



The 2-Sylow subgroup of K_2O_F for certain quadratic number fields

Xia Wu¹

Received: 13 April 2019 / Accepted: 17 January 2020 / Published online: 17 July 2020
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

In this paper, we apply Qin’s theorem for the 4-rank of K_2O_F to establish the relation between the 4-rank of the ideal class group of $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and the 4-rank of K_2O_F provided that all odd prime factors of d are congruent to 1 mod 8. As an application, we give a concise and unified proof of two conjectures proposed by Conner and Hurrelbrink (Acta Arith 73:59–65, 1995).

Keywords Tame kernel · Ideal class group · Quadratic number field

Mathematics Subject Classification 11R70 · 19F15 · 11R29 · 11R11

1 Introduction

Let F be a number field, O_F the ring of integers in F . Let K_2O_F denote the Milnor group of O_F , which coincides with the tame kernel of F . As a finite abelian group, K_2O_F contains rich arithmetical information. In particular, the Birch–Tate conjecture and the Lichtenbaum conjecture establish a relation between the order of K_2O_F and the value $\zeta_F^*(-1)$, where $\zeta_F(\cdot)$ is the Dedekind zeta-function of F . To understand K_2O_F , we need to know the p^n -rank of K_2O_F for any prime p and any positive integer n . If F contains a primitive p^n -th root of unity, the p^n -rank of K_2O_F formula is given by Tate [13], in particular, the 2-rank of K_2O_F formula is given for any number field. See also Keune [6].

When $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic field, Browkin and Schinzel [3] give an explicit formula for the 2-rank of K_2O_F . Qin [9–11] obtains formulas for the 4-rank of K_2O_F and the 8-rank of K_2O_F . See also [8,12]. In this paper, we apply Qin’s theorem for

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971102).

✉ Xia Wu
wuxia80@seu.edu.cn

¹ School of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

the 4-rank of K_2O_F to establish a relation between the 4-rank of ideal class group and the 4-rank of K_2O_F provided that all odd prime factors of d are congruent to 1 mod 8. As an application, we give a concise and unified proof of two conjectures proposed by Conner and Hurrelbrink in [4]. Both conjectures are proved by Vazzana [14,15], but the proofs, which use graph theory, are somewhat involved.

2 Statement of main theorems

We first state main theorems of this paper. For any abelian group A , let $r_4(A)$ denote the 4-rank of A .

Theorem 2.1 *Let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ with $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and let $C(E)$ be the class group of E . Assume that*

- (i) *the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 , and*
- (ii) *an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 32y^2$. Then*

$$r_4(K_2O_E) = r_4(C(E)).$$

Theorem 2.2 *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2d})$ and $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, where $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ with $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and let $C(E)$ be the class group of E . Assume that*

- (i) *the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 , and*
- (ii) *an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 64y^2$. Then*

$$r_4(K_2O_F) = r_4(C(E)).$$

Theorem 2.3 *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2d})$, where $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ with $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and let $C(F)$ be the class group of F . Assume that*

- (i) *the norm of the fundamental unit of F is -1 , and*
- (ii) *an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 64y^2$. Then*

$$r_4(K_2O_F) = r_4(C(F)) - 1.$$

As corollaries of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.4 *The 2-primary part of $K_2(O_E)$ is elementary abelian if and only if*

- (i) *the 2-primary part of the ideal class group $C(E)$ is elementary abelian and the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 , and*
- (ii) *an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 32y^2$.*

Theorem 2.4 gives an explicit set of conditions under which the 2-primary part of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ is elementary abelian. A similar theorem for the field $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2d})$ is as follows.

Theorem 2.5 *The 2-primary part of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is elementary abelian if and only if*

- (i) *the 2-primary part of the ideal class group $C(E)$ is elementary abelian and the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 , and*
- (ii) *an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 64y^2$.*

Both of these two theorems were conjectured by Conner and Hurrelbrink in [4]. Vaz-zana proved the two theorems in [14] and [15], respectively. In particular, his proof for Theorem 2.5 is complicated. He made use of a graph associated with the primes p_1, \dots, p_k and studied its relationship to a new graph associated with the primes lying over p_1, \dots, p_k in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. Our alternative proof is not only unified but also very concise.

3 Preliminary results

In this section, we review some known results which are useful in this paper. The following result is classical. One can find a proof in [5].

