pass filter centered at 6563 Å with a FWHM of 0.7 Å. The H α images are recorded with a cadence of six seconds using a 12-bit $2k \times 2k$ CCD camera, resulting in a pixel size of 1". An automatic exposure-control system is in place to avoid saturation during flares (Pötzi *et al.*, [2015\)](#page-18-17). The KSO Ca II K telescope $(d/f = 110/1650)$ provides full-disk images of the Sun in the Ca II K spectral line centered at 3934 Å with an effective FWHM of 2.4 Å. The images are recorded by a 12-bit $2k \times 2k$ CCD camera with a pixel size of 1" (Hirtenfellner-Polanec *et al.*, [2011](#page-17-19)). For both series we used a reduced temporal cadence of about 12 seconds to capture significant changes in the flare-ribbon evolution from image to image. There are a few small data gaps during the observation interval, which we compensated for by linear interpolation of the derived parameter series to a continuous 12-second cadence.

AIA consists of four telescopes with normal-incidence, multilayer-coated optics to provide multiple high-cadence narrow-band imagery in seven extreme ultraviolet (EUV) and three UV channels with a resolution of 1.5". We studied the flare-ribbon evolution in the AIA 1600 Å filtergrams (including the C IV line and continuum emission). AIA 1600 Å images have a characteristic temperature response around $T = 10^5$ K and 5000 K, being sensitive to emission from the transition region and upper photosphere (Lemen *et al.*, [2012](#page-17-7)). The images have a pixel size of 0.6 " and are recorded by a 16-bit $4k \times 4k$ CCD at a cadence of 24 seconds. In addition, we studied the coronal response in AIA 94 Å (Fe XVIII) images available at a cadence of 12 seconds, which are sensitive to hot (flaring) plasma with a characteristic peak response at $T = 6.3 \times 10^6$ K.

The magnetic characteristics at the flare ribbons were studied in line-of-sight (LOS) magnetograms obtained by the *Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager* (HMI: Schou *et al.*, [2012\)](#page-18-18) onboard SDO. HMI delivers line-of-sight (LOS) photospheric magnetic field maps, full-vector magnetograms, dopplergrams, and continuum images of the full Sun with a spatial resolution of 1 ["] (pixel size 0.5"), based on the polarization signals measured in the 6173 Å Fe I absorption line. For the analysis, we used a low-noise 720-second HMI LOS magnetogram recorded at 13:58:15 UT (central time). The flare under consideration occurred close to the center of the solar disk, and thus the LOS field component provides a sensible estimate of the normal (radial) field B_n at the flare-ribbon location.

All images were reduced, rotated to solar North and corrected for solar differential rotation, using the same reference time of 13:58:15 UT. A subfield of $460'' \times 410''$ centered at $(x = -215^{\prime\prime}, y = -323^{\prime\prime})$ was selected in each observation series, and the pixel scale was rebinned and coregistered to the HMI LOS magnetogram. A simultaneously recorded HMI continuum image was used to coalign the HMI magnetogram and the ground-based data, applying spatial cross-correlation between subfields including the sunspots of AR 12192

as reference. In addition, the ground-based $H\alpha$ and Ca II K image series were each crosscorrelated in time to reduce the effects of image jitter and blurring that is due to seeing effects.

The flare-energy release evolution was studied in nonthermal HXRs that are due to bremsstrahlung of accelerated electrons using data from the *Ramaty High Energy Spectroscopic Imager* (RHESSI: Lin *et al.*, [2002\)](#page-18-19).

3.2. Data Analysis

To derive the magnetic-reconnection rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$, Equation ([2](#page-3-0)) was evaluated in a discretized manner independently for each of the three data sets, *i.e.* KSO Hα, Ca II K, and AIA 1600 Å filtergrams, as

$$
\dot{\varphi}(t_k) = \sum_{i} \frac{a_i(t_k) B_n(a_i)}{(t_k - t_{k-1})},\tag{3}
$$

i.e. at each time $[t_k]$ we summed the magnetic flux that is swept by the flare ribbon pixels *i* that newly brightened between t_{k-1} and t_k . a_i gives the area (on the Sun) of a newly brightened pixel *i* and $B_n(a_i)$ the underlying normal field component, which we derived from the coregistered HMI LOS magnetic-field map. In addition, we calculated the cumulative magnetic flux $[\varphi(t)]$ that is swept up by all flaring pixels from the flare start $[t_0]$ to the actual time $[t_k]$, *i.e.*

$$
\varphi(t_k) = \int_{t_0}^{t_k} \dot{\varphi}(t) dt \approx \sum_{j=1}^k \dot{\varphi}(t_j)(t_j - t_{j-1}).
$$
\n(4)

For $t = t_{end}$, this gives the total magnetic flux that was reconnected during the flare.

