

# More parity results for broken 8-diamond partitions

Yudong Wang

Received: 8 July 2014 / Accepted: 13 November 2014 / Published online: 7 January 2015  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

**Abstract** Broken  $k$ -diamond partitions were introduced in 2007 by Andrews and Paule. Let  $\Delta_k(n)$  denote the number of broken  $k$ -diamond partitions of  $n$ . In 2010, Radu and Sellers provided many beautiful congruences for  $\Delta_k(n)$  modulo 2 when  $k = 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11$ . Among them when  $k = 8$ , they showed that  $\Delta_8(34n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  when  $r \in \{11, 15, 17, 19, 25, 27, 29, 33\}$ . In this article, by using properties of modular forms, we extend this result for  $\Delta_8(n)$ . We have completely determined the behavior of  $\Delta_8(2n + 1)$  modulo 2. As a consequence, we obtain many more congruences for  $\Delta_8(n)$  modulo 2.

**Keywords** Broken  $k$ -diamond partitions · Congruences · Modular forms

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 11P83 · 11F11

## 1 Introduction

In 2007, Andrews and Paule [1] introduced a new class of combinatorial objects called broken  $k$ -diamond partitions. Let  $\Delta_k(n)$  denote the number of broken  $k$ -diamond partitions of  $n$ , and they proved that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_k(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{(2k+1)n})}{(1 - q^n)^3(1 - q^{(4k+2)n})}. \quad (1)$$

---

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071160).

---

Y. Wang (✉)  
Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University,  
Dongchuan Road No.800, Shanghai 200240, China  
e-mail: wyd007001@sjtu.edu.cn

In [1], they proved a congruence for  $\Delta_1(n)$  that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta_1(2n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . They also conjectured several other congruences modulo 2 satisfied by certain  $\Delta_k(n)$ . Since then, mathematicians have provided numerous additional congruences satisfied by  $\Delta_k(n)$  for small integers  $k$ . For example, Hirschhorn and Sellers [3] proved some parity results for  $\Delta_1(n)$  and  $\Delta_2(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(4n + 2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_1(4n + 3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_2(10n + 2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_2(10n + 6) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

After that, Chan [2] provided a different proof of the above results and obtained some new congruences for  $\Delta_2(n)$  modulo 5. Paule and Radu [6] extended the results of  $\Delta_2(n)$  modulo 5, and made four conjectures about  $\Delta_3(n)$  modulo 7 and  $\Delta_5(n)$  modulo 11. Two of those conjectures were proved by Xiong [10] in 2011, and the other two were proved by Jameson [4] recently. See more results of congruences for  $\Delta_k(n)$  in Radu and Sellers [7–9], Yao [11], etc.

In 2010, Radu and Sellers [7] provided many beautiful congruences for  $\Delta_k(n)$  modulo 2 when  $k = 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11$ . Among them when  $k = 8$ , they proved that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\Delta_8(34n + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \tag{2}$$

when  $r \in \{11, 15, 17, 19, 25, 27, 29, 33\}$ . In our article, by using properties of modular forms, we have obtained many more congruences for  $\Delta_8(n)$  modulo 2. In fact, we have completely determined the behavior of  $\Delta_8(2n + 1)$  modulo 2. It can be characterized in the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *The broken 8-diamond partition function is defined by*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(n)q^n := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{17n})}{(1 - q^n)^3(1 - q^{34n})}, \tag{3}$$

and then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(2n + 1)q^n \equiv \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^3 + q^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{17n})^3 \pmod{2}. \tag{4}$$

With the help of the well-known identity [5, thm 1.60]

$$q \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{8n})^3 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (2n + 1)q^{(2n+1)^2}, \tag{5}$$

equation (4) can be changed to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(2n + 1)q^{8n+1} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(2n+1)^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{17(2n+1)^2} \pmod{2}. \tag{6}$$

That means  $\Delta_8(2n + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  if and only if  $8n + 1$  is a square or 17 times a square. So we obtain a corollary to judge whether a congruence for  $\Delta_8(n)$  holds or not:

**Corollary 1** *Let  $A, B$  be two nonnegative integers,  $B < A$ , then the congruence*

$$\Delta_8(2An + 2B + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \tag{7}$$

*holds for all  $n \geq 0$  if and only if  $8B + 1$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $8A$  and  $136B + 17$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $136A$ .*

Based on the above corollary, we can derive many new congruences for  $\Delta_8(n)$  modulo 2. Following the notation in [7], we write

$$f(tn + r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

to mean that, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,

$$f(tn + r_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

*Example 1* The following congruences hold for all  $n \geq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_8(18n + 9, 15) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(26n + 9, 11, 15, 19, 23, 25) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(34n + 11, 15, 17, 19, 25, 27, 29, 33) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(36n + 9, 15, 27, 33) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(38n + 9, 11, 17, 23, 25, 27, 29, 33, 37) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(42n + 17, 19, 25, 29, 35, 37) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \Delta_8(50n + 17, 27, 37, 47) &\equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also directly obtain infinite families of congruences for  $\Delta_8(n)$  modulo 2.

