

Summation formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials

Yuan He¹

Received: 8 December 2013 / Accepted: 17 February 2017 / Published online: 29 April 2017
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Abstract In this paper, a further investigation for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials is performed, and some summation formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials are established by applying some summation transform techniques. Some illustrative special cases as well as immediate consequences of the main results are also considered.

Keywords Apostol–Bernoulli numbers and polynomials · Apostol–Euler numbers and polynomials · Summation methods · Recurrence formulae

Mathematics Subject Classification 11B68 · 05A19

1 Introduction

The classical Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ and Euler polynomials $E_n(x)$ are usually defined by means of the following exponential generating functions:

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < 2\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{2e^{xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < \pi). \quad (1.1)$$

This work was supported by the Foundation for Fostering Talents in Kunming University of Science and Technology (Grant No. KKSYS201307047) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11326050).

✉ Yuan He
hyyhe@aliyun.com; hyyhe@outlook.com

¹ Faculty of Science, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming 650500, Yunnan, People's Republic of China

In particular, the rational numbers $B_n = B_n(0)$ and integers $E_n = 2^n E_n(1/2)$ are called the classical Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers, respectively. These numbers and polynomials appear in many different areas of mathematics such as number theory, combinatorics, special functions, and analysis. Numerous interesting properties for them can be found in many books; see, for example, [9,34,35,42].

Some analogues of the classical Bernoulli and Euler polynomials are the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials $\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda)$ and Apostol–Euler polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda)$ given by means of the following exponential generating functions by Luo and Srivastava [27–29], as follows:

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{\lambda e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t + \log \lambda| < 2\pi) \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\frac{2e^{xt}}{\lambda e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t + \log \lambda| < \pi). \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_n(0; \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{E}_n(\lambda) = 2^n \mathcal{E}_n(1/2; \lambda)$ are called the Apostol–Bernoulli numbers and Apostol–Euler numbers, respectively. Obviously $\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda)$ reduce to $B_n(x)$ and $E_n(x)$ when $\lambda = 1$. It is worth mentioning that the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials were firstly introduced by Apostol [4] (see also Srivastava [41] for a systematic study) in order to evaluate the value of the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function. Since the definitions of the above Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials and numbers appeared, some arithmetic properties for them have been well investigated by many authors. For example, in [40], Srivastava and Todorov gave the closed formula for the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials in terms of the Gaussian hypergeometric function and the Stirling numbers of the second kind; see also [28] for the corresponding closed formula for the Apostol–Euler polynomials. More recently, Luo [30] obtained some multiplication formulae for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials to generalize some related results on the classical Bernoulli and Euler polynomials and numbers. Furtherly, Luo [31] considered the Fourier expansions for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials by applying the Lipschitz summation formula, and derived some explicit formulae at rational arguments for these polynomials in terms of the Hurwitz zeta function. We also refer to [6,12,15,23,25,36,38,44] for another elegant results and nice methods on these type polynomials and numbers.

In [34], Nielsen presented three formulae of products of the classical Bernoulli and Euler polynomials $B_m(x)B_n(x)$, $E_m(x)E_n(x)$, and $B_m(x)E_n(x)$ for non-negative integers m, n . After that, Carlitz [7] rediscovered the expression of $B_m(x)B_n(x)$, by virtue of which he established a reciprocity formula for Rademacher’s Dedekind sums in [8]; see also [26] for another application to deal with the discrete mean value of products of two Dirichlet L -functions at integral arguments. Recently, by establishing three formulae associated with the Nielsen’s formulae on the classical Bernoulli and Euler polynomials, He and Zhang [18] reobtained the extensions of the famous Miki’s

and Woodcock’s identities on the classical Bernoulli numbers due to Pan and Sun [37]. We also mention [19–22, 43] for further discoveries of the Nielsen’s formula on the classical Bernoulli polynomials following the work of Agoh and Dilcher [2, 3] on the classical Bernoulli numbers.