In the data analysis, we followed the procedure described by Miklenic *et al.* [\(2007](#page-18-15)). The newly brightened flare area in an image compared to the preceding time steps was determined separately for each magnetic-polarity domain. First, we determined for each image series the smallest intensity maximum [*I*_{sm}], which is typically found in a pre-flare image. Then we applied a set of potential scaling factors to $I_{\rm sm}$ to find a suitable threshold (lower boundary) for identifying flare pixels. Too high thresholds will omit fainter parts of the flare ribbons, whereas too low thresholds will also include non-flaring bright pixels. We visually cross-checked the outcome for different threshold levels within the selected range and found that for the H α and Ca II K filtergrams 1.1 $I_{\rm sm}$ provides an appropriate threshold. This basically means that the flare threshold is set at a level of 10 % above the brightest plage regions in the image sequence. For the AIA 1600 Å data, the situation was somewhat different, as during the whole series small-scale transient brightenings occurred in the AR under study. Thus the threshold derived corresponds to $0.65I_{sm}$ of the images series; in absolute values this is at 1590 DN. However, we note that in this case $I_{\rm sm}$ does not relate to the brightness of plage regions but of transient brightenings. Miklenic, Veronig, and Vršnak ([2009\)](#page-18-20) tested various thresholds within a reasonable range and found that the derived $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ curves show a similar evolution, whereas the peak values may differ by 5 to 15 %.

To be identified as a newly brightened flare pixel, the following criteria have to be fulfilled: i) the intensity value of a pixel exceeds the given flare threshold; ii) the pixel was not identified as flare pixel in any preceding image; iii) the pixel is located inside the currently studied magnetic-polarity domain and exceeds the noise level of the HMI LOS magnetograms of about ± 10 G. For the AIA 1600 Å image series, which is affected by blooming during the peak of the flare, we added the additional criterion iv) that the intensity of a pixel

Figure 1 Overview of the flare evolution as observed in SDO/AIA 1600 Å (left), KSO H α (middle), and Ca II K (right) filtergrams. The top row shows pre-flare images. The scaling is different for each image, based on its minimum/maximum intensities. Note the bright ribbon structures that are observed in $H\alpha$ before the flare start at 14:02 UT.

lies above the flare-intensity threshold for at least six consecutive images (*i.e.* over a duration of about two minutes).

Blooming occurs when the charge in a CCD pixel exceeds the saturation level, and thus this additional charge spreads into neighboring pixels. This causes erroneously enhanced values or even saturation of neighboring pixels. Since CCD sensors are often designed to allow easy transport of charges in the vertical direction, whereas in the horizontal direction the flow is reduced by potential barriers, blooming typically appears as a characteristic white vertical streak in the images (*e.g.* Figure [1,](#page-6-0) third panel in the left column). However, since

Figure 2 Sequence of SDO/AIA 94 Å filtergrams. The first row displays one pre-flare image and two images recorded around the start of the flare. The second and third rows show snapshots during the main phase of the event. All images are displayed with logarithmic scaling, based on the minimum/maximum range of the image sequence.

blooming is often only present in the few brightest images, whereas flare pixels remain continuously bright over a longer period determined by the characteristic cooling times in the flaring atmosphere, applying a condition on the duration of a flare pixel (criterion iv) enables us to strongly reduce the effect of blooming in the identification of flare pixels.

In the event under study, the seeing conditions at Kanzelhöhe were moderate and the field of the source AR was very strong. Thus, seeing effects will impose maximal errors on the resulting reconnection fluxes, as image blurring and consequently deviations from perfect coalignment may cause significant differences in the photospheric magnetic-flux density coregistered to the flare pixels. Thus, this case allows us to derive an upper estimate on the typical errors of magnetic-reconnection fluxes and peak reconnection rates derived from ground-based data.

4. Results

Figure [1](#page-6-0) shows the evolution of the flare in AIA 1600 Å, KSO H α , and Ca II K filtergrams. For each wavelength we show snapshots before the flare, during the rise, the peak, and the decay phase. An interesting feature that we note in the H α pre-flare image in Figure [1](#page-6-0) is that the ribbon structure located in the leading sunspot already appears brighter than the surroundings before the flare commences. A similar enhancement (but less distinct) also

Figure 3 Overview of AR 12192 just before the start of the X1.6 flare on 22 October 2014. Top left: SDO/HMI continuum image, top right: SDO/HMI LOS magnetic-field map scaled to ± 1500 G. (The deficient magnetic-field measurements in the umbra of the strong negative sunspot is an instrumental artifact.) In the bottom panels the same images are shown together with the total flare area determined. Blue denotes flare pixels in positive magnetic polarity regions, red denotes negative polarity.

appears for the flare kernels located in the trailing sunspot (compare the $H\alpha$ images in the first and the fourth row in Figure [1\)](#page-6-0). Figure [2](#page-7-0) shows a sequence of images recorded in the AIA 94 Å filter before and during the flare. Here we note that a set of hot loops already connects the location of the two flare ribbons before the flare starts.

Figure 5 Evolution of the X1.6 flare of 22 October 2014. Rows 1 to 3 show snapshots of SDO/AIA 1600 Å filtergrams illustrating the flare evolution. In the left panels the newly brightened flare pixels (with respect to the previous image of the time series) are overplotted, in the right panels the total flare pixels are accumulated up to that time. Blue denotes positive, red negative polarity. In the bottom row we show the flare areas overplotted on an SDO/HMI LOS magnetogram. In the [Electronic Supplementary Material](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6), four movies are attached to this figure. Movie [1](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM1_ESM.mp4) shows the evolution of the flare ribbons on cotemporal AIA 1600 Å images. Movie [2](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM2_ESM.mp4) shows the evolution of the 1600 Å flare ribbons on a pre-flare HMI magnetogram. Movies [3](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM3_ESM.mp4) and [4](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM4_ESM.mp4) show the flare ribbons detected and plotted on $H\alpha$ and Ca II K filtergrams, respectively.