Lemma 3.1 (Legendre’s Theorem) *Suppose that a, b, c are square-free,*

$$(a, b) = (a, c) = (b, c) = 1$$

and a, b, c do not have the same sign. Then the Diophantine equation

$$aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2 = 0$$

has nontrivial solutions if and only if for every odd prime $p|abc$, say, $p|a$, $\left(\frac{-bc}{p}\right) = 1$.

We adopt the notation from [11]. Let $d \neq 0$ be an integer. Put

$$S(d) = \begin{cases} \{\pm 1, \pm 2\} & \text{if } d > 0, \\ \{1, 2\} & \text{if } d < 0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

For any abelian group A , set

$${}_2A = \{x \in A \mid x^2 = 1\}.$$

For a number field F , we use NF for the set of norms from F over \mathbb{Q} .

Let F be a number field with r_2 complex places. By Tate [13], every element of order two in K_2F is of the form $\{-1, a\}$, where $a \in F^*$. Let Δ denote the Tate kernel

of F , i.e., the group of elements $x \in F^*$ such that $\{-1, x\} = 1$. If $\sqrt{2} \notin F$, then by [13],

$$(\Delta : (F^*)^2) = 2^{r_2+1}.$$

In particular, if $F \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is a real quadratic field, then $\Delta = F^* \cup 2F^*$.

Theorem 3.2 (Browkin and Schinzel) *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ square-free. Then ${}_2K_2(O_F)$ can be generated by*

$$\{-1, m\}, \quad m|d,$$

together with

$$\{-1, u_i + \sqrt{d}\},$$

if $\{-1, \pm 2\} \cap NF \neq \emptyset$, where $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $u_i^2 - d = c_i w_i^2$ for some $w_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c_i \in \{-1, \pm 2\} \cap NF$.

Remark The general $r_2(K_2O_F)$ formula for an arbitrary number field F is given by Tate [13].

For any integer n , put $\nabla^n = \{\alpha \in K_2O_F | \alpha = \beta^n \text{ for some } \beta \in K_2O_F\}$.

Our main tool is the following theorem of Qin, which completely determines the 4-rank of K_2O_F for any quadratic field (see [9,10]).

Theorem 3.3 (Qin) *Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ square-free. Suppose that $m|d$ ($m > 0$ if $d > 0$, ,but m also takes on negative values if $d < 0$) and write $d = u^2 - 2w^2$ with $u, w \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $2 \in NF$. Then $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if one can find an $\epsilon \in S(d)$ such that*

- (i) $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{p}\right)$ for every odd prime $p|m$;
- (ii) $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{p}\right)$ for every odd prime $p|\frac{d}{m}$;
and $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if one can find an $\epsilon \in S(d)$ such that
- (iii) $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon(u+w)}{p}\right)$ for every odd prime $p|m$;
- (iv) $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\epsilon(u+w)}{p}\right)$ for every odd prime $p|\frac{d}{m}$.

Corollary 3.4 *Let $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ be a product of different primes congruent to 1 mod 8, and let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ or $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2d})$. If $m | d$, then $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if*

$$Z^2 = mX^2 + \frac{d}{m}Y^2$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} ; $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if

$$(u + w)Z^2 = mX^2 + \frac{d}{m}Y^2$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof Since for every odd prime p dividing d , $\left(\frac{\pm 1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\pm 2}{p}\right) = 1$, the result follows from Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.1. \square

4 Proofs of main results

Lemma 4.1 *Let $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ be a product of rational primes congruent to 1 mod 8. Assume that $d = u^2 - 2w^2$, $v = u + w$ ($u > 0$). Then $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ if and only if an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 32y^2$.*

Proof First we assume that $k = 1$. There exist two integers u, w such that $p = u^2 - 2w^2$. Assume that $u > 0$ and write $v = u + w$. Since $u^2 - 2w^2 = (3u + 4w)^2 - 2(2u + 3w)^2$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, by a suitable choice of u, w , we can write $p = u^2 - 2(4w')^2$. Hence $v = u + 4w' \equiv u \pmod{4}$. By [1], we have that $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $p = x^2 + 32y^2$, equivalently, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $p \neq x^2 + 32y^2$.