In the present paper, we shall be concerned with some summation formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. The idea stems from the expressions of some formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. By making use of some summation transform techniques, we establish some summation formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials, by virtue of which some known results related to the famous Miki’s and Matiyasevich’s identities on the classical Bernoulli numbers are deduced as easy consequences.

2 The statement of results

For convenience, in this section, we always denote by $\delta_{1,\lambda}$ the Kronecker symbol given by $\delta_{1,\lambda} = 0$ or 1 according to $\lambda \neq 1$ or $\lambda = 1$, and denote by H_n the harmonic number given by $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ for positive integer n .

2.1 Extensions of Miki’s identity

Theorem 2.1 *Let n be a positive integer with $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \mu)}{k(n-k)} \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k^2} \\ & = \frac{H_{n-1}(\delta_{1,\mu}\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) + \delta_{1,\lambda}\mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu))}{n} + \frac{\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu)}{n(x-y)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Proof We recall the formula of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials stated in [19, 20, 43], as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_m(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \mu) & = n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (-1)^{m-k} \mathcal{B}_{m-k}\left(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n+k} \\ & \quad + m \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{m+k} \\ & \quad + \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} m! \cdot n!}{(m+n)!} \mathcal{B}_{m+n}\left(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where m, n are positive integers. Since the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials obey the symmetric distribution $\lambda \mathcal{B}_n(1 - x; \lambda) = (-1)^n \mathcal{B}_n(x; 1/\lambda)$ and the difference equation $\lambda \mathcal{B}_n(x + 1; \lambda) - \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda) = nx^{n-1}$ for non-negative integer n (see, e.g., [27]), then for non-negative integer n ,

$$(-1)^n \mathcal{B}_n\left(x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda \mathcal{B}_n(1 - x; \lambda) = \mathcal{B}_n(-x; \lambda) + n(-x)^{n-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

We now multiply $1/mn$ in both sides of (2.2). With the help of (2.3) and $\frac{m-k}{m} \binom{m}{k} = \binom{m-1}{k}$ for positive integer m and non-negative integer k , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{B}_m(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \mu)}{mn} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x - y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{m + n - k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y - x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{m + n - k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} (x - y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{m + n - 1 - k} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{m-1} \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \frac{(m-1)! \cdot (n-1)!}{(m+n)!} \mathcal{B}_{m+n}\left(y - x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

If we substitute l for m and $n-l$ for n with $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ and then make the summation operation $\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}$ in both sides of (2.4), we discover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_l(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu)}{l(n-l)} &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x - y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n - k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y - x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{n - k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l-1}{k} (x - y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n - 1 - k} \\ &\quad + \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(y - x; \frac{1}{\lambda}) \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l-1} \frac{(l-1)! \cdot (n-1-l)!}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Notice that for complex number x and non-negative integer n , (see, e.g., [17, Eq. (2.1)])

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{\binom{x}{k}} = \frac{x+1}{x+2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(-1)^n}{\binom{x+1}{n+1}} \right\}, \tag{2.6}$$

which means for positive integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{l-1} \frac{(l-1)! \cdot (n-1-l)!}{n!} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{l=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^l}{\binom{n-2}{l}} = \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{n^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = 1$ when $\lambda = 1$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = 0$ when $\lambda \neq 1$ (see, e.g., [27]), then $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda)$ can be rewritten as $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = \delta_{1,\lambda}$. Hence, applying (2.7) to (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_l(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu)}{l(n-l)} &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l-1}{k} (x-y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-1-k} \\ &\quad + \frac{H_{n-1}(\delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) + \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu))}{n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda})(1 + (-1)^n)}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

