

Facile and expedient synthesis of *α,β***‑unsaturated isoxazol‑5(4***H***)‑ones under mild conditions**

Fatemeh Ghorbani1 · Hamzeh Kiyani1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1520-3655) Seied Ali Pourmousavi1

Received: 22 July 2019 / Accepted: 1 October 2019 / Published online: 9 October 2019 © Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Abstract

It was found that nano- $SiO_2-H_2SO_4$ was catalyzed by the three-component cyclocondensation of aryl/heteroaryl aldehydes, hydroxylamine hydrochloride, and *β*-ketoesters toward the synthesis of *α*,*β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones under green conditions. The reaction yielded the corresponding heterocycles at room temperature in relatively shorter reaction times. It merits mentioning that the mild conditions allow the synthesis of several α , β -unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones using this method. In this study, some new derivatives of isoxazolones were also synthesized and characterized. It is efficient, clean, simple, safe, and ecologically friendly. This straightforward method is cost-efective and requires no preparation of reactants. The three-component annulation was performed without using energy sources, for example, heat, ultrasound wave, and microwave irradiation.

Graphic abstract

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Keywords Green synthesis · Hydroxylamine hydrochloride · Isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones · β-Ketoester · Nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄ · Three-component reaction

Introduction

Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) are efective methods to the practical construction of a wide range of heterocyclic compounds in single operation with structural diversity and complexity from simple and inexpensive starting materials via the generation of several bonds in a single synthetic operation. Recently, signifcant consideration has been attentive to MCRs due to their simplicity, the diminished generation of the waste, shorter reaction times, step/atom economy, reduced steps of purifcation of intermediates, mild reaction conditions, as well as environmental benignity $[1-7]$ $[1-7]$.

The isoxazol-5(4*H*)-one, also called isoxazolone, ring systems represent important molecular structures, which have been employed as the precursors in the synthesis of interesting organic molecules [[8,](#page-15-2) [9](#page-15-3)]. They have been known to show antibacterial [\[10](#page-15-4)], antifungal [\[10](#page-15-4)[–12](#page-15-5)], tyrosinase inhibitory [[13\]](#page-15-6), anticancer [[14–](#page-15-7)[16\]](#page-15-8), anti-obesity [\[17](#page-15-9), [18](#page-15-10)], anti-androgen [\[19](#page-15-11), [20](#page-15-12)], CDP-ME kinase inhibitor [[21\]](#page-15-13), anti-HIV-1 [\[22](#page-15-14)], fungicide [[23\]](#page-15-15), and insecticides [\[24](#page-15-16), [25\]](#page-15-17) activities. Also, the isoxazol-5(4*H*)-one motifs as the powerful electron acceptor are likely to be good candidates for organic nonlinear optical (NLO) materials [[26–](#page-15-18)[28\]](#page-15-19), photonic applications [\[29](#page-15-20)[–32](#page-15-21)], and solar cells [\[33](#page-15-22)]. Some of the *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-one derivatives that show strong biological activities are presented in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

 As mentioned above, *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones play an important role in the organic synthetic chemistry. Therefore, organic chemists are interested in the synthesis of such heterocycles in recent years. One of the most attractive methods of obtaining isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones is the cyclocondensation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride with *β*-ketoesters and various aldehydes in the presence of various types of catalysts, including sodium acetate and tungsten lamp [\[34](#page-15-23)], potassium phthalimide (PPI) [[35\]](#page-15-24), potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) [[36\]](#page-15-25), tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP)/glycine/sodium oxalate [\[37](#page-15-26)], 2-hydroxy-5-sulfobenzoic acid (2-HSBA) [\[38](#page-15-27)], *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) [\[39](#page-15-28)], Ag/SiO₂ [[40\]](#page-15-29), fruit juice of citrus Limon [\[41](#page-15-30)], amine-modified montmorillonite nanoclay [[42\]](#page-15-31), sodium benzoate [\[12](#page-15-5), [43\]](#page-15-32), boric acid [\[44](#page-15-33)], Sn^{II} montmorillonite [\[45](#page-16-0)], nickel (II) acetate [[46\]](#page-16-1), citric acid [[47\]](#page-16-2), starch solution [[48\]](#page-16-3), sulfated polyborate [[49\]](#page-16-4), pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) [\[50](#page-16-5)], DABCO-functionalized dicationic ionic liquid (DDIL) [\[51](#page-16-6)], $Na₂S·9H₂O$ [\[52](#page-16-7)], nano-MgO [[53\]](#page-16-8), pyridine [[54–](#page-16-9)[56\]](#page-16-10), sulfanilic acid [\[57](#page-16-11)], KI [\[58](#page-16-12)], sodium silicate [\[59](#page-16-13)], deep eutectic solvent [[60\]](#page-16-14), mesolite [\[61](#page-16-15)], cerium chloride heptahydrate [\[62](#page-16-16)], phthalimide-*N*-oxyl [[63\]](#page-16-17), antimony trichloride [\[64](#page-16-18)], DOWEX1-x8OH [[65\]](#page-16-19), LiBr [\[66](#page-16-20)], and salicylic acid [\[67](#page-16-21)]. In the recent years, sulfuric acid supported on silica (SiO₂–H₂SO₄) as an efficient and environmental-friendly solid acid catalyst has been applied in organic transformations due to their high catalytic activity, the operational simplicity, cost-efectiveness, and the recyclability. Using this convenient, safe, and highly efficient catalyst will make the products formed in high yields as well as facilitating the product separation and purifcation processes [\[68](#page-16-22)[–73](#page-16-23)]. In

Fig. 1 Selected examples of *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones with biological activities

the present study, an expeditious, one-pot, three-component cyclocondensation has been used for the green synthesis of derivatives of *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*) ones ($4a-4aq$) applying nano-SiO₂-H₂SO₄ as the catalyst under mild conditions (Scheme [1](#page-3-0)). In this contribution, the synthesis of fifteen derivatives of isoxazol-5(4*H*)-one is also presented for the frst time.

