

# Synthesis and antimicrobial activity of novel mancozeb derivatives containing 1,3,4-thiadiazole

Yuting Liu · Gangtao Liang · Dawei Yin

Received: 11 March 2013 / Accepted: 20 June 2013 / Published online: 29 June 2013  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

**Abstract** Four mancozeb derivatives containing 1,3,4-thiadiazole, **5a–5d**, were successfully synthesized, then characterized by IR,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR, and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Their antimicrobial activity against paddy fusarium, *Botrytis cinerea*, cucumber fusarium, tomato gibberella, and grape white rot was tested in vitro by use of the filter paper disk-diffusion technique. Compounds **5a–5d** had moderate to excellent antimicrobial activity in comparison with mancozeb, **6**. Among these compounds, **5a** had the best inhibitory activity.

**Keywords** Mancozeb · 1,3,4-Thiadiazole · Synthesis · Antimicrobial activity

## Introduction

1,3,4-Thiadiazole compounds have been of much interest in synthetic chemistry and as pesticides because of their unique biological properties. Recently, 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives have been shown to have a variety of biological activity, for example as fungicides [1], plant growth regulators [2, 3], insecticides [4], herbicides [5], and anticholinergic [6] and anticonvulsant [7] compounds. Therefore, these compounds attract much attention as novel pesticides. Dithiocarbamate salts are widely used in the petroleum [8], agriculture [9], pharmaceutical [10], environmental protection [11], and rubber [12] industries. However, because resistance to Zineb has been observed, development of novel potent antimicrobial agents with superior bioavailability is

---

Y. Liu (✉) · G. Liang · D. Yin  
Key Laboratory of Auxiliary Chemistry and Technology for Chemical Industry Ministry of Education, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an 710021, Shaanxi, China  
e-mail: lgt\_no1@163.com

Y. Liu · G. Liang · D. Yin  
School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology,  
Xi'an 710021, Shaanxi, China



**Table 1** Yield, melting point, and results from elemental analysis of compounds **3a–3d**

Compound	Molecular formula	Yield (%)	Melting point (°C)	Elemental analysis found (calculated) (%)
<b>3a</b>	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> N <sub>3</sub> S	91.6	192–194	C: 23.68 (23.75), H: 3.05 (3.00), N: 41.43 (41.56)
<b>3b</b>	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>5</sub> N <sub>3</sub> S	89.5	236–239	C: 31.27 (31.28), H: 4.43 (4.38), N: 36.28 (36.49)
<b>3c</b>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>7</sub> N <sub>3</sub> S	90.3	205–212	C: 37.42 (37.18), H: 5.13 (5.27), N: 32.48 (32.53)
<b>3d</b>	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>9</sub> N <sub>3</sub> S	84.1	212–218	C: 41.81 (41.83), H: 6.30 (6.35), N: 29.31 (29.34)

**Table 2** Characteristic IR spectral data for compounds **3a–3d**

Compound	IR ( $\nu_{\max}$ , KBr, cm <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>3a</b>	3,288, 3,082 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ , s); 1,618, 1,611 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ , s); 1,021 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ , s); 680 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ , m)
<b>3b</b>	3,324, 3,100 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ , s); 2,939 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , s); 1,618, 1,520 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ , s); 1,445, 1,376 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , w); 1,022 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ , s); 685 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ , m)
<b>3c</b>	3,287, 3,104 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ , s); 2,974, 2,929, 2,870 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , s); 1,678, 1,637 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ , s); 1,489, 1,453, 1,368 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , w); 1,027, 823, 742, 691, 482 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C-S}}$ , w)
<b>3d</b>	3,433 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ , s); 2,987, 2,927, 2,760 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , s); 1,639, 1,527 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ , s); 1,498, 1,451, 1,407 ( $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$ , w); 1,027, 820, 740, 687, 587 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C-S}}$ , w)

**Table 3** Characteristic <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for compounds **3a–3d**

Compound	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , TMS, ppm)	<sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , TMS, ppm)
<b>3a</b>	6.96 (s, 2H, -NH <sub>2</sub> ); 9.16 (s, 1H, N=C-H)	152.0; 161.7
<b>3b</b>	2.64 (s, 3H, -CH <sub>3</sub> ); 6.96 (s, 2H, -NH <sub>2</sub> )	19.5; 142.7; 161.5
<b>3c</b>	1.25 (t, 3H, -CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.07 (q, 2H, -CH <sub>2</sub> -); 6.96 (s, 2H, -NH <sub>2</sub> )	13.4; 24.3; 161.6; 168.5
<b>3d</b>	0.91 (t, 3H, -CH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.67 (m, 2H, -CH <sub>2</sub> -); 2.86 (t, 2H, -CH <sub>2</sub> -); 6.97 (s, 2H, -NH <sub>2</sub> )	13.7; 23.8; 30.7; 161.6; 168.9

reaction was monitored until completion then cooled to room temperature. Manganous chloride (0.01 mol) aqueous solution was slowly added dropwise, the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h, then 0.01 mol zinc chloride aqueous solution was slowly added dropwise, followed by stirring for 1.5 h. Mancozeb was obtained as a gray solid powder after filtration and drying.