Figure 6 Reconnection rates derived from SDO/AIA 1600 Å observations. From top to bottom: evolution of the newly brightened flare area, mean magnetic-field strength in the newly brightened flare pixels, reconnected magnetic flux $[\varphi(t)]$, magnetic-reconnection rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ (blue indicates positive, red negative polarity), and the GOES $1 - 8$ Å soft X-ray flux (black) together with its time derivative (green).

Figure [3](#page-8-0) shows an HMI continuum image and an HMI LOS magnetogram of the source AR together with all of the flare pixels determined from AIA 1600 Å filtergrams over the event duration. The flare clearly occurs in the core of the AR. The positive-polarity ribbon (indicated in blue) is partially located in the umbra of the leading sunspot, but the larger part is located in surrounding plage regions, whereas the negative-polarity ribbon (red) is fully located in the trailing sunspot, mostly in its penumbra, but partially also in the umbra. Figure [4](#page-8-1) shows the distribution of the underlying photospheric magnetic field in the flare pixels identified in AIA 1600 Å data (*cf.* bottom right panel in Figure [3\)](#page-8-0). The distribution reveals strong fields at the flare ribbons and an asymmetric shape for the opposite polarities. The ribbon located in the negative sunspot region reveals strong fields up to -2000 G, which stem from the location where it covers the umbra of the sunspot.

Figure [5](#page-9-0) shows snapshots of AIA 1600 Å filtergrams illustrating the flare-ribbon evolution. In the left panels the newly brightened flare pixels (with respect to the previous image of the time series) are overplotted, and in the right panels we show the total flare area accumulated up to that time. In the bottom row we show the corresponding flare areas plotted on the HMI LOS magnetogram. In the Electronic Supplementary Materials four movies are associated with this figure. Movie [1](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM1_ESM.mp4) shows the evolution of the flare ribbons derived from the AIA 1600 Å data on cotemporal AIA 1600 Å images, Movie [2](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM2_ESM.mp4) shows the 1600 Å flare ribbons on a pre-flare HMI magnetogram. Movie [1](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM1_ESM.mp4) illustrates that the blooming pixels are indeed well rejected by the flare-detection criterion iv). Movie [3](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM3_ESM.mp4) shows the evolution of the flare ribbons as derived from the H α sequence on cotemporal H α images, and Movie [4](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM4_ESM.mp4) shows the same for the Ca II K image sequence. Figure [5](#page-9-0) and the online movies reveal that the flare starts with a quadrupolar structure of four localized flare kernels. During the flare evolution, these kernels quickly enlarge to form elongated ribbons but without revealing any separation motion of the flare ribbons away from the inversion line and from each other.

Figure [6](#page-10-0) shows the results for the magnetic-reconnection rates derived from the AIA 1600 Å data. The plot shows (from top to bottom) the evolution of the newly brightened flare area, the mean magnetic-field strength in the newly brightened flare pixels, the cumulated magnetic flux $[\varphi(t)]$, and the reconnection rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$, separately for the two polarities. The bottom panel shows for comparison the GOES $1-8$ Å soft X-ray (SXR) flux and its time derivative. The SXR emission reflects the cumulative effect of plasma heating and chromospheric evaporation due to flare-accelerated electrons and thermal conduction from the hot flaring corona. Its derivative is often used as a proxy for the evolution of the flare energy released into accelerated electrons, based on the Neupert effect relation between the soft and hard X-ray radiation (Neupert, [1968;](#page-18-21) Dennis and Zarro, [1993;](#page-17-20) Veronig *et al.*, [2002](#page-19-8), [2005\)](#page-19-9). Figure [6](#page-10-0) reveals a general agreement between peaks in the magnetic-reconnection rate and the GOES soft X-ray (SXR) flux derivative. We note that the area of the flare ribbon in the negative polarity is smaller than in the positive polarity (40 % *vs.* 60 % of the total flare area; *cf.* Figure [4](#page-8-1)), but the mean field strength in the flare pixels in the negative polarity is greater than in the positive polarity. This results in total magnetic-reconnection fluxes that are roughly balanced. The total reconnected flux up to the end of the flare amounts to $\varphi(t_{\text{end}}) = 3.8 \times 10^{21}$ Mx for the positive and 4.4×10^{21} Mx for the negative polarity, yielding a ratio of negative-to-positive magnetic flux of 1.16.

Figures [7](#page-12-0) and [8](#page-13-0) show the same quantities derived from the H α and Ca II K data, smoothed with a running-mean window of 72 seconds. There is a general trend that in the groundbased data the derived areas are larger and the mean magnetic-field strengths in the flare pixels lower. However, the overall evolution of the derived $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ and $\varphi(t)$ curves is similar to the ones derived from AIA 1600 Å. The larger areas obtained in the $H\alpha$ and Ca II K data can be attributed to the lower spatial resolution and in particular to seeing effects resulting in image blurring and jitter (*cf.* the accompanying Movies [3](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM3_ESM.mp4) and [4](http://springerlink.bibliotecabuap.elogim.com/content/esm/art:10.1007/s11207-015-0789-6/file/MediaObjects/11207_2015_789_MOESM4_ESM.mp4)). As a result of these random distortions in the ground-based images, neighboring pixels are erroneously identified as flare pixels, since the coregistration of a pixel with its evolution in previous time steps (to check if it had already been a flare pixel before) becomes inaccurate. As a consequence, the accumulated flare areas derived are larger than they would be if all of the image pixels were perfectly coregistered over time. Analogously, this effect can result in smaller mean fields because neighboring pixels, which may have smaller field strengths underlying, are also counted as flare pixels. The total magnetic fluxes in the positive and negative polarities give 7.6×10^{21} Mx and 8.3×10^{21} Mx for the H α data, respectively, and 4.8×10^{21} Mx and 3.7×10^{21} Mx for the Ca II K data, resulting in a negative-to-positive flux ratio of 1.09 for Hα and 0.79 for Ca II K.