Experimental

General

All chemicals were purchased from Alfa Aesar and Aldrich and were used without further purifcation, with the exception of liquid aldehydes which were distilled and purifed before using. All solvents were distilled before using. Melting points were measured on a Buchi 510 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Nuclear

Scheme 1 Three-component synthesis of *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones (**4a**–**4aq**)

magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded at an ambient temperature on a Bruker Avance DRX-300 MHz using DMSO- d_6 as the solvent. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer RXI spectrometer. The development of reactions was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis on Merck pre-coated silica gel 60 F_{254} aluminum sheets, visualized by UV light. Elemental microanalyses were performed on an Elementar Vario EL III analyzer. SEM images were taken using a JEOL JSM-5300 microscope at acceleration voltage of 10 kV. Sample powder was deposited on a carbon tape before mounting on a sample holder. To reduce the charge developed on the sample, gold sputtering was done for 3 min.

General procedure for the synthesis of *α,β***‑unsaturated isoxazol‑5(4H)‑ones (4a–4aq)**

A mixture of hydroxylamine hydrochloride **2** (0.0695 g, 1 mmol), *β*-ketoester **3** (1 mmol), and catalyst (0.05 g) in 5 mL of distilled water was stirred at room temperature (rt) for 10 min; then, aryl/heteroaryl aldehyde **1** (1 mmol) was added to the vessel reaction. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until the reaction was completed (monitored by TLC analysis). After the completion of the reaction, the precipitate was separated by simple fltration, washed with cold distilled water, and dried in the air. Crude products were dissolved in hot ethanol and fltered of for the separation of catalyst. The products were crystallized from ethanol (95%) to aford the title pure compounds. Spectral data for some compounds are as follows:

4‑(2‑Hydroxy‑3‑methoxybenzylidene)‑3‑methylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4i)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 2.25 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.85 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 6.88 (t, *J*=8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.25 (dd, *J*=1.2, 8.1, 1H, Ar–H), 8.10 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.31 $(dd, J=1.2, 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H, Ar-H$, 10.35 (s, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): *δ*=27.4, 75.4, 116.7, 118.7, 119.7, 139.4, 144.9, 147.7, 149.4, 152.8, 162.1, 176.0; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=3418, 1750, 1620, 1357, 1257, 1095, 935.

3‑Methyl‑4‑(3,4,5‑trimethoxybenzylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4k)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 2.32 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.98 (s, 6H, *meta*-CH₃O), 4.02 (s, 3H, *para*-CH3O), 7.34 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 7.86 (s, 2H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (DMSO*d*6, 100 MHz): *δ*=11.6, 56.4, 61.2, 111.8, 117.9, 122.7, 127.7, 149.8, 152.9, 161.1, 168.5.

4‑(4‑(Bis(2‑chloroethyl)amino)benzylidene)‑3‑methylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4l)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.81 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 4H, 2×CH2), 3.91 (t, *J*=6.9 Hz, 4H, 2×CH2Cl), 6.97 (d, *J*=9.3 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.65 (s, 1H, ArCH=), 8.45 (d, $J=8.7$ Hz, 2H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): *δ*=11.3, 40.9, 51.6, 110.9, 112.0, 122.1, 137.4, 150.5, 152.1, 162.1, 169.4.

(4‑(4‑Hydroxy‑3,5‑dimethoxybenzylidene)‑3‑methylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4n)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 2.23 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.83 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃O), 7.74 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.03 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 10.2 (s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO*d*6): *δ*=11.3, 56.0, 112.7, 113.9, 123.7, 143.2, 147.5, 152.1, 162.2, 169.0.

4‑((2‑Hydroxynaphthalen‑1‑yl)methylene)‑3‑methylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4q)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 2.41 (s, 3H, CH₃), 7.58–7.68 (m, 3H, Ar–H and ArCH═), 8.02 (d, *J*=8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.15 (d, *J*=8.4 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.29 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.45 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.63 (s, 1H, OH); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$: $\delta = 11.3, 120.3, 124.4, 124.9, 126.6, 127.5, 128.9, 130.8,$ 131.4, 132.9, 148.5, 158.9, 161.9, 167.5, 169.2.

3‑Methyl‑4‑((5‑methylthiophen‑2‑yl)methylene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4s)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 2.24 (s, 3H, CH₃ of thiophen ring), 2.61 (s, 1H, CH₃ of isoxazolone ring), 7.13 (dd, *J*=0.77, 3.80 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.04 (d, $J=3.8$ Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.11 (s, 1H, ArCH=); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): *δ*=11.0, 15.9, 111.2, 128.3, 134.4, 141.6, 144.3, 157.1, 161.5, 168.7; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): *ν* = 3432, 1735, 1599, 1431, 1147, 1110, 1064, 998.

