LEVI QUASIVARIETIES^{†)} A. I. Budkin UDC 512.54.01

Given a group-theoretic property $\mathcal E$, we say that a group *G possesses the property* $L(\mathcal E)$ if the normal closure $(x)^G$ of every element x of G possesses the property \mathcal{E} . The property $L(\mathcal{E})$ is called *a Levi property* and was introduced in [1] under the influence of Levi's article [2] in which Levi gave a classification of groups with abelian normal closures of the form $(x)^G$. Observe that Levi properties are closely connected with the notion of Engel group. As regards nilpotent groups and their generalizations, these properties were studied rather thoroughly, for instance, in [1,3, 4]. Study of Levi properties should be considered as a step towards studying the structure of groups covered by a system of normal subgroups.

It is natural to pass from Levi properties to Levi classes. Given a class M of groups, we denote by $L(\mathcal{M})$ the class of all groups G in which the normal closure $(x)^G$ of an arbitrary element x in G belongs to M. The class $L(\mathcal{M})$ is said to be the *Levi class* of \mathcal{M} . It is shown in [5] that if M is a variety of groups then $L(\mathcal{M})$ is a variety too. It is also well known [6] that if $\mathcal M$ is the class of nilpotent groups of step ≤ 2 without elements of order 2 and order 5, then $L(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_4$, where \mathcal{N}_c is the variety of nilpotent groups of nilpotency class $\leq c$.

In the present article we demonstrate that if M is a quasivariety of groups then $L(\mathcal{M})$ is a quasivariety of groups as well. We find a condition whose fulfillment in the quasivariety M implies the inclusion $L(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_3$. In particular, it turns out that if M is a minimal nonabelian quasivariety of nilpotent groups (for example, the quasivariety generated by a free nilpotent group of class 2) which has no groups of order 2 and order 5, then $L(M) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_3$.

We recall that a group is called a *3-Engel group* if the identity

$$
(\forall x)(\forall y)([x,y,y,y]=1)
$$

holds in it.

We need the following test for the membership of a finitely related group G in the quasivariety qK. This membership test is a particular instance of Theorem 3 in [7] and reads: a finitely related group *G* belongs to the quasivariety qK if and only if, for every nonidentity element $g \in G$, there *exists a homomorphism* φ *from G into some group of K such that* $\varphi(g) \neq 1$ *.*

Theorem 1. If M is a quasivariety of groups then $L(M)$ is a quasivariety of groups as well.

PROOF. It is clear that, together with each group, $L(M)$ contains all subgroups of the group. In view of Mal'tsev's theorem [8], it suffices to prove that the class $L(\mathcal{M})$ is closed under filtered products.

Suppose that $G_i \in L(\mathcal{M})$ $(i \in I)$, \mathcal{D} is a filter over I , $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i/\mathcal{D}$ is the filtered product of the groups G_i with respect to the filter D , $fD \in G$, and $fD \neq 1$. Let $A_i = (f(i))^{G_i}$ be the normal closure of the element $f(i)$ in G_i and $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i/\mathcal{D}$. Consider the mapping $\varphi : (f\mathcal{D})^G \to A$ defined as follows:

$$
\varphi((f^{k_1}D)^{g_1D}\ldots(f^{k_s}D)^{g_sD})=hD,
$$

where $h(i) = (f^{k_1}(i))^{g_1(i)} \dots (f^{k_s}(i))^{g_s(i)}$. It is easy to see that φ is an isomorphism of the group (fD) ^c onto the group $\varphi((fD)^{G})$. Therefore, $(fD)^{G} \in \mathcal{M}$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

¹⁾ The research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (Grant 96-01-00088) and the Grant Center of the State Committee for Higher Education of the Russian Federation at Novosibirsk State University (Grant No. 5).

Barnaul. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 266-270, March-April, 1999. Original article submitted May 20, 1997.

Henceforth we use the following commutator identities which are valid in every group:

$$
[xy, z] = [x, z]^y [y, z] = [x, z][x, z, y][y, z], \qquad (1)
$$

$$
[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y]^z = [x, z][x, y][x, y, z], \qquad (2)
$$

$$
[x, y^{-1}, z]^y[y, z^{-1}, x]^z[z, x^{-1}, y]^z = 1,
$$
\n(3)

$$
[v, u^{-1}] = [v, u, u^{-1}]^{-1} [v, u]^{-1}, \tag{4}
$$

$$
[v, u, u^{-1}] = [v, u, u, u^{-1}]^{-1} [v, u, u]^{-1}, \qquad (5)
$$

$$
[u^{-1}, v] = [v, u][v, u, u^{-1}]. \tag{6}
$$

Lemma 1. Let G be a 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 and order 5, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$, $c(i,j,s,t) = [x_i, x_j, x_s, x_t], z_1 = [x_3, x_2, [x_3, x_1]], z_2 = [x_3, x_2, [x_2, x_1]], \text{ and } z_3 = [x_3, x_1, [x_2, x_1]].$ Then *the following relations hold:*