**Corollary 2** *Let  $p$  be a odd prime,  $p \neq 17$ , and  $t > 0$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  are integers with  $(p, t) = 1$ , and  $t \cdot p^{2\alpha+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Then the following congruence holds for all  $n \geq 0$ :*

$$\Delta_8 \left( 2p^{2\alpha+2}n + \frac{1}{4}(p^{2\alpha+1}t - 1) + 1 \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{8}$$

We will prove these theorems and corollaries in Sects. 3 and 4. Note that after examining small congruences for  $\Delta_8(n)$  modulo 2 by computer, we find that Corollary 1 has covered all congruences in the form  $\Delta_8(An + B) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for  $A \leq 100$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some notations of modular forms. The Dedekind’s eta function  $\eta(z)$  is defined as

$$\eta(z) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n),$$

where  $q = 2\pi iz, z \in \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}$  is the upper half complex plane. A function  $f(z)$  is called an eta-quotient if it can be written as

$$f(z) = \prod_{\delta|N} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta},$$

where  $\delta$  and  $N$  are positive integers and  $r_\delta$  is an integer corresponding to  $\delta$ . Let  $M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$  (resp.  $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ ) denote the set of all holomorphic modular forms (resp. cusp forms) with respect to  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with weight  $k$  and character  $\chi$ ; the following theorem helps us to determine when an eta-quotient is of a modular form:

**Theorem 2** [5, thm 1.64] *If  $f(z) = \prod_{\delta|N} \eta(\delta z)^{r_\delta}$  is an eta-quotient with  $k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\delta|N} r_\delta$ , with the additional properties that*

$$\sum_{\delta|N} \delta r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$$

and

$$\sum_{\delta|N} \frac{N}{\delta} r_\delta \equiv 0 \pmod{24},$$

then  $f(z)$  satisfies

$$f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) = \chi(d)(cz + d)^k f(z)$$

for every  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$ . Here the character  $\chi$  is defined by  $\chi(d) := \left(\frac{(-1)^k \cdot d}{d}\right)^s$ , where  $s := \prod_{\delta|N} \delta^{r_\delta}$ . Moreover, if  $f(z)$  is holomorphic (resp. vanishes) at all of the cusps of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , then  $f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$  (resp.  $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ ).

And the orders of an eta-quotient at cusps are determined by

**Theorem 3** [5, thm 1.65] *Let  $c, d$ , and  $N$  be the positive integers with  $d|N$  and  $\gcd(c, d) = 1$ . If  $f(z)$  is an eta-quotient satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1 for  $N$ , then the order of vanishing of  $f(z)$  at the cusp  $\frac{c}{d}$  is*

$$\frac{N}{24} \sum_{\delta|N} \frac{gcd(d, \delta)^2 r_\delta}{gcd(d, \frac{N}{\delta}) d \delta}.$$

If  $d$  is a positive integer and  $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(n)q^n$  is a formal power series, we define the operator  $U(d)$  by

$$f(q)|U(d) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(dn)q^n.$$

Proposition 2.22 in [5] shows that if  $d|N$ ,  $f(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ , then  $f(z)|U(d) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ . Also note that the  $U(d)$  operator has the property

$$\left[ \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(n)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty b(n)q^{dn} \right) \right] |U(d) = \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(dn)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty b(n)q^n \right). \tag{9}$$

For the purposes of studying congruences, we introduce the Sturm’s Theorem, to show that every holomorphic modular form modulo  $M$  is determined by its “first few” coefficients. Let  $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a(n)q^n$  be a formal power series with  $a(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M$  be a positive integer, and define the order of  $f$  modulo  $M$  by

$$\text{ord}_M(f) := \min\{n : a(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}\},$$

then Sturm’s Theorem can be stated as

**Theorem 4** [5, thm 2.58] *Suppose that  $f(z), g(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi) \cap \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$  and  $M$  is prime. If*

$$\text{ord}_M(f(z) - g(z)) \geq 1 + \frac{kN}{12} \prod_{p|N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

where the product is over all prime divisors  $p$  of  $N$ , then  $f(z) \equiv g(z) \pmod{M}$ .

With the above theorems, we can now start our proof of Theorem 1. We will frequently use the following congruence during the proof:

$$\prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^n)^2 \equiv \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^{2n}) \pmod{2}. \tag{10}$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof* In this section, we will prove Eq. (4). Define eta-quotients  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) &:= \frac{\eta(z)^3 \eta(2z)^{43} \eta(17z)}{\eta(34z)} \\
 &= q^3 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^n)^3 (1 - q^{2n})^{43} (1 - q^{17n})}{(1 - q^{34n})}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(z) &:= \eta(z)^{44} \eta(2z)^2 + \eta(z)^{43} \eta(2z) \eta(17z) \eta(34z) \\
 &= q^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{44} (1 - q^{2n})^2 \\
 &\quad + q^4 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{43} (1 - q^{2n}) (1 - q^{17n}) (1 - q^{34n}).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorems 2 and 3, it is easy to verify that  $F(z), G(z) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ , where  $k = 23, N = 34 * 24$ , and  $\chi(d) = \left(\frac{-1}{d}\right)$ . Applying operator  $U(2)$  to  $F(z)$ , and using Sturm’s Theorem, after checking the first  $23 * 8 * 18$  coefficients of  $F(z)|U(2)$  and  $G(z)$  by computer, we find that

