

Broken 2-diamond partitions modulo 5

Michael D. Hirschhorn¹

Received: 18 May 2016 / Accepted: 27 September 2016 / Published online: 17 January 2017
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Abstract We consider $\Delta_2(n)$, the number of broken 2-diamond partitions of n , and give simple proofs of two congruences given by Song Heng Chan.

Keywords Partitions · Broken 2-diamonds · Congruences modulo 5

Mathematics Subject Classification 11P83

1 Introduction

Andrews and Paule [1] introduced the concept of broken k -diamond partitions and showed that the generating function for $\Delta_k(n)$, the number of broken k -diamond partitions of n , is

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_k(n) q^n = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^{2k+1}; q^{2k+1})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty^3 (q^{2(2k+1)}; q^{2(2k+1)})_\infty}. \quad (1.1)$$

The following congruences were proved by Chan [2] and again by Radu [4]:

$$\Delta_2(25n + 14) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\Delta_2(25n + 24) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \quad (1.3)$$

✉ Michael D. Hirschhorn
m.hirschhorn@unsw.edu.au

¹ School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

Indeed, Chan generalised these to

$$\Delta_2 \left(5^{\alpha+1}n + \frac{11 \times 5^\alpha + 1}{4} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\Delta_2 \left(5^{\alpha+1}n + \frac{19 \times 5^\alpha + 1}{4} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \tag{1.5}$$

The object of this note is to give as simple a proof as I can of (1.2)–(1.5).

2 Proofs

We start by noting that the 5–dissection of $\psi(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^{(n^2+n)/2}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(q) &= 1 + q + q^3 + q^6 + q^{10} + q^{15} + q^{21} + q^{28} + q^{36} + q^{45} + q^{55} + q^{66} + q^{75} + \dots \\ &= (1 + q^{10} + q^{15} + q^{45} + q^{55} + \dots) + q(1 + q^5 + q^{20} + q^{35} + q^{65} + \dots) \\ &\quad + q^3(1 + q^{25} + q^{75} + \dots) \\ &= a + qb + q^3c, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$a = (-q^{10}, -q^{15}, q^{25}; q^{25})_\infty, \quad b = (-q^5, -q^{20}, q^{25}; q^{25})_\infty, \quad c = \psi(q^{25}). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that by [3, (34.1.21)]

$$ab + q^5c^2 = \psi(q^5)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

We have, modulo 5,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(n)q^n &= \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty^3 (q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty} \equiv \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q; q)_\infty^5}{(q; q)_\infty^3 (q^2; q^2)_\infty^5} = \frac{(q; q)_\infty^2}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^4} \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi(q)^2} = \frac{\psi(q)^3}{\psi(q)^5} \equiv \frac{\psi(q)^3}{\psi(q^5)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Alternatively,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(n)q^n = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty^3 (q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty} = \frac{\psi(q)^3 (q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty}{\psi(q^5) (q^2; q^2)_\infty^5} \equiv \frac{\psi(q)^3}{\psi(q^5)}, \tag{2.5}$$

or, again, by [3, (34.1.23)],

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(n)q^n &= \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty^3 (q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty} \\ &= \frac{1}{\psi(q)^2 - 5q\psi(q^5)^2} \equiv \frac{1}{\psi(q)^2} \equiv \frac{\psi(q)^3}{\psi(q^5)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(n)q^n &\equiv \frac{\psi(q)^3}{\psi(q^5)} = \frac{(a + qb + q^3c)^3}{\psi(q^5)} \\ &= \frac{a^3 + 3qa^2b + 3q^2ab^2 + q^3b^3 + 3q^3a^2c + 6q^4abc + 3q^5b^2c + 3q^6ac^2 + 3q^7bc^2 + q^9c^3}{\psi(q^5)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(5n + 4)q^{5n} &\equiv \frac{abc + q^5c^3}{\psi(q^5)} = \frac{c(ab + q^5c^2)}{\psi(q^5)} \\ &= \frac{\psi(q^{25})\psi(q^5)^2}{\psi(q^5)} = \psi(q^{25})\psi(q^5) \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2(5n + 4)q^n \equiv \psi(q^5)\psi(q) = \psi(q^5)(a + qb + q^3\psi(q^{25})). \tag{2.9}$$

It is now an easy induction (replace n by $5n + 3$) to deduce that for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \Delta_2\left(5^\alpha n + \frac{3 \times 5^\alpha + 1}{4}\right)q^n &\equiv \psi(q^5)\psi(q) \\ &= \psi(q^5)(a + qb + q^3\psi(q^{25})). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Since there are no terms on the right in which the power of q is congruent to 2 or 4 modulo 5, we have that for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$\Delta_2\left(5^\alpha(5n + 2) + \frac{3 \times 5^\alpha + 1}{4}\right) \equiv 0 \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\Delta_2\left(5^\alpha(5n + 4) + \frac{3 \times 5^\alpha + 1}{4}\right) \equiv 0, \tag{2.12}$$

as claimed.

References

1. Andrews, G.E., Paule, P.: MacMahon's partition analysis XI, broken diamonds and modular forms. *Acta Arith.* **126**(3), 281–294 (2007)
2. Chan, S.H.: Some congruences for Andrews–Paule's broken 2-diamond partitions. *Discret. Math.* **308**(23), 5735–5741 (2008)
3. Hirschhorn, M.D.: The power of q . Springer (to appear)
4. Radu, C.-S.: An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan–Kolberg identities. *J. Symb. Comput.* **68**(1), 225–253 (2015)