

A note on q -difference equations for Ramanujan's integrals

Jian Cao¹

Received: 10 May 2017 / Accepted: 29 December 2017 / Published online: 20 March 2018
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract This short paper derives the relationship between solutions of q -difference equations and generating functions for q -orthogonal polynomials. The key of the method is to obtain the expression of certain q -orthogonal polynomials as solutions of q -difference equations. In addition, we show how to generalize Ramanujan's integrals by the technique of q -difference equation. More over, we find two generalized q -Chu–Vandermonde formulas from the perspective of the method of q -difference equations.

Keywords Solutions of q -difference equation · Generating function · Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomial · Ramanujan's integral

Mathematics Subject Classification 05A30 · 11B65 · 33D15 · 33D45 · 39A13

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to extend the work of Liu [14, 15] and Liu and Zeng [17]. These authors have found a q -difference equation related to Rogers–Szegő polynomials [21] which can be used to find interesting transformation formulas. We do the same analysis for the more general Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials [8]. We apply this approach to provide a generating function for Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials, generalize Ramanujan's q -beta integrals and the q -Chu–Vandermonde summation formula.

Dedicated to David Goss.

✉ Jian Cao
21caojian@gmail.com; 21caojian@163.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University, Hangzhou 310036, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China

For further information about basic hypergeometric series and q -orthogonal polynomials, see [2, 11, 12, 23].

In this paper, we follow the notations and terminology in [9] and suppose that $0 < q < 1$. The basic hypergeometric series ${}_r\phi_s$

$${}_r\phi_s \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \right]^{1+s-r} z^n \tag{1.1}$$

converges absolutely for all z if $r \leq s$ and for $|z| < 1$ if $r = s + 1$ and for terminating. The compact factorials of ${}_r\phi_s$ are defined, respectively, by

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k), \quad (a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k) \tag{1.2}$$

and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n$, where $m \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

The Rogers–Szegő polynomials were introduced by Szegő in 1926 but were already studied earlier by Rogers in 1894–1895. A good definition can be found in the book by Barry Simon [20, Ex. (1.6.5), pp. 77–87].

The homogeneous Rogers–Szegő polynomials [18, p. 3]

$$h_n(b, c|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} b^k c^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n(b, c|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} b^k c^{n-k}. \tag{1.3}$$

The Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials were introduced by Al-Salam and Carlitz in 1965 [1, Eqs. (1.11) and (1.15)]

$$\phi_n^{(a)}(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (a; q)_k x^k \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n^{(a)}(x|q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{k(k-n)} x^k (aq^{1-k}; q)_k. \tag{1.4}$$

They play important roles in the theory of q -orthogonal polynomials. In fact, there are two families of these polynomials: one with continuous orthogonality and another with discrete orthogonality. They are given explicitly in the book of Koekoek–Swarttouw–Lesky [13, Eqs. (14.24) and (14.25), pp. 534–540].

The generalized Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials [7, Eq. (4.7)]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(c; q)_k} x^k y^{n-k} \quad \text{and} \\ \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(c; q)_k} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k+1}{2} - nk} x^k y^{n-k}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

whose generating functions are [7, Eqs. (4.10) and (4.11)]

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \frac{t^n}{(q;q)_n} = \frac{1}{(yt;q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, xt \right], \quad \max\{|yt|, |xt|\} < 1, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \frac{(-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} t^n}{(q;q)_n} = (yt; q)_{\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix}; q, xt \right], \quad |xt| < 1. \quad (1.7)$$

Liu [14, 15] obtained several important results by using the following *q*-difference equations. Liu and Zeng [17] provide further applications of these *q*-difference methods to *q*-orthogonal polynomials.

Proposition 1 ([17, Eqs. (1.7) and (1.8)]) *Let $f(a, b)$ be a two-variable analytic function at $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then*

(A) *f can be expanded in terms of $h_n(a, b|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the functional equation*

$$bf(aq, b) - af(a, bq) = (b - a)f(a, b). \quad (1.8)$$

(B) *f can be expanded in terms of $g_n(a, b|q)$ if and only if f satisfies the functional equation*

$$af(aq, b) - bf(a, bq) = (a - b)f(aq, bq). \quad (1.9)$$

The method of *q*-difference equation is an effective way to obtain many results in *q*-series. For more information, please refer to [6, 7, 14, 15].