Figure 7 Reconnection rates derived from KSO Hα filtergrams. From top to bottom: evolution of the newly brightened flare area, mean magnetic-field strength in the newly brightened flare pixels, reconnected magnetic flux $[\varphi(t)]$, magnetic-reconnection rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ (blue indicates positive, red negative polarity), and the GOES $1 - 8$ Å soft X-ray flux (black) together with its time derivative (green).

In Figure [9](#page-14-0), we plot the reconnection-rate curves $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ derived from the three different instruments together with the RHESSI hard X-ray flux in three energy bands between 25 and 300 keV. In addition to the $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ curves derived separately for the two magnetic polarities, we also plot the mean of the two. The figure shows that for all three data sets the $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ profiles reveal a similar evolution. The peaks lie at 3.0, 2.0, and 2.5×10^{19} Mx s⁻¹ for the AIA 1600 Å, the H α , and Ca II K data, respectively. In all three data sets the main peak of $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ occurs within the period $14:05:28 - 14:06:12$ UT. This coincides well with the maximum of the HXRs produced by flare-accelerated electrons. The RHESSI 100 – 300 keV count rate shows a double peak during this interval, with the two peaks centered at 14:05:32 UT and at 14:06:28 UT (highest peak). The reconnection-rate profiles $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ derived from the AIA data reveal a related double-peak structure, whereas the $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ curves derived from the H α and Ca II K show only one related peak, which is broader. This may be due to the smoothing applied to the ground-based data, which was necessary because

Figure 8 Reconnection rates derived from KSO Ca II K filtergrams. From top to bottom: evolution of the newly brightened flare area, mean magnetic-field strength in the newly brightened flare pixels, reconnected magnetic flux $[\varphi(t)]$, magnetic-reconnection rate $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ (blue indicates positive, red negative polarity), and the GOES $1 - 8$ Å soft X-ray flux (black) together with its time derivative (green).

of the strong fluctuations from image to image. We also note that the extended HXR flux enhancement until about 14:10 UT is reflected by elevated $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ values in all three data sets. In addition, there is also an indication of $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ fluctuations during the period 14:20 – 14:30 UT, where RHESSI observes another episode of elevated HXR emission in the 25 – 50 keV energy range.

In Figure [10](#page-15-0) we compare the magnetic-reconnection flux derived in the confined X1.6 flare under study with a set of 27 eruptive flares (covering the range of GOES classes B to *>*X10) that were analyzed by Qiu and Yurchyshyn [\(2005](#page-18-14)), Qiu *et al.* ([2007\)](#page-18-22), Miklenic, Veronig, and Vršnak [\(2009](#page-18-20)), and Hu *et al.* ([2014\)](#page-17-21). The large red circle marks the magnetic flux derived for the confined X-class flare under study using AIA 1600 Å data. The smaller red circle indicates the value derived from the H α data, which we estimate as an upper bound that is due to the effects of seeing increasing the total flare area and the reconnected flux. Figure [10](#page-15-0) shows a large scatter in the data, but also a distinct trend that larger flares are

related to higher reconnection rates, with a correlation coefficient of 0.78 derived from the double logarithmic plot. The reconnected flux derived from the confined X1.6 flare lies well within the distribution of the eruptive flares of this size. We note that there seems to exist an upper boundary to the scatter plot (sketched by the dotted line), whereas the lower boundary seems less defined.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

In this article we derived magnetic-reconnection rates and total flare reconnection fluxes for the confined X1.6 flare of 22 October 2014 using three different data sets capturing the flareribbon evolution: one space based (SDO/AIA 1600 Å) and two ground based (KSO H α and Ca II K). The main results of our study are as follows:

• The magnetic-reconnection rates $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ determined from the three data sets all show a similar time profile and closely resemble the evolution of the flare energy release as evidenced in RHESSI hard X-rays and the GOES SXR flux derivative. The $\dot{\varphi}(t)$ curves'

Figure 10 Total flare magnetic-reconnection flux against GOES X-ray class for 27 eruptive flares (black diamonds) and the confined X1.6 flare of 22 October 2014 studied in this article (large red circle; the small red circle denotes an upper estimate). The values for the eruptive flares are from the studies of Qiu and Yurchyshyn ([2005](#page-18-14)), Qiu *et al.* [\(2007](#page-18-22)), Miklenic, Veronig, and Vršnak [\(2009](#page-18-20)), Hu *et al.* ([2014\)](#page-17-21). Note that for the extreme X17 flare of 28 October 2003 we plotted the values derived from three different studies. The full line denotes the linear regression derived in double-logarithmic space, the numbers are shown in the inset. The dotted line marks an *ad-hoc* upper limit to the relation plotted.

peaks all occur within one minute of the highest peak of the RHESSI 100 – 300 keV count rates. The peaks derived for the reconnection rates $[\dot{\varphi}(t)]$ lie in the range 2.0 to 3.0×10^{19} Mx s⁻¹.