 $c(3, 1, 3, 2) = c(3, 1, 2, 3)z_1^$ $c(2,1,3,2) = c(2,1,2,3)z_2^{-1},$ $c(3, 2, 1, 1) = c(2, 1, 1, 3)^{-1}c(3, 1, 1, 2)z_3^2$ $c(3, 2, 1, 3) = c(2, 1, 3, 3)^{-1}c(3, 1, 2, 3),$ $c(3, 2, 3, 1) = c(2, 1, 3, 3)^{-1}c(3, 1, 2, 3)z_1$ $c(3, 2, 1, 1) = c(2, 1, 1, 3)^{-1}c(3, 1, 1, 2)z_3^2$ $c(1,3,1,2) = c(3,1,1,2)^{-1}$ $c(1,3,2,1) = c(3,1,1,2)^{-1}z_3^{-1},$ $c(1,3,2,2) = c(3,1,2,2)^{-1}$ $c(1,3,2,3) = c(3,1,2,3)^{-1}$, $c(3,2,2,1) = c(2,1,2,3)^{-1}c(3,1,2,2)z_2^2,$ $c(3,2,1,2) = c(2,1,2,3)^{-1}c(3,1,2,2)z_2,$ $c(2, 1, 3, 1) = c(2, 1, 1, 3)z_3^{-1}.$

PROOF. We will not carry out the proof of this lemma in detail. We only note that

$$
c(3,1,1,2), \ c(3,1,2,2), \ c(3,1,2,3), \ c(2,1,1,3), \ \ (7)
$$

$$
c(2,1,2,3), \ c(2,1,3,3), \ z_1, \ z_2, \ z_3 \tag{8}
$$

are all basis commutators of weight 4 in three variables. The sought relations are obtained by a standard method, used in Hall's collection process (see, for instance, [9]), by means of (1)-(6). Two circumstances should be taken into account: (a) since by [6] every 2-generated 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 is nilpotent of class \leq 3, the values of all commutators in two variables in the group G are equal to 1; (b) again by [6] every 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 and order 5 is nilpotent of class ≤ 4 ; hence, so is G.

Lemma 2. Let G be a 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 and order 5, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in G$, $c(i,j,s,t) = [x_i, x_j, x_s, x_t], z_1 = [x_3, x_2, [x_3, x_1]], z_2 = [x_3, x_2, [x_2, x_1]], \text{ and } z_3 = [x_3, x_1, [x_2, x_1]].$ Then *the following relations hold:*

$$
c(3,1,1,2)^3c(2,1,1,3)^{-1}z_3^3=1,
$$
\n(9)

$$
c(3,1,2,2)^3c(2,1,2,3)^{-2}z_2^3=1,
$$
\n(10)

$$
c(3,1,2,3)^4c(2,1,3,3)^{-2}=1,
$$
\n(11)

$$
c(2,1,1,3)^3c(3,1,1,2)^{-1}z_3^{-3}=1,
$$
\n(12)

$$
c(2,1,3,3)^3c(3,1,2,3)^{-2}z_1^{-1}=1,
$$
\n(13)

$$
c(2,1,2,3)^4c(3,1,2,2)^{-2}z_2^{-4}=1,
$$
\n(14)

$$
c(2,1,1,3)^{-2}c(3,1,1,2)^{-2} = 1,
$$
\n(15)

$$
c(2,1,3,3)^{-1}c(3,1,2,3)^{-2}z_1=1,
$$
\n(16)

$$
c(2,1,2,3)^{-2}c(3,1,2,2)^{-1}z_2=1.
$$
 (17)

PROOF. *Let k, m, and n* be arbitrary integers. Express the element

$$
\left[x_3, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n \right]
$$

in terms of the elements (7) and (8). Using the fact that by [6] every 2-generated 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 is nilpotent of class \leq 3 and that every 3-Engel group without elements of order 2 and order 5 is nilpotent of class ≤ 4 and involving Lemma 1, we infer that

$$
1 = [x_3, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n]
$$

= $(c(3, 1, 1, 2)^3 c(2, 1, 1, 3)^{-1} z_3^3)^{n^2 m} (c(3, 1, 2, 2)^3 c(2, 1, 2, 3)^{-2} z_2^3)^{nm^2}$
 $\times (c(3, 1, 2, 3)^4 c(2, 1, 3, 3)^{-2})^{kmn}$. (18)

Putting $k = 0$ in (18), we deduce that the relation

$$
(c(3,1,1,2)^3c(2,1,1,3)^{-1}z_3^3)^{n^2m}(c(3,1,2,2)^3c(2,1,2,3)^{-2}z_2^3)^{nm^2}=1
$$

holds for arbitrary integers m and n. By setting in this equality, first, $n = 1$ and $m = 1$, and next $n = 1$ and $m = 2$ and recalling that G contains no elements of order 2, we easily arrive at (9) and (10). Now, (18) implies the equality

$$
(c(3,1,2,3)^4c(2,1,3,3)^{-2})^{kmn}=1,
$$

whence we infer (11).

Equalities (12) – (14) are derived likewise from the relations

$$
1 = [x_2, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n],
$$

and equalities (15)-(17), from the relations

$$
1 = \big[x_1, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n, x_3^k x_2^m x_1^n \big].
$$

The lemma is proven.