It is clear that for positive integers k, l, n , (see, e.g., [10])

$$\frac{1}{l} \binom{l}{k} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{l-1}{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{n}{k} = \sum_{l=k}^n \binom{l-1}{k-1}, \tag{2.9}$$

from which and changing the order of the summations in right-hand side of (2.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \mu)}{k(n-k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k(n-k)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-1}{k+1} (x-y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-1-k} \\ &\quad + \frac{H_{n-1}(\delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) + \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu))}{n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda})(1 + (-1)^n)}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

If we apply the combinatorial relations:

$$\frac{1}{n - k} \binom{n - 1}{k} = \frac{1}{k} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{n - 1 - k} \binom{n - 1}{k + 1} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k + 1} \quad (2.11)$$

to the first summation and the second one in the right-hand side of (2.10), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \mu)}{k(n - k)} \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x - y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_k(y - x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k^2} \\ & + \frac{1}{n(x - y)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (x - y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ & + \frac{H_{n-1}(\delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) + \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu))}{n} \\ & + \frac{\delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(y - x; \frac{1}{\lambda})(1 + (-1)^n)}{n^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Since the Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials satisfy the addition theorem (see, e.g., [27]):

$$\mathcal{B}_n(x + y; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda) \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (2.13)$$

so by $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = \delta_{1,\lambda}$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (x - y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) = \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu) - \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} (x - y)^n. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus, by applying (2.3) and (2.14) to (2.12), we complete the proof Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.2 *Let n be a positive integer with $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_k(x) B_{n-k}(y)}{k(n - k)} - \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} \frac{B_k(x - y) B_{n-k}(y) + B_k(y - x) B_{n-k}(x)}{k^2} \\ = & \frac{H_{n-1}(B_n(x) + B_n(y))}{n} + \frac{B_n(x) - B_n(y)}{n(x - y)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Proof By setting $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, the desired result follows immediately. \square

The above Corollary 2.2 was firstly established by Pan and Sun [37] using the finite difference calculus and differentiation, and reobtained by He and Zhang [18].

Example 2.3 Since $B_1 = -1/2$ and $B_{2n+1} = 0$ for positive integer n (see, e.g., [1]) then the case $x = y$ and $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in (2.12) gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_k(x)B_{n-k}(x)}{k(n-k)} - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}(x)}{k^2} = 2H_{n-1} \frac{B_n(x)}{n} \quad (n \geq 2), \tag{2.16}$$

which appears in [37] and can be regarded as an equivalent version of the following Gessel’s result (2.18) on the classical Bernoulli polynomials.

Example 2.4 Taking $x = y$ and $\lambda = \mu$ in (2.10) and then applying the second combinatorial relation of (2.11) arises

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda)}{k(n-k)} &= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda^2) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(\lambda)}{n-k} + \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(x; \lambda^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{2\delta_{1,\lambda} H_{n-1} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda^2)}{n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta_{1,\lambda^2} \mathcal{B}_n(\frac{1}{\lambda})(1 + (-1)^n)}{n^2} \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Example 2.5 Since $B_{2n+1} = 0$ for positive integer n , then the case $\lambda = 1$ in (2.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2} \left(-B_{n-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_k(x)B_{n-k}(x)}{k(n-k)} \right) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} B_k(x) \frac{B_{n-k}}{n-k} \\ = H_{n-1} B_n(x) \quad (n \geq 2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

which is due to Gessel [16] and was reobtained by some authors; see, for example, [5, 11, 24].

Remark 2.6 It is worth mentioning that the case $x = 0$ and $x = 1/2$ in (2.18) gives the famous Miki’s identity (see, e.g., [13, 32, 33, 39]):

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} - \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} = 2H_n \frac{B_n}{n} \quad (n \geq 4), \tag{2.19}$$

and Faber–Pandharipande–Zagier’s identity (see, e.g., [14,37]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \frac{\tilde{B}_k \tilde{B}_{n-k}}{k(n-k)} - \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{B_k}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k} &= H_{n-1} \tilde{B}_n \\ \left(n \geq 4, \tilde{B}_k = B_k \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = (2^{1-k} - 1) B_k \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

respectively.