- The total reconnection flux determined from AIA is 4.1×10^{21} Mx. We note that this corresponds to about 2 % of the total unsigned magnetic flux contained in the source AR 12192 at its maximum, determined to be 2×10^{23} Mx on 27 October 2015 (Sun *et al.*, [2015](#page-18-4)).
- The total reconnected flare flux determined by the ground-based observations may be overestimated by up to a factor of two, which results from seeing influences combined with lower spatial resolution. Since in the event under study the seeing conditions at KSO were moderate and the field in the source AR very strong, this factor of two can be interpreted as an upper limit on typical errors due to seeing conditions. We note that this factor agrees with the range obtained in Qiu *et al.* ([2002\)](#page-18-13), who applied artificial misalignment between the data source of the flare ribbons and the coregistered magnetograms to estimate the errors on the magnetic-reconnection flux calculations.
- For all three data sets, the total positive and negative flare reconnection magnetic fluxes are balanced within \approx 20 %, indicating that – as expected – equal amounts of both polarities take part in the magnetic-reconnection process. This flux ratio lies well within the range of 0.5 to 2 obtained for 13 eruptive C, M, and X-class flares by Qiu and Yurchyshyn [\(2005](#page-18-14)).
- Combining the results of previous studies on magnetic-reconnection rates for eruptive flares yields a relation between the logarithm of the flare reconnection flux and the GOES flare class with a correlation coefficient of 0*.*78 (Figure [10\)](#page-15-0). There seems to exist an upper

boundary to this relation, whereas the lower boundary seems less defined. From this upper boundary we deduce that a reconnected magnetic flux of about 2×10^{22} Mx results in a flare of GOES class X10 or larger.

• The confined X1.6 flare under study lies well within the distribution of reconnection flux against GOES class, *i.e.* there seems to be no characteristic difference to the sample of eruptive flares.

As already noted by Miklenic, Veronig, and Vršnak ([2009\)](#page-18-20), the correlation between the flare magnetic-reconnection flux and the GOES class is distinctly higher when the (few) extreme events are included. Removing the X17 and X10 flares of 28 and 29 October 2003, the correlation coefficient in Figure [10](#page-15-0) reduces from 0*.*78 to 0*.*64. Since the scatter in this plot is quite substantial, further studies including a statistical set of confined flares distributed over the flare classes are necessary to conclude whether there are distinct differences in the magnetic-reconnection fluxes between the two types of events. Such a difference is, *e.g.*, expected because in the eruptive flares part of the energy that is converted into the magneticreconnection process goes into acceleration and escape of the CME, whereas in the confined flares all of the magnetic energy released is available for the flare. Statistically, the CME kinetic energy is a larger contribution than the energy in the associated flare (Emslie *et al.*, [2005,](#page-17-22) [2012](#page-17-6)).

Finally, we note that the mechanism through which magnetic reconnection in the confined X-class flare under study takes place is not at all clear. In the confined C-class flare studied by Su *et al.* [\(2013](#page-18-11)) it was clearly observed that two systems of oppositely directed ("cool") coronal loops reconnected, resulting in two sets of newly formed hot loops: one smaller loop system retracting downward from the reconnection site and a larger overlying loop system relaxing upward as a result of the magnetic-tension force. However, in the X1.6 flare under study, there are no obvious changes of the magnetic-field topology observed in the EUV imagery. However, we note that the ribbon structures already appeared bright in the $H\alpha$ filtergrams before the flare started, suggesting that the magnetic connectivity causing this enhanced chromospheric emission is also involved in the flaring process (*cf.* Figure [1](#page-6-0)). This is also supported by the AIA 94 \AA images showing a set of hot loops connecting the location of the two flare ribbons before the flare actually commenced, and then brightening up during the flare (compare in particular panels a and g in Figure [2\)](#page-7-0). These findings are in line with the early observations of Švestka [\(1986](#page-18-2)) that in confined flares pre-existing loops, *i.e.* already existing magnetic structures, seem to brighten up and evolve into flaring loops.

One scenario resulting in such observations would be reconnection of localized newly emerging flux tubes with pre-existing large coronal loops (*cf.* emerging-flux model of solar flares by Heyvaerts, Priest, and Rust, [1977\)](#page-17-23), where the small new loops that are formed by the reconnection process would be unresolved and the set of large loops formed would closely resemble the pre-flare loop system observed. This interpretation is consistent with the large initial ribbon separation and the lack of separation motion that seem to be a typical feature of confined M- and X-class flares (*e.g.* Švestka, [1986](#page-18-2); Kurokawa, [1989](#page-17-5); Su, Golub, and Van Ballegooijen, [2007](#page-18-5); Thalmann *et al.*, [2015](#page-18-3)). Finally, we note that in the source active region of the confined X1.6 flare under study there is no sign of a filament indicating the presence of a flux rope that might become unstable by such magnetic reconfiguration initiating an eruption (or failed eruption).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements The SDO data used are courtesy of NASA/SDO and the AIA and HMI science teams. RHESSI is a NASA Small Explorer Mission. This study was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P27292-N20.