Theorem 2. Let K be a set *of nilpotent groups of* c/ass 2 *without elements of* order 2 and order 5. Suppose that, in each group of K, the centralizer of every nonidentity element not belonging to the *center of the group is an abelian subgroup. If* $M = qK$ then $L(M) \subseteq N_3$.

PROOF. It is well known [10] that if every 3-generated subgroup of a given group is nilpotent of class \leq 3 then the group itself is also nilpotent of class \leq 3. Therefore, it suffices to demonstrate that every 3-generated group G in $L(M)$ belongs to \mathcal{N}_3 .

Thus, take $G = gr(a, b, c)$. According to [11], G is 3-Engel. By setting $x_1 = a, x_2 = b$, and $x_3 = c$, from (11) , (13) , and (16) we easily deduce that

$$
c(3, 1, 2, 3)^2 = c(2, 1, 3, 3), \quad z_1 = c(3, 1, 2, 3)^4.
$$

Hence,

$$
[c, b, [c, a]] = [c, a, b, c]^4.
$$
 (19)

227

Choose the following elements in $(c)^G$:

$$
x = [c, a, b], y = [c, a], z = [c, b], c.
$$

It follows from (19) that

$$
[z, y] = [x, c]^4, \quad [x^4, y] = 1, \quad [x^4, z] = 1.
$$
 (20)

Suppose that $[z, y] \neq 1$. Since $(c)^G \in \mathcal{M}$, the membership test implies existence of a homomorphism $\varphi : (c)^G \to A$, with A a suitable group in K, for which $\varphi([z,y]) \neq 1$. However, $\varphi(y)$ and $\varphi(z)$ belong to the centralizer of the element $\varphi(x^4)$. Hence, $[\varphi(y), \varphi(z)] = 1$ by the hypothesis of the theorem. This contradiction means that $[z, y] = 1$. We have thus shown that

$$
[c, b, [c, a]] = 1. \tag{21}
$$

By setting $x_3 = b$, $x_2 = a$, and $x_1 = c$, in a similar way we infer that $[b, a, [b, c]] = 1$; i.e.,

$$
[c, b, [b, a]] = 1. \t\t(22)
$$

By setting $x_3 = a$, $x_2 = b$, and $x_1 = c$, by analogy to (20) we obtain [a, b, [a, c]] = 1; i.e.,

$$
[c, a, [b, a]] = 1. \t\t(23)
$$

Again assuming that $x_3 = c$, $x_2 = b$, and $x_1 = a$, from the system of (9)-(17) and (21)-(23) we now easily deduce that $c(i,j,k,l) = 1$ for all values $c(i,j,k,l)$ of the commutators involved in this system. This means that $G = gr(a, b, c)$ is a nilpotent group of class ≤ 3 . The theorem is proven.

Consider groups with the following presentation in the variety \mathcal{N}_2 :

$$
H_{ps} = gr(x, y \parallel [x, y]^p = x^{p^*} = y^{p^*} = 1), \quad s \in \mathbb{N},
$$

$$
H_p = gr(x, y \parallel [x, y]^p = 1).
$$

Let $F_2(\mathcal{N}_2)$ be a free nilpotent group of class 2 and rank 2. These groups have the following property [7]: the collection

$$
qH_{ps}, qH_{p}, qH_{22}, qF_2(\mathcal{N}_2), p \neq 2, p \text{ is a prime number}, \qquad (24)
$$

exhausts the full list of *quasivarieties of nilpotent groups all whose* proper *subquasivarieties contain only abdian* groups. Since the groups in this list satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, we come to the following

Corollary. If M is one of the quasivarieties (24) then $L(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_3$.

References

- 1. L. C. Kappe, "On Levi-formations," Arch. Math., 23, 561-572 (1972).
- 2. F. W. Levi, "Groups in which the commutator operation satisfies certain algebraic conditions," J. Indian Math. Soc. (N. S.), 6, 87-97 (1942).
- 3. L. C. Kappe and R. F. Morse, "Levi-properties in metabelian groups," Contemp. Math., 109, 59-72 (1990).
- 4. L. C. Kappe and R. F. Morse, "Groups with 3-abelian normal closures," Arch. Math., 51, 104-110 (1988).
- 5. R. F. Morse, "Levi-properties generated by varieties," Contemp. Math., 169, 467-474 (1994).
- 6. H. Heineken, "Engelsche Elemente der Länge drei," Illinois J. Math., 5, 681-707 (1961).
- 7. A.I. Budkin and V. A. Gorbunov, "On the theory of quasivaxieties of algebraic systems," Algebra i Logika, 14, No. 2, 123-142 (1975).
- 8. A. I. Mal'tsev, Algebraic Systems fin.Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1970).
- g. M. Hall, The Theory of Groups [Russian translation], Izdat. Inostr. Lit., Moscow (1962).
- 10. H. Neumann, Varieties of Groups [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1969).
- 11. L. C. Kappe and W. P. Kappe, "On three-Engel groups," Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 7, 391-405 (1972).