We consider now the general case. Assume that $d_1 = u_1^2 - 2w_1^2$, $d_2 = u_2^2 - 2w_2^2$ and $d_1d_2 = u^2 - 2w^2$. Then $d_1d_2 = (u_1u_2 + 2w_1w_2)^2 - 2(u_1w_2 + u_2w_1)^2$. If we assume further that $d_1 \equiv d_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then both w_1 and w_2 are even. We have

$$(u_1u_2 + 2w_1w_2) + (u_1w_2 + u_2w_1) \equiv (u_1 + w_1)(u_2 + w_2) \pmod{4}, \tag{2}$$

i.e., $v = u + w \equiv v_1v_2 \pmod{4}$, where $v_1 = u_1 + w_1$, $v_2 = u_2 + w_2$. Now suppose that $p_1 \dots p_k = u^2 - 2w^2$, $v = u + w$ ($u > 0$). For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, assume that $p_i = u_i^2 - 2w_i^2$ ($u_i > 0$) and write $v_i = u_i + w_i$. By induction,

$$v \equiv v_1 \dots v_k \pmod{4}.$$

Note that $v_i \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and $v_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if and only if $p_i = x^2 + 32y^2$. Therefore, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ if and only if an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 32y^2$. \square

Lemma 4.2 *Let p_1, \dots, p_k be rational primes congruent to 1 mod 8. Let $d = p_1 \dots p_k$ or $2p_1 \dots p_k$, let $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, and let $C(E)$ be the class group of E . Write ε for the fundamental unit of $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Then*

- (i) $N\varepsilon = 1$ if and only if the following Diophantine equation is solvable for some $m \mid d$, $m \neq \pm 1$ or $\pm d$,

$$mX^2 - \frac{d}{m}Y^2 = \pm 1. \tag{3}$$

- (ii) if $N\varepsilon = -1$ then ${}_2C(E)$ can be generated by the ideals \wp_1, \dots, \wp_k , where for any i , $\wp_i^2 = p_i$.

Proof

(i) We apply the result on the Tate kernel of real quadratic fields to show that the norm of the fundamental unit of $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is 1 if and only if

$$mX^2 - \frac{d}{m}Y^2 = \pm 1$$

is solvable for some $m \mid d, m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$. In fact, since $\{-1, \varepsilon\} \in {}_2K_2(O_E)$, by Theorem 3.2, there exists some $m \mid d, m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$ such that $\{-1, \varepsilon\} = \{-1, m\}$ or $\{-1, \varepsilon\} = \{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\}$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\varepsilon m(u + \sqrt{d}) \notin E^{*2} \cup 2E^{*2}.$$

Clearly, we have $\varepsilon m \notin 2E^{*2}$. Hence, $\varepsilon m \in E^{*2}$. Therefore, $m^2 = \varepsilon m \bar{\varepsilon} m = (X^2 - dY^2)^2$ for some integers X, Y , so we obtain $\pm m = X^2 - dY^2$, i.e., (3) holds.

Conversely, if $\pm m = X^2 - dY^2, m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$, we put

$$\varepsilon = \frac{X + \sqrt{d}Y}{X - \sqrt{d}Y}.$$

It is obvious that $(m, Y) = 1$. Hence, for any finite prime P of E , if $P \mid m$, then $v_P(X + \sqrt{d}Y) = v_P(X - \sqrt{d}Y) = 1$; if $P \nmid m$, then $v_P(X + \sqrt{d}Y) = v_P(X - \sqrt{d}Y) = 0$. Therefore, ε is an algebraic integer. Moreover, ε is not a square, since $\varepsilon(X - \sqrt{d}Y)^2 = X^2 - dY^2 = \pm m, m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$, is not a square.

(ii) By genus theory, the 2-rank of $C(E)$ is $k - 1$ if $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1 \dots p_k})$, and k if $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2p_1 \dots p_k})$. For any $m \mid d$, the ideal (m, \sqrt{d}) satisfies that $(m, \sqrt{d})^2 = (m)$. Moreover, (m, \sqrt{d}) is principal in $C(E)$ if and only if

$$\pm m = X^2 - dY^2 \tag{4}$$

is solvable over \mathbb{Z} .

But the assumption that $N\varepsilon = -1$ implies that for any $m \mid d, m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$, (4) has no integer solution. Therefore, the ideals \wp_1, \dots, \wp_k generate ${}_2C(E)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 We apply Theorem 3.3 to compute $r_4(K_2O_E)$.

We first show that the assumption (ii) implies $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \notin \nabla^2$.