3‑Methyl‑4‑((E)‑3‑phenylallylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4t)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =2.30 (s, 1H, CH₃ of isoxazolone ring), 7.46–7.66 (m, 6H, Ar–H and CH=), 7.75 (d, *J*=11.7 Hz, 1H,=CH), 8.06–8.15 (m, 1H, CH=); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 10.8, 116.9121.8, 128.7, 129.3, 134.8, 136.8, 149.6, 151.8, 168.7, 173.4.

3‑(Chloromethyl)‑4‑(2‑hydroxy‑3‑methoxybenzylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4aa)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 3.85 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 4.89 (s, 2H, CH₂Cl), 6.89 (t, *J*=8.1 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.27 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.35 (d, *J*=8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.40 (s, 1H, ArCH=), 10.45 (s, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): *δ*=35.3, 56.1, 113.1, 118.2, 118.7, 119.6, 123.4, 146.7, 147.7, 150.0, 161.5, 167.6; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=3416, 1752, 1622, 1376, 1260, 1090, 934.

3‑(Chloromethyl)‑4‑(3,4‑dimethoxybenzylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4ab)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 3.84 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.91 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 4.87 $(S, 2H, CH_2Cl), 7.23$ (d, $J=8.6$ HZ, 1H, Ar–H), 8.01 (d, $J=6.7$ HZ, 1H, Ar–H), 8.05 (s, 1H, Ar–H), 8.48 (s, 1H, ArCH=); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 35.0$, 111.4, 111.8, 125.8, 131.7, 148.4, 152.8, 155.1, 161.6, 168.3; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=3442, 3099, 2950, 1743, 1554, 1523, 1279, 1140, 1033, 885.

3‑(Chloromethyl)‑4‑(3,4,5‑trimethoxybenzylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4ac)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 3.84 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.86 (s, 6H, CH₃O), 4.87 (s, 2H, CH₂Cl), 7.97 (s, 2H, Ar–H), 8.09 (s, 1H, ArCH=); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*6): *δ*=34.9, 56.0, 112.2, 113.6, 127.6, 143.6, 152.4, 152.9, 161.6, 167.8; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=3434, 2941, 1725, 1570, 1426, 1349, 1258, 1125, 1112, 990.

4‑(4‑(Bis(2‑chloroethyl)amino)benzylidene)‑3‑(chloromethyl)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4ad)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =3.82 (t, J=6.7 Hz, 4H, 2×CH₂), 3.94 (t, *J*=6.2 Hz, 4H, 2×CH₂Cl), 4.83 (s, 2H, CH₂Cl), 7.04 (d, *J*=9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.87 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.47 (d, *J*=8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO*d*6): *δ*=35.2, 40.9, 51.6, 106.8, 112.4, 121.9, 137.9, 151.4, 152.9, 161.6, 169.1; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=3432, 1740, 1565, 1545, 1353, 1185, 1043, 933.

4‑(4‑(Bis(2‑chloroethyl)amino)benzylidene)‑3‑phenylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4al)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =3.83 (t, J=6.0 Hz, 4H, 2×CH₂), 3.95 (t, *J*=6.8 Hz, 4H, 2×CH₂), 6.99 (d, *J*=9.1 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.56 (s, 1H, ArCH=), 7.56–7.64 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 8.45 (d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 2H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (75 MHz,

DMSO-*d*₆): *δ* = 40.9, 51.5, 109.3, 112.1, 121.9, 127.9, 128.7, 129.1, 130.5, 137.9, 152.6, 164.4, 169.6; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν = 3435, 1742, 1550, 1526, 1351, 1184, 1092, 828.

4‑(4‑hydroxybenzylidene)‑3‑propylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4am)

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =0.99 (t, *J*=7.3 Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₂), 1.68 (sext, *J*=7.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.66 (t, *J*=7.4, 2H, CH₂), 6.95 (d, *J*=8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.84 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.47 (d, *J*=8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO*d*6): *δ*=14.1, 19.9, 27.5, 113.6, 116.6, 125.1, 138.0, 151.5, 164.3, 165.2, 169.5.

4‑(4‑(Dimethylamino)benzylidene)‑3‑propylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4an)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =0.95 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.65 (sext, $J=7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.55 (t, $J=7.6$ HZ, 2H, CH₂), 3.10 (s, 6H, N(CH₃)₂), 6.81 (d, *J*=9.2, 2H, Ar–H), 7.58 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.44 (d, *J*=9.0 2H, ArH); 13C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$: δ = 13.7, 19.6, 27.1, 39.6, 108.3, 111.5, 120.9, 137.5, 149.9, 154.2, 164.6, 169.9; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν = 2925, 1710, 1552, 1528, 1341, 1199, 1113, 1033.

4‑(3,4‑Dimethoxybenzylidene)‑3‑propylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4ao)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =0.97 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.65 (sext, $J=7.4$, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.60 (t, $J=7.4$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₂O), 3.88 (s, 3H, CH3O), 7.13 (d, *J*=8.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 7.79 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 7.98 (dd, *J*=1.6, 8.3, Hz, 1H, Ar–H), 8.45 (d, *J*=1.6 Hz, 1H, Ar–H); 13C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO*d*₆): *δ* = 13.6, 19.2, 27.0, 55.4, 55.9, 111.4, 114.3, 115.6, 126.0, 131.0, 148.3, 151.1, 154.3, 164.6, 168.9.