2.2 Extensions of Matiyasevich’s identity

Theorem 2.7 *Let n be a positive integer with $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \mu) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k+2} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+2}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^3} \\ &\quad - \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu) - \delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Proof By substituting l for m and $n-l$ for n with $1 \leq l \leq n-1$ and then making the summation operation $\sum_{l=1}^{n-1}$ in both sides of (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_l(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu) &= \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (n-l) \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (n-l) \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} l(n-l) \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l-1}{k} (x-y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-1-k} \\ &\quad - \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}) \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^l}{\binom{n}{l}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = \delta_{1,\lambda}$ and $l \binom{l-1}{k} = (k+1) \binom{l}{k+1}$ for positive integer l and non-negative integer k , so by (2.7) we can rewrite (2.22) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_l(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (n-l) \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} - \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu) \\
 &+ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (n-l) \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{n-k} - \delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu) \\
 &+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (n-l) \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k+1} (k+1)(x-y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n-1-k} \\
 &+ \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} (1 + (-1)^n) \frac{\mathcal{B}_n(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda})}{n+2}. \tag{2.23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for non-negative integers n, r, s , (see, e.g., [17, Eq. (3.3)])

$$\sum_{k=r}^{n-s} \binom{k}{r} \binom{n-k}{s} = \binom{n+1}{r+s+1}. \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, by taking $s = 1$ in (2.24), we get that for positive integer n and non-negative integer k ,

$$\sum_{l=k}^{n-1} \frac{n-l}{n-k} \binom{l}{k} = \frac{1}{k+2} \binom{n+1}{k+1}. \tag{2.25}$$

We now change the order of the summations in the right-hand side of (2.23). In light of (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \mu) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k+2} \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n+1}{k+2} \frac{k+1}{k+3} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} (1 + (-1)^n) \frac{\mathcal{B}_n(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda})}{n+2} \\
 &- \delta_{1,\lambda} \mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu) - \delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda\mu). \tag{2.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n+1}{k+2} \frac{k+1}{k+3} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n+1}{k} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{(n+2)(x-y)^3} \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} \binom{n+2}{k} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+2-k}(y; \lambda\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

It is easily seen from (2.13) and $\mathcal{B}_0(x; \lambda) = \delta_{1,\lambda}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(x-y)^2} \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n+1}{k} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{(n+1)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu)}{x-y} - \delta_{1,\lambda\mu}(x-y)^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{(n+2)(x-y)^3} \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} \binom{n+2}{k} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+2-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &= \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+2}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^3} - \frac{2\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} \\ & \quad - \frac{(n+1)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \lambda\mu)}{x-y} - \frac{2\delta_{1,\lambda\mu}(x-y)^{n-1}}{n+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

It follows from (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n+1}{k+2} \frac{k+1}{k+3} (x-y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n-1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} - \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+2}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^3} \\ & \quad - \frac{\delta_{1,\lambda\mu}n(x-y)^{n-1}}{n+2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Thus, in view of (2.26) and (2.30), the desired result follows by applying (2.3). \square

Corollary 2.8 (Pan and Sun [37]) *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n B_k(x)B_{n-k}(y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{B_k(x-y)B_{n-k}(y) + B_k(y-x)B_{n-k}(x)}{k+2} + \frac{B_{n+1}(x) + B_{n+1}(y)}{(x-y)^2} \\ & \quad - \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{B_{n+2}(x) - B_{n+2}(y)}{(x-y)^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Proof Setting $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.7 gives the desired result. □