References

- Asai, A., Yokoyama, T., Shimojo, M., Masuda, S., Kurokawa, H., Shibata, K.: 2004, Flare ribbon expansion and energy release rate. *Astrophys. J.* **611**, 557. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/422159). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2004ApJ...611..557A).
- Carmichael, H.: 1964, A process for flares. *NASA Spec. Publ.* **50**, 451. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1964NASSP..50..451C).
- Chen, H., Zhang, J., Ma, S., Yang, S., Li, L., Huang, X., Xiao, J.: 2015, Confined flares in solar active region 12192 from 2014 October 18 to 29. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **808**, L24. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/808/1/L24). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...808L..24C).
- Cheng, X., Zhang, J., Ding, M.D., Guo, Y., Su, J.T.: 2011, A comparative study of confined and eruptive flares in NOAA AR 10720. *Astrophys. J.* **732**, 87. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/732/2/87). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...732...87C).
- Demoulin, P., Henoux, J.C., Priest, E.R., Mandrini, C.H.: 1996, Quasi-separatrix layers in solar flares. I. Method. *Astron. Astrophys.* **308**, 643. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996A%26A...308..643D).
- Demoulin, P., Bagala, L.G., Mandrini, C.H., Henoux, J.C., Rovira, M.G.: 1997, Quasi-separatrix layers in solar flares. II. Observed magnetic configurations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **325**, 305. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1997A%26A...325..305D).
- Dennis, B.R., Zarro, D.M.: 1993, The Neupert effect – what can it tell us about the impulsive and gradual phases of solar flares? *Solar Phys.* **146**, 177. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00662178). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1993SoPh..146..177D).
- Emslie, A.G., Dennis, B.R., Holman, G.D., Hudson, H.S.: 2005, Refinements to flare energy estimates: A followup to "Energy partition in two solar flare/CME events" by A.G. Emslie et al. *J. Geophys. Res.* **110**, 11103. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JA011305). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005JGRA..11011103E).
- Emslie, A.G., Dennis, B.R., Shih, A.Y., Chamberlin, P.C., Mewaldt, R.A., Moore, C.S., Share, G.H., Vourlidas, A., Welsch, B.T.: 2012, Global energetics of thirty-eight large solar eruptive events. *Astrophys. J.* **759**, 71. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/759/1/71). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...759...71E).
- Fletcher, L., Dennis, B.R., Hudson, H.S., Krucker, S., Phillips, K., Veronig, A., Battaglia, M., Bone, L., Caspi, A., Chen, Q., Gallagher, P., Grigis, P.T., Ji, H., Liu, W., Milligan, R.O., Temmer, M.: 2011, An observational overview of solar flares. *Space Sci. Rev.* **159**, 19. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9701-8). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011SSRv..159...19F).
- Forbes, T.G., Lin, J.: 2000, What can we learn about reconnection from coronal mass ejections? *J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys.* **62**, 1499. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6826(00)00083-3). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000JASTP..62.1499F).
- Forbes, T., Priest, E.R.: 1984, Reconnection in solar flares. In: Butler, D.M., Papadopoulous, K. (eds.) *Solar Terrestrial Physics: Present and Future, NASA RP-1120*, 1. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986lasf.conf..453P).
- Forbes, T.G., Linker, J.A., Chen, J., Cid, C., Kóta, J., Lee, M.A., Mann, G., Mikic, Z., Potgieter, M.S., ´ Schmidt, J.M., Siscoe, G.L., Vainio, R., Antiochos, S.K., Riley, P.: 2006, CME theory and models. *Space Sci. Rev.* **123**, 251. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11214-006-9019-8). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006SSRv..123..251F).
- Hesse, M., Forbes, T.G., Birn, J.: 2005, On the relation between reconnected magnetic flux and parallel electric fields in the solar corona. *Astrophys. J.* **631**, 1227. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/432677). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...631.1227H).
- Heyvaerts, J., Priest, E.R., Rust, D.M.: 1977, An emerging flux model for the solar flare phenomenon. *Astrophys. J.* **216**, 123. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/155453). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1977ApJ...216..123H).
- Hirayama, T.: 1974, Theoretical model of flares and prominences. I: Evaporating flare model. *Solar Phys.* **34**, 323. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00153671). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1974SoPh...34..323H).
- Hirtenfellner-Polanec, W., Temmer, M., Pötzi, W., Freislich, H., Veronig, A.M., Hanslmeier, A.: 2011, Implementation of a Calcium telescope at Kanzelhöhe Observatory (KSO). *Cent. Eur. Astrophys. Bull.* **35**, 205. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011CEAB...35..205H).
- Hu, Q., Qiu, J., Dasgupta, B., Khare, A., Webb, G.M.: 2014, Structures of interplanetary magnetic flux ropes and comparison with their solar sources. *Astrophys. J.* **793**, 53. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/793/1/53). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014ApJ...793...53H).
- Isobe, H., Yokoyama, T., Shimojo, M., Morimoto, T., Kozu, H., Eto, S., Narukage, N., Shibata, K.: 2002, Reconnection rate in the decay phase of a long duration event flare on 1997 May 12. *Astrophys. J.* **566**, 528. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324777). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...566..528I).
- Kopp, R.A., Pneuman, G.W.: 1976, Magnetic reconnection in the corona and the loop prominence phenomenon. *Solar Phys.* **50**, 85. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00206193). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1976SoPh...50...85K).
- Krucker, S., Fivian, M.D., Lin, R.P.: 2005, Hard X-ray footpoint motions in solar flares: Comparing magnetic reconnection models with observations. *Adv. Space Res.* **35**, 1707. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.05.054). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005AdSpR..35.1707K).