Recall that in our case, all prime factors are congruent to 1 mod 8. By Corollary 3.4 to theorem of Qin, the condition that $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \in \nabla^2$ is equivalent to the fact that there exists a positive factor m of d , so that

$$vmZ^2 = X^2 + dY^2 \tag{5}$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} . Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, m \mid d, X^2 + dY^2 \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Now the assumption (ii) and Lemma 4.1 imply that $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $vmZ^2 \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ and so (5) has no solution with $(X, Y, Z) = 1$.

Therefore, in ${}_2K_2O_E$ only $\{-1, m\}$ could belong to ∇^2 . By sending $\{-1, m\}$ to the ideal $\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{M}^2 = m)$, we obtain a bijection from the set $\{\{-1, m\}, m \mid d\} \subseteq {}_2K_2O_E$ to ${}_2C(E)$.

By [7], we see that \mathfrak{M} is a square in $C(E)$ if and only if

$$mZ^2 = X^2 - dY^2 \tag{6}$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} . Furthermore, $\{-1, m\}$ is a square in K_2O_E , i.e., $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if

$$mZ^2 = X^2 + dY^2 \tag{7}$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} . As (6) and (7) have the same solvability,

$$r_4(K_2O_F) = r_4(C(F))$$

holds. □

To prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following Lemma 4.5, which is an analog of Lemma 4.1. First we have

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\alpha = 2^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the ring of algebraic integers of L . The class number of L is 1.*

Proof This can be done by the GP calculator. □

Lemma 4.4 *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be a prime. Assume that $p = u^2 - 2w^2$ with $u > 0$. Then $p = x^2 + 64y^2$ if and only if $u \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$.*

Proof We need the following result: Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ be a prime. Then $\gamma^4 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ is solvable if and only if $p = x^2 + 64y^2$. See, for example, Theorem 7.5.2 in [2]. Hence, for a prime $p = x^2 + 64y^2$, $\gamma^4 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ has four distinct solutions. It follows that p splits completely in L . Hence, there are integers a, b, c, d such that

$$p = N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2 + d\alpha^3) = (a^2 + 2c^2 - 4bd)^2 - 2(b^2 + 2d^2 - 2ac)^2.$$

Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we see that a is odd and $2 \mid b$. Hence we have $u = a^2 + 2c^2 - 4bd \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$.

Conversely, if $p = u^2 - 2w^2$ with $|u| \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ and w even, then $p = x^2 + 64y^2$. In fact, we may write $u = t^2u', w = 2^e s^2w'$, where u', w' are square-free odd and positive integers. For any prime $l \mid w'$, $\left(\frac{p}{l}\right) = 1$, hence, $w \equiv \alpha^2 \pmod{p}$ since 2 is a square \pmod{p} . On the other hand, for any prime $l \mid u'$, $\left(\frac{-2p}{l}\right) = 1$, hence, $|u| \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ implies that $u \equiv \alpha^2 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $\gamma^4 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ is solvable and so $p = x^2 + 64y^2$. □

Lemma 4.5 *Let $d = 2p_1 \dots p_k$ with $p_1 \equiv \dots \equiv p_k \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Assume that $p_i = u_i^2 - 2w_i^2, v_i = u_i + w_i (u_i > 0)$. If we write $p_1 \dots p_k = u^2 - 2w^2$, and*

$2p_1 \dots p_k = U^2 - 2W^2$, $U > 0$, then $u \equiv u_1 \dots u_k \pmod{8}$ and $U + W \equiv u$ or $3u \pmod{8}$. Moreover, $U + W \equiv 5, \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}$ if and only if an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented over \mathbb{Z} by the quadratic form $x^2 + 64y^2$.

Proof Assume that $a = u^2 - 2w^2$ and $b = \mu^2 - 2\omega^2$. Then we have

$$ab = (u\mu + 2w\omega)^2 - 2(u\omega + \mu w)^2. \tag{8}$$

Note that if both $a, b \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, it is easy to see w and ω are even, so $u\mu + 2w\omega \equiv u\mu \pmod{8}$. By induction, we have $u \equiv u_1 \dots u_k \pmod{8}$. Observe that

$$2a = (2u + 2w)^2 - 2(u + 2w)^2. \tag{9}$$

Since $(2u + 2w) + (-u - 2w) = u$ and $(2u + 2w) + (u + 2w) = 3u + 4w \equiv 3u \pmod{8}$, $U + W \equiv u$ or $3u \pmod{8}$.