Results from characterization of mancozeb, **6**, and 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives of mancozeb, **5a–5d**, are listed in Tables 4, 5, and 6.

#### Antimicrobial activity of 1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives of mancozeb

Antimicrobial activity was determined by use of the filter paper disk method [13]. Paddy fusarium, *Botrytis cinerea*, cucumber fusarium, tomato gibberella, and grape white rot were selected for the test.

**Table 4** Yield and results from elemental analysis of compounds **5a–5d** and **6**

Compound	Molecular formula	Yield (%)	Elemental analysis found (calculated) (%)
<b>6</b>	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>6</sub> MnN <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> Zn	96.5	C: 18.79(18.02), H: 2.19(2.27), N: 10.56(10.51)
<b>5a</b>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> MnN <sub>6</sub> S <sub>6</sub> Zn	84.9	C: 15.29(15.24), H: 0.78(0.85), N: 17.72(17.77)
<b>5b</b>	C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>8</sub> MnN <sub>6</sub> S <sub>6</sub> Zn	85.2	C: 19.09(19.18), H: 1.55(1.61), N: 16.83(16.78)
<b>5c</b>	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>12</sub> MnN <sub>6</sub> S <sub>6</sub> Zn	82.1	C: 22.74(22.71), H: 2.31(2.29), N: 15.80(15.89)
<b>5d</b>	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>16</sub> MnN <sub>6</sub> S <sub>6</sub> Zn	79.4	C: 25.81(25.88), H: 2.88(2.90), N: 15.12(15.09)

**Table 5** Characteristic IR spectral data for compounds **5a–5d** and **6**

Compound	IR ( $\nu_{\max}$ , KBr, $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )
<b>6</b>	3,425 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ ); 1,609 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ ); 1,442 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C}}$ ); 1,125 ( $\nu_{\text{C=S}}$ ); 1,075 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ ); 956 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S}}$ ); 550 ( $\nu_{\text{S-X}}$ )
<b>5a</b>	3,410 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ ); 1,615 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ ); 1,442 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C}}$ ); 1,128 ( $\nu_{\text{C=S}}$ ); 1,007 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ ); 920 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S}}$ ); 529 ( $\nu_{\text{S-X}}$ )
<b>5b</b>	3,411 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ ); 1,618 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ ); 1,431 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C}}$ ); 1,233 ( $\nu_{\text{C=S}}$ ); 1,075 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ ); 865 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S}}$ ); 658 ( $\nu_{\text{S-X}}$ )
<b>5c</b>	3,443 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ ); 1,622 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ ); 1,429 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C}}$ ); 1,230 ( $\nu_{\text{C=S}}$ ); 1,013 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ ); 930 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S}}$ ); 576 ( $\nu_{\text{S-X}}$ )
<b>5d</b>	3,421 ( $\nu_{\text{N-H}}$ ); 1,625 ( $\nu_{\text{C=N}}$ ); 1,433 ( $\nu_{\text{N-C}}$ ); 1,119 ( $\nu_{\text{C=S}}$ ); 1,078 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S-C}}$ ); 944 ( $\nu_{\text{C-S}}$ ); 530 ( $\nu_{\text{S-X}}$ )

**Table 6** Characteristic <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data for compounds **5a–5d** and **6**

Compound	<sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , TMS, ppm)	<sup>13</sup> C NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> , TMS, ppm)
<b>6</b>	2.00(t, 1H, –NH–), 2.93(d, 2H, –CH <sub>2</sub> –)	47.6; 200.5
<b>5a</b>	4.00 (s, 1H, –NH–); 9.16 (s, 1H, N=C–H)	152.0; 152.9; 196.1
<b>5b</b>	2.65 (s, 3H, –CH <sub>3</sub> ); 4.05 (s, 1H, –NH–)	19.5; 142.9; 152.5; 198.1
<b>5c</b>	1.25 (t, 3H, –CH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.07 (q, 2H, –CH <sub>2</sub> –); 3.98(s, 1H, –NH–)	13.2; 24.4; 152.4; 168.5; 196.0
<b>5d</b>	0.91 (t, 3H, –CH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.68 (m, 2H, –CH <sub>2</sub> –); 2.86 (t, 2H, –CH <sub>2</sub> –); 4.01 (s, 1H, –NH–)	13.9; 23.9; 30.7; 152.5; 167.3; 196.5