- Kurokawa, H.: 1989, High-resolution observations of H-alpha flare regions. *Space Sci. Rev.* **51**, 49. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00226268). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1989SSRv...51...49K).
- Lemen, J.R., Title, A.M., Akin, D.J., Boerner, P.F., Chou, C., Drake, J.F., Duncan, D.W., Edwards, C.G., Friedlaender, F.M., Heyman, G.F., Hurlburt, N.E., Katz, N.L., Kushner, G.D., Levay, M., Lindgren, R.W., Mathur, D.P., McFeaters, E.L., Mitchell, S., Rehse, R.A., Schrijver, C.J., Springer, L.A., Stern, R.A., Tarbell, T.D., Wuelser, J.-P., Wolfson, C.J., Yanari, C., Bookbinder, J.A., Cheimets, P.N., Caldwell, D., Deluca, E.E., Gates, R., Golub, L., Park, S., Podgorski, W.A., Bush, R.I., Scherrer, P.H., Gummin, M.A., Smith, P., Auker, G., Jerram, P., Pool, P., Soufli, R., Windt, D.L., Beardsley, S., Clapp, M., Lang, J., Waltham, N.: 2012, The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Solar Phys.* **275**, 17. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9776-8). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012SoPh..275...17L).
- Lin, J., Soon, W., Baliunas, S.L.: 2003, Theories of solar eruptions: A review. *New Astron. Rev.* **47**, 53. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-6473(02)00271-3). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003NewAR..47...53L).
- Lin, R.P., Dennis, B.R., Hurford, G.J., Smith, D.M., Zehnder, A., Harvey, P.R., Curtis, D.W., Pankow, D., Turin, P., Bester, M., Csillaghy, A., Lewis, M., Madden, N., van Beek, H.F., Appleby, M., Raudorf, T., McTiernan, J., Ramaty, R., Schmahl, E., Schwartz, R., Krucker, S., Abiad, R., Quinn, T., Berg, P., Hashii, M., Sterling, R., Jackson, R., Pratt, R., Campbell, R.D., Malone, D., Landis, D., Barrington-Leigh, C.P., Slassi-Sennou, S., Cork, C., Clark, D., Amato, D., Orwig, L., Boyle, R., Banks, I.S., Shirey, K., Tolbert, A.K., Zarro, D., Snow, F., Thomsen, K., Henneck, R., McHedlishvili, A., Ming, P., Fivian, M., Jordan, J., Wanner, R., Crubb, J., Preble, J., Matranga, M., Benz, A., Hudson, H., Canfield, R.C., Holman, G.D., Crannell, C., Kosugi, T., Emslie, A.G., Vilmer, N., Brown, J.C., Johns-Krull, C., Aschwanden, M., Metcalf, T., Conway, A.: 2002, The Reuven Ramaty High-Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI). *Solar Phys.* **210**, 3. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1022428818870). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002SoPh..210....3L).
- Lin, J., Ko, Y.-K., Sui, L., Raymond, J.C., Stenborg, G.A., Jiang, Y., Zhao, S., Mancuso, S.: 2005, Direct observations of the magnetic reconnection site of an eruption on 2003 November 18. *Astrophys. J.* **622**, 1251. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/428110). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...622.1251L).
- Masuda, S., Kosugi, T., Hara, H., Tsuneta, S., Ogawara, Y.: 1994, A loop-top hard X-ray source in a compact solar flare as evidence for magnetic reconnection. *Nature* **371**, 495. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/371495a0). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994Natur.371..495M).
- Miklenic, C.H., Veronig, A.M., Vršnak, B.: 2009, Temporal comparison of nonthermal flare emission and magnetic-flux change rates. *Astron. Astrophys.* **499**, 893. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200810947). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A%26A...499..893M).
- Miklenic, C.H., Veronig, A.M., Vršnak, B., Hanslmeier, A.: 2007, Reconnection and energy release rates in a two-ribbon flare. *Astron. Astrophys.* **461**, 697. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20065751). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007A%26A...461..697M).
- Neupert, W.M.: 1968, Comparison of solar X-Ray line emission with microwave emission during flares. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **153**, L59. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/180220). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1968ApJ...153L..59N).
- Poletto, G., Kopp, R.A.: 1986, Macroscopic electric fields during two-ribbon flares. In: Neidig, D.F. (ed.) *The Lower Atmosphere of Solar Flares; Proc. of the Solar Maximum Mission Symposium*, NSO, Sunspot, NM, 453. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986lasf.conf..453P).
- Pötzi, W., Veronig, A.M., Riegler, G., Amerstorfer, U., Pock, T., Temmer, M., Polanec, W., Baumgartner, D.J.: 2015, Real-time flare detection in ground-based Hα imaging at Kanzelhöhe Observatory. *Solar Phys.* **290**, 951. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11207-014-0640-5). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015SoPh..290..951P).
- Priest, E.R., Forbes, T.G.: 2002, The magnetic nature of solar flares. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **10**, 313. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s001590100013). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002A%26ARv..10..313P).
- Qiu, J., Yurchyshyn, V.B.: 2005, Magnetic reconnection flux and coronal mass ejection velocity. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **634**, L121. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/498716). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...634L.121Q).
- Qiu, J., Lee, J., Gary, D.E., Wang, H.: 2002, Motion of flare footpoint emission and inferred electric field in reconnecting current sheets. *Astrophys. J.* **565**, 1335. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/324706). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...565.1335Q).
- Qiu, J., Hu, Q., Howard, T.A., Yurchyshyn, V.B.: 2007, On the magnetic flux budget in low-corona magnetic reconnection and interplanetary coronal mass ejections. *Astrophys. J.* **659**, 758. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/512060). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...659..758Q).
- Schou, J., Scherrer, P.H., Bush, R.I., Wachter, R., Couvidat, S., Rabello-Soares, M.C., Bogart, R.S., Hoeksema, J.T., Liu, Y., Duvall, T.L., Akin, D.J., Allard, B.A., Miles, J.W., Rairden, R., Shine, R.A., Tarbell, T.D., Title, A.M., Wolfson, C.J., Elmore, D.F., Norton, A.A., Tomczyk, S.: 2012, Design and ground calibration of the Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) instrument on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Solar Phys.* **275**, 229. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11207-011-9842-2). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012SoPh..275..229S).