Example 2.9 By taking $x = y$ and $\lambda = \mu$ in (2.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{B}_k(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda) &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{\mathcal{B}_k(\lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-k}(x; \lambda^2)}{k+2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{6} \mathcal{B}_{n-1}(x; \lambda^2) \\ & \quad + \delta_{1,\lambda^2}(1 + (-1)^n) \frac{\mathcal{B}_n(\frac{1}{\lambda})}{n+2} - \delta_{1,\lambda} 2\mathcal{B}_n(x; \lambda^2) \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Example 2.10 Since $B_0 = 1, B_1 = -1/2$ and $B_{2n+1} = 0$ for positive integer n , then the case $\lambda = 1$ in (2.32) arises that for positive integer $n \geq 2$, (see, e.g., [37])

$$\sum_{k=0}^n B_k(x)B_{n-k}(x) - 2 \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{B_k B_{n-k}(x)}{k+2} = (n+1)B_n(x). \tag{2.33}$$

Remark 2.11 It is worth noticing that the case $x = 0$ in (2.33) gives that for positive integer $n \geq 4$,

$$(n+2) \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} B_k B_{n-k} - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \binom{n+2}{k} B_k B_{n-k} = n(n+1)B_n, \tag{2.34}$$

which was firstly discovered by Matiyasevich [32] using the software *Mathematica*.

2.3 Analogues of Matiyasevich’s identity

Theorem 2.12 *Let n be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{E}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \mu) \\ &= -2 \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{\mathcal{E}_k(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{E}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k+1} \\ & \quad + \frac{4}{n+2} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+2}(y; \lambda\mu)}{x-y}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Proof Since the Apostol–Euler polynomials satisfy the symmetric distribution $\lambda \mathcal{E}_n(1-x; \lambda) = (-1)^n \mathcal{E}_n(x; 1/\lambda)$ and the difference equation $\lambda \mathcal{E}_n(x+1; \lambda) + \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda) = 2x^n$ for non-negative integer n (see, e.g., [28]), then for non-negative integer n ,

$$(-1)^n \mathcal{E}_n\left(x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = \lambda \mathcal{E}_n(1-x; \lambda) = 2(-x)^n - \mathcal{E}_n(-x; \lambda). \tag{2.36}$$

Hence, by applying (2.36) to the following formula of mixed products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials stated in [19, 20, 43],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_m(x; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_n(y; \mu) &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (-1)^{m-k} \mathcal{E}_{m-k}\left(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1+k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n+1+k} \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+1+k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{m+1+k} \\ & \quad + 2\delta_{1, \lambda\mu} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} m! \cdot n!}{(m+n+1)!} \mathcal{E}_{m+n+1}\left(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \quad (m, n \geq 0), \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

we get that for non-negative integers m, n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_m(x; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_n(y; \mu) &= 4 \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} (x-y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{m+n+1-k} \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(x-y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{m+n+1-k} \\ & \quad - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(y-x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{m+n+1-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{m+n+1-k} \\ & \quad + 2\delta_{1, \lambda\mu} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} m! \cdot n!}{(m+n+1)!} \mathcal{E}_{m+n+1}\left(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

If we replace m by l and n by $n - l$ with $0 \leq l \leq n$ and make the summation operation $\sum_{l=0}^n$ in both sides of (2.38), we discover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^n \mathcal{E}_l(x; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{n-l}(y; \mu) &= 4 \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} (x - y)^k \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n + 1 - k} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(x - y; \lambda) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu)}{n + 1 - k} \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(y - x; \mu) \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{n + 1 - k} \\ &\quad - 2\delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(y - x; \frac{1}{\lambda})}{n + 1} \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(-1)^l}{\binom{n}{l}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

By changing the order of the summations in the right-hand side of (2.39) and then applying the second formula of (2.9) and the first one of (2.11), in light of (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{E}_k(x; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \mu) \\ &= \frac{4}{n + 2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n + 2}{k + 1} (x - y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n + 1}{k} \frac{\mathcal{E}_k(x - y; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) + \mathcal{E}_k(y - x; \mu) \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(x; \lambda\mu)}{k + 1} \\ &\quad - 2\delta_{1,\lambda\mu} \frac{(1 + (-1)^n) \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(y - x; \frac{1}{\lambda})}{n + 2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