Theorem 2 *Let $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ be a five-variable analytic function in a neighbourhood of $(a, b, c, x, y) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^5$.*

(I) *If $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ can be expanded in terms of $\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & y \left[f(a, b, c, x, y) - (1 + q^{-1}c)f(a, b, c, qx, y) + q^{-1}cf(a, b, c, q^2x, y) \right] \\ &= x \left\{ \left[f(a, b, c, x, y) - f(a, b, c, x, qy) \right] \right. \\ &\quad - (a + b) \left[f(a, b, c, qx, y) - f(a, b, c, qx, qy) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + ab \left[f(a, b, c, q^2x, y) - f(a, b, c, q^2x, qy) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

(II) *If $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ can be expanded in terms of $\psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} & q^{-1}y \left[f(a, b, c, x, y) - (1 + q^{-1}c)f(a, b, c, qx, y) + q^{-1}cf(a, b, c, q^2x, y) \right] \\ &= x \left\{ \left[f(a, b, c, x, y) - f(a, b, c, x, q^{-1}y) \right] \right. \\ &\quad - (a + b) \left[f(a, b, c, qx, y) - f(a, b, c, qx, q^{-1}y) \right] \\ &\quad \left. + ab \left[f(a, b, c, q^2x, y) - f(a, b, c, q^2x, q^{-1}y) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 3 For $a = b = c = 0$ in Theorem 2, Eqs. (1.10) and (1.11) reduce to (1.8) and (1.9), respectively.

To determine if a given function is an analytic function in several complex variables, we often use the following Hartogs's theorem. For more information, please refer to Taylor [22, p. 28] and Liu [16, Theorem 1.8].

Proposition 4 (Hartogs's theorem [10, p. 15]) *If a complex-valued function is holomorphic (analytic) in each variable separately in an open domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, then it is holomorphic (analytic) in D .*

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need the following fundamental property of several complex variables.

Proposition 5 ([19, p. 5, Proposition 1]) *If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is analytic at the origin $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, then, f can be expanded in an absolutely convergent power series,*

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2 From the Hartogs's theorem and the theory of several complex variables (see Propositions 4 and 5), we assume that

$$f(a, b, c, x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)x^k. \quad (1.12)$$

On one hand, substituting Eq. (1.12) into (1.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & y \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)x^k - (1 + q^{-1}c) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)(qx)^k \right. \\ & \quad \left. + q^{-1}c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)(q^2x)^k \right] \\ & = x \left\{ \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)x^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, qy)x^k \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (a + b) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)(qx)^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, qy)(qx)^k \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + ab \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, y)(q^2x)^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(a, b, c, qy)(q^2x)^k \right] \right\}. \quad (1.13) \end{aligned}$$

By equating coefficients of x^k on both sides of Eq. (1.13), we have

$$A_k(a, b, c, y) = \frac{(1 - aq^{k-1})(1 - bq^{k-1})}{(1 - q^k)(1 - cq^{k-1})} D_y A_{k-1}(a, b, c, y). \quad (1.14)$$

Iterating, we have

$$A_k(a, b, c, y) = \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} D_y^k A_0(a, b, c, y). \tag{1.15}$$

Letting $f(a, b, c, 0, y) = A_0(a, b, c, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n y^n$, we have

$$A_k(a, b, c, y) = \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} y^{n-k}. \tag{1.16}$$

By Eq. (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, x, y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} y^{n-k} x^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(q, c; q)_k} x^k y^{n-k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ can be expanded in terms of $\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q)$, we can verify that $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ satisfies Eq. (1.10). The proof of Eq. (1.10) is complete. Similarly, we can deduce Eq. (1.11). The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \square

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we generalize two generating functions for Andrews–Askey polynomials. In Sect. 3, we deduce generalizations of Ramanujan type *q*-beta integrals. In Sect. 4, we generalize *q*-Chu–Vandermonde formula.

2 Two generating functions for generalized Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials

In this section, we generalize generating functions for Al-Salam–Carlitz polynomials.