- Shibata, K., Magara, T.: 2011, Solar flares: Magnetohydrodynamic processes. *Living Rev. Solar Phys.* **8**, 6. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.12942/lrsp-2011-6). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011LRSP....8....6S).
- Shibata, K., Masuda, S., Shimojo, M., Hara, H., Yokoyama, T., Tsuneta, S., Kosugi, T., Ogawara, Y.: 1995, Hot-plasma ejections associated with compact-loop solar flares. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **451**, L83. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309688). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995ApJ...451L..83S).
- Sturrock, P.A.: 1966, Model of the high-energy phase of solar flares. *Nature* **211**, 695. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/211695a0). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1966Natur.211..695S).
- Su, Y., Golub, L., Van Ballegooijen, A.A.: 2007, A statistical study of shear motion of the footpoints in two-ribbon flares. *Astrophys. J.* **655**, 606. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/510065). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...655..606S).
- Su, Y., Veronig, A.M., Holman, G.D., Dennis, B.R., Wang, T., Temmer, M., Gan, W.: 2013, Imaging coronal magnetic-field reconnection in a solar flare. *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 489. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nphys2675). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013NatPh...9..489S).
- Sun, X., Hoeksema, J.T., Liu, Y., Wiegelmann, T., Hayashi, K., Chen, Q., Thalmann, J.: 2012, Evolution of magnetic field and energy in a major eruptive active region based on SDO/HMI observation. *Astrophys. J.* **748**, 77. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/748/2/77). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012ApJ...748...77S).
- Sun, X., Bobra, M.G., Hoeksema, J.T., Liu, Y., Li, Y., Shen, C., Couvidat, S., Norton, A.A., Fisher, G.H.: 2015, Why is the great solar active region 12192 flare-rich but CME-poor? *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **804**, L28. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/804/2/L28). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...804L..28S).
- Švestka, Z.: 1986, On the varieties of solar flares. In: Neidig, D.F. (ed.) *The Lower Atmosphere of Solar Flares; Proc. of the Solar Maximum Mission Symposium*, NSO, Sunspot, NM, 332. [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986lasf.conf..332S).
- Temmer, M., Veronig, A.M., Vršnak, B., Miklenic, C.: 2007, Energy release rates along Hα flare ribbons and the location of hard X-ray sources. *Astrophys. J.* **654**, 665. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/509634). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...654..665T).
- Thalmann, J.K., Su, Y., Temmer, M., Veronig, A.M.: 2015, The confined X-class flares of solar active region 2192. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **801**, L23. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/801/2/L23). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...801L..23T).
- Török, T., Kliem, B.: 2005, Confined and ejective eruptions of kink-unstable flux ropes. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **630**, L97. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/462412). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...630L..97T).
- Tsuneta, S.: 1996, Structure and dynamics of magnetic reconnection in a solar flare. *Astrophys. J.* **456**, 840. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/176701). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996ApJ...456..840T).
- Veronig, A., Vršnak, B., Dennis, B.R., Temmer, M., Hanslmeier, A., Magdalenic, J.: 2002, Investigation of ´ the Neupert effect in solar flares. I. Statistical properties and the evaporation model. *Astron. Astrophys.* **392**, 699. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20020947). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002A%26A...392..699V).
- Veronig, A.M., Brown, J.C., Dennis, B.R., Schwartz, R.A., Sui, L., Tolbert, A.K.: 2005, Physics of the Neupert effect: Estimates of the effects of source energy, mass transport, and geometry using RHESSI and GOES data. *Astrophys. J.* **621**, 482. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/427274). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005ApJ...621..482V).
- Wang, Y., Zhang, J.: 2007, A comparative study between eruptive X-class flares associated with coronal mass ejections and confined X-class flares. *Astrophys. J.* **665**, 1428. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/519765). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2007ApJ...665.1428W).
- West, M.J., Seaton, D.B.: 2015, SWAP observations of post-flare giant arches in the long-duration 14 October 2014 solar eruption. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **801**, L6. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/801/1/L6). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2015ApJ...801L...6W).
- Wiegelmann, T., Thalmann, J.K., Solanki, S.K.: 2014, The magnetic field in the solar atmosphere. *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **22**, 78. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00159-014-0078-7). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2014A%26ARv..22...78W).
- Yashiro, S., Akiyama, S., Gopalswamy, N., Howard, R.A.: 2006, Different power-law indices in the frequency distributions of flares with and without coronal mass ejections. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **650**, L143. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/508876). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006ApJ...650L.143Y).
- Yokoyama, T., Akita, K., Morimoto, T., Inoue, K., Newmark, J.: 2001, Clear evidence of reconnection inflow of a solar flare. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **546**, L69. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/318053). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2001ApJ...546L..69Y).
- Zweibel, E.G., Yamada, M.: 2009, Magnetic reconnection in astrophysical and laboratory plasmas. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **47**, 291. [DOI](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-082708-101726). [ADS](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ARA%26A..47..291Z).