If $k = 1$ and $d = 2p_1 = U^2 - 2W^2$, by Lemma 4.4, $p_1 \neq x^2 + 64y^2$ if and only if $U + W \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$. Observe that if $u_1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, $u_2 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$ or $u_1 \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, $u_2 \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, then $u_1u_2 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, and if $u_1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, $u_2 \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, then $u_1u_2 \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$. Therefore, by (8) and (9), if an odd number of the primes p_1, \dots, p_k fail to be represented by $x^2 + 64y^2$, then $U + W \equiv 5, \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}$, and vice versa. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2 As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we apply again Theorem 3.3 to compute $r_4(K_2O_F)$.

Write $2d = u^2 - 2w^2$, $u > 0$, $v = u + w$. We claim that for any $m \mid 2d$, $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{2d})\} \notin \nabla^2$.

Indeed, by Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.1, if for some positive factor m of $2d$, $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{2d})\} \in \nabla^2$, then

$$vmZ^2 = X^2 + 2dY^2 \tag{10}$$

is solvable in \mathbb{Z} . By Lemma 3.1, we can assume that m is odd. Since $2d \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, one has $X^2 + 2dY^2 \equiv 1, 2, 3, 6 \pmod{8}$ if $(X, Y) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 4.5 that the assumption (ii) implies that $v \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{4}$. Hence, $vmZ^2 \equiv 0, 4, 5, 7 \pmod{8}$ and so (10) has no solution with $(X, Y, Z) = 1$.

Note that $\{-1, 2m\} = \{-1, m\}$. Therefore, the same discussion as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that

$$r_4(K_2O_F) = r_4(C(E)).$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 2.3 The assumption that the norm of the fundamental unit of F is -1 implies that there is an ideal \mathfrak{p} of F , which is non-principal with $\mathfrak{p}^2 = (2)$. But in K_2O_F , $\{-1, 2\} = 1$. Then the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.2. \square

We turn to prove Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. Let $m \mid d$. We introduce the following notation.

$$V(m, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k),$$

where

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\frac{d}{m}}{p_i}\right) & \text{if } p_i \mid m, \\ \left(\frac{m}{p_i}\right) & \text{if } p_i \nmid m. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

It is easy to check that $\prod_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i = 1$. Similarly, we put

$$V(m(u + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k),$$

where

$$\epsilon_i = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\frac{vd}{m}}{p_i}\right) & \text{if } p_i \mid m, \\ \left(\frac{vm}{p_i}\right) & \text{if } p_i \nmid m. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

By Theorem 3.3, $\{-1, m\} \in \nabla^2$ if and only if $V(m, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (1, \dots, 1)$. If $m, n \mid d$ and $(m, n) = t$, we write $V(mn, p_1, \dots, p_k) = V(mn/t^2, p_1, \dots, p_k)$. Moreover, if $V(m, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k)$ and $V(n, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$, then $V(mn, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1\delta_1, \dots, \epsilon_k\delta_k)$. Similarly, $V(m(u + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k)$, and $V(n, p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$, then $V(mn(u + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1\delta_1, \dots, \epsilon_k\delta_k)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.4 If both (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then by Theorem 2.1, we have $r_4(K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)) = 0$, i.e., the 2-primary part of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ is elementary abelian.

Conversely, if the 2-primary part of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$ is elementary abelian, then by Lemma 4.2, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we see that the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 . We show that $\{-1, m(u + \sqrt{d})\} \notin \nabla^2$ implies condition (ii), which in turn shows that $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ as we can see from Lemma 4.1.

Since $r_4(K_2\mathcal{O}_E) = 0$, for any $m \mid d$,

$$mZ^2 = X^2 + dY^2$$

and equivalently,

$$mZ^2 = X^2 - dY^2$$

has no integer solutions. Hence, we have proved that $r_4(C(E)) = 0$.

Since $r_4(K_2\mathcal{O}_E) = 0$, for any $m \mid d$, $m \neq \pm 1, \pm d$, $V(m, p_1, \dots, p_k) \neq (1, \dots, 1)$. By a suitable choice of integers m_i dividing d , we may assume that

$\{-1, -1\}, \{-1, m_1\}, \dots, \{-1, m_{k-1}\}, \{-1, u + \sqrt{d}\}, \{-1, u_{-1} + \sqrt{d}\}$ generate ${}_2K_2\mathcal{O}_E$, where $u_{-1}^2 - d = -w_{-1}^2$, and the m'_j s are chosen in such a way that, for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_i = -1$ and for $j \neq i, k$, $\epsilon_j = 1$.