Theorem 6 *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \frac{(s/r; q)_n r^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(sy; q)_{\infty}}{(ry; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, s/r \\ c, sy \end{matrix} ; q, rx \right],$$

$$\max\{|rx|, |ry|\} < 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \frac{(r/s; q)_n s^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{(ry; q)_{\infty}}{(sy; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, r/s \\ c, q/(sy) \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{qx}{y} \right],$$

$$\max\{|sy|, |qx/y|\} < 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Corollary 7 *We have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{s^n}{(q; q)_n} = (sy; q)_{\infty} {}_2\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, sy \end{matrix}; q, sx \right], \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \frac{s^n}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(sy; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, 0 \\ c, q/(sy) \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qx}{y} \right],$$

$$\max\{|sy|, |qx/y|\} < 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 8 For $r = 0$ in Theorem 6, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) reduce to Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. For $s = 0$ in Theorem 6, Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) reduce to Eqs. (1.6) and (1.7), respectively.

Proof of Theorem 6 By the Weierstrass M-test, series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ is convergent when $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n} \right| < 1$. We check that both sides of Eq. (2.1) are convergent if $\max\{|rx|, |ry|\} < 1$, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\phi_{n+1}^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) (s/r; q)_{n+1} r^{n+1} / (q; q)_{n+1}}{\phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) (s/r; q)_n r^n / (q; q)_n} \right| = |ry| < 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(a, b, s/r; q)_{n+1} (rx)^{n+1} / (q, c, sy; q)_{n+1}}{(a, b, s/r; q)_n (rx)^n / (q, c, sy; q)_n} \right| = |rx| < 1.$$

We denote the right-hand side of Eq. (2.1) by $f(a, b, c, x, y)$, we can verify that $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ satisfies Eq. (1.10), so we have

$$f(a, b, c, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \phi_n^{(a,b,c)}(x, y|q) \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$f(a, b, c, 0, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n y^n = \frac{(sy; q)_{\infty}}{(ry; q)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s/r; q)_n (yr)^n}{(q; q)_n}. \tag{2.6}$$

So $f(a, b, c, x, y)$ is equal to the left-hand side of (2.1). Similarly, we can obtain Eq. (2.2). The proof is complete. □

3 Generalizations of two of Ramanujan’s integrals

The following two integrals of Ramanujan [3] are quite famous.

Proposition 9 ([3, Eqs. (2) and (3)]) *For $0 < q = \exp(-2k^2) < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $|abq| < 1$, we have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q)_{\infty}} dx = \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{(-aqe^{2mki}, -bqe^{-2mki}; q)_{\infty}}{(abq; q)_{\infty}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that $\max\{|aq^{1/2}e^{2mk}|, |bq^{1/2}e^{-2mk}|\} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2+2mx} (-aqe^{2kx}, -bqe^{-2kx}; q)_{\infty} dx \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{(abq; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^{1/2}e^{2mk}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2mk}; q)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Derivations of (3.1) and (3.2) for real values of the parameter m have been deduced by Askey [3]. Later on it became clear that these integrals are in fact valid for arbitrary complex values of the parameter m and they are thus instances of the standard Fourier transform with the exponential kernel by Atakishiyev and Feinsilver [5].

In this section, we have the following generalization of Ramanujan’s integrals.

Theorem 10 For $m \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < q = \exp(-2k^2) < 1$. Suppose that $\max\{|abq|, |qc/a|\} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, 0 \\ t, q^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}/a \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qc}{a} \right] dx \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{(-aqe^{2mki}, -bqe^{-2mki}; q)_{\infty}}{(abq; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, -e^{2mki}/b \\ t, 1/(ab) \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qc}{a} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Suppose that $\max\{|aq^{1/2}e^{2mk}|, |bq^{1/2}e^{-2mk}|, |cq^{1/2}e^{2mk}|\} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2+2mx} (-aqe^{2kx}, -bqe^{-2kx}; q)_{\infty} {}_2\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s \\ t, -aqe^{2kx} \end{matrix}; q, -cq e^{2kx} \right] dx \\ &= \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{(abq; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^{1/2}e^{2mk}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2mk}; q)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, bq^{1/2}e^{-2mk} \\ t, abq \end{matrix}; q, cq^{1/2}e^{2mk} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 11 For $c = 0$ in Theorem 10, Eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) reduce to Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2), respectively.