The samples (1,3,4-thiadiazole derivatives of mancozeb **5a–5d**) were separately dissolved in an appropriate solvent at a concentration of 10 mg/mL. Compound **6** was used as the standard. Cultures of known inoculum size (10<sup>6</sup> cells/mL) of the tested strains were spread on nutrient agar plates. Sterile filter paper disks 6 mm in diameter was placed on the plates and the 0.5 ml of 10 mg/mL solutions of the mancozeb derivatives were applied to the filter disks. The plates were incubated for three days at 28 °C. Antimicrobial activity was evaluated by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition; the results are summarized in Table 7.

Investigation of the antimicrobial screening data revealed that all the novel mancozeb derivatives **5a–5d** had moderate to excellent antimicrobial activity

**Table 7** Antimicrobial activity of mancozeb derivatives **5a–5d** and **6**

Compound (10 mg/ mL)	Zone of Inhibition (mm)				
	Paddy fusarium	<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>	Cucumber fusarium	Tomato gibberella	Grape white rot
<b>5a</b>	19.6	14.5	8.1	20.2	14.3
<b>5b</b>	13.8	23.6	7.8	12.7	15.4
<b>5c</b>	14.3	14.3	15.1	12.4	13.5
<b>5d</b>	12.5	7.8	13.6	8.9	11.4
<b>6</b>	14.2	25.6	7.6	8.1	8.0

The results in the table are average values from three experiments; the diameter of the filter paper was 6 mm

against the five strains tested. Compound **5b** had the best inhibitory activity against *Botrytis cinerea*, besides the standard **6**; its zone of inhibition reached 23.6 mm. Compounds **5c** and **5d** had better inhibitory activity than standard **6** against cucumber fusarium; their zones of inhibition were 15.1 mm and 13.6 mm, respectively. All these novel mancozeb derivatives had stronger inhibitory activity than the standard **6** against grape white rot. Among these mancozeb derivatives, compound **5a** had the most broad spectrum and strongest inhibitory activity.

From the discussion above we can propose a speculative mechanism of the antimicrobial activity of these novel mancozeb derivatives. Mancozeb had a relatively strong inhibitory antimicrobial effect and mancozeb containing the 1,3,4-thiadiazole group had stronger antimicrobial activity than mancozeb because the 1,3,4-thiadiazole group is a fat-soluble functional group. Different 1,3,4-thiadiazole-containing derivatives of mancozeb had different antimicrobial activity against the five strains tested. Because of less steric hindrance, compound **5a** had high activity against paddy fusarium, tomato gibberella, and grape white rot.

## Conclusion

Novel mancozeb derivatives containing the 1,3,4-thiadiazole group were synthesized in good yield. Their antimicrobial activity was tested. Compound **5a** had the best inhibitory activity. This work is an excellent basis for discovery of potent antimicrobial agents.

**Acknowledgments** Professor Zhang Zhiwei is kindly acknowledged for antimicrobial activity tests. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province, People's Republic of China (project no: 2009JZ002).

## References

1. A. Niewiadomy, J. Matysiak, *Pestycydy/Pesticides* **1**, 5 (2010)
2. B.P. Sadhu, K. Gupta, *Geobios (IND)* **24**(1), 55 (1997)
3. F. Narum, T. Hattori, N. Matsum et al., *Tetrahedron* **60**, 7827 (2004)

4. R. Wan, J.Q. Zhang, F. Han et al., *Nucleosides, Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* **30**(4), 280 (2011)
5. R.M. Allan, C.F. David, S.K. Han, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **22**(3), 915 (1985)
6. Z. Muhi-eldeen, F. Al-Jawed, S. Eldin et al., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **17**, 479 (1982)
7. C.B. Chapleo, M. Mayers, P.L. Myers et al., *J. Med. Chem.* **29**, 2273 (1986)
8. J.C. Ricardo, A.S. Verónica, G. Salvador et al., *Anal. Sci.* **18**, 1253 (2002)
9. R.L. Metealf, T.R. Fukuto, M. Fredeiekson et al., *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **13**, 473 (1965)
10. S. Sonia, G. Marina, P. Eduardo et al., *Mutat. Res.* **514**, 201 (2002)
11. K.M. Ashok, F. Werner, *Talanta* **52**, 341 (2000)
12. J. Wang, *World Rubber Ind.* **36**(6), 8 (2009)
13. L. Du, F. Lu, (China Light Industry Press, 2005), p. 24