Suppose that $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. We have $(u + w)(u - w) - w^2 = d$ since $u^2 - 2w^2 = d$, hence $\left(\frac{-d}{v}\right) = 1$ and so $\left(\frac{v}{d}\right) = 1$. On the other hand, if $m \mid d$ with $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then $\left(\frac{d}{m}\right) \left(\frac{m}{d}\right) = 1$. For any odd and positive $m \mid d$, assuming that $V(m(u + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k)$, we have $\prod_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i = \left(\frac{vd}{m}\right) \left(\frac{vm}{d}\right) = \left(\frac{v}{d}\right) \left(\frac{d}{m}\right) \left(\frac{m}{d}\right) = \left(\frac{v}{d}\right) = 1$. Therefore, there exists $n \mid d$ such that $V(n(u + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (1, \dots, 1)$. This contradicts the assumption that $r_4(K_2\mathcal{O}_E) = 0$. Hence, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and so we have (ii). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5 The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.4.

Applying Theorem 2.2, we see that (i) and (ii) imply that $r_4(K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)) = 0$.

Conversely, the assumption that $r_4(K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)) = 0$ implies that $r_4(C(E)) = 0$ and the norm of the fundamental unit of E is -1 , i.e., (i) holds. To prove (ii), by Lemma 4.5, it is sufficient for us to show that $V = U + W \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$.

Since $U^2 - 2W^2 = 2d$, $\left(\frac{-2d}{V}\right) = 1$. Hence, $\left(\frac{V}{d}\right) = 1$ if and only if $V \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$. If $V \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$, then for any odd and positive $m \mid d$, assuming that $V(m(U + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k)$, we have $\prod_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i = \left(\frac{V}{d}\right) = 1$. Therefore, one can find $n \mid d$ such that $V(n(U + \sqrt{d}), p_1, \dots, p_k) = (1, \dots, 1)$, i.e., $r_4(K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)) > 0$, a contradiction!

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referee for insightful and useful suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

References

1. Barrucand, P., Cohn, H.: Note on primes of type $x^2 + 32y^2$, class number, and residuacity. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **238**, 67–70 (1969)
2. Berndt, B.C., Evans, R.J., Williams, K.S.: *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*. Wiley, New York (1998)
3. Browkin, J., Schinzel, A.: On Sylow 2-subgroups of $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ for quadratic number fields F . *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **331**, 104–113 (1982)
4. Conner, P.E., Hurrelbrink, J.: On elementary abelian 2-Sylow K_2 of rings of integers of certain quadratic number fields. *Acta Arith.* **73**, 59–65 (1995)
5. Ireland, K., Rosen, M.: *A Classical Introduction To Modern number Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 84. Springer, New York (1972)
6. Keune, F.: On the structure of K_2 of the ring of integers in a number field. *K-theory* **2**, 625–645 (1989)
7. Kolster, M.: The 2-part of the narrow class group of a quadratic number field. *Ann. Sci. Math. Que.* **29**, 73–96 (2005)
8. Qin, H.R.: 2-Sylow subgroup of $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ for real quadratic fields F . *Sci. China* **37**, 1302–1313 (1994)
9. Qin, H.R.: The 2-Sylow subgroups of the tame kernel of imaginary quadratic fields. *Acta Arith.* **69**, 153–169 (1995)
10. Qin, H.R.: The 4-rank of $K_2(\mathcal{O})$ for real quadratic fields F . *Acta Arith.* **72**, 323–333 (1995)
11. Qin, H.R.: Tame kernels and Tate kernels of quadratic number fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **530**, 105–144 (2001)

12. Qin, H.R.: The structure of the tame kernels of quadratic number fields(I). *Acta Arith.* **113**, 203–240 (2004)
13. Tate, J.: Relations between K_2 and Galois Cohomology. *Invent. Math.* **36**, 257–274 (1976)
14. Vazzana, A.: On the 2-primary part of K_2 of rings of integers in certain quadratic number fields. *Acta Arith.* **80**, 225–235 (1997)
15. Vazzana, A.: Elementary abelian 2-primary parts of $K_2\mathcal{O}$ and related graphs in certain quadratic number fields. *Acta Arith.* **81**, 253–264 (1997)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.