It is easily seen that the formula (2.13) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n + 2}{k + 1} (x - y)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{B}_{n+2}(y; \lambda\mu)}{x - y} - \delta_{1,\lambda\mu} (x - y)^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

So from (2.36), (2.40), and (2.41), the desired result follows immediately. □

Corollary 2.13 (Pan and Sun [37]) *Let n be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{l=0}^n E_l(x)E_{n-l}(y) = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{E_k(x-y)B_{n+1-k}(y) + E_k(y-x)B_{n+1-k}(x)}{k+1} + \frac{4}{n+2} \cdot \frac{B_{n+2}(x) - B_{n+2}(y)}{x-y}. \tag{2.42}$$

Proof Setting $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.12 gives the desired result. □

Example 2.14 By taking $x = y$ and $\lambda = \mu$ in (2.40), we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{E}_k(x; \lambda)\mathcal{E}_{n-k}(x; \lambda) = 4\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x; \lambda^2) - 4 \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{\mathcal{E}_k(0; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n+1-k}(x; \lambda^2)}{k+1} - 2\delta_{1,\lambda^2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^n)\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(0; \frac{1}{\lambda})}{n+2} \quad (n \geq 0). \tag{2.43}$$

Example 2.15 Since $B_0(x) = E_0(x) = 1$ and $E_n(0) = (2 - 2^{n+2})B_{n+1}/(n + 1)$ for non-negative integer n (see, e.g., [1]), so by taking $\lambda = 1$ in (2.43), in view of the first formula of (2.9), we obtain that for non-negative integer n ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n E_k(x)E_{n-k}(x) = \frac{8}{n+2} \sum_{k=2}^{n+2} \binom{n+2}{k} \frac{(2^k - 1)B_k}{k} B_{n+2-k}(x) \tag{2.44}$$

$$= -\frac{4}{n+2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+2}{k} E_{n+1-k}(0)B_k(x). \tag{2.45}$$

Remark 2.16 The identity (2.44) was discovered by Pan and Sun [37], and the identity (2.45) was also stated in [24] (but note a misprint: E_{n+1-k} should be $E_{n+1-k}(0)$).

Theorem 2.17 *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_l(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} (2\mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu)\mathcal{E}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{E}_{k-1}(x-y; \lambda)\mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} \\ &\quad + \delta_{1,\mu} n \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda\mu) - \frac{(n+1)\mathcal{E}_n(y; \lambda\mu)}{x-y}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Proof By applying (2.36) to another formula of mixed products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials stated in [19,20,43], namely

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{E}_{m-1}(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \mu) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} (-1)^{m-1-k} \mathcal{E}_{m-1-k}(y-x; \frac{1}{\lambda}) \mathcal{E}_{n-1+k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}_{n-k}(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{E}_{m-1+k}(x; \lambda\mu) \quad (m, n \geq 1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

we obtain that for positive integers m, n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{m-1}(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_n(y; \mu) &= n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} (x-y)^k \mathcal{E}_{m+n-2-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad - \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{m+n-2-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{E}_{m+n-1-k}(x; \lambda\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

If we substitute m for l and n for $n+1-l$ and make the summation operation $\sum_{l=1}^n$ in both sides of (2.48), we discover

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_l(x; \lambda)\mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n (n+1-l) \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l-1}{k-1} (x-y)^{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^n (n+1-l) \sum_{k=1}^l \binom{l-1}{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{k-1}(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu) - \delta_{1,\mu} \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Taking $s = 1$ and substituting $r - 1$ for r in (2.24) gives that for positive integers n, k ,

$$\sum_{l=k}^n \binom{l-1}{k-1} (n+1-l) = \binom{n+1}{k+1}. \tag{2.50}$$

By changing the order of the summations in the right-hand side of (2.49) and then applying the second formula of (2.9) and (2.50), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_l(x; \lambda) \mathcal{B}_{n-l}(y; \mu) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} (x-y)^{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} (2\mathcal{B}_k(y-x; \mu) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(x; \lambda\mu) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{E}_{k-1}(x-y; \lambda) \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu)) + \delta_{1,\mu} n \mathcal{E}_n(x; \lambda\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{2.51}$$