Proof of Theorem 10 It is easily seen that

$$\left(\left| q^{\frac{1}{2}}/a \right|; q \right)_n \leq \left| \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-2ikx}/a; q \right)_n \right| \leq \left(- \left| q^{\frac{1}{2}}/a \right|; q \right)_n \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|r|, |s|; q)_n}{\left(-|q|, -|t|, -|q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n &\leq \left| {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, 0 \\ t, q^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}/a \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qc}{a} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-|r|, -|s|; q)_n}{\left(|q|, |t|, |q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{\left(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q\right)_{\infty}} dx \right| \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|r|, |s|; q)_n}{\left(-|q|, -|t|, -|q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n \\ &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{\left(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q\right)_{\infty}} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, 0 \\ t, q^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}/a \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qc}{a} \right] dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{\left(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q\right)_{\infty}} dx \right| \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-|r|, -|s|; q)_n}{\left(|q|, |t|, |q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Denoting the right-hand side of Eq. (3.3) by $f(r, s, t, c, a)$ and utilizing Eqs. (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(r, s, t, c, a)| &\leq \left| \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{\left(-aqe^{2mki}, -bqe^{-2mki}; q\right)_{\infty}}{(abq; q)_{\infty}} \right| \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-|r|, -|s|; q)_n}{\left(|q|, |t|, |q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n \\ &\leq \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{\left(-|aq|, -|bq|; q\right)_{\infty}}{(|abq|; q)_{\infty}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-|r|, -|s|; q)_n}{\left(|q|, |t|, |q^{1/2}/a|; q\right)_n} \left|\frac{qc}{a}\right|^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From the Weierstrass M-test, we know that for $\max\{|abq|, |qc/a|\} < 1$, the function $f(r, s, t, c, a)$ is uniformly absolutely convergent, so $f(r, s, t, c, a)$ is an analytic function of r, s, t, c and a for $\max\{|abq|, |qc/a|\} < 1$ (see also [[17], p. 516]). Thus $f(r, s, t, c, a)$ is analytic near $(r, s, t, c, a) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ (see also [[4], p. 220] and

[[17], p. 511]). We can check that $f(r, s, t, c, a)$ satisfies Eq. (1.11), so the left-hand side of Eq. (3.3) equals

$$f(r, s, t, c, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n \psi_n^{(r,s,t)}(c, a|q), \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, s, t, 0, a) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n a^n = \sqrt{\pi} e^{m^2} \frac{(-aqe^{2mki}, -bqe^{-2mki}; q)_{\infty}}{(abq; q)_{\infty}} \text{ by (3.1)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx}, bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q)_{\infty}} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{(bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq^{1/2}e^{2ikx})^n}{(q; q)_n} \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$f(r, s, t, c, a) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2+2mx}}{(bq^{1/2}e^{-2ikx}; q)_{\infty}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{(r,s,t)}(c, a|q) \frac{(q^{1/2}e^{2ikx})^n}{(q; q)_n} \right\} dx, \tag{3.10}$$

which is equal to the left-hand side of Eq. (3.3) by Eq. (2.4). Similarly, we can gain Eq. (3.4). The proof of Theorem 10 is complete. \square

4 Generalizations of q -Chu–Vandermonde formula

The q -Chu–Vandermonde formula is [9, Eq. (II.6)]

$${}_2\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a \\ c \end{matrix}; q, q \right] = \frac{(c/a; q)_n a^n}{(c; q)_n}. \tag{4.1}$$

In this section, we now extend the q -Chu–Vandermonde formula.

Theorem 12 For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, a; q)_k q^k}{(q, cd; q)_k} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, aq^k \\ t, qa/(cd) \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qg}{d} \right] \\ &= \frac{(cd/a; q)_n a^n}{(cd; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, a \\ t, aq^{1-n}/(cd) \end{matrix}; q, \frac{qg}{d} \right], \quad |qg/d| < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, a; q)_k q^k}{(q, cd; q)_k} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, aq^k \\ t, cdq^k \end{matrix}; q, \frac{cg}{a} \right] \\ &= \frac{(cd/a; q)_n a^n}{(cd; q)_n} {}_3\phi_2 \left[\begin{matrix} r, s, aq^n \\ t, cdq^n \end{matrix}; q, \frac{cgq^n}{a} \right], \quad |cg/a| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Remark 13 For $g = 0$ in Theorem 12, Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) reduce to (4.1), respectively.