$$F(z)|U(2) \equiv G(z) \pmod{2}. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $\Delta_8(n)$  in Eq. (3) (we define  $\Delta_8(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is not a nonnegative integer), using Eq. (10), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z) &\equiv q^3 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{17n})}{(1 - q^n)^3 (1 - q^{34n})} (1 - q^{2n})^{45} \\
 &\equiv \left( q^3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(n) q^n \right) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})^{45} \pmod{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z)|U(2) &\equiv \left[ \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(n - 3) q^n \right) \left( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})^{45} \right) \right] |U(2) \\
 &\equiv \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(2n - 3) q^n \right) \left( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{45} \right) \text{ (by Eq. 9)} \\
 &\equiv \left( q^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_8(2n + 1) q^n \right) \left( \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{45} \right) \pmod{2}. \tag{12}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also note that

$$G(z) \equiv q^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{48} + q^4 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{45} (1 - q^{17n})^3 \pmod{2} \tag{13}$$

Combining (11), (12), and (13) together, we get Eq. (4). □

### 4 Proof of Corollaries 1 and 2

Since we have proved Theorem 1, those corollaries are quite simple. From Eq. (6), we know that if  $\Delta_8(2(An + B) + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , then both  $8(An + B) + 1$  and  $17 * (8(An + B) + 1)$  cannot be squares, which is equivalent to say that  $8B + 1$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $8A$  and  $136B + 17$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $136A$ . For example, let  $A = 9$ , then  $8A = 72$ , and  $8B + 1 \in S := \{1, 9, 17, 25, 33, 41, 49, 57, 65\}$ . Among  $S$ , only 17, 33, 41, 57, 65 are quadratic nonresidues mod 72, which means  $B \in \{2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ . Similarly,  $136B + 17$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $136A$  if and only if  $B \in \{0, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$ . Thus, only  $B = 4$  and  $B = 7$  suit both conditions. Substituting  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\Delta_8(2(An + B) + 1)$ , we obtain the first result in Example 1.

To prove Corollary 2, write

$$2p^{2\alpha+2}n + \frac{1}{4}(p^{2\alpha+1}t - 1) + 1 = 2 \left( p^{2\alpha+2}n + \frac{1}{8}(p^{2\alpha+1}t - 1) \right) + 1.$$

By Theorem 1, we need to show that

$$8 \left( p^{2\alpha+2}n + \frac{1}{8}(p^{2\alpha+1}t - 1) \right) + 1 = p^{2\alpha+1}(8pn + t)$$

is neither a square, nor 17 times a square. This is obvious because under our assumption,  $\text{ord}_p(p^{2\alpha+1}(8pn + t)) = 2\alpha + 1$ . Now we complete our proof.

**Acknowledgments** The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for the useful suggestions.

### References

1. Andrews, G.E., Paule, P.: MacMahon’s partition analysis XI: broken diamonds and modular forms. *Acta Arith.* **126**, 281–294 (2007)
2. Chan, S.H.: Some congruences for Andrews–Paule’s broken 2-diamond partitions. *Discret. Math.* **308**, 5735–5741 (2008)
3. Hirschhorn, M.D., Sellers, J.A.: On recent congruence results of Andrews and Paule. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **75**, 121–126 (2007)
4. Jameson, M.: Congruences for broken  $k$ -diamond partitions. *Ann. Comb.* **17**, 333–338 (2013)
5. Ono, K.: The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and  $q$ -series. In: *CBMS Regional Conferences Series in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society (2004)
6. Paule, P., Radu, S.: Infinite families of strange partition congruences for broken 2-diamonds. *Ramanujan J.* **23**, 409–416 (2010)

7. Radu, S., Sellers, J.A.: Parity results for broken  $k$ -diamond partitions and  $(2k + 1)$ -cores. *Acta Arith.* **146**, 43–52 (2011)
8. Radu, S., Sellers, J.A.: Infinitely many congruences for broken 2-diamond partitions modulo 3. *J. Comb. Number Theory* **4**, 195–200 (2013)
9. Radu, S., Sellers, J.A.: An extensive analysis of the parity of broken 3-diamond partitions. *J. Number Theory* **133**, 3703–3716 (2013)
10. Xiong, X.: Two congruences involving Andrews–Paule’s broken 3-diamond partitions and 5-diamond partitions. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A* **87**(5), 65–68 (2011)
11. Yao, X.M.: New parity results for broken 11-diamond partitions. *J. Number Theory* **140**, 267–276 (2014)