3‑Propyl‑4‑(3,4,5‑trimethoxybenzylidene)isoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4ap)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =0.98 (t, J=3.3 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.68 (sext, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.63 (t, *J*=7.7 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃O), 3.83 $(s, 6H, 2 \times CH_3O), 7.87$ (s, 1H, ArCH=), 7.99 (s, 2H. Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*6): *δ*=13.6, 19.2, 27.1, 55.9, 60.4, 112.0, 116.4, 127.9, 142.9, 151.2, 152.3, 164.6, 168.5; IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=2946, 1742, 1410, 1570, 1492, 1340, 1132, 997.

4‑(4‑(Bis(2‑chloroethyl)amino)benzylidene)‑3‑propylisoxazol‑5(4H)‑one (4aq)

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =0.97 (t, J=7.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.66 (sext, *J*=7.4 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 3.82 (t, *J*=6.6 Hz, 2H, 2×CH₂N), 3.92 (t, *J*=6.1 Hz, 2H, 2×CH2Cl), 6.98 (d, *J*=9.2 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 7.69 (s, 1H, ArCH═), 8.49 (d, $J=9.0$ Hz, 2H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 13.7, 19.5, 27.0, 41.0, 51.6, 110.3, 111.5, 112.0, 122.1, 137.5, 150.1, 152.1, 164.7, 169.6. IR (KBr, cm−1): *ν*=2967, 1725, 1629, 1597, 1525, 1324, 1259, 1134, 892.

Results and discussion

At a frst step, nanosilica was synthesized according to the previously reported method [[74](#page-16-24)]. Sulfuric acid immobilized on nano-SiO₂ was also synthesized according to the literature [[69](#page-16-25)]. To synthesize the nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄, a concentrated sulfuric acid was gradually added to a mortar containing nano- $SiO₂$. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and then placed in the oven at 100 °C for 12 h. In this process, the FE-SEM images revealed that the $SiO₂–H₂SO₄$ particles were formed at the nanoscale (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). The particle size of the $SiO_2-H_2SO_4$ particles typically ranges between 25 and 55 nm.

Fig. 2 FE-SEM of synthesized nano-SiO₂-H₂SO₄

 After the synthesis of nanocatalyst, the three-component cyclocondensation (3-CC) of vanillin (**1h**), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (**2**), and ethyl acetoacetate (**3a**) was selected as the model reaction to establish the optimum reaction conditions (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)). Implementation of the reaction under catalyst-free conditions did not obtain pleasing results. Therefore, a catalyst was used. Satisfyingly, an expected heterocyclic product (**4h**) was obtained under the catalysis of nano- $SiO₂–H₂SO₄$ (0.025 g) in 45% isolated yield after 30 min using water as the solvent at rt. Concomitant increase in the reaction time from 45 min to 2 h increased the reaction yield to 60%, but due to the long reaction time this change is not a desirable result. Water is close to being an ideal green solvent, preferable to alternatives such as organic solvents [\[1\]](#page-15-0). For this reason, water was used to carry out these 3-CCs. It should be noted that a prolonged reaction time had no signifcant efect on the reaction yield. Screening of the catalyst loading at rt revealed 0.05 g to be the best choice, delivering **4h** in 90% isolated yields. The yield of **4h** was not improved when the catalyst amount was increased from 0.05 to 0.75, 0.10, and 0.125 g at rt. The screening reaction temperatures (50, 75 \degree C and reflux) showed that increasing temperature did not have positive efect on the reaction yield (see red font in Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). The efects of the solvent was then investigated and found that ethanol, acetone, ethyl acetate, *n*-hexane, and a mixture of water–ethanol did not give better results (see blue font in Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). When the reaction was performed under solvent-free conditions, the target product was obtained in 15% isolated yield. In summary, when vanillin (**1h**) was treated with **2** and **3a** using

Fig. 3 Screening of the reaction conditions of the model reaction of vanillin (**1h**), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (2), and ethyl acetoacetate (3a) for the synthesis of $4h$ in the presence of nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄. (Color fgure online)

0.05 g nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄ in water at rt for 30 min, the reaction progressed efficiently, giving **4h** in 90% isolated yield (the optimized reaction conditions).

After the successful optimization of the reaction conditions, cyclocondensation reaction of a range of diferent aryl/heteroaryl aldehydes with **2** and **3a** was explored, and the results are given in Table [1](#page-10-0). Aromatic aldehydes bearing different electron-donating functional groups were reacted smoothly to form the desired *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones (**4a**–**4p)** in good to high yields (Table [1,](#page-10-0) entries 11–16). It was also found that when the heterocyclic aldehydes such as 4-oxo-4*H*-chromene-3-carbaldehyde, thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde, and 5-methylthiophene-2-carboxaldehyde were used to react with **2** and **3a**, the target heterocyclic products (**4q**, **4r**, and **4s**) could be achieved in 86%, 90%, and 91% yields, respectively (Table [1](#page-10-0), entries 17–19). As for steric efect, *ortho*-substituted benzaldehydes and 2-hydroxynaphthaldehyde were less efective than those *meta*substituted or *para*-substituted ones, resulting in the desired products in good to high yields in 30, 10, and 40 min (Table [1,](#page-10-0) entries 6, 9, and 16), respectively. As the example of *α*,*β*-unsaturated aldehydes, cinnamaldehyde was also applied in this 3-CC and gave the 3-methyl-4-(3-phenylallylidene)isoxazol-5(4*H*)-one (**4t**) in yield of 97% (Table [1](#page-10-0), entry 20). Nevertheless, no desired heterocyclic products were obtained for aldehydic substrates bearing electron-withdrawing group on the phenyl ring or aliphatic aldehydes. Then, instead of ethyl acetoacetate (**3a**), three *β*-ketoesters (**3b**–**3d**) as substrates were used to perform the 3-CC leading to the corresponding α , β -unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones (4**u**–4aq) in good to excellent yields (Table [1](#page-10-0), entries 17–38).