Proof of Theorem 12 First, we can rewrite Eq. (4.1) equivalently by

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, a; q)_k q^k}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(cdq^k; q)_\infty}{(cd/a; q)_\infty} = a^n \frac{(cdq^n; q)_\infty}{(cdq^n/a; q)_\infty}. \quad (4.4)$$

We denote the right-hand side of (4.2) by $F(r, s, t, g, d)$, we can check that $F(r, s, t, g, d)$ satisfies Eq. (1.11). By Eq. (4.4), we have

$$F(r, s, t, g, d) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j \psi_j^{(r,s,t)}(g, d|q) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(r, s, t, 0, d) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j d^j = a^n \frac{(cdq^n; q)_\infty}{(cdq^n/a; q)_\infty} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, a; q)_k q^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq^k; q)_j (cd/a)^j}{(q; q)_j}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$F(r, s, t, g, d) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, a; q)_k q^k}{(q; q)_k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(aq^k; q)_j (c/a)^j}{(q; q)_j} \psi_j^{(r,s,t)}(g, d|q), \quad (4.6)$$

which is equal to the left-hand side of (4.2) by Eq. (2.2). Similarly, we can deduce Eq. (4.3). The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank the referees and editors for their many valuable comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11501155).

References

1. Al-Salam, W.A., Carlitz, L.: Some orthogonal q -polynomials. *Math. Nachr.* **30**, 47–61 (1965)
2. Andrews, G.E.: Applications of basic hypergeometric series. *SIAM Rev.* **16**, 441–484 (1974)
3. Askey, R.: Two integrals of Ramanujan. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **85**, 192–194 (1982)
4. Askey, R., Ismail, M.E.H.: The very well-poised ${}_6\psi_6$. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **77**, 218–222 (1979)

5. Atakishiyev, N.M., Feinsilver, P.: Two Ramanujan's integrals with a complex parameter. In: Atakishiyev, N.M., Seligman, T.H., Wolf, K.B. (eds.), Proceedings of the IV Wigner Symposium, Guadalajara, Mexico, August 7–11, 1995, pp. 406–412. World Scientific, Singapore (1996)
6. Cao, J.: A note on q -integrals and certain generating functions. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **131**, 105–118 (2013)
7. Cao, J.: A note on generalized q -difference equations for q -beta and Andrews-Askey integral. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412**, 841–851 (2014)
8. Carlitz, L.: Generating functions for certain q -orthogonal polynomials. *Collectanea Math.* **23**, 91–104 (1972)
9. Gasper, G., Rahman, M.: Basic Hypergeometric Series. 2nd edn, Encyclopedia Mathematics and its Applications vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004)
10. Gunning, R.: Introduction to Holomorphic Functions of Several Variables. In: Function theory, vol. 1, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole, Belmont (1990)
11. Ismail, M.E.H.: Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, paperback edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009)
12. Koekoek, R., Swarttouw, R.F.: The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue, Technical Report, pp. 98–17, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft (1998)
13. Koekoek, R., lesky, P.A., Swarttouw, R.F.: Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials and their q -Analogues, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (2010)
14. Liu, Z.-G.: Two q -difference equations and q -operator identities. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **16**, 1293–1307 (2010)
15. Liu, Z.-G.: An extension of the non-terminating ${}_6\phi_5$ summation and the Askey–Wilson polynomials. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **17**, 1401–1411 (2011)
16. Liu, Z.-G.: On the q -partial Differential Equations and q -series. In: The legacy of Srinivasa Ramanujan, vol. 20, pp. 213–250, Ramanujan Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Mysore (2013)
17. Liu, Z.-G., Zeng, J.: Two expansion formulas involving the Rogers-Szegő polynomials with applications. *Int. J. Number Theory* **11**, 507–525 (2015)
18. Liu, Z.-G.: A q -extension of a partial differential equation and the Hahn polynomials. *Ramanujan J.* **38**, 481–501 (2015)
19. Malgrange, B.: Lectures on the Theory of Functions of Several Complex Variables. Springer, Berlin (1984)
20. Simon, B.: Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle, Part 1: Classical Theory, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 54, (part 1), AMS, Providence (2005)
21. Szegő, G.: Beitrag zur theorie der thetalfunktionen. *Sitz Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys. Math. Ki.* **19**, 242–252 (1926)
22. Taylor, J.: Several complex variables with connections to algebraic geometry and lie groups, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, vol. 46 (2002)
23. Wilf, H.S.: generatingfunctionology. Academic Press, San Diego (1994)