Since the Apostol–Euler polynomials satisfy the addition theorem (see, e.g., [27]):

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x+y; \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda) \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{2.52}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} (x-y)^{k-1} \mathcal{E}_{n-k}(y; \lambda\mu) &= \frac{\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(x; \lambda\mu) - \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(y; \lambda\mu)}{(x-y)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(n+1)\mathcal{E}_n(y; \lambda\mu)}{x-y}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

Thus, by combining (2.51) and (2.53), we complete the proof of Theorem 2.17. \square

Corollary 2.18 (Pan and Sun [37]) *Let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n E_k(x) B_{n-k}(y) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} (2B_k(y-x) E_{n-k}(x) - E_{k-1}(x-y) E_{n-k}(y)) \\ &\quad + \frac{E_{n+1}(x) - E_{n+1}(y)}{(x-y)^2} - (n+1) \left(\frac{E_n(y)}{x-y} - E_n(x) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Proof Taking $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in Theorem 2.17 gives the desired result. \square

Example 2.19 Since $E_n(0) = (2 - 2^{n+2})B_{n+1}/(n+1)$ for non-negative integer n , so by taking $x = y$ and $\lambda = \mu = 1$ in (2.51), we obtain that for positive integer $n \geq 2$, (see, e.g., [37])

$$\sum_{k=0}^n E_k(x) B_{n-k}(x) = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n+1}{k+1} \frac{(2^k + k - 1)B_k}{k} E_{n-k}(x) + (n+1)E_n(x). \tag{2.55}$$

Acknowledgements The author expresses his sincere gratitude to the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions, which have led to a significant improvement on the presentation of this paper.

References

1. Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.A.: Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas Graphs and Mathematical Tables. National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC (1964)
2. Agoh, T., Dilcher, K.: Convolution identities and lacunary recurrences for Bernoulli numbers. *J. Number Theory* **124**, 105–122 (2007)
3. Agoh, T., Dilcher, K.: Reciprocity relations for Bernoulli numbers. *Am. Math. Mon.* **115**, 237–244 (2008)
4. Apostol, T.M.: On the Lerch zeta function. *Pac. J. Math.* **1**, 161–167 (1951)
5. Artamkin, I.V.: An elementary proof of the Miki–Zagier–Gessel identity. *Russian Math. Surv.* **62**, 1194–1196 (2007)
6. Boyadzhiev, K.N.: Apostol–Bernoulli functions, derivative polynomials and Eulerian polynomials. *Adv. Appl. Discret. Math.* **1**, 109–122 (2008)
7. Carlitz, L.: Note on the integral of the product of several Bernoulli polynomials. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **34**, 361–363 (1959)
8. Carlitz, L.: A theorem on generalized Dedekind sums. *Acta Arith.* **11**, 253–260 (1965)
9. Cohen, H.: Number Theory, vol. II, Analytic and Modern Tools. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 240. Springer, New York (2007)
10. Comtet, L.: Advanced Combinatorics. The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions. D. Reidel, Holland (1974)
11. Crabb, M.C.: The Miki–Gessel Bernoulli number identity. *Glasg. Math. J.* **47**, 327–328 (2005)
12. Dere, R., Simsek, Y., Srivastava, H.M.: A unified presentation of three families of generalized Apostol type polynomials based upon the theory of the umbral calculus and the umbral algebra. *J. Number Theory* **133**, 3245–3263 (2013)
13. Dunne, G.V., Schubert, C.: Bernoulli number identities from quantum field theory and topological string theory. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.* **7**, 225–249 (2013)
14. Faber, C., Pandharipande, R.: Hodge integrals and Gromov–Witten theory. *Invent. Math.* **139**, 173–199 (2000)
15. Garg, M., Jain, K., Srivastava, H.M.: Some relationships between the generalized Apostol–Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz–Lerch zeta functions. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **17**, 803–815 (2006)
16. Gessel, I.M.: On Miki’s identity for Bernoulli numbers. *J. Number Theory* **110**, 75–82 (2005)
17. Gould, H.W.: Combinatorial Identities. A Standardized Set of Tables Listing 500 Binomial Coefficient Summations, revised edn. Published by the author. W. Virginia, Morgantown (1972)
18. He, Y., Zhang, W.P.: Some sum relations involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **22**, 207–215 (2011)
19. He, Y., Wang, C.P.: Some formulae of products of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2012**, Article ID 927953 (2012)
20. He, Y., Wang, C.P.: Recurrence formulae for Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, Article ID 209 (2012)
21. He, Y., Zhang, W.P.: A convolution formula for Bernoulli polynomials. *Ars Combin.* **108**, 97–104 (2013)
22. He, Y., Zhang, W.P.: A three-term reciprocity formula for Bernoulli polynomials. *Utilitas Math.* **100**, 23–31 (2016)
23. Kim, M.-S., Hu, S.: Sums of products of Apostol–Bernoulli numbers. *Ramanujan J.* **28**, 113–123 (2012)
24. Kim, D.S., Kim, T., Lee, S.-H., Kim, Y.-H.: Some identities for the product of two Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, Article ID 95 (2012)
25. Lu, D.-Q., Srivastava, H.M.: Some series identities involving the generalized Apostol type and related polynomials. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**, 3591–3602 (2011)
26. Liu, G.D., Wang, N.L., Wang, X.H.: The discrete mean square of Dirichlet L -function at integral arguments. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A* **86**, 149–153 (2010)
27. Luo, Q.-M., Srivastava, H.M.: Some generalizations of the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **308**, 290–302 (2005)