The structures of the synthesized compounds were confrmed by spectral data. For example, the ¹H NMR spectrum of 4I showed the doublet signals in the regions at δ 8.45 ppm (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz) and 6.97 (d, $J = 9.3$ Hz) are attributed to aromatic protons (Ar–H), and the presence of sharp singlet signal at δ 7.65 ppm is attributed to CH= between the isoxazol and phenyl rings. The chemical shift for two chloromethylene protons (–CH₂Cl–) appears as a triplet in the δ 3.91 ppm (*J*=6.9 Hz), and a triplet at δ 3.81 ppm (*J*=6.0 Hz) corresponds to methylene (–CH₂–) linking N bound to the benzene ring. The signal of the protons of methyl group of isoxazol ring is appeared at δ 2.21 ppm as a sharp singlet signal. From the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **4l**, the presence of signals at $\delta = 169.4$ and 162.1 ppm corresponds to C=O and C=N of isoxazol moiety, respectively. The carbon signals of chloromethylene (–CH₂Cl–) and methylene (–CH₂–) groups are observed at δ 51.6 and 40.9 ppm, respectively. The carbon signal of $-CH_3$ is observed at $\delta = 11.3$ ppm. The other carbons exhibit peaks at their expected values (110.9, 112.0, 122.1, 137.4, 150.5, and 152.1). In comparison, the spectral data of the *N*-mustard compound **4ad**, which is analogous to **4l**, are also shown in Fig. [4](#page-11-0).

Furthermore, by the implementation of the reactions in water and using nano- $SiO₂–H₂SO₄$ catalyst this protocol offers advantages, from the green chemistry point of view, compared to those performed in organic solvents. A literature survey on some reported approaches for the synthesis of 4-arylidene-3-methylisoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones is shown in Table [2.](#page-12-0) This heteroannulation reaction is preferred in some aspects, such as relatively shorter reaction times, higher efficiency, and a widespread use of *β*-ketoester starting materials.

Entry	Structure of isoxazol-5 $(4H)$ - one	Ar {number of compound}	Time (min)	Isolated yields $(\%)$	$Mp (^{\circ}C)$	
						Observed Reported ^b
$\mathbf{1}$	Мe Ar	C_6H_5 {4a}	30	90	138-140	$140 - 142$
2	$4a-4t$	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄ {4 b }	30	97	130-132	135-136
3		4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ {4c}	15	97	174-176	$175 - 177$
4		4-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4d}	5	98	210-212	210-211
5		3-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4e}	30	92	200-202	199-201
6		2-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4f}	30	96	199-201	198-200
7		4-(Me) ₂ N-C ₆ H ₄ {4g}	5	98	218-220	226-227
8		4-HO-3-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ {4h}	30	98	210-212	$213 - 215$
9		2-HO-3-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ {4i}	10	94	$213 - 215$	New
10		3,4-Di-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ {4 j }	10	89	$127 - 129$	134-135
11		3,4,5-Tri-MeO-C ₆ H ₂ {4k}	5	89	171–173	New
12		$4-(CICH,CH_2), N-C_6H_5$ {41}	20	95	178-181	New
13		4-MeCONH-C ₆ H ₄ {4m}	60	91	188-190	188-191
14		4-HO-3,5-di-MeO-C ₆ H ₂ {4n}	15	94	168-170	194-197
15		3-HO-4-MeO- C_6H_3 {4o}	30	92	186-187	187-189
16		2-Hydroxynaphthalen-1-yl $\{4p\}$	40	91	198-200	New
17		4-Oxo-4H-chromen-3-yl $\{4q\}$	60	86	238-240	242-244
18		2-Thienyl $\{4r\}$	30	90	144-146	$143 - 145$
19		5-Methyl-2-thienyl $\{4s\}$	35	91	170-172	New
20		$C_6H_5CH=CH$ {4t}	30	97	$177 - 179$	175-177
21		C_6H_5 {4u}	30	91	180-182	183-184
	4u-4af					
22		4-Me-C ₆ H ₄ {4v}	35	90	136-138	135-137
23		4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ {4w}	20	93	183-185	175-177
24		4-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4x}	10	98	182-184	183-186
25		4-(Me) ₂ N-C ₆ H ₄ {4y}	5	95	182-184	179-180
26		4-HO-3-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ $\{4z\}$	30	95	138-140	$141 - 143$
27		2-HO-3-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ {4aa}	15	92	166-168	New
28		3,4-Di-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ {4ab}	20	93	174-176	New
29		3,4,5-Tri-MeO-C ₆ H ₂ {4ac}	10	94	$128 - 130$	New
30		$4-(CICH_2CH_2)_2N-C_6H_5$ {4ad}	30	93	147–149	New
31		4-MeCONH- C_6H_4 {4ae}	60	90	224-226	224-227
32		2-Thienyl {4af}	30	90	147-148	146-148

Table 1 Scope of aldehydes and *β*-ketoesters studied for the synthesis of *α,β*-unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*) ones ($4a-4aq$) catalyzed by nano-SiO₂-H₂SO₄

Entry	Structure of isoxazol- $5(4H)$ - one	Ar {number of compound}	Time (min)	Isolated yields $(\%)$	Mp (°C)	
						Observed Reported ^b
33	Ph Ar-	C_6H_5 {4ag}	40	90	210-212	213-215
34	4ag-4al	4-Me-C ₆ H ₄ {4ah}	40	88	195-196	195-196
35		4-MeO-C ₆ H ₄ {4ai}	30	90	$167 - 169$	168-170
36		4-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4aj}	10	95	208-210	209-211
37		$4-(Me)_{2}N-C_{6}H_{4}$ {4ak}	10	91	194-196	195-197
38		$4-(CICH, CH2)2N-C6H5$ {4al}	25	90	$131 - 133$	New
39	Ar	4-HO-C ₆ H ₄ {4am}	7	96	$151 - 153$	New
	4am-4aq					
40		$4-(Me)_{2}N-C_{6}H_{4}$ {4an}	5	90	118-120	New
41		3,4-Di-MeO-C ₆ H ₃ { 4ao }	20	90	$127 - 129$	New
42		3,4,5-Tri-MeO-C ₆ H ₂ {4ap}	8	90	$80 - 82$	New
43		$4-(CICH, CH2)2N-C6H5$ {4aq}	35	97	179-181	New

Table 1 (continued)

a Reactions were carried out using aldehydes (**1**, 1 mmol), hydroxylamine hydrochloride (**2**, 1 mmol), β -ketoesters (3a–3d, 1 mmol), nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄ (0.05 g), water (5 mL) at room temperature ^bMelting points are listed in Refs. [\[34](#page-15-23)[–66](#page-16-20)]

Fig. 4 ¹ H and 13C NMR chemical shifts of heterocyclic compound **4ad**

Based on the previous literature [\[34](#page-15-23)[–66](#page-16-20)], the proposed mechanism for this annulation is outlined in Scheme [2](#page-13-0). Treatment of hydroxylamine hydrochloride with *β***-**ketoesters (**3a**–**3d**) gives the corresponding oxime derivatives **C**. The aldehydes activated with nano-SiO₂–H₂SO₄ are converted to oxime arylidene intermediates (\bf{F}) via the Knoevenagel reaction with oxime intermediates (**D**) and dehydration. The desired heterocyclic compounds (**4a**–**4aq**) were then obtained through the intramolecular *O*-attack cyclization and deethanolization.

Control experiments were performed under the optimized reaction conditions to investigate insights into the reaction mechanism (Scheme 3). The first reaction (I)

 \overline{a}

þ

Scheme 2 Proposed reaction mechanism for the synthesis of 4-arylidene-isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones (**4a**–**4aq**)

failed, and staining materials on TLC remained unchanged after 30 min. The subsequent reaction (II) proceeded well, and the TLC indicated that the starting materials had disappeared and a new compound had formed. The white powder was obtained and analyzed by physical data, FT-IR spectrum, and elemental analysis. It was possible to form two reaction intermediates **6** and **7**. Studies show that reaction intermediate **7** was formed. In the IR spectrum, the peaks observed in regions 3458 and 1692 cm−1 indicate the formation of the oxime intermediate. The peak in the region of 3458 cm^{-1} confrms the hydroxyl functional group in the intermediate. Also, the ester carbonyl

Scheme 3 Control experiments to investigate the proposed reaction mechanism

Fig. 5 Reusability of the catalyst

group appeared in the region 1692 cm^{-1} due to the hydrogen bond [\[44\]](#page-15-33). Also, elemental analysis data show that the oxime intermediate is formed, and no isoxazolone ring intermediate **6** is formed. These results showed that the oxime intermediates are involved in this 3-CC. Although the exact mechanism of the reaction unclear at this time based on the recent literature $[44–59]$ $[44–59]$ and above-mentioned control investigates, the proposed mechanism may be accepted with probability.

The recyclability of the catalyst was investigated in the model reaction under optimized conditions (Fig. [5](#page-14-0)). After the completion of reaction, the solid product was dissolved in hot ethanol and filtered off. The catalyst remained on filter paper and heterocyclic compound in hot fltered solution. The recovered catalyst was reused three times without any signifcant decrease in the yield of the corresponding heterocyclic compound.

Conclusions

In summary, an efficient, environmentally benign, and green approach for the synthesis of α , β -unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones from readily accessible precursors has been illustrated. The corresponding heterocyclic products were produced at room temperature in high yields and shorter reaction times. In this study, the structure of the newly synthesized α , β -unsaturated isoxazol-5(4*H*)-ones was confirmed on the basis of spectral data. This 3-CC approach has several additional advantages, including easy product isolation, recycling of the catalyst, minimization of waste, simplicity of operation, broad *β*-ketoester substrate scope, and easy work-up.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to Damghan University Research Council for fnancial support of this study.