28. Luo, Q.-M.: Apostol–Euler polynomials of higher order and Gaussian hypergeometric functions. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **10**, 917–925 (2006)
29. Luo, Q.-M., Srivastava, H.M.: Some relationships between the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **51**, 631–642 (2006)
30. Luo, Q.-M.: The multiplication formulas for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials of higher order. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **20**, 377–391 (2009)
31. Luo, Q.-M.: Fourier expansions and integral representations for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Math. Comput.* **78**, 2193–2208 (2009)
32. Matiyasevich, Y.: Identities with Bernoulli numbers. <http://logic.pdmi.ras.ru/~yumat/Journal/Bernoulli/bernulli.htm> (1997)
33. Miki, H.: A relation between Bernoulli numbers. *J. Number Theory* **10**, 297–302 (1978)
34. Nielsen, N.: *Traité élémentaire des nombres de Bernoulli*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1923)
35. Nörlund, N.E.: *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*. Springer, Berlin (1924)
36. Ozden, H., Simsek, Y., Srivastava, H.M.: A unified presentation of the generating functions of the generalized Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 2779–2787 (2010)
37. Pan, H., Sun, Z.-W.: New identities involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **11**, 156–175 (2006)
38. Prévost, M.: Padé approximation and Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **233**, 3005–3017 (2010)
39. Shirantani, K., Yokoyama, S.: An application of p -adic convolutions. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A Math.* **36**, 73–83 (1982)
40. Srivastava, H.M., Todorov, P.G.: An explicit formula for the generalized Bernoulli polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **130**, 509–513 (1988)
41. Srivastava, H.M.: Some formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials at rational arguments. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **129**, 77–84 (2000)
42. Srivastava, H.M., Choi, J.: *Series Associated with the Zeta and Related Functions*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2001)
43. Wang, J.Z.: New recurrence formulae for the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2013**, Article ID 247 (2013)
44. Wang, W.P., Jia, C.Z., Wang, T.M.: Some results on the Apostol–Bernoulli and Apostol–Euler polynomials. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **55**, 1322–1332 (2008)