References

- 1. N. Poomathi, S. Mayakrishnan, D. Muralidharan, R. Srinivasan, P.T. Perumal, Green Chem. **17**, 3362 (2015)
- 2. E. Ruijter, R. Schefelaar, R.V.A. Orru, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **50**, 6234 (2011)
- 3. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. **18**, 689 (2014)
- 4. S.P.N. Sudhan, R. Nasir Ahmed, H. Kiyani, S. Sheik Mansoor, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. **22**, 269 (2018)
- 5. H. Kiyani, Curr. Org. Synth. **15**, 1043 (2018)
- 6. Y. Gu, W. Huang, S. Chen, X. Wang, Org. Lett. **14**, 4285 (2018)
- 7. J. Xu, W. Huang, R. Bai, Y. Queneau, F. Jérôme, Y. Gu, Green Chem. **21**, 2061 (2019)
- 8. N. Agrawal, P. Mishra, Med. Chem. Res. **27**, 1309 (2018)
- 9. S.M. Gomha, M.G. Badrey, M.M. Abdalla, R.K. Arafa, Med. Chem. Commun. **5**, 1685 (2014)
- 10. S.S. Wazalwar, A.R. Banpurkar, F. Perdih, J. Mol. Struct. **1150**, 258 (2017)
- 11. A.R. Banpurkar, S.S. Wazalwar, F. Perdih, Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop. **32**, 249 (2018)
- 12. V.S. Konkala, P.K. Dubey, J. Heterocycl. Chem. **54**, 2483 (2017)
- 13. S.J. Kim, J. Yang, S. Lee, C. Park, D. Kang, J. Akter, S. Ullah, Y.J. Kim, P. Chun, H.R. Moon, Bioorg. Med. Chem. **26**, 3882 (2018)
- 14. C. Bustos, E. Molins, J.G. Carcamo, M.N. Aguilar, C. Sanchez, I. Moreno-Villoslada, H. Nishide, X. Zarate, E. Schott, New J. Chem. **40**, 2156 (2016)
- 15. M. Lavanya, M. Jagadeesh, J. Hari Babu, R. Karvembu, H.K. Rashmi, P. Uma Maheswari Devi, A.V. Reddy, Inorganica Chimica Acta **469**, 76 (2018)
- 16. N. Panathur, N. Gokhale, U. Dalimba, P.V. Koushik, P. Yogeeswari, D. Sriram, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **25**, 2768 (2015)
- 17. B. Kafe, N.G. Aher, D. Khadka, H. Park, H. Cho, Chem. Asian J. **6**, 2073 (2011)
- 18. B. Kafe, H. Cho, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. **33**, 275 (2012)
- 19. Y. Kazui, S. Fujii, A. Yamada, M. Ishigami-Yuasa, H. Kagechik, A. Tanatani, Bioorg. Med. Chem. **26**, 5118 (2018)
- 20. A. Ishioka, K. Tanatani, Y.Hashimoto Nagasawa, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **13**, 2655 (2003)
- 21. M. Tang, S.I. Odejinmi, Y.M. Allette, H. Vankayalapati, K. Lai, Bioorg. Med. Chem. **19**, 5886 (2011)
- 22. S. Breuer, M.W. Chang, J. Yuan, B.E. Torbett, J. Med. Chem. **55**, 4968 (2012)
- 23. Ş.G. Kömürcü, S. Rollas, N. Yilmaz, A. Çevikbaş, Drug Metabol. Drug Interact. **12**, 161 (1995)
- 24. W. Hallenbach, O. Guth, T. Seitz, H.J. Wrolowsky, P. Desbordes, U. Wachendorf-Neumann, P. Dahmen, E. Voerste, P. Lösel, O. Malssm, R. Rama, H. Hadano, US Patent, Pub. No.: US 2012/0065063A1 (2012)
- 25. W. Hallenbach, O. Guth, T. Seitz, H.J. Wrolowsky, P. Desbordes, U. Wachendorf-Neumann, P. Dahmen, E. Voerste, P. Lösel, O. Malssm, R. Rama, H. Hadano, WIPO Patent Application WO/2011/161035A1 (2011)
- 26. A.H. Reshak, S. Azam, Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process. **30**, 197 (2015)
- 27. X. Zhang, X. Jiang, Y. Li, Z. Lin, G. Zhang, Y. Wu, CrystEngComm **17**, 7316 (2015)
- 28. D. Jiang, Z. Xue, Y. Li, H. Liu, W. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. C **1**, 5694 (2013)
- 29. A.F. da Silva, A.A.G. Fernandes, S. Thurow, M.L. Stivanin, I.D. Jurberg, Synthesis **50**, 2473 (2018)
- 30. X.H. Zhang, L.Y. Wang, Y.H. Zhan, Y.L. Fu, G.H. Zhai, Z.Y. Wen, J. Mol. Struct. **994**, 371 (2011)
- 31. X.H. Zhang, Y.H. Zhan, D. Chen, F. Wang, L.Y. Wang, Dyes Pigments **93**, 1408 (2012)
- 32. E. Aret, H. Meekes, E. Vlieg, G. Deroover, Dyes Pigments **72**, 339 (2007)
- 33. T. Ghosh, A. Gopal, A. Saeki, S. Sekic, V.C. Nair, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **17**, 10630 (2015)
- 34. F. Saikh, J. Das, S. Ghosh, Terahedron Lett. **54**, 4679 (2013)
- 35. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, J. Saudi Chem. Soc. **21**, S112 (2017)
- 36. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, Res. Chem. Intermed. **41**, 7847 (2015)
- 37. H. Kiyani, M. Jabbari, A. Mosallanezhad, Jordan J. Chem. **9**, 279 (2014)
- 38. H. Kiyani, H. Darbandi, A. Mosallanezhad, F. Ghorbani, Res. Chem. Intermed. **41**, 7561 (2015)
- 39. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, A. Kanaani, D. Ajloo, M. Vakili, Res. Chem. Intermed. **41**, 7739 (2015)
- 40. S.N. Maddila, S. Maddila, W.E. van Zyl, S.B. Jonnalagadda, Res. Chem. Intermed. **42**, 2553 (2016)
- 41. R.H. Vekariya, K.D. Patel, H.D. Patel, Res. Chem. Intermed. **42**, 7559 (2016)
- 42. J. Safari, M. Ahmadzadeh, Z. Zarnegar, Catal. Commun. **86**, 91 (2016)
- 43. Q. Liu, Y.N. Zhang, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. **32**, 3559 (2011)
- 44. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, Res. Chem. Intermed. **41**, 2653 (2015)
- 45. M. Ahmadzadeh, Z. Zarnegar, J. Safari, Green Chem. Lett. Rev. **11**, 78 (2018)
- 46. H. Kiyani, H.A. Samimi, Chiang Mai J. Sci. **44**, 1011 (2017)
- 47. A.B. Rikani, D. Setamdideh, Orient. J. Chem. **32**, 1433 (2016)
- 48. R.H. Vekariya, H.D. Patel, Indian J. Chem. **56B**, 890 (2017)
- 49. M.S. Patil, C. Mudalian, G.U. Chaturbhuj, Tetrahedron Lett. **58**, 3256 (2017)
- 50. R. Laroum, A. Debache, Synth. Commun. **48**, 1876 (2018)
- 51. T. Lohar, A. Kumbhar, M. Barge, R. Salunkhe, J. Mol. Liq. **224**, 1102 (2016)
- 52. Q. Liu, X. Hou, Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. **187**, 448 (2012)
- 53. H. Kiyani, F. Ghorbani, Res. Chem. Intermed. **42**, 6831 (2016)
- 54. K. Ablajan, H. Xiamuxi, Synth. Commun. **42**, 1128 (2012)
- 55. K. Ablajan, H. Xiamuxi, Chin. Chem. Lett. **22**, 151 (2011)
- 56. Q.F. Cheng, X.Y. Liu, Q.F. Wang, L.S. Liu, W.J. Liu, Q. Lin, X.J. Yang, Chin. J. Org. Chem. **29**, 1267 (2009)
- 57. H. Kiyani, A. Mosallanezhad, Curr. Org. Synth. **15**, 715 (2018)
- 58. A. Mosallanezhad, H. Kiyani, Orbital Electron J. Chem. **10**, 133 (2018)
- 59. Q. Liu, R.T. Wu, J. Chem. Res. **35**, 598 (2011)
- 60. A. Ahad, M. Farooqui, Int. J. Chem Tech Res. **10**, 269 (2017)
- 61. G.T. Pawar, S.P. Gadekar, B.R. Arbad, M.K. Lande, Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Catal. **12**, 32 (2017)
- 62. S.P. Vaidya, G. Shridhar, S. Ladage, L. Ravishankar, Curr. Green Chem. **3**, 160 (2016)
- 63. M.G. Dekamin, S.Z. Peyman, Monatsh. Chem. **147**, 445 (2016)
- 64. S.A. Pourmousavi, H.R. Fattahi, F. Ghorbani, A. Kanaani, D. Ajloo, J. Iran. Chem. Soc. **15**, 455 (2018)
- 65. D. Setamdideh, J. Serb. Chem. Soc. **81**, 971 (2016)
- 66. G. Ferouani, A. Nacer, N. Ameur, R. Bachir, C. Ziani-Cherif, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. **65**, 459 (2018)
- 67. A. Mosallanezhad, H. Kiyani, Curr. Organocatal. **6**, 28 (2019)
- 68. S. Rasheed, D.N. Rao, A.S. Reddy, R. Shankar, P. Das, Rsc Adv. **5**, 10567 (2015)
- 69. V.K. Rajput, B. Mukhopadhyay, Tetrahedron Lett. **47**, 5939 (2006)
- 70. J. Zhang, B. Zhang, J. Zhou, J. Li, C. Shi, T. Huang, Z. Wang, J. Tang, J. Carbohydr. Chem. **30**, 165 (2011)
- 71. J. Zhang, B. Zhang, J. Zhou, H. Chen, J. Li, G. Yang, Z. Wang, J. Tang, J. Carbohydr. Chem. **32**, 380 (2013)
- 72. J.F. Zhou, X. Chen, Q.B. Wang, B. Zhang, L.Y. Zhang, A. Yusulf, Z.F. Wang, J.B. Zhanga, J. Tang, Chin. Chem. Lett. **21**, 922 (2010)
- 73. O. Rosati, F. Messin, A. Pelosi, M. Curini, V. Petrucci, J. Gertsch, A. Chicca, Eur. J. Med. Chem. **85**, 77 (2014)
- 74. K.S. Rao, K. El-Hami, T. Kodaki, K. Matsushige, K. Makino, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **289**, 125 (2005)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Afliations

Fatemeh Ghorbani1 · Hamzeh Kiyani1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1520-3655) Seied Ali Pourmousavi1

 \boxtimes Hamzeh Kiyani hkiyani@du.ac.ir

School of Chemistry, Damghan University, Damghan 36716